

LIST OF CASES

NOTICE OF CASES RELATED BY OPERATIVE FACTS AND PARTICIPANTS

- I. **SDTX No. 4:12-cv-592** Candace Louise Curtis vs Amy Brunsting, Anita Brunsting and Does 1-100, a breach of fiduciary action seeking accounting and disclosures filed 2/27/2012.
- II. **5th Circuit ROA.12-20164**
- III. **Harris County District Court 164 Cause No. 2013-05455**, a malpractice action against the estate planning attorneys filed by Carl Brunsting as executor of his Parents estate, filed January 29, 2013.
- IV. **Harris County Probate Court No. 4 Cause No. 412249-401**, a civil tort action exclusively related to the Brunsting trust filed on April 9, 2013, the same day as the injunction hearing in SDTX No. 4:12-cv-592.
- V. **Harris County Probate Court No. 4 Cause No. 412249-402** – the federal case was remanded to Harris County Probate Court No. 4 May 14, 2014.
- VI. The “remand order” was accepted in Probate Court No. 4 as a “transfer order” on May 22, 2014; converted into “*Estate of Nelva Brunsting No. 412249-402*” and then Dissolved into *Estate of Nelva Brunsting No. 412249-401*, which is the case brought by Carl Brunsting both individually and as “independent” executor on March 9, 2015.
- VII. **Curtis et al., vs. Kunz-Freed et al., SDTX No. 4:16-cv-1969**, an honest services fraud case brought under the racketeer influenced corrupt organization statutes filed July 5, 2016: dismissed for failure to state a claim.
- VIII. **5th Circuit ROA.17-20360** affirmed for lack of a sufficient statement of supporting facts June 28, 2018.
- IX. **Registration of Foreign Judgment, Submission ID: 43704956**, filed with Harris District Clerk on June 12, 2020, domesticating the federal preliminary injunction. The Foreign Judgment was not challenged by the respondents within 30 days as required and thus, the Foreign Judgment became a final judgement on July 12, 2020.
- X. **412249-403** – This is #3 supra, Harris County District Court 164 Cause No. 2013-05455 ordered transferred to Harris County Probate Court No. 4 on April 4, 2019 and where it remains without a plaintiff. (See *Narvaez v Powell*)
- XI. **412249-404** – A statutory Bill of Review constituting a direct attack on the Probate Courts Order denying Candace Curtis Plea to the Jurisdiction BUT MADE INTO A SEPARATE CASE BY THE CLERK.
- XII. **412249-405** – This case was created March 11, 2022 by an order severing Carl Brunsting from the 412249-401 lawsuit Carl Brunsting filed in the

LIST OF CASES

probate court April 9, 2013, [No. 412249-401], leaving Candace Curtis as the sole defendant with alleged Co-Trustee Defendants Amy Brunsting and Anita Brunsting and their attorneys Stephen Mendel and Neal Spielman as the only remaining Plaintiff's in the lawsuit Carl Brunsting filed the same day as the preliminary injunction hearing in the Southern District of Texas.

- XIII. **SDTX No. 4:22-cv-1129** April 7, 2022 created by removal of the alleged Co-Trustees' counterclaims to the Southern District of Texas.
- XIV. Texas First District Court of Appeal No. 01-22-00378-CV (Appeal Withdrawn)
- XV. Texas First District Court of Appeal No. 01-22-00513-cv Petition for Writ of Mandamus (denied with no explanation)

A December 5, 2021 Rule 11 Agreement; March 11, 2022 order severing the claims of diversity polluting "involuntary Plaintiff" Carl Brunsting from those of "Co-Plaintiff" Candace Curtis, Carl Brunstings' March 18, 2022 Nonsuit of Candace Curtis and a February 25, 2022 Order changing Candace Curtis standing, uncover the veil of fraudulent joinder and provide the basis for invoking removal jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a) and (b)(1).