Subject: Notice of Violations of Penal Code §§ 32.45 & 32.53 and conspiracy to violate Penal Code §§ 32.45 & 32.53
From: Rik Munson <blowintough@att.net>
Date: 7/22/2022, 8:52 AM
To: Leslie.friedlander@oag.texas.gov
CC: "Ollison, Quincy (USATXS)" <quincy.ollison@usdoj.gov>
BCC: "Molinari, Gioconda (USACAN)" <gioconda.molinari@usdoj.gov>

Re: Cause No. 412249-401; Estate of Nelva E. Brunsting, Deceased; Carl Henry Brunsting, individually and as independent executor of the estates of Elmer H Brunsting and Nelva. E. Brunsting v. Anita Kay Brunstingf/kla Anita Kay Riley, et al.; In the Probate Court No. 4 of Harris County, Texas

As the chief executive, Governor Paxton is the chief law enforcement officer for the State of Texas and is thus charged with the preservation of public justice. Attorneys are generally shielded from accountability to non-clients and some have relied upon that immunity to engage in schemes that are pure larceny, posing as litigation.

The Brunsting "probate case" is not only embarrassing but a generous example of the complete breakdown in our system of justice. These particular attorneys have not only obstructed justice, and committed other untoward acts against the parties in interest, but they have stolen hours upon hours of court time (public resources) in pursuit of their plan to extract unearned consideration. They have all participated in a scheme to intercept a family generational asset transfer by holding the parties hostage in a court room theater until the victims capitulate to their ransom demands.

Attorney Bobbie G. Bayless, the author of the petition for writ of mandamus "In re Julie Hannah" is also the architect of the Brunsting probate fiasco. Bayless, claim of probate court jurisdiction in Brunsting, is in direct contradiction to the opinion she obtained in Hannah, and Bayless wrote that petition while arguing the opposite in Harris County Probate Court No. 4.

This conduct isn't just a problem for the people of Texas and every other state, it is a problem for the courts. If our courts are tied up in artificially manipulated Gordian knots, the courts cannot administer justice efficiently and the people cannot find affordable justice. I am a witness to these events and have no reason to expect anything for myself, other than what I am witnessing being done to others. I demand that the rule of law be respected by government actors and enforced by law enforcement entities. There is no excuse for this charade.

This complaint involves a California victim, Farm land in Iowa and securities traded and regulated under the laws of the United States. Misapplication of fiduciary property by act or omission is a crime. The perpetual violation of a federal preliminary injunction is a crime. Transmogrification of Candace Louise Curtis vs Anita Brunsting et al., into "Estate of Nelva Brunsting" is identity theft and criminal conversion, also a theft crime.

This is a routine script that is anything but new. The only thing new is the ability to obtain information and communicate with others who have shared the same experience. My first

exposure was "Fighting the Probate mafia, a dissection of the probate exception to federal jurisdiction", written by Professor peter Nicholas. Then I watched the October 11, 2006 Texas Senate Hearing on Jurisprudence and was hearing some of the same attorney's names...

This was an estate planning bait and switch followed by a routine exploitation script. It is time for law enforcement to act so that the people do not have to take matters into their own hands.

("Decency, security, and liberty alike demand that government officials shall be subjected to the same rules of conduct that are commands to the citizen. In a government of laws, existence of the government will be imperiled if it fails to observe the law scrupulously. Our government is the potent, the omnipresent teacher. For good or for ill, it teaches the whole people by its example. Crime is contagious. If the government becomes a lawbreaker, it breeds contempt for law; it invites every man to become a law unto himself; it invites anarchy.") Justice John Brandeis, Dissenting in Olmstead v. United States, 277 U.S. 438 (1928)

"A gang is a group of men under the command of a leader, bound by a compact of association, in which the plunder is divided according to an agreed convention. If this villainy wins so many recruits from the ranks of the demoralized that it acquires territory, establishes a base, captures cities and subdues peoples, it then openly arrogates to itself the title of kingdom, which is conferred on it in the eyes of the world, not by the renunciation of aggression, but by the attainment of impunity" Aurelius Augustinus, 13 November 354 - 28 August 430

Attachments:	
2022-07-10 Curtis Petition for Writ of Mandamus.pdf	462 KB
Tab 2 2013-01-09 Curtis v. Brunsting_ 704 F.3d 406_5th Circuit (Jan 2013).pdf	160 KB
Tab 4 2013-04-19 Memorandum of Preliminary Injunction_Certified.pdf	3.0 MB
Tab 56b 1 P12704 Estate Plan Road Map.pdf	251 KB