THE PROBATE CHARADE – [pour-over will](http://www.probatemafia.com/Brunsting/2012-04-03%20Will%20of%20Nelva%20Brunsting.pdf) with sole devisee [living trust](http://www.probatemafia.com/Brunsting/2021-11-05%20Defendant%20Co-trustees%20Exhibit%20A%202005%20Restatement%20p230-316.pdf), independent administration, no [inventory](http://www.probatemafia.com/Brunsting/Tab%2021%202013-03-27%20Case%20412249%20PBT-2013-99449%20Inventory%2C%20appraisement%20and%20list%20of%20claims.pdf) of any worth (used car) as everything is already in the trust.

The probate charade was all about attorney’s intentions to stuff their pockets with filthy lucre extorted from the beneficiaries of a family trust and laundered as fees for legal services under a coerced “Settlement Agreement” (contract). The “Estate of Nelva Brunsting No. 412249” never had a tangible property interest in the [tort action filed by Bayless](http://www.probatemafia.com/Brunsting/Tab%203a%202013-04-09%20Case%20412249-401%20PBT-2013-115617%20Bayless%20Original%20Petition.pdf), five days after the [inventory, appraisement and list of claims were approved](http://www.probatemafia.com/Brunsting/Tab%2022%20%202013-04-04%20Order%20Approving%20Inventory%20412249%20Certified.pdf) and the pour-over estates were [closed](http://www.probatemafia.com/Brunsting/Tab%2023%202013-04-04%20Certified%20Drop%20Order%20in%20412249.pdf).

All of the claims in [Carl’s April 9, 2013 petition in Probate Court No. 4](http://www.probatemafia.com/Brunsting/Tab%203a%202013-04-09%20Case%20412249-401%20PBT-2013-115617%20Bayless%20Original%20Petition.pdf) relate to Carl’s interest in trust property. None of the claims in Carl’s April 9, 2013 petition would have a tangible impact on the poured over estate. There has never been an evidentiary hearing in the probate court (except for motions for sanctions) and in ten years nothing has been produced except more than half a million dollars in extraction demands from the attorneys, that they insist on laundering under a settlement contract that would label their ransom as “fees for legal services”. It should also be noted that absolutely none of the affirmative commands to the trustee under the trust have been performed, affirmative commands in the injunction have been ignored, and excess taxes of more than $130,000 have been paid as a direct result of the Co-Trustees failure to distribute the trust income.

Carl testified at his [February 3, 2015 deposition](http://www.probatemafia.com/Brunsting/2015-02-03%20Case%202013-05455%20BRUNSTING%2C%20CARL%20H.-1%20Deposition%20of%20Carl%20H.%20Brunsting.pdf) that he had already paid Bayless more than a quarter of a million dollars. Two weeks later he resigned due to diminished capacity.

$250,000.00 Bayless

$5000 Attorney George Vie III

$5000 Attorney Jason Ostrom

$19,907.40 Attorney Gregory Lester “Temporary Administrator for the Estate of Nelva Brunsting”

$10,620.73 Attorney Jill Willard-Young

$30,000.00 Attorney Darlene Payne-Smith, paid by Carole

$?? Paid to Attorney Neal Spielman by Amy Brunsting

$410,000.00 Thompson Coe Attorneys representing the estate planning attorneys.

$537,000.00 in Fee’s for Legal Services attributable to “the trust” without specificity.