

Subject: Re: Service addresses for Carole?
From: Rik Munson <blowintough@att.net>
Date: 4/6/2013, 5:23 PM
To: Bobbie Bayless <bayless@baylessstokes.com>

She didn't get anything. It wouldn't matter. George is supposed to bring a CD with 4800+ documents. That's 1000 more than they said they had in their objection to your deposition before suit. What's important is what won't be on there. There won't be evidence of any of the required notices or biannual accounting. The crap they sent isn't even an accounting.

Candy's affidavit makes a prima facia case.
Spreadsheet admissions show self-dealing and co-mingling
Constructive fraud Doctrine says those transactions are presumed improper to rebut the presumption they will need to show evidence of notice.
In the absence of notice the transactions are vitiated by fraud as a matter of law.

The documents covertly created and allegedly signed by Nelva on August 25, 2010 and December 21, 2010 are forgeries. They were created in secret for an improper purpose and are void on their face:

- a. To improperly seize control from Nelva Brunsting and to appoint themselves in her stead by fraud and forgery.
- b. To Disrupt the dispositive provisions of the irrevocable trusts
- c. To impose their own will upon the Grantors trust and to endow the imposters with the appearance of sole and absolute discretion to abandon the un-waivable duty of loyalty.
- d. Facilitate the thefts and the looting that is self-evident by confession
- e. To exculpate the trespasser thieves for their thefts, their self-dealing, for failing to cure the alleged breaches of their predecessor (their own fraudulent concealment)
- f. And to threaten the victims of their crimes with disinheritance if they should challenge the Defendants frauds... (a.k.a. the trust) and that is criminal extortion.
 - i. The only reason the de jure trustees would hesitate to claim their proper station and defend this trust from the trespassing imposters.

Amy and Anita are not trustees. Carl and Candy are trustees whose fiduciary obligations have been tortuously interfered with.

When those self dealing transactions are reversed as a matter of law and the defendants

cannot repay the losses, who will suffer the damages?

It will be the public. It will be the insurance companies for the custodians of trust property that were fooled by the forgeries. (I filed an SEC complaints alleging forgery on November 26, 2011 and updated it in December (TCR1353937817850 and TCR1360513046085). I also filed a notary complaint with the Texas Secretary of State)

It will be interesting to see what kind of damage they will claim will be the result of an injunction but I expect them to scream for a bond.

None of them are bonded in regards to the trust and none of them will provide surety for the Half Million Dollars already misappropriated so we will see what Hoyt thinks of a bond.

From: Bobbie Bayless <bayless@baylessstokes.com>

To: Rik Munson <blowintough@att.net>

Sent: Sat, April 6, 2013 1:01:45 PM

Subject: Re: Service addresses for Carole?

Thanks. I wonder if she might also have been trying to find out something for Anita and Amy about your hearing next week?

----- Original Message -----

From: [Rik Munson](#)

To: [Bobbie Bayless](#)

Sent: Saturday, April 06, 2013 2:32 PM

Subject: Re: Service addresses for Carole?

Candy says she works at a location near her moms house. Carole lies about everything so there is no telling. She called Candy Wednesday evening and Candy talked about the weather. We now suspect there may have been an attempt to serve her and she called to see if Candy knew anything.

Maybe call reception and ask for her by name, or Call personnel at corporate and ask which location she works at.

Carole has a Blackberry issued from work. The number is 281-881-1917.

Good luck

From: Bobbie Bayless <bayless@baylessstokes.com>

To: Rik Munson <blowintough@att.net>

Sent: Sat, April 6, 2013 10:02:29 AM

Subject: Service addresses for Carole?

I am assuming I may have to try to serve Carole at her work since she tends to ignore these things and will presumably just not come to the door at home. I know she works at Cameron, but they have more

| than one location. Do you have any idea where she actually is during her work day?

— Attachments: —————

DOCS-#251895-v1-Brunsting_response_to_temporary_injunction_.pdf

96.4 KB