## Case 4:12-cv-00592 Document 115 Filed on 08/03/16 in TXSD Page 9 of 27

38. Defendant co-conspirators, Attorneys Vacek & Freed, are sequestered in the District Court, where there is no plaintiff, and the probate Court has refused to join the suits.

39. The Defendants' attorneys and Plaintiff Brunsting's attorney have scheduled summary judgment hearings and un-scheduled those hearings, but Curtis cannot get a hearing set on dispositive motions in that Court.

40. The probate Court has clearly colluded with the lawyers to validate the 8/25/2010 QBD without an evidentiary hearing, to create delay, to avoid evidentiary hearings, to exacerbate Plaintiff's costs and to apply Hobbs Act pressure. There is a clear "stream of benefits" at play here.

41. There is no current or proper accounting and no balance sheet has ever been produced.

42. Other than an Order modifying the federal injunction, in the two years this case has been in Harris County Probate Court No. 4 there have been no evidentiary hearings and no orders or judgements have been entered on the record.

43. Rather than set dispositive motions for hearing on Plaintiff Curtis' request, Plaintiff was ordered to a second mediation, with Defendants who have established an intractable record of having no intentions of honoring any legal or moral obligations.

44. Neither the lawyers nor the probate Court will make a distinction between the trust and the estate.

45. Resolution of the litigation and distributions from the trust are being held hostage to the payment of attorneys' fees in direct defiance of this Court's express orders and the purposes for the trust.

9