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1. Nelva hand written Note: see Candace Curtis (Original Affidavit Exhibit 16) See Exhibit 5 PDF p. 255 this filing
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## Exhibit 14

Candace Freed Nov 172010 email regarding the reason Freed demanded Nelva be subjected to an incompetency evaluation

Subject: Fw: Nelva Brunsting
From: Candace Curtis [occurtis@sbcglobal.net](mailto:occurtis@sbcglobal.net)
Date: 3/11/2015 6:24 PM
To: Rik Munson [blowintough@att.net](mailto:blowintough@att.net)

On Wednesday, November 17, 2010 2:38 PM, Candace Freed [candace@vacek.com](mailto:candace@vacek.com) wrote:

Amy and Family, Thank you for the update on your mom, Nelva Brunsting. The purpose of the conference call and the suggestion that Ms. Brunsting be evaluated was based solely on conversations that I had with Ms. Brunsting and to let you all know that I had concerns based on those conversations. If she has been evaluated by her physician and you as a family are comfortable with his or her diagnosis, then you have addressed the concerns that I had. I appreciate your letting me know the opinion of the doctor. I hope your mom is doing well and she continues to improve.

Please let me know if I can be any further assistance.

Very truly Yours,

## Candace L. Kunz-Freed

$\mathcal{A}$ ttorney at Law
Vacek \& Freed, PLLC
14800 St. Mary's Lane, Suite 230
Houston, Texas 77079
Phone: 281.531.5800
Toll-Free: 800.229.3002
Fax: 281.531.5885
E-mail: candace@vacek.com
www.vacek.com
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## Exhibit 15

Transcript: Injunction Hearing April 9, 2013 in the federal Court

For the Plaintiff:
Ms. Candace Louise Curtis
Pro Se
1215 Ulfinian Way
Martinez, California 94553

For the Defendants:
Mr. George William Vie, III
Mills Shirley LLP
1021 Main Street
Suite 1950
Houston, Texas 77002

Court Reporter:
Fred Warner
Official Court Reporter
515 Rusk Ave.
Houston, Texas 77002

Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography, produced by computer aided transcription.

THE COURT: Good morning. Please be seated.
All right. This is Cause No. 2012-592, Candace Louise Curtis versus Anita K. Brunsting and others.

So let me have an announcement. Is Ms. Curtis in the courtroom?

MS. CURTIS: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: A11 right. And who is representing the defendants in the case?

MR. VIE: George Vie, Your Honor, for the defendants.

THE COURT: And I gather we have several parties present, correct?

MR. VIE: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Are these your clients or --
MR. VIE: Yes, Your Honor. Both the defendants are present.

THE COURT: Both defendants.
And who are the defendants other than -- I just show Anita Kay and Amy Ruth. I am sorry. I apologize. You are representing both?

MR. VIE: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. Very good.
This is Ms. Curtis' application for a temporary restraining order. As you might recall, this case was initially dismissed by the Court with the understanding that,
or under the understanding that it could not proceed in federal court but must proceed in state court.

The circuit court disagreed with me, and it's back; and now we are charged to proceed forward in this case. So what I would like to do is, first of all, have Ms. Curtis stand and give me a kind of a factual setting background for what it is that she is seeking, then tell me what she is seeking and see what testimony, if any, we need in order to accomplish that.

So why don't you go ahead take the floor, Ms. Curtis, and tell us how this got started and where we are today.

MS. CURTIS: This got started by my parents, Elmer and Nelva Brunsting, putting together a Brunsting family living trust in 1996 dividing their estate among the five children beneficiaries.

THE COURT: And I see there are the only three children represented. Are there other children that are not included?

MS. CURTIS: Yes, sir. My sister Carole and my brother Car1.

THE COURT: Okay. C-a-r-o-1?
MS. CURTIS: C-a-r-o-1-e and Car1, C-a-r-1.
THE COURT: We11, that C went a long way.
MS. CURTIS: C, C, C and then A, A.

THE COURT: Went a long way in the family, didn't it?

MS. CURTIS: Yes.
THE COURT: Go ahead please.
MS. CURTIS: So, my father passed away in 2009 in April and --

THE COURT: And would you tell us his name for the record.

MS. CURTIS: Elmer H. Brunsting.
THE COURT: Al1 right.
MS. CURTIS: And in July of 2010 my brother Car 1 became stricken with encephalitis. And it's a very serious disease. He was in the hospital for several months, part of that time in a coma. And my brother was originally appointed the executor of my parent's estate.

THE COURT: Your brother would be Carl?
MS. CURTIS: Car1. And also a successor/co-trustee of the Brunsting Family Living Trust and any resulting trusts.

In approximately 2007, my mother sent an e-mai 1 to me and asked me if I would mind becoming co-trustee with my brother Car1 because my sister Amy was unstable; and she was wondering if I would mind coming to Houston whenever necessary to take care of these things. And I agreed. And that was the last I heard of it.

Since that time I have received a document, which is the last, first and only amendment that my father and mother both signed to the family living trust appointing Car1 and Candace as successor/co-trustees.

THE COURT: Okay. So as it stands now, it is Carl and Candace who would be the co-trustees of the trust?

MS. CURTIS: Yes, Your Honor, yes.
And after my brother became i11, my youngest sister Anita took the opportunity to begin seize control of the trust. She immediately, within three weeks after he became i11 --

THE COURT: When did this happen?
MS. CURTIS: In July of 2010.
THE COURT: 2010. He became apparently
incapacitated or unable to?
MS. CURTIS: Yes. He was in a coma for several weeks.

THE COURT: Is he still in a coma?
MS. CURTIS: No. He's back at home and doing very well.

THE COURT: Okay. Very good. Go ahead.
MS. CURTIS: And has been.
THE COURT: I will be asking questions of him.
MS. CURTIS: And so, because of things that are just simply judgmental and ugly, my sister began to try to wrest
control of the trust so that my brother could not have anything whatsoever to do with it. She took his name off the safe deposit box which, according to my father's handwritten letter from 1999, contained all of the information about the family trust, and then some papers were caused to be drawn up. One was a qualified beneficiary designation.

THE COURT: I'm sorry. Was a what?
MS. CURTIS: A qualified beneficiary designation.
THE COURT: Al1 right.
MS. CURTIS: And several other papers were drawn up on August 25th, 2010.

There was no notice given to any of the beneficiaries about this qualified beneficiary designation that was to be prepared and signed. And the only way that I found out about it was to ask my sister Anita for copies of trust documents for me to review for a phone conference that had been called by the trust attorneys that was supposed to include my mother and all of her children. My brother Carl was never notified of this phone conference.

THE COURT: Was he at the time still in a coma or incapacitated?

MS. CURTIS: No, sir. He was not in a coma, but he was still in the hospital.

THE COURT: Okay.
MS. CURTIS: And my mother also was not in on the
phone call.
So we had the conference call, and they were definitely absent; and the conference call apparently was called to discuss proposed changes to the trust, when in fact the changes had already been made; and as it boiled down to the end and various parties hung up, they were going to try to have my mother declared incompetent because she said that she did not sign the qualified beneficiary designation and that in fact what the qualified beneficiary designation said was not true.

THE COURT: Let me ask you a question before we go forward. What was the purpose -- what did the beneficiaries receive and how were funds, as you understand it, disbursed from the trust prior to this August 25th 2010. How was the trust to be administered?

MS. CURTIS: The trust was to be divided into five personal asset trusts; and I believe that each personal asset trust would have a trustee, but I do not think it was the beneficiary.

THE COURT: Was that to recognize the five children?
MS. CURTIS: Yes.
THE COURT: How was your mother to benefit from this? Was she to get some proceeds out of the funds?

MS. CURTIS: My mother was to benefit from all of the trusts until she passed way.

THE COURT: Okay. And then these five trusts would --

MS. CURTIS: Whatever was remaining would be divided five equal ways.

THE COURT: Surely.
And then your mother died when?
MS. CURTIS: 11-11-11.
THE COURT: Oh, is that right?
And at that time your father was already deceased?

MS. CURTIS: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: So this telephone conference occurred sometime in August of 2010, just about 14 months prior to her death?

MS. CURTIS: It was in October --
THE COURT: October.
MS. CURTIS: -- of 2010.
THE COURT: About 12 months then, 12 or 13 months prior to her death.

And so go ahead and pick up there.
MS. CURTIS: So, anyway, after the phone conference there was really nothing I could do about anything as far as I could tell; and so, things were relatively quiet until in approximately March of 2011 my sister Anita called and said, "oh, we found some Exxon stock that wasn't in the trust; and
so, some of it will be gifted, and then the rest of it, the trust attorneys are going to figure out how to get it into the trust."

And so I received 160 shares of that stock. And I was in conversation with sister Carole and was told that she had received some, but she didn't know how much it was because she hasn't opened the envelope.

THE COURT: Was it your understanding that the 160 shares that you received would have been your one-fifth share? Is that the way it was to be --

MS. CURTIS: That's kind of the way I thought about it. Not necessarily my one-fifth share, but that each of us should receive a like amount.

THE COURT: Sure.
Al1 right. Go ahead.
MS. CURTIS: Unbeknownst to me, my sister Carole received 1,300 plus shares and my sister Amy received over 1,000 shares.

I received 160, Anita received 160; but Anita, as power of attorney beneficiary and trustee, having taken over from my mother in December of 2010, was conflicted and not allowed to accept gifts. So she excused it many months after the fact as being a loan, but she's also not allowed to take loans from --

THE COURT: So was she the person doing the
disbursing of these shares?

MS. CURTIS: Yes, Your Honor, she was.
THE COURT: And she disbursed them in the manner, as you understand it, the way you just described it, giving a couple thousand shares to two of your sisters together?

MS. CURTIS: Uh-huh.
THE COURT: I said "together" meaning added together, and then 160 to you. And what happened, if anything, to do with Carl's share?

MS. CURTIS: He got nothing.
THE COURT: Al1 right. Okay. Go ahead.
MS. CURTIS: So my brother has filed a lawsuit in --
THE COURT: Probate court?
MS. CURTIS: -- state court and also in probate. It's not a lawsuit, but he has filed from probate as defendant executor. And he has gotten pages and pages and pages of information from my sisters in another lawsuit that it was a pre-suit request for depositions to get information in case they were going to file suit.

And they got pages and pages and boxes of information that was not shared with me until March 28th just recently, and this paper here was in some of the documents that they shared with me.

THE COURT: What is the title of it?
MS. CURTIS: This is a computer share. It's a.

Transfer form. And this is page two of three pages of the transfer form.

THE COURT: Transfer form relating to?
MS. CURTIS: The Exxon/Mobil stock.
THE COURT: Okay.
MS. CURTIS: And so, at the top of the page my sister Anita's 160 shares, and the bottom of the page is my 160 shares.

There is two signatures at the bottom of the page. One is on a $W$ - 9 portion, and the other is on, my understanding that the money would be reinvested in the account. These signatures are not my signatures; they're forgeries.

THE COURT: Uh-huh.
MS. CURTIS: I would not have seen these if I had not had this shared with me by my brother.

THE COURT: And you didn't authorize anyone to make those signatures for you?

MS. CURTIS: No, I did not. And I have filed a Securities \& Exchange Commission complaint as of last week about this.

THE COURT: Al1 right.
MS. CURTIS: And I have not heard anything from them since that time.

I also have two different --

THE COURT: Well, let me ask you before you go further. What did you understand to be the access in the trust or the total trust as opposed to the individual five trusts, let's say? What did you understand the gross assets to be? Is that what you set forth in your petition as being the assets.

In 2010, you show -- I don't know if you have your petition there with you, but you showed in 2010 there was Chevron/Texaco, Exxon/Mobi1, Edward Jones and a total of $\$ 554,000$ more or less in the -- I gather is this in the decedent's account.

MS. CURTIS: Actually, this is my Request For Injunction.

THE COURT: Yes, page 3.
MS. CURTIS: Those are just the net changes.
THE COURT: These are what you're calling losses then?

MS. CURTIS: Yes.
THE COURT: So what is the total of the estate? How many? Several million dollars?

MS. CURTIS: The farm itself is close to $\$ 3$ million, and everything else when my father passed away was about a million-and-a-half.

THE COURT: So, it's increased in value to about --
MS. CURTIS: By virtue of the farm.

THE COURT: F-a-r-m, farm?
MS. CURTIS: Yes, family farm in Iowa.
THE COURT: That was sold?
MS. CURTIS: No, it was not.
THE COURT: What's on the farm that's increasing these prices? What are they harvesting?

MS. CURTIS: Corn and soybean.
THE COURT: Is that for profit or just simply --
MS. CURTIS: To my understanding we have a lease with the farmer.

THE COURT: Okay. And so lease itself pays a certain amount of money annually or however.

MS. CURTIS: Yes.
THE COURT: Those assets or that money goes into the estate?

MS. CURTIS: I believe so.
THE COURT: And that accounts for some of the increase, as you understand them?

MS. CURTIS: Yes.
THE COURT: A11 right. So at this point in time, "this point in time" being 2012, there has been a total of 338 or 339,000 in assets removed from the estate, and there is still approximately, as far as you know, three-plus million dollars in the estate?

MS. CURTIS: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Now, I want to try to close this out just a little bit by asking you: After you received these documents, I gather -- and when you weren't receiving them, obviously, because I recall you filed a suit, and one of the issues was getting your hands on these documents, and you were not able to get those documents until recently, as I understand it?

MS. CURTIS: The first time I received any information was in April of 2012, yes.

THE COURT: Okay.
And since you received those documents, has the fact that you received those documents confirmed what you believe to be improper practices on the part of your, I gather, on the part of your sister Anita?

MS. CURTIS: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Is she handling this alone?
MS. CURTIS: To my knowledge she is.
THE COURT: A11 right. So it's between her and however her lawyers are handling this that you are concerned about?

MS. CURTIS: I assume.
THE COURT: And your brother has a ongoing suit presently ongoing?

MS. CURTIS: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: And what is the status as you understand
of that suit, as to how long has it been pending and what is
status of that suit?

MS. CURTIS: I'm not exactly sure of the dates of how long it's been pending. I think since sometime in February of 2013.

THE COURT: Okay. So several months, but not very long.

MS. CURTIS: Right.
THE COURT: And is he able to get up and about?
MS. CURTIS: Yes.
THE COURT: Where is he now?
MS. CURTIS: At home, I would assume.
THE COURT: And have you communicated with him regarding what his approach is?

MS. CURTIS: Yes, Your Honor. I have.
THE COURT: And, of course, you have not joined his lawsuit?

MS. CURTIS: No, I have not.
THE COURT: And he has not joined in your lawsuit?
MS. CURTIS: No, he has not.
THE COURT: Does he have an attorney?
MS. CURTIS: Yes, Your Honor, he has.
THE COURT: Okay. I gather you now know that some state court, some county court or probate court, someone did something, I gather, to give Anita some authority that you
did not know she had. Is that what you have come to the knowledge of?

MS. CURTIS: I have come into the knowledge that the purported successor/co-trustees are in fact imposters because the documents that made them successor/co-trustees have digital alterations on them; they have anomalies on the signature pages. I have two different signature pages for the qualified beneficiary designation that were sent to me on two different occasions.

THE COURT: Now, whose signatures would be necessary from your perspective to permit her to go forward? This qualified beneficiary designee, this was supposed to be Anita now?

MS. CURTIS: It was supposed to divide the estate into five different personal asset trusts. Carole, Amy and Anita were going to be trustees.

THE COURT: This was a part of you-all's discussion on the telephone conference as to how this was supposed to work?

MS. CURTIS: We11, I wanted to know how it would put into place in the first place because I never received any notice that this was being contemplated.

THE COURT: Okay.
MS. CURTIS: And come to find out months after the papers were allegedly signed by my mother, my personal asset
trust and my brother Carl's were put under the control of Amy and Anita.

THE COURT: On what authority or what basis.
MS. CURTIS: I don't know. I don't know.
THE COURT: Okay.
And what happens then or what is happening to those assets?

MS. CURTIS: They're spending them.
THE COURT: Okay. She, Anita, has authority and can spend those proceeds --

MS. CURTIS: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: -- based upon what? Is she considering herself the qualified beneficiary designee or something?

MS. CURTIS: She is considering herself a successor/co-trustee.

THE COURT: Successor/co-trustee.
MS. CURTIS: In place of my mother. She did most of the theft while my mother was still alive when she was acting with my mothers power of attorney. My mother supposedly resigned as trustee on December 21st, 2010, and my sister accepted successor/trustee. And my sister's also a beneficiary, so she's got a conflict of interest there.

THE COURT: So since 2010 you are not aware of, I gather you're saying you're not aware of the division of the estate at least designating your portion as being your full
one-fifth of the estate?

MS. CURTIS: I have never received a notice.
THE COURT: You are not aware that that has been done. In other words, you don't know that that has been done?

MS. CURTIS: No, I do not.
THE COURT: And you're not in charge of that, those assets?

MS. CURTIS: That's correct.
THE COURT: And so here's my question: What is it that you're seeking by this lawsuit?

MS. CURTIS: I am seeking that my sister and those who have received unfair distributions to return the money.

THE COURT: Okay.
MS. CURTIS: I would like them to pay back all of the interest that was lost on the securities that were cashed in during that 15 months and spent, diverted to other things.

THE COURT: A11 right.
MS. CURTIS: And I would like it to be divided five ways and for the five beneficiaries to go their separate ways.

THE COURT: And what have you been told, if anything, even today, if anything, that has prevented this from happening?

MS. CURTIS: I have been told nothing.

THE COURT: And you've talked with their counsel, have you not?

MS. CURTIS: Yes, I have.
THE COURT: And did you ask him about these questions or did you put these questions to him?

MS. CURTIS: No, I did not.
THE COURT: What were you asking? What was the nature of what you all were trying to accomplish as far as this injunction is concerned?

MS. CURTIS: We were trying to come up with a reason why we would not go forward with the injunction hearing. And I had five or six other alternative ways of resolving this. And he left the room to speak to his clients, and they would not agree to them.

THE COURT: What are you seeking now? What are those ways that you are seeking, and what is it that you want to happen here today?

MS. CURTIS: I wanted to have an independent trustee appointed.

THE COURT: A11 right. And that was refused.
Okay. What else?
MS. CURTIS: I wanted to know who, if any, special co-trustee was appointed as per this qualified beneficiary designation.

THE COURT: I'm sorry. Say that again.

MS. CURTIS: There was provision in the qualified beneficiary designation for a special co-trustee or a trust protector; and so, I suggested that maybe the trust protector take it over as the trustee.

THE COURT: Al1 right. Okay.
MS. CURTIS: And the other reason was just similar to that. The Court could appoint an independent trustee who the defendants would have to obtain approval for any of their actions.

The Court could enjoin the trustees from acting without approval of the Court or express written approval from all five beneficiaries.

The Court could enjoin trustee from acting unless and until they can show they're in possession of authentic documents by submitting the documents purportedly signed on August 25, 2010 and December 21st, 2010 for a forensic analysis because the copies that we have have all been digitally altered and the signatures are fake.

THE COURT: Okay.
MS. CURTIS: I also asked originally if I could please know the identification and contact information for the trust protector, and I was told that the provisions for the trust protector were at section such and such in the qualified beneficiary designation, but I didn't get a straight answer.

THE COURT: So there is a document called "qualified beneficiary designation"?

MS. CURTIS: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: And you do or do not have a copy of that?

MS. CURTIS: I do have a copy of it but not with me.
THE COURT: And you have been told that in -- when were you told this, today? When were you told where this provision about the special protector or co-trustee protector was located?

MS. CURTIS: In early 2012.
THE COURT: And you were told where to find it?
MS. CURTIS: I was told where to find the provisions, but I asked for the identity.

THE COURT: Okay. The identity of that person has not been given to you?

MS. CURTIS: That is correct, or if there even is.
THE COURT: If there is such a person.
Al1 right. So that's what you're seeking in terms of your request for benefit -- for the injunction today; is that correct?

MS. CURTIS: Yes, Your Honor. I'm seeking that we stop the bleeding until we can get to the bottom of it.

THE COURT: Have you received any funds from the trust since 2010? I'm talking about since the death of your

MS. CURTIS: No, Your Honor. I have not.
THE COURT: You have made it known to -- have you communicated with your sister -- that's Anita, I believe -about that?

MS. CURTIS: I am not allowed to speak to Anita -THE COURT: Why not?

MS. CURTIS: Except through her attorneys.
THE COURT: Well, that's untrue. That's your sister.

MS. CURTIS: Well, that's the way I feel about it, but I'm told I'm not allowed to speak to them, and they won't talk to me.

THE COURT: Who told you this? Who told you this, that you can't contact her?

MS. CURTIS: I inferred that from --
THE COURT: Did she tell you that, is what I am asking?

MS. CURTIS: No. She didn't tell me that because she hasn't spoken to me.

THE COURT: We11, have you tried to speak to her?
MS. CURTIS: Yes, Your Honor, I have.
THE COURT: What happens when you try to speak to her?

MS. CURTIS: I call. She doesn't answer. I leave a
voice mail, she doesn't call me back.
The same thing happened with my other sister Amy. I called and left a voice mail. She did not return my call. This was more than a year ago.

THE COURT: So they refuse to speak to you about this is what you are saying?

MS. CURTIS: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Go ahead and have a seat. Thank you.
Counse1.
MR. VIE: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Why can't you come to some accommodation?

MR. VIE: Here's the situation. I just want to give you a little bit of background so that you understand in terms of the exhibits I put before you.

THE COURT: I don't have any exhibits yet. Wel1, some paper put up here.

Oh, the 1ist. I see.
MR. VIEW: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: I haven't read these.
MR. VIE: Just to provide some assistance in answering your question, Your Honor. Exhibit 1 is a 60 -or-so page document. That is the family trust document.

THE COURT: Al1 right.
MR. VIE: And on page 1 of the document it says that
her father and mother had created a trust, it's an
irrevocable trustee, and that the initial trustee shall be Anita Kay. So, Anita is the trustee under this document.

Because you heard a lot about this qualified beneficiary designation.

THE COURT: No. I heard about the co-trustees.
MR. VIE: So I wanted the Court to understand that this document --

THE COURT: Let me ask so we don't go down a rabbit trail. Was there a point in time when Carl was the co-trustee?

MR. VIE: I'm sorry?
THE COURT: Was there a time when Car1, the brother, was the co-trustee?

MR. VIE: I don't know if that -- I don't know with respect to this document if that's correct or not.

I understand that at one point there was a communication from the mother where she considered other family members serving in her role. But the documents that I have given you, the second exhibit that I have given you is where with respect to the mother's living trust while she was alive, she decided to have Anita appointed as her successor trustee instead, and then they created this certificate of trust.

THE COURT: That would have been relative to the
entirety of the irrevocable trust or was it simply her portion of the assets?

MR. VIE: It was with respect to the living trust that was created when she --

THE COURT: No, no, no. Here's what I am saying. The father is now deceased.

MR. VIE: Yes.
THE COURT: His wife entered into a irrevocable trust, and either he leaves all of you that in the trust to her benefit or his share goes into some other, goes into a trust for the children at that point.

So what happened?
MR. VIE: The father and mother created the irrevocable trust, which I have identified as Exhibit 1.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. VIE: When the father died, his assets went into this living trust where their mother had assets to the living -- there was a sub trust created, a successor trust and a decedent's trust. The mother had that.

THE COURT: So she has all of the assets at that point?

MR. VIE: Yes. And the mother was able to make gifts and did make gifts to a number of the family members. So when the plaintiff was referencing the $\$ 13,000 \mathrm{gift}$ that she received and the others, these were gifts that her mother
while alive had directed. And my client Anita, as the successor trustee under this appointment, Exhibit 2, would make those transactions occur. But these were gifts from the mother.

And then the mother dies, and this irrevocable trust --

THE COURT: And did the mother die, according to what Ms. Curtis is saying, in December more or less, I guess?

MR. VIE: November of 2010, Your Honor.
THE COURT: November of 2010, okay.
MS. CURTIS: 2011.
THE COURT: 2011.
MR. VIE: 11-11-2011.
THE COURT: Right.
MR. VIE: After that point, then Anita as trustee prepares a schedule of the estate, the context of the mother, and that money was going into the family trust; and that's one of the exhibits that she's attached.

THE COURT: We11, wait a minute. What money is going into the family trust? Because now this trust, the trust that exists that is handling all this is the mother's living trust, right?

MR. VIE: No, Your Honor. When she died, the living trust no longer exists.

THE COURT: Oh, obviously.

But before that, all of the assets were going into the living trust for the mother.

MR. VIE: Right.
THE COURT: And now the mother dies in November of 2011, and then what happens?

MR. VIE: Then we have the family trust, and there is created again a sub trust of a survivor's trust and the decedent's trust.

THE COURT: And the family trust now reverts back to the irrevocable trust?

MR. VIE: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: And in the irrevocable trust or in that trust there is a provision that says how those, how that trust is to be divided into five distinct trusts for the children?

MR. VIE: My understanding is that there is a document under this complicated plan by which each of the individual beneficiaries, the five children, the four daughters and the son, they would have these asset trusts. Those trusts have not been created.

THE COURT: Well, I am asking whether or not as a part of the -- as to your understanding, you have read it, is that a part of what the family trust required as far as you know? You said there's a document like it's some separate thing.

MR. VIE: We11, there's a -- I understand, Your Honor.

It's a rather long document. I understand and agree we are that the conclusion of this trust now at this point is to divide the assets to the five beneficiaries, and then each of their assets go into these asset trusts.

THE COURT: Separate and distinct from each other and for the benefit of each of the designated beneficiaries.

MR. VIE: Yes.
And as the plaintiff suggested, I believe the situation is that her trust, for example, she is not a trustee. One of her siblings is the trustee.

THE COURT: Even after it's divided off and given to her?

MR. VIE: Yes. And in these asset trusts, other members --

THE COURT: So someone who has a trust, like Anita herself, would have her own separate and distinct assets?

MR. VIE: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: And she'd be in charge of her own assets?

MR. VIE: No, no. There would be -- somebody else would be the trustee.

THE COURT: Of all of these five trusts?
MR. VIE: Yes -- no, of each.

THE COURT: Who is "someone else?" I mean -MR. VIE: We11, for example, Carl's could be Anita and Amy's could be Carole.

THE COURT: But the documents say how this happened, though.

MR. VIE: These trusts have not been created yet. There has been no distribution.

THE COURT: I understand that. You are telling me that, but I am trying to find out whether or not the creation of these trusts require these beneficiaries to have someone else in charge of their money.

MR. VIE: That is my understanding. And she can correct me if I am wrong, and my clients can correct me as the trustees if I'm wrong.

THE COURT: So Anita -- somebody would be in charge of Anita's?

MR. VIE: Yes. That's right.
THE COURT: And then somebody else would be -- and Anita would be in charge of somebody else's?

MR. VIE: That's my understanding.
THE COURT: And these kids -- and they're not kids anymore, but these five siblings would be at each other's throats for the rest of their lives because --

MR. VIE: No. They'd each have their own --
THE COURT: We11, no. They got them, but they're
not in charge of it, is what I understand.
MR. VIE: A11 right.
THE COURT: That's what I am trying to say. In other words, I'd have to call my sister to get my money.

MR. VIE: What I know about the asset revocable -the asset trust is they have not been created yet.

As the Court heard, there are two lawsuits.
There is this lawsuit and there is her brother's lawsuit. We are not parties to her brother's lawsuit. Her brother's lawsuit is brought in his capacity as the executor of his father's and mother's estates. It's in Harris County District Court. We're not parties to it.

THE COURT: Well that would be either the product of a will being probated --

MR. VIE: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: -- or it would be the product of an intestate proceeding. Which is it?

MR. VIE: The will has been probated.
THE COURT: So there is a will probate separate and apart from the trust?

MR. VIE: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: And how does that overlay on the trust since all of the assets are in the trust?

MR. VIE: We11, I don't know that it overlays; but what I am trying to suggest to the Court is: One, since the
mother died, there has been no distributions to anyone, not --

THE COURT: I get that. I am trying to figure out --

MR. VIE: Since you haven't seen the distribution, I wanted the Court to understand that no one has.

THE COURT: But somebody got some money out of it or there has been a loss in value to the trust itself.

MR. VIE: She says that the stock that was invested with the brokerage houses may have lost money, is one of the things that she suggested in her motion.

THE COURT: Right.
MR. VIE: My point was to suggest that there has been no distributions since the mother died from the trust that Anita is the trustee for to anyone.

THE COURT: And you said the one that Anita is in charge of. What is Anita in charge of?

MR. VIE: Exhibit 1.
THE COURT: Okay. The entirety?
MR. VIE: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: That's what I am trying to get to.
MR. VIE: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay.
MR. VIE: And it's unlikely there will be any distributions until both this suit is resolved and her
brother's suit that he brought.
THE COURT: Well, this suit might resolve it. That's not their concern.

But what I am trying to find out is whether or not in the -- the question I was trying to get back was in the Carl's suit, I guess in probate court, whether or not that suit, which did not come up in the responses in the way that I understood it, whether or not that suit that impact whether or not this Court should be proceeding with this trust.

MR. VIE: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: So it's separate and apart since the probate's completed.

MR. VIE: The probate has been filed. The suit is brought by him in his capacity as executor.

THE COURT: Is he without bond and independent?
MS. CURTIS: Yes.
MR. VIE: He's an independent executor. He is bringing the suit against the attorneys.

THE COURT: So he doesn't need to do anything else other than file it and do this accounting and all of that and then do whatever the will tells him to do.

MR. VIE: The litigation that he has brought is against the attorneys that created these trusts.

THE COURT: That's not even -- that's separate and

MR. VIE: Okay.
THE COURT: And it's separate and distinct from the estates because that's a malpractice lawsuit.

MR. VIE: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: Okay. So I am not concerned about that at all.

I was trying to make sure when he brought his suit, he was not simply arguing that somehow Anita had finagled her way into this position and she had squandered certain assets and then we've got these parallel lawsuits.

MR. VIE: I understand, Your Honor. And that was my point as well was to let you know that we are not parties to that litigation, it's not a claim in that litigation as the claims are --

THE COURT: And neither is the plaintiff here a party to that litigation.

MR. VIE: That is correct, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay.
So, the only suit that's pending dealing with the assets of these parent's estate is this lawsuit.

MR. VIE: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Al1 right.
So what the plaintiff is saying on page 3 of her petition having to do with the December dates of 10,12
and so on and what she considered to be "losses of the estate" are losses that I gather are decreases in assets that would be attributable to movement in the market.

MR. VIE: That is the specific. And, Your Honor, you are referring to the complaint or to the motion that has been filed for temporary relief?

THE COURT: I'm looking at the motion right now. That should be Instrument No. 35 .

MR. VIE: Yes. With respect to that, there is an argument being made there that there has been a loss and it is the result of the investment of the securities.

THE COURT: You made a comment earlier that until the other lawsuit and this lawsuit is resolved. That lawsuit has nothing at all to do with the resolution of this estate.

MR. VIE: Well, I --
THE COURT: I'm telling you that.
MR. VIE: Okay.
THE COURT: There is nothing that should -- there is nothing going on in Carl's suit that prevents these parties from following what they have been instructed to follow in the trust document.

MR. VIE: Okay. I understand if that's the Court's direction.

THE COURT: Is there something that I am missing?
MR. VIE: Not that I am aware of, Your Honor.

THE COURT: That's a malpractice suit. And they get some money out of it, either he gets it or maybe he distributes it among his brothers and sisters, but it doesn't have anything to do with the distribution of this estate.

MR. VIE: My understanding -- the reason that I understood the case to be differently is that I understood that the purpose of the litigation that he had brought in state court was claiming that the attorneys who created these trusts had done so improperly so that we were in a situation in which we are here before this Court, and the Court is suggesting we should wind this thing up and distribute to all the beneficiaries.

THE COURT: It's going to be wound up. It's going to be wound up in this court.

Here's what I'm suggesting. I am suggesting that this will not become a feast and famine, feast for the lawyers and famine for the beneficiaries in this Court where we are sitting around churning the time out and the parties are charging out of that lawsuit, defense of that lawsuit, which you are not doing, apparently, unless -- are you the lawyer that created the trust?

MR. VIE: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: So that's a separate law firm.
MR. VIE: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Yeah. So there is no reason for you to
be or your firm to be involved in the expenditure of that, of monies out of that lawsuit.

MR. VIE: And we aren't, Your Honor.
THE COURT: And there is no reason for Ms. Curtis to be concerned about spending money out of her assets for that lawsuit.

MR. VIE: Understand.
THE COURT: So, you can distribute what you got whether you get some more or not. It doesn't require -- this is not a probate where you got to gather everything together because everything is together.

MR. VIE: Okay.
THE COURT: The entire estate is together.
MR. VIE: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: And if there is a lawsuit, and it's questionable whether or not Curtis has a lawsuit or not because he wasn't the creator and the payor for that creation of that trust.

So, the point I am making is, obviously he had no contractual relationship with the firm, and it's going to be seriously flawed -- seriously difficult for him to sue for malpractice when he wasn't -- when there is no attorney/client relationship.

MR. VIE: Understood, Your Honor.
THE COURT: So, the point I'm getting to here is
under this trust that is situated here, what my plaintiff, Ms. Curtis, I believe is saying is that she is, these assets are not being distributed, and she's of the opinion that there is something untoward going on, whether that's true or not.

MR. VIE: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: And that there is no reason why she should be standing out in the field trying to get information about this trust and the distribution of these assets when she is equally entitled to any and all information just like Anita or anybody else.

MR. VIE: I understand that.
THE COURT: So, what is it then that prevents these parties from right now settling this suit?

MR. VIE: From settling it?
THE COURT: Yes. A11 they got to do is distribute the assets.

MR. VIE: Two things, Your Honor. And it's just my observation, because obviously the Court does not have to agree with me.

THE COURT: Sure.
MR. VIE: I provided the underlying documents that support the schedule that the plaintiff has attached to this motion for temporary relief. I have given her yesterday, in response to her request for production, some 5,000 pages.

She has told me that she wants to examine those, all of those underlying documents, stock transfers, checks and everything else.

You have heard from the plaintiff that she believes this very instrument is false.

THE COURT: "This very instrument" meaning the family trust?

MR. VIE: Family trust. That it's a forgery or that documents have been forged.

And I have offered, in response to the request for production, to make the originals, which I understand the trust attorney, those attorneys in the other lawsuit, to make those available for inspection and copying so that she can see them and satisfy herself that the underlying trust is in fact a legal and appropriate trust.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. VIE: So that was one of the --
THE COURT: And that the signatures have not been forged or at least they're original signatures.

MR. VIE: Yes. In other words, one problem of trying to settle the disposition of the trust today is that the plaintiff disputes the accuracy of the accounting and the accuracy and legitimacy of the trust.

THE COURT: Right.
MR. VIE: And so, that was one issue.

The second issue, respectfully, is that I understood that given that the Harris County litigation contested the accuracy and validity of the trust, that again there was a risk of inconsistent positions if we were to treat the trust as valid and fund this while they litigated over in Harris County.

THE COURT: They don't have jurisdiction over there. I do. That's what the circuit court has told me. And that's the part that you said I might disagree; and you're right, I do.

I would not sit here and wait on somebody Harris County to figure out whether or not they have jurisdiction over an issue, which they do, but they don't have jurisdiction of the assets.

MR. VIE: I wasn't thinking as much of the jurisdiction, Your Honor, as I was thinking of the risk of inconsistent judgments. In other words --

THE COURT: Not if I get it resolved, there won't be any inconsistent to resolve.

If they get it resolved, then it probably won't be inconsistent because I'm obligated and then obliged to follow at least theoretically the findings of any court of competent jurisdiction.

MR. VIE: Yes, Your Honor.
And the third issue, which I don't think would
give the Court pause but is something I thought of, is the fact that all the beneficiaries are not parties to this 1itigation.

THE COURT: That won't bother me at all because I do have authority and jurisdiction over the person who you tell me has the duty and the responsibility to act.

MR. VIEW: So those are my --
THE COURT: That's it.
So, I want this resolved within 90 days. And if I have to appoint a trustee or somebody to handle this and get it done, I'11 do it. It will cost the estate. And if I find that there has been mischief, it is going to cost individuals. And that will be a separate and distinct hearing.

So what I am telling the parties, and I am saying to you and to all those who have ears to hear, that this matter is going to get resolved. It's not going to turn into one of these long, drawn-out episodes like the ones we see on TV that go on for years where lawyers make money and people walk away broke.

MR. VIE: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Who is doing the accounting in this process? Has anybody put their arms around the assets and made any accounting at all?

MR. VIE: There is a CPA in Iowa that prepares the
tax returns each and every year for the estate, and we are getting --

THE COURT: How they get in Iowa? Is that where the family was from originally?

MR. VIE: The parents, yes, Your Honor. And the farm, as you heard, is in Iowa.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. VIEW: And so, there is a CPA who has been involved throughout this period and files the trust income tax returns, and he is available.

MS. CURTIS: I object to that.
THE COURT: Hold on.
Go ahead.
MR. VIE: I think I have answered the Court's question.

THE COURT: Yes.
MR. VIEW: And would have the most, would have the best familiarity beyond --

THE COURT: How much money does he generally charge for his annual -- I guess he does his annual filings of reports. Is this something that's pretty cursory or --

MR. VIEW: I'm sorry. And there is a distinction. The documents that are attached as the schedule in that accounting that are attached to the motion that has been filed for injunctive relief, temporary schedules.

THE COURT: Those were prepared?
MR. VIE: By the defendant, by Anita in her capacity as trustee.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. VIEW: I was responding to the Court's question in terms of who's the best person that could get their hands around it and that type of thing.

The CPA in Iowa obviously has to know all of the information available to the trust so that he can file the tax returns. He also pays and makes sure that the profits --

THE COURT: Then that might not be a good thing for me because I don't have jurisdiction over him.

MR. VIE: Okay.
THE COURT: But what I wanted to know was whether or not there was a person here locally, since I believe the defendants are here locally. They don't have a local CPA who is in charge of the estate.

MR. VIE: That's correct, Your Honor.
THE COURT: That would be Anita herself.
And then as far as the tax returns and all that annually which goes on, whether you got money or not, that would be done by the accountant in --

MR. VIE: Sioux City, Iowa.
THE COURT: Yeah, in Iowa.

And excuse me. What were you about to say? You disagree with what, Ms. Curtis?

MS. CURTIS: I disagree with allowing Rick Rickers, who is --

THE COURT: Is that the attorney?
MS. CURTIS: -- our cousin. He's the accountant in Iowa.

THE COURT: He's your cousin?
MS. CURTIS: He's our cousin.
THE COURT: Okay.
MS. CURTIS: He is also apparently the manager of the farm, and he began to file the tax returns --

THE COURT: I've already said probably enough to give you some pause, to allay those concerns. But these are other reasons why he should not be doing accounting. He has a conflict of interest.

MS. CURTIS: One reason why he should not be doing the accounting is because I have reason to believe that the farm lease, taking it away from the buyers, who were my father's very close friends, was notarized with a signature that was not my father's. I have not been able to look at that yet. I only have emails that purport that, but I would like to get copies of those.

THE COURT: Let me address a couple of things.
First of all, when we don't have information,
we can imagine a lot of things that may or may not be true, Okay?

MS. CURTIS: Yes.
THE COURT: That could be. I mean, all kind of thoughts and ideas go through our head when they don't have the information.

Here's what this Court cannot do. This Court cannot chase after each of your concerns. You have got enough money, you can hire anybody you want to do any kind of investigation you want done.

What I intend to do based upon the mandate from the circuit court is to try to address the concerns that you have. And they just can't be accusations, and I don't have any interest -- when I say I don't have any interest, I have an interest in outcomes, but I don't have an interest in the case so that I'm supposed to be doing things that would accomplish something for you except upon your filed documents. It's in your best interest, and I think I talked to you on the phone conference --

MR. VIE: Yes.
THE COURT: -- with both of you on the phone as well, that really this is not a matter that you should be trying to handle yourself. You should hire an attorney to do it for you, or at least part of it for you.

Now, I believe that it's in the Court's best
interest to preserve the assets of the estate and to bring to a point a going-forward process that this Court appoint someone to do an accounting of the assets and then make that accounting to the Court.

Now, you don't have to agree with me, but it's going to be an accounting of what the assets are. Whether something has been taken or mismanaged or mishandled is not going to be a part -- that's not the kind of accounting that's going to go on here.

What is, and that is what's invested, where it's invested and how it's invested is going to be the Court's concern. Once that accounting is in place, the question is whether or not the Court is going to be required or whether or not Ms. Brunsting will go forward in her capacity or not.

If she fails, then the Court will direct or put someone else in that position to do that, to move into this area or division so that the assets can be distributed or whatever beneficiaries. That's where I am in this case, and that's where the circuit court I believe has me. So I think it's in all of our best interest to appreciate this process.

In light of that, the Court is of the opinion that there are no expenditures that should be made unless they're made upon the approval of the Court. So, in other words, if Mr., up in Utah --

MR. VIEW: Iowa.
MS. CURTIS: Rickers in Iowa.
THE COURT: Mr. Rickers needs to pay the farmer. We used to call those sharecroppers sort of. It's a kind of a sharecropper thing where someone comes in farms the land and you get a percentage of it. If Mr. Rickers and the sharecroppers and others need to pay out bills and things, they should be petitioning the Court for that. That's where we are now.

We're at a point where I'm going to have to take charge in order to make sure that what I am doing has sanctity and has, well, trust going forward. What I am going to do is simply to try to make sure that the parties are all going to have equal standing and footing in this process. So that's part of what I am going to do. I'm going to enter an injunction in that regard.

Now, anybody who claims they want to bill the estate for something, whether it's lawyers or not, I am concerned about whether or not your bill should be paid by the estate because of this circumstance.

MR. VIE: I understand.
THE COURT: If the parties are going to agree, if the parties are going to come together and agree that your fee should be paid, then we should then move to a situation where we have a mediator in place or a designee in place who
will then make sure that if Ms. Curtis needs counsel, she can get that. That equally would be paid out of the estate.

It would not include Curtis because I am not going to be involved in the litigation of whether or not this is a good trust or not. I'm going to presume that it's a good trust, and I am going to go forward from there. If Curtis proves otherwise, he can get that money from the lawyers, and that would be certainly to his advantage or benefit.

MS. CURTIS: Are you talking about my brother Carl?
THE COURT: Yes. I said Curtis. I meant Car1. I apologize. You can see I'm struggling here.

MS. CURTIS: Too many C's.
MR. VIE: For the record, is it 90 days, Your Honor?
THE COURT: Yeah. I said we should try to wrap this up in 90 days, but I believe that if I appoint -- and you can suggest someone. I don't know if you know someone. Just give me a couple names. If not, I will designate someone to do this and enter an order to that effect.

It may be that because of the lack of trust that it may not need to be, unless both of you are designating somebody that you can agree upon, it may be better for me to have some person independent of the sides unless you all can agree upon the person or firm that should take care of this business.

MR. VIE: So we will get together and try to arrive at an agreed CPA that could provide the accounting the Court requests.

THE COURT: Sure. And we have a lot of them here in Houston just like we got -- I don't know anybody in California, but I want somebody I have got some jurisdiction over.

MR. VIEW: So if we're unable to do so we'11 notify the Court we were unable to reach an agreement?

THE COURT: Sure. And you need to do that by the end of the week.

MR. VIEW: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: You are going to be here what, today?
MS. CURTIS: I leave at 4:00 o'clock.
THE COURT: 4:00 o'clock today. Well, then you need to talk fast and see if you all can agree. Maybe you should talk over lunch. That way you can kind of size each other up. Eating together sometimes brings out good things.

And so, if you will do that by the end of the week, I will then prepare an order entering a temporary retraining order against the expenditure of any funds. Notice will be not just to you but to you in terms of Anita because I think she holds the purse in this situation. If there is any money to be paid to anybody up in Utah or anyplace else, she would be person who would authorize it or
do it.

The accountant isn't do it, as I understand it, right?

MR. VIE: No. He is just preparing the necessary documents.

THE COURT: Right. So the purse strings here in Houston, she can certainly prepare through you whatever documents are necessary for parties to be paid.

MR. VIEW: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: And then hopefully that report can get done in 30 or 40 days, and then we can have a hearing. If there is some dispute about summary areas of the report, we can have a hearing about that. If there is a memorandum or recommendation as relates to how to go forward with this "asset trust," that is the distribution, we can do that.

If the parties can reach an accommodation as to how those assets ought to be dealt with, how silent a trust and they all sign off on it, we can do that. It's just a matter of how you want to do it. The trust is not going to control unless you want it to control at this point.

MR. VIE: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Under the circumstances, it seems to me there's going to be a continuous bickering and mistrust. Anything else?

MS. CURTIS: No, Your Honor.

MR. VIEW: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Let me have Ms. Anita Brunsting come forward.

Good morning. Did you drop something on your foot?

MS. BRUNSTING: I broke my foot.
THE COURT: Raise your right hand.
Do you solemnly swear or affirm that any testimony you will give in this case will be the truth, the whole truth, nothing but the truth so help you God?

MS. BRUNSTING: I swear.
THE COURT: You've heard the discussion here in the courtroom, have you not?

MS. BRUNSTING: (Indicating in the affirmative.)
THE COURT: And I know that you have got counsel, and you can speak with him about the implications and concerns that the Court has about making sure that the assets are accounted for. And you certainly can work through him on any matters that you need to address to the Court. And, of course, counsel understands that he is to communicate both with the Court and with Ms. Curtis on any matters that he is presenting to the Court.

Is there any question about anything I have said -- I don't mean disagreement because you can certainly disagree with me about anything -- but is there any question
that you might have about anything I've said that you need me to answer, or certainly you have your attorney present.

MS. BRUNSTING: I need the trust account to pay. I've got the forms from the CPA. Can I move forward on that?

THE COURT: I think you should probably file a short motion and simply serve a copy of it on opposing counsel, Ms. Curtis, and forward it with a short order to me, and that wouldn't be a problem. This should be based upon the tax forms.

MR. VIE: Yes, sir.
And in terms of notice to the Court -- I'm sorry, not notice to the Court, the Court directing notice, do I notify the other beneficiaries?

THE COURT: Absolutely.
MR. VIE: Okay.
THE COURT: Even though they're not a party, they are beneficiaries and we should keep them in the loop.

MR. VIEW: I just wanted to bring that up.
THE COURT: Yeah. Should be in the loop because it doesn't make sense for us to have to go back and pull them forward a month.

MR. VIE: I will prepare appropriate submissions for payments that I would like. If the Court will approve it, then the trustee will make the payments.

THE COURT: Are these to be paid on or before April

15th or is there another cycle?
MS. BRUNSTING: No, by April 15th.
THE COURT: Al1 right. So either they will get to me on Thursday or whatever, and I'11 sign off on them, on the motion and the order, and that shouldn't be a problem.

You are not going to have to liquidate any assets to deal with that, are you?

MS. BRUNSTING: No. We have a checking account with enough that I can pay it.

THE COURT: Right.
MS. BRUNSTING: What about any incoming? The farm is rented, so we get a check twice a year.

THE COURT: Your function and role is to make those deposits as they come in.

MS. BRUNSTING: So I can continue to deposit them?
THE COURT: Continue depositing. All I am trying to do is control the outgo. What comes in as an expense is what counsel needs to see, and they have a proper and appropriate motion.

And if these things come in -- if this is a once a month kind of sit down and write out the bills kind of thing, then that's the way he should probably handle it. At some point just sit down and you prepare a list of things that you need to have done and certainly provide the forms or whatever you need.

MR. VIE: Yes, Your Honor.
MS. BRUNSTING: Okay.
THE COURT: A11 right. Thank you very much.
Al1 right, counse1. That's all I have. And I'11 prepare an order and get it out perhaps by tomorrow afternoon. There should not and in my opinion will not need to be a bond posted. These are parties of equal status as it relates to the assets, so no bond is going to be required.

I think, Ms. Curtis, you need to follow my advice. At some point consider getting an attorney, someone you trust to work with you, all right.

Okay. Thank you very much.
MR. VIE: Thank you, Your Honor.
(Conclusion of Proceedings)
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Notice of Filing of Injunction and Report of Master

## Notice of Filing of Inunction and Report of Master

To The Honorable Probate Court:
COMES NOW, Plaintiff, Candace Louis Curtis, and files certified copies of an Injunction and Report of Master and would show the Court as follows:

## 1.

Plaintiff originally filed her Original Petition in the United States District Court for the Souther District of Texas, Houston Division, under Civil Action No. 4:12-CV-592. On April 19, 2013, the United States District Court entered a Memorandum and Order Preliminary Injunction in which it found that Anita Kay Brunsting and Amy Ruth Brunsting as Trustees had failed to act in accordance with the duties required by the Trust and enjoined them from disbursing any funds from any Trust accounts without prior permission of the court. See Ex. A, Memorandum and Order Preliminary Injunction. In that same order, the court determined to appoint an independent firm or account to gather the financial records of the Trust(s) and provide an accounting of the income and expenses of the Trust(s) since December 21, 2010. See Ex A, Memorandum and Order Preliminary Injunction. Ultimately court appointed CPA William G. West filed his Report of Master dated July 31, 2013. See Ex. B, Report of Master.
2.

On May 15, 2014, the United States District Court entered an order transferring Civil Action 4:12-CV-00592 into Harris County Probate Court Number Four, Cause Number 412,249. See Ex.

C, Remand Order. That Order Granting Remand specifically provided that all ordered rendered by the United States District Court would carry the same force and effect the remand that they would have had if a remand had not been ordered. See Ex. C, Remand Order. This Court accepted the United States District Court Order of Remand June 3, 2014. See Order of Transfer, Court's file. As such, this Court has accepted the Injunction entered by the United States District Court.
3.

Plaintiff now files Exhibits A and B to make them part of the Court's record, having already been accepted via the May 15, 2014 and June 3, 2014 Remand and Transfer Orders.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Plaintiff Candace Curtis respectfully prays for such further relief to which she may show herself justly entitled.

Respectfully submitted,
ostrommorris, PLLC


Attorneys for Plaintiff
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Ms. Bobbie Bayless
2931 Ferndale
Houston, Texas 77098
713.522.2224
713.522.2218 (Facsimile)

Mr. Bradley Featherston
1155 Dairy Ashford Street, Suite 104
Houston, Texas 77079
281.759.3213
281.759.3214 (Facsimile)

Ms. Darlene Payne Smith
1401 McKinney, $17^{\text {th }}$ Floor
Houston, Texas 77010
713.752.8640
713.425 .7945 (Facsimile)

Mr. Neal Spielman
1155 Dairy Ashford, Suite 300
Houston, Texas 77079
281.870.1124
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## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION

CANDACE LOUISE CURTIS, Plaintiff, VS.

ANITA KAY BRUNSTING, et al, Defendants.

TRUE COPYI CERTIRY AEMEST:

recovery of legal fees and damages. The Court denied the plaintiff's request for a temporary restraining order and for injunctive relief. However, concurrent with the Court's order denying the relief sought by the plaintiff, the defendants filed an emergency motion for the removal of a lis pendens notice that had been filed by the plaintiff on February 11, 2012, prior to filing her suit.

The defendants sought, by their motion, to have the lis pendens notice removed in order that they, as the Trustees of the Trust might sell the family residence and invest the sale proceeds in accordance with Trust instructions. After a telephone conference and consideration of the defendants' argument that the Court lacked jurisdiction, the Court concluded that it lacked jurisdiction, cancelled the lis pendens notice, and dismissed the plaintiff's case.

The plaintiff gave notice and appealed the Court's dismissal order. The United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit determined that the Court's dismissal constituted error. Therefore, the Fifth Circuit reversed the dismissal and remanded the case to this Court for further proceedings. This reversal gave rise to the plaintiff's renewed motion for injunctive relief that is now before the Court.

## B. Contentions of the Parties

The plaintiff contends that she is a beneficiary of the Trust that the defendants, her sisters, serve as co-trustees. She asserts that, as co-trustees, the defendants owe a fiduciary duty to her to "provide [her] with information concerning trust administration, copies of trust documents and [a] semi-annual accounting." According to the plaintiff,
the defendants have failed to meet their obligation and have wrongfully rebuffed her efforts to obtain the information requested and that she is entitled.

The defendants deny any wrongdoing and assert that the plaintiff's request for injunctive relief should be denied. The defendants admit that a preliminary injunction may be entered by the Court to protect the plaintiff from irreparable harm and to preserve the Court's power to render a meaningful decision after a trial on the merits. See Canal Auth. of State of Fla. V. Calloway, 489, F.2d 567, 572 (5th Cir. 1974). Rather, the defendants argue that the plaintiff had not met her burden.

## III. STANDARD OF REVIEW

The prerequisites for the granting of a preliminary injunction require a plaintiff to establish that: (a) a substantial likelihood exists that the plaintiff will prevail on the merits; (b) a substantial threat exists that the plaintiff will suffer irreparable injury if the injunction is not granted; (c) the threatened injury to the plaintiff outweighs the threatened harm that the injunction may do to the defendants; and, (d) granting the injunction will not disserve the public interest. See Calloway, 489 F.2d at 572-73.

## IV. DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

The evidence and pleadings before the Court establish that Elmer Henry Brunsting and Nelva Erleen Brunsting created the Brunsting Family Living Trust on October 10, 1996. The copy of the Trust presented to the Court as Exhibit 1, however, reflects an effective date of January 12, 2005. As well, the Trust reveals a total of 14 articles, yet Articles 13 and part of Article 14 are missing from the Trust document. Nevertheless, the Court will assume, for purposes of this Memorandum and Order, that the document
presented as the Trust is, in fact, part of the original Trust created by the Brunstings in 1996.

The Trust states that the Brunstings are parents of five children, all of whom are now adults: Candace Louise Curtis, Carol Ann Brunsting; Carl Henry Brunsting; Amy Ruth Tschirhart; and Anita Kay Brunsting Riley. The Trust reflects that Anita Kay Brunsting Riley was appointed as the initial Trustee and that she was so designated on February 12, 1997, when the Trust was amended. The record does not reflect that any change has since been made.

The plaintiff complains that the Trustee has failed to fulfill the duties of Trustee since her appointment. Moreover, the Court finds that there are unexplained conflicts in the Trust document presented by the defendants. For example, The Trust document [Exhibit 1] shows an execution date of January 12, 2005. ${ }^{1}$ At that time, the defendants claim that Anita Kay served as the Trustee. Yet, other records also reflect that Anita Kay accepted the duties of Trustee on December 21, 2010, when her mother, Nelva Erleen resigned as Trustee. Nelva Erleen claimed in her resignation in December that she, not Anita Kay, was the original Trustee.

The record also reflects that the defendants have failed to provide the records requested by the plaintiff as required by Article IX-(E) of the Trust. Nor is there evidence that the Trustee has established separate trusts for each beneficiary, as required under the Trust, even though more than two years has expired since her appointment.

[^1]In light of what appears to be irregularities in the documents and the failure of the Trustee to act in accordance with the duties required by the Trust, the Court ENJOINS the Trustees) and all assigns from disbursing any funds from any Trust accounts without prior permission of the Court. However, any income received for the benefit of the Trust beneficiary is to be deposited appropriately in an account. However, the Trustee shall not borrow funds, engage in new business ventures, or sell real property or other assets without the prior approval of the Court. In essence, all transactions of a financial nature shall require pre-approval of the Court, pending a resolution of disputes between the parties in this case.

The Court shall appoint an independent firm or accountant to gather the financial records of the Trusts) and provide an accounting of the income and expenses of the Trusts) since December 21, 2010. The defendants are directed to cooperate with the accountant in this process.

It is so Ordered
SIGNED on this $19^{\text {th }}$ day of April, 2013.


Kenneth M. Hoy
United States District Judge
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## I. Introduction

On February 27, 2012, Candace Curtis filed a pro se complaint in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas, alleging the civil torts of breach of fiduciary, extrinsic and constructive fraud and intentional infliction of emotional distress, alleging that the Brunsting Defendants acting as trustees for their parents' trust, failed to notice her of actions affecting her beneficial interests, refused to provide copies of non-protected trust instruments and refused to account for trust assets, or to report on any other acts of administration. On March 8, 2012, Plaintiff's complaint was dismissed under the probate exception to federal diversity jurisdiction. The Plaintiff filed a notice of appeal. On January 30, 2013, the Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals reversed the dismissal. On April 19, 2013, the District Court issued a memorandum and order for preliminary injunction. In the order, the Court ordered the appointment of an independent firm or accountant to gather the financial records of the trust and provide an accounting of the income and expenses of the trust since December 21, 2010. The defendants were ordered to cooperate with the accountant in the process. On May 9, 2013, the Court ordered the appointment of William G. West as master to perform an accounting. Though the injunction order was signed in April, the master received substantial records through May 31, 2013, and has used that date as the ending date for the report. Therefore, the report covers the time period of December 21, 2010, through May 31, 2013, except for any periods for which information was not received as noted later in this report.
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## II. Time Line of Records Received

On or about April 18, 2013, the accounting firm of William G. West, P.C., C.P.A. ("West") was contacted by the court concerning the preparation of the report contained herein since the parties to the suit had not mutually agreed upon the selection of an accountant. After discussing the case with the Judge and a conflict check, West agreed to accept the appointment. West then instructed his attorney to draft and prepare an order appointing him as master to perform an accounting of the income and expenses of the trust since December 21, 2010. This order was signed on May 9, 2013. Shortly thereafter, West reviewed the court docket and read certain pleadings filed in the case. On May 22, 2013, West contacted the attorney for the defendants, Mr. George Vie ("Vie"), to schedule a meeting to discuss the records and the collection of them. On May 29, 2013, West went to Vie's office for the meeting. At the meeting West was given a box of paper records containing bank statements, brokerage statements, statements for dividend reinvestment accounts and tax returns. He was also given a CD which were said to contain pdf copies of most of these records. West was also given a listing of records being turned over and those statements missing or not yet obtained. West was told the missing records were in the process of being obtained. West also requested copies of any electronic accounting or bookkeeping files the defendants may have for the trust. Subsequently, on or about June 4, 2013, West was emailed some Quicken accounting program files which he was able to successfully download and open in order to review. On or about June 6, 2013, West received additional records from Vie. During this time West contacted the plaintiff to discuss the case with her and request copies of any records of the trust she may have in her possession. Towards the end of June, West
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contacted Vie for an update on the status of the receipt of missing records which had yet to be produced. Additional records were promised in the near future. On or about July $1^{\text {st }}$ West received emails from the plaintiff containing pdf copies of various records. West found, that for the most part, he had these records already from Vie (the plaintiff had told West beforehand that most of the records she had, in fact, came from the defendants' attorney, except some her brother had given her). On July $5^{\text {th }}$ Vie sent additional records to West (and pdf copies of same on CD). After review of these records received on July $5^{\text {th }}$, West sent an email to Vie inquiring as to when additional records would be received. West specifically addressed his concern that there were many bank disbursements for which he had no copies of cancelled checks or paid bill invoices to document said disbursements. On July 15, 2013, West sent another email addressing this same issue and received a letter from Vie in explanation of certain distributions. On July 24, 2013, Vie forwarded several more missing bank statements. Up until the submittal date of this report, West communicated with Vie for clarification on certain deposits or disbursements.

## III. Work Performed by Accountants

Upon receipt of the first batch of records from Vie, West had his staff reconcile the paper records received with those in pdf on the $C D$ and with the scheduled listing of records turned over and those not yet turned over. When the Quicken files were received and opened, they were download, reviewed and converted into excel spreadsheets for use by West's staff. It is West's opinion that the Quicken files kept by the defendant(s) were more for use as an electronic checkbook to keep bank balances as opposed to a more fully integrated bookkeeping system. To some extent the Quicken files did serve as
an outline for the subsequent work done by West. West set up a client account in QuickBooks to serve as an accounting database to compile the income and expense report for the trust. Once the chart of accounts was set up, all of the cash receipt and cash disbursement activity reflected on the Quicken files and bank statements were entered into QuickBooks. Some of the disbursements from the bank accounts did not have cancelled checks associated with the bank statements. A great many disbursements did not have support to document them reflecting the recipient, what was being paid for and the like. West had to rely on descriptions he found in the Quicken records, bank statements or elsewhere in the documents given to him. West has also relied on information/explanations supplied to him in a letter by the defendants' attorney dated July 15, 2013. In summary, West was not given unrelated third party documentation for many of the disbursements run through the bank accounts. The entry of these receipts and disbursements was extremely time consuming; Approximately a thousand entries were made into the QuickBooks database in order to record them. These entries were made only after reviewing related documents provided and ascertaining how best to record the entries. Additionally, paid bills or invoices, if present for reviewing, were compared to the bank disbursements.

West was also given brokerage account statements for three Edward Jones accounts and twelve dividend reinvestment accounts for either Chevron or ExxonMobil. West's staff had to do a reconciliation of monthly or quarterly reports for each account and/or transfers between them. This activity was entered via journal entries. The entry of these stock type accounts was also extremely time consuming, approximately five hundred entries were made into the QuickBooks database in order to record them after a careful
review and analysis of the respective account statements covering a two and a half year time frame. Numerous work papers were prepared to analyze: 1. transfers between accounts; 2. stock dividends reinvested; and, 3. stocks which were either sold or distributed.

West has used his best judgment in classifying the receipts and disbursements into account categories on the income and expense report. West requested that the defendants provide him with all the accounting information of the trust(s) and he is relying upon the belief they have complied and there are no other available records to be turned over. West has relied on the information given to him and interpreted as best he could. West reserves the right to amend the report as needed as new and additional information becomes available.

## IV. Summary of Accounts Reviewed

For the purposes of this Report, the following bank and stock accounts activity for the applicable periods have been recorded for the preparation of the income and expense report contained herein:



## V. Report Exceptions and Missing Documents

In our review, we noted that we did not receive copies of approximately thirteen checks. We relied upon other information provided by the defendants to reflect the payee and categorize the type of expense incurred. We were supplied with a limited number of paid bills and invoices supporting many of the disbursements and payments made. Again we relied on the various types of information provided to us to categorize the type of expense paid. We did not receive monthly statements for payments made on a Bank of America credit card. These payments are reflected in summary on the report (Exhibit 1) and also in

[^2]At the beginning of the review period, there were $1,292.2088$ shares of CVX and 4,010.20048 shares of XOM according to the records we received. According to account information provided to us 95 shares of MET were attributable to the estate and 9.5807 shares of DE were never transferred to the Nelva Brunsting Survivor's Trust.

During the review period, 675 shares of CVX were transferred as follows:
Anita Brunsting received 135 shares
Ann Brunsting UGMA received 135 shares
Jack Brunsting UGMA received 135 shares
Katie Riley UGMA received 135 shares
Luke Riley received 135 shares.
During the review period, 2,675 shares of XOM were transferred as follows:
Amy Brunsting received 1,120 shares
Carole Brunsting received 1,325 shares
Anita Brunsting received 160 shares
Candy Curtis received 160 shares.
Dividends were reinvested in stocks purchased at the fair market values at the time of the transactions as follows:

CVX shares purchased were 84.83095
XOM shares purchased were 60.51429
DE shares purchased were 0.04946
Partial shares were sold as follows:
XOM shares sold were 0.79847
DE shares sold were 0.9117
612 CVX shares were maintained in an account not under control of Anita Brunsting at the beginning of the review period, but were eventually transferred into the main CVX DRP account. A final accounting of 37.131 shares of CVX stock could not be determined since reports after 12/31/2011 were unavailable for one of the DRP accounts.
4.42786 shares of XOM were unaccounted for because reports after 9/30/2012 were unavailable from one of the DRP accounts.

95 shares of MET were attributed to the trust information, however the only reports reflecting information on these shares were dated late in the review period and did not show whether the shares were available to the estate at the beginning of the period.

Only 0.04946 shares of DE were attributed to the estate at the end of the period. No reports reflected the balance as of the beginning of the period and 8.669 shares were not accounted for during the period.

At the end of the review period, $1,276.88344$ shares of CVX, $1,300.25643$ shares of XOM, 0.04946 shares of DE and 95 shares of MET were available to the trust.

## VII. Comments on Certain Accounts

In the Income/Receipts section of the report there are accounts titled Long Term Capital Gains- Funds and Short Term Capital Gains- Funds. These amounts do not represent sales made by the Trust, per se, but rather sales of securities made by stock or bond funds held in the Trust accounts and then passed on to the Trust.

In the Expense/Distributions section of the report there is an account titled Cash/Check to Family Members. This account represents cash, checks, electronic fund transfers paid or sent to family members or payments made for the benefit of family members, as best as West could ascertain. In Exhibit 2, the detail of accounts, there is a
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listing of the payments found that fit this account category. In the information provided to West, many of the payments are noted as reimbursement to family members for expenses (trustee fees, legal fees, repairs, work performed, etc.) incurred on behalf of the trust and are noted as such in the memo section of the detail of accounts. Also the July 15, 2013, letter from Vie in explanation of certain distributions is referenced here in regard to certain distributions. It is important to note this section lists distributions out of bank accounts to or for the benefit of family members. It does not list distributions of stock which are listed separately in the last section of the Statement of Income/Receipts and Expenses/Distributions and the related Section VI above and in Exhibit 3.

An account titled Payments to Credit Cards is included in the Expense/Distributions section of the report. This account reflects payments made on credit cards for which we could not find supporting documentation or ascertain how the amounts should be allocated to other Expense/Disbursement accounts. Section V above addresses Bank of America credit card payments and lack of statements and supporting documents. There were also payments to a Bluebonnet credit card account (also referenced as "Cardmember Services" in information given to us), for which we were given monthly statements and some supporting documentation. Due to the general lack of supporting documents for these payments they have been placed into this account.

## VIII. Summation

In this case I have been asked to prepare an accounting to help the Court consider the issues in dispute. I have undertaken an analysis of the books and records provided to me. It
is my belief that all my requests for information from the various parties were reasonable and that I made it clear I wanted all available records. This report has been based on all records received to date. The report can be amended should additional records be received if so directed by the Court. This report has been made in good faith.

Respectfully submitted on this $31^{\text {st }}$ day of July, 2013.


William G. West Willem it Wat
$\overline{12345 \text { Jones Rd., Suite } 120}$ Houston, TX 77070
62102015: 0e3B: PO157
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December 21, 2010 through May 31, 2013

| Income/Receipts |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Farm/Rental Income | \$127,790.41 |
| Investment Income |  |
| Dividend Income | 28,321.46 |
| Interest Income | 3,085.05 |
| Long Term Capteal Gains - Funds | 1,047.31 |
| Short Term Capital Gains- Funds | 489.10 |
| Stock Sales less Broker Fees | 183,662.79 |
| Total Investment Income | 216,605.71 |
| Miscellaneous Income | 6,460.73 |
| Pension Income | 8,303.58 |
| Proceeds from Sale of Home | 433,392.05 |
| Social Security Income | -17,800.00 |
| Tax Refunds | 19,816.87 |
| Total Income/Recelpts | 830,169.35 |
| Expensea/Disbursements |  |
| Automoblle Expense | 2,965.76 |
| Bank \& Brokerage Charges | 8,540.62 |
| Checks/Cash to Famly Members | 108,924.91 |
| Dues and Subscriptions | 278.47 |
| Food/Dining/Grocerles | 5,958.67 |
| Funeral | 3,556.29 |
| Household | 1,237.20 |
| Insurance Expense | 4,737.88 |
| Lawn Care | 1,262.00 |
| Legal Fees | 36,312.44 |
| Medical Expenses |  |
| In Home Care | 119,232.61 |
| Medical Supplies | 65.47 |
| Medical Expensos - Other | 2,568.98 |
| Total Medical Expenses | 121,867.06 |
| Miscellaneous Expenses | 6,753.72 |
| Offlce Supplles | 63.70 |
| Payments to Creall Cards |  |
| Bank of America Credit Cards | 14,042.99 |
| Bluebonnet Credit Union Cred Cd | 11,986.96 |
| Total Payments to Credit Cards | 26,029.95 |



Brunsting Family Living Trust Detail of Accounts 12212010-05/31/2013
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Brunsting Family Living Trust
Detail of Accounts
12/21/2010-05/31/2013


Case 4:12-cv-00592 Document 62 Filed in TXSD on 08/08/13 Page 20 of 38
Brunsting Famlly Llving Trust
Detail of Accounts
12/21/2010-05/31/2013

| Type | Data | Num | Name | Memo | Clas: | Amount | Balunce |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Goneral Journal | 81112012 | EJ20120601 |  | Dividends on Pimes Total Return $N$ | Elmar | 15.12 | 16,393.70 |
| General Joumal | 61112012 | EJ20120601 |  | Dividends on T Rome Price New incorme | Elver | 50.82 | 16,444.52 |
| Generel Joumal | 6/4/2012 | EJ20120802 |  | Dividends on Loomis Seytas fiv Grude Bd | Emer | 27.34 | 16,471.86 |
| Ganeral Joumal | 6/11/2012 | EJ20120604 |  | Ofidende on Ifvestment $\mathrm{Co}_{0}$ of Amerka | Elmar | 52.05 | 16,524.51 |
| General Joutral | 6/11/2012 | E.J20120821 |  | Owdends Reinvested in XOM StK 7769 | Elrmer | 332.31 | 16,856.82 |
| General Joume | 61112012 | EJ20120621 |  | Dividends Reirwested in XOM StK $^{7} 777$ | Survivor | 387.38 | 17.244.20 |
| Gernerad Joumal | 61112012 | EJ20120621 |  | Dividanda Reirvested in CVX Stk 9415 | Elimer | 569.72 | 17,793.92 |
| Gemerral Journal | 6/11/2012 | E. 20120621 |  | Dividends Relivested in CVX StK 9407 | Etrner | 101.37 | 17,895. 29 |
| General Journal | 618182012 | EJ20120605 |  | Dividands on Cappitad Word Growth a troome | Elmer | 147.46 | 18,042.75 |
| General Joumal | 8/2212012 | EJ20120606 |  | Onvidends on Ptoneer Fiund | Elmer | 53.57 | 18,008.32 |
| Generat Joumal | $8125 / 2012$ | EJ20120607 |  | Dividends on Catumbla Mid Cap Varue | Elmer | 31.55 | 18.127.87 |
| General Jouma | 62712012 | EJ20120809 |  | Otvidends on Captial Worts Bond | Emer | 30.40 | 18,158.27 |
| General Joumal | 6/272012 | EJ20120609 |  | Dividenders on Dodg e Cox income | Emmar | 128.94 | 18.287.21 |
| Generad Joumal | 6128/2012 | EJ20120810 |  | Oivdends on T Rowe Price Equity tnocme | Eimar | 96.35 | 18,383.66 |
| General Joummal | 6/29/2012 | EJ20120011 |  | Dividends on Mapinstay High Yold Corp Bd | Elmer | 58.09 | 19,441.65 |
| Ganeral Joumal | 7/122012 | E 220120701 |  | Otvidends on JP Morgen Cora Bond | Elmar | 32.90 | 18,474.55 |
| General Joumal | $71 / 22012$ | EJ2012070 |  | Dividends on Oppenheirmer int acd | Elmer | 17.05 | 18,491.60 |
| General Joumas | 7722012 | EJ20120701 |  | Dividends on Pimco Total Return IV | Emer | 14.25 | 18,505.85 |
| General Joumal | 7122012 | EJ20420701 |  | Divdernds on T Rowe Price New income | Emer | 46.81 | 18,552.66 |
| Gerural Joumal | 7/3/2012 | EJ20120702 |  | Dividends on ING Globel Reas Estata | Emmor | 51.85 | 18.604.61 |
| Gemeral Journal | 7/3/2012 | EJ20120702 |  | Dividends on Loornis Saylee unv Grade Bd | Emmer | 26.87 | 18,631.48 |
| General Jourmal | $8 / 1 / 2012$ | EJ20120801 |  | Dividunds on JPMorgan Fed Mon Mkt | Emer | 0.04 | 18,831.52 |
| General Joumal | $81 / 12012$ | EJ20120801 |  | Otidents on JPMorgan Core Band | Elmar | 36.33 | 88,666.85 |
| General Joumal | 8/1/2012 | EJ20120801 |  | Ofvidends on Melinstay High Yeetd Corp Sd | Emer | 58.45 | 18,725.30 |
| Gentral Jouma! | $8 / 112012$ | EJ20120801 |  | Orvidends on Oppenhetmer Int Ed | Emer | 18.06 | 18,741.36 |
| General Joumral | 8/1/2012 | EJ20120801 |  | Dividends on Pimco Total Return $N$ | Emer | 11.10 | 18,752.46 |
| General Joumb | $811 / 2012$ | EJ20120801 |  | Oividendis on T Rowe Pice Now troome | Emer | 42.96 | 18,705.42 |
| Gemeral Journal | 81212012 | EJ20120802 |  | Owidends on Loomis Seyles tiv Grade Ed | Emer | 27.14 | 10,822.56 |
| General Journal | 914/2012 | EJ20120901 |  | Dlvidenda on JP Morgan Core Bond | Emer | 33.08 | 18,855.62 |
| Genesral Joumal | 9/4/2012 | EJ2012090\% |  | Dividends on Malnstay H (tgh Y Yedd Coxp $8 d$ | Emer | 58.81 | 10,914.43 |
| General Joumal | 914/2012 | EJ20120901 |  | Dividends an Oppenteimer ind Ed | Elmar | 18.18 | 10,932.61 |
| General Joumal | 9/4/2012 | EJ20120901 |  | Dividends on Pimco Tolta Restum IV | Emer | 11.75 | 18,944.36 |
| General Joumral | 9/4/2012 | E.J20120901 |  | Dividends on $T$ R Rowe Price New income | Elmer | 46.82 | 18,991.18 |
| Ganerai Joummal | 9/3/2012 | EJ20120902 |  | alvidends on Loomis Saytes hiv Graste ad | Emer | 27.89 | 19,019.07 |
| Ganeral Joumal | 9/10/2012 | EJ20120921 |  | Dividend Reirvestrment in XOM STk 769 | Emmer | 334.71 | 19,353.78 |
| General loumel | 91102012 | E120120921 | - | Dividend Reinvestment in XOM Stk 7771 | Surutor | 390.17 | 19,743.95 |
| General Lourral | 8102012 | EJ20120922. |  | Dwidend Reinvestment in CVX Stk 9415 | Eimer | 654.60 | 20,298.55 |
| General Joumal | $910 / 2012$ | E120120921 |  | Dividend Reinvestment in CVX Stk 9407 | Elmer | 114.44 | 20.412.99 |
| General Journel | 947712012 | E.J20120904 |  | Othidends on investment Co of America | Elmer | 52.67. | 20,465.66 |
| General loumal | $9 / 112012$ | EJ20120905 |  | Divionds on Pronser Find | Emer | 50.19 | 20.515.85 |
| General Loumal | 9/24/2012 | EJ20120906 |  | Dindends on Capitel Wortd Growt 8 Income | Elrrer | 57.55 | 20,573.80 |
| General Loumal | $9 / 28 / 2012$ | EJ20120908 |  | Divieonds on Columbia Mid Cap vake | Elmer | 40.07 | 20,613.87 |
| General loumal | 9/262012 | EJ20120908 |  | Owistends on Dodge a Cox ficome | Empr | 124.92 | 20,738.79 |
| Ganeral Journal | $9 / 27 / 2012$ | EJ20120909 |  | Dividende on Trows Price Equily income. | Elmer | 89.99 | 20.828.78 |
| Genoral Journal | 9/282012 | EJ20120910 |  | Owdends on Mainstay High Yibld Corp Bd | Elmer | 59.16 | 20,887.94 |
| General Journal | $10 / 112012$ | EJ20121001 |  | Dividends on JP Moryan Core Bond | Elmer | 31.95 | 20,919.89 |
| General Journal | 10112012 | EJ20121009 |  | Ofldiends on Oppentreimer trat Ed | Elmer | 13.87 | 20,933.76 |
| Genereil Joummal | 1011/2012 | EJ20121009 |  | Dividends on Pifmeo Total Retum IV | Elmar | 9.14 | 20,942.90 |
| Generad Joumal | 10.112012 | EJ20121001 |  | Dividends on T Rowe Price Now Income | Errer | 38.25 | 20,879.15 |
| Genarai Joumal | 10122012 | EJ20121002 |  | Ditdends on ING Globsi Real Estate | Emer | 48.97 | 21,026.12 |
| General Joumal | $10 / 2 / 2012$ | EJ20121002 |  | Dhidende on Loomis Sayles hv Grade Bd | Elmer | 28.30 | 21,052.42 |
| General Joumes | 10192012 | EJ20121004 |  | Oixdends on Captaj Wond Bond | Etmer | 23.09 | 21,075.51 |
| General Joumal | 11/1/2012 | EJ20121101 |  | Dividende on JP Morgan Cora Bond : | Elmer | 30.84 | 21,106.35 |
| General Joumel | 11/1/2012 | EJ20121101 |  | Dividends on Mainslay High rield Copp Bd | Elmer | 58.51 | 21,165.86 |
| General Joumal | 11112012 | E.20121101 |  | Dividends on Oppenheiner Ins Ed | Etreer | 17.83 | 21,183.49 |
| General Joumal | 11112012 | Ej20121101 |  | Divionds on Pimeo Total Reium iv | Elmer | 12.79 | 21,488.28 |
| Goneral Joumal | 11112012 | E/20121101 |  | Dividends on $T$ R | Etmer | 40.84 | 21,237.12 |
| General Joumal | 11232012 | EJ20121102 |  | Owidende on Loomis Sayes inv Grade Bd | Elrer | 26.21 | 21,263.33 |
| General Joumal | 12132012 | El20121201 |  | Ovidenda on JP Morgan Core Bond | Elmer | 30.90 | 21,294.23 |
| Ganeral Journal | 12132012 | EI20121201 |  | Dividends on Mainstay High Yeld Copp Bd | Emer | 59.87 | 21,354.10 |
| General Joumal | 12/3/2012 | EJ20121201 |  | Dividends on Oppenterimer intl Ed | Emer | 17.62 | 21,371.72 |
| General Joumal | $123 / 2012$ | EJ20121201 |  | Dividends on Pimco Total Returm IN | Elmar | 13.7 | 21,385.49 |
| Genoral Joumal | 12312012 | EJ20121201 |  | Dividends on T Rowe Price Now income | Elner | 42.81 | 21,428.30 |
| General Joumal | $124 / 2012$ | EJ20121202 |  | Dividends on Locmis Sates hiv Grade Bd | Elrur | 26.84 | 21,455.14 |
| General loumal | $124 / 2012$ | EJ20121202 |  | Dividends on Mainstay High Yield Corp Bat | Emmer | 60.23 | 21,515.37 |
| Ganeral joumat | $12 \mathrm{R} / 2012$ | EJ20121204 |  | Dividends on Bractrock Cap App | Emmer | 45.22 | 21.560.59 |
| General Joumal | 12712012 | EJ20121204 |  | Dhvidands on Opperhemer Riaing Divid Fo $Y$ | Elmer | 57.90 | 21,618.49 |
| General Loumal | 12/10/2012 | EJ20121221 |  | Oividend Reinwestment XOM Sik 6261 | Emmar | 334.71 | 21.953.20 |
| General joumal | 121010212 | EJ20121221 |  | Divdend Reinvestment XOM SKK 3301 | Nalva | 390.17 | 22,343.37 |
| General Journal | 12102012 | E.J20121221 |  | Otvidend Rairvestrment CVX Sik 9815 | Elrer | 4.38 | 22,347.73 |
| General Joumal | 121020012 | EJ20121221 |  | Cividend Reinvestment CVX Sik 9407 | Elrnar | 4.35 | 22,352.08 |
| Genorad Joumal | 12110/2012 | E. 20121221 |  | Otuidend Reirvestment CVX Sik 9423 | Eliner | 1,110.22 | 23,482.30 |
| General loumal | 121122012 | E, 280121206 |  | Dividencts on MFS Resoarch Intemational | Elter | 316.70 | 23,779.00 |
| Goneral Joumal | 121142012 | E. 20121208 |  | Dividends on Columbia Mid Cap Value | Emmar | 33.99 | 23,812.89 |
| General Jouma | 121412012 | EJ20121208 |  | Dividends on $T$ Rowa Price Equity income | Emer | 111.31 | 23,924.20 |
| Genaral Jourmal | 121772012 | EJ20121209 |  | Dividends on Capital Wordd Growth \& Income | Emar | 97.20 | 24,021.40 |
| General Journal | 124712012 | EJ20121209 |  | Oividends on Floality Now insigitis | Elmer | 13.61 | 24,035.01 |
| General Joumal | $12 / 2012012$ | EJ20121210 |  | Dividends on Dodge a Cox intil Slock | Emer | 303.81 | 24,338.82 |
| Ganeral Joummal | 122012012 | EJ20121210 |  | Dividende on DWS Smalt cap value | Elmer | 75.04 | 24.413.86 |
| General Joumal | 122702012 | Ej20121210 |  | Dividende on Dodge \& Cox income | Elrner | 109.20 | 24,523.06 |
| General Joumad | 12/21/2012 | EJ20121211 |  | Dwidends on Ceptital Wortd Bond | Elrer | 31.58 | 24,554.62 |
| General Joumel | $12 / 2412012$ | E.J20121212 |  | Divdends on Investrnent Co of Amerka | Elmer | 137.47 | 24,692.09 |
| Gerneral Jounnel | 12242012 | EJ20121212 |  | Oividends on Loomis Seaytes tiv Grade Bd | Elmer | 75.83 | 24,767.92 |
| Gerseral Joumed | 122712012 | EJ20121213 |  | Ofidatas on New Word | Emper | 110.57 | 24,878.49 |
| Generral Joumbl | 12/2812012 | EJ20121214 |  | Divdends on Oppenhelmer Rising Divid FdY | Elmer | 43.70 | 24,922.19 |
| Gerieral Joumal | 1212812012 | EJ20121214 |  | DVidends on Pimco Total Ratum iv | Elrer | ${ }^{65.59}$ | 24,987.78 |
| Ganeral Joumbl | 12/31/2012 | EJ20121215 |  | Ofvidends on Oppenheimer Int Ed | Erroer | 15.74 | 25,003.52 |
| General Joumal | 1/2/2013 | EJ20130101 |  | Dividends on ING Grobet Real Eslate | Elmer | 201.20 | 25,204.72 |
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| Type | Date | Num | Name | Mome | Clast | Ampunt | Balance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Proceseds from Sale of thome |  |  |  |  |  | 433,392.05 | 433,392.05 |
| Soclal securty thoome |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Joumal | 2132011 | E.20120457 |  | Soc Security 10:2 | Nelva | 1,780.00 | 1,780.00 |
| Ganeral Joumal | 3312011 | EJ20120459 |  | Sockn Security | Neva | 1,780.00 | 3,560.00 |
| General foumal | 4112011 | EJ20120462 |  | Social Security | Nelva | 1,790.00 | 5,340.00 |
| Generad Joumal | 5/2/2011 | EJ20120464 |  | Social Security | Nelva | 1,780.00 | 7,120.00 |
| General Joumal | 8/32011 | EJ20120465 |  | Sodal Secunty | Netra | 1,780.00 | 8,900.00 |
| General Joumal | 71/2011 | EJ20120489 |  | Social Security | Nelve | 1,780.00 | 10,880.00 |
| ganeral Joumal | 8/3/2011 | EJ20120472 |  | Sockal Securty | Nulva | 1,780.00 | 12,460.00 |
| Gentral Joumal | 9222011 | E120120474 |  | Sodal Security | Nalva | 1,780.00 | 14.240.00 |
| General Jourral | 103/2011 | EJ20120477 |  | Socien Security | Nerva | 1,780.00 | 16,020.00 |
| Ganeral toumal | 11/3/2011 | EJ20120478 |  | Sociel Security | Naiva | 1,780.00 | 17,800.00 |
| Total Social Security Income |  |  |  |  |  | 17,800.00 | 17,800,00 |
| Tex Ref |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Journal | 1/3/2011 | 80a20110101 |  | US Trasaury 310 DES | Survivor | 1,780.00 | 1,780.00 |
| Gensral Journal | $1 / 1112012$ | E.120110159 |  | Tax Refind | Survivor | 6,215.87 | 7,995.87 |
| Genteral Journal | 4/18/2012 | EJ20120441 |  | Federed tax refiund | Elmer | 6,913.00 | 14,903.87 |
| Generad Joumal | 4/25/2012 | EJ20120416 |  | Fodered Tax Refund | Survivor | 4,008.00 | 19,818.87 |
| Total Tax Refundis |  |  |  |  |  | 19,816.87 | 19,846.87 |
| Total Incom |  |  |  |  |  | 830,189.35 | 830,169.35 |
| Experse Autemoble Expanse |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cherk | 14482011 | EFT | Exam Mobi | Auta:Fuel | Nalva | 20.93 | 20.93 |
| Cherk | $1 / 2712011$ | EFT | Chavron | Fuol | Netre | 20.86 | 41.79 |
| chack | 1/31/2011 | EFT | Chevron | Fued | Notva | 21.07 | 82.88 |
| Chack | 2 A 2011 | EFT | Exom Mobit | Fuel | Netra | 20.08 | 82.92 |
| Check | 2912011 | EFT | Nnt Hare Repai | Auto Service | Netrs | 574.85 | 657.57 |
| Creck | 21102011 | EFT | Exxon Mobl | Fuel | Netre | 10.67 | 668.24 |
| Cruack | 21442011 | EFT | Chevron | Fuat | Netre | 20.10 | 888.34 |
| Creck | 2/23/2011 | EFT | Excon Mobit | Fual | Netva | 20.36 | 708.70 |
| Check | 3/2/2011 | EFT | Excon Mobil | Fuel | Nativa | 21.89 | 730.38 |
| Check | 3772011 | EFT | Chevron | Fuel | Nelva | 22.98 | 753.37 |
| Check | $3 / 142011$ | EFT | Chevron | Fuat | Netra | 22.20 | 775.67 |
| Chack | 3/14/2011 | EFT | Excon Mobi | Fuel | Netva | 22.20 | 797.7 |
| Check | 3/212011 | EFT | Chevron | Fual | Nerva | 21.50 | 819.27 |
| Check | 3/21/2011 | EFT | Chevten | Fuol | Neprva | 24.55 | 843.82 |
| Check | 3/232011 | EFT | Chevton | Fual | Nolva | 24.68 | 888.48 |
| Check | 3282014 | EFT | Chevron | Fual | Nelva | 21.78 | ${ }^{890} 24$ |
| Check | 3/29/2011 | EFT | Chevion | Fuat | Nelva | 22.78 | 913.00 |
| Chack | 4112011 | EFT | Chevron | Fual | Netua | 24.85 | 937.65 |
| Check | 4/812019 | EFT | Exxan Mobil | fual | Netra | 54.60 | 982.25 |
| Check | 4/14/2011 | EFT | Chevron | Fuel | Natra | 21.02 | 1,013.27 |
| check | 4/182011 | EFT | Chevion | Fuad | Nelva | 23.88 | 1.037.15 |
| Check | 41822011 | EFT | Excon Moby | Fuef | Natra | 22.51 | 1.059.66 |
| Check | 4/2520011 | EFT | Fantop | Fuel | Nolva | 2.90 | 1,062.56 |
| Chack | 42522011 | EFT | Fastop | Fuel | Natve | 50.84 | 1.113.40 |
| Check | 42252011 | EFT | Exxon Mobil | Fuel | Natva | 59.02 | 1,172.42 |
| Check | 42522011 | EFT | Chevron | Fued | Netra, | 14.05 | 1,186.47 |
| Chack | 5332011 | EFT | Exwon Mobil | Fuel | Nalva:- | 28.78 | 1,215.25 |
| Check | 516/2011 | EFT | Exom Mobil | Fuel | Natva | 23.63 | 1,238.88 |
| Check | $5 / 92011$ | EFT | Exxon Mobil | Fuel | Netva | 27.80 | 1,266.68 |
| Creck | 5/92011 | EFT | Chevon | Fuad | Netra | 28.76 | 1,295.44 |
| Chack | 51162011 | EFT | Chevtor | Fuet | Nelve | 29.32 | $1,324.76$ |
| Check | 5/16/2011 | EFT | Exxor Mobil | Fual | Neiva | 24.64 | 1,349.40 |
| Check | 5/2012011 | EFT | Chevton |  | Netre | 23.73 | 1,373.13 |
| Check | 52332011 | EFT | Chevion | Fuel | Nelve | 24.40 | 1,397.53 |
| Cheok | 5/232011 | EFT | Chevton | Fuel | Netva | 290 | 1,400.43 |
| Chark | 5/24/2011 | EFT | Chewron | Fuat | Notva | 23.33 | 1,423.76 |
| Check | 5/25/2011 | Ef | TX Med Cr Garage | Paxiling | Netva | 8.00 | 1,429.78 |
| Chack | 51268011 | EFT | TX Med Ctr Garage | peating | Nava | ${ }_{6}^{6.00}$ | 1,435.76 |
| Chack | 6,27/2011 | EFT | TX Med Cr Garage | perting | Nedva | 5.00 | 1,440.76 |
| Check | 53312011 | EFT | 7X Med Ctr Garegs | parking | Nelva | 6.00 | 1,446.76 |
| Crneck | 53312011 | EFT | Chevtrom | Fuel | Nolva | 24.48 | 1,471.24 |
| Check | 531/2011 | EFT | TX Med Crir Garage | perking | Nelva | 200 | 1,473.24 |
| Chack | 6/32011 | EFT | Chevon | Fuat | Nefva | 24.00 | 1,497.24 |
| Chack | 6/6/2017 | EFT | Exocon Mcbi | Fued | Netra | 43.12 | 1,540.36 |
| Check | 6712011 | EFT | Chevron | Fuel | Natve | 22.92 | 1,563.28 |
| cheok | 68/2011 | EFT | Exxom Mobd | Fuer | Nolva | 22.08 | 1,585.36 |
| Chack | 611312011 | EFT | Exxon Mobi | Fual | Netva | 23.84 | 1,609.20 |
| Check | 8/142011 | EFT | Exron Mabi | Fual | Nefva | 29.37 | 1,639.57 |
| Chack | 8,1512011 | EFT | Chevron | Fuel | Netva | 28.47 | 1,865.04 |
| Chack | 6/2012011 | EFT | Exxan Mobil | Fual | Natva | 25.60 | 1,690.64 |
| Check | $6 / 2172011$ | EFT | Chevon | Fuat | Noiva | 22.58 | 1,71722 |
| Check | 62772011 | EfT | Chevron | Fuel | Netve | 22.13 | 1,742.35 |
| Creck | 6/28/2011 | EFT | Chevtor | Fuel | Nelva | 2270 | 1,785.05 |
| Chack | 71/2011 | EFT | Chevron | Fuel | Natua | 28.35 | 1,791.30 |
| Choct | 71520011 | EFT | Shell | Fuel | Nolva | 23.05 | 1.814.35 |
| Check | $7 / 5 / 2011$ | EFT | Chevron | Fuol | Natra | 28.68 | 1,844.21 |
| Check | 7812814 | EFT | Chevion | Fual | Nelva | 25.68 | 1,866.89 |
| Check | 7/11/2011 | EFI | Chawon | Fuel | Natve | 21.07 | 1,887.96 |
| Chock | 71132011 | EFT | Chenton | Fual | Notve | 23.37 | 1,911.33 |
| Check | 71182011 | EFT | Exxon Mobil | Fual | Natra | 25.35 | 1,936.68 |
| Cruck | 711927211 | EFT | Crevron | Fued | Nelva | 30.18 | 1,966.88 |
| Check | 720/2011 | EFT | Chevren | Fued | Notva | 24.10 | 1,990.96 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | . | Page 7 |
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| Typo | Dute | Num | Nams | Mamo |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Check | 11/82011 | eft | Bank of America | Extemal transter fiee - 3 Day |
| Check | 11/9/2011 | EFT | Bank of Amsrica | TX Tr payment to Sto 2575 banking |
| General Jouma | 11/10/2011 | EJ20140148 |  | Saft Dopost Box Rent Refund Fde |
| Check | 11/14/2011 | EFT | Bank of America | Sata bax fee |
| General Joumal | 11/1822011 | EJ20111104 |  | Estate Service fee |
| General Journal | 11/21/2011 | EJ2011110s |  | Wres Traneter Foe |
| Check | 11/21/2011 | EfT | Bank 01 Arserica | wira ransier tos |
| General Joumal | $11 / 222011$ | EJ2011tiou |  | Fee Ofisex Less Acmin Feo |
| Check General Joumal | 12142011 | Debit | Bank of America-Bnun... | Check order |
| Generad Joumral | 1223/2011 | EJ20111223 |  | Estato Valua |
| General Joumal | 12/31/2011 | EJ20111204 |  | Rea Oisem JPMorran Fed Mongy Market let a |
| General doumal | 1/8/2012 | EJ20120103 |  | let C |
| Check | 1/11/2012 | EFT | Bank of America |  |
| Genteral Journal | 1/19/2012 | EJ20120105 |  | Feo Offeor Less Admin Feo |
| Ganoral Journal | 2312012 | EJJ20120203 |  | Redeomed JP Morgen Fed Mon Mkt hnst CI |
| General Journal | 22442012 | EJ20120204 |  | Fee Offiset Lexs Admin Fee |
| Ganeral Joumal | 228/2012 | EJ20120221 |  | Anmeat Service $F$ as |
| Check | $3 / 5 / 2012$ | TXFR | Bank of America | Extemal transfer feo - 3 day |
| General Joumal | ${ }_{3}^{3182012}$ | Ej20120303 |  | Redoem JP Morgen Fex Mon Mkd Inst CI |
|  | 3 H 52012 | ETT | Eank of America |  |
| Check | 311882012 | EFT | Bank of America | Roturned Irem Chargebock Foe |
| General Joumal | 3/2A/2012 | E.J20120307 |  | Fee Offeet Loss Admin Fee |
| General Journal | 4/5/2012 | EJ20920403 |  | Redeem JP Morgan Fed Mon Mkt |
| General Jourral | 4/20/2012 | E.J20122404 |  | Foe Oniset Less Admin Fee |
| General Joumal | $51 / 42012$ | EJ20120503 |  | Redaem JP Morgan Fed Mon Mkt |
| Genaral Jocimal | 531302012 | EJ20120506 |  | Feo Offret Less Admin Fee |
| General Joumal | 8/5/2012 | E120120603 |  | Redeem JP Morgan Fed Mor Makt |
| General Joumal | 6/25/2012 | EJ20120607 |  | Fea Ofisel Les Admin Fee |
| Genoral toumel | - 77812012 | EJ20120703 |  | Redeem JP Morgan Fed Mon Mkt |
| Check | $7 M 772012$ | EFT | Bank of America | External transter fee - 3 Day |
| General Joumal | 712712012 | EJ20120704 |  | Feo Offeet Less Admin Fee |
| General Joumal | 8312012 | EJ20120903 |  | Reseam JP Morgan Fed Man Mkt |
| General Joumal | 8 | EJ20120804 |  | Foe Ofiseat Less A AOmin Fee |
| General Joumal | \%2512012 | E. 220120907 |  |  |
| Genneral Joumal | 10/4/2012 | EJ20121003 |  |  |
| Generaj Joumal | 10/24/2012 | EJ20121006 |  | Fee Offiset Leess Admin Foe |
| General Joumal | 11/6/2012 | EJ20121 103 |  | Redoem JP Morgan Fed Mon Mkt |
| General Joumal | 11/30/2012 | EJ20121104 |  | Fse Ofteel Less Admin Feo |
| Generad Joumal | 12 R 21212 | EJ20121203 |  | Radeem JP Morgan Fed Mon Mkt |
| Gerneral Joummal | ${ }^{12 / 21 / 2012}$ | EJ20121219 |  | ${ }^{\text {Feo Offred Less Admin Foe }}$ |
| General Joumal General Joumal | $1 / 12013$ 1/25/2013 | E.J20130102 |  | Redoem JP Moryan Fed Mor Mkt |
| Ceneral Joumal | 21522013 | Ev20130203 |  | Fee Ofiget Less Admin Fet |
| Genarel doumal | 21222013 | EJ20130204 |  | Fee Officet Less Admin Fee |
| General Joumal | 2288/2013 | EJ20130222 |  | Anrual Foe |
| Generel Joumal | $37 / 2013$ | E. 20130303 |  | Redeem JP Margan Fed Mon Mkt |
| General Jouma! | 31912013 | EJ20130306 |  | Feo Offsel Lese Admin Fee |
| General Journal | 4/9/2013 | EJ20130403 |  | Rocooom JP Margan Fed Mon Mkt |
| Genaral Journal | 4/1822013 | EJ20130404 |  | Fea Oftaet Loss Admin Fee |
| Generel Journal | 5772013 |  | Bank of Amm | Mantily Foe |
| General Joumal | $5 / 282013$ | EJJ20130504 |  | Redeern JP Morgan Fod Mon Mkt <br> Fee Offrot Leas Adrman Fee |
| Total Bank \& Brokerage Chargias |  |  |  |  |
| Check*/Csath to Fundly Members |  |  |  |  |
| Check | 12/21/2010 | 6849 | Any Brunsting Tscciru... | Chrismas Gits |
| Check | 122112010 | EFT | Amy Brunsting Tecchis... | Transtar Confimmaton \#3403973884 |
| Check | 1231/2010 | ATM | Cash | TX Tr Cash Withdrawal al Benking Center Town and Country |
| Chack | 171222011 | ATM | Cash | ATM 01/11 H000007185 |
| Check | 9/1922011 | EFT | Amy Tschiltart | dis to G Vin letitefisch's dated 7/5/43 |
| Check | 1/25/2019 | 115 | Cesh | CAsh - Cash 01725 \#000006811 |
| Check | $2 / 222011$ | 140 | Cash | Cast |
| Check | 3/14/2011 | 149 | Candace Curts |  |
| Check | 3/20/2011 | 7007 | Amy Erusing | Reimbursement for supplies |
| Check | $4 / 712011$ | EFT | Candaca Curtia | Gits Givenisof soct 2272tios to G Vio letter/sach's dated 715/13 |
| Chreck | 4/21/2011 | EFT | Best uy | Tho phone |
| Check | $5 / 102011$ 51272011 | 7014 | TDECU | Luke Truck lien to G Vio letter/sch's dated 7/15/43 |
| Check | 6/272011 | EFT | The victorla Col | Luke college in ileu of Arita Trustee toe per $\mathbf{G}$ Vie letter KI college - Ach DES.Contritution 1D:0000 |
| Check | 6/32011 | EFT | Am-Honda | For Katio DESSPMT 10:000001032223 tes 10 G Vie |
| Check | 6/8/2019 | EFT | Crase Crooth Card | in liou of Anita Trustoe fee as per G Vie letior DESSPPAY ID:11... |
| Check | 6/8/2011 | TXFR | Candace Curtis | Candy Curtis to ckg. . 2272 tes to $G$ Vio letioefisch's deted 7/15... |
| Check | 8/132011 | TXFR | Amy Tschintiart | Reimbursement - Supplies to ifx house |
| Cheok | 61232011 | 240 | Luka Rlay | Household yard work |
| Cheok | $7 / 612019$ | EFT | Banto America Cro.. | in liou of Aritu Trumes foe as per G vie letter 5 emt transposed... |
| Check | 71152011 | EFT | Bank of America Cre... |  |
| Check | 71482011 | EFT | Chase Crodit Card | in heu of Avita Trustoe foe as per $G$ vie letterDES:EPAY ID:115... |
| Check | 7126/2011 | Eft | Ary Tschiethart | Reintursement supples to fix house |
| Check | 8/242011 | EFT | Candace Curtis | Heas to G Vio lettor/5cci's daled 7115/13 |
| Check | 81242011 | EFT | Candace Curtis | to chk 2839 |
| Check | $8 / 25 / 2011$ | EFT | Candace Curtis | to chir 2839 |
| Chack | $8 / 252011$ | EFT | Candace Curtis | to ctik 2839 |
| Creck | 8/28/2011 | EFT | UTSA Adriussions | Luke cotloge - Education |


| Clase | Amount | Balance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Survivor | 3.00 | 3,305.79 |
| Sunvivor | 25.00 | 3,330.79 |
| Survivor | -82.00 | 3,248.79 |
| Survivor | 135.00 | 3,383.79 |
| Suntivar | 100.00 | 3,483.79 |
| Suruvor | 25.00 | 3,508.79 |
| Survivor | 12.00 | 3.520.78 |
| Eimer | -13.47 | 3,507.32 |
| Survivor | 28.00 | 3,533.32 |
| Survisor | 50.00 | 3,583.32 |
| Elmer | -13.85 | 3,569.47 |
| Elmer | 256.62 | 3,826.09 |
| Etrer | 264.78 | 4,090.87 |
| Elmer | 14.00 | 4,104.87 |
| Elmor | -13.09 | 4,091.78 |
| Elmer | 289.92 | 4,361.70 |
| Etroer | -12.21 | 4,349.49 |
| Survivor | 40.00 | 4,389.48 |
| Survivor | 3.00 | 4,392.49 |
| Emmer | 280.41 | 4,852.90 |
| Etrmer | 31.00 | 4,683.90 |
| Survivor | 12.00 | 4,695.90 |
| Survivor | 70.30 | 4,766.20 |
| Emmer | -12.62 | 4,753.58 |
| Emer | 283.77 | 5.037.35 |
| Elmer | -11.53 | 5.025.82 |
| Ermer | 272.29 | 5,298.11 |
| Ermar | -11.98 | 5,286.13 |
| Emmar | 272.55 | 5,558.68 |
| Eimer | -12.29 | 5,546.39 |
| Elmer | 259.71 | 5,808.10 |
| Suntvor | 3.00 | 5,809.10 |
| Elmer | -16.56 | 5,792.54 |
| Elmer | 275.06 | 6,067.60 |
| Elmer | -18.89 | 6,050.99 |
| Emmer | 281.37 | 6,332.28 |
| Elmer | -16.75 | 6.315 .53 |
| Eltrer | 278.62 | 6,594.15 |
| Elner | -17.20 | 8,576.93 |
| Emer | 288.03 | 6,864.98 |
| Etmer | -17.01 | 6,847.97 |
| Elmer | 275.75 | 7.123.72 |
| Emmer | -17.22 | 7,108.50 |
| Emer | 290.80 | 7,397.30 |
| Elmer | -16.98 | 7,380.32 |
| Ermer | 299.80 | 7,680.12 |
| Elmer | -17.22 | 7.682.90 |
| Survivor | 40.00 | 7,700.90 |
| Elmer | 273.58 | 7,976.48 |
| Elmar | -18.33 | 7,958.15 |
| Emer | 306.53 | 8,264.68 |
| Elmer | -17.32 | 8,247.36 |
| Nelva | - 12.00 | 8,259.30 |
| Emer | 298.51 | 8,557.87 |
| Elmer | -17.25 | 8,540.62 |
|  | 8,540.62 | 6,540.62 |


| Nelva | 200.00 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Nelva | 7,000.00 |
| Nelva | 25.00 |
| Nelva | 40.00 |
| Survivor | 6,000.00 |
| Natra | 10.00 |
| Netra | 100.00 |
| Netva | 100.00 |
| Netva | 25.00 |
| Suratuor | 40.00 |
| Survivor | 3,000.00 |
| Netra | 376.38 |
| Survivor | 5,443.22 |
| Surviver | 461.00 |
| Suntior | 500.00 |
| Suntivar | 5,750.51 |
| Surviver | 2,358.75 |
| Survivor | 2,000.00 |
| Survivar | 100.00 |
| Nelva | 25.00 |
| Sursivor | 2,364,34 |
| Surviver | 2,976.35 |
| Suntivor | 7,242.83 |
| Survivor | 1,998.19 |
| Survivor | 100.00 |
| Surviva | 2,000,00 |
| Netve | 75.00 |
| Nealva | 15.00 |
| Netre | 15.00 |
| Sunvirar | 575.00 |
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Detail of Accounts
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ry
Brunsting Family Living Trust
Detail of Accounts
12/21/2010-05/31/2013

| Type | - Dato | Num | Name | Mamo | Clees | Amount | Belance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Check | 7/22/2011 | 279 | Katrina Herper |  | Nalva | 465.00 | 79,317.24 |
| Check | 72252019 | 277 | Daisy Haper |  | Nalva | 60.00 | 79,377.24 |
| Check | 7/25/2011 | 291 | Robert Centu |  | Neliva | 765.00 | 80,142.24 |
| Check | 7282011 | 282 | Tho |  | Nelva | 705.00 | 80,847.24 |
| Check | 8172011 | 283 | Robert Cantu |  | Nelva | 1,018.00 | 81,863.24 |
| Check | 811/2011 | 284 | Katrina Herper |  | Natra | 1,062.47 | $82,927.71$ |
| Check | 814/2011 | 288 | Tho |  | Nolve | 907.50 | 83,835. 21 |
| Check | 8sarz2011 | 289 | Robort Cantu |  | Nelva | 930.00 | 84,765.21 |
| Check | 89/2011 | 290 | Katrina Heapar |  | Nelva | 465.00 | 85,230.21 |
| Check | 84112019 | 291 | Tino |  | Nelva | 1.125.00 | 86,355 21 |
| Check | 881512011 | 301 | Robert Centu |  | Nelve | 946.00 | 87,301.21 |
| Check | $845 / 2011$ | 302 | Koutina Happar |  | Nalva | 450.00 | 87,751.21 |
| Check | 8/18/2011 | 303 | Tho |  | Netva | 1.146.23 | 88,898.04 |
| Check | 81922011 | 304 | Robert Canku |  | Nelve | 172.50 | 89,070.54 |
| Check | 8/19/2011 | 308 | Katina Harpar |  | Netra | 459.50 | 89,530.04 |
| Criock | 82222011 | 308 | Robert Curitu |  | Neifa | 735.00 | 90,285.04 |
| Cheok | 8/24/2011 | 309 | Trio |  | Nelva | 1,110.00 | 91,375.04 |
| Check | 82920011 | 311 | Robart Centu |  | Netra | 1,004.00 | 92,379.04 |
| Check | $8 / 302011$ | 312 | Katrine Harper |  | Netra | 517.50 | 92,998.54 |
| Check | 91/2011 | 313 314 | The | \% | Netra | 1,162.50 | 94,059.04 |
| Check | 9/6/2011 | 314 | Katrina Happer |  | Netra | 173.00 | 94,232.04 |
| check | 91812011 | 315 | Robert Cants |  | Netra | 750.00 | 94,982.04 |
| Check | $9181 / 2011$ | 316 | Dabsy Herper |  | Nelva | 80.00 | 95,062.04 |
| Check | 9862011 | 317 318 | Katina Happer |  | Neive | \$40.00 | 95.502 .04 |
| Check | 9ran2011 | 318 | Tino |  | Nelve | 1,193.59 | 96,695.63 |
| Check | 9122011 | 319 | Robert Cantu |  | Nelva | 750.00 | 97,445.83 |
| Check | 91132017 | 328 | Katrina Harper |  | Nelva | 628.15 | 98,073.78 |
| Check | 9115/2011 | 330 | Tino |  | Nelva | 1,034.67 | 89,109.45 |
| Check | 9/19/2011 | 332 | Robert Candu |  | Netva | 715.00 | 99,823.45 |
| Check | 9202011 | 334 | Katina Herper |  | Netra | 578.00 | 100,399.45 |
| Chack | 82220011 | 335 | Thro |  | Netra | 1,054.46 | 101,453.91 |
| Check | $9 / 2612011$ | 338 | Robert Cantu |  | Netre | 784.88 | 102,238.77 |
| Check | 9/27/2011 | 339 | Katina Herper | \% | Neliva | 830.00 | 102,888.77 |
| Check | 9/292011 | 340 | Tmo |  | Netra | 810.23 | 103,879.06 |
| Check | 10/3/2011 | 341 | Robert Centu |  | Nelve | 976.34 | 104,855.40 |
| Chack | 10/4/2011 | 342 | Katrina hemper |  | Netra | 578.57 | 105,234.97 |
| creck | 1018/2011 | 344 | The |  | Netre | 1,030.00 | 106.261.97 |
| Check | 10712011 | 346 | Robart Cantu |  | Netre | 165.00 | 106,428.97 |
| Check | 10/11/2011 | 348 | Robort Cantu |  | Netva | 570.00 | 106,8996.97 |
| Check | 10/11/2011 | 349 | Katina Haper |  | Netva | 581.66 | 107,578.83 |
| Check | 1011/2011 | 350 | Robort Cantu |  | Nelva | 240.00 | 107,818.83 |
| Check | 10142011 | 351 | Robert Cantu |  | Nalva | 515.00 | 108,333.63 |
| Check | 10/17/2011 | 352 | Robart Cantu |  | Nelva | 570.00 | 106,903.63 |
| Creck | 10118/2011 | 353 | Katrina Harper |  | Netva | 995.00 | 109,888.63 |
| Check | 1019/2014 | 355 | Tho. |  | Netva | 1.342.50 | 111,231.13 |
| Check | 10/21/20+1 | 358 | Katrina Happar |  | Netra | 185.00 | $111,388.13$ |
| Check | 102420011 | ${ }^{363}$ | Robert Cantu |  | Nelva | ${ }^{880.00}$ | 112.256 .13 |
| Chreck | $10252 / 2011$ | 3365 | Katrina Hamper |  | Nelva | 370.00 | 112,626.13 |
| Check | 102262011 | 365 | Trio |  | Netva | 1,187.19 | 113,813.32 |
| Check | 10/31/2011 | CHK | Unknown payee |  | Netra | 793.00 | 114,608.32 |
| Cherk | 1031281211 | 366 | Kavina Haper |  | Netva | 165.00 | 114,771.32 |
| Chock | $11 / 1 / 2011$ | 375 | Katina Harpor |  | Netra | 540.00 | 115,311.32 |
| Check | 11/42011 | 378 = | Tho |  | Netra | 1,235.29 | 146,546,61 |
| Check | 11712019 | 377 | Robert Cantu |  | Netra | 885.00 | 117,431.61 |
| check | 11/1/2011 | 401 | Katrina Herper |  | Nelva | 360.00 | 117,791.81 |
| Check | 11/14/2011 | 431 | Lstoya Haper |  | Nelve | 90.00 | 117,881.61 |
| Check | 11/14/2011 | 432 | Katrina Harper |  | Nelva | 810.00 | 118,691.61 |
| Creck | 11/14/2011 | 433 | Robert Cantu |  | Nelvas | \$41.00 | 199,232.84 |
|  | Total in Home Care |  |  |  |  | 119,232.61 | 119,232.61 |
|  | Medical Supplies |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Check | 1/3/2011 | ${ }_{684}$ | Medical Alos |  | Surrivor | 32.48 | 32.48 |
| Check | 1/192011 | 104 | Duko Medical Equipm... |  | Notva | 2.54 | 35.02 |
| Cherk | $4 / 222011$ | 184 | Duka Medical Equipm... |  | Netva | 17.75 | 52.77 |
| Chock | 7712011 | 7023 | Duke Medical Equlpm..., |  | Survivor | 7.62 | 60.39 |
| Check | $77 / 2011$ | 251 | Duke Medical Equipm... | Suppliea | Nolva | 5.08 | 65.47 |
|  | Total Medical Supplies |  |  |  |  | 85.47 | 65.47 |
|  | Modical Expenmes - Ot |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Check | 1/40/2011 | EFT | Walgreena | Food 8 Dining Grocerles POS DEB 1943 01/03/11 00027165 | Neplva | 21.82 | 21.62 |
| Check | $1 / 1812011$ | 103 | Memorial City Herman |  | Nelva | 220.00 | 241.62 |
| Cheok | 1/192011 | 105 | Memorial Cilicical Ass... | Doctior | Netva | 8.02 | 249.64 |
| Check | 1/1912019 | 109 | Rediology West | Doctor | Notra | 1.23 | 250.87 |
| Check | 1/20/2011 | 106 | Mamoria Cily Surgical... | Doctor | Netra | 39.74 | 290.89 |
| Check | 22/2011 | 118 | Mambrial Pathotogy C... | Doctor | Nelva | 7.10 | 297.71 |
| Check | $27 / 2011$ | 117 | Rosewood Family Ph... | Doctor | Nelva | 65.00 | 362.71 |
| Check | 29/2011 | 127 | Schiolctier Dental | Dentist | Helva | 106.00 | 457.79 |
| Check | 21772011 | 134 | Medical Chest Assoct... | Doctor | Natra | 15.01 | 482.72 |
| Chack | 3 3/92011 | 151 | Memorial City Hemmenn |  | Nelva | 181.58 | ${ }^{664.30}$ |
| Check | $3 \mathrm{HOL2011}$ | 150 | Ractiology Weat |  | Nolva | 5.37 | 869.67 |
| Check | 3/14/2011 | 153 | ACS Pitmary Care |  | Netva | 7.56 | 677.23 |
| Check | 4/18/2011 | 188 | ACS Primary Care |  | Nelva | 7.23 | 684.46 |
| Check | 4/1922011 | 183 | Medical Chess Associ... | Doctor | Notva | 19.52 | 703.98 |
| Check | $4 / 22 / 2011$ | 193 | Cardioldoget Assoc of ... |  | Netva | 28.60 | 732.58 |
| Check | 6/232011 | 254 | Memorial Chicea Ass... | Doctior | Netva | 5.76 | 738.34 |
| Check | 7/1/2011 | 260 | Schiolether Dental | Dentar | Notha | 143.00 | 881.34 |
| Check | 7862011 | 7024 | Medical Chest Assod,... | Mealical: Doctor | Surivor | 4.12 | 885.46 |
| Check | 8/5/2011 | 285 | Dt. Achari | Doctor | Netva | 24.98 | 910.44 |
|  | +5 |  |  |  |  |  | Pago 15 |
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Type \& Date \& Num \& Name \& Memo \& Clams \& Amount \& Belance <br>
\hline Cheek \& 811520011 \& 298 \& memorial Hermenn M... \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline Chock \& 81162011 \& 299 \& ACS Primery Cara \& \& Naiva
Nelva \& ${ }^{13.47}$ \& 923.91 <br>
\hline Check \& 81192011 \& 297 \& Azmal Khan MDPA \& Doctor \& Nelva \& 7.23 \& 931.14 <br>
\hline Check \& 829912011 \& 310 \& Legends Pharmacy \& \& Netiva Nelva \& 10.13
42.00 \& ${ }^{941.27}$ <br>
\hline Check \& 914322011 \& 323 \& Oontax \& Doctor \& Nelva \& + 152.00 \& - 91338.67 <br>
\hline Check \& 9/13/2011 \& 324 \& Merrorial Cly Hermann \& \& Nelva \& 25.00 \& 1, 1638.67 <br>
\hline Cherk \& 9716272011 \& 321 \& ACS Primary Care \& Ooctor \& Neva \& ${ }^{25.00}$ \& $1,163.67$
$1,170.54$ <br>
\hline Chock \& 92812011 \& 320 \& Me. Khawala \& \& Nelva \& 59.77 \& 1,230.31 <br>
\hline Check \& 10182011 \& 355 \& OC Prammay \& Medkine \& Notva \& 28.04 \& 1,258.35 <br>
\hline Check \& 10/1912011 \& 354 \& Oncology Consudtants \& Doctor \& Notva \& 10.00 \& 1,268.35 <br>
\hline Chock \& 11712011 \& EFT \& Moth nutirit Sves H \& \& Netra \& 22.48 \& 1,290.83 <br>
\hline Check \& 11/10/2011 \& 371 \& Dr. Actrari \& Doctor \& Neva \& 8.12 \& 1,298.95 <br>
\hline Check \& 11/10/2011 \& 372 \& Northwoods Urology \& Doctor \& Nelya \& 28.30 \& 1,328.25 <br>
\hline Check \& 11/14/2011 \& 374 \& Madical Chest Astoci... \& Doctor \& Neava \& 84.97 \& 1,413.22 <br>
\hline Check \& 12 Pr 2011 \& 7041 \& Justin Alexander \& foy kt -reimburse Medical \& Nelva \& 34.42
40.00 \& 1,447.04 <br>
\hline Creck \& $12 / 15 / 2011$ \& 103 \& Mermorial City Hermann \& Doctor \& Survivor \& 41.72 \& $1,487.84$
1.529 .36 <br>
\hline Check \& 12122/2011 \& 108 \& Mermorial City Hemmen \& Doctor \& Survivor \& 13.92 \& 1,543.28 <br>
\hline Check \& 12/22/2011 \& 109 \& ACS Primary Cars \& Doctor \& Suntivor \& 228.40 \& 1,769.68 <br>
\hline Check \& 1/23/2012 \& 113 \& Northwoods Urology \& Doctor \& Survvor \& 740.87 \& 1,776.55 <br>
\hline Check \& $2124 / 2012$ \& 112 \& Dr. Amio Urall ${ }^{\text {a }}$ \& Doctor \& Sulvivor \& 740.77
44.06 \& 2.517.32 <br>
\hline Check \& 414/2012 \& 120 \& Housten Progressivo ... \& Doctor \& Survivor \& 44.06
2.20 \& ${ }_{2}^{2,561.38}$ <br>
\hline Check \& 4/16/2012 \& 121 \& Mecical Chest Assod... \& Doctor \& Survivor \& ${ }_{5}^{2.40}$ \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& 2,563.58 \\
& 2,568.98
\end{aligned}
$$ <br>
\hline \& cical Expens \& - Other \& \& \& \& 2,568.88 \& 2,568.98 <br>
\hline Total \& al Expensas \& \& \& \& \& 121.867,06 \& <br>
\hline mircel \& ous Expenaz \& \& \& \& \& 121,067.06 \& 121,867.06 <br>
\hline Check \& $1 / 192011$ \& 107 \& Hull coos \& Misc, \& \& \& <br>
\hline Check \& 11/14/2011 \& WDRL \& Withcrawet \& NO IAFORMATION GIVEN FDR THIS TRANSACTION AND BA.. \& Netva \& \& <br>
\hline Check \& 11/4/2011 \& EFT \& Houston Matro Ca \& Misc ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ( \& Nelva \& $6,500.00$
$: 15.22$ \& $$
\begin{array}{r}
6,738.50 \\
6,753.72 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$ <br>
\hline Total \& lianeous Expe \& \& \& \& \& 6,753.72 \& 6.753.72 <br>
\hline Offee \& plos \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline Check \& 1/1322011 \& EFT \& Bank of Amenica \& Check Order \& Survivor \& 15.00 \& <br>
\hline \& 1231/2012 \& \& Office Depot \& Printar ink \& Survivor \& 48.70 \& 63.70 <br>
\hline total \& Supplias \& \& \& - \& \& 63.70 \& 3.70 <br>
\hline Paym \& to Cratar Can \& \& \& , \& \& \& <br>
\hline Creck \& 211/2011 \& EFT \& Bank of Americs Cra. \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline Check \& 31/2011 \& EFT \& Bank of Ammica Cros.: \& Household \& Nalva \& 43.29 \& 43.29 <br>
\hline Check \& 3/18/2011 \& EFT \& Bark of Ammerici Cre... \& \& Nelva \& 282.47
84.82 \& 325.76
410.58 <br>
\hline Chock \& 4112011 \& EFT \& Bank of America Cra... \& Payment \& Surravor \& 388.00 \& 410.58 <br>
\hline Chock \& 5/212011 \& EFT \& Bank of America Cre.., \& \& Surrivor \& 2,967.61 \& 3,416.19 <br>
\hline Chock \& 6112011 \& EFT \& Bank of America Cre... \& Craoit card \& Survivor \& $8,355.65$ \& 9,771.84 <br>
\hline ${ }_{\text {Check }}$ \& 9112011 \& EFT \& Bank of America Cra... \& (3) \& Survivor \& 3,256.32 \& 13,028.16 <br>
\hline Cherk \& 1222011 \& EfT \& Bank of America Cre... \& \& Survivor \& ${ }^{3323.88}$ \& 13,352.04 <br>
\hline creck \& $22 / 2012$ \& EFT \& Bank of America Cre... \& \& Survivor \& 359.79 \& 13,711.83 <br>
\hline Check \& $32 / 2012$ \& EFT \& Bank of Amertca Cra... \& \& Survivor \& 269.84 \& 13,991.67 <br>
\hline Tota \& of Americs \& redil Cards \& \& \& \& \& 14,042.99 <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& 14,042.99 \& 14.042.99 <br>
\hline \& net Credh Un \& On Cred Gd \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline Check ${ }_{\text {General Joumal }}$ \& $1 / 1812011$
$1 / 192011$ \& EFT ${ }_{\text {EJ }}$ \& Bank of America Cra... \& Payment \& Nelva \& 725.00 \& 725.00 <br>
\hline Check \& 1/19/2011
$1 / 21 / 2011$ \& EJ20120455 \& \& Return of Posted Chack / Ilem (R - BOA Cr Cd paymem \& Nelva \& .725.00 \& 0.00 <br>
\hline Chock \& 314/2011 \& 152 \& Bank of Amanica Cra... \& Payment \& Natra \& 725.00 \& 725.00 <br>
\hline Cheok \& 3/15/2011 \& 312 \& Cardmernber Serv \& Crediticard \& Notve \& 3,248.57 \& 3,973.57 <br>
\hline Check \& 5126/2019 \& 225 \& Burebonnat Credh Uni... \& Crodit card \& Noive \& 111.00
1.852

1 \& 4,084.57 <br>
\hline Check \& 5/27/2011 \& EFT \& Bluebonnet Credit uni.. \& wimedical \& Survivor \& 1,864.49 \& 7,936.81 <br>
\hline Check \& 6/21,2011 \& 9000 \& Cardmember Sers \& payment \& Natva \& 195.00 \& <br>
\hline Check \& 71482011 \& EFT \& Blusbonnet Credil Uux.. \& w medical \& Suruvor \& 175.47 \& 8.977177 <br>
\hline Check \& 8116/2014 \& EFT \& Bluebonnat Cradtr Uni... \& with medcel \& Sunivor \& 1.172.08 \& 88.343 .85 <br>
\hline Check \& 9/1922011 \& EFT \& Bluebonnat Cradt Uni... \& wimedical \& Struivor \& +790.04 \& - $10,133.89$ <br>
\hline Check \& 1018182011 \& EFT \& Bluebonnat Credit uni... \& w/metical \& Surivor \& ${ }_{697.84}$ \& $10,133.89$
10.821 .73 <br>
\hline Check \& 11/29/2011 \& EFT \& Bkebonnat Cradit Uni... \& uncturdes medical \& Survivor \& 1,165.23 \& 10,821.73
11,986.96 <br>
\hline Total \& abonnat Credit \& Union Crad Ca \& \& \& \& 11,986.96 \& 11,986.98 <br>
\hline Total Pe \& nts to Creadi Cor \& \& \& \& \& 28,08905 \& <br>
\hline Person \& \& \& \& \& \& 26,029.95 \& 26,029.95 <br>
\hline Check \& 2/25/2011 \& 139 \& Sivana \& Hair \& \& \& <br>
\hline Check \& 5/27/2011 \& 230 \& Sthrana \& nuir \& Nelve \& 25.00 \& 52.00
77.00 <br>
\hline Check \& ${ }^{\text {6/13/2011 }}$ \& EFT \& Target \& Shopping-Ciothing \& Nolva \& 53.12 \& 130.12 <br>
\hline Check \& 6/132011 \& EFF \& J. Pennay
JC Pennoy \& Shopping - Clothing \& Nelva \& 125.93 \& 256.05 <br>
\hline Check \& 6r20r2019 \& EFT \& ${ }^{\text {J C Pemmay }}$ \& Shopping - Cbithing \& Nolva \& 61.70 \& 317.75 <br>
\hline General Joumal \& 68212019 \& EJ20120468 \& \& ATM - Targat - Shopping - Clothing \& Nolva \& 251.94
-53.12 \& 569.69
518.57 <br>

\hline | Check |
| :--- |
| General toumal | \& 8/212011 7/11/2011 \& EFT EJ20120470 \& Target \& Shopping - Clothing \& Nolva \& 30.64 \& 547.41

54.48 <br>
\hline Cneck \& 77112011 \& EFT \& \& ATM JCPennay Shopping - Ciothing \& Natva \& -140.42 \& 406.99 <br>
\hline Chack \& 7/11/2011 \& EFT \& ${ }^{\text {J C P Pemray }}$ \& Shopping - Clotring \& Netra \& 102.77 \& 509.76 <br>

\hline Check \& 7/182011 \& EFT \& 3 C Perney \& Shopping - Clathing \& Netra \& $$
\begin{array}{r}
80.05 \\
200.33
\end{array}
$$ \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 589.81 \\
& 788.14
\end{aligned}
$$
\] <br>

\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{} \& \& \& \& \& 798.14 \& 798.14 <br>
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pet Crare} \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

Brunsting Famlly Living Trust
Detall of Accounts
12/21/2010-05/31/2013



Case 4:12-cv-00592 Document 62 Filed in TXSD on 08/08/13 Page 36 of 38

## Brunsting Family Living Trust

Detail of Accounts
12/21/2010-05/31/2013


Case 4:12-cv-00592 Document 62 Firtis Filed in Trunsting
Stock Distribution Analysis
Exhibit 3

| Approximate$\qquad$ | Exxon/Mobil |  | Chevron Corporation |  | Totals |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Shares | Value | Shares | Value | Shares | Value |
| Amy Brunsting |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5/11/2011 | 1,120.00000 | 90,854.40 |  |  | 1,120.00000 | 90,854.40 |
| Carole Brunsting |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6/15/2011 | 1,325.00000 | 110,597.75 |  |  | 1,325.00000 | 110,597.75 |
| Candy Curtis 10, 110.385 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6/15/2011 | 160.00000 | 13,355.20 |  |  | 160.00000 | 13,355.20 |
| Ann Brunsting $13,355.20$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6/15/2011 |  |  | 135.00000 | 14,162.85 | 135.00000 | 14,162.85 |
| Jack Brunsting - , |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6/15/2011 |  |  | 135.00000 | 14,162.85 | 135.00000 | 14,162.85 |
| Katie Riley |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6/15/2011 |  |  | 135.00000 | 14,162.85 | 135.00000 | 14,162.85 |
| Luke Riley |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6/15/2011 |  |  | 135.00000 | 14,162.85 | 135.00000 | 14,162.85 |
| Anita Brunsting : . |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6/15/2011 | 160.00000 | 13,355.20 | 135.00000 | 14,162.85 | 295.00000 | 27,518.05 |
| Totals | 2,765.00000 | 228,162.55 | 675.00000 | 70,814.25 | 3,440.00000 | 298,976.80 |
| Recap by Date |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5/11/2011 | 1,120.00000 | 90,854.40 |  |  | 1,120.00000 | 90,854.40 |
| 6/15/2011 | 1,325.00000 | 110,597.75 |  |  | 1,325.00000 | 110,597.75 |
| 6/15/2011 | 320.00000 | 26,710.40 | 675.00000 | 70,814.25 | 995.00000 | 97,524.65 |
|  | 2,765.00000 | 228,162.55 | 675.00000 | 70,814.25 | 3,440.00000 | 298,976.80 |
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## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION

CANDACE LOUISE CURTIS, et al, Plaintiffs, VS.

ANITA KAY BRUNSTING, et al,
Defendants. § § §
§ CIVIL ACTION NO. 4;12-CV-592

## ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO REMAND

The matter before the Court is the Plaintiff's Motion to Remand. Plaintiff seeks remand of the case to state court on substantive and procedural grounds including a lack of complete diversity between the parties and the existence of similar questions of law and fact currently pending before Harris County Probate Court Number Four under Cause Number 412,249. The Court finds that the remand should be GRANTED.

The Court finds that Plaintiff originally filed her Petition against Defendants Anita Brunsting and Amy Brunsting as Co-Trustees of the Brunsting Family Trust and that diversity jurisdiction existed between Plaintiff and Defendants. Plaintiff has sought and been granted leave to file her First Amended Petition, in which she has named additional necessary parties including Carl Brunsting, individually and as Executor of the Estate of Nelva Brunsting and Carole Ann Brunsting, which has destroyed diversity jurisdiction. Plaintiff's First Amended Petition also alleges questions of law and fact similar to those currently pending in Harris County Probate Court Number Four under Cause Number 412,249, and that the possibility of inconsistent judgments exists if these questions of law and fact are not decided simultaneously. The Court further finds that no parties are opposed to this remand and that no parties have filed any objection thereto.

## Case 4:12-cv-00592 Document 112 Filed in TXSD on 05/15/14 Page 2 of 2

It is, therefore, ORDERED that this case shall be and hereby is remanded to Harris County Probate Court Number Four, to be consolidated with the cause pending under Cause Number 412,429.

It is further, ORDERED that all Orders rendered by this Court shall carry the same force and effect through the remand that they would have had if a remand had not been ordered.

SIGNED on this $15^{\text {th }}$ day of May, 2014.


Kenneth M. Hoy
United States District Judge
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PLAINTIFFS RESPONSE TO THE REPORT OF MASTER AND APPLICATIONS FOR ORDERS August 13, 2013 demanding defendants account for EE bonds

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION 

## CANDACE LOUISE CURTIS § <br> Plaintiff, § § <br> v <br> ANITA KAY BRUNSTING, et al. <br> § <br> § <br> Defendants. <br> § <br> CIVIL ACTION NO. 4:12-cv-00592 Jury <br> PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSE TO THE REPORT OF MASTER AND APPLICATIONS FOR ORDERS

## 1. Statement of the Case

1.1 Plaintiff and Defendants are siblings. Their parents, Elmer and Nelva Brunsting, created a living trust for their benefit and for the benefit of their five children. The stated co-successor beneficiary distribution was to be equal, $1 / 5$ for each of the five Brunsting children: Candace, Carole, Carl, Amy, and Anita. The trust was also structured to preserve the Brunsting legacy for Elmer and Nelva's grandchildren.
1.2 Plaintiff Curtis' father died April 1, 2009 and her mother died November 11, 2011.
1.3 On February 27, 2012, Plaintiff filed a pro se complaint in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas, alleging the civil torts of breach of fiduciary, extrinsic and constructive fraud, and intentional infliction of
emotional distress, alleging that the Defendants, acting as trustees for their parents’ trust, failed to notice her of actions affecting her beneficial interests, refused to provide copies of non-protected trust instruments, and refused to account for trust assets or to report on any other acts of administration. On March 8, 2012, Plaintiff's complaint was dismissed under the probate exception to federal diversity jurisdiction. The Plaintiff filed a notice of appeal. On January 30, 2013, the Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals reversed the dismissal. On April 19, 2013, the District Court issued a memorandum and order for preliminary injunction. In the order, the Court ordered the appointment of an independent firm or accountant to gather the financial records of the trust and provide an accounting of the income and expenses of the trust since December 21, 2010. The defendants were ordered to cooperate with the accountant in this process.
1.4 On May 9, 2013, the Court ordered the appointment of William G. West as Master to perform an accounting. The Report of Master ${ }^{1}$, dated July 31, 2013, was submitted to the Court and the Court set this matter for hearing on September 3, 2013, with a deadline for filing objections to the report and the accountant's invoice on or before August 27, 2013.

[^3]
## 2. The Report of Master

### 2.1 The Report of Master ("Report") corroborates Plaintiff's claims that

Defendants have refused to account for trust assets, to report on any other acts of administration, concealed information that they have a fiduciary duty to disclose, and that Defendants failed to keep accurate books and records, and therefore are unwilling or unable to account.
2.2 The Report indicates to Plaintiff that Defendants have failed to keep any books whatsoever, and cannot or will not produce all of the records necessary for a full, true and complete accounting of trust assets, income, and expenditures. Many of these records they have simply claimed as "missing", after numerous requests from the Master to provide the information.
2.3 Therefore, on the basis of the absence of records, as shown by the Report, Plaintiff objects to the accounting provided by Defendants as incomplete, and hereby challenges all transactions.

## 3. General Challenge to Validity of Transactions

3.1 Defendants' inability or unwillingness to disclose supporting evidence that would give the accounting veracity, continues to inform this Plaintiff's belief that Defendants have something to hide.
3.2 Plaintiff hereby generally challenges all transactions, including but not limited to those claimed to be gifts, reimbursements, trustee compensation, and legal expenses. Plaintiff specifically challenges all transactions from which Defendants personally benefited.

## 4. Known Assets of the Trust Unaccounted For

4.1 Plaintiff has personal knowledge that U.S. Treasury Series EE/E Savings Bonds existed after the death of Nelva Brunsting and have not appeared on any schedule of assets to date.

## 5. Application for Order to Obtain Records Regarding U.S. Treasury Bonds

5.1 Plaintiff moves this court for an order for procurement of the records on file with the U.S. Treasury, pursuant to the attached proposed order.

## 6. Application for Order to Obtain Additional Records and Records Noted in the Master's Report to be "Missing"

6.1 The extent to which the trust assets have been mismanaged cannot be determined without complete transparency and documentation. Plaintiff therefore moves this court for an order for procurement of additional and "missing" records pursuant to the attached proposed order.

## 7. Challenge to Validity of Securities Transactions

7.1 The Report reflects stock distributions to the Defendants and their children.

Plaintiff specifically challenges all stock transactions from which Defendants personally benefited. Defendants did not notice Plaintiff, nor obtain her consent, for distributions that benefited the Defendants substantially more than, and to the exclusion of, other co-beneficiaries.

## 8. Other Relief Requested

8.1 Plaintiff requests that Defendants be ordered to bear the costs associated with the execution of these orders.
8.2 Plaintiff further requests that the Preliminary Injunction remain in full force and effect.
8.3 Plaintiff further respectfully requests that this Court grant any other available relief that it finds reasonable or necessary under the totality of the circumstances.

Respectfully submitted, Monday, August 26, 2013

| /s/ |
| :--- |
| Candace Louise Curtis |
| 1215 Ulfinian Way |
| Martinez, CA 94553 |
| 925-759-9020 |
| occurtis@sbcglobal.net |
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Transcript: Hearing on Report of Special Master September 3, 2013

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION

CANDACE LOUISE CURTIS . Civil Action . No. H-12-592

VS.

ANITA KAY BRUNSTING, ET . SEPTEMBER 3, 2013
AL.

- HOUSTON, TEXAS
- 1:38 P.M.

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
BEFORE THE HONORABLE KENNETH M. HOYT
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
APPEARANCES:

FOR PLAINTIFF:

FOR DEFENDANTS:

MS. CANDACE LOUISE
Pro Se
1215 Ulfinian Way Martinez, California 94553

MR. GEORGE WILLIAM VIE, III
MS. MAUREEN McCUTCHEN Mills Shirley LLP 1021 Main Street Suite 1950 Houston, Texas 77002

Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography, transcript produced by computer-aided transcription.

APPEARANCES (Continued) :

FOR SPECIAL MASTER: MR. TIMOTHY AARON MILLION Munsch Hardt, et al. 700 Louisiana Street Suite 4600 Houston, Texas 77002

OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER: MS. STEPHANIE KAY CARLISLE U.S. District Court 515 Rusk, Suite 8016 Houston, Texas 77002 713.250 .5157

ALSO PRESENT:
Mr. William Arthur Potter

## PROCEEDINGS

(September 3, 2013)
THE COURT: This is Cause No. 2012-592, Candace Louise Curtis versus Anita Kay Brunsting and others. And Amy Ruth Brunsting. And I believe the law firm has been sued as well. I'm not sure if they have been served or not. In any event, let's see. Let's get an announcement here.

For the plaintiff, pro se, is that you,
Ms. Curtis?
MS. CURTIS: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: And for the defendants?
MR. VIE: George Vie and Maureen Kuzik McCutchen for the defendants, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I'm sorry, say that again.
MR. VIE: George Vie and Ms. McCutchen for the defendants, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. And I have the special master here as well.

MR. WEST: Good afternoon, Your Honor. William West, special master.

THE COURT: And you have counsel with you?
MR. MILLION: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Timothy Million.

THE COURT: All right. And another gentleman?
MR. WEST: Your Honor, this is my associate, William
A. Potter, $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{T}-\mathrm{T}-\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{R}$.

THE COURT: Okay. Very good. Let's see. We have the special master here as well, I gather, as the defendants, two of the defendants, the two sisters.

I'm not sure. Are both of them serving as
administrators or trustees of the estate?
MR. VIE: They are both co-trustees. Only Anita Brunsting is here today.

THE COURT: Any reason why Amy Ruth is not present?
MR. VIE: Just because of employment obligations, sir.

THE COURT: Okay. I believe that's everyone that's participating.

We have this suit that was filed by Ms. Curtis back in 2012, in fact. I believe, Ms. Curtis, somewhere around February of 2012. That was pending for a period of time, and it was initially brought as a kind of truth in limine accounting. She mixed a lot of stuff together there.

And, of course, the one aspect of the case that
this Court -- I said one aspect. One of the aspects of this case that the Court saw was first that there was diversity of citizenship, that she was a California resident, and the sisters were Texas residents.

And, secondly, that she was making allegations about an estate that appeared to be substantial sums of money,
or property, or both, were located, and that she was an heir, or at least felt that she was one of the heirs to the estate, and that she felt, I believe, at that time, that her sisters, who were co-trustees, were not properly managing the estate. I think that's, generally speaking, how this lawsuit developed.

So, in the process of conducting a couple of hearings, or at least -- I say hearings, opportunities for communication and dialogue, the Court set this matter for a hearing, and we had a hearing several months ago. Let's see if I can track that down. A telephone conference in July. I think it may have been the -- perhaps were the last communication we had. Proceeding here in the courtroom, for sure.

And the Court determined that a report, an accounting of income, receipts, and expenses, and disbursements would be appropriate, setting a time frame of December 21, 2010, through May 31st of 2013, and that that report should be filed. I would then conduct a hearing to determine not so much whether or not the accounting -- the report should be received, but to permit the master -- special master to answer questions from either side regarding the procedure and his findings, and then, also, for approval of his request for -- for pay.

And there, I believe, have been, since that
time, motions filed by the defense for, I believe, a renewal of a lease on the Iowa property. Objections to that and then other motions have been filed. So we will see how much, if not all of this, we can cover.

So, Ms. Curtis, will you be -- besides the special master, is there anyone else in the courtroom you are going to need to call and have testify or ask questions of?

MS. CURTIS: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. Sir, if you come forward, I will swear you in, and then you can take a seat over on my left.

Raise your right hand, please, sir.
(William West, witness, sworn.)
THE COURT: Please have a seat. And we can start with -- Ms. Curtis, we can start with you, if you have questions of the special master regarding -- you have a copy of his report, do you not?

MS. CURTIS: Yes, I do, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. Why don't you move up closer to us there -- no, no, no. I mean, you can have a seat there, but I just wanted you to move up closer and bring the paperwork up closer.

All right. This is a formal proceeding, Ms. Curtis, so that when you are addressing the Court, you will need to stand and address the Court, and -- and I will be requiring that all of the questioning that is done as to any
witness should be done from the podium so it is easy for me to pay attention, for the lawyer and then the witness, and, of course, that same -- obviously, that same rule applies to counsel for the defense.

If you would also bend that microphone down so that, when you are standing in that area and speaking to me, we will be able to hear you, and the court reporter can take your remarks.

All right. Are you ready -- you have a copy of the report, I believe you said.

MS. CURTIS: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Do you have some questions you want to ask of the witness? If so, you may do so at this time.

MS. CURTIS: No, Your Honor. I have no questions. THE COURT: You completely are, say, satisfied that you understand --

MS. CURTIS: I have no questions.
THE COURT: You just have no questions. All right. Mr. Vie, do you have any questions you want to ask of this witness?

MR. VIE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Would you come to podium, sir.

Do you have a copy of your report with you? If not, let's get a copy of it to you. I think I have got some
copies here.

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. VIE:
Q. Good afternoon. I just have one or two questions just to clarify, as the Court said, the procedures under which the report was prepared.

On Exhibit 1 to the report --
A. Yes.
Q. -- you provided a statement of income, receipts, expenses, and disbursements for the period the Court directed; is that correct?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. In conclusion, on page 2 of that report, where you indicate, at the bottom, a net of income receipts and less value of stock distributed, if you could explain, what is that trying to capture?
A. This is trying to capture either -- during the time frame in question, either the receipts received or dividends in kind from the dividend distribution -- excuse me, dividend reinvestment accounts, less any amounts paid or any stock distributed.
Q. So this number at the bottom of page 2, the net of income number, this doesn't reflect actually the value of this estate?

It doesn't include the actual stock value that
remains in the estate?
A. Absolutely not. To do something like that you would need
to get into something with a balance sheet -- and things of
that nature.
Q. What we are being provided here is more of a statement of
money going out and money coming in?
A. Correct.
Q. The other exhibit, Exhibit -- the exhibit that relates to
your recapturing the stock distributions that were made, is
there an Exhibit 3?
Is that where that is located?
A. Yes.
Q. Stock distribution analysis?
A. Correct.
Q. These are all -- these are all distributions that took
place during the time that Ms. Brunsting was alive, correct?
A. From December 21st, 2010, to her demise.
Q. I understand.
Specifically, you did not find any evidence of
any stock distributions that were made to anyone after the
date that she died, the date of her death?
A. Correct.
THE COURT: Mr. Vie, what is the date of her death?
Establish that.
BY MR. VIE:
Q. November 11, 2011?
A. Correct.
Q. So during the period of time that she was the beneficiary of the trust and had the right to direct gifts and payments -THE COURT: "She" being?

MR. VIE: Mrs. Brunsting, Nella Brunsting.
BY MR. VIE:
Q. The only transactions that you found for stock
distribution, as you have noted in Exhibit 3, was at the time she was alive and could direct those distributions?
A. To the first part of your question, I don't think I have enough information to respond. But from all of the documents that we had and everything appeared to tie, these are the distributions out of those accounts in that time frame. Q. Thank you.

Could you -- in addition to the documents that we provided, you asked for and we provided a Quicken file, an electronic file?
A. Correct.
Q. If you could explain to the Court what that file was, what you found in it, and how you used it.
A. That was an electronic accounting file that I asked for and that you had given me, and it was what I would generally term an electronic checkbook, which would show -- gave information about a date, an amount, and the payee.
Q. And what account the payment came from?
A. To a limited extent, yes.
Q. How were you able to use that, then, into what became the master's report?
A. We used that in conjunction with the review of bank statements and other paper documents, brokerage firm account, information to create our database.

MR. VIE: No further questions.
THE COURT: I have a few, and this is to primarily supplement the record.

I want you to go back, Mr. West, and give us a general overview of what you did and -- and what these exhibits mean in terms of the income and expenses associated with this report.

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
My report is comprised of an introduction where
I gave some of the background of the complaint to a limited extent which has been addressed today. Then I gave a timeline of records received. I started that process with calling the defendants' attorney. I set up the meeting with him. We had a meeting within a week or ten days of my initial call.

I received, at his office, a number of paper
files and a number of records on -- in electronic format in CD -- on CD's. I was also given a schedule of those documents that they were giving me and a list of documents that they
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were not giving me, but which they were working towards obtaining.

THE COURT: Did you have the impression that this was a combination of records, some of which had been -- which were the, let's call it, original handwritten-type records, along with records that maybe had been prepared or were being prepared by the attorney for the defendant?

THE WITNESS: My broad answer to that is yes. Some were original documents that you could tell had come directly from the brokerage firm or a bank. Some were bank statements that appeared to have been downloaded over the Internet, which looked completely normal to me.

I have looked at literally thousands of
documents of this nature over the years. Balances, account numbers, everything tied. I didn't think that anything had been created to be given to me.

THE COURT: By saying you were given a CD, what are you referring to?

THE WITNESS: A plastic disk.
THE COURT: I understand. What was contained on
that?
THE WITNESS: Those were bank statements.
THE COURT: Downloaded from?
THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. For the most part, the paper documents -- they gave them to me, for the most part, in
paper format and electronic format.
THE COURT: But you didn't have the impression that this was a way that the records had been kept; this is just the way they had presented them?

THE WITNESS: I can't tell if they were kept that way, but they had been compiled, and I think they have probably been compiled by counsel's staff.

THE COURT: All right.
THE WITNESS: As -- I received those approximately
the first of June -- actually, there's some dates reflected in the report. About a month later I received a -- some more paper files and some more CD's with information on them that answered a number of -- submitted a number of the documents that had been missing on the first turnover of documents.

As that was -- as that information was being processed from time to time, I had e-mails with defendants' counsel asking for particular questions or asking for more information to which, for the most part, he was able to respond, or if they weren't available, he -- he just told me so. So, I felt like he was trying to do the best he could.

THE COURT: At the end of the day, let's say sometime the latter part of July, when you had your hands full of the documents, did you have the impression that you had all of the documents that you needed to complete a proper and complete report?

THE WITNESS: For the most part, Your Honor. I
listed in my report some accounts or statements that were not received. Defendants' counsel had explained why they were not received, or I believe there were a few things they were still trying to get. I conferred with my associate, who did a great deal of the work, you know, with my work and supervision. There were certain documents that we didn't have, but we did have some summary statements or some quarterly-type statements.

I can't say for certain. I felt like we did have what we needed to present a good report. Not anything is a hundred percent right, but I felt like we didn't have any really big unexplained gaps in the things that we were given.

THE COURT: That pushes you over into the work performed area where you are now talking about.

So is there something else in that area that you need to bring to the Court's attention?

Basically that you received the documents -I'm just following.

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. We felt like we had substantially all of the documents or a very high percentage, and I'm saying that from years of experience as an accountant. If I had really felt uncomfortable about anything, it would have been highlighted and really brought to the forefront.

THE COURT: Whatever is necessary, you saw.

THE WITNESS: Yes.
THE COURT: In the summary of the accounts received, you show several bank accounts and several, let's call them, stock accounts or stock brokerage accounts, various investment accounts. I don't know if these are stocks or just simply accounts where you would invest money and they would purchase stock. The point is that these are -- appear to be a substantial number of accounts.

Are you of the opinion that these are all the accounts -- first of all, these are the accounts provided?

THE WITNESS: They were the ones provided. I think they were all that was provided. The plaintiff, in response, had raised the issue about some Treasury bills or Treasury bonds. I don't believe we saw any information in regards to them.

Now, technically, I would like to see the bonds. And technically, if it was something where they just sat there and interest was paid in a lump sum at a future date, and there was no income or cash income receipt activity during the period, then they be wouldn't reflected on here. But if it was an accrual-type income, then it should have been reflected.

THE COURT: So these accounts, as I understand it -and you are distinguishing between the accounts that may be in existence but just have not reported income on an accrual
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basis, but these accounts are reporting on a quarterly or annual basis income, and/or fees, or whatever else that might be reflected against the account.

THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor, all these accounts.
THE COURT: For example, if there were Treasury -are Treasury bonds, and they are paying whatever interest they are paying, that certainly could be -- that might be -- you don't have those, but that interest theoretically, I guess, could be applied back into the principal and, therefore, would not be reflected on a statement.

THE WITNESS: Correct.
THE COURT: Okay. Tell us a little bit about the report exceptions and the missing documents area there on page 6.

THE WITNESS: These were -- as it is discussed here, there were some accounts that we did not have, or statements. In some cases, they were quarterly reports that were not -the second quarterly reports were not available yet, or I was told they were not available yet in the latter part of July, which was quite often the case, but that they could be supplied, if needed.

There were a couple of other accounts where we may have been missing a monthly account or maybe an earlier quarterly account, but we had a latter period account where, for the most part, everything -- we could kind of trace our
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way through the missing period. Again, I didn't see any great cause for alarm.

And then there were a number of things, disbursements, that we did not have documentation for, and those were explained to me that, for the most part, that they just didn't exist.

THE COURT: Okay. And these disbursements did not have -- did not have a paper backing. These would just be, let's say, for example, a check that might have been written for an amount of money, but there was no -- for your records there was no receipt or document indicating why that disbursement was being made. It might be reflected on the check itself.

THE WITNESS: Correct. We were able to go back to the pictures of check facsimiles and, you know, confirm that.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Now, you've also
listed on page 8 a number of outstanding shares. These reflect the transfers that you say were made before November 11th of 2011, I gather. And then other stocks, perhaps, that might have -- that might have been reinvested, or income that might have been reinvested.

Am I seeing that right?
THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: Okay. All right. You make a statement on page 9, at the end of that section, that indicates that
there are certain stocks available.
Is that the total of all stocks outstanding shares that are part of the trust?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, to the best of my
recollection.
THE COURT: Those are three different, I believe -three different shares -- three different companies -- that might not be the proper term. How would you say it?

THE WITNESS: I think it was four -- Chevron, Exxon, John Deere, Deere Enterprises, and Metropolitan Life.

THE COURT: Okay. Those are the four. Okay. All right. And then you go on to make comments on certain accounts, and this is some of which you maybe already have stated having to do with the sale of certain securities and the disbursement. I'm not sure.

Is that what that is about?
THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: One of the areas that you touched on earlier had to do with, for example, a check that may have been written to a family member that may or may not have had some document behind it. We are looking at the top of page 10, where is says, "Many of the payments were noted as reimbursements." These would be checks that would reflect reimbursement but not necessarily another check that showed the payment was made.

THE WITNESS: Correct. The check was written to the individual from the Quicken files. It would say reimbursement for -- automobile repairs type of thing. And on the Quicken files, it may have been in that automobile repair expense account. But for purposes of this report and the issues raised in the complaint, I felt that it was important -- it was important to make this some special category.

THE COURT: All right. Now, going to Exhibit 1, this is the summary statement, is it not?

I say summary statement. It's a statement of income, receipt and expenses. Behind that would be the exhibits. I say exhibits, would be other exhibits that would reflect the individual checks written and/or to whom they may have been written in Exhibit No. 2. And in Exhibit 3 would be the distribution analysis of the stock payments.

Is that what I'm having there?
THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: All right. So, just let me take a look at this. It shows, I gather, that they had an opening -- a beginning opening of 127,000 -- almost $\$ 128,000$ in farm income as a beginning item there.

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. Farm rent during the time frame in question.

THE COURT: And, so, what you've done is you've accumulated all of the income from the farm for this period,
"this period" being the period that I requested that you do, the December 21, 2010 through May 31, 2013.

THE WITNESS: The deposits we identified for farm rental income.

THE COURT: And that would be just a little over a two-year period, two years and a few months.

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: All right. In addition to that, you show dividend income, interest income.

And by long-term capital gains and short term, are you reflecting there some income from Exxon or one of these companies?

THE WITNESS: No, sir. Actually the dividends from Exxon or Chevron would be in the dividend income amount.

THE COURT: On Exhibit 3?
THE WITNESS: Excuse me.
THE COURT: Oh, I'm sorry, no, it would not be.
THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, Your Honor. Could you repeat your question.

THE COURT: I was asking where did this long-term capital gains come from.

THE WITNESS: Oh, I'm sorry. The long-term capital gains and short-term capital gains, those were reported on the stock brokerage accounts. Those are called flow-through amounts from mutual funds and things of that nature.

THE COURT: And then the income of 183,000 is stock sale. That's the liquidation of the stock -- did that include the liquidation of stock before 11/11/11?

THE WITNESS: That was the liquidation of stocks during that time frame, other than the stocks that were disbursed in kind.

THE COURT: Okay. So this is a separate liquidation.

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: Or a separate income, should I say.
This is income.
THE WITNESS: It's stock liquidated.
THE COURT: This is income from the sale of certain other stocks that has now has been liquidated and it brings total income to about $\$ 216,600,000$.

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: The miscellaneous income is just other income that -- what would that be, sort of like what?

THE WITNESS: To be honest, Your Honor, without looking at the underlying documents, I can't remember right now. But it was a number of small items that didn't fit one of these other accounts that are listed in Exhibit 1.

THE COURT: But it is reflected in the deposits of the account?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: The pension income, and I'm looking at Social Security income. Who is getting Social Security income to go into that account at this time?

I believe both the husband and the wife are deceased, right?

THE WITNESS: Mrs. Brunsting, she was alive for about 12 months.

THE COURT: You are right. Tax refunds, that would also be reflected. This is the sale proceeds from the house. That's all -- so we are talking about a total of 830-plus thousand dollars during this two years or two- to three-month period?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: And then we're talking in the next section about expenses, medical care, in-house care, and medical care, and all of that coming to the 122,000 , more or less.

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: The pet care and pet food and all of that, that doesn't have anything to do with the farm. This must be at the house, right?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: Okay. And veterinarian expenses.
So we are talking about total expenditures of about half of what the income was, right?
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THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: And then you said net income, receipts, and expenses, disbursements.

How are you distinguishing that from total expenses and disbursements?

THE WITNESS: That's just the net of the total incoming receipts of 830,000 less the total expenses of 418.

THE COURT: Okay. And then you show the 298,000 in stock -- in stock transfer to family or whatever. This is a value of stock. This is the value beyond what was sold and became income.

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: So we are looking at -- right at almost 500 -- well, 300,000, basically, that was transferred directly, apparently, by the estate before -- before Ms. Brunsting died in November 11, 2011.

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: More or less.
THE WITNESS: In May and June of 2011.
THE COURT: What two or three numbers are you putting together to come to the 120,000 at the bottom?

THE WITNESS: 411,328 less 298,976 gets me to the $112,346$.

THE COURT: All right. What you don't have or what didn't do and were not asked to do was to do an asset
liability --
THE WITNESS: Correct.
THE COURT: -- sheet.
Are there any other concerns or statement that you need to make regarding this report before -- before I ask you a question regarding your billing?

THE WITNESS: The one item is, after the filing of my report, there was a disbursement for $\$ 6500$, which had been put into miscellaneous expenses because I had no backup for it.

THE COURT: It was a one-time payment of 6500 ? THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Where is that reflected on page --
THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Exhibit 1, page 1.
THE COURT: Page 1, Exhibit 1? All right.
THE WITNESS: Towards the bottom, Miscellaneous
Expenses. That shows miscellaneous expenses $\$ 6753$. \$6500 of that amount should be reclassified to checks or cash to family members.

THE COURT: What you are calling miscellaneous expenses would be -- say that again. I'm sorry.

THE WITNESS: That miscellaneous expense, there was -- $\$ 6500$ of that amount we found -- defendants' counsel confirmed for me, subsequent to the filing of the report, that that was a distribution to a family member.

THE COURT: Okay. So this is not a part of the pre part of the distribution made by Ms. Brunsting before her death. This was expenses or monies that were paid to a particular family member -- a single family member or maybe two family numbers, whatever the number might be, that were made after that date?

THE WITNESS: Let me -- let me confirm that. That was subsequent to her demise.

THE COURT: What page are you looking at?
THE WITNESS: On Exhibit 2, page 16.
THE COURT: Where it says --
THE WITNESS: About two-thirds or three-quarters of the way down the page, it says "Miscellaneous Expenses."

THE COURT: Page 16 did you say?
THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. Exhibit 2.
THE COURT: Okay. Miscellaneous, and then it shows a total of something like... co-op and then withdrawal, and then Houston Metro, those together totaling 6753.72.

THE WITNESS: That middle entry on November 14th of \$6500 should now be reclassified --

THE COURT: As disbursement?
THE WITNESS: -- as disbursement to family members.
THE COURT: As disbursement. You've got a code there of $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{L}$. What does that mean to you?

THE WITNESS: Withdrawal. This withdrawal on the
bank statement.
THE COURT: It is my lack of accounting acumen. It's not your fault. I'm trying to make sure I understand, so that if I have a question, I can ask you.

Now, as it relates to your billing, it does not include an appearance here today, as I understand it, or the time that you have spent. You have already submitted a billing to the -- bill to the Court for payment, have you not?

THE WITNESS: That is correct.
THE COURT: And except for whatever time has been spent since this submission, have you received any objections from either the plaintiff, Ms. Curtis, or from the defense concerning the payment of your expenses?

THE WITNESS: No, sir.
THE COURT: Does your billing include the legal advice necessary that you received as well, or was it just separately an accounting function?

THE WITNESS: Mine was separately an accounting function, and I also submitted a separate invoice from my counsel.

THE COURT: Have you received any objections from either plaintiff or defendant in that regard?

THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.
THE COURT: Ms. Curtis. Anything else?
MS. CURTIS: No.

THE COURT: Mr. Vie?
MR. VIE: Just one thing, Your Honor.
BY $\operatorname{MR}$. VIE:
Q. Just to be clear, because the Court has asked about the timing of this last expense that you mentioned being reclassified.
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Okay. If I understand the miscellaneous expense, the check that is noted for the $\$ 6500$, that is prior -- that's three days after Mrs. Nella's Brunsting's death?
A. Correct.
Q. Do you recall what the transaction was, the $\$ 6500$ transaction?
A. I believe it was to Carol Brunsting. I feel confident about that. And I believe the -- the explanation that your firm gave me was that -- it was to be, I guess, used to help deal with some of her funeral expenses. Q. Was the money redeposited at some point after that?

In other words, the money that had been taken out should there be some funeral expenses or other things necessary, would that money have been put back at some point?

THE COURT: Why don't you show him where you are talking about.

MR. VIE: Well, I understand where his reference was on page 16, where he highlights the miscellaneous expense of
6500.

THE COURT: I know, but how would he know whether or not it is put back unless you know where it is?

MR. VIE: If he has a corresponding entry for a deposit for 6500.

THE COURT: I see.
THE WITNESS: I don't recall one.
BY MR. VIE:
Q. If there was one, where are the costs like that reflected in the report?
A. It would probably be under a miscellaneous --

THE COURT: Keep your voice up, Mr. West.
THE WITNESS: I would think it should be under miscellaneous income, and I don't find it there. There's a possibility it could have always been misposted, but I would need to look through the ledger in total.

BY MR. VIE:
Q. Would you -- it was -- your understanding, it was represented to you it was not a gift; it was some expenses that were funds made available for funeral expenses?
A. That's what I was told.

MR. VIE: No further questions, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right. Well, your understanding is based upon what counsel told you. It had nothing to do with and independent audit, right?
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THE WITNESS: Yes.
THE COURT: You may step down, sir. Thank you very much.

All right. If there is no objection, I will ask -- no objection to the report and the invoice request of counsel for himself, as an accounting function, as well as advice of counsel, if there's no objection, I'm going to order that those be paid.

Any objection, Ms. Curtis?
MS. CURTIS: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Mr. Vie, speaking on behalf of your clients?

MR. VIE: No, Your Honor, no objection.
THE COURT: All right. Okay. All right. That's all we have. Thank you very much, and that will take care of it.

No, no, no. I'm sorry. All we have with accountants. If they want to leave, they can. There are some other motions we need to address.

MR. MILLION: Your Honor, would you like us to submit a proposed order?

THE COURT: Would you do that? It would make it a lot -- well, how about that, just happen to have it right there, right?

You shared this with -- the expense paperwork,
you shared the expense report and/or request for payment with both Ms. Curtis and with Mr. Vie?

MR. WEST: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right. Ms. Curtis, you have some other -- well, I will start with you, Mr. Vie. I believe you have filed a motion that has drawn some -- you all want to be excused?

MR. MILLION: Yes, Your Honor. I do want to bring one other thing to the Court's attention.

THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead, sir.
MR. MILLION: In the pleadings that were filed by the plaintiff and defendant, there has been some indication that they are wanting additional work to be performed by the special master. And I know one of the proposed forms of order said you've got to do something within 10 days.

Just given the tax season issues with respect to corporate filings and such, any additional work that the special master might request to do, he is happy to do whatever the Court needs. However, he would need more than 10 days to be able to comply with that.

THE COURT: Yeah, I think I might have said this to both sides. If I did not, you will hear it now. My purpose in asking Mr. West to come in was not to make him a person for them to utilize to do any of their work. He was working for the Court to bring some
matters to the Court's attention that would be too much contention between the parties for me to ask either side to present anything to me that I could, at least in good faith, at the time, rely upon as a way of making some determinations.

So I wanted to find out where the income was and what had happened to it. Those were some of the allegations made by Ms. Curtis.

The function of doing other financial reports I think the parties should be able to handle and do themselves. And if they choose to employ someone to do it, they certainly will be able to do it. We have got fundamentals of stuff ready and in place for them to go ahead and get that done.

If there is some need, certainly, Mr. West may be asked do it. If so, it would be by the Court, not by the parties.

MR. MILLION: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Thank you very much, gentlemen. Have a good day.

Ms. Curtis -- I'm sorry. Mr. Vie, you filed a motion to -- let me just get it out here -- a motion to -request for the renewal of the farm lease, I believe. Let me see if I can find that document number.

I believe that's Instrument No. 65, filed about 10 days ago.

MR. VIE: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And as I understand, Ms. Curtis, that you have reviewed that, and your objection is, essentially -correct me if I am wrong -- that it is automatically renewed at this point because no objection was filed and no disapproval of that renewal occurred within the time frame that needed to be made.

Am I correct?
MS. CURTIS: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: So in that regard, the objection is simply a matter of record as to how things are and the -- the renewal of the farm lease, while the Court might have the authority to cancel it, it is automatically renewed. It would take some affirmative action.

So why should I cancel it? Tell me why I
should cancel it.
Is there any basis for me to cancel it at this point?

MS. CURTIS: The farm lease?
THE COURT: Yes, ma'am.
MS. CURTIS: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: The motion will be granted unless there is something additional I need to know, Mr. Vie, about this before that occurs.

MR. VIE: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right. I believe there was an order
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entered, and I know there was one entered, but I believe the second order was entered for the payment of certain property taxes.

That has been taken care of, right?
MR. VIE: Yes, Your Honor. You have already entered that.

THE COURT: All right. I have reviewed your responses to the report. It seems to me the next item, then, has to do with objection that you have made -- I'm trying to figure out what you meant, Ms. Curtis, by "recommit matter to master for consideration."

Tell me what you are talking about there. You filed this on September 3rd. This was filed, what, today?

MS. CURTIS: This was filed this morning.
THE COURT: Wow. You are faster than the lawyers are. Where were you when you filed this?

MS. CURTIS: In the clerk's office.
THE COURT: All right. I didn't know if you were filing electronically or not.

MS. CURTIS: I do not file electronically.
THE COURT: Well, you filed this motion -- or objections to defendants' motion for order to recommit matters to master for consideration.

Tell me what you are talking about there. MS. CURTIS: Well, there is a letter that Mr. Vie
provided to Mr. West in support of missing documents and other questions that the master had. It is dated July 15th, 2013. It was Appendix Tab 1 in Document No. 67 filed by the defendants, which is their response to the report of master.

THE COURT: All right.
MS. CURTIS: And I am objecting to even spending another penny with the master when there is nothing substantive in here. This was all just excuses and explanations.

THE COURT: You mean on the part of the defendants?
MS. CURTIS: The defendants, for missing records or how they categorized the expenses, which was not what the master was instructed to do. He was just instructed to list the income and the expenses that occurred for this period of time.

He did the best he could to categorize these things. He had questions, like about the 6500 in miscellaneous income. And he did not receive third-party receipts or original statements or any documentation. All the master received were excuses for these transactions, which is not the basis of the master's report. He was just asked to report on the income and expenses.

So I think this entire thing is just irrelevant and a waste of time.

THE COURT: So your objection and -- your objection
there is to -- has to do with the statements being made in the defendants' report or request or statements to the master, and that no further work should be done by the master -- special master regarding these documents and these statements?

MS. CURTIS: That is correct.
THE COURT: I think I've already cured that. I've just let him go.

What else did you have there? You filed, as well, I think a motion to show cause why a judgement of civil contempt should not be -- and I know they have not had a chance to respond to this. But that's also been filed before the Court. But is there anything else, other than that motion pending?

MS. CURTIS: I have not filed anything else, no, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. So, you are coming out of California, and I'm trying to find out how we -- how soon would you be ready and what evidence would you be presenting on this? Because I don't want to have you just coming back and forth, expense to you.

MS. CURTIS: I have a statement to make. I don't know if that will help.

THE COURT: I don't know if Mr. Vie is prepared to respond, but I will permit you to make your statement.

MS. CURTIS: I don't expect a response. I just came
prepared with this statement.
THE COURT: Okay. Go right ahead, then.
MS. CURTIS: "The absent of immunity results in responsibilities for which there is no exemption. Since no one may be in legal relation with their self, trustees, de facto or de jure, encumbered with duties, and empowered to perform such duties are bound in a jural relation to the beneficiaries, which confers upon said beneficiaries specific rights which are well-known to the law.
"Among such rights is a distinct and calculable property interest in a complete and accurate accounting. Withholding such information, whether by failure or refusal, constitutes a palpable injury to a beneficiary evidenced by the resulting inability to cure and perfect their claim.
"Said failure to perform the duties of trustee endows the beneficiary with the legal powers to act against said trustees in order to lay claim to that which is [property] -- properly theirs and to which they are entitled.
"I object to the July 15th letter from defendants to the master insofar as it contains excuses and explanations that are prejudicial, non-probative, and thus immaterial. The time for these explanations and excuses has long since passed. I would, however, offer the letter into evidence as an offer of proof that the omissions contained therein establish evidence of facts that are clear, positive,
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uncontradicted and of such nature they cannot rationally be disbelieved, and the Court is, therefore, compelled to conclude that those facts have been established as a matter of law.
"Defendants admit that they failed to keep books and records, and, therefore, are incapable of providing a full, true and complete accounting. Further, defendants admit to self-dealing, commingling, and [applications] of -misapplications of fiduciary attached to expressions of bias.
"I would also like to offer defendants'
response to plaintiff's request for disclosure and defendants' answer into evidence as an offer of proof that defendants refused to provide non-proprietary trust instruments and admit that they can provide no evidence of notices to the other co-beneficiaries of any of their acts from alleged changes to the trust, changes of trustees, changes in trustee compensation or any of their other proclaimed acts of trust administration.
"Plaintiff's claim for breach of fiduciary is ripe for summary judgment on the merits of these admissions and the accounting that supports the admissions. Plaintiff asks this Court for summary judgment on the claim for breach of fiduciary and asks that defendants be removed from conducting any further trust business.

This is Texas Trust Code 113.082, Sections 4, 5
and $6(\mathrm{~b})$. Plaintiff further moves that this Court bifurcate all the remaining issues, including questions of damages, until more necessary information can be obtained."

THE COURT: I saw attached to your motion what I believe to be a request for certain discovery.

That is certain information that you have wanted provided to you; is that right?

MS. CURTIS: It is information I wanted provided to me.

THE COURT: All right. But once that
information -- let's assume that that's what it is and that they are going to respond and give you certain information pursuant to your request, and now you have got the information, let's say.

What is your next -- you are asking the Court,
I gather, to have a hearing to determine whether or not the parties should be removed as trustees. You understand that would require the Court then appointing someone to serve as a trustee.

MS. CURTIS: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: And then the parties would then have to, then, present to the Court, I gather, the name -- the name or names of individuals who they believe -- whom they believe would be qualified to handle those -- those functions, and could not -- it would seem to me, because of the controversy,
it doesn't seem it could include you or another family member.
Do you see the problem there?
MS. CURTIS: I do understand.
THE COURT: So is that what you are asking the Court
to do in your -- that's what I think I heard you say.
Is that right?
MS. CURTIS: Yes, that's correct.
THE COURT: Why haven't you gone on and hired a

MS. CURTIS: Because these are things that -- these are things that I don't need an attorney for. I'm going --

THE COURT: I don't disagree that as a matter of course, you are entitled to what you are requesting. The problem is that you are not -- you are so far away from the courthouse, and it creates some problems with the communication that -- when I say "communication," meaning if I want to have a hearing on something, you either have got to fly in here, or I have got to have you on the telephone. And I'm not really sure the telephone is a proper way to have these types of proceedings.

If you had counsel, particularly local counsel, that's someone who could make motions and proceed to do discovery and all of that on your behalf. It seems to me that would be a much easier way to proceed. I'm just throwing that out there for you.

However, under the rules of discovery, I'm not quite sure that the way that you have presented this is a way in which the defendants are required to respond. In other words, you have attached to your motion, your ex parte motion -- and I think you filed it under seal. I'm not sure why.

Why did you file it under seal?
MS. CURTIS: I just gave it to the clerk this morning.

THE COURT: Okay. So it doesn't really need to be under seal. There are no -- I don't think there are any -- we generally have things filed under seal that would -- where there may be some indication of information, family private information, confidential information, that should not be disclosed to the public. But this is a public proceeding, so there is nothing, I gather, as far as you know that --

MS. CURTIS: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: -- would require that. I'm going to, then, have it removed from being under seal. I don't know if counsel has gotten a copy of it yet, but he would be able to access it. You should provide him a copy of it.

MS. CURTIS: I did.
THE COURT: Okay. Very good. But if you look at what you have got as $p-68$. Does that mean there's a $p-67$ someplace and a $\mathrm{p}-66$ ?

MS. CURTIS: The p-67.
THE COURT: It's attached to the motion. That's what I am referring to. It's attached to your ex parte motion. It is a five-page document, demanding --

MS. CURTIS: I have it. It was the only exhibit that I attached.

THE COURT: But this suggests there are 67 other exhibits out there somewhere, right?

MS. CURTIS: Yes. I have just continued adding exhibit numbers from the very beginning.

THE COURT: Okay. So some of these exhibits are attached to your original proceeding?

MS. CURTIS: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: And all along there may have been some that were added to or attached to your motions, and you are now at number 68. That's what that is. Okay.

MS. CURTIS: Yes, Your Honor. And --
THE COURT: Have you read the rules, Federal Rules of Procedure related to discovery requests?

MS. CURTIS: Yes, Your Honor. I have something to say about that, also.

THE COURT: Well, let me say my say first. And that is, this is not going to get.

MS. CURTIS: I understand.
THE COURT: Go ahead and say your say.

MS. CURTIS: "The public policy considerations involved in a common law information demand pursuant to a fiduciary obligation are very different from those involved in a discovery request under Rules of Civil Procedure for the following reasons: If trustee is administering property, the trust estate that belongs to the beneficiaries of the trust. In other words, the beneficiaries hold equitable title to the trust estate.
"The trustee acting in his individual capacity usually has no personal interest whatsoever in the estate of the trust that he is administering. Consequently, the information requested does not belong to the trustee. In legal discovery requests, a party to a lawsuit is requesting proprietary information and documents that belong to another party. This is not the case with respect to equitable demands for information.
"The trustee of a trust holds the trust estate for the benefit of the trust beneficiaries who have an equitable interest in all information and documents. There is usually a financial disparity between the beneficiary who is using his personal financial resources to obtain information and the trustee who is using the estate of the trust to pay for the cost of his compliance with the information demand. In essence, the beneficiary is paying everyone's fees.
"This situation does not occur in legal
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discovery requests where independent parties are involved in litigation. The beneficiary of a trust is the only person authorized to enforce the trust. It is not possible for him or her to perform this function without disclosure from the trustee regarding how the trust is being administered. Where, as here, the trustee is conflicted, the duty to disclose is even higher than that of ordinary corporate trustees.
"In discovery, under the rules the scope of discovery is whether the information sought appears reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. In common law disclosure, the scope of discovery is material facts known to the trustee that might affect the beneficiaries' rights.
"There is no law in place allowing formal objections to reasonable common law disclosure demand for information directed from a beneficiary to a trustee. Unlike interrogatories, there is no limitation on the number of demands for information that can be made on the trustee if the trustee breaches his duty to disclose his subject to all equitable remedies. Moreover, his breach is a factor in the award of legal fees in the overall case pursuant to Texas Trust Code 114.064."

I have been asking, first, nicely, then I made a common law demand in writing in late 2011, after my mother passed away. I made a statutory demand for the exact same
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information I was entitled to in January of 2011. And to this day, I have gotten nothing but excuses and explanations for records and documents that $I$ am entitled to as a beneficiary.

THE COURT: All right. Let me ask you, when you say you have gotten nothing, are you saying that you have received absolutely nothing from defendants or their attorneys?

MS. CURTIS: I have received nothing responsive.
THE COURT: So now there is an argument as to what responsive is, isn't it?

So here's what I am getting to. These kinds of disputes as to whether or not -- whatever you might have received -- and I don't even suggest that it's what you requested, but whatever the dispute is, these matters are matters that now are in this Court. And you are asking me to address them, and I'm in no position to address them because I don't have the documents before me that you do have.

And the way this request has to be made now is not in a common law fashion as you would do if you were writing a letter to a person and requesting. That simply sets you up to go to court and get a judge to enter an order that you be provided with the documentation that you believe you are entitled to. My job would then be to decide whether or not the information that you have requested is relevant or important to any issue in the case.

Because the point is, the bottom line here, in
my opinion, and it seems where you are headed, is that you are asking this Court to do one of several things, or maybe several things.

One, it sounds like you are asking the Court to remove the trustees and appoint a trustee. I think I heard you say that.

Second, it seemed to me you want the estate dispersed so that you have your share of the estate and it is not under the supervision and/or hands of your sisters.

And, third, you want your sisters or the trustees, whoever was acting as -- I think it was both of them, co-trustees, since November 11th of 2011, or whatever period of time. You want them to account to you, that, by accounting, I think I hear you saying you want them to reimburse you for what they have taken that doesn't belong to them, as a disbursement to them, assuming that that has occurred.

And it sounds to me like you are asking for attorney's fees that have not -- following through. And this would not come from the estate per se. It would come from them individually. That's what I understand I am hearing.

So, there are some documents that may be important or relevant to those kinds of requests, but everything wouldn't necessarily be. Whether or not -- for example, if you are looking for do you have certified copies
of letters, or whatever, that might have gone from this person to that person, that might not be relevant.

What is relevant, it seems to me, is that there is a money issue here, and it can be solved by accounting and disbursement. One of the things that the Court is going to have to get around to, it seems to me, because I'm not sure that you are going to do it voluntarily, or the parties or the defendants, is at some point an asset/liability statement has to be prepared and presented in this case. Otherwise, there's no way for the Court to know what the value of the estate is and/or what the -- what any disbursements might look like. I'm not sure that disbursement is the proper venue, but I am certain that that's part of what you are requesting.

Am I correct in some of that?
MS. CURTIS: You are correct in almost 99 percent of that, but I would like to know where the EE bonds are.

THE COURT: The who?
MS. CURTIS: The EE Treasury bonds.
THE COURT: Here's my point. You can ask that, but you need to do it. You can ask for a revelation of these documents, these Treasury bonds, whatever else you think that's missing and have not been accounted for. And the reason, theoretically, at least in part, that they have not been accounted for is that they are not paying an interest as an income to the estate, necessarily. The interest,
apparently, is being accumulated in the bond itself. So you would have to cash the bond to get the principal and the interest. That may be an explanation for it.

You are entitled to know what those assets are, but you've got to ask for them. What I said to you was the way that you attached it to this motion is not the way that it should be done under the rules of discovery. So simply file your motion for requesting whatever it is that you are requesting discovery wise with counsel, Mr. Vie, who has the duty to either object to what you are requesting or to respond. Okay?

But I don't want it attached to your motion for an order to show cause because that's a different -- that's a different vehicle. This is discovery attached to something that it should not be attached to. So you need to file a separate discovery motion. All right? Or at least provide that -- file that request with Mr. Vie.

MS. CURTIS: Excuse me, Your Honor. But the reason
I attached the demand for production of documents, this is a -- this has already been given to defendants. They have already responded to it.

THE COURT: Okay. Okay.
MS. CURTIS: And the reason that I attached it is because I still don't have the information that I need to be able to make a decision about anything having to do with my
beneficial interests.
THE COURT: So that's the basis for this application, for civil contempt.

MS. CURTIS: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: I see. Okay. Now, see, I don't know what's going on outside of the Court. So I apologize for being too far ahead of you in that respect, or behind you, whatever.

The point is that this application, then, would require the Court to conduct a hearing. They have a duty to respond and an opportunity to respond within a certain number of days. It would require a hearing, and, in my opinion, it would require a hearing here in open court so the record is made of whatever that proceeding is. So, there you have it. It is going to be -- I cannot let you participate by telephone.

MS. CURTIS: I understand.
THE COURT: Because you might need to be questioned, as well, under the proceeding. All right?

So I will set a date for that, and Mr. Vie can respond within that time frame, and then we will see whether or not there's a hearing probably within the next 30, 40 days. MS. CURTIS: Okay.

THE COURT: Anything else? MS. CURTIS: No, Your honor.

THE COURT: And you are still not going to get a lawyer, right?

MS. CURTIS: Not quite yet.
THE COURT: Okay.
Mr. Vie, did you have anything that you needed to bring to the Court's attention?

MR. VIE: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: So I will go ahead and set this matter for a hearing perhaps the 1st of October.

Do we have a date that we can give them now?
Is October 1st too soon?
You haven't had a chance to respond yet. So, theoretically, you have got 21 days.

MR. VIE: I think it is on the docket for the -- I think the submission date is the 19th.

THE COURT: That's an automatic submission. I'm talking about a date for the hearing on the motion. You are going to be responding or -- or not, one way or the other. I would have to have a hearing before I could decide the motion.

MR. VIE: Tuesday, the 1st?
THE COURT: Would that be fine?
MS. CURTIS: Your Honor, the nature of my work requires me to be in my office on Monday or Tuesday of any given week.

THE COURT: What's a good day for you?

MS. CURTIS: Wednesday, Thursday or Friday. Any Wednesday, Thursday or Friday I will be here.

THE COURT: So if you have to travel, how are you going to get here on Wednesday if you have got to be in there on Tuesday?

MS. CURTIS: I can travel at night.
THE COURT: You can work that out.
MS. CURTIS: I will work that out.
THE COURT: So let's pick a Wednesday. October 2nd, how is that for you?

MR. VIE: No objection, Your Honor.
THE COURT: October 2nd. Is 11:30 a good time or is
it better in the afternoon, Ms. Curtis?
MS. CURTIS: 11:30 is fine.
THE COURT: Is that fine with you, then, Mr. Vie?
MR. VIE: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: 10/11, at 11:30 a.m. -- 10/2. 10/11
must be a holiday. 10/2. I apologize. October 2nd.
We are not going to send out an additional -well, we might send a notice out, but don't wait on us to send you a notice. You might get a notice indicating that -- a reminder that this is occurring, and that would be the nature and extent of the -- so let me ask a couple of questions, Mr. Vie. And, I'm not sure, you might confer with your client there.

I just signed an order, and you know that is a fairly expensive -- I will deal with your order. I need to sign it.

Can we pull up his order on the motion for the
lease?
I want to make sure that the funds are available to pay the attorney and the accountant before -- I don't want hear him call me and say, Judge, I haven't seen or heard anything.

MR. VIE: They are available, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right. Very good. I believe everything else that was requested for payment, the taxes, that's been taken care of.

MR. VIE: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: The only thing I need is your order
here.
The Court has entered an order on that. I
believe that's all that I have. Thank you very much, ladies and gentlemen.
(Concluded.)

I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled cause, to the best of my ability.
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| Proceedings [1] - |  | reference [1] - 27:24 | 14:2, 14:11, 16:13, | 33:7 |
| 1:24 | questions [16] - 5:22, 6:7, 6:15, 7:12, | referring [2]-12:18, $41 \cdot 3$ | 19:5, 24:5, 24:8, | rights [3]-36:9, |
| proceedings [2] - | $7: 14,7: 17,7: 18$ | 41:3 reflect $[4]-8: 23$, | 24:24, 28:10, 29:5, | 36:10, 43:13 |
| 39:20, 51:22 |  | reflect [4] - 8:23, <br> $17 \cdot 18,18 \cdot 23,19: 13$ | 30:1, 33:8, 34:4, | ripe [1] - $37: 20$ |
| proceeds [1] - 22:9 | $13: 17,28: 22,34: 2,$ | 17:18, 18:23, 19:13 <br> reflected $[10]$ - | 34:21, 34:22, 35:2 | RPR ${ }_{[1]}-51: 25$ |
| process [2]-5:7, | $34: 17,38: 2,50: 23$ | 13:10, 15:20, 15:22, | reported [2]-15:25, | rule [1] - 7:3 |
| 11:19 processed [1] - | Quicken [3]-10:17, | 16:3, 16:10, 17:12, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 20:23 } \\ & \text { reporter }[1]-7: 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rules [2]-41:18, } \\ & 42: 4 \end{aligned}$ |
| 13:16 | 19:2, 19:3 <br> quite [3]-16:20, | $21: 23,22: 9,24: 13$ | Reporter [1]-52:1 | rules [4]-40:1, |
| proclaimed [1] - | quite [3] - 16:20, $40: 2,49: 3$ | 28:9 | REPORTER [1] - 2:9 | 41:18, 43:8, 47:7 |
| 37:17 |  | reflecting $[1]-20: 11$ | reporting ${ }_{[1]}$ - 16:1 | Rusk [1]-2:10 |
| produced [1] - 1:25 |  | refunds [1] | reports [3]-16:17, | Ruth [2] - 3:5, 4:9 |




## Exhibit 19

Bates stamped exhibits of EE bonds from Defendants April 9, 2013 disclosure CD delivered to Plaintiff in open court

# Bank of America <br> Customer Reveipt 

All items are credited subject to verification, collection, and conditions of the Rules and Regulations of this Bank and as otherwise provided by law. Payments are accepted when credit is applied to outstanding balances and not upon issuance of this receipt. Transactions received after the Bank's posted cut-off time or Saturday, Sunday, and Bank Holidays; are dated and considered received as of the next business day. Please retain this receipt until you receive your account statement.

Thank you for banking with Bank of America. Save time. Save energy. Fast, reliable deposits, withdrawals and account management at more than 18,000 convenient ATM locations.

Tram 0012F 08/20/2010 ${ }^{2}: 59$
Entity $\mathrm{BTO}_{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{CC} 000851 \% \mathrm{TIr} 0 \mathrm{O} 03$
 R/T 54074134 Deposit:


Printed 08/20/10.@ 02:57:18 PM
MMerlinTeller

## E/EE Bond

| No. | Series | Denom | Issue Date | Redemption Value | Interest |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 25 | EE | 200.00 | $1982 / 02$ | 553.68 | 453.68 |
| 26 | EE | 200.00 | $1982 / 12$ | 507.84 | 407.84 |
| 27 | EE | 200.00 | $1982 / 11$ | 507.84 | 407.84 |
| 28 | EE | 200.00 | $1982 / 10$ | 532.16 | 432.16 |
| 29 | EE | 200.00 | $1982 / 09$ | 532.16 | 432.16 |
| 30 | EE | 200.00 | $1982 / 08$ | 542.80 | 442.80 |
| 31 | EE | 200.00 | $1982 / 07$ | 542.80 | 442.80 |
| 32 | EE | 200.00 | $1982 / 06$ | 542.80 | 442.80 |
| 33 | EE | 200.00 | $1982 / 05$ | 542.80 | 442.80 |
| 34 | EE | 200.00 | $1982 / 04$ | 542.80 | 442.80 |
| 35 | EE | 200.00 | $1982 / 03$ | 542.80 | 442.80 |
| 36 | EE | 50.00 | $1982 / 02$ | 138.42 | 113.42 |



| PGUP $=$ Screen Up | DOWN ARROW $=$ Seroll Down | ALT-S $=$ More Bonds |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PGDN $=$ Screen Down | UPARROW $=$ Scroll Up | ALT-E $=$ End Customer |
| $;$ | ALTN $=$ More Transactions |  |

Printed 08/20/10 @ 02:57:08 PM



PGUP = Screen Up
PGDN = Screen Down

DOWN ARROW = Scroll Down
UP ARROW = Scroll Up

ALT-S = More Bonds
ALT-E $=$ End Customer
ALT-N = More Transactions

Printed 08/20/10 @ 02:57:13 PM DMertinTeller
 E/EE Bond
$\qquad$

| No. | Series | Denom | Issue Date | Redemption Value | Interest |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13 | EE | 100.00 | 1982/04 | 271.40 | 221.40 |
| 14 | EE | 100.00 | 1982/05 | 271.40 | 221.40 |
| 15 | EE | 100.00 | 1982/06 | 271.40 | 221.40 |
| 16 | EE | 100.00 | 1982/07 | 271.40 | 221.40 |
| 17 | EE | 100.00 | 1982/08 | 271.40 | 221.40 |
| 18 | EE | 100.00 | 1982/09 | 266.08 | 216.08 |
| 19 | EE | 100.00 | 1982/10 | 266.08 | $216.08 \%$ |
| 20 | EE | 100.00 | 1982/11 | 253.92 | 203.92 |
| 21 | EE | 100.00 | 1982/12 | 253.92 | 203.92 |
| 22 | EE | 100.00 | 1982/01 | 276.84 | 226.848 |
| 23 | EE | 100.00 | 1982/02 | 276.84 | 226.848 |
| 24 | EE | 200.00 | 1982/01 | 553.68 | 453.68 |


| Pre-danuary 1990 Issue Dates | 11947.07 | 9722.07 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| January 1990 and Later Issue Dates |  |  |
| Total | 11947.07 | 9722.07 |


| PGUP $=$ Screen Up | DOWN ARPOW $=$ Scroll Down | ALT-S $=$ More Bonds |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PGDN $=$ Screen Down | UP ARROW $=$ Scroll Up | ALT-E $=$ End Customer |

385


P4917

BRUNSTING000950
/TERM LOG. EMPL. NO. NAME BONDS ..... UN ITS
$1968 \quad 800 \quad 114162$ E. H. BRUNSTING ..... 22 ..... 58
Please notethe united states treasury department regulat ions require that anADORESS BE SHOWN FOR THE OWNER ON ALL BUNDS ISSUED. HOWEVER, SINCE THEADORESS IS NOT USED FOR MAILING THE BONOS, AND SINCE AN OLD ADDRESSdOES NOT AFFECT THEIR VALIDITY, THE FEDERAL RESERVE BANK WILL NOTCHANGE ADDRESSES ON THE BONDS PURCHASED UNDER THE SAVINGS-STOCK BONUSPLAN.ALSO, UNITS INDICATED ABOVE ARE THE TOTAL NUMBER OF $\$ 25$ BONDS II.E.,$\$ 25$ AT MATURITY, BUT $\$ 18.75$ WHEN PURCHASED). FOR EXAMPLE, A $\$ 50$ BONDIS CONSIDERED AS 1 BOND OF 2 UNITS, A $\$ 100$ BOND AS 1 BOND, BUT 4 UNITS.


| TERM LOC. EMPL. NO. | NAME | BONDS | UNITS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1969 | 300 | 114162 | E. H. BRUNSTING | 24 | 67 |

## please note

THE UNITED STATES TREASURY DEPARTMENT REGULATIONS REQUIRE THAT AN ADDRESS BE SHOWN FOR THE DWNER ON ALL BONDS ISSUED. HOWEVER, SINCE THE ADDKESS IS NOT USED FOK MAILING THE BONDS, AND SINCE AN OLD ADDRESS dOES NOT AFFECT THEIR VALIDITY, THE FEDERAL RESERVE BANK WILL NOT CHANGE ADDRESSES ON THE BONDS PURCHASED UNDER THE SAVINGS-STOCK BONUS PLAN.

ALSO, UNITS INDICATED ABOVE ARE THE TOTAL NUMBER OF $\$ 25$ BONDS II.E., $\$ 25$ AT MATURITY, BUT $\$ 18.75$ WHEN PURCHASED). FOR EXAMPLE, A $\$ 50$ BOND IS CONSIDERED AS 1 BOND OF 2 UNITS, A $\$ 100$ BOND AS 1 BOND, BUT 4 UNITS



P4922


All items are credited subject to verification, collection, and conditions of the Rules and Regulations of this Bank and as otherwise provided by law. Payments are accepted when credit is applied to outstanding balances and not upon issuance of this receipt. Transactions received after the Bank's posted cut-offtime or Saturday, Sunday, and Bank Holidays, are dated and considered received as of the next business day
Please retain ff mamainmentif you receivc your account statement.


Toll free number for Federal Reserve Bank in K.C. - 1-800-333-2919.
United States Savings Bonds on hand:-

Series EE-1978 - January - one $\$ 100$ Caekch inv TIle. $1 / 200 \%$ one $\mathbf{\$ 2 0 0}$ - Expire 2008

Series HH - 1988 - Nov. only $\$ 1000$ - 2 bonds - Expire 2008 ?
8/27/08- Series EE - 1981-From February to Dec. Expire 2011 - 15 ben da ' $81 \operatorname{coshan}$ All denominations
:
Series EE - 1982 - all months - up to \$200 - Expire 2012
Series EE-1983 -January through July - Expire - 2013

Printed 08/27/08 @ 11:34:08 AM
MerlinTeller


| No. | Series | Denom | Issue Date | Redemption Value | Interest |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | EE | 200.00 | 1981/07 | 521.76 | 421.76 |
| 2 | EE | 100.00 | 1981/07 | 260.88 | 210.88 |
| 3 | EE | 200.00 | 1981/06 | 521.76 | 421.76 |
| 4 | EE | 50.00 | 1981/07 | 130.44 | 105.44 |
| 5 | EE | 75.00 | 1981/03 | 204.36 | 166.86 |
| 6 | EE | 75.00 | 1981/06 | 195.66 | 158.16 |
| 7 | EE | 50.00 | 1981 / 03 | 136.24 | 111.24 |
| 8 | EE | 200.00 | 1981/03. | 544.96 | 444.96 |
| 9 | EE | 50.00 | 1981/04 | 136.24 | 111.24 |
| 10 | EE | 100.00 | $1981 / 04$ | 272.48 | 222.48 |
| 11 | EE | 200.00 | 1981/04 | 544.96 | 444.96 |
| 12 | EE | 50.00 | 1981/05 | 130.44 | 105.44 |



| PGUP $=$ Screen Up | DOWN ARROW = Scroll Down | ALT-S = More Bonds |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PGDN $=$ Screen Down | UP ARROW $=$ Scroll Up | ALT-E $=$ End Customer |

P4ै925

Printed 08/27/08 @ 11:34:12 AM




Prihted 02/27/07 @ 10:45:14 AM

| No. | Series | Denom | Issue Date | Redemption Value | Interest |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | E | 25.00 | 1977 /12 | 123.46 | 104.71 |
| 2 | E | 25.00 | 1977/08 | 136.93 | 118.18 |
| 3 | E | 25.00 | 1977/05 | 136.67 | 117.92 |
| 4 | E | 100.00 | 1977/04 | 541.32 | 466.32 |
| 5 | E | 100.00 | 1977 / 05 | 546.68 | 471.68 |
| 6 | E | 100.00 | 1977/08 | 547.72 | 472.72 |
| 7 | E | 100.00 | $1977 / 06$ | 547.84 | 472.84 |
| B | E | 100.00 | 1977/07 | 547.72 | 472.72 |

-Sub/fotals -

| Pre-January 1990 Issue Dates |  | 19708.78 | 16952.53 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| January 1990 and Later issue Dates |  |  |  |  |
| Tolal |  | 19708.78 | 16952.53 |  |
| PGUP = Screen Up | DOWh ARROW = Scroll Down | ALTS $=$ Mor |  |  |
| PGDN = Screen Down | UP ARRON = Scroll Up | ALTE $=$ End <br> AI. $\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{Mor}$ |  |  |
| AM |  |  |  |  |
| WICR ? | Helcase yersim: | Y720129x-Y | 19:45 | 02272003 |

Prinṫed 02/27/07 @ 10:45:26 AM

| LMerlinTeller |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Mer | Qfate |  |
| E/EE Bond |  |  |  |  |  |
| No. | Series | Denam | Issue Date | Redemption Value | Interest |
| 8 | $\mathrm{E} \quad \sim$ | 100.00 | 1977/07 | 547.72 | 472.72 |
| 9 | E | 100.00 | 1977/09 | 537.00 | 452.00 |
| 10 | E | 100.00 | 1977/10 | 537.00 | 462.00 |
| 11 | E | 100.00 | 1977/11 | 492.48 | 417.48 |
| 12 | E | 100.00 | 1977/12 | 493.84 | 418.84 |
| 13 | E | 100.00 | 1977/01 | 552.16 | 477.16 |
| 14 | E | 100.00 | 1977/02 | 552.16 | 477.16 |
| 15 | E | 100.00 | 1977 /03 | 541.32 | 466.32 |



-Sub/Totals

| Pre-january 1990 issue Dates | 19708.78 | 16952.53 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| January 1990 and Later lssue Dates |  |  |
| Tulal | 19708.78 | 16952.53 |

PGUP = Screen Up

DOWN ARROW = Scroll Down
PGDN = Screen Down
UP ARAOW = Scroll Up

ALT-S = More Bonds
A.T-E = End Customer

AlT-N = Mare Transactions

An
MCH?

Printed 02／27／07＠10：46：35 AM

| AMerlinTeller |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E／EE Bond |  |  |  |  |  |
| No． | Series | Denam | Issue Date | Redemption Value | Interest |
| 20 | E ${ }^{6}$ | 200.00 | 1977／04 | 1082.64 | 932.54 尔 |
| 21 |  | 200.00 | 1977／05 | 1093.36 | 943．36 ${ }^{\text {W }}$ |
| 22 | E | 200.00 | 1977／06 | 1095.68 | $945.68{ }^{\text {\％}}$ |
| 23 | E | 200.00 | 1977／07 | 1095.44 | $945.44{ }^{2}$ |
| 24 | E | 200.00 | 1977／09 | 1074.00 | 924.00 |
| 25 | E | 200.00 | 1977／12 | 987.68 | 837.68 |
| 26 | E | 200.00 | 1977／00 | 1095.44 | 945.44 年 |
| 27 | E | 200.00 | 1977／10 | 1074.00 | 924．00管 |




## NOTICE TO RECIPIENTS OF SERIES HH AND H BOND INTEREST IMPORTANT TAX RETURN DOCUMENT ENCLOSED

On and after March 1, 1987, if a Series HH or H savings bond submitted for redemption is received by a Federal Reserve Office or the Bureau of the Public Debt in the month preceding an interest due date, the bond will be paid and the owner will not receive the upcoming interest payment, unless the paying office receives from the owner a specific written statement that the bond should be held until the month in which the interest is due. For example, if interest is due on a Series HH or H bond every January 1 and July 1 and the paying office receives the bond in June without a written request to hold the bond until July 1, the bond will be paid and the owner will receive no interest for the period from the preceding January 1 to the date of payment.

Paying offices will not honor instructions to hold bonds for later redemption if the bonds and written statement are received more than one month before an interest due date. For example, if interest is due on a Series HH or H bond every January 1 and July 1 and the bond is received by the paying office in September, the bond will be paid, and the owner will receive no interest for the period from the preceding July to the date of payment.

PLEASE RETAIN THIS NOTICE WITH YOUR BONDS AS A REMINDER OF THIS RULE CHANGE.

## Bank of America

U.S. Savings Bond E/EE

Interest Income

気



BOND INFORMATION
PRESS PRINT KEY FOR CPY OF SCREEN
IEDEMPTION YYYY/ḾO 2000/06 SERIES: 1 - E BONDS 3 - SAVINGS NOTES 2 - EE BONDS $4=I$ BONDS

| $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { OF } \\ & 30 N D S \end{aligned}$ | SERIES $1,2,3,4$ | FACE <br> VALUE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ISSUE } \\ & \text { YYYY/MO } \end{aligned}$ | P E R REDEMP. VAL | B $0 \mathrm{~N}^{\circ} \mathrm{D}$ <br> INT EARNED | REDEMP. VALL | A LS INT EARNED |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 100 | 1973/12 | 447.40 | 372.40 | 447.40 | 372.40 |
| 1 | 1 | 100 | 1973/05 | 458.32 | 383.32 | 458.32 | 383.32 |
| 1 | 1 | 100 | 1973/06 | 459.40 | 384.40 | 459.40 | 384.40 388.84 |
| 1 | 1 | 100 | 1973/07 | 463.84 | 388.84 | 463.84 | 388.84 |
| 1 | 1 | 100 | 1973/07 | 463.84 | 388.84 | 463.84 | 388.84 389.84 |
| 1 | 1 | 100 | 1973/08 | 464.84 | 389.84 | 464.84 | 389.84 380.80 |
| 1 | 1 | 100 | 1973/09 | 455.80 | 380.80 | 455.80 | 380.80 |
| 1 | 1 | 100 | 1973/09 | 455.80 | 380.80 | 455.80 | 380.80 |
| 1 | 1 | 100 | 1973/10 | 455.80 | 380.80 | 455.80 | 380.80 |
| 1 | 1 | 100 | 1973/11 | 455.80 | 380.80 | 455.80 | 380.80 |
| 1 | 1 | 100 | 1973/11 | 455.80 | 380.80 | 455.80 | 380.80 |
| 1 | 1 | 100 | 1973/12 | 447.40 | 372.40 | 447.40 | 372.40 |
| 1 | 1 | 75 | 1973/02 | 350.61 | 294.36 | 350.61 | 294.36 |
|  |  |  |  | PAGE TOTAL |  | 5834.65 | 4878.40 |

DO YOU WANT TO ENTER MORE BONDS? (Y/N)
BOND INFORMATION PRESS PRINT KEY FOR CPY OF SCREEN 2000/06 SERIES: 1 - E BONDS 3 - SAVINGS NOTES 2 - EE BONDS $4=$ I BONDS
PERBOND TOTALS
REDEMPTION YYYY/MO
2000/06
\# of SERIES FACE ISSUE
BONDS $1,2,3,4$ VALUE YYYY/MO

| 1 | 1 |  | 1973/04 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 75 | 1973/06 |
| 1 | 1 | 75 | 1973/08 |
| 1 | 1 | 75 | 1973/10 |
| 1 | 1 | 100 | 1973/01 |
| 1 | 1 | 100 | 1973/01 |
| 1 | 1 | 100 | 1973/02 |
| 1 | 1 | 100 | 1973/03 |
| 1 | 1 | 100 | 1973/03 |
| 1 | 1 | 100 | 1973/04 |
| 1 | 1 | 100 | 1973/05 |

REDEMP. VAL INT EARNED REDEMP. VAL INT EARNED

| 343.74 | 287.49 |  | 343.74 | 287.49 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 344.55 | 288.30 |  | 344.55 | 288.30 |
| 348.63 | 292.38 |  | 348.63 | 292.38 |
| 341.85 | 285.60 |  | 341.85 | 285.60 |
| 466.28 | 391.28 |  | 466.28 | 391.28 |
| 466.28 | 391.28 |  | 466.28 | 391.28 |
| 467.48 | 392.48 |  | 467.48 | 392.48 |
| 458.32 | 383.32 |  | 458.32 | 383.32 |
| 458.32 | 383.32 |  | 458.32 | 383.32 |
| 458.32 | 383.32 |  | 458.32 | 383.32 |
| 458.32 | 383.32 |  | 458.32 | 383.32 |

PAGE TOTAL
4612.09
3862.09

DO YOU WANT TO ENTER MORE BONDS? (Y/N)





```
SAVINGS - STOCK BONUS PLAN OF
    GULF OIL CORPORATION
                                    EMPLOYEE NO: 114162

AUTHORIZATION FOR SETTLEMENT

IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PROVISIONS OF THE SAVINGS-STOCK BONUS PLAN, THE SETTLEMENT SHOWN BELOW IS AUTHORIZED TO BE MADE FOR THE ACCOUNT OF E.H. BRUNSTING. BY REASON OF REQUEST , 12/31/82 PART OF THIS SETTLEMENT MAY BE TAXABLE.


STOCK BONUS FUNDS:

LONG TERM- SHARES @ \(\$ .000\)

LONG TERM SAVINGS FUNDS:

OPTION 1- SHARES @ \(\$ .000\)
OPTION 2
SAVERS A- SHARES @ \(\$ .000\)

SAVERS B

TOTAL SETTLEMENT UNDER ALL FUNDS:
36 BONDS SHARES \(\quad 2,225.00 \cdots 4.842,229.84\)

CHECK DATE 03/25/83 , NUMBER 214850, PAYEE E. H. BRUNSTING

BENEFITS COMMITTEE

DATE MARCH 25, 1983
BY \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{\(1-8003332915\)} \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|r|}{DESCRIPTIONS OF UNITED STATES SAVINGS BONDS PRESENTED AND SURRENDERED} \\
\hline \(8 / 29\) ISSUE DATE & DENOMINATION & SERIAL NUMBER & INSCRIPIIIN \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 8275 \mathrm{LAN} 1968 \\
& 872757 \mathrm{EER} 1968
\end{aligned}
\]} & 123．7125 & Q2323610188E & ELMER H．BRUNSTING ORQ64／817019E NELVA E．BRUNSTING \\
\hline & 494.84100 & C488366018E & DITT C－2116581518 2 \\
\hline & 494.84100 & C488381553E & DITOC \(-2 / 1658 / 3 / 92\) \\
\hline \(9 / 23 / 4\) MA夫 & 414,74100 & C487597606E & ОПТ० e \(211658 / 3\) 201F？ \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} & 4rspe 100 & C492930507E & DITO soed－xearce？ \\
\hline & 123.9225 dV & Q2369597957E & ОГТ० 641811701851 \\
\hline \(8 / 2716 \square 1968\) & 48564100 M & C495566689E &  \\
\hline 8／27／6 SEP 1968 & 123.9625 & Q2376239798E & DITTO Q 64161581316 F \\
\hline SEPT 1268 &  & C495554472E & DITO C2118117016F \\
\hline OCT 1968 m －\({ }^{\circ}\) & 123．7625 & Q2376412853E & DITT Q 641816581315 \\
\hline 0691968 & 49：68 100 & C495571546E &  \\
\hline NOV1968 V & 120，4825 & Q2382934338E & DTTO \(Q 6418117813146\) \\
\hline NON4968 & 47272100 & C496529219E & ППTO \(-2 / 1658 / 313 \mathrm{~F}\) \\
\hline & 4F7， 36100 & \({ }^{\text {C }}\)－496545465E & DITT C－2116581806 \\
\hline DRC1968 & \(124.3 \times 25\) & Q2389590020E & DITOQ 64181720 \\
\hline & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\(517 \% 72\)} \\
\hline 8／27／97 19N1969 & 11243325 & Q2402769422E & ELMER H BRUNSTINGQ641817202／ NELVA E BRUNSTING \\
\hline \(7 / 3147\) JAN 1969 & 4\％1720 & C497448486E & DITO Sotd 19072 左－ \\
\hline 812057 EES 1969 & 120\％ 325 & Q2409958642E & DITO Q 64181720 21312 F \\
\hline 872747 FEB 1969 & 4のリン100 & C499254901E & DITO C－21165813231 \\
\hline  & 2 ys ¢：50 & L757031560E &  \\
\hline CMAREH1969 & 4\％\％3：100 & C499266790E & ФाТТ \(2 / 16581324\) \\
\hline \(3 / 77\) APPIt－1969V & 14．3925 & Q2422715395E & DГTО Q6418／72023 \\
\hline APRIL T96\％／ & 有为 100 & C499274128E & ФТТ० \(C .211658 / 3258\) \\
\hline MA 4989 & 2416.150 & L763056023E & गПTOL． 222513188 \\
\hline MAY1－969 & 49.28100 & C5022244708E & DITO -2116581326 F \\
\hline JUNE19692 & \[
240,0150 \quad b^{5}
\] & L766519117E & DITO \(-222513132 \%\) \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{8721／97 Jサ＋Y1969} & \[
480,16100
\] & C502238466E & DITTO \(2 \times \frac{7}{4} 18172024 \%\) \\
\hline & ，15，8325 & Q2440232983E &  \\
\hline \(713 / 47\) JHI 1969 & 7533－100 & C502260677E & DITTO Sold（3，84 है \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
8 / 27 / 97 \text { AUG } 1969
\]} & 238.1850 & L772779399E & DTTO＜ 222513188 EV ． \\
\hline & 476,36100 & C504859197E & DTTO -211058 \\
\hline & 238.20 .100 & C504883348E & DПT0 2118 ¢01329 \\
\hline SEPP1969 & 419， \(2 \cdot 25\) & Q2468249697E & DTTO Q6418，72012 \\
\hline S0F4969 & v7640100 & C506399101E & ХІТ० \(C-211658 / 330 \sqrt{2}\) \\
\hline －\({ }^{\text {NON }} 1969\) & 238．240 & L777324452E & DITO L2225131882E \\
\hline NOY 1969 & \(4 \geqslant 4 \% 100\) & C506442126E & ПТТО C211658133115 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{DECH969} & 119.7225 & Q2476363422E & DाTO Q6418172025E \\
\hline & 4.8588100 & C506449027E & गTTO \(C-211658 / 3085\) \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{760158} \\
\hline \(\int\) An 1970 & 2369450 & L779356396E & ELMER H BRUNSTING OR \(222513 / 883 \mathrm{~F}\) \\
\hline 8／27\％ & & & NELVA E BRU；NSTING 309 F \\
\hline 127／97\％JAN 1970 & 48.92100 & C507351868E & ХГТО \(C-2116581309 \%\) \\
\hline （ \(7 E B 1970\) & 118.1825 & Q2489045403E & DITO－Q \(64181720261 \%\) \\
\hline －FEB－970 & 47.12100 & C507371517E & ППТО C－2116581224E \\
\hline MARCH 1970 & 237.56 & L781533895E & DIT10 \(4-2223131805\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


201.3250 402.64100 201.7150 405. 4f 100 201.7450 403.48100 201.2450 4ce if 100 G02.6/ 75 40348100 2976575 39684100 198.7250
39752100 39751775
24815 35756100
148.050 3175100 348,1775
99752100 1887850 37732100 258.52 75 \(\frac{375.56100}{7698.56}\)
293.0475
390.72100
195,5050
391.60100

C509742914E
L781622843E
C513299043E
LL781689413E
C513338157E
L781840738E
C513377785E
L794088310E
C513404100E
L796803115E
C 515732747E
Q2528750393E
C515801272E
L801969302E
C515833390E
L802022535E
C515886588E
L807326463E
C 515436590 E

L807366168E
C518450821E
L812941238E
C518516321E
L815611153E
C522495921E
L817774095E
C523365879E
K14200621E
C523483834E
K14670394E
C526107354E
L819574435E
C528427319E
K15016278E
C529794380E
L825480119E
C529877212E
K15187296E
C529895593E
L835532053E
C.531353752E

K16443059E
C534218555E

K16841325E
C 535345407 E
L1002342624E
C536246756E
\begin{tabular}{|c|}
\hline \multirow{19}{*}{(} \\
\hline \\
\hline \\
\hline \\
\hline \\
\hline \\
\hline \\
\hline \\
\hline \\
\hline \\
\hline \\
\hline \\
\hline \\
\hline \\
\hline \\
\hline \\
\hline \\
\hline \\
\hline \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

ELMER H BRUNSTING OR \(\angle 2225631874 \sqrt{6}\) \&NELVA BRUNSTING \(\subset-211654 / 290 \%\) \(\therefore\) DITTO \(\angle-22251318756\)

DTTO C-211658/291F
DTTO \(\angle-2225131876 E L\) DITT C 2 11681292 压

DITTO \(2-21165812941=\) DITTOK223036234E DITOC-211658/29515 DITOL-2225131878E DTTO C \(-211658 / 286 \%\) DITO \(k 23036238 k\)
DITO \(C .2116581297 \mathrm{R}\) DITIOL-2225131879k DITO C-21165 /29815 DПTO \(<-223034232\) R DITTO \(C-21165812995\) DITO<2225131880 DITT C 21165813001 DITTOK223034231E DTTOC-21165813.01.

ELMER H BRUNSTING ORK223056198F NELVA E BRUNSTING 5 Se/ DТТО \(L-2225131857 \mathrm{~F}\) DITIOC-211658/303E


375.01100
379.04100
287.75
380.100
380.100
380.100
285.75
380.100 38100 380100
2636675
3848100
\(373: 40100\)
375.40100
280.6575
\(37 \%, 25100\)
37424100
374.24100
280.6775 37\％．24100 324.24100 324,2100 3 \(59.6 \times 100\)
367.4100
456.36
K100205529E C1001140610E K100235027E C1001188897E K100574825E ClOO4287178E K100897353E
C1004370151E K100923508E C1005971762E K101226740E C1007854435E K101234776E C1009583723E K101497925E C1013424162E K101674271E C1O14677804E K101717239E C1014769185E
C1017412539E C1017412540E K103456625E
C1O19165387E
C1020967659E C1020967660E
K103502429E
C1022725346E
C1O22743153E
C1022743154E
K104260431E
C1024190568E
C1025207524E
C1025207525E
K104501960E
C1O26856168E
C1028489865E
C1028489866E
K105207666E
C1030186694E
C1031889677E
C1031889678E
C1031993682E
C1031993683E

－

\(4341 / 700\)
381.36 du

ELMER H BRUNSTING ORK－2230362／5
NELVA E BRUNSTING \(c-2116581234 E\) NELVA E BRUNSTING C－211
DITIO \(K 23036214 \mathrm{E}\)
DITTOK223036230 F
DITO C－2116581304／F
DITO \(K-22303622\) SE
DITO C \(-21165 \% 130 F E\)
DTHO \(K 23036228 E=\)
DITO K 223036228
DITO \(C-2116581306 E\) DITOK 223036227 R DITO \(C-2116581307 E\)
DTTO \(223036226 k\) DITO \(\mathrm{C}-2116581258\) F
DTTO 223036225 E DTTO C－211658／254F DITTK K 23036224 E DITO \(1-2116581260\) 鹿
DTTOK 223036223 R DITO \(C-2116581261 E\) DITOK 223 036222
DTIOC－2116P1262E DITOK \(223036221 / E\)
DTTO \(-2116191263 / 2\) DTTOC－2116181263

ELMER H BRUNSTING ORC－21665／264E NELVA E BRUNSTINGC－21／658／265F DПTO K－223036220 \(\begin{array}{lll}\text { DITO } C-21165812678 \\ \text { DITO } & C 116581268\end{array}\) DTIO \(G-2116581268 \mathrm{~F}\) DПTOK C 223036219 E DITTO \(C-211656 / 2716\)
DTTO \(C-211651269 k\) DाTOC－2116581270E DITTOK－223036218E DTTO C－2116581272R
DITO \(C-2116581273 \mathrm{E}\) DITTO C－2 21165812746 DITO \(C\) ． 2116581275 星 DITTO C－ 21165812716 DITO
 DITO e－ \(2116581275 E\) DाTO \(c-2116<8 / 2805\) DITTOC－2116「81281F
DITOC－2116581282F
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K202864265E R202451895E
K203112916E R202690829E K203265303E
R202694335E
K203366117E
R202698397E
K203354323E
R202699682E
K203364078E
R203951602E
K203386120E
R203944366E
C2030829953E
R203949180E
K207076144E
R203978493E
K207094581E
R204483052E
K207452453E
R204507335E
K207459456E
R204523975E

C20361322118E
R204541333E
C2039832289E
R204553456E
C2041431316E
R204557856E
C2043336989E
R205675270E
Q6185728367E
C2046633576E
R205991077E
C2049536154E
R20634880E
C204981455E
R206596261E
Q6204923639E
C2054099290E
R2060846501E
C2054145624E
R207208675E
C2058634132E
R207528154E
C2059773778E
R207840791E
Q6233839753E
C2061750948E

ELMER H BRUNSTING ORK－2230962／1k
NELVA E BRUNSTING \(\mathbb{R}-214370772 E\) DITO K． \(223036210 \%\)


DITO \(K \cdot 22303620 \varepsilon R\)
DITO \(R-214370770 R\)
DГIOK． \(223036208 \%\)
DITOR． 214370769 F
DПТ० \(k-2 z 3036207 E\)
ППТ० \(R-2 / 4370768 E\)
ППТОK・ス2303620Uに
DITOR－2／4370767
DITOK1 2 23036205 E
DITT R－ 214370766 F
DITO \(C-2116581250 \mathrm{~F}\)
DПTO \(R-214370765 \%\)
DITTOK223036204 DITO R－2 14370784 F DITOK． 223036203 F DITO R \(214370753 \%\) DITTO K＇223030 R \(2140782 E\) DITOK－223036201E DПТО \(R-214370781 R\)

ELMER H BRUSTING ORC－211658／2T1F NELVA E BRUNSTING R 214 DITO C－2116581252
DITOR－21437077GE DITO \(C-21157812536\) DITO \(R-214370778 E\)
DIIT C－2116581254F DITIO \(R-214370777 E\) DITTO Q6418172032E ПाТ० \(C-2116 \sqrt{6} 12576\) DITTOR－214370776た
DITO C－21165812T6E DITT R2，4 370775 5 R DITTO \(C-211658125\)
DITO \(R 21450794\)




ELMER H．BRUNSTING ORC－2116581231E
NELVA E BRUNSTINGR214370788E

ELMER H BRUNSTING ORK22305E179E NELVA E BRUNSTING C－211658／232K DПTO R－21437078ワ E DПТ०R－214370 286 E
DПТОR \(214370785^{\prime}\) E DTTOK．223．036，200E ФПТОС－2116「8／233に

ELMER H BRUNSTING OR \(L 550298074 \mathrm{EE}\) NELVA E BRUNSTINGK 1017 DTTOR 137344640 km DITTOL．550298073 EF DTTOK 101747189 E E DITO R \(137344693 E E\) DTTO \(\angle 550298072\) RE
DTTO \(586074555 E E\) DТТО R 137344638 EE ПТТО \(\angle 55029807\) にに ППTOK 101747188 EE DTTOR \(137344637 k E\)
DTTOR \(550288070 E L\) DTTOK 101747187 EE ФाТ० \(1 / 37344636\) ब．F DTTO \(250298069 E F\) ОПТОС 586074554 Ek DTTO R 137344635156 DITOK K17471866E DПTO \(R-137344634 \mathrm{EE}\)
DПT० 550298067 F DПTO C 586074553 Em DТТО \(R 137344633 E E\) DTТ० 人． \(5502980666 E\)
 DाTOK 101747184 EE ППТ० \(R 137344651\) EE ППТО \(\angle 55298064\) FF DTTO C－586074552 EG DTTO R13 7344630 K F

JAN 1982
JAN 1982
JAN 1982
FEB 1982
FEB 1982
FEB 1982
MARCH 1982
MARCH 1982
MARCH 1982
APRIL 1982
APRIL 1982
APRIL 1982
MAY 1982
MAY 1982
MAY 1982
JUNE 1982
JUNE 1982
JUNE 1982
JUL7 1981
JULY 1982
JULY 1982
AUG 1982
AUG 1982
AUG 1982
SEPT 1982
SEPT 1982
SEPT 1982
ÓCT1982
ССГ 1982
ДСТ 1982
NOV 1982
NOV 1982
NOV 1982
DEC 1982
DEC 1982
DEC 1982

JAN 1983
- JAN 1983

JAN 1983
FEB1983
FEB1983
FEB 1983
- MARCH 1983

MARCH 1983
MARCH 1983
APRIL 1983
APRIL 1983
APRIL 1983
MAY 1983
MAY 1983


ELMER H BRUNSTING ORK101747183Et NELVA E BRUNSTING 4566074 ST \(\%\) IE/2 DITOR137344629 \(1 / \mathrm{F}\)
DITO \(\angle 550298063\) RE DITOC5F6074 5066 DTTO R137344628RE DITOK 101747182 FF DIIO C 586074545 FE DITO 137344627 KE DПTOK 101747181 LE DITO C 586074548 RE DTTO E 137344626 RK
 DITO C586074547RE DITO \(P 137344\) G2FEEE
DTIO 1017479 FE DITT C 586074546 EE DITTO R 137544624 RE DITOK 10 1747178EE DIITO C 58607454 FEE
 DПTOK 101747 177 \& DITOC 586074544 EF DITO R137344622KE
DTTO \(\angle 550248062\) IEE DाTO \(5586074543 E K\) DITTO R137344621 KF DाTि K 101747176 DITO R \(37344620 E E\) DITOC \(586074541 E E\) DITO R \(1373446 /\) GKE Dाग०K \(10,747174 E E\) DITOC 586074540 FE DाТТ \(R 1313446 / 8 \sqrt{E}\)

ELMER H BRUNSTING ORK1017471736E NELVA E BRUNSTINGC 586074535 EE DITOR \(137344617 E k\) /
DTTO
550298061
DITO \(<55028806 \mathrm{CL}\)
DITO 58674538 EL DITO \(\mathrm{R} 137344616 E E\) DITO K \(1017471725 \sqrt{\text { ® }}\) DITO C \(586074537 E E\) DाTO R13フ344615EE DITIOK 101747171 FE DITO C 586074536 R
DITO \(137446 E\) DIFTOK 101747170 kE DITO C586 O74453 RE

0 \(\begin{array}{cc}\because & \ddots \\ \because & \ddots \\ \ddots & \because \\ \because & \ddots\end{array}\)
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DTTO R137344G/3EE
DITOK K 10174716 SFE,
DITO C 58607453 Yike
DTTO R \(1373446126 E\)
DTTOK \(10154.7168 \mathrm{FE} \mathrm{E}_{0}\)
DITTO C 586074533 है
DITOR 13734464 RE
do
\[
1 \text { Pes onen } 713-65
\]

PD F 5313
Department of the Treasury Bureau of the Public Debt (Revised June 1997)

\section*{SAVINGS BOND REDEMPTION CHECK}

The enclosed check represents payment for the redemption of series F, G, H, J, K, or HH bonds. If you also requested payment for the redemption of series \(E E\) or \(E\) bonds or the issue of new bonds, you will receive them separately.

Please direct questions concerning this check to the Bureau of the Public Debt at (304) 480-7999.

\section*{PLEASE READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE PREPARING FORM REQUEST FOR REDEMPTION OF U.S. SAVINGS BONDS, NOTES, RETIREMENT PLAN \& INDIVIDUAL RETIREMENT BONDS}

INSTRUCTIONS
1. Complete separate requests for Series E/EE, H/HH, and I bonds.
2. All bonds must be signed by the payee and the signature must be certified by a bank official, authorized bank individual or notary on the back of the bond.
3. When bonds are being submitted to the Federal Reserve Bank for redemption, we become the paying agent. Therefore, your paying agent stamp is not needed on the front of the bond and the 1099-INT will be issued by the Bureau of Public Debt at the end of the year.
4. Series H/HH bonds will suffer a loss of interest if presented for payment in any month other than the month that interest is paid. Be sure to check the appropriate box below to clarify the payee's intentions for payment.
5. Series EE bonds issued after May 1, 1997, and I bonds are subject to a loss of the three most recent months' interest when redeemed within the first five years.
If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to call the Savings Bond Customer Service Unit Submit completed form to: between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 6:00 p.m. CDT.
\begin{tabular}{lrr} 
Kansas City Area & \(881-2919\) \\
Long Distance Calls & (800) & \(333-2919\)
\end{tabular}

Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City
Savings Bond Department
P.O. Box 419440

Kansas City, Missouri 64141-6440
\begin{tabular}{|l|c|l|l|l|}
\hline Series of Bonds & Number of Bonds & Total Face Value & Payee's Social Security or Tax i.D. Number & Date \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Payee's Streat Address

City, State, Zip Code

Name of Contact and Telephone Number for Questions Regarding Pequest
Method of Payment
\(\square\) Check, mail payment to:
(If other than payee's
address as indicated
above).

Credit financial institution's reserve account or correspondent's reserve account as designated on Federal
Resorve Bank records. (This is not an ACH deposit.)


FOR FEDERAL RESERVE BANK USE ONLY - DO NOT WRITE BELOW THS LINE


\section*{PLEASE READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE PREPARING FORM}

\section*{REQUEST FOR REDEMPTION OF U.S. SAVINGS BONDS, NOTES, RETIREMENT PLAN \& INDIVIDUAL RETIREMENT BONDS}

INSTRUCTIONS
1. Complete separate requests for Series E/EE, H/HH, and I bonds.
2. All bonds must be signed by the payee and the signature must be certified by a bank olficial, authorized bank individual or notary on the back of the bond.
3. When bonds are being submitted to the Federal Reserve Bank for redemption, we become the paying agent. Therefore, your paying agent stamp is not needed on the front of the bond and the \(1099-\mathbb{N T}\) will be issued by the Bureau of Public Debt at the end of the year.
4. Series H/HH bonds will suffer a loss of interest if presented for payment in any month other than the month that interest is paid. Be sure to check the appropriate box below to clarify the payee's intentions for payment.
5. Series EE bonds issued after May 1, 1997, and I bonds are subject to a loss of the three most recent months' interest when redeemed within the first five years.
If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to call the Savings Bond Customer Service Unit
Submit completed form to: between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 6:00 p.m. CDT.
Kansas City Area
881-2919
Long Distance Calls
(800) 333-2919

Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City
Savings Bond Department
P.O. Box 419440

Kansas City, Missouri 64141-6440


FOR FEDERAL RESERVE BANK USE ONLY - DO NOT WRITE BELOW THIS LNE
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\begin{tabular}{|l|l|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Form \# } & Quantity \\
\hline PD 5263-1 & \\
\hline PD 5276 & \\
\hline PD 5336 & \\
\hline Supply Requisition & \\
\hline SD 3 B & \\
\hline SD 23 & \\
\hline SD 91 & \\
\hline SD 103 & \\
\hline SD 120 & \\
\hline SD 211 & \\
\hline FA 500 & \\
\hline SD 500 & \\
\hline SD 1340 & \\
\hline SF 1199A & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


Bradot eartin
\[
\times 507
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Thene } 1968 \text { 100 C211658, } 320 \mathrm{E} \\
& \text { mancl } 1964 \quad 50<22251318846 \\
& \text { fuly } 1620 \text { 100L2225131865E } \\
& \frac{50 C-21165812845}{402 x} \sim 2027 \\
& \text { Carted } 913 \text { \& }
\end{aligned}
\]


\section*{Bank of America}

\section*{Customer}

Receipt


95-14-1960B 6-2000

\section*{Bank of America}
U.S. Savings Bond E/EE Interest Income


I certify that the TIN shown on this form is my conrect Taxpayer Identification Number for reporting to the IRS.

Associate Information


Transaction Information (Complete all applicable fields)
```


[^0]:    We have moved! Our new office address is as shown above. We are one exit west of our old office building. Exit Dairy Ashford. Turn south on Dairy Ashford. St. Mary's Lane is a side street one block south of I-10 Katy Freeway. Turn west on St. Mary's Lane. Our building is in the northwest corner of the four-way stop.

    IRS CIRCULAR 230 DISCLOSURE: Tax advice contained in this communication (including any attachments) is neither intended nor written to be used, and cannot be used, to avoid penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or to promote, market or recommend to anyone a transaction or matter addressed in this communication.
    ***This e-mail is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. 2510-2521 and is legally privileged..** This information is confidential information and is intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering this electronic message to the intended recipient, you are notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please notify us immediately by reply e-mail or by telephone (800-229-3002), and destroy the original transmission and its attachments without reading them or saving them to disk or otherwise. Thank you.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ It appears that Nelva Erleen Brunsting was the original Trustee and on January 12, 2005, she resigned and appointed Anita Brunsting as the sole Trustee.

[^2]:    the detail of accounts (Exhibit 2). The categorization of these payments can be amended should the statements and supporting documents be received.

    The following account statements were not received and the activity for the periods has not been recorded in the report:

    Bank of America checking accounting \# $\square$-9546, 12/14/2011 to 5/31/13.
    Edward Jones account \# 5-1-6, 4/26/2013 to 5/31/2013.
    Chevron dividend reinvestment account $\quad 942312 / 31 / 2011$ to $5 / 31 / 2013$

    ExxonMobil dividend reinvestment account \# $\square$ 9/30/2012 to 5/31/2013
    John Deere dividend reinvestment account (summary provided, but no monthly reports)
    Met Life dividend reinvestment account (summary provided, but no monthly reports)


    #### Abstract

    VI. Stock Distributed/Dividend Reinvestment Account Information

    During the period, a number of Dividend Reinvestment Accounts ("DRP") were maintained. The information we received included accounts with Chevron Corporation ("CVX") shares, Exxon/Mobil Corporation ("XOM") shares, Deere and Company ("DE") shares and MetLife Inc ("MET") shares. When shares were distributed to the beneficiaries or parties in interest, the transaction was accounted for on the QuickBooks database at the fair market value at the time of the distribution or transfer. The fair market value was determined from historical records of stock prices at the close of the date of the transaction. These amounts may or may not be the actual amounts realized by the individuals receiving the stock. Please refer to Exhibit 3 in relation to this section.


[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ Case 4:12-cv-00592 Document 62 Filed in TXSD on 08/08/13

