

412249-401  
Nelva E. Brunsting, Dec.

FILE COPY  
PROBATE COURT 4

SHERRY RADACK  
CHIEF JUSTICE

PETER KELLY  
GORDON GOODMAN  
SARAH BETH LANDAU  
RICHARD HIGHTOWER  
JULIE COUNTISS  
VERONICA RIVAS-MOLLOY  
AMPARO GUERRA  
APRIL L. FARRIS  
JUSTICES



**Court of Appeals  
First District  
301 Fannin Street  
Houston, Texas 77002-2066**

CHRISTOPHER A. PRINE  
CLERK OF THE COURT

JANET WILLIAMS  
CHIEF STAFF ATTORNEY

PHONE: 713-274-2700

[www.txcourts.gov/1stcoa.aspx](http://www.txcourts.gov/1stcoa.aspx)

Thursday, September 8, 2022

Leslie Friedlander  
Office of the Attorney General of Texas  
PO Box 12548  
Austin, TX 78711-2548  
\* DELIVERED VIA E-MAIL \*

Neal Evan Spielman  
Griffin & Matthews  
1155 Dairy Ashford Rd Ste 300  
Houston, TX 77079-3012  
\* DELIVERED VIA E-MAIL \*

John Bruster Loyd  
Jones, Gillaspia & Loyd, L.L.P.  
4400 Post Oak Pkwy Ste 2360  
Houston, TX 77027-3440  
\* DELIVERED VIA E-MAIL \*

Stephen A. Mendel  
The Mendel Law Firm, L.P.  
1155 Dairy Ashford, Suite 104  
Houston, Tx 77079-3007  
\* DELIVERED VIA E-MAIL \*

Candice Schwager  
The Schwager Law Firm  
16807 Pinemoor Way  
Houston, TX 77058  
\* DELIVERED VIA E-MAIL \*

Cory Reed  
Thompson, Coe, Cousins & Irons, L.L.P.  
One Riverway Ste 1400  
Houston, TX 77056  
\* DELIVERED VIA E-MAIL \*

Bobbie G. Bayless  
Bayless & Stokes  
2931 Ferndale St.  
Houston, TX 77098  
\* DELIVERED VIA E-MAIL \*

RE: Court of Appeals Number: 01-22-00514-CV  
Trial Court Case Number: 412249-401

Style: In re Candace Louise Curtis

Today, the First Court of Appeals issued an opinion(s) in the above-referenced cause.


Texas Rule of Appellate Procedure 49 dealing with rehearings and en banc consideration has been revised effective October 1, 2021. A complete copy of the revised rule is attached to this letter.

A copy of the opinion(s) can be obtained through Case Search on our Court's webpage at: <http://www.txcourts.gov/1stcoa>.

2022 SEP -8 PM 2:46  
HARRIS COUNTY CLERK  
HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS

FILED

Sincerely,



/s/ Christopher A. Prine, Clerk

cc: Harris County Clerk's Office - Probate (DELIVERED VIA E-MAIL)  
Judge Probate Ct #4 (DELIVERED VIA E-MAIL)  
Hon. Presiding Judge, Eleventh Administrative Judicial Region (DELIVERED VIA E-MAIL)

## **Rule 49. Motion for Rehearing and En Banc Reconsideration**

### **49.1. Motion for Rehearing**

A motion for rehearing may be filed within 15 days after the court of appeals' judgment or order is rendered. The motion must clearly state the issues relied on for the rehearing.

### **49.2. Response to Motion for Rehearing**

No response to a motion for rehearing need be filed unless the court so requests. The motion will not be granted unless a response has been filed or requested by the court.

### **49.3. Decision on Motion for Rehearing**

A motion for rehearing may be granted by a majority of the justices who participated in the decision of the case. Unless two justices who participated in the decision of the case agree on the disposition of the motion for rehearing, the chief justice of the court of appeals must assign a justice to replace any justice who participated in the panel decision but cannot participate in deciding the motion for rehearing. If rehearing is granted, the court may dispose of the case with or without rebriefing and oral argument.

### **49.4. Further Motion for Rehearing**

After a court decides a motion for rehearing, a further motion for rehearing may be filed within 15 days of the court's action if the court:

- (a) modifies its judgment;
- (b) vacates its judgment and renders a new judgment; or
- (c) issues a different opinion.

### **49.5. En Banc Reconsideration**

A party may file a motion for en banc reconsideration as a separate motion, with or without filing a motion for rehearing. The motion must be filed within the time prescribed by Rule 49.1 for filing a motion for rehearing. The motion should address the standard for en banc consideration in Rule 41.2(c). No response to a motion for en banc reconsideration need be filed unless the court so requests. While the court has plenary power, a majority of the en banc court may, on its own initiative, order en banc reconsideration of a decision. If a majority orders reconsideration, the judgment or order does not become final, and the case will be resubmitted to the court for en banc review and disposition. The court may dispose of the case with or without rebriefing and oral argument.

#### **49.6. Further Motion for En Banc Reconsideration**

After a court decides a motion for en banc reconsideration, a further motion for en banc reconsideration may be filed within 15 days of the court's action if the court:

- (a) modifies its judgment;
- (b) vacates its judgment and renders a new judgment; or
- (c) issues a different opinion.

#### **49.7. Accelerated Appeals**

In an accelerated appeal, the appellate court may deny the right to file a motion for rehearing or en banc reconsideration or shorten the time to file such a motion.

#### **49.8. Amendments**

A motion for rehearing or en banc reconsideration may be amended as a matter of right anytime before the 15-day period allowed for filing the motion expires, and with leave of the court, anytime before the court of appeals decides the motion.

#### **49.9. Extension of Time**

A court of appeals may extend the time for filing a motion for rehearing or en banc reconsideration if a party files a motion complying with Rule 10.5(b) no later than 15 days after the last date for filing the motion.

#### **49.10. Not Required for Review**

A motion for rehearing or for en banc reconsideration is not a prerequisite to filing a petition for review in the Supreme Court or a petition for discretionary review in the Court of Criminal Appeals nor is it required to preserve error.

#### **49.11. Relationship to Petition for Review**

A party may not file a motion for rehearing or en banc reconsideration in the court of appeals after that party has filed a petition for review in the Supreme Court unless the court of appeals modifies its opinion or judgment after the petition for review is filed. The filing of a petition for review does not preclude another party from filing a motion for rehearing or en banc reconsideration or preclude the court of appeals from ruling on the

motion. If a motion for rehearing or en banc reconsideration is timely filed after a petition for review is filed, the petitioner must immediately notify the Supreme Court clerk of the filing of the motion, and must notify the clerk when the last timely filed motion is overruled by the court of appeals.

#### **49.12. Certificate of Conference Not Required**

A certificate of conference is not required for a motion for rehearing or en banc reconsideration.

#### **Notes and Comments**

Comment to 1997 change: This is former Rule 100. Subdivision 49.4 is moved here from former Rule 43(h). Subdivisions 49.9 and 49.10 are added.

Comment to 2008 change: Rule 49 is revised to treat a motion for en banc reconsideration as having the effect of a motion for rehearing and to include procedures governing the filing of a motion for en banc reconsideration. Subdivision 49.5(c) is amended to clarify that a further motion for rehearing may be filed if the court issues a different opinion, irrespective of whether the opinion is issued in connection with the overruling of a prior motion for rehearing. Issuance of a new opinion that is not substantially different should not occasion a further motion for rehearing, but a motion's lack of merit does not affect appellate deadlines. The provisions of former Rule 53.7(b) that address motions for rehearing are moved to new subdivision 49.11 without change, leaving the provisions of Rule 53.7(b) that address petitions for review undisturbed. Subdivision 49.12 mirrors Rule 10.1(a)(5) in excepting motions for rehearing and motions for en banc reconsideration from the certificate-of-conference requirement.

Comment to 2021 change: Rule 49 is revised to clarify when a motion for en banc reconsideration may be filed. A motion for en banc reconsideration must be filed by the deadline for filing an initial motion for rehearing under subdivision arranged. Amended subdivision 49.5 adds cross-reference to the standard for en banc consideration in Rule 41.2(c).