WHAT WERE THE SETTLORS INTENTIONS?

- 2007-06-26 <u>Questions, allegations surround Texas probate courts</u> Houston Chronicle
- 2007-06-25 <u>Nelva email re chronicle</u> and probate court corruption: that's why we have the trust (P14052)
- 2007-04-05 <u>Nelva email_to Anita</u> Divided Equally
- 2007-08-03 Nelva email_to Amy <u>Candy to be co-trustee</u> Divided Equally
- 2008-03-04 <u>Nelva email to Candy</u> Divided Equally Tuesday March 04 2008
- 2008-04-04 <u>Nelva emails Candy</u>: Divided Equally

INSTRUMENT AND EVENT CHRONOLOGY

The Front End Bait and Switch

- <u>Vacek sells peace of mind</u> website 2012-11-27
- •
- <u>Original 1996 Family</u> Trust [V&F 000391-451]
 - Successor trustees Anita then Carl then Amy
- <u>April 30, 1999 Amendment</u> (Anita's \$100k) [V&F 000808]
- 2001-06-05 2nd Amendment 1996 trust (testamentary power removed from Article IX) V&F 000865-000874
- <u>2005 Restatement</u> [V&F 000941-001027] [V&F000262-348]
- Anita removed from list of successor trustees beneficial interest unaffected
- Successor co-trustees Carl & Amy Candace as alternate.
- 2006-12-31 Anita has <u>Nelva email password</u>
- 2007-04-02 Anita \$2000
- 2007-04-02 Anita email showing bias towards Drina
- 2007-04-05 <u>Nelva email_to Anita</u> Divided Equally
- 2007-06-26 <u>Questions, allegations surround Texas probate courts</u> Houston Chronicle
- 2007-06-25 <u>Nelva email re chronicle</u> and probate court. is why we have the trust (P14052)
- 2007-07-05 <u>Carl replies that the judge and the lawyers that he employs ought to be</u> <u>horse whipped</u> – Lawyer for Alpert... Bobbie G. Bayless and that case is still malingering on.
- 2007-07-28 Nelva email to Candy re <u>Amy not stable</u>
- 2007-08-03 Nelva email_to Amy <u>Candy to be co-trustee</u> Divided Equally

- <u>2007-09-06 Amendment</u> [V&F 000928-929] V&F 252-253
 - Amy removed from list of successor co-trustees beneficial interest unaffected
 - Successor co-trustees Carl & Candace Frost Bank Alternate⁴⁶
 - 2007-09-06 <u>Nelva email to Carl re IRA'a</u> (P14072)
 - 2007-09-06 Nelva email to Carl <u>Elmer incompetent</u> & everything in the safe deposit box
 - 2008-04-04 <u>Nelva emails Candy</u>: Divided Equally
 - 2008-04-26 <u>Nelva emails Anita</u> about criticizing Elmer's Investments

THE RUPTURE

June 9, 2008 Elmer is declared non-compos mentis

- Article III only allowed changes to the trust if signed by both Settlors or approved by a court of competent jurisdiction. This event renders the family trust (the 2005 Agreement as amended in 2007) irrevocable as it pertains to Elmer's share (the B trust).
- None-the-less, instruments were written by V&F beginning a mere two weeks after Elmer was declared NCM, making changes that could not be made. Thus begins the Grifter's Bait and Switch and the Saga of the Brunsting Frankensuits
- The improper changes compound exponentially as later improperly drafted instruments are nested upon the earlier improperly drafted instruments.
- 2008-03-04 <u>Nelva email to Candy</u> Divided Equally Tuesday March 04 2008
- July 1, 2008 <u>Appointment of Successor Trustee</u> [BRUNSTING005805-5809] [V&F]
 - – signed by Nelva alone
 - Anita Co-Trustee with Carl
 - Not approved by court of competent jurisdiction cannot apply to irrevocable family or decedent trusts.
 - Never mentions 2005 Restatement
- July 1, 2008 <u>Certificates of Trust</u> [V&F 1431-1432]
- Reinforces improper change to Article IV made with Inst-5 supra
- Never mentions 2005 Restatement

⁴⁶ This indicates Elmer and Nelva's intention that Anita and Amy not be returned to the successor trustee list. This also reflects a Vacek artifice in that the 3rd party corporate trustee was never consulted before being named and will not serve. The Maxwell trust case is another example.

• 2008-07-10 email Nelva to Carl Say, I have a better handle on all this trust stuff now except for the really technical language but *that's what the lawyer is supposed to help you with* - everything in safety deposit box <u>Candy Houston travel</u> to be paid for

Elmer passed April 1, 2009

2010

- February 24, 2010 <u>Certificate of Trust Family Trust</u> [BRUNSTING005810-5813]
 - – signed by Nelva alone
 - Nests improprieties from July 1, 2008
 - Never mentions 2005 Restatement
- February 24, 2010 <u>Certificate of Trust</u> for the Elmer H. Brunsting Decedents Trust
 - – signed by Nelva alone
 - Nests improprieties from July 1, 2008
 - Never mentions 2005 Restatement
 - 2010-03-08 Nelva email_to Candy Divided Equally Monday March 08 2010
- June 15, 2010 QBD/TPA [V&F 349-351]
 - This is the first appearance of the TPA a deceptive trade practice artifice This will require further comment47 but on the surface, testamentary provisions do not belong in inter vivos instruments.
 - Never mentions 2005 Restatement
 - Makes no distinctions between trusts affected

July 3, 2010 Carl falls ill with encephalitis and is in coma

• 2010-07-30 Freeds' Notes-Anita called - <u>Change the Trust</u> PBT-2015-258999-2

FORGERY AND FRAUD

- <u>August 25, 2010 QBD/TPA</u> [V&F 353-389] Signature above the Line
- <u>August 25, 2010 QBD/TPA</u> Signature Preceded by "Can"
- <u>August 25, 2010 QBD</u> Signature on the Line
- •
- This is a piece of work. It combines incompatible powers without distinctions thinking to produce a result greater than the sum total of its parts. In reality it's a wholesale ransacking of what was already disfigured and, as mentioned earlier, this Deceptive Trade Practice Artifice will require further discussion. That discussion will follow. The fact is, its indefensible...

 $^{^{47}}$ The TPA is a Jack-in-the-Box artifice smuggled in as an "Oh but not really clause". The QBD/TPA combination multiplies the deception by adding another layer.

- An obvious question is: How did Candy's name get onto this document when there is absolutely no mention in Freeds notes? Why is candy's name on it?
- – signed by Nelva alone
- Not approved by court of competent jurisdiction
- Nests previous improprieties
- Never mentions 2005 Restatement
- August 25, 2010 <u>Appointment of Successor Trustees</u>
- – signed by Nelva alone
- Not approved by court of competent jurisdiction
- Nests previous improprieties
- Never mentions 2005 Restatement
- August 25, 2010 <u>Certificates of Trust</u> [V&F 000207-251]
- – signed by Nelva alone
- Not approved by court of competent jurisdiction
- Nests previous improprieties
- Never mentions 2005 Restatement
- 2010-10-06 Anita email to Freed Working on Nelva's resignation"
- 2010-10-13 <u>Summer Peoples re phone conference</u>

October 23, 2010 Phone Conference - Nelva excluded

- 2010-10-25 <u>Candy to Carole A&A will do anything they can</u> to cut everyone else out
- 2010-10-25 Freed's Notes from 10.25.10 Phone Conference CC.V&F479-483
- 2010-10-26 Anita Pressuring Nelva to Resign and everything secret
- 2010-10-26 Candy email to Carole Mom says she did no such thing
- 2010-10-28 Exhibit Carole email overhearing Nelva on phone with Freed

October/November 2010 Competency Evaluation

- 2010-11-1 <u>Nelva Hand Written</u> Note to Candy Note Anita's story not true. Anita is not in control – you will get your share!
- 2010-11-17 Freed Email re having Nelva subjected to competency evaluation
- December 21, 2010 <u>Resignation of Original Trustee</u> [V&F906-915]
- December 21, 2010 <u>Appointment of Successor trustee</u> [V&F240-245 & 906-915]
- December 21, 2010 Certificates of Trust [V&F906-915]
- 2010-12-21 Certificate of Trust Decedent V&F 000232 234
- 2010-12-21 Certificate of trust for the NEW family trust V&F 000237 239