

RV

**DATA-ENTRY
PICK UP THIS DATE**

FILED
7/20/2015 3:36:36 PM
Stan Stanart
County Clerk
Harris County

NO. 412.249-401

PROBATE COURT 4

No Service Requested

ESTATE OF § IN PROBATE COURT
 NELVA E. BRUNSTING, § NUMBER FOUR (4) OF
 DECEASED § HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS

CARL HENRY BRUNSTING, § IN PROBATE COURT
 individually and as independent §
 executor of the estates of Elmer H. §
 Brunsting and Nelva E. Brunsting §

vs.

ANITA KAY BRUNSTING f/k/a §
 ANITA KAY RILEY, individually, §
 as attorney-in-fact for Nelva E. Brunsting, §
 and as Successor Trustee of the Brunsting § NUMBER FOUR (4) OF
 Family Living Trust, the Elmer H. §
 Brunsting Decedent's Trust, the §
 Nelva E. Brunsting Survivor's Trust, §
 the Carl Henry Brunsting Personal §
 Asset Trust, and the Anita Kay Brunsting §
 Personal Asset Trust; §
 AMY RUTH BRUNSTING f/k/a §
 AMY RUTH TSCHIRHART, §
 individually and as Successor Trustee §
 of the Brunsting Family Living Trust, §
 the Elmer H. Brunsting Decedent's Trust, §
 the Nelva E. Brunsting Survivor's Trust, §
 the Carl Henry Brunsting Personal §
 Asset Trust, and the Amy Ruth Tschirhart §
 Personal Asset Trust; §
 CAROLE ANN BRUNSTING, individually §
 and as Trustee of the Carole Ann §
 Brunsting Personal Asset Trust; and §
 as a nominal defendant only, §
 CANDACE LOUISE CURTIS § HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS

CARL HENRY BRUNSTING'S MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

07222015:0819:10134

UNOFFICIAL COPY

COMES NOW Drina Brunsting, as attorney-in-fact for Carl Henry Brunsting, individually, filing this Motion for Protective Order with regard to improper audio and video recordings produced by Anita Brunsting (“Anita”), as well as additional recordings obviously still in the possession of Anita, Amy Brunsting (“Amy”), Carole Brunsting (“Carole”), and third parties to whom the recordings have been disclosed. In support of this motion, Carl would show as follows:

1. When Anita became trustee, Carl was excluded from distributions from his parents’ trusts. In fact, Carl’s entire family was even excluded from discussions concerning the trusts. But both Carole¹ and Candy told Carl and Drina about what appeared to be improper actions that had been taken. So, on March 9, 2012, before Carl ever filed this action, he requested information pursuant to Tex. R. Civ. P. 202.

2. One thing which had been revealed to Carl was the placement of a GPS tracking device on his wife Drina’s car without her knowledge by an investigator hired to follow her. Carl suspected that recordings had also been made of conversations between one or more of the Defendants and either Carl or Drina, so copies of recordings were also requested in 2012. In light of the GPS tracking device placed on Drina’s car, perhaps it should not be—but it still is—a surprise to learn just how far the recordings went. The recordings, at least the ones which have only recently been produced, included recordings of telephone conversations made without the consent of *either* party to the conversation.

¹ At various times, Carole has taken different positions on whether there was wrongdoing and by whom. It is pretty obvious what causes Carole’s changes of heart. Despite complaining about Anita’s efforts to gain control over the trusts, Carole made video recordings from Carl’s hospital room in late May, 2011 and sent them to Anita and Amy. It can not be a coincidence that on June 15, 2011, Carole received a transfer from Anita of 1325 shares of Exxon Mobil stock. Likewise, it can not be a coincidence that Carole stopped complaining about Anita. This stock transfer, among others, is the subject of Carl’s Motion for Partial Summary Judgment.

3. In addition to emails between the Brunsting family members, included among the items Carl sought from Anita, Amy, and Carole in March, 2012 were:

- All audio or video recordings of meetings, conversations, telephone messages, or other communications with Elmer, Nelva, or any of the Brunsting Descendants² concerning Brunsting Issues,
- All audio or video recordings of Nelva's execution of any documents.
- All audio or video recordings of evaluations of Nelva's capacity,
- All other audio or video recordings of any Brunsting family member, and
- All investigations made of any Brunsting family member, including any surveillance logs or reports.

4. When Carl made his Rule 202 filing, Anita and Amy hired an attorney, Bernard Mathews, who obtained a continuance of the hearing on Carl's Rule 202 requests by providing Anita's unsupported summary of trust activity and agreeing to provide, on a voluntary basis, what was being sought. Mr. Mathew's email dated April 11, 2012 about obtaining the information sought is attached as Exhibit 1. Mr. Mathews indicated in discussions with Carl's counsel that everything in his clients' possession which had been requested would be turned over. Six days later Mr. Mathews was fired. Attached as Exhibit 2 is the email by which Carl's counsel was notified there would be a change of counsel.

5. Thereafter, when Anita and Amy retained Mills, Shirley LLP as their new counsel, the Rule 202 hearing was again continued as to Anita and Amy, at their new counsel's request, so

² "Brunsting Descendants" was defined in the document to include Candace, Carole, Carl, Amy, Anita, and the children and grandchildren, if any, of Candace, Carole, Carl, Amy, and Anita.

that information could continue to be gathered. The issue of Carole providing information was not delayed further, however, and an order requiring Carole to provide her information was signed on May 18, 2012.

6. While some documents were ultimately provided by Anita, Amy, and Carole, including a number of boxes made available for review at Mills, Shirley's offices in Galveston, very few emails were produced and no one produced any recordings or investigator's reports. Amazingly, on September 9, 2014 even though actively trying to negotiate a settlement in the case, Mills, Shirley suddenly sought to withdraw from its representation of Anita and Amy claiming that "a conflict had arisen between the parties and the attorneys." The Mills, Shirley withdrawal was allowed on September 18, 2014. At that point, there had still been a lack of production of emails, recordings and investigator's reports.³

7. Anita's current counsel entered his appearance in this matter on November 14, 2014, and Amy's current counsel entered his appearance on December 8, 2014. Through no less than 9 supplemental productions made since Mr. Featherston took over as Anita's counsel, no recordings or investigator's reports had been provided, but emails addressing points Anita wanted to address began to show up as attachments to certain filings (See Anita's Objections to Candace Curtis' Application for Personal Representative filed on March 9, 2015, to which six emails previously unproduced by Anita were attached to obviously cast Candy in a very derogatory light).

8. Then on July 1, 2015, Carl's counsel received an envelope by certified mail from Anita's counsel which enclosed a CD containing supplemental production which had apparently

³ The Defendants continue to just ignore the investigator issues even though there can be no question there was an investigator. Attached hereto as Exhibit 4 are emails exchanged among Anita, Amy, Carole, and Candy about the investigator, including one dated Tuesday, March 15, 2011 in which an investigator's report is said to be expected by the end of that week. (Exhibit 4, page P14675)

been disclosed, at a minimum, to everyone else in the case. For various reasons, including the July 4 holiday, Carl's counsel was unable to adequately review all the information on the disk when it first arrived. Once the materials had been reviewed, however, it was obvious that the disk contained illegally obtained recordings.

9. The disk received by Carl's counsel on July 1, 2015 contained items which were numbered 5814 to 5840. Included among those items were the following four audio recordings, at least three, and most likely all, of which were made without the consent of either party to the conversations:

- (1) a 43 second phone conversation between Carl and his mother which, according to the file properties, was both created and modified on February 27, 2015⁴ (Brunsting 5836.wav);
- (2) a phone conversation lasting 6 minutes and 44 seconds between Carl and Drina which, according to the file properties, was both created and modified on February 27, 2015 (Brunsting 5837.wav);
- (3) a telephone conversation lasting 19 minutes and 18 seconds between Carl and Drina which, according to the file properties, was both created and modified on April 22, 2011 (Brunsting 5838.wav); and

⁴ Nelva Brunsting died on November 11, 2011, so this could not have been just a copy of the original recording. The larger question raised by the dates on the audio files, however, is why they were not produced long ago. Who recorded them, where have they been, and to whom have they been given? Is this perhaps why Mills, Shirley suddenly had to withdraw? It seems unlikely that there can be a reasonable excuse for why these recordings, all made in 2011 and dealt with by someone again in February of this year, were not produced until July. That is particularly puzzling when on March 11, 2015 Anita filed a Motion to Compel complaining that Carl had not been specific enough about his damage disclosures and had not turned over all of his attorney's fee invoices.

- (4) a telephone conversation lasting 8 minutes and 53 seconds between Carl and Drina which, according to the file properties, was both created and modified on March 21, 2011 (Brunsting 5839.wav).

10. The audio recordings⁵ provide a basis for liability to both Carl and Drina pursuant to the Texas Civil Wire Tap Act found at Tex. Civ. Prac. & Rem. Code, Title 123.⁶ That Act prohibits, among other things, the interception of a conversation transmitted over telephone wires without the consent of at least one of the parties to the conversation, as well as the further disclosure and the use of such intercepted transmissions. Neither Carl nor Drina consented to the recordings or even knew about them. The same is undoubtedly true of the recording of the short conversation Carl had with his mother. These recordings were made improperly, disclosed improperly, presumably have been already used improperly, and are obviously intended to be used improperly in this litigation.

11. Although they have clearly been edited, nothing on these recordings suggests they were somehow found on an answering machine tape at Nelva's house as Anita's counsel claimed to be the case in discussions with Carl's counsel about these recordings. There is nothing on the recordings indicating the involvement of an answering machine. There is nothing on the recordings indicating an answering machine either picked up or ended the call. There is no answering machine message alerting anyone to a recording device. In fact, there is also nothing on the recordings themselves indicating the date on which they were recorded. The other flaw with the answering machine explanation is that when Drina called Carl at his mother's house, an answering machine never answered—a person always did. The timing of the earliest recording together with emails from

⁵ It seems highly unlikely, of course, that these are the only recordings made or that those that have been produced are anything but edited versions of the original recordings.

⁶ The recording, disclosure, and use of these recordings are also felony violations of Section 16.02 of the Texas Penal Code and 18 U.S.C. §2511.

that time period make the real explanation for the recordings clear, but very problematic for Defendants.

12. These appear to have been made through a well-orchestrated plan between Anita, Amy, and Carole. Emails reflect discussions among the Brunsting sisters shortly after Carl became ill—both before and after Anita was even trustee—discussing ways to prevent Carl’s access to trust disbursements. (See Exhibit 5). Originally, Candy was also involved in the plan until the explanations she was being given for what was happening stopped making sense.⁷

13. Carl spent some time at his mother’s house in December, 2010 when Drina suffered a herniated disc in her back trying to lift him. Carl’s recovery suffered so badly while he was staying at his mother’s house that Drina was forced to end that arrangement. By that time, the experience of trying to deal with Carl’s sisters had been bad enough that Drina stopped even trying to communicate with them, and Carl only called his mother. Then in early March, 2011, there are emails concerning APS complaints,⁸ investigators, possible guardianship proceedings, and arrangements for a possible divorce between Carl and Drina so that Drina would not be Carl’s natural guardian.⁹ (See Exhibit 6).

14. In March, Carole also did her part to insure both that recordings could be made of Carl and Drina’s conversations without their knowledge and that Drina could be followed by the

⁷ Thus, the only emails which have been shared with Carl are those exchanged before Candy was excluded from her sisters’ scheme.

⁸ The attempt to create problems for Drina with APS had already been frustrated when their APS complaint against Drina was dismissed because, unlike what had occurred when Carl was at his mother’s house, Drina was following medical advice in her care of Carl. (Exhibit 6, p. P14664).

⁹ There were also discussions about how to exclude Carl and Drina’s daughter, Marta, as a potential guardian. Even though Marta had made her concern for her father clear (See Exhibit 7), she was characterized by Anita as having “expressed no interest in taking care of her father” (Exhibit 5, p. P14677).

investigator. On the evening of March 10, 2011, Carole went to Carl and Drina's home and approached Drina about having Carl spend time at his mother's home again—allegedly to give Drina a break as Carl's sole caregiver and to give Carl somewhere to go when Drina had to work or had to deal with her elderly father who lived in Beaumont. Drina naively believed Carole's concern to be sincere and agreed to allow Carl to spend some time at his mother's house again.

15. So, in March, 2011 the investigator had already been engaged, and on March 17, 2011, Faustino Vaquera purchased one ICD-OX312 Sony digital voice recorder at Best Buy and a 4200223 3' 1/8" M-M Patch Cable from Radio Shack. Vaquera was reimbursed for the purchase when he included it on his time sheet dated March 18, 2011. The time sheet with the attached receipts is attached hereto as Exhibit 3. That digital voice recorder is capable of voice activation and has 2 GB built-in memory which can be expanded to 16 GB. The earliest recording, based on the properties for the files produced was made at least by March 21, 2011, right after Carole made sure recordings of calls between Drina and Carl could be intercepted by getting Carl back to his mother's house.

16. The CD recently produced by Anita's counsel also included various emails which have suddenly appeared out of some unknown location despite having been requested since March, 2012, including four emails from Carole to Amy and Anita between May 23, 2011 and May 25, 2011 which had attachments containing videos taken by Carole of Carl in his hospital bed after he had an adverse reaction to a medication which was itself life threatening and put him in intensive care at St. Luke's Hospital from May 22, 2011 through May 31, 2011.¹⁰ (Brunsting 5822-5825).

¹⁰ While Carl was at Mentis Rehabilitation, Drina observed a sudden and severe decline in Carl's condition and had him transferred to the St. Luke's emergency room where he was admitted to ICU because of an altered mental status due to medications.

17. Even a sister's concern would not justify these recordings, but there is no way to confuse these incredible invasions of Carl's privacy as acts of a loving and concerned sister. These occurred while Carl was too ill to resist them and when no one was around to prevent them. After making the recordings on her Android, Carole sent them to herself and to Anita and Amy.¹¹ Candy was again excluded, as one of Carole's emails even confirms. Like the illegal phone recordings, Carl did not consent to these video recordings and even in his incredibly ill state, it was clear he was very uncomfortable with them. Also like the illegal phone recordings, these had never been provided to Carl despite his request for any video recordings in 2012. The properties on the files containing the emails indicate the files were all modified on March 18, 2015. It is inexcusable that these were ever made and that they have disclosed to others while being withheld from Carl since May, 2011, but where have they been since March 18, 2015?¹²

18. This Court must stop Carl's victimization at the hands of the Defendants, particularly those purportedly exercising fiduciary obligations to him. Carl requests that sworn affidavits be provided by Anita, Amy, and Carole specifying the date of every recording made within the categories requested, the party making the recording, the means of recording, the current location of all original recordings and all copies of all recordings, all parties to whom the contents of recordings have been disclosed, and all uses which have been made of the recordings. Carl also requests that all original recordings and all copies of those recordings be turned over to Carl's

¹¹ The recordings appear to have been made by Carole and distributed to Anita and Amy between May 23, 2011 and May 25, 2011. Carole received her transfer of 1325 shares of Exxon Mobile stock from Anita, acting as trustee, on June 15, 2011.

¹² And there has still been no production of the investigator's reports. Yet, as already stated, while withholding this and more, Anita filed a motion to compel Carl to do a better job of responding to requests for disclosure and to provide all of his information on the attorney's fees he had incurred, something which no one else in this case has been required to do.

counsel. Carl also requests that all copies which have been disclosed to any third parties, including the other counsel in this case, be retrieved and included in those materials turned over to Carl's counsel. Carl also requests that the identity of all investigators hired to follow Carl or Drina be provided, and that all investigator's reports be turned over. Carl also requests that Anita, Amy, and Carole be required to provide sworn testimony of their compliance and that they be prevented from using such recordings for any purpose, including in this proceeding.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Carl Brunsting asks for the relief sought herein and for such other and further relief to which he may be entitled.

Respectfully submitted,

BAYLESS & STOKES

By: /s/ Bobbie G. Bayless

Bobbie G. Bayless
State Bar No. 01940600
2931 Ferndale
Houston, Texas 77098
Telephone: (713) 522-2224
Telecopier: (713) 522-2218
bayless@baylessstokes.com

*Attorneys for Drina Brunsting, attorney-in-
fact for Carl Henry Brunsting*

CERTIFICATE OF CONFERENCE

Attempts to resolve these issues have thus far been unsuccessful. Discussions are continuing and the motion will be withdrawn if they are resolved.

/s/ Bobbie G. Bayless
BOBBIE G. BAYLESS

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing instrument was forwarded on the 20th day of July, 2015, as follows:

Bradley Featherston
1155 Dairy Ashford, Suite 104
Houston, Texas 77079
via Telecopier

Darlene Payne Smith
Lori A. Walsh
Crain, Caton & James, P.C.
1401 McKinney, 17th Floor
Houston, Texas 77010
via Telecopier

Stephen A. Mendel
Neal Spielman
1155 Dairy Ashford, Suite 300
Houston, Texas 77079
via Telecopier

Candace Curtis, *Pro Se*
218 Landana St.
American Canyon, California 94503
via Email

/s/ Bobbie G. Bayless
BOBBIE G. BAYLESS

07222015:0819:P0145

Exhibit 1

UNOFFICIAL COPY

BaylessStokes E-Mail

From: "Bernard Mathews" <texlawyer@gmail.com>
To: "Bobbie Bayless" <bayless@baylessstokes.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 11, 2012 2:27 PM
Subject: Producing available documents
Bobbie,

I am having my client go through your 30 page list to let me know what she has, what never existed, what may exist in someone else's control, etc.

To make my response to you easier, can you send me this list (documents to be produced by Anita Brunsting) in Wordperfect or Microsoft Word format?

I am also advised your client was sent a copy of the trust, and the qualified beneficiary designation. as well as an initial asset list in Excel format. Were these provided to you?

Chip Mathews

--

Bernard Lilse Mathews, III
Attorney at Law
Green & Mathews, LLP
14550 Torrey Chase Blvd., Suite 245
Houston, Texas 77014

(281) 580-8100
(281) 580-8104 (fax)

e-mail: texlawyer@gmail.com

The information contained in this communication is: (1) subject to attorney-client privilege; (2) attorney work product privilege; and/or (3) confidential. You are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, copying, or use or reliance on the information contained herein by anyone other than the recipient, and designated employees or agents, is unauthorized and strictly prohibited.

IRS CIRCULAR 230 DISCLOSURE: Tax advice contained in this communication (including any attachments) is neither intended nor written to be used, and cannot be used, to avoid penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or to promote, market or recommend to anyone a transaction or matter addressed in this communication.

0722015:0819:PO147

Exhibit 2

COPY

UNOFFICIAL

BaylessStokes E-Mail

From: "Bernard Mathews" <texlawyer@gmail.com>
To: "Bobbie Bayless" <bayless@baylessstokes.com>
Cc: "Anita Brunsting" <akbrunsting@suddenlink.net>; "Amy Brunsting" <at.home3@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 17, 2012 12:31 PM
Subject: Brunsting matter
Bobbie,

I have been advised that Anita Brunsting and Amy Brunsting are retaining new counsel. Thank you for your courtesies in this matter.

Chip Mathews

--
Bernard Lilse Mathews, III
Attorney at Law
Green & Mathews, LLP
14550 Torrey Chase Blvd., Suite 245
Houston, Texas 77014

(281) 580-8100
(281) 580-8104 (fax)

e-mail: texlawyer@gmail.com

The information contained in this communication is: (1) subject to attorney-client privilege; (2) attorney work product privilege; and/or (3) confidential. You are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, copying, or use or reliance on the information contained herein by anyone other than the recipient, and designated employees or agents, is unauthorized and strictly prohibited.

IRS CIRCULAR 230 DISCLOSURE: Tax advice contained in this communication (including any attachments) is neither intended nor written to be used, and cannot be used, to avoid penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or to promote, market or recommend to anyone a transaction or matter addressed in this communication.

0722015:0813:PO149

COPY

UNOFFICIAL

Exhibit 3

07222015:0918:PO15

WELCOME TO BEST BUY #216
HOUSTON, TX 77024
(713)647-6004

Keep your receipt!



Val #: 0422-1046-6045-3089

0216 003 2499 03/17/11 18:22 00005044

1792142 ICDPX312 59.99
ICDPX312 DIGITAL VOICE RECORD
ITEM TAX 4.95
6094193 RZ SILVER 0.00 M
REWARD ZONE PREMIER SILVER
MEMBER ID 0329918420

SUBTOTAL 59.99
SALES TAX AMOUNT 4.95
TOTAL 64.94

XXXXXXXXXXXX0307 DEBIT 64.94
FAUSTINO VAQUERA JR
APPROVAL 132943
REFERENCE NUMBER: 0216003

ALEX,
THANKS FOR SHOPPING AT BEST BUY TODAY!
YOUR REWARD ZONE BALANCE AS OF 03/08/11
POSTED POINTS: 153
Go to MyRZ.com FOR MORE INFO

Congratulations! As an added benefit of
being a Reward Zone program Premier
Silver member, you may return eligible
products up to 45 days from purchase date.

Dear Valued Customer,

To help keep your information secure, we have

directly or indirectly by the products listed on this receipt.

THE SHACK THANKS YOU.

RADIOSHACK 01-8020
Kroger Plaza Sq
14356 Memorial Dr
Houston, TX 77078-6704
(281) 486-9429

Order: 057553 03/17/2011 08:14P Term #002

Helped By: 001 (HAR)
Entered By: 001 (HAR)

4200223 3' 1/8' H-N PATCH CABLE 1 8.39

Subtotal 8.39
Tax 8.25 0.69
Total 9.08
Credit Card 9.08
Change Due 0.00

Acct# XXXXXXXXXXXX0307 H
Card Type VI
Trans 12887148
Auth# 161235 9.08
Host Captured Y

The card holder identified hereon may apply the total
amount shown on this receipt to the appropriate account
to be paid according to its current terms.

I agree to pay above total according to card issuer
agreement.

Your name, address and the original sales receipt are
required for all refunds. Sales and returns are
subject to the terms and conditions identified
on the back.

Shop online 24/7 at
www.radioshack.com

Brunsting004570

0722015:0813:P0152

UNOFFICIAL

Exhibit 4

COPY

0722015:0819:PM:59

From: Amy Tschirhart
To: Candy Curtis
Subject: Phone number
Date: Saturday, January 08, 2011 7:34:13 PM

Hi Candy,
Could you send me Owen's phone number? I wanted to ask him a question about private investigators.
Thanks,
Amy

P14660

07222015:0813:P0154

From: Carole Brunsting
To: Amy; Anita; Candace Curtis
Subject: Re: PI
Date: Thursday, February 03, 2011 3:57:21 PM

I drove by their house today on the way home and their plants were covered.

--- On **Thu, 2/3/11, Candace Curtis <occurtis@sbcglobal.net>** wrote:

From: Candace Curtis <occurtis@sbcglobal.net>
Subject: PI
To: "Amy" <at.home3@yahoo.com>, "Anita" <akbrunsting@suddenlink.net>, "Carole Brunsting" <cbrunsting@sbcglobal.net>
Date: Thursday, February 3, 2011, 9:56 AM

I think we should hire a PI to "find" Carl. If he's at home, he's got to come out sooner or later. If he's in a facility, Drina will have to come out to visit him sooner or later. The problem is, no one knows when these comings and goings will occur and it could cost a lot of money to find out. It's entirely possible that Drina has put him in a facility that is covered by insurance. This makes sense because there have been no requests for money and Carl hasn't called anyone but Mother since he left her house. In any event we have to find out what is going on. If he's in a rehabilitation facility we can celebrate, but she could have stuck him in a nursing home, where none of his needs will be addressed and they will keep him doped up all the time.

The more legwork we do, the cheaper the PI will be. Any ideas on how to find out when his therapy appointments are? If the PI can film him leaving the house and entering the facility we would be able to assess his physical condition and possibly his mental state. If he's in a facility somewhere the PI would have to catch her leaving the house on her way to visit him and if she leaves she could also be going shopping, etc. I would be willing to call rehab facilities if necessary.

I don't want to let too much more time lapse before we find out.

P14665

0722015:0913:10155

From: Anita Brunsting
To: "Candace Curtis"; "Amy"; "Carole Brunsting"
Subject: RE: Conference Call Info
Date: Tuesday, March 15, 2011 3:45:57 PM

I'm pretty open all week.

From: Candace Curtis [mailto:occurtis@sbcglobal.net]
Sent: Tuesday, March 15, 2011 3:10 PM
To: Amy; Anita; Carole Brunsting
Subject: Conference Call Info

I have a conference call account here at work that I am allowed to use for personal business. We can schedule a time to talk and catch up on what's happening. This saves numerous text messages, phone calls, and emails.

Call 1-866-212-0875 and when it asks you for the "participant" pass code enter 132003#

Maybe we can try it towards the end of the week or on the weekend, after someone gets an activity report from the PI.

Love you guys,

C

P14675

07222015:0013:PO155

From: Carole Brunsting
To: Anita Brunsting; Amy Tschirhart; Candace Curtis
Subject: Re: guardianship assessment form
Date: Friday, March 18, 2011 8:40:41 AM

They are there right now according to the PI. And Michael took him on Wednesday.

--- On **Fri, 3/18/11, Candace Curtis <occurtis@sbcglobal.net>** wrote:

From: Candace Curtis <occurtis@sbcglobal.net>
Subject: Re: guardianship assessment form
To: "Anita Brunsting" <akbrunsting@suddenlink.net>, "Carole Brunsting" <cbrunsting@sbcglobal.net>, "Amy Tschirhart" <at.home3@yahoo.com>
Date: Friday, March 18, 2011, 10:33 AM

Do you know if he went to therapy at all this week?

From: Anita Brunsting <akbrunsting@suddenlink.net>
To: Candace Curtis <occurtis@sbcglobal.net>; Carole Brunsting <cbrunsting@sbcglobal.net>; Amy Tschirhart <at.home3@yahoo.com>
Sent: Fri, March 18, 2011 8:26:05 AM
Subject: RE: guardianship assessment form

we're continuing the pi over the weekend or unless it looks like she's headed toward Beaumont - will also use him through next week. \$750 is for the lawyer's (Cole) initial consult not a dr. If she divorces him then someone needs to sue for guardianship - Marta would be considered next in line by the law, but if she doesn't sue for it then I don't think she'd be considered. If Drina gets him to sign divorce papers that give him any less than 50% of their assets then a guardian can countersue her to recover those.

From: Candace Curtis [mailto:occurtis@sbcglobal.net]
Sent: Friday, March 18, 2011 10:20 AM
To: Anita Brunsting; Carole Brunsting; Amy Tschirhart
Subject: Re: guardianship assessment form

\$750 an hour FOR WHAT? The woman is abusing him and negligent in his care. Have they been out even one time this week? Last I heard, Monday and Tuesday there was no activity other than a visit from Marta. APS said that once they confirmed she was following doctor's orders, they closed the case. If the instructions were 3 times a week and he hasn't been, or only goes once or twice, SHE IS NEGLIGENT, and they better reopen it or start a new one. Let me know if you want me to call.

Any doctor who has seen Carl would most likely say NO to all of the questions. I would, just based on past phone conversations with Carl.

What if Drina files for divorce? Would that be abandonment? Would the trust even be an issue if SHE divorces him?

If I could have anything I wanted for Carl, I would have him assessed by the neuropsychologists at the place I found in Houston. I don't know if he could handle long periods of testing, but he has got to get some cognitive brain function back OR HE WILL NEVER EVEN BECOME CLOSE TO WHOLE AGAIN. It's a good sign that his

P14679

0722205:009:PO157

behavior has improved, but is it because she beats him with a stick and mentally assaults him to get him to act right?

Maybe guardianship is the wrong approach. Maybe we should go after Drina and have her declared incompetent to care for him, or criminally negligent for not obtaining proper rehabilitation. There has to be a reason why she doesn't want her husband of almost 30 years to recover.

Let me know if he will be staying at Mother's again over the weekend. If so, we might want to extend the PI over the weekend so we can see what the hell she does. The more "evidence" we can amass, the better.

Love you guys,

C

From: Anita Brunsting <akbrunsting@suddenlink.net>
To: Carole Brunsting <cbrunsting@sbcglobal.net>; Candace Curtis <occurtis@sbcglobal.net>; Amy Tschirhart <at.home3@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thu, March 17, 2011 2:18:05 PM
Subject: guardianship assessment form

Just thought you'd find this interesting, this is the form that we'd have to have a physician use to assess Carl and possible a MHMR psychologist as well. I just thought it would give you an idea as to what they're looking for - Carl definitely fits the bill -

Just fyi, you may have already known this.

Anita

P14680

0722015:0613:PO159

Exhibit 5

UNOFFICIAL COPY

0722015:0819:PO158

From: Carole Brunsting
To: Anita Brunsting; Amy Tschirhart; occurtis@sbcglobal.net
Subject: Re: Carl's medical bills
Date: Tuesday, August 17, 2010 7:37:48 PM

<http://www.nytimes.com/2009/03/14/health/14patient.html>

Another good article about negotiating down the bill. Drina needs to treat this as if the trust money does not factor in. It should be months before she sits down to make even one payment.

--- On **Tue, 8/17/10, Carole Brunsting <cbrunsting@sbcglobal.net>** wrote:

From: Carole Brunsting <cbrunsting@sbcglobal.net>
Subject: Re: Carl's medical bills
To: "Anita Brunsting" <akbrunsting@suddenlink.net>, "Amy Tschirhart" <at.home3@yahoo.com>, occurtis@sbcglobal.net
Date: Tuesday, August 17, 2010, 9:24 PM

<http://www.creditcards.com/credit-card-news/medical-bill-payment-tips-1266.php>

Here is another good article. Why can't she follow this and set up a payment plan and then Mother can help with the monthly payments rather than yank thousands out of the account. I don't think Drina has done any of the steps this article talks about. She should tell the hospital that they cannot pay since Carl is not working and don't even mention the trust.

--- On **Tue, 8/17/10, Carole Brunsting <cbrunsting@sbcglobal.net>** wrote:

From: Carole Brunsting <cbrunsting@sbcglobal.net>
Subject: Carl's medical bills
To: "Anita Brunsting" <akbrunsting@suddenlink.net>, "Amy Tschirhart" <at.home3@yahoo.com>, occurtis@sbcglobal.net
Date: Tuesday, August 17, 2010, 9:08 PM

<http://www.hcvadvocate.org/hepatitis/hepC/GMYHI.html>

According to this Drina may have already reached her out of pocket and may be paying to much already.

This is a good article.

P14251

07222015:0013:PO160

From: Amy Tschirhart
To: Anita Brunsting; Candy Curtis; Carole Brunsting
Subject: Re: CPA's advice
Date: Wednesday, August 18, 2010 1:48:01 PM

Hi,
I just talked to Drina. She is tired of hearing advice (even if it is helpful and right). Basically she's just overwhelmed right now. Her nerves are raw and anything any of us say to her right now is just going to set her off. I suggest just leaving her alone for a little while. The bills aren't going to go away and she will eventually have to deal with them. She may be more receptive to listening then or she might figure out the solution on her own.

Amy

--- On **Wed, 8/18/10**, Carole Brunsting <cbrunsting@sbcglobal.net> wrote:

From: Carole Brunsting <cbrunsting@sbcglobal.net>
Subject: Re: CPA's advice
To: "Anita Brunsting" <akbrunsting@suddenlink.net>, "Candy Curtis" <occurtis@sbcglobal.net>, "Amy Tschirhart" <at.home3@yahoo.com>
Date: Wednesday, August 18, 2010, 1:36 PM

That is exactly what the articles I sent last night said. Your credit score is only affected "IF" you stop making payments in the event you have a payment plan set up. Also, it said that she needs to negotiate discounted rates based on their financial statements and leave the trust out of it. Like Candy said, don't even mention the trust. From everything I have read, she can either get some of this written off OR get it discounted and set up a payment plan. If she and Carl cannot make ends meet in the future, then they consider a loan against his inheritance.

I am finding that with GOOGLE, you don't really need doctors or CPA's because all the diagnostic information is online along with accounting advice.

Knowing I am being petty with this statement, why is her father's advice correct and mother is not a straight shooter. Mother was shocked because she did not think the time was right to pay bills, but could not convey that message to Drina.

I grow tired of always being "wrong" in the eyes of Carl and Drina. Again, being petty but then I am just petty. So there.

--- On **Wed, 8/18/10**, Amy Tschirhart <at.home3@yahoo.com> wrote:

From: Amy Tschirhart <at.home3@yahoo.com>
Subject: CPA's advice
To: "Anita Brunsting" <akbrunsting@suddenlink.net>, "Carole Brunsting" <cbrunsting@sbcglobal.net>, "Candy Curtis" <occurtis@sbcglobal.net>

P14252

07222015:0913:PO161

Date: Wednesday, August 18, 2010, 2:57 PM

Hi,

I talked to the CPA who does my taxes today and asked her what she would recommend. She told me that Drina should talk to an attorney who specializes in debt created by medical bills. Medical bill debt is treated differently than other debt. I did a quick check on the internet and there are several in Houston.

She said that creditors cannot touch Drina's house or cars. She also recommended not paying any of the medical bills right now. She said to wait until the dust settles, then talk with each company about a payment plan, possibly as little as \$10 a month. She told me that in all likelihood, they would eventually write off her debt as a loss. She said Drina should definitely not touch any retirement or inheritance, or borrow anything against them.

I called Drina today and told her what Darlene said. She said her father had been telling her the same things. I tried to emphasize that she should not be paying any bills right now, but I don't know if she really understood why. She is overly concerned with her credit score rating. Darlene said that is not that important because they own their house and cars and are not as reliant on credit compared to younger people.

Anyhow, I know that Drina is in a hard spot right now, but I honestly think that keeping her from accessing **any** of Carl's inheritance would be in her best interest. It would be a waste to spend it on medical bills and they will need the money in the future. I don't think that is going to sit well with Drina because she's going to see it as us being tight-fisted with the money. I strongly suggest that if any of us talk to her, we do it as nicely as we can. Acknowledge that the debt is so huge it is unpayable in her lifetime. Encourage her to seek a professional to find the best way to deal with it. Remind her that we want the best for her and Carl in their future and that we are thinking of their best interests.

Love,
Amy

P14253

0722015:0919:PO162

From: Carole Brunsting
To: Candace Curtis
Subject: Re: CPA's advice
Date: Wednesday, August 18, 2010 2:18:39 PM

Your so funny! ☺

--- On **Wed, 8/18/10, Candace Curtis <occurtis@sbcglobal.net>** wrote:

From: Candace Curtis <occurtis@sbcglobal.net>
Subject: Re: CPA's advice
To: "Anita Brunsting" <akbrunsting@suddenlink.net>, "Carole Brunsting" <Cbrunsting@sbcglobal.net>, "Amy Tschirhart" <at.home3@yahoo.com>
Date: Wednesday, August 18, 2010, 3:49 PM

Just as I said in my email. I also said DO NOT consult an attorney. It's throwing good money away because you have just received free advice and passed it along to her. So, that's what you told her, that's what I told her, and that's what her Dad told her. Is she going to ignore all of us? Drina needs to get her shit together now or she'll be sorry. Carl can come and live with us when she runs off.

--- On **Wed, 8/18/10, Amy Tschirhart <at.home3@yahoo.com>** wrote:

From: Amy Tschirhart <at.home3@yahoo.com>
Subject: CPA's advice
To: "Anita Brunsting" <akbrunsting@suddenlink.net>, "Carole Brunsting" <Cbrunsting@sbcglobal.net>, "Candy Curtis" <occurtis@sbcglobal.net>
Date: Wednesday, August 18, 2010, 12:57 PM

Hi,

I talked to the CPA who does my taxes today and asked her what she would recommend. She told me that Drina should talk to an attorney who specializes in debt created by medical bills. Medical bill debt is treated differently than other debt. I did a quick check on the Internet and there are several in Houston.

She said that creditors cannot touch Drina's house or cars. She also recommended not paying any of the medical bills right now. She said to wait until the dust settles, then talk with each company about a payment plan, possibly as little as \$10 a month. She told me that in all likelihood, they would eventually write off her debt as a loss. She said Drina should definitely not touch any retirement or inheritance, or borrow anything against them.

I called Drina today and told her what Darlene said. She said her father had been telling her the same things. I tried to emphasize that she should not be paying any bills right now, but I don't know if she

P14254

07222015:0618:PO169

really understood why. She is overly concerned with her credit score rating. Darlene said that is not that important because they own their house and cars and are not as reliant on credit compared to younger people.

Anyhow, I know that Drina is in a hard spot right now, but I honestly think that keeping her from accessing **any** of Carl's inheritance would be in her best interest. It would be a waste to spend it on medical bills and they will need the money in the future. I don't think that is going to sit well with Drina because she's going to see it as us being tight-fisted with the money. I strongly suggest that if any of us talk to her, we do it as nicely as we can. Acknowledge that the debt is so huge it is unpayable in her lifetime. Encourage her to seek a professional to find the best way to deal with it. Remind her that we want the best for her and Carl in their future and that we are thinking of their best interests.

Love,
Amy

UNOFFICIAL COPY

P14255

0722015:0813:P0164

From: Anita Brunsting
To: "Candace Curtis"
Subject: RE: CPA's advice
Date: Wednesday, August 18, 2010 8:00:01 PM

You couldn't invent a better helpless, narcissistic, stupidly rude character than her. I guess this is why they say "truth is stranger than fiction" I've already been inventing scenarios inside my head that I think she'd be capable of. Maybe we can write a novel about this and make a million dollars when we sell the movie rights :-)

Love, Anita

From: Candace Curtis [mailto:occurtis@sbcglobal.net]
Sent: Wednesday, August 18, 2010 5:39 PM
To: Anita Brunsting
Subject: RE: CPA's advice

My ears are burning already. LOL If she gets pissed off it will just confirm my opinion that she's a stupid twit who only cares about herself. I know I read too much fiction, but I can imagine her keeping Carl in diapers so she doesn't have to wipe his butt.

Love you lots,

Candy

--- On **Wed, 8/18/10, Anita Brunsting <akbrunsting@suddenlink.net>** wrote:

From: Anita Brunsting <akbrunsting@suddenlink.net>
Subject: RE: CPA's advice
To: "Candace Curtis" <occurtis@sbcglobal.net>
Date: Wednesday, August 18, 2010, 2:48 PM

I agree w/ everything you said. I also like your e-mail to Drina - I hope it really pisses her off.

From: Candace Curtis [mailto:occurtis@sbcglobal.net]
Sent: Wednesday, August 18, 2010 4:20 PM
To: Amy Tschirhart; Anita; Carole Brunsting
Subject: Re: CPA's advice

Drina needs to get a grip. She's overwhelmed with what??? Advice??? We're all saying the same thing. That hole in the sand is just getting deeper. She needs to face reality right now. She should be overjoyed at any little progress from Carl. That joy should mutate into action to secure their future. Her nerves are raw because she refuses to face reality. The four of us have worked our entire lives. We're all single and have had to rebuild our lives and change our values in numerous ways. I don't know about you guys but there have been times when I just wanted to crawl into bed, curl up into fetal position, and sleep forever. If it's not one thing it's another. These times have only made me stronger. I have not been

P14256

07222015:09:19:10165

subjected to any calls from Drina trying to get money from Mother for medical bills. She needs to humble herself a little and tell the truth. I still would not have any sympathy for her, but it would make it easier for me to accept yours.

--- On **Wed, 8/18/10, Amy Tschirhart <at.home3@yahoo.com>** wrote:

From: Amy Tschirhart <at.home3@yahoo.com>
Subject: Re: CPA's advice
To: "Anita Brunsting" <akbrunsting@suddenlink.net>, "Candy Curtis" <occurtis@sbcglobal.net>, "Carole Brunsting" <cbrunsting@sbcglobal.net>
Date: Wednesday, August 18, 2010, 1:47 PM

Hi,
I just talked to Drina. She is tired of hearing advice (even if it is helpful and right). Basically she's just overwhelmed right now. Her nerves are raw and anything any of us say to her right now is just going to set her off. I suggest just leaving her alone for a little while. The bills aren't going to go away and she will eventually have to deal with them. She may be more receptive to listening then or she might figure out the solution on her own.

Amy

--- On **Wed, 8/18/10, Carole Brunsting <cbrunsting@sbcglobal.net>** wrote:

From: Carole Brunsting <cbrunsting@sbcglobal.net>
Subject: Re: CPA's advice
To: "Anita Brunsting" <akbrunsting@suddenlink.net>, "Candy Curtis" <occurtis@sbcglobal.net>, "Amy Tschirhart" <at.home3@yahoo.com>
Date: Wednesday, August 18, 2010, 1:36 PM

That is exactly what the articles I sent last night said. Your credit score is only affected "IF" you stop making payments in the event you have a payment plan set up. Also, it said that she needs to negotiate discounted rates based on their financial statements and leave the trust out of it. Like Candy said, don't even mention the trust. From everything I have read, she can either get some of this written off OR get it discounted and set up a payment plan. If she and Carl cannot make ends meet in the future, then they consider a loan against his inheritance.

I am finding that with GOOGLE, you don't really need doctors or CPA's because all the diagnostic information is online along with accounting advice.

P14257

Knowing I am being petty with this statement, why is her father's advice correct and mother is not a straight shooter. Mother was shocked because she did not think the time was right to pay bills, but could not convey that message to Drina.

I grow tired of always being "wrong" in the eyes of Carl and Drina. Again, being petty but then I am just petty. So there.

--- On **Wed, 8/18/10, Amy Tschirhart**
<at.home3@yahoo.com> wrote:

From: Amy Tschirhart <at.home3@yahoo.com>
Subject: CPA's advice
To: "Anita Brunsting" <akbrunsting@suddenlink.net>,
"Carole Brunsting" <Cbrunsting@sbcglobal.net>,
"Candy Curtis" <occurtis@sbcglobal.net>
Date: Wednesday, August 18, 2010, 2:57 PM

Hi,

I talked to the CPA who does my taxes today and asked her what she would recommend. She told me that Drina should talk to an attorney who specializes in debt created by medical bills. Medical bill debt is treated differently than other debt. I did a quick check on the Internet and there are several in Houston.

She said that creditors cannot touch Drina's house or cars. She also recommended not paying any of the medical bills right now. She said to wait until the dust settles, then talk with each company about a payment plan, possibly as little as \$10 a month. She told me that in all likelihood, they would eventually write off her debt as a loss. She said Drina should definitely not touch any retirement or inheritance, or borrow anything against them.

I called Drina today and told her what Darlene said. She said her father had been telling her the same things. I tried to emphasize that she should not be paying any bills right now, but I don't know if she really understood why. She is overly concerned with her credit score rating. Darlene said that is not that important because they own their house and cars and are not as reliant on credit compared to younger people.

Anyhow, I know that Drina is in a hard spot right now, but I honestly think that keeping her from accessing **any** of Carl's inheritance would be in her best interest. It would be a waste to spend it on medical bills and they will need the money in the future. I don't think

0722015:0919:PO167

that is going to sit well with Drina because she's going to see it as us being tight-fisted with the money. I strongly suggest that if any of us talk to her, we do it as nicely as we can. Acknowledge that the debt is so huge it is unpayable in her lifetime. Encourage her to seek a professional to find the best way to deal with it. Remind her that we want the best for her and Carl in their future and that we are thinking of their best interests.

Love,
Amy

UNOFFICIAL COPY

P14259

07222015:09:13:10168

From: Amy Tschirhart
To: Candy Curtis
Subject: Info
Date: Friday, March 04, 2011 7:47:04 PM

Hi Candy,
I spoke with Anita about talking to Drina. She is going to work on getting me some numbers so I know what amount is available for Carl. We want to ask Drina to provide us with some documentation of their finances before we decide on what amount she could have on an annual basis. Could you help us make a list of what we need to ask her for?

I was doing some rough calculations. Carl is 53 right now. If he lives to be 85, that is 32 years. If he inherits \$300,000, he could receive \$1386 per month assuming that the account earned an average of 4% per year. That is no where near what Drina is expecting to get.

Call me if you have any questions or comments. Thanks.
Love, Amy

P14668

07222015:0019:P0169

From: Amy Tschirhart
To: Candy Curtis; Carole Brunsting
Subject: Number crunching
Date: Friday, March 04, 2011 8:06:02 PM

Hi Candy and Carole,
We are trying to determine the amount of money that Carl can withdraw from the trust. It's hard to determine this since there are so many variables - we don't know how much Mom is going to need for her care, we can't predict the future value of assets, etc. I did some rough number crunching, just so we'd have some place to start. I sent these numbers to Anita and I thought you might have some input about them as well.

If we assume that Carl inherits \$300,000, he lives to be 85 (32 more years), and the account earns an average of 4% interest over the lifetime of the fund, Carl could withdraw \$1386 per month and have enough money to last.

If it were possible for him to inherit \$500,000, he could withdraw \$2310 per month for the rest of his life.

Just to put things in perspective, to receive the \$4000 per month that Drina says they need, he would have to inherit \$865,600, which is not possible. I know if I try to explain this to Drina it will be like talking to a brick wall. It will never be possible to give her the amounts that she wants.

Amy

UNOFFICIAL COPY

P14669

07222015:0919:PO170

From: Amy Tschirhart
To: "Candace Curtis"; "Carole Brunsting"; Anita Brunsting
Subject: RE: New Development
Date: Tuesday, March 08, 2011 9:47:30 PM

I think we need to send two separate letters. One to Drina telling her she can't come in to Mom's house or contact her by phone or email, and the other to Carl regarding money from the trust. The letters deal with different issues and should be directed to the specific person.

I'm trying to think of some verbage for Carl's letter. This is all I have so far:
"If you would like to borrow money against your inheritance to pay for your living expenses and medical care, you may borrow up to \$13,000 per year. To receive the funds, submit bills you would like paid from the trust funds to Anita. She will pay them up to the amount of \$13,000 per year. Please sign the enclosed document indicating that you understand the amount you borrow will be deducted from any possible inheritance you may be awarded in the future."

--- On Tue, 3/8/11, Anita Brunsting <akbrunsting@suddenlink.net> wrote:

From: Anita Brunsting <akbrunsting@suddenlink.net>
Subject: RE: New Development
To: "Candace Curtis" <occurtis@sbcglobal.net>, "Amy"
<at.home3@yahoo.com>, "Carole Brunsting" <cbrunsting@sbcglobal.net>
Date: Tuesday, March 8, 2011, 7:15 PM

I got the same TM from Tino. I hesitate to promise them anything in writing about money. Rather than a monthly payment, I would rather grant them a certain amount each year, but only through the direct payment of their bills - for example; mom could gift Carl \$13,000/year, but only if they send me the bill statements to pay directly, and only for bills for living/medical expenses - when the trust has paid \$13,000 in bills for the year, that's the end of the money for that year. We could ask them to sign for this money against his inheritance, but then we'd have another form that we'd have to get them to sign (probably notarized), and as we don't know if she's had Carl declared incompetent, the validity of any form he signs might be questionable.

I do like the idea of a letter telling Drina that she may have no contact w/ mom (physical, verbal, visual, phone or electronic means) and she is not to enter mom's house. She can bring Carl to visit mom, but she must remain outside the house - any violation of this letter will be considered harassment and the police will be called if she does not comply. I would also like to add in the letter that Carl's inheritance will be put into a Personal Asset Trust for his care and living expenses - I think this information might be enough to tip her hand.

I would also like to ask Candace, what this letter would do for us legally - like if we did end up calling the police would the letter lend any credence to our case?

P14670

07222015:0919:2017

I won't do anything until we can come upon an agreement as what to do - I can also write this letter in the role of mom's power of attorney (which she signed last year).

I spoke w/ mom about the whole situation; she listens to reason and can understand our concerns for Carl, and will sign the changes to the trust next week. I have been very forthright in explaining the changes in the trust to her, and that they would be done in order to minimize any pathway that Drina might have to Carl's money. The changes are not to penalize Carl, but to ensure the money goes for his care. I told her to "just say No" to Carl or Drina if they brought up the trust or money and to refer them to me. I reminded her that she isn't trustee anymore and doesn't have access to the trust accounts - she seems fine w/ everything, and expressed no desire to put Carl back on as a trustee. I told her that in the event she did that, that it would not be fair to the rest of us, as we would end up having to deal w/ Drina, not Carl. Mom begrudgingly admits to knowledge of the unpleasantness of this whole situation and Drina's past behavior since Carl has been ill, but I think she is really naive regarding the lengths to which Drina may go through to get Carl's inheritance.

From: Candace Curtis [mailto:occurtis@sbcglobal.net]
Sent: Tuesday, March 08, 2011 5:31 PM
To: Amy; Anita; Carole Brunsting
Subject: New Development

Hi All --

I'm sure you've heard by now about today's incident. I received a TM from Tino who said Carl and Drina went over to Mother's today and that I should call Robert, so I did. As we were talking he kept remembering things that had been said. I told him to write that stuff down because it was all important and all of us need to know what was said.

The time has come to deliver the news to Carl and Drina. Please put your heads together and quickly calculate what CARL would receive monthly for the next 30 years. Since you cannot be sure they receive the information if you email it, and you cannot reason with the moron on the phone, certified mail return receipt requested is the best way to send the information. Put in your correspondence that the first check will be sent on such-and-such date. You can offer to automatically put the \$\$\$ into their account each month.

It's that simple. Once that is done we can all wash our hands of it and just wait and see how it plays out.

I know we would all like to have some visitation and/or phone privileges, but, frankly, the only condition should be that they have to stay away from Mother. I suspect she might actually run off when she realizes that she will have to make some major changes. She probably tells Carl that she WILL

P14671

07222015:0819:PO172

leave if he can't get his money, causing extreme duress and mental stress. The bitch told Mother that Carl worries about the money all of the time, day and night. I still don't think he has regained much cognitive reasoning, so whatever he worries about and says must come from her. We can continue to hope that one day Carl will recover some of his brain power. Maybe he'll wake up one morning and she'll say something hateful about his family and that will be it. Bye, Bye Drina.

I understand Mother has actually gone without her oxygen bottle attached for a couple of hours here and there. Robert said she was a little upset after the visit. This breaks my heart. She said something to Robert about never having children. It is unfair to Mother to even allow Drina in her home. If there's a next time Robert or Tino should make her wait in the car.

After you have written the letter to Carl (I wouldn't even put Drina's name on it), one, two, or all of you should meet at Mother's to show her the letter before Carl and Drina have a chance to start harassing her over the contents of the letter. It's really not an option for Carl to submit bills to be paid and Mother has spent enough of her own money on his care already. Just give him his monthly stipend and let them worry about how they are going to live within their means. It is what it is.

Call me with your thoughts. ...

Love you all,

C

P14672

07222015:0818:PO179

Exhibit 6

UNOFFICIAL COPY

07222015:0813:P0174

From: Carole Brunsting
To: Candace Curtis
Subject: Re: APS
Date: Thursday, January 20, 2011 7:10:10 PM

Carl called Mother tonight, but you probably already know that.

--- On Thu, 1/20/11, Candace Curtis <occurtis@sbcglobal.net> wrote:

From: Candace Curtis <occurtis@sbcglobal.net>
Subject: APS
To: fvaquera@hotmail.com, r.cantu1970@gmail.com, "Amy" <at.home3@yahoo.com>, "Anita" <akbrunsting@suddenlink.net>, "Carole Brunsting" <cbrunsting@sbcglobal.net>
Date: Thursday, January 20, 2011, 10:38 AM

All --

I called Tuy at APS just now. I asked him to go to Carl's house and see with his own eyes that Carl is okay and not cowering in a corner with a dirty diaper. He was unaware that Carl had gone home last Friday, although I called him last Friday and told him. He keeps reiterating that he has talked with everyone and does not see any sign of medical neglect. I explained that another concern is that he is being abused in other ways, since no one has heard from him in almost a week. He has agreed to go to their house, although he would not give me a date certain. I'm going to call him again towards the middle of next week. If he has not gone over, and we still have not had any type of contact from Carl or Drina, I will escalate this to the next level and speak to Tuy's supervisor.

Later,

C

P14664

07222015:0819:40175

From: Carole Brunsting
To: Amy; Anita; Candace Curtis
Subject: Re: Newest Developments
Date: Thursday, March 10, 2011 1:40:44 PM

After I get off work I am going to pick up Tino and go over to Carl's and see if I can get him out of there.

--- On Thu, 3/10/11, Candace Curtis <occurtis@sbcglobal.net> wrote:

From: Candace Curtis <occurtis@sbcglobal.net>
Subject: Newest Developments
To: "Amy" <at.home3@yahoo.com>, "Anita" <akbrunsting@suddenlink.net>, "Carole Brunsting" <cbrunsting@sbcglobal.net>
Date: Thursday, March 10, 2011, 1:04 PM

All --

Please let me know if and when you want me to do something. I will call APS if you think it is appropriate. I guess we're all just waiting to see what happens. In some ways it might have been good to have the PI there when they leave the house to go to the doctor. If she's beating him and screeching at him all the way to the car, etc. we would have it on film to support our case. Maybe we can work this out to finally take over as Carl's guardian(s). Then, if she walks, we can get them divorced due to abandonment and she is out of the picture entirely. If he has enough brain function to call Mother a second time and ask for Marta's number, the light bulbs may be starting to come on in his head. My heart is breaking for him all over again.

P14673

07222015:0913:PO176

From: Anita Brunsting
To: "Amy Tschirhart"
Cc: "Carole Brunsting"; "Candace Curtis"
Subject: RE: Attorneys
Date: Thursday, March 17, 2011 8:58:52 AM

Talked to Warren Cole's firm ; am waiting on a call back from Burgower and Bosker (the one Vacek gave me).

Cole's rates: initial consult: \$750, hourly rate \$500. He's been practicing for over 35 years. I talked to an associate and after I explained our situation, she said very confidently that he's seen everything and that this case would not be unusual to him. She even started rambling off some procedures that we'd probably have to start w/ - so I felt pretty good about this one - just don't know about the costs.

I've looked all of them up on the Internet, Bosker's only been practicing a little over 10 years, and he's not board cert in anything, so not sure about him - though his firm is family law.

Burgower looks good; she's been practicing since 1985 - family law; teaches at South Texas College of Law ; but I'm waiting on a call back.

From: Amy Tschirhart [mailto:at.home3@yahoo.com]
Sent: Wednesday, March 16, 2011 4:24 PM
To: Anita Brunsting
Subject: Attorneys

Hi Anita,
Here are the two names my attorney gave me. Both are certified Family Law Specialists.
Warren Cole 713-275-4444
Wendy Burgower 713-529-3982

Ken suggested calling Warren first. Is Carol going to contact the attorney or do you want me to? If I do it, I need to know when Carol is available to meet with him. I also would like a list of question from everyone so I can cover all the bases. If you or Carol contacts the attorney, please tell them that Ken Brazle (pronounced Brazz-ul) referred us to him.
Thanks,
Amy

P14676

22101:6100:5102220

From: Anita Brunsting
To: "Amy Tschirhart"; "Carole Brunsting"; "Candace Curtis"
Subject: more on attys and guardianship
Date: Thursday, March 17, 2011 2:13:29 PM

<http://www.texasguardianship.org/guardianship.html>

The link above is a good source of info if you haven't seen it yet.

I talked w/ Bret Bosker and he said we needed someone w/ experience in Probate Code, because Probate Court is where guardianship will be argued/decided. I gave him the 2 names of the attys Amy gave and he said they're both excellent (and expensive), but he said he was not sure as to their experience w/ guardianships/probate, but he said we could talk to them about that. He was also going to see if he could find me the name of an atty w/ direct probate experience. So it looks like we have to do 2 steps, 1st get guardianship and 2nd do the divorce if it comes to that. Unfortunately, if you read the website, the spouse is considered 1st in line for guardianship which is why it could be messy to sue for guardianship while they're still married. However, if she plans on divorcing him, then next of kin would be in line and that would be Marta - I think we could talk her out of it as she has expressed no interest in taking care of her father - so after Marta, it would be one of us.

I did tell him that everyone says getting guardianship is expensive, and I asked him "how much is considered expensive" \$50,000 or a million? He said he couldn't answer that, but that the atty we went with should be able to give us an idea.

Still waiting to hear back from Cole and Burgower.

Anita

P14677

07222015:0819:01022220

From: Carole Brunsting
To: Anita Brunsting; Amy Tschirhart; Candace Curtis
Subject: Re: atty for guardianship
Date: Friday, March 18, 2011 11:58:47 AM

I think that Drina has always projected her own family issues onto ours. She was completely distanced from her own family until a year ago when her brother passed away and now she talks about the relationship with her dad like they have been close forever which has not been the case.

She must have had some very bad things happen to her in her childhood and slowly but surely she twisted Carl's mind to go along with everything she did and said. I think you are right that this will have to play itself out to see what she does. She has been waiting for the day she and Carl get the "big" trust payout and then it will be see you later chumps!

--- On **Fri, 3/18/11, Candace Curtis <occurtis@sbcglobal.net>** wrote:

From: Candace Curtis <occurtis@sbcglobal.net>
Subject: Re: atty for guardianship
To: "Anita Brunsting" <akbrunsting@suddenlink.net>, "Carole Brunsting" <cbrunsting@sbcglobal.net>, "Amy Tschirhart" <at.home3@yahoo.com>
Date: Friday, March 18, 2011, 1:49 PM

The Brunsting family has never been very demonstrative of their love for one another, but I chalk that up to being Dutch. What I cannot seem to wrap my arms around is the extreme coldness of Drina and Marta. They have always been limp when hugged and hugging is one of the best things in the world. One power hug and all my cares fly out the window. I believe it must be a genetic brain chemical imbalance in Drina's family. She has spent her life with Carl trying to distance HIM from his family and turn him into a cold fish like her. How did she ever get pregnant in the first place? Maybe we should try to get some DNA from Marta and Carl and do a paternity test. Wouldn't it be something if he wasn't her father?????????
LOL

Frankly, as long as the trust is safe, we should probably just let nature take its course and sooner or later we will get Carl out of their clutches and into ours. He might be pissed off for awhile, but I have some small faith that once he can reason better he will see that we only seek what is best for him in the long run BECAUSE WE LOVE HIM. Once he is able to reason and be reasoned with, and has regained some control of his life, if he chooses to go back to his moron wife and their moron spawn, I will mourn him as if he were dead. Until such time I will assume that, somehow, at some point in his recovery, he will realize how miserable the bitch has made his life. He might see that all she has ever cared about is money and how to avoid having to go out and earn some.

If asked, Carl would probably say no to coming out here to live with us, even though it might be the very best thing for him. He should never feel like he has been "dumped" on anyone. I think he would have a lot more stimulation out here. He does love the Bay Area and after a short time he might gain some real incentive to

P14681

0722205:09:19:27

get well.

From: Anita Brunsting <akbrunsting@suddenlink.net>
To: Carole Brunsting <cbrunsting@sbcglobal.net>; Candace Curtis <occurtis@sbcglobal.net>; Amy Tschirhart <at.home3@yahoo.com>
Sent: Fri, March 18, 2011 8:59:24 AM
Subject: atty for guardianship

Ok, I think I may have found an atty who could handle the guardianship issue. She was recommended to me by the Burgower firm that Amy's lawyer had given her - the Burgower firm does not do guardianship cases. This atty's name is Ellen Yarrell; her offices are in the Galleria area; she charges an initial consult fee of \$350 for 1 hr of her time, and probably requires a retainer of \$2000. Her paralegal (Elizabeth) said that she's handled cases like this before (where an impaired person has been divorced by their spouse). I asked about the expense and she said that Yarrell could give us a better idea after the consult and it depends on whether the guardianship would be contested (so that depends on whether we fight Drina now, or wait to see if she'll divorce him and then we're facing Marta (if she pursues it)). I got the feeling that "expensive" meant more like \$50,000 not \$1 million.

I thought of another plus on our side if Drina divorces him - Drina will probably expect him to come live w/ mother - so if he's w/ us and not his daughter that lends more credence to our side for guardianship (possession is 9/10's of the law?).

I also talked to mom last night and told her what was going on. I asked her if she was ok w/ using her money to pay for Carl's legal fees and of course she said yes.

P14682

07222015:0819:10180

From: Carole Brunsting
To: Amy; Anita; Candace Curtis
Subject: Re: Mentis
Date: Wednesday, April 27, 2011 7:24:00 PM

Drina confirmed that Carl is supposed to be going there on May 11th or 12th. Shamika took him to the driving range yesterday and he hit a bucket of balls and seemed to enjoy it. Tino and I may take him golfing on Friday. Carl is still not sleeping more than a few hours each night so maybe this place can fix that for him.

--- On **Wed, 4/27/11, Candace Curtis <occurtis@sbcglobal.net>** wrote:

From: Candace Curtis <occurtis@sbcglobal.net>
Subject: Mentis
To: "Amy" <at.home3@yahoo.com>, "Anita" <akbrunsting@suddenlink.net>, "Carole Brunsting" <cbrunsting@sbcglobal.net>
Date: Wednesday, April 27, 2011, 1:14 PM

All --

I just finished reading the Mentis information on their website. WHAT A WONDERFUL PLACE. Reading about it brought tears to my eyes. This is the type of facility we have all been pushing for since Carl got out of TIRR. Carole, you even suggested that this is where TIRR recommended Carl go when he left there. If this is true, Drina did not and is NOT following orders. If that moron had hooked up with these people from the get go, she wouldn't be experiencing the meltdowns and Carl might be halfway to good as new. It would have saved the tremendous amount of money spent on caregivers. Once he is released from Mentis he most likely would be able to go home and live on his own, with or without the moron. He still has his family as support, despite all the water under the bridge today.

Please, please, please let me know if there is anything I can do to help get him in there. I will contact APS again if you can give me any new facts to report. If Carl could be evaluated by a real neuropsychologist and not the moron's own shrink, I believe the Mentis (or similar) recommendation would be reiterated and then, if she failed to follow doctor's orders AGAIN, we would have our evidence for APS.

Review of the previous APS fiasco leads me to believe that Drina was following doctor's orders of the doctors SHE chose for Carl - none of which were appropriate for his situation. He should have his own personal neurologist/psychiatrist who would have been seeing him regularly, in or out of a facility. With the moron sailing the ship Carl has been denied even basic medical care.

Amy and I talked about me kidnapping Carl and bringing him home with me. As silly as this sounds, it is still an option. I am sure there are equitable facilities here in California. The difficulty would be getting the insurance information out of the moron and keeping me out of prison. The moron is the one who should be in prison - seriously. If the homeless woman who used her friend's address to put her child in a good school gets 20 years in prison and a \$1,000 fine, it will be a travesty of justice. I have no doubt this will happen, so how is it that the moron can get away with real

P14686

0722015:0819:0101

crimes against her husband, that may ultimately cause his demise, and not have to answer for it in court?

C

P14687

0722015:0813:PO102

COPY

UNOFFICIAL

Exhibit 7

07222015:0813:PO188

From: Marta Brunsting <sweetpalge2083@yahoo.com>
To: Candace Curtis <ccurtis@erscorp.us>
Sent: Thu, January 13, 2011 3:01:30 PM
Subject: Re: Your Dad

Dad has seen several doctors the past couple months, including the Chief of Neurology and Chief of Rehab at St. Luke's hospital. The Chief of Rehab has written an order for him to attend outpatient therapy. Mom is following up on that now and he will go as soon as insurance approves it. He is gaining weight, sleeping better and his anti-depressant seems to be helping his outlook on things. He also has an appointment to see a psychiatrist that was recommended by both of the doctors at St. Luke's.

His condition is very complex and changes constantly. This has been the worst 6 months of our lives and we are mourning a living man who was our father and husband. He has improved, but this has been and continues to be a slow and very painful process.

There is no simple solution to this. He claims while at his stay at TIRR, (which is supposed to be one of the best rehab hospitals in the country), that he was abused by the night staff. Of course, they denied any mistreatment that and we had no actual proof. While at TIRR, he got hooked on prescription medications, didn't sleep at night and had hospital aquired infections one right after the other.

He will not be staying with your mother any longer. He is coming home and HIS FAMILY will figure out what next steps we need to take to get him better.

I find it hard to believe that any of you actually care about his welfare. All this family knows how to do is gossip, back-stab and conspire against one another. It is all completely sick and dysfunctional. Someone called Adult Protective Services on my mother and I don't doubt that it was one of your sisters. Anita called CPS on Amy years ago because she felt she was an unfit mother. If it were not for my dad telling Anita that she had made a terrible mistake, Amy might not have her kids. To my knowledge, Amy is not even aware of this.

You have not been down here for any of his recovery.

You have not spent endless hours with him in ICU and the hospital.

You have not witnessed the change in his mental & physical state as well as his personality.

P14661

No one wants him back more than my mom and I.

I am not answering any more emails or phone calls. This is harassment.

--- On Wed, 1/12/11, Candace Curtis <ccurtis@erscorp.us> wrote:

From: Candace Curtis <ccurtis@erscorp.us>
Subject: Your Dad
To: sweetpaige2083@yahoo.com
Date: Wednesday, January 12, 2011, 5:25 PM

Dear Marta, Happy New Year! I hope you, Ryan and your beautiful daughter are doing well. All of the California clan are looking forward to a great 2011. Being so far away from the situation with Carl has its advantages and disadvantages. I only know what people tell me and what I can gather from the brief, infrequent, phone conversations I have with Carl. I am sick to death by what I have been hearing since Carl was stricken with encephalitis MORE THAN 6 MONTHS AGO. My concern stems from the fact that Carl is not receiving the care and rehabilitation he needs to get his life back. He is floundering, literally and figuratively, and NO ONE seems to have the time, energy, or wherewithal to make the next phase of rehabilitation happen. Carl cannot do it alone, even if he wants to, which apparently he doesn't. Consequently, he needs to be in a facility, RIGHT NOW. The longer he goes without a neuropsychological evaluation and proactive rehabilitation and therapy, the harder it will be for him to recover his brain function. I care very deeply about my only brother and find that I can no longer just stand by and wait for someone to move forward in getting him the help he so desperately needs. Unfortunately, without a court order, my hands are tied. In my very narrow view, it appears that the rest of the family, including your Mother, cares only about money and how others live their lives. I WANT MY BROTHER BACK. I WANT YOU TO HAVE YOUR FATHER BACK. I WANT HALEY TO BE ABLE TO ENJOY HER GRANDPA. I WANT YOUR MOTHER TO HAVE HER HUSBAND BACK. I WANT YOU TO HELP ME MAKE IT HAPPEN. PLEASE. I know you are busy with work, home, and family. I've been there and done that - twice. I'm only asking for your support in my efforts to get Carl on track to recovery. Carl's future and well-being is ALL that matters to me and I will do whatever it takes to get him back! XOXO, Aunt Candy
Candace L. Curtis
Project Administrator
ERS Corp
1600 Riviera Avenue, Suite 310
Walnut Creek, CA 94596
TEL: (925) 938-1600 x 100
CELL: (925) 759-9020
FAX: (925) 938-1610
ccurtis@erscorp.us

P14662