Candace Kunz-Freed

(Page 110) (Page 112) 1 if you did respond one way or the other? 1 about that process. How does that work? I don't believe it elicited a response. It A. From the signing appointment. just says --Q. Correct. How does that signing appointment get Q. That's how she was going to do it? scheduled, first of all? Yes. A. So that would typically go on the calendar and Q. Did you think that would be an appropriate way 6 that's my due date to have the document prepared and 7 to do it? 7 reviewed for that date. And then my assistant would get 8 8 it, make the appropriate amount of copies, which was MR. REED: Objection, form. 9 three. We always sign three originals. One for the 9 THE WITNESS: Typically, if that was --(By Ms. Bayless) I'm sorry. If that was what? 10 trust binder, one for the originals to go in a fire safe 10 11 If that's what was done. 11 or safety deposit box, and the law firm would keep a 12 signed copy or signed original, if you will. 12 Did you ever have any contact with the 13 temporary administrator when he was acting in this case? The client would come in for the appointment. They 14 were given the documents to review prior to the attorney 14 A. No. 15 coming in. If they were complicated documents, wordy, 15 Q. Did he ever call you? 16 16 then that time would be shortened and I would sit down Α. 17 0. Asked you for information in any form? 17 with the client and go through the document itself, make 18 Not that I'm aware. 18 sure it said what they wanted it to say and it was 19 appropriate, they had not changed their mind from the 19 And how about the, there was a master appointed 20 in the Federal court that Candace filed initially? 20 time they called us and the time the document was 21 21 produced. 22 You didn't talk to him either? Q. And then we would get the notary in, sometimes I 23 Α. 23 would act as a notary, and sign the document three 24 Or provide any information? 24 times. 25 25 A. No. As far as the documents that we've been talking (Page 113) (Page 111) 1 MS. BAYLESS: Pass the witness. 1 about in this case, except for the documents that you 2 MR. SPIELMAN: All right. Okay. 2 may have gone to Nelva Brunsting's house for, was this 3 process you just described the process that was followed 3 MS. BAYLESS: You want to come down here. MR. SPIELMAN: No. Unless anyone has a 4 with the different trust documents that we have been 5 problem hearing me, I wouldn't want to recreate this 5 talking about in your deposition so far? 6 pile of strangeness as it is. That's correct. 7 MS. BAYLESS: The court reporter, you can Specifically that would include what has been 8 previously marked as Exhibit 2, which is the restatement 8 hear. 9 THE COURT REPORTER: Yes. 9 of the Brunsting living trust, correct? That restatement was signed when I was not 10 EXAMINATION 11 BY MR. SPIELMAN: 11 there. But that would be a normal course. That's how I 12 Q. Ms. Freed, we've met throughout the course of 12 was trained. 13 your deposition and you understand that I represent Amy In preparing for your deposition, did you have 14 Brunsting in this lawsuit that has been filed by Carl 14 any conversations with any of the folks that were on 15 Brunsting and also the lawsuit that's been filed by 15 staff, as it were, at the time to see if that process 16 Candace Curtis; correct? 16 specifically was done with regard to the restatement? 17 A. That's correct. 17 A. I did not. 18 Q. Other than in the context of your position Q. But by the time we get to Exhibit 5, which was 19 today, you and I have never met before or worked 19 the qualified beneficiary designation from June of 2010, 20 together on any other projects? 20 the process you described is the one you followed with 21 A. Not that I'm aware. 21 respect to Ms. Brunsting? 22 Q. Me either. All right. I want to go back to 22 Α. Yes. Okay. And when you, and the same thing with 23 the signing process or the process that your firm or the 24 Exhibit 6, which is August 2010, the qualified 24 firm used when a client comes in to sign a document that 25 has been created. Can you talk to us a little bit more 25 beneficiary designation, is that correct?