DECEASED) HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS CARL HENRY BRUNSTING, et al.) vs.) ANITA KAY BRUNSTING,)		
NELVA E. BRUNSTING, DECEASED CARL HENRY BRUNSTING, et al. vs. ANITA KAY BRUNSTING,) NUMBER FOUR (4) OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS	CAUSE	NO. 412,249-401
DECEASED) HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS CARL HENRY BRUNSTING, et al.) vs.) ANITA KAY BRUNSTING,))	ESTATE OF) IN THE DISTRICT COURT
CARL HENRY BRUNSTING,) et al.) vs.) ANITA KAY BRUNSTING,)	NELVA E. BRUNSTING,) NUMBER FOUR (4) OF
CARL HENRY BRUNSTING,) et al.) vs.) ANITA KAY BRUNSTING,)	DECEASED) HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
		/
	ANITA KAY BRUNSTING, et al.))) AL DEPOSITION
CANDACE KUNZ-FREED		

ORAL DEPOSITION OF CANDACE KUNZ-FREED, produced as a witness at the instance of the Defendant Anita K.

Brunsting and duly sworn, was taken in the above-styled and numbered cause on March 20, 2019, from 9:21 a.m. to 5:01 p.m., before Melinda Barre, Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Texas, reported by computerized stenotype machine at the offices of Harris County Civil Courthouse, 201 Caroline, 7th Floor, Houston, Harris County, Texas, pursuant to the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure and the provisions stated on the record or attached hereto.

1 APPEARANCES	2
THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O	1
2 FOR DI ARVENET CARL MENDIN RRUNGTING	² INDEX
FOR PLAINTIFF CARL HENRY BRUNSTING: Ms. Bobbie G. Bayless	3 PAGE 4 Examination by Mr. Mendel 7
BAYLESS & STOKES	4 Examination by Mr. Mendel7 Examination by Ms. Carole Brunsting106
2931 Ferndale	5 Examination by Ms. Candace Curtis120
Houston, Texas 77098	Examination by Ms. Bayless139
Telephone: 713.522.2224	6 Signature Page257
7 E-mail: bayless@baylessstokes.com	Court Reporter's Certificate259
FOR DESTRUCTION AND ADMINISTRAL	8 EXHIBITS
FOR DEFENDANT ANITA BRUNSTING:	9
Mr. Stephen A. Mendel	10 EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION PAGE
Mr. Timothy J. Jadloski	11
THE MENDEL LAW FIRM, L.P. 1155 Dairy Ashford, Suite 104	12 Exhibit 1 1996 Brunsting Family Living 9
Houston, Texas 77079	Trust
	Exhibit 2 2005 Restatement of the 9
Telephone: 281.759.3213	14 Brunsting Family Living Trust
E-mail: info@mendellawfim.com	Exhibit 3 2007 First Amendment to The 10
FOR DEFENDANT AMY BRUNSTING:	Restatement to The Brunsting
	16 Family Living Trust 17 Exhibit 4 2008 Appointment of Successor 10
Mr. Neal Spielman	Trustees Trustees
GRIFFIN & MATTHEWS 1155 Dairy Ashford, Suite 300	18
Houston, Texas 77079	Exhibit 5 June 2010 Qualified Beneficiary 10
Telephone: 281.870.1124	Designation and Exercise of
E-mail: nspielman@grifmatlaw.com	Testamentary Powers of Appointment Under Living Trust
FOR WITNESS CANDACE KUNZ-FREED:	20 Appointment Under Living Trust Agreement
	21
Mr. Cory S. Reed	Exhibit 6 August 2010 Qualified 11
THOMPSON COE One Riverway, Suite 1400	Beneficiary Designation and
Houston, Texas 77056	Exercise of Testamentary Powers of Appointment Under Living
Telephone: 713.403.8210	of Appointment Under Living Trust Agreement
E-mail: creed@thompsoncoe.com	24
5	25
	3
1 APPEAR ANGEG	1 EXHIBITS (cont.)
1 APPEARANCES	² EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION PAGE
2	Exhibit 7 2010 Appointment of Successor 11
PRO SE DEFENDANT:	Trustees 4
3	Exhibit 8 12/21/10 Resignation of 12
Ms. Candace Louise Curtis	5 Original Trustee and Acceptance by Successor Trustee
4 218 Lanadana Street	6
American Canyon, California 94503	Exhibit 9 2016 Report of Temporary 12 Administrator Pending Contest
5 E-mail: occurtis@sbcglobal.net	(Lester Report)
6 PRO SE DEFENDANT:	8
7 Ms. Carole Ann Brunsting	Exhibit 10 2016 Plaintiff's Original 13 9 Petition, Complaint and
5822 Jason Street	Application for Ex-Parte
8 Houston, Texas 77074	10 Temporary Restraining Order,
E-mail: cbrunsting@sbcglobal.net	Asset Freeze, Temporary and Permanent Injunction (Federal
9	Court)
0	Exhibit 11 2016 Verified Complaint for 13
1	13 Damages of Candace Curtis
2	(Federal Court)
3	Exhibit 12 2015 Plaintiff's Second Amended 13
	15 Petition (Candace Curtis)
4	(Probate Court 4)
5	Exhibit 13 2013 First Amended Petition for 14
	17 Declaratory Judgment, for an Accounting, for Damages, and
6	
6 7	18 for Imposition of a
5 6 7 8	for Imposition of a Constructive Trust (Carl
6 7 8 9	18 for Imposition of a Constructive Trust (Carl 19 Brunsting) (Probate Court 4)
6 7 8 9	18 for Imposition of a Constructive Trust (Carl 19 Brunsting) (Probate Court 4) 20 Exhibit 14 March 2015 First Supplement to 14 Plaintif's First Amended
6 7 8	18 for Imposition of a Constructive Trust (Carl 19 Brunsting) (Probate Court 4) 20 Exhibit 14 March 2015 First Supplement to 14 Plaintif's First Amended 21 Petition (Carl Brunsting)
6 7 8 9	18 for Imposition of a Constructive Trust (Carl 19 Brunsting) (Probate Court 4) 20 Exhibit 14 March 2015 First Supplement to 14 Plaintiff's First Amended
6 7 8 9 20	18 for Imposition of a Constructive Trust (Carl 19 Brunsting) (Probate Court 4) 20 Exhibit 14 March 2015 First Supplement to 14 Plaintiff's First Amended 21 Petition (Carl Brunsting) (Probate Court 4) 22 Exhibit 15 July 2015 Second Supplement to 14
6 7 8 9 0 11 2	18 for Imposition of a Constructive Trust (Carl 19 Brunsting) (Probate Court 4) 20 Exhibit 14 March 2015 First Supplement to Plaintiff's First Amended 21 Petition (Carl Brunsting) (Probate Court 4)

2 (Pages 2 to 5)

EXHIBITS (cont.) 6 1 Q. Okay. Then the other thing the	8
1 EYHIRITS (cont.)	
LATIBITS (COIL.) ' Q. Okay. Then the other thing th	nat I think
² EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION PAGE ² everyone sometimes forgets is plea	
³ Exhibit 16 August 2015 Third Supplement to 15 ³ finish the question, I'll try and let you	
Plaintiff's First Amended 4 answer, because it makes it challengi	
4 Petition and Request for 5 down what both people are saying if	-
Injunctive Relief (Carl 6 same time.	were talling at the
5 Brunsting) (Probate Court 4) 7 A. Sure.	
6 Exhibit 17 Notes/History 143 8 O Okay We put together a note	shook that we nut
7 Exhibit 18 Notes/History 143 9 in foots of your and I want to make	
Exhibit 19 PW Trust Review Meeting 7/30/10 258	
10 documents during the course of the c	
II Tun unough those documents and ge	et you to identify
in the discondition of the	00 C D
The first document is the 19	-
14 Family Living Trust. I brought today	
15 copy of in our file, and our copy is ur	-
16 Do you recognize this docur	ment'?
A. 1 do.	
Q. And I realize you don't have all	
read it word for word or go through 6	
you have any reason to believe that the	
22 1996 document that was, in fact, sign	ned by Elmer and
23 Nelva Brunsting?	
24 A. I have no reason to believe t	hat it is not.
25 Q. And I guess would some some	rt of a signed copy
7	9
CANDACE KUNZ-FREED, 1 still be with Mr. Vacek, or do you know where the still be with Mr. Vacek	here a signed
2 having been first duly sworn, testified as follows: 2 copy might be? 2 copy might be?	nere a signed
3 EXAMINATION 3 A. There may be a scanned copy son	uorrhous but it
EAAWIIVATION A. There may be a scanned copy son	
Q. 1415. Reliz-1 reed, my harrie is steve interact. 1	
represent that Bruisting in this matter. Tou had then there may not be a hard copy anyth	
indicated earlier it would be okay to earl you	
Just going to refer to that as Exhibit 1. Okt	ay:
. (TTI d d
10 Q. So I appreciate that. 10 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Let's go to tab 2.	
Have you ever given a deposition before? 11 restated instrument of the Brunsting family	trust back
12 A. No, I have not. 12 in 2005. This one is a signed copy.	
Q. Okay. Have you ever testified on attorneys' Do you recognize that document?	
14 fees by way as an expert? 14 A. I do.	
15 A. No. 15 Q. Just based on your quick thumb-thro	-
Q. I'm assuming you had an opportunity to visit that appear to be a true and correct copy of	the 2005
with Mr. Reed and learn about the deposition process. 17 restatement?	
18 A. Yes. 18 A. It does.	
Q. So one of the things we want to try and do for Q. So we'll treat that as Exhibit No. 2.	
the court reporter is speak our answers because that's 20 (Exhibit 2 marked.)	
21 all she can do, is write it down. 21 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) And under tab No	o. 3, we're
22 A. Correct. 22 going to treat that as Exhibit No. 3, a 2007	First
Q. And we want to try and avoid uh-huh and huh-uh 23 Amendment to The Restatement to The Bro	unsting Family
because it's not really clear who's saying what. 24 Living Trust.	
25 A. I understand. 25 Do you recognize that?	

3 (Pages 6 to 9)

10 12 1 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Do you recognize that A. Yes. 2 2 Q. Does that appear to be a true and correct copy instrument, and does that appear to be a true and 3 of Exhibit No. 3? 3 correct copy? 4 4 A. It does. A. It does. 5 5 (Exhibit 3 marked.) Q. And then under tab 8 we have another instrument 6 6 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) And under tab No. 4, we're that was executed in December of 2010, the Resignation 7 of Original Trustee. And that will be Exhibit 8. going to treat that as Exhibit No. 4. And that's a 2008 8 8 Appointment of Successor Trustees. (Exhibit 8 marked.) 9 9 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Do you recognize that exhibit, Do you recognize that document? 10 10 and does that appear to be a true and correct copy? A. I do. 11 11 Q. And does that appear to be a true and correct A. It does, along with the acceptance behind it. 12 12 Q. Okay. Under No. 9, which will be Exhibit 9, is copy of that instrument? 13 13 A. It does. the Report of Temporary Administrator that Mr. Lester 14 (Exhibit 4 marked.) 14 put together back in 2016. 15 15 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) And then under tab 5, which Have you seen this document? 16 16 will be Exhibit 5, is what appears to be a June 2010 A. I think I did at some point. I believe I did 17 Qualified Beneficiary Designation and Testamentary 17 through counsel. 18 18 Powers of Appointment. Actually, I don't know that I saw this 19 19 Do you recognize that document? entire report; but if it was filed of record, I did. 20 20 (Exhibit 9 marked.) A. I do. 21 21 Q. Does that appear to be a true and correct copy? Q. (By Mr. Mendel) All right. No. 10, we get 22 22 into some pleadings. No. 10 is a February 2012 federal A. It does. 23 23 court complaint filed by Candace Curtis, something we (Exhibit 5 marked.) 24 24 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) And then under tab 6, which pulled down from the court's website. 25 25 we're going to refer to as Exhibit 6, is a Qualified Have you seen this particular document? 11 13 1 1 Beneficiary Designation and Exercise of Testamentary A. I'm sure I have. 2 2 Q. We're going to call that Exhibit 10. Powers of Appointment Under Living Trust Agreement. 3 Do you recognize that agreement? 3 (Exhibit 10 marked.) 4 4 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Under tab 11, which is going A. I do. 5 5 Q. Does that appear to be a true and correct copy? to be Exhibit 11, another document that we would have 6 pulled from the court's website, is a 2016 federal court 6 A. It does. 7 7 (Exhibit 6 marked.) Complaint filed by Candace Curtis. 8 8 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) I think it's just going to be Are you familiar with this instrument? 9 easier -- I'm going to refer to that particular 9 A. Yes, I am. 10 10 document, being Exhibit No. 6, as the QBD. So can we (Exhibit 11 marked.) 11 have the agreement that if we're talking about the QBD, 11 O. (By Mr. Mendel) No. 12, which we're going to 12 12 we're talking about Exhibit No. 6? refer to as Exhibit 12, this is an instrument that was 13 13 A. And not the one that was qualified beneficiary filed by Candace Curtis in 2015 entitled Plaintiff's 14 designation before that? 14 Second Amended Petition. 15 15 Q. And not No. 5. Have you ever seen this instrument? 16 16 Again, something we would have pulled from the court's A. Okav. Yes. 17 Q. For the record, Exhibit 5 was executed in June 17 website. 18 18 of 2010 and Exhibit 6 was executed in August of 2010? A. I'm sure I would have seen it at some point if 19 19 A. Correct. it was on the website. 20 20 Q. Under tab 7 we're going to have what's Exhibit (Exhibit 12 marked.) 21 21 No. 7, which was an instrument that was executed in Q. (By Mr. Mendel) No. 13, something that we 22 22 December of 2010 where we have an Appointment of would have also obtained from the court's website, which 23 Successor Trustees? 23 will be Exhibit 13, is something that was filed in 2013. 24 24 It would be Carl Brunsting's First Amended Petition. A. Uh-huh. 25 25 This was filed in the probate court. (Exhibit 7 marked.)

4 (Pages 10 to 13)

	14	10	6
1	Are you familiar with this instrument?	your practice is estate planning and estate	
2	A. Vaguely, yes.	² administration. Would that be correct?	
3	(Exhibit 13 marked.)	3 A. That's correct.	
4	Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Tab 14, which is Exhibit 14,	4 Q. So would you tell the jury a little bit about	
5	another instrument filed by Mr. Brunsting, Carl	5 what is the nature of your practice in terms of estate	
6	Brunsting, in March of 2015. It would be his First	6 and trust planning and in terms of estate and trust	
7	Supplement to Plaintiff's First Amended Petition,	7 administration?	
8	something we would have obtained from the court's	8 A. Currently or nine years ago?	
9	website.	9 Q. Well, currently. We'll go back and talk in a	
10	Are you familiar with this instrument?	10 minute.	
11	A. I have seen it before, yes.	A. So currently I continue to do estate planning.	
12	(Exhibit 14 marked.)	12 I do wills, trusts. I do estate administration, probate	•
13	Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Under tab 15, now Exhibit 15,	13 work.	
14	is a July 2015 instrument filed by Carl Brunsting	Q. Okay. And so when did you first start with the	
15	entitled Second Supplement to Plaintiff's First Amended	15 Vacek firm?	
16	Petition.	A. I believe it was March of 2007.	
17	Are you familiar with this instrument?	Q. I tell you what. Let's back up before that.	
18	A. Yes.	Let's just take your education real quick, starting with	
19	(Exhibit 15 marked.)	your undergraduate degree and jumping up to law school	ol.
20	Q. (By Mr. Mendel) And then I've got under	20 A. Sure.	
21	tab 16, which we'll refer to as Exhibit 16, an	Q. Undergraduate background?	
22	August 2015 instrument filed by Carl Brunsting, the	22 A. BBA from Southwest Texas State University in	n
23	Third Supplement to Plaintiff's First Amended Petition	23 marketing.	.1
24	and Request for Injunctive Relief.	24 Q. Okay.	
25	Are you familiar with this instrument?	25 A. And then that was graduated from there in	
	The you lamma with this instrument.	The find their time was graduated from there in	
	15	1'	7
1	A. I'm sorry. What was the date on the	1 2000.	
2	instrument?	Q. Okay.	
3	Q. August of 2015.	A. From 2000 to 2003, Saint Mary's law school in	
4	A. Okay. Yes.	4 San Antonio. I graduated in 2003; I started practicing	g
5	(Exhibit 16 marked.)	5 in November of 2003.	
6	Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Have you reviewed any	Q. Okay. And then just briefly, who did you go	
7	documents in preparation for your deposition?	you indicated you started with the Vacek firm in	
8	A. I did.	8 A. In '07.	
9	Q. I'm sorry. You did?	9 Q March of 2007. So who did you go to work	
10	A. I did.	10 for in 2003?	
11	Q. Would you give us a general understanding; or	11 A. So I was an attorney for LMI and did	
12	if you recall the specific instrument, would you tell us	business just business practice for him in	
13	what it is you reviewed?	13 San Marcos, Texas.	
14	A. I reviewed my notes, my attorney notes.	Q. What is LMI?	
15	Q. Did you review anything other than your	15 A. It was Love Lady Management.	
16	attorney notes?	MS. BAYLESS: Can you speak up just a	
17	A. No.	little bit?	
18	Q. Okay. And the attorney notes that you're	18 THE WITNESS: Love Lady Management.	
19	making reference to, would those be the documents that	Q. (By Mr. Mendel) What did they do?	
20	you recently turned over to your lawyer and that were	A. He held various business practices, at one	
21	released to the parties?	point was building a marina in Costa Rica.	
22	A. Uh-huh.	Q. And then when did you move to a new position	
23	Q. Is that a "yes"?	23 after that?	
24	A. Yes. I'm sorry. It is.	A. In 2007, when I went to work for the Vacek law	v
25	Q. It's my understanding that the primary focus of	25 firm.	

5 (Pages 14 to 17)

18 20 Q. And at the time that you started with the Vacek A. No, I have not. 2 2 law firm, were you an associate attorney? Q. Would it be fair to say, in light of your legal 3 A. Yes. 3 training through law school and your legal training Q. Tell us a little bit about what you did, 4 working at the Vacek firm and even now in your own firm, 5 5 starting in 2007 and coming forward. that in terms of assisting the judge or the jury, you 6 6 A. Sure. I started out, 2007, in the area of possess special skills with regard to estates and 7 planning. I then moved over to the administration trusts? 8 8 department about a year into it and started running the A. Sure. 9 9 administration department a couple of years after that. MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 10 10 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Would it be fair to say that Q. Okay. 11 A. So it was just a natural progression. 11 you have special knowledge in the area of estates and 12 12 Q. And when did you start your own firm? trusts? 13 13 A. In 2015, September 2015. MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 14 Q. And so from March of 2007 until you started 14 A. I guess it would be. I mean, my area of 15 15 your own firm, you were employed continuously with the practice has been focused in that area. So I would say 16 16 Vacek firm? yes. 17 A. That's correct. 17 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) So if you were meeting with a 18 18 Q. And then at some point in there, you became a new client, what would you indicate to them, some of the 19 19 partner? skills that you bring to the client's issues and some of 20 20 the knowledge that you bring to the process of estate A. Never. 21 21 and trust planning and probate and trust administration? Q. Never? 22 22 A. I'm sorry. Could you ask that again. A. I was never a partner at the law firm. 23 23 Q. It's my recollection it said Vacek & Freed. Q. Yeah. If you were meeting with a client and 24 24 A. Yes, it did. they were asking about your background and experience, 25 25 Q. Okay. what would you share with them about skills and 19 21 1 1 knowledge in the area of trust and estate planning and A. I was always an associate attorney, never a 2 2 trust and estate administration? 3 3 Q. It's my understanding that in addition to being A. I suppose I would say that that's where my 4 4 a member of the State Bar of Texas, you're a member of practice is focused and that I don't dabble in other 5 5 the American Bar Association? areas of the law. So that's where my training has been 6 6 A. I am. over the years. 7 7 Q. And you're affiliated with the real estate, Q. So to help a layperson understand, what does an 8 8 probate and trust departments of both organizations? estate and trust attorney do? What would be some of the 9 9 A. That is correct. things that they might seek your advice for? 10 10 Q. And I understand you're affiliated with a group A. Estate planning, to get their stuff where they 11 11 called Disability and Elder Law? want it to go, to determine who's going to be in charge 12 12 A. I had been; yes, that's correct. of their stuff if they become incapacitated, who's going 13 13 Q. What do they do? to take care of them if they become incapacitated. 14 A. DELA is more geared towards guardianship and 14 Estate tax planning if there are tax issues involved. I 15 15 prevention of guardianship. mean, that's ... 16 16 Q. You say you had been affiliated. So you're no Q. Fair enough. And then what would be some of 17 17 longer affiliated? the things that you might share with them about -- if 18 18 A. I have not been an attending member for the they ask, well, what's a probate administration or 19 19 last four years or five years. what's a trust administration, what would you share with 20 20 Q. Okay. You indicated that you hadn't given a them generally, what that's about? 21 21 deposition before; but let me just, I guess, get a A. Probate is a will going to court and a judge 22 22 blessing the will, saving that, ves, this is, in fact, clarification for my own purposes. 23 23 A. Sure. the last will; and then the executor is appointed to 24 Q. Have you ever testified as an expert in court 24 carry out those duties and assistance in making sure 25 25 about a will or a trust or an administration? that their fiduciary responsibilities are ...

6 (Pages 18 to 21)

22 24 1 Q. And so what would a layperson need to for some reason the planning seemed to exceed what we 2 2 understand as part of the probate process? So, you thought. 3 know, the will is written, it's admitted to probate, 3 O. Okay. it's approved or admitted by the Court. 4 A. But typically they were flat fee. 5 5 What kind of happens next in terms of the Q. So during the period that the Vacek firm was 6 6 process of, okay, probate's been opened; at some point working on the Brunsting matter -- and I assume the 7 it's going to end. What happens in between? rates probably increased over time to account for 8 8 A. Again, what their responsibilities are as far inflation and things like that. 9 9 as being an executor or a personal representative or, in A. Uh-huh. 10 10 Q. Do you have a general recollection of what the the case of a trust, a trustee; an accounting being set 11 up; taking control or possession of assets; making sure 11 hourly rates were for you and for Mr. Vacek? 12 12 A. I do not recall what those were, but they did that they are preserved and getting them to the place 13 13 they need to be; and the tax returns are filed. increase over time. I do recall that. 14 Q. And what about evaluating liabilities and 14 Q. Do you recall what they were at the time that 15 15 things like that? you left? 16 16 A. Of course. I mean, that goes without saying. A. 225 an hour. And I'm making a guess. I don't 17 Q. Okay. Would those be -- the steps that you've 17 remember, honestly. That was a long time ago. 18 18 just described for a probate administration, would those Q. Would that have been your rate or his rate or 19 19 be very similar for a trust administration? both rates? 20 20 A. Absolutely, ves. A. Oh, his would have been higher, I'm sure. 21 21 Q. What's the focus of your continuing education Q. Okay. Any reasonable idea of what his rate 22 22 programs in terms of keeping your license current? might have been? 23 23 A. Typically he did estate planning versus A. So I continue to go to the Advanced Estate 24 24 Planning each year that the Texas Bar puts on. I'm a administration. So his was -- I don't know what his 25 25 member of the State Bar College. hourly rate was because that wasn't -- he wasn't in that 23 25 1 So I've always exceeded the amount of CLE 1 area of the firm. 2 2 Q. So from your perspective, is there anything that I'm required to do. Maintaining wealth -- I'm a 3 member of Wealth Council. So I attend Wealth Council 3 unreasonable about hourly rates between, say, 200 and 4 4 meetings twice a year. \$400 an hour? 5 5 Q. As a result of the extra continuing education, A. No. 6 6 don't you also hold a designation for State Bar of Q. What would you consider to be a reasonable 7 7 College -- or State Bar College? hourly rate for someone that might be doing a probate 8 8 A. Yes. administration or even a trust administration? 9 O. So in addition to the extra continuing 9 MR. REED: Objection, form. 10 10 education programs that you just described, do you have A. An hourly rate? 11 any other special training in the area of estates and 11 O. (By Mr. Mendel) Yes, ma'am. 12 12 trusts or planning or estate and trust administration A. I don't know what a reasonable -- I mean. 13 13 other than doing the work? that's ... 14 A. Special training, no. I mean, not other than 14 O. Well, if a client asked for a recommendation 15 15 just the practice. from you of -- I have to pick someone to be my successor 16 16 Q. When you do work for clients -- so let's talk trustee when I'm not here anymore. I want them to be 17 17 about the planning work versus the administration work. compensated -- what would the conversation be like in 18 18 Back when you were working on the terms of recommendations that you might make to the 19 19 Brunsting matter, were y'all doing things on an hourly client? 20 20 rate, a flat rate, some combination? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 21 21 A. Typically estate planning issues were done on a A. On the rate a trustee would charge or the 22 flat rate. 22 attorney? I'm not sure of your question. 23 23 O. Okav. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) The trustee. 24 A. And estate administration was done on an hourly 24 A. Okay. So I typically will tell trustees that 25 rate. We reserve the right to go to an hourly rate if 25 it's a thankless job, that they -- if they take a fee,

7 (Pages 22 to 25)

713-650-1800

28 26 what's reasonable and customary for the job that they're 1 A. I would. 2 2 doing, depending on what they're actually doing. I give Q. From your perspective, would you consider 3 them an idea of what a corporate trustee would charge, 3 litigation to be very time-consuming? and I also tell them that they are held to a higher 4 A. I would. 5 5 fiduciary standard if they take a fee. Q. Would you consider discovery to be time-6 6 Q. And so what is your understanding of what is a consuming? 7 reasonable corporate trustee fee in Harris County? A. I would. 8 8 A. Currently? Q. Would you consider situations like today, 9 Q. Yes, ma'am. preparing and attending a deposition, to be time-10 10 A. My understanding is 1.2 to 1.3 percent for the consuming? 11 first million, plus a minimum. And as the trust gets 11 A. Yes, I would. 12 12 higher in value, the percentage is reduced typically. Q. Preparing and attending hearings? 13 13 Q. And so, as an example, is there any reason to A. Yes, I would. 14 believe that a fee of 75 basis points for the next 14 Q. You believe it's reasonable for those who 15 15 couple of million -- would that be reasonable or participate in that process to be compensated for their 16 unreasonable? 16 time for all of that. Would you agree with that? 17 MR. REED: Objection, form. 17 MR. REED: Object to form. 18 18 A. I don't understand 75 basis points. I'm sorry. A. I would agree. 19 19 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) I want to talk a little bit Q. (By Mr. Mendel) .75 of 1 percent. 20 20 A. Oh, sure. I think that would be -- I mean, it about -- well, let me back up for a second. 21 21 depends on what the corporate trustees are charging. I want to talk about how the Vacek firm 22 22 They're all about the same. handles its client consultations with respect to estate 23 23 Q. Okay. Any material difference, from your planning and what are sort of the steps. 24 24 perspective, for a trust administration currently, which So we know that Elmer and Nelva Brunsting 25 25 you indicated might be 1.2 to 1.3 percent -- what is had this 1996 trust. So if they want to get some sort 29 27 1 your understanding of what those rates might be back of an update -- it's been referred to as a 2 2 when Anita and Amy Brunsting were performing or had been restatement -- how does that process work? How do you 3 3 performing an administration in this case? get from your original trust to the restated trust? 4 4 A. I would think they were about the same. I A. Are you asking me about the Brunstings 5 5 mean, I'm sure they get adjusted for inflation, and specifically, or are you asking about any other client 6 6 different corporate trustees charge a minimum. I 7 7 haven't looked at what they are now. Q. I just want kind of a quick overview of just 8 8 Q. But from your perspective, no material about any client, and then I want to focus in particular 9 9 difference? on the Brunstings. 10 10 A. Over a ten-year period there probably is some A. So Mr. Vacek had clients that already had 11 11 trusts dating back to 1990, 1991. As the tax laws difference, but ... 12 Q. But going back to 2011, 2012, 2013 --12 change over time, clients are offered three-year 13 13 reviews, to come in. A. That was about the going rate. 14 14 When they come in, we would talk to them Q. Okay. 15 A. From what I recall. 15 about whether or not they needed any changes based on 16 16 Q. On the administrations, whether they're probate the changes in the tax law, whether there were any 17 17 desired changes that they wanted to make. And at that or trusts, have you gotten involved on the litigation 18 18 side of those kinds of cases? time the client would decide whether or not they wanted 19 19 A. I do not. to amend, restate or their trust was fine as is. 20 20 Q. Do you provide assistance -- I guess -- do you Q. Okay. So when you sit down to restate the 21 21 refer those kinds -- the litigation matters to someone trust, what are sort of the common events -- or is there 22 22 else? such a thing as common changes that a client might 23 A. I would. 23 implement with regard to going from an original trust to 24 Q. But, yet, you continue to provide some sort of 24 a restated trust? 25 assistance to the client and/or the other attorney? 25 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.

8 (Pages 26 to 29)

30 32 1 MR. REED: Objection, form. with Nelva Brunsting with regard to why she wanted to 2 2 A. Tax law changes, familial changes. There would amend again? 3 also be changes in homestead laws, changes in HIPAA 3 A. Because Carl was listed as a co-trustee and laws, updates of medical powers of attorney, updates of first on some documents, and she wasn't sure that he was 5 5 durable general powers of attorney. going to actually live. 6 6 Q. I think Candy Curtis was also listed as either Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Okay. Do you have a 7 recollection of what Mr. and Mrs. Brunsting had -- why a trustee or a successor trustee on some instruments, 8 8 and she was removed or not permitted to be a successor they decided to do a restated trust? Q A. I do not. I was not involved with the 9 10 10 Do you have a recollection as to why that restatement, as it was before -- I believe it was before 11 I worked at the law firm. 11 change was made? 12 12 Q. That's '07? A. She was listed as a co-trustee, I believe, with 13 13 A. I started in '07. Carl Brunsting. Typically I don't recommend -- if a 14 Q. Okay. All right. 14 family member is outside the state of Texas, it makes it 15 15 So now let's move forward and talk about more difficult logistically to operate and handle trust 16 16 the QBD. Apparently something got signed under -administration or trust work. 17 Exhibit 5 is an instrument that was signed in June of 17 Nelva and Mr. Brunsting, Elmer, always had 18 18 2010 related to the QBD. listed co-trustees throughout their documents. I 19 19 What is your recollection of what brought believe it was just a check and balance on their 20 20 children just to make sure that there was two of them. Nelva Brunsting to the office to make some changes? 21 21 A. You have to forgive me because this was a long Candy was removed at that time. And two time ago already, nine years ago or almost nine years 22 22 co-trustees were more local, one in Victoria and one in 23 23 ago. But my recollection of this particular one, in the New Braunfels, I believe. 24 24 trust document it stated that the trust or the trustee Q. Okay. When you're engaged in conversations 25 25 with clients in doing this kind of planning, what could make gifts, and it was not an advance on their 31 33 1 trust share. attention do you give to the issue of testamentary 2 2 But Ms. Brunsting had an occasion where capacity? 3 two of her children needed some funds, and she wanted to 3 A. Well, I mean, I usually can spot if there's an 4 4 make those gifts; but she did not -- she wanted to keep issue. If someone has not given me any indication that 5 5 it equal amongst her children. So that necessitated there's an incapacity issue, then I really don't worry 6 6 amending the trust. about it. 7 Q. And those two children would be who? 7 I would look at what they're asking me to 8 8 A. Carole Brunsting and Candy Curtis. do. Is it totally out of character? Is it a major 9 Q. And your understanding of why Carole was 9 change? 10 receiving gifts was what? 10 I mean, you're asking me whether or not I 11 A. I honestly --11 give thought to it. I do, but I don't assume that 12 12 MR. REED: Form. they're incapacitated every time they walk into my 13 13 A. -- don't recall what the purpose of that was. office. 14 I mean, that's between Mom and her children. 14 Q. And that's fine. I just wanted to get a 15 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Okay. Do you have a 15 general sense of, in particular for the time frame of 16 16 recollection of the nature or the purpose of the gifts June of 2010 to August of 2010, with regard to 17 with regard to Candy Curtis? 17 Exhibits 5 and 6, which are QBD-related, that you at 18 A. I don't. 18 least had a comfort level that Nelva Brunsting had the 19 19 Q. In or about July 2010, Carl Brunsting became capacity to sign these instruments. 20 ill from -- which is my understanding in looking at 20 A. Yes, absolutely. I mean, nothing indicated to 21 21 documents -- with encephalitis. And then it appears me that she didn't.

9 (Pages 30 to 33)

O. And so when you say nothing indicated to you

your observation of her demeanor and information that's

that she didn't, is that based on your conversations and

provided to you by her?

22

23

24

25

the OBD again?

A. That's correct.

that there may have been some discussions about amending

Q. So what is your recollection of discussions

22

23

24

25

34 36 A. That's correct. You know, does she drive A. Yes. 2 2 herself there? Q. Did she have a general understanding of how she 3 Q. Which is a great point. 3 wanted those assets to be managed when she wasn't here? 4 A. Uh-huh. 5 5 Q. Did she drive herself there? Q. When I say a general understanding of assets, 6 6 A. Yes, uh-huh. I'm talking about liquid assets as well as the farm that 7 MR. REED: Is that a "yes"? was up in Iowa. 8 8 THE WITNESS: That's a "yes." A. Sure, yes. 9 9 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) And so for these meetings for Q. Did you have discussions with her about those 10 10 Exhibit 5 and Exhibit 6, did any of the other children assets? 11 attend those meetings? 11 A. Yes. 12 12 A. No, not that I recall. Q. At any time in the June to August time frame, 13 13 Q. With regard to Exhibit 6, which is a longer did she, from your perspective, exhibit -- act 14 instrument in terms of pages and more detail as compared 14 irrationally or exhibit some sort of irrational 15 15 to Exhibit 5, what sort of process -- I mean, the client behavior? 16 16 would indicate to you what it is they wanted, and you A. June to August of what year? 17 would prepare the instrument? 17 Q. 2010. 18 18 A. That's correct. MR. REED: What was the question? 19 19 Q. Okay. And then what sort of a discussion would MR. MENDEL: Did Nelva Brunsting ever show 20 20 you have with the client, and in particular Nelva any sort of irrational behavior during that time period. 21 21 Brunsting, to help her, at least at the time that she MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 22 signed the instruments, to have an appreciation for what 22 A. Not that I'm aware. 23 23 they say? Q. (By Mr. Mendel) On the day that she signed 24 24 A. What would I say to the client to make sure she these instruments, as you recall -- if I understood your 25 25 had an appreciation of what it said? testimony correctly a moment ago, none of the adult 37 35 1 1 children came to any of these meetings. O. Yes, ma'am. 2 2 A. I would explain what the trust that they have A. You asked me about these two. 3 3 says currently, what changes they're wanting to make, O. Exhibits 5 and 6. 4 4 what changes are in the document, to follow their A. Yes. I do not recall any of her children 5 5 coming. I believe she drove herself. instructions on which they desire to make on the things 6 6 that they wanted to change, and how that would work if Q. Not only to the meetings, but she drove herself 7 7 they were to pass away right now, as signed. for the signing? 8 8 Q. Okay. And with regard to Exhibit 5 and A. To sign them as well. 9 9 Exhibit 6, the -- is that the kind of conversation that Q. And no children were present at those signings? 10 10 you, in fact, would have had with Nelva Brunsting? A. No, they were not. 11 11 A. Oh, absolutely, yes. O. Okay. And in your interactions with 12 12 Q. And would it be fair to say that after having Ms. Brunsting, I mean, I guess, what was sort of her --13 13 from her outward expression, did she seem relieved by that conversation with her, from your perspective, she 14 had an appreciation for the essence of what that 14 getting these things done? Upset? 15 15 instrument was about? What was your perception of how she felt 16 16 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. about making these changes? 17 17 A. Of course. MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 18 18 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Would it be fair to say, from A. I believe that she was concerned about her son, 19 19 your perspective, that -- you've probably heard the Carl, and making sure that somebody would be able to 20 20 phrase "the objects of her bounty." handle things if something happened to her. And I 21 21 Did she understand who her family members believe those were eliminated by the signing of those --22 22 that concern was eliminated by the signing of the were? 23 23 A. Definitely. documents. 24 Q. Did she have a general understanding of the 24 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Okay. 25 nature of her assets? 25 Now, you served as the notary on these

10 (Pages 34 to 37)

38 40 1 Exhibits 5 and 6 were signed, any reason whatsoever that instruments, at least on --2 2 A. Yes, I did. you felt Nelva Brunsting lacked capacity? 3 Q. -- Exhibit 6. There's been the suggestion --3 A. Not that I recall. or based on everything that I've seen in the documents, 4 Q. From your perspective, was there any indication 5 5 there seems to be the suggestion that Exhibit 6 was that she was being coerced to sign these documents? 6 forged. 7 Q. From your perspective, was there any indication Given that you were the notary, would you 8 8 have participated in any sort of a situation where that that she was under duress in terms of signing Exhibits 5 9 9 exhibit might be forged? and 6? 10 10 A. Absolutely not. A. No. 11 11 MS. BAYLESS: I'm sorry. I didn't hear. Q. Okay. And I don't see your name on Exhibit 5, 12 12 but do you have any reason to believe that -- as far as THE WITNESS: That was a "no." 13 13 you're concerned, is there any evidence whatsoever that Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Was there any indication that 14 Exhibit 5 was forged? 14 Nelva Brunsting was fraudulently induced to sign 15 15 Exhibits 5 and 6? A. No. 16 16 Q. Any evidence whatsoever that you're aware of A. As a legal -- no, no. Nothing to indicate that 17 that Exhibit 6 was forged? 17 to me. 18 18 Q. There's been the suggestion that maybe Nelva A. Absolutely not. 19 19 Q. Sometimes people will sign multiple originals Brunsting was unduly influenced to sign these 20 20 like in duplicate or in triplicate. Did that occur instruments. Given that one of the co-trustees lived in 21 21 Victoria, which is about a hundred miles away, and 22 22 another one lived in New Braunfels, which is about A. It was a common, usual, everyday practice at 23 23 the law firm. 160 miles away, do you have any reason to believe that 24 24 Q. Okay. And what do you see or what is the either Amy or Anita Brunsting endeavored to unduly 25 25 influence their mother to sign the June and August 2010 benefit to the client of multiple original executions? 39 41 1 1 A. The client always leaves with -- or would instruments which are marked as Exhibits 5 and 6? 2 2 always leave with a binder that was original; MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 3 3 blue-backed originals, which was another set, that was A. I do not. 4 4 supposed to be stored in a fire safe or safe deposit Q. (By Mr. Mendel) What facts would be important 5 5 to you as to whether or not somebody might be exercising 6 6 And then for amendments only and medical undue influence over a trustor or over a testator? 7 7 documents, we would sign a third one; and the law firm A. I'm sorry. Can you rephrase your question? 8 8 kept those because sometimes both the originals and the Q. I'm just wondering what facts you would 9 9 ones that were kept at home would disappear. So we consider that might be important to get a sense of or 10 10 started keeping a third set. come to a decision that maybe somebody was exercising 11 11 Q. And that would have been your practice back in undue influence. 12 12 June and August of 2010 -- when I say "your practice," So, as an example, it would seem to me, 13 13 the law firm's practice -- with regard to the Brunsting being close in proximity would be important; but if 14 14 you're between 100 and 150 miles away and you don't even 15 15 come to the meetings, how do you exercise undue A. Yes. And it's still my practice today. 16 16 Q. So is it your experience that there can be influence in those situations? 17 17 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. slight variations of a signature from one original 18 18 execution to the second set, to the third set? A. I believe that would be very difficult. 19 19 A. Absolutely. My signature has slight Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Did Ms. Nelva Brunsting ever 20 20 variations. indicate to you that someone said she should not seek 21 21 Q. Does that make anything forged just because the advice of Vacek & Freed? 22 22 there's some slight differences? A. Did she ever indicate to me that she should not 23 A. Absolutely not. 23 come to us?

11 (Pages 38 to 41)

Q. Uh-huh.

A. No.

24

25

Q. And in terms of testamentary capacity, any

reason you felt -- in June or August of 2010, when

24

25

	42		44
1	Q. Did she ever indicate to you that someone was	1	So was anybody treated unfairly, from your
2	trying to influence her to go see some other law firm?	2	perspective?
3	A. Not that I am aware.	3	A. No.
4	Q. During the period June 2010 to August of 2010,	4	Q. Who has the ultimate right to pick a trustee?
5	did you feel like the process of putting together the	5	A. The settlor.
6	QBDs, whether it's Exhibit 5 or Exhibit 6 did you	6	Q. Which would be Nelva Brunsting?
7	feel like that whole process was being rushed?	7	A. Uh-huh.
8	A. I feel like there was a sense of urgency from	8	Q. And so is there anything unfair about removing
9	Ms. Brunsting due to Carl's current situation; but other	9	Carl as a trustee?
10	than that, no.	10	A. No. I think it was prudent to do so.
11	Q. Does the mere fact that there was a sense of	11	Q. And given that Candace Curtis resided out of
12	urgency mean that the process of meeting, creating,	12	state and it's your recommendation that co-trustees or
13	explaining, executing did that process seem rushed?	13	trustees be local, is there anything unfair about
14	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.	14	removing Candace Curtis as a trustee?
15	A. Not that I recall.	15	A. No.
16	Q. (By Mr. Mendel) A minute ago we were talking	16	Q. Would that fall under the category of prudent?
17	about whether or not Ms. Brunsting might have exhibited	17	A. Yes.
18	any irrational behavior, and you said no.	18	Q. I want to talk a little bit about so at some
19	From your perspective, during this process	19	point later in the year, later in the year being 2010,
20	of explaining things to her, did she seem confused?	20	Nelva Brunsting elected to resign as a trustee, and
21	A. No.	21	that's where her daughters Amy and Anita stepped in.
22	Q. In particular, on the day and at the time that	22	Do you recall that?
23	these instruments were signed, these instruments being	23	A. I do.
24	Exhibits 5 and Exhibit 6, as I understand your	24	Q. And at that time, being back in or about
25	testimony and correct me if I'm wrong she had	25	December of 2010 and moving into 2011, did the Vacek
	testimony and correct the it this wrong she had		December of 2010 and moving into 2011, the the vacek
		_	
	43		45
1		1	
1 2	capacity, no irrational behavior, no confusion at the	1 2	firm provide assistance for Amy and Anita Brunsting?
2	capacity, no irrational behavior, no confusion at the time the instruments were signed?	2	firm provide assistance for Amy and Anita Brunsting? A. We provided assistance to Mrs. Brunsting.
	capacity, no irrational behavior, no confusion at the time the instruments were signed? A. That's correct.		firm provide assistance for Amy and Anita Brunsting? A. We provided assistance to Mrs. Brunsting. Q. Mrs. Brunsting being Nelva?
2	capacity, no irrational behavior, no confusion at the time the instruments were signed? A. That's correct. Q. I have seen some commentators suggest that if	2 3	firm provide assistance for Amy and Anita Brunsting? A. We provided assistance to Mrs. Brunsting. Q. Mrs. Brunsting being Nelva? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5	capacity, no irrational behavior, no confusion at the time the instruments were signed? A. That's correct. Q. I have seen some commentators suggest that if there's undue influence, it usually is an indication	2 3 4 5	firm provide assistance for Amy and Anita Brunsting? A. We provided assistance to Mrs. Brunsting. Q. Mrs. Brunsting being Nelva? A. Yes. Q. But wasn't some was any advice and counsel
2 3 4 5 6	capacity, no irrational behavior, no confusion at the time the instruments were signed? A. That's correct. Q. I have seen some commentators suggest that if there's undue influence, it usually is an indication that one beneficiary probably got more and another one	2 3 4	firm provide assistance for Amy and Anita Brunsting? A. We provided assistance to Mrs. Brunsting. Q. Mrs. Brunsting being Nelva? A. Yes. Q. But wasn't some was any advice and counsel being provided to Amy and Anita Brunsting?
2 3 4 5	capacity, no irrational behavior, no confusion at the time the instruments were signed? A. That's correct. Q. I have seen some commentators suggest that if there's undue influence, it usually is an indication that one beneficiary probably got more and another one got less as a result of the undue influence.	2 3 4 5 6	firm provide assistance for Amy and Anita Brunsting? A. We provided assistance to Mrs. Brunsting. Q. Mrs. Brunsting being Nelva? A. Yes. Q. But wasn't some was any advice and counsel being provided to Amy and Anita Brunsting? A. With Ms. Brunsting's permission, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7	capacity, no irrational behavior, no confusion at the time the instruments were signed? A. That's correct. Q. I have seen some commentators suggest that if there's undue influence, it usually is an indication that one beneficiary probably got more and another one got less as a result of the undue influence. Would that be a fair statement about the	2 3 4 5 6 7	firm provide assistance for Amy and Anita Brunsting? A. We provided assistance to Mrs. Brunsting. Q. Mrs. Brunsting being Nelva? A. Yes. Q. But wasn't some was any advice and counsel being provided to Amy and Anita Brunsting? A. With Ms. Brunsting's permission, yes. Q. And even though Mrs. Brunsting, Nelva
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	capacity, no irrational behavior, no confusion at the time the instruments were signed? A. That's correct. Q. I have seen some commentators suggest that if there's undue influence, it usually is an indication that one beneficiary probably got more and another one got less as a result of the undue influence. Would that be a fair statement about the effects of undue influence on an estate plan?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	firm provide assistance for Amy and Anita Brunsting? A. We provided assistance to Mrs. Brunsting. Q. Mrs. Brunsting being Nelva? A. Yes. Q. But wasn't some was any advice and counsel being provided to Amy and Anita Brunsting? A. With Ms. Brunsting's permission, yes. Q. And even though Mrs. Brunsting, Nelva Brunsting, was coming to the Vacek firm and it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	capacity, no irrational behavior, no confusion at the time the instruments were signed? A. That's correct. Q. I have seen some commentators suggest that if there's undue influence, it usually is an indication that one beneficiary probably got more and another one got less as a result of the undue influence. Would that be a fair statement about the effects of undue influence on an estate plan? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	firm provide assistance for Amy and Anita Brunsting? A. We provided assistance to Mrs. Brunsting. Q. Mrs. Brunsting being Nelva? A. Yes. Q. But wasn't some was any advice and counsel being provided to Amy and Anita Brunsting? A. With Ms. Brunsting's permission, yes. Q. And even though Mrs. Brunsting, Nelva Brunsting, was coming to the Vacek firm and it appears that you were probably the lead person to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	capacity, no irrational behavior, no confusion at the time the instruments were signed? A. That's correct. Q. I have seen some commentators suggest that if there's undue influence, it usually is an indication that one beneficiary probably got more and another one got less as a result of the undue influence. Would that be a fair statement about the effects of undue influence on an estate plan? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. I think it would be fair.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	firm provide assistance for Amy and Anita Brunsting? A. We provided assistance to Mrs. Brunsting. Q. Mrs. Brunsting being Nelva? A. Yes. Q. But wasn't some was any advice and counsel being provided to Amy and Anita Brunsting? A. With Ms. Brunsting's permission, yes. Q. And even though Mrs. Brunsting, Nelva Brunsting, was coming to the Vacek firm and it appears that you were probably the lead person to provide assistance and advice was Mr. Vacek involved
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	capacity, no irrational behavior, no confusion at the time the instruments were signed? A. That's correct. Q. I have seen some commentators suggest that if there's undue influence, it usually is an indication that one beneficiary probably got more and another one got less as a result of the undue influence. Would that be a fair statement about the effects of undue influence on an estate plan? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. I think it would be fair. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Given that Ms. Brunsting	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	firm provide assistance for Amy and Anita Brunsting? A. We provided assistance to Mrs. Brunsting. Q. Mrs. Brunsting being Nelva? A. Yes. Q. But wasn't some was any advice and counsel being provided to Amy and Anita Brunsting? A. With Ms. Brunsting's permission, yes. Q. And even though Mrs. Brunsting, Nelva Brunsting, was coming to the Vacek firm and it appears that you were probably the lead person to provide assistance and advice was Mr. Vacek involved in this case back starting in June of 2010 and moving
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	capacity, no irrational behavior, no confusion at the time the instruments were signed? A. That's correct. Q. I have seen some commentators suggest that if there's undue influence, it usually is an indication that one beneficiary probably got more and another one got less as a result of the undue influence. Would that be a fair statement about the effects of undue influence on an estate plan? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. I think it would be fair. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Given that Ms. Brunsting treated all of the children equally from a distribution	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	firm provide assistance for Amy and Anita Brunsting? A. We provided assistance to Mrs. Brunsting. Q. Mrs. Brunsting being Nelva? A. Yes. Q. But wasn't some was any advice and counsel being provided to Amy and Anita Brunsting? A. With Ms. Brunsting's permission, yes. Q. And even though Mrs. Brunsting, Nelva Brunsting, was coming to the Vacek firm and it appears that you were probably the lead person to provide assistance and advice was Mr. Vacek involved in this case back starting in June of 2010 and moving forward?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	capacity, no irrational behavior, no confusion at the time the instruments were signed? A. That's correct. Q. I have seen some commentators suggest that if there's undue influence, it usually is an indication that one beneficiary probably got more and another one got less as a result of the undue influence. Would that be a fair statement about the effects of undue influence on an estate plan? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. I think it would be fair. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Given that Ms. Brunsting treated all of the children equally from a distribution standpoint, given that fact alone, do you see any way	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	firm provide assistance for Amy and Anita Brunsting? A. We provided assistance to Mrs. Brunsting. Q. Mrs. Brunsting being Nelva? A. Yes. Q. But wasn't some was any advice and counsel being provided to Amy and Anita Brunsting? A. With Ms. Brunsting's permission, yes. Q. And even though Mrs. Brunsting, Nelva Brunsting, was coming to the Vacek firm and it appears that you were probably the lead person to provide assistance and advice was Mr. Vacek involved in this case back starting in June of 2010 and moving forward? A. I conferred with Mr. Vacek and Mrs. Vacek.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	capacity, no irrational behavior, no confusion at the time the instruments were signed? A. That's correct. Q. I have seen some commentators suggest that if there's undue influence, it usually is an indication that one beneficiary probably got more and another one got less as a result of the undue influence. Would that be a fair statement about the effects of undue influence on an estate plan? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. I think it would be fair. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Given that Ms. Brunsting treated all of the children equally from a distribution standpoint, given that fact alone, do you see any way that she could be unduly influenced in the execution of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	firm provide assistance for Amy and Anita Brunsting? A. We provided assistance to Mrs. Brunsting. Q. Mrs. Brunsting being Nelva? A. Yes. Q. But wasn't some was any advice and counsel being provided to Amy and Anita Brunsting? A. With Ms. Brunsting's permission, yes. Q. And even though Mrs. Brunsting, Nelva Brunsting, was coming to the Vacek firm and it appears that you were probably the lead person to provide assistance and advice was Mr. Vacek involved in this case back starting in June of 2010 and moving forward? A. I conferred with Mr. Vacek and Mrs. Vacek. Q. Would it be fair to say that with Nelva
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	capacity, no irrational behavior, no confusion at the time the instruments were signed? A. That's correct. Q. I have seen some commentators suggest that if there's undue influence, it usually is an indication that one beneficiary probably got more and another one got less as a result of the undue influence. Would that be a fair statement about the effects of undue influence on an estate plan? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. I think it would be fair. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Given that Ms. Brunsting treated all of the children equally from a distribution standpoint, given that fact alone, do you see any way that she could be unduly influenced in the execution of that document?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	firm provide assistance for Amy and Anita Brunsting? A. We provided assistance to Mrs. Brunsting. Q. Mrs. Brunsting being Nelva? A. Yes. Q. But wasn't some was any advice and counsel being provided to Amy and Anita Brunsting? A. With Ms. Brunsting's permission, yes. Q. And even though Mrs. Brunsting, Nelva Brunsting, was coming to the Vacek firm and it appears that you were probably the lead person to provide assistance and advice was Mr. Vacek involved in this case back starting in June of 2010 and moving forward? A. I conferred with Mr. Vacek and Mrs. Vacek. Q. Would it be fair to say that with Nelva Brunsting's permission, advice just so I'm clear,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	capacity, no irrational behavior, no confusion at the time the instruments were signed? A. That's correct. Q. I have seen some commentators suggest that if there's undue influence, it usually is an indication that one beneficiary probably got more and another one got less as a result of the undue influence. Would that be a fair statement about the effects of undue influence on an estate plan? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. I think it would be fair. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Given that Ms. Brunsting treated all of the children equally from a distribution standpoint, given that fact alone, do you see any way that she could be unduly influenced in the execution of that document? MR. REED: Objection, form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	firm provide assistance for Amy and Anita Brunsting? A. We provided assistance to Mrs. Brunsting. Q. Mrs. Brunsting being Nelva? A. Yes. Q. But wasn't some was any advice and counsel being provided to Amy and Anita Brunsting? A. With Ms. Brunsting's permission, yes. Q. And even though Mrs. Brunsting, Nelva Brunsting, was coming to the Vacek firm and it appears that you were probably the lead person to provide assistance and advice was Mr. Vacek involved in this case back starting in June of 2010 and moving forward? A. I conferred with Mr. Vacek and Mrs. Vacek. Q. Would it be fair to say that with Nelva Brunsting's permission, advice just so I'm clear, Nelva Brunsting granted permission to the Vacek firm to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	capacity, no irrational behavior, no confusion at the time the instruments were signed? A. That's correct. Q. I have seen some commentators suggest that if there's undue influence, it usually is an indication that one beneficiary probably got more and another one got less as a result of the undue influence. Would that be a fair statement about the effects of undue influence on an estate plan? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. I think it would be fair. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Given that Ms. Brunsting treated all of the children equally from a distribution standpoint, given that fact alone, do you see any way that she could be unduly influenced in the execution of that document? MR. REED: Objection, form. A. I really don't know how to answer that. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	firm provide assistance for Amy and Anita Brunsting? A. We provided assistance to Mrs. Brunsting. Q. Mrs. Brunsting being Nelva? A. Yes. Q. But wasn't some was any advice and counsel being provided to Amy and Anita Brunsting? A. With Ms. Brunsting's permission, yes. Q. And even though Mrs. Brunsting, Nelva Brunsting, was coming to the Vacek firm and it appears that you were probably the lead person to provide assistance and advice was Mr. Vacek involved in this case back starting in June of 2010 and moving forward? A. I conferred with Mr. Vacek and Mrs. Vacek. Q. Would it be fair to say that with Nelva Brunsting's permission, advice just so I'm clear, Nelva Brunsting granted permission to the Vacek firm to provide advice to Amy and Anita?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	capacity, no irrational behavior, no confusion at the time the instruments were signed? A. That's correct. Q. I have seen some commentators suggest that if there's undue influence, it usually is an indication that one beneficiary probably got more and another one got less as a result of the undue influence. Would that be a fair statement about the effects of undue influence on an estate plan? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. I think it would be fair. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Given that Ms. Brunsting treated all of the children equally from a distribution standpoint, given that fact alone, do you see any way that she could be unduly influenced in the execution of that document? MR. REED: Objection, form. A. I really don't know how to answer that. I mean, she didn't make a material change to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	firm provide assistance for Amy and Anita Brunsting? A. We provided assistance to Mrs. Brunsting. Q. Mrs. Brunsting being Nelva? A. Yes. Q. But wasn't some was any advice and counsel being provided to Amy and Anita Brunsting? A. With Ms. Brunsting's permission, yes. Q. And even though Mrs. Brunsting, Nelva Brunsting, was coming to the Vacek firm and it appears that you were probably the lead person to provide assistance and advice was Mr. Vacek involved in this case back starting in June of 2010 and moving forward? A. I conferred with Mr. Vacek and Mrs. Vacek. Q. Would it be fair to say that with Nelva Brunsting's permission, advice just so I'm clear, Nelva Brunsting granted permission to the Vacek firm to provide advice to Amy and Anita? A. That's correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	capacity, no irrational behavior, no confusion at the time the instruments were signed? A. That's correct. Q. I have seen some commentators suggest that if there's undue influence, it usually is an indication that one beneficiary probably got more and another one got less as a result of the undue influence. Would that be a fair statement about the effects of undue influence on an estate plan? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. I think it would be fair. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Given that Ms. Brunsting treated all of the children equally from a distribution standpoint, given that fact alone, do you see any way that she could be unduly influenced in the execution of that document? MR. REED: Objection, form. A. I really don't know how to answer that. I mean, she didn't make a material change to the documents.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	firm provide assistance for Amy and Anita Brunsting? A. We provided assistance to Mrs. Brunsting. Q. Mrs. Brunsting being Nelva? A. Yes. Q. But wasn't some was any advice and counsel being provided to Amy and Anita Brunsting? A. With Ms. Brunsting's permission, yes. Q. And even though Mrs. Brunsting, Nelva Brunsting, was coming to the Vacek firm and it appears that you were probably the lead person to provide assistance and advice was Mr. Vacek involved in this case back starting in June of 2010 and moving forward? A. I conferred with Mr. Vacek and Mrs. Vacek. Q. Would it be fair to say that with Nelva Brunsting's permission, advice just so I'm clear, Nelva Brunsting granted permission to the Vacek firm to provide advice to Amy and Anita? A. That's correct. Q. And there's nothing wrong with attorneys
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	capacity, no irrational behavior, no confusion at the time the instruments were signed? A. That's correct. Q. I have seen some commentators suggest that if there's undue influence, it usually is an indication that one beneficiary probably got more and another one got less as a result of the undue influence. Would that be a fair statement about the effects of undue influence on an estate plan? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. I think it would be fair. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Given that Ms. Brunsting treated all of the children equally from a distribution standpoint, given that fact alone, do you see any way that she could be unduly influenced in the execution of that document? MR. REED: Objection, form. A. I really don't know how to answer that. I mean, she didn't make a material change to the documents. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Well, that's my point. All of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	firm provide assistance for Amy and Anita Brunsting? A. We provided assistance to Mrs. Brunsting. Q. Mrs. Brunsting being Nelva? A. Yes. Q. But wasn't some was any advice and counsel being provided to Amy and Anita Brunsting? A. With Ms. Brunsting's permission, yes. Q. And even though Mrs. Brunsting, Nelva Brunsting, was coming to the Vacek firm and it appears that you were probably the lead person to provide assistance and advice was Mr. Vacek involved in this case back starting in June of 2010 and moving forward? A. I conferred with Mr. Vacek and Mrs. Vacek. Q. Would it be fair to say that with Nelva Brunsting's permission, advice just so I'm clear, Nelva Brunsting granted permission to the Vacek firm to provide advice to Amy and Anita? A. That's correct. Q. And there's nothing wrong with attorneys providing advice to trustees?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	capacity, no irrational behavior, no confusion at the time the instruments were signed? A. That's correct. Q. I have seen some commentators suggest that if there's undue influence, it usually is an indication that one beneficiary probably got more and another one got less as a result of the undue influence. Would that be a fair statement about the effects of undue influence on an estate plan? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. I think it would be fair. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Given that Ms. Brunsting treated all of the children equally from a distribution standpoint, given that fact alone, do you see any way that she could be unduly influenced in the execution of that document? MR. REED: Objection, form. A. I really don't know how to answer that. I mean, she didn't make a material change to the documents.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	firm provide assistance for Amy and Anita Brunsting? A. We provided assistance to Mrs. Brunsting. Q. Mrs. Brunsting being Nelva? A. Yes. Q. But wasn't some was any advice and counsel being provided to Amy and Anita Brunsting? A. With Ms. Brunsting's permission, yes. Q. And even though Mrs. Brunsting, Nelva Brunsting, was coming to the Vacek firm and it appears that you were probably the lead person to provide assistance and advice was Mr. Vacek involved in this case back starting in June of 2010 and moving forward? A. I conferred with Mr. Vacek and Mrs. Vacek. Q. Would it be fair to say that with Nelva Brunsting's permission, advice just so I'm clear, Nelva Brunsting granted permission to the Vacek firm to provide advice to Amy and Anita? A. That's correct. Q. And there's nothing wrong with attorneys providing advice to trustees? A. No, there is nothing wrong with that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	capacity, no irrational behavior, no confusion at the time the instruments were signed? A. That's correct. Q. I have seen some commentators suggest that if there's undue influence, it usually is an indication that one beneficiary probably got more and another one got less as a result of the undue influence. Would that be a fair statement about the effects of undue influence on an estate plan? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. I think it would be fair. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Given that Ms. Brunsting treated all of the children equally from a distribution standpoint, given that fact alone, do you see any way that she could be unduly influenced in the execution of that document? MR. REED: Objection, form. A. I really don't know how to answer that. I mean, she didn't make a material change to the documents. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Well, that's my point. All of these children were in for 20 percent of the estate at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	firm provide assistance for Amy and Anita Brunsting? A. We provided assistance to Mrs. Brunsting. Q. Mrs. Brunsting being Nelva? A. Yes. Q. But wasn't some was any advice and counsel being provided to Amy and Anita Brunsting? A. With Ms. Brunsting's permission, yes. Q. And even though Mrs. Brunsting, Nelva Brunsting, was coming to the Vacek firm and it appears that you were probably the lead person to provide assistance and advice was Mr. Vacek involved in this case back starting in June of 2010 and moving forward? A. I conferred with Mr. Vacek and Mrs. Vacek. Q. Would it be fair to say that with Nelva Brunsting's permission, advice just so I'm clear, Nelva Brunsting granted permission to the Vacek firm to provide advice to Amy and Anita? A. That's correct. Q. And there's nothing wrong with attorneys providing advice to trustees? A. No, there is nothing wrong with that. Q. And is there anything wrong with the client
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	capacity, no irrational behavior, no confusion at the time the instruments were signed? A. That's correct. Q. I have seen some commentators suggest that if there's undue influence, it usually is an indication that one beneficiary probably got more and another one got less as a result of the undue influence. Would that be a fair statement about the effects of undue influence on an estate plan? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. I think it would be fair. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Given that Ms. Brunsting treated all of the children equally from a distribution standpoint, given that fact alone, do you see any way that she could be unduly influenced in the execution of that document? MR. REED: Objection, form. A. I really don't know how to answer that. I mean, she didn't make a material change to the documents. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Well, that's my point. All of these children were in for 20 percent of the estate at the time of the restatement. All of these children were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	firm provide assistance for Amy and Anita Brunsting? A. We provided assistance to Mrs. Brunsting. Q. Mrs. Brunsting being Nelva? A. Yes. Q. But wasn't some was any advice and counsel being provided to Amy and Anita Brunsting? A. With Ms. Brunsting's permission, yes. Q. And even though Mrs. Brunsting, Nelva Brunsting, was coming to the Vacek firm and it appears that you were probably the lead person to provide assistance and advice was Mr. Vacek involved in this case back starting in June of 2010 and moving forward? A. I conferred with Mr. Vacek and Mrs. Vacek. Q. Would it be fair to say that with Nelva Brunsting's permission, advice just so I'm clear, Nelva Brunsting granted permission to the Vacek firm to provide advice to Amy and Anita? A. That's correct. Q. And there's nothing wrong with attorneys providing advice to trustees? A. No, there is nothing wrong with that.

12 (Pages 42 to 45)

48 46 object? 1 is being made. 2 2 A. No. I believe they should be able to rely on A. Okay. 3 counsel. 3 Q. If that's what -- if Nelva Brunsting wanted an Q. Is there anything wrong with Anita and Amy 4 asset transfer, regardless of which trust it came from 5 5 Brunsting relying on the advice of the Vacek firm, no and if the net result in terms of estate value would be 6 6 matter how much some of the other beneficiaries might the same after the transfer, is that any sort of breach 7 object? of fiduciary duty? 8 8 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. No. 9 9 A. It could be. MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 10 10 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Are you aware that some of the Q. (By Mr. Mendel) In what way? 11 other beneficiaries do object to everything that was 11 A. A distribution from a decedent's trust or a 12 12 going on? credit shelter, bypass trust is a distribution and not a 13 13 A. I am aware. gift. Anything that comes out of the survivor's trust 14 O. I want to talk a little bit about some of the 14 is considered a gift unless it's otherwise noted, and a 15 15 transfers that would have been made to one or more of gift tax return would have to be filed. 16 16 the beneficiaries. Okay? Q. But the net value of the estate -- when you add 17 And so during Nelva Brunsting's life, as a 17 the decedent's trust and the survivor's trust, the total 18 18 creator, a trustor and as a beneficiary, what rights net value of the estate hasn't changed, has it? 19 19 does she get to decide who ultimately might get A. I disagree with that also. 20 20 Q. Share why. something from her? 21 21 A. It's the golden rule: The woman with the gold A. Because the decedent's trust had a basis when 22 makes the rules. I mean, she can decide whatever she 22 it went in. So an asset that came out of the decedent's 23 23 wants. It's her stuff. trust may not have the same value as the survivor's 24 24 Q. So if she has five children and she elects to trust because of the basis that was set. So when a 25 25 make distributions to one or two people now and one or beneficiary tries to sell the asset, there's a capital 47 49 1 two different people later, is there anything wrong with gain or a loss, depending on when they buy or sell. 2 2 that? Q. But that's a tax issue, is it not? 3 3 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. It is. 4 4 A. Are you asking me for my personal opinion or my Q. Okay. So if on the date of the transfer the 5 5 legal opinion, my recommendation? total value of all of the assets would be hypothetically 6 6 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) I'm interested in your legal a million dollars --7 7 opinion. A. Okav. 8 8 Did anyone violate the trust instruments Q. -- and you transferred \$10,000. 9 because Nelva Brunsting wanted to make -- or wanted to 9 A. Uh-huh. 10 10 see assets transferred to one or more of her children? Q. At the end of that transaction, the net value 11 A. No. she did not. 11 of the estate, regardless of the tax issues, is still 12 12 \$990,000, is it not? Q. And so if Anita or Amy made transfers 13 13 consistent with what Nelva Brunsting wanted, would that MS. BAYLESS: Object to form. 14 be a breach of fiduciary duty? 14 A. No. 15 15 A. No. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) It's not? 16 16 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. I disagree with the valuation. If you had to 17 17 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) You know what a breach of sell an asset to create the cash, then you've created a 18 18 fiduciary duty is. tax for the trust. So I guess where -- I get hung up on 19 19 A. I do. the taxes because that's what I do. 20 20 Q. There's been the suggestion that some transfers If you're talking about there's cash in 21 21 were made out of the decedent's trust, and maybe those both and you distribute and the beneficiaries are 22 22 transfers should have been made out of the survivor's exactly the same, then I would agree with you; yes, it's 23 trust. Are you aware of that? 23 the same. 24 24 A. I am not. Q. Okay. 25 Q. Well, just assume with me that that allegation 25 A. But typically we're not dealing with the same

13 (Pages 46 to 49)

50 52 1 A. We would sign an engagement letter to assist -exact assets. 2 2 Q. Fair statement. So let's break it down. So if what our duties are as a firm. Sit down and have a 3 what was transferred was cash, then the net value of the 3 meeting with the trustee or co-trustees or whoever is in 4 estate is essentially unchanged? charge. Outline what their duties are, what they need 5 5 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. to do. Set up an accounting, valuation of assets. 6 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) I mean, you take out -- so a Their duty to ensure that the assets are not squandered 7 million dollars with a \$10,000 gift of cash -or lost due to fluctuations in the market, if they need 8 8 A. Uh-huh. to be moved to safer investments. Their duty to file a 9 9 tax return, to assess whether an estate tax return is Q. -- you would expect the net value of the estate 10 10 to be \$990,000? required to be filed and the steps to make distribution 11 11 once all the liabilities are paid. A. I would. 12 12 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Does the impact of real Q. Okay. And let's assume that maybe the transfer 13 13 was intended to be some stock, not sell the stock but estate, I guess, add more time to that process? 14 14 just transfer 100 shares of, say, Exxon. A. Sure. It's illiquid. 15 15 A. Uh-huh. Q. Okay. From your experience, what additional 16 16 Q. Isn't the net value of the estate still the steps are associated for the administration of the 17 same after the transfer? 17 estate when you're dealing with a farm up in Iowa? 18 18 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Well, one, you're dealing with out-of-state 19 19 laws. We had to do some -- we had to get an opinion 20 20 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) You didn't sell the stock; you letter, as I recall, from an Iowa attorney as to whether 21 21 or not crops could be put in -- crop land could be put just transferred the stock. 22 22 into an irrevocable trust and still maintained whatever A. But what was the value on the day you 23 23 transferred it? exemptions it received under state law. 24 24 Q. Same hypothetical, million dollars. You Q. What was the outcome of that inquiry? 25 25 transferred 100 shares, and let's say that's worth A. Although the State of Iowa had an -- no crop 51 53 1 1 \$10,000. land could be owned by an irrevocable trust. There were 2 2 A. Is the stock paying dividends? several listed exceptions to that, and one of them was 3 3 the decedent's -- a credit shelter, bypass trust I mean, do you see what I'm getting at 4 4 qualified for that. here? 5 5 Q. And so given that Ms. Brunsting, Nelva Q. No, I understand. 6 6 Brunsting, died near the holiday period, and given all A. Okav. Q. But that's a tax issue. The net value of the 7 the things that you've described in terms of, I guess, 8 estate the moment after the transfer is just the total 8 identifying assets, valuing assets, is that something 9 value of the estate less the gift. Nothing's really 9 that would take six or more months to complete? 10 10 changed, has it? A. Oh, of course. Sure. 11 11 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. Q. Okay. From your perspective, what would be a 12 12 reasonable time frame that you would expect to go by, at A. No, I guess not. 13 13 least at a minimum, to determine the assets, value the Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Nelva Brunsting died, as I 14 understand it, on November 11th of 2011. 14 assets, look at liabilities, reach out to this lawyer in 15 15 Iowa, get these opinions, deal with this out-of-state A. That's correct. 16 16 real estate? Q. And so at that point the trust would have moved 17 17 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. into, I guess, a post-death administration process. Is 18 18 that a fair statement? A. At the very least, 15 months. 19 19 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) 15 months? A. That's correct. 20 Q. And would you describe for the jury in this 20 MS. BAYLESS: I'm sorry. I didn't hear 21 21 case what are some of the assets -- or what are some of your answer. 22 22 the steps or the process that you would follow in terms MR. MENDEL: She said 15 months. 23 23 THE WITNESS: 15 months. of assisting Anita and Amy Brunsting with an 24 24 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) And if during that process administration either of the restated trust or the QBDs? 25 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 25 someone files a lawsuit, what impact -- like in this

14 (Pages 50 to 53)

54 56 particular case, Candy Curtis filed a lawsuit. What 1 MR. MENDEL: What's your objection? 2 2 impact would a lawsuit like that have on a potential MS. BAYLESS: Form. 3 delay of the administration process? 3 MR. MENDEL: Form. And the specific --4 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 4 MS. BAYLESS: You asked is there any 5 5 A. It would be exponential. evidence. You didn't ask her if she had any. You asked 6 6 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) When you say "exponential," her if there's any evidence. I just think that's an 7 improper question and answer. what do you mean by that? 8 8 A. Well, everything comes to a grinding halt when THE WITNESS: I have no evidence, if that 9 9 a lawsuit is filed. helps. 10 10 Q. I don't know about you but I like to take a Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Okay. So when I'm asking is 11 11 break about every hour and we're up on the hour. there any evidence, it's going to be based on what you 12 12 know, what you saw, what you heard. A. I'm good with that. 13 13 Q. Why don't we take --A. Yes. 14 14 A. Stretch my legs. I keep shifting in my chair. Q. So do you have any evidence that the QBD was 15 Q. Five to ten minutes tops, and we'll regroup? 15 created by deception? 16 16 A. Sure. Thank you. A. I do not. 17 (Recess taken.) 17 Q. Do you have any evidence that Nelva did not 18 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Ms. Freed, I want to talk a 18 understand or consent to the document that was created? 19 19 little bit about some of the instruments that have been 20 20 filed, in particular the pleadings and a motion for Q. When I say "the document," I'm talking about 21 21 summary judgment that's been filed. Exhibits 5 and 6. 22 22 I think I'd like to start with Exhibit A. I understand. 23 23 MS. BAYLESS: Are you talking about both No. 13, which is a pleading that was filed by Carl 24 24 Brunsting. So I just want to go through and get your in the same question? 25 feedback on some things that are said in this particular 25 MR. MENDEL: I'll break them down if you 55 57 1 1 instrument. want. 2 2 I would call your attention to page 3 of MS. BAYLESS: Well, it's your deposition. 3 3 Exhibit 13. And to the extent that some of my questions If you're going to talk about two documents in one 4 4 might be a little duplicative, I'm going to apologize in question, I'm going to object. 5 5 advance because, for example, we've touched on undue MR. MENDEL: I'll break them down. 6 6 influence and we've touched on capacity; but they're Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Let's go back. With regard to 7 7 specifically referenced in this pleading, so I want to Exhibit 5, and then we'll talk about Exhibit 6, do you 8 8 kind of just march through what's here. have any evidence that Exhibit 5 was the result of undue 9 At the bottom of that first paragraph, it 9 influence? 10 talks about the "QBD was the result of undue influence, 10 A. I do not. 11 11 was done when Nelva lacked capacity and/or was created Q. Do you have any evidence that Nelva Brunsting 12 12 by deception so that Nelva did not understand or consent lacked capacity to execute Exhibit 5? 13 13 to the document." A. I do not. 14 As someone who was very much involved in 14 Q. Do you have any evidence that Exhibit 5 was 15 15 created by deception in that she did not understand what the creation of the QBD, is there any evidence -- and I 16 16 want to break these down. Is there any evidence that she was signing? 17 there was undue influence regarding the creation and/or 17 A. I do not. 18 18 execution of Exhibit 5 or Exhibit 6? Q. Do you have any evidence that Nelva Brunsting 19 19 did not consent to the creation and/or execution of A. No. 20 20 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. Exhibit 5? 21 21 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Is there any evidence that A. I do not. 22 22 Nelva lacked capacity with regard to the execution of Q. With regard to Exhibit 6, which was the 23 Exhibits 5 or 6? 23 August 2010 QBD, do you have any evidence that that 24 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 24 instrument, Exhibit 6, was the result of undue 25 25 A. No. influence?

15 (Pages 54 to 57)

58 60 A. I do not. any evidence that Anita Brunsting sought replacement 2 2 Q. Do you have any evidence that Nelva Brunsting through an improper means or an improper purpose? 3 lacked capacity to execute Exhibit 6? 3 A. No. A. I do not. Q. Based on what you know as you sit here today, 5 5 from your perspective, is there anything improper about Q. Do you have any evidence that Exhibit 6 was 6 6 Nelva Brunsting appointing Anita Brunsting to be the created by deception so that she did not understand what 7 it was about? trustee? 8 8 A. No. It would have been my recommendation, most A. I do not. Q 9 Q. Do you have any evidence that Exhibit 6 -- that likely. 10 10 Q. Okay. Exhibit 13, page 4. There is this Nelva Brunsting did not consent to the nature of 11 11 Exhibit 6? consistent reference, if you look through Exhibit 13, 12 12 that the August QBD is tainted. A. I do not. 13 13 Q. Moving down to the bottom of page 3 of From your perspective, was there anything 14 Exhibit 13, there is a statement that plaintiff --14 wrong or improper about the creation of Exhibit 6, being 15 15 the August 2010 QBD? MS. BAYLESS: I'm sorry. What page? 16 16 MR. MENDEL: I'm on page 3. A. No. 17 MS. BAYLESS: Okay. 17 Q. Anything about Exhibit 6 where it was 18 18 improperly created or executed? MR. MENDEL: Or still on page 3. 19 19 MS. BAYLESS: Okay. 20 20 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) "The plaintiff," which would MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 21 21 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) At the bottom of page 4 for be Carl Brunsting, "believes Anita convinced Nelva to 22 22 Exhibit 13, there's a sentence that talks about Candy, resign from her trustee position and to appoint Anita as 23 23 being Candy Curtis, and Carl, being Carl Brunsting, were her replacement through improper means and for improper 24 24 purposes." the only Brunsting siblings whose right to be trustees 25 25 of their own trusts after Nelva died were extinguished What is your recollection of what was 59 61 1 1 going on in or about November/December of 2010 with by the changes implemented in the tainted August QBD. 2 2 regard to Nelva Brunsting's health? I'm just paraphrasing. 3 3 If I understood your testimony earlier, A. I believe she was undergoing treatments, if the 4 4 time frame I'm thinking of is correct. She had a spot there's nothing wrong with removing someone as a 5 5 on her liver maybe or on her lungs. I can't remember trustee. 6 what it was. I don't recall. She was going through 6 A. No. 7 7 treatments for something and had pneumonia at some Q. And so is there anything wrong -- given that it 8 8 point, but I don't recall the time frame. I'm sorry. was Nelva's decision, anything wrong with Nelva 9 9 O. Were you in discussions with Anita Brunsting Brunsting appointing Anita and Amy Brunsting to be 10 10 and/or other family members during the November/ co-trustees of Candy Curtis' personal asset trust? 11 11 December 2010 time period with regard to Nelva MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 12 12 Brunsting's health? A. No. 13 13 A. With regard to her health. I don't recall. I Q. (By Mr. Mendel) From your perspective and 14 may have been, but I don't recall. 14 based on your involvement, is there anything wrong with 15 15 Q. Did you have conversations or rather Anita or Amy Brunsting being co-trustees of Carl 16 16 communications, whether they were oral or written, with Brunsting's personal asset trust? 17 Anita Brunsting during the November/December 2010 time 17 A. No. 18 18 Q. Let's move to page 6, Exhibit 13. 19 19 A. I may have. I don't recall a specific A. (Witness complies.) 20 20 conversation, but I may have. Q. Paragraph 10 on page 6 of Exhibit 13 talks 21 21 Q. Do you have any evidence or are you aware of about "At some point Anita and Amy implemented a plan to 22 22 any evidence that Anita Brunsting convinced her mother take over their parents' remaining assets and divide the 23 to resign as the trustee? 23 spoils." 24 24 Based on your dealings with Anita and Amy A. I do not. 25 Q. Do you have any evidence or are you aware of 25 Brunsting, do you have any evidence to indicate that

16 (Pages 58 to 61)

	62		64
1	there was some alleged plan to take over the assets and	1	Q. (By Mr. Mendel) From your perspective as a
2	divide the spoils?	2	lawyer?
3	A. I do not.	3	A. No.
4	Q. Also in paragraph 10 there's an indication that	4	Q. Given the nature of the encephalitis and other
5	they, Anita and Amy Brunsting, became more aggressive in	5	healthcare conditions, would you have made that
6	controlling their mother's actions.	6	recommendation?
7	Based on your dealings with Nelva	7	A. I would.
8	Brunsting, certainly in the June to August 2010 time	8	Q. Paragraph 11, still page 7, Exhibit 13.
9	period, did you see any indication or are you aware of	9	There's a reference that Anita and Amy Brunsting
10	any evidence that would indicate that Anita Brunsting	10	apparently determined which documents would be prepared.
11	was seeking to control her mother's actions?	11	Based on your dealings with Nelva
12	A. No.	12	Brunsting in the June to August 2010 time period, did
13	Q. Do you have for the same time period, do you	13	Anita or Amy Brunsting have any input on what documents
14	have any evidence or are you aware of any evidence that	14	were going to be prepared?
15	would indicate that Amy Brunsting was trying to control	15	A. No.
16	her mother's actions?	16	Q. Paragraph 12, page 7, Exhibit 13, makes
17	A. No.	17	reference to Nelva Brunsting's purported resignation as
18	Q. Exhibit 13, page 6, paragraph 11, there's this	18	trustee.
19	statement in here that Anita and Amy carried out their	19	Exhibit No. 8 is the resignation of Nelva
20	plan of replacing their mother's wishes with the help of	20	Brunsting and includes the acceptance by Anita
21	Nelva's own legal counsel.	21	Brunsting. Do you see that?
22	Now, this paragraph doesn't identify who	22	A. Uh-huh.
23 24	Nelva's own legal counsel was; but on the assumption	23 24	Q. Is there anything about Exhibit 8 that makes
25	that they're suggesting that you were assisting in	25	that instrument ineffective?
23	carrying out the plan, have you at any time assisted	25	A. No.
	63		65
1	**	1	
1 2	Anita Brunsting with trying to circumvent or subvert the	1 2	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.
	**		
2	Anita Brunsting with trying to circumvent or subvert the intent of Elmer and Nelva Brunsting's testamentary	2	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) From your perspective, is
2	Anita Brunsting with trying to circumvent or subvert the intent of Elmer and Nelva Brunsting's testamentary desires?	2 3	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) From your perspective, is that would that exhibit be enforceable?
2 3 4	Anita Brunsting with trying to circumvent or subvert the intent of Elmer and Nelva Brunsting's testamentary desires? A. No.	2 3 4	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) From your perspective, is that would that exhibit be enforceable? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7	Anita Brunsting with trying to circumvent or subvert the intent of Elmer and Nelva Brunsting's testamentary desires? A. No. Q. Have you at any time attempted to subvert Elmer	2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) From your perspective, is that — would that exhibit be enforceable? A. Yes. Q. At the bottom of page 7, paragraph 13, Exhibit 13, there's a statement in here about "more than \$150,000 was transferred from accounts by Anita and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Anita Brunsting with trying to circumvent or subvert the intent of Elmer and Nelva Brunsting's testamentary desires? A. No. Q. Have you at any time attempted to subvert Elmer and Nelva Brunsting's testamentary desires in terms of helping Amy Brunsting? A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) From your perspective, is that — would that exhibit be enforceable? A. Yes. Q. At the bottom of page 7, paragraph 13, Exhibit 13, there's a statement in here about "more than \$150,000 was transferred from accounts by Anita and spent by Carole."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Anita Brunsting with trying to circumvent or subvert the intent of Elmer and Nelva Brunsting's testamentary desires? A. No. Q. Have you at any time attempted to subvert Elmer and Nelva Brunsting's testamentary desires in terms of helping Amy Brunsting? A. No. Q. Also in paragraph 11, page 6 of Exhibit 13,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) From your perspective, is that — would that exhibit be enforceable? A. Yes. Q. At the bottom of page 7, paragraph 13, Exhibit 13, there's a statement in here about "more than \$150,000 was transferred from accounts by Anita and spent by Carole." If Nelva Brunsting said it was okay to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Anita Brunsting with trying to circumvent or subvert the intent of Elmer and Nelva Brunsting's testamentary desires? A. No. Q. Have you at any time attempted to subvert Elmer and Nelva Brunsting's testamentary desires in terms of helping Amy Brunsting? A. No. Q. Also in paragraph 11, page 6 of Exhibit 13, there's a reference that through bullying and deception,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) From your perspective, is that would that exhibit be enforceable? A. Yes. Q. At the bottom of page 7, paragraph 13, Exhibit 13, there's a statement in here about "more than \$150,000 was transferred from accounts by Anita and spent by Carole." If Nelva Brunsting said it was okay to transfer money over to Carole Brunsting, is there
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Anita Brunsting with trying to circumvent or subvert the intent of Elmer and Nelva Brunsting's testamentary desires? A. No. Q. Have you at any time attempted to subvert Elmer and Nelva Brunsting's testamentary desires in terms of helping Amy Brunsting? A. No. Q. Also in paragraph 11, page 6 of Exhibit 13, there's a reference that through bullying and deception, the document was executed without regard to Nelva	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) From your perspective, is that would that exhibit be enforceable? A. Yes. Q. At the bottom of page 7, paragraph 13, Exhibit 13, there's a statement in here about "more than \$150,000 was transferred from accounts by Anita and spent by Carole." If Nelva Brunsting said it was okay to transfer money over to Carole Brunsting, is there anything wrong with Anita carrying out that wish?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Anita Brunsting with trying to circumvent or subvert the intent of Elmer and Nelva Brunsting's testamentary desires? A. No. Q. Have you at any time attempted to subvert Elmer and Nelva Brunsting's testamentary desires in terms of helping Amy Brunsting? A. No. Q. Also in paragraph 11, page 6 of Exhibit 13, there's a reference that through bullying and deception, the document was executed without regard to Nelva Brunsting's capacity.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) From your perspective, is that would that exhibit be enforceable? A. Yes. Q. At the bottom of page 7, paragraph 13, Exhibit 13, there's a statement in here about "more than \$150,000 was transferred from accounts by Anita and spent by Carole." If Nelva Brunsting said it was okay to transfer money over to Carole Brunsting, is there anything wrong with Anita carrying out that wish? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Anita Brunsting with trying to circumvent or subvert the intent of Elmer and Nelva Brunsting's testamentary desires? A. No. Q. Have you at any time attempted to subvert Elmer and Nelva Brunsting's testamentary desires in terms of helping Amy Brunsting? A. No. Q. Also in paragraph 11, page 6 of Exhibit 13, there's a reference that through bullying and deception, the document was executed without regard to Nelva Brunsting's capacity. Do you have any evidence or are you aware	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) From your perspective, is that — would that exhibit be enforceable? A. Yes. Q. At the bottom of page 7, paragraph 13, Exhibit 13, there's a statement in here about "more than \$150,000 was transferred from accounts by Anita and spent by Carole." If Nelva Brunsting said it was okay to transfer money over to Carole Brunsting, is there anything wrong with Anita carrying out that wish? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Anita Brunsting with trying to circumvent or subvert the intent of Elmer and Nelva Brunsting's testamentary desires? A. No. Q. Have you at any time attempted to subvert Elmer and Nelva Brunsting's testamentary desires in terms of helping Amy Brunsting? A. No. Q. Also in paragraph 11, page 6 of Exhibit 13, there's a reference that through bullying and deception, the document was executed without regard to Nelva Brunsting's capacity. Do you have any evidence or are you aware of any facts that would indicate there was bullying and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) From your perspective, is that — would that exhibit be enforceable? A. Yes. Q. At the bottom of page 7, paragraph 13, Exhibit 13, there's a statement in here about "more than \$150,000 was transferred from accounts by Anita and spent by Carole." If Nelva Brunsting said it was okay to transfer money over to Carole Brunsting, is there anything wrong with Anita carrying out that wish? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. No. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Exhibit 13, page 8,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Anita Brunsting with trying to circumvent or subvert the intent of Elmer and Nelva Brunsting's testamentary desires? A. No. Q. Have you at any time attempted to subvert Elmer and Nelva Brunsting's testamentary desires in terms of helping Amy Brunsting? A. No. Q. Also in paragraph 11, page 6 of Exhibit 13, there's a reference that through bullying and deception, the document was executed without regard to Nelva Brunsting's capacity. Do you have any evidence or are you aware of any facts that would indicate there was bullying and deception going on in the June to August 2010 time	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) From your perspective, is that — would that exhibit be enforceable? A. Yes. Q. At the bottom of page 7, paragraph 13, Exhibit 13, there's a statement in here about "more than \$150,000 was transferred from accounts by Anita and spent by Carole." If Nelva Brunsting said it was okay to transfer money over to Carole Brunsting, is there anything wrong with Anita carrying out that wish? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. No. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Exhibit 13, page 8, paragraph 14, there is a reference — during the period
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Anita Brunsting with trying to circumvent or subvert the intent of Elmer and Nelva Brunsting's testamentary desires? A. No. Q. Have you at any time attempted to subvert Elmer and Nelva Brunsting's testamentary desires in terms of helping Amy Brunsting? A. No. Q. Also in paragraph 11, page 6 of Exhibit 13, there's a reference that through bullying and deception, the document was executed without regard to Nelva Brunsting's capacity. Do you have any evidence or are you aware of any facts that would indicate there was bullying and deception going on in the June to August 2010 time period?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) From your perspective, is that — would that exhibit be enforceable? A. Yes. Q. At the bottom of page 7, paragraph 13, Exhibit 13, there's a statement in here about "more than \$150,000 was transferred from accounts by Anita and spent by Carole." If Nelva Brunsting said it was okay to transfer money over to Carole Brunsting, is there anything wrong with Anita carrying out that wish? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. No. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Exhibit 13, page 8, paragraph 14, there is a reference — during the period in which Nelva was alive, there's a reference that Anita
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Anita Brunsting with trying to circumvent or subvert the intent of Elmer and Nelva Brunsting's testamentary desires? A. No. Q. Have you at any time attempted to subvert Elmer and Nelva Brunsting's testamentary desires in terms of helping Amy Brunsting? A. No. Q. Also in paragraph 11, page 6 of Exhibit 13, there's a reference that through bullying and deception, the document was executed without regard to Nelva Brunsting's capacity. Do you have any evidence or are you aware of any facts that would indicate there was bullying and deception going on in the June to August 2010 time period? A. I do not.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) From your perspective, is that — would that exhibit be enforceable? A. Yes. Q. At the bottom of page 7, paragraph 13, Exhibit 13, there's a statement in here about "more than \$150,000 was transferred from accounts by Anita and spent by Carole." If Nelva Brunsting said it was okay to transfer money over to Carole Brunsting, is there anything wrong with Anita carrying out that wish? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. No. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Exhibit 13, page 8, paragraph 14, there is a reference — during the period in which Nelva was alive, there's a reference that Anita transferred shares of stock from Nelva's survivor's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Anita Brunsting with trying to circumvent or subvert the intent of Elmer and Nelva Brunsting's testamentary desires? A. No. Q. Have you at any time attempted to subvert Elmer and Nelva Brunsting's testamentary desires in terms of helping Amy Brunsting? A. No. Q. Also in paragraph 11, page 6 of Exhibit 13, there's a reference that through bullying and deception, the document was executed without regard to Nelva Brunsting's capacity. Do you have any evidence or are you aware of any facts that would indicate there was bullying and deception going on in the June to August 2010 time period? A. I do not. Q. On page 7, at the top of page 7, Exhibit 13, it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) From your perspective, is that would that exhibit be enforceable? A. Yes. Q. At the bottom of page 7, paragraph 13, Exhibit 13, there's a statement in here about "more than \$150,000 was transferred from accounts by Anita and spent by Carole." If Nelva Brunsting said it was okay to transfer money over to Carole Brunsting, is there anything wrong with Anita carrying out that wish? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. No. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Exhibit 13, page 8, paragraph 14, there is a reference during the period in which Nelva was alive, there's a reference that Anita transferred shares of stock from Nelva's survivor's trust in May, June twice three times in June.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Anita Brunsting with trying to circumvent or subvert the intent of Elmer and Nelva Brunsting's testamentary desires? A. No. Q. Have you at any time attempted to subvert Elmer and Nelva Brunsting's testamentary desires in terms of helping Amy Brunsting? A. No. Q. Also in paragraph 11, page 6 of Exhibit 13, there's a reference that through bullying and deception, the document was executed without regard to Nelva Brunsting's capacity. Do you have any evidence or are you aware of any facts that would indicate there was bullying and deception going on in the June to August 2010 time period? A. I do not. Q. On page 7, at the top of page 7, Exhibit 13, it talks about that Nelva Brunsting's safe deposit box, to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) From your perspective, is that would that exhibit be enforceable? A. Yes. Q. At the bottom of page 7, paragraph 13, Exhibit 13, there's a statement in here about "more than \$150,000 was transferred from accounts by Anita and spent by Carole." If Nelva Brunsting said it was okay to transfer money over to Carole Brunsting, is there anything wrong with Anita carrying out that wish? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. No. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Exhibit 13, page 8, paragraph 14, there is a reference during the period in which Nelva was alive, there's a reference that Anita transferred shares of stock from Nelva's survivor's trust in May, June twice three times in June. Any transfers from the survivor's trust by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Anita Brunsting with trying to circumvent or subvert the intent of Elmer and Nelva Brunsting's testamentary desires? A. No. Q. Have you at any time attempted to subvert Elmer and Nelva Brunsting's testamentary desires in terms of helping Amy Brunsting? A. No. Q. Also in paragraph 11, page 6 of Exhibit 13, there's a reference that through bullying and deception, the document was executed without regard to Nelva Brunsting's capacity. Do you have any evidence or are you aware of any facts that would indicate there was bullying and deception going on in the June to August 2010 time period? A. I do not. Q. On page 7, at the top of page 7, Exhibit 13, it talks about that Nelva Brunsting's safe deposit box, to which Carl had access, was closed and a new one opened,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) From your perspective, is that would that exhibit be enforceable? A. Yes. Q. At the bottom of page 7, paragraph 13, Exhibit 13, there's a statement in here about "more than \$150,000 was transferred from accounts by Anita and spent by Carole." If Nelva Brunsting said it was okay to transfer money over to Carole Brunsting, is there anything wrong with Anita carrying out that wish? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. No. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Exhibit 13, page 8, paragraph 14, there is a reference during the period in which Nelva was alive, there's a reference that Anita transferred shares of stock from Nelva's survivor's trust in May, June twice three times in June. Any transfers from the survivor's trust by Anita to anyone, if that was with Nelva's knowledge and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Anita Brunsting with trying to circumvent or subvert the intent of Elmer and Nelva Brunsting's testamentary desires? A. No. Q. Have you at any time attempted to subvert Elmer and Nelva Brunsting's testamentary desires in terms of helping Amy Brunsting? A. No. Q. Also in paragraph 11, page 6 of Exhibit 13, there's a reference that through bullying and deception, the document was executed without regard to Nelva Brunsting's capacity. Do you have any evidence or are you aware of any facts that would indicate there was bullying and deception going on in the June to August 2010 time period? A. I do not. Q. On page 7, at the top of page 7, Exhibit 13, it talks about that Nelva Brunsting's safe deposit box, to which Carl had access, was closed and a new one opened, giving Anita Brunsting access.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) From your perspective, is that would that exhibit be enforceable? A. Yes. Q. At the bottom of page 7, paragraph 13, Exhibit 13, there's a statement in here about "more than \$150,000 was transferred from accounts by Anita and spent by Carole." If Nelva Brunsting said it was okay to transfer money over to Carole Brunsting, is there anything wrong with Anita carrying out that wish? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. No. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Exhibit 13, page 8, paragraph 14, there is a reference during the period in which Nelva was alive, there's a reference that Anita transferred shares of stock from Nelva's survivor's trust in May, June twice three times in June. Any transfers from the survivor's trust by Anita to anyone, if that was with Nelva's knowledge and consent, is there anything wrong with that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Anita Brunsting with trying to circumvent or subvert the intent of Elmer and Nelva Brunsting's testamentary desires? A. No. Q. Have you at any time attempted to subvert Elmer and Nelva Brunsting's testamentary desires in terms of helping Amy Brunsting? A. No. Q. Also in paragraph 11, page 6 of Exhibit 13, there's a reference that through bullying and deception, the document was executed without regard to Nelva Brunsting's capacity. Do you have any evidence or are you aware of any facts that would indicate there was bullying and deception going on in the June to August 2010 time period? A. I do not. Q. On page 7, at the top of page 7, Exhibit 13, it talks about that Nelva Brunsting's safe deposit box, to which Carl had access, was closed and a new one opened,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) From your perspective, is that would that exhibit be enforceable? A. Yes. Q. At the bottom of page 7, paragraph 13, Exhibit 13, there's a statement in here about "more than \$150,000 was transferred from accounts by Anita and spent by Carole." If Nelva Brunsting said it was okay to transfer money over to Carole Brunsting, is there anything wrong with Anita carrying out that wish? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. No. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Exhibit 13, page 8, paragraph 14, there is a reference during the period in which Nelva was alive, there's a reference that Anita transferred shares of stock from Nelva's survivor's trust in May, June twice three times in June. Any transfers from the survivor's trust by Anita to anyone, if that was with Nelva's knowledge and consent, is there anything wrong with that? A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Anita Brunsting with trying to circumvent or subvert the intent of Elmer and Nelva Brunsting's testamentary desires? A. No. Q. Have you at any time attempted to subvert Elmer and Nelva Brunsting's testamentary desires in terms of helping Amy Brunsting? A. No. Q. Also in paragraph 11, page 6 of Exhibit 13, there's a reference that through bullying and deception, the document was executed without regard to Nelva Brunsting's capacity. Do you have any evidence or are you aware of any facts that would indicate there was bullying and deception going on in the June to August 2010 time period? A. I do not. Q. On page 7, at the top of page 7, Exhibit 13, it talks about that Nelva Brunsting's safe deposit box, to which Carl had access, was closed and a new one opened, giving Anita Brunsting access. Is there anything wrong with that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) From your perspective, is that would that exhibit be enforceable? A. Yes. Q. At the bottom of page 7, paragraph 13, Exhibit 13, there's a statement in here about "more than \$150,000 was transferred from accounts by Anita and spent by Carole." If Nelva Brunsting said it was okay to transfer money over to Carole Brunsting, is there anything wrong with Anita carrying out that wish? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. No. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Exhibit 13, page 8, paragraph 14, there is a reference during the period in which Nelva was alive, there's a reference that Anita transferred shares of stock from Nelva's survivor's trust in May, June twice three times in June. Any transfers from the survivor's trust by Anita to anyone, if that was with Nelva's knowledge and consent, is there anything wrong with that?

17 (Pages 62 to 65)

713-650-1800

	66		68
1	Brunsting's consent, would that May 9th transfer from	1	A. No.
2	Anita from the survivor's trust be permissible?	2	Q. (By Mr. Mendel) There were other references to
3	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.	3	other transfers during the period in which Nelva
4	A. Yes.	4	Brunsting was alive and with regard to the survivor's
5	Q. (By Mr. Mendel) With regard to the June 13th,	5	trust.
6	2011 transfer from the survivor's trust, if that was	6	So just to be clear, if Nelva Brunsting,
7	with Nelva's knowledge and consent, would that be	7	with knowledge and consent, said it was okay to make a
8	permissible?	8	transfer out of the survivor's trust to either Anita,
9	A. Yes.	9	Amy, to Carole or even Candy, and exclude Carl, is there
10	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.	10	anything wrong with that?
11	Q. (By Mr. Mendel) In either of those two cases,	11	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.
12	would it be a breach of fiduciary duty to make a	12	A. No.
13	transfer that was with the knowledge and consent of	13	Q. (By Mr. Mendel) In paragraph 15, page 8,
14	Nelva Brunsting?	14	Exhibit 13, there's a reference about trust assets: "It
15	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.	15	is believed that trust assets were used to hire
16	A. I don't believe it would be, no.	16	investigators to follow Carl's wife."
17	Q. (By Mr. Mendel) On June 15th there's a	17	Are you aware of anything regarding that
18	complaint about Anita makes a transfer of shares from	18	allegation?
19	Nelva's survivor's trust to Candy Curtis.	19	A. I have heard the allegation. I am not aware if
20	If that was done with Nelva Brunsting's	20	that occurred or did not occur.
21	knowledge and consent, would there be anything wrong	21	Q. And what is your understanding of the
22	with that?	22	allegation?
23	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.	23	A. That the allegation was made. But there are a
24	A. No.	24	lot of allegations that are made throughout these
25	Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Would that be a breach of	25	documents, so
	67		69
		l .	
1	fiduciary duty?	1	Q. So other than someone said it or wrote it, you
2	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.	2	Q. So other than someone said it or wrote it, you don't have any other information?
2 3	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. No.	2 3	Q. So other than someone said it or wrote it, you don't have any other information?A. I do not.
2 3 4	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. No. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Further down in paragraph 14	2 3 4	 Q. So other than someone said it or wrote it, you don't have any other information? A. I do not. Q. And other than someone saying or writing that
2 3 4 5	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. No. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Further down in paragraph 14 it makes reference that "no shares were transferred to	2 3 4 5	 Q. So other than someone said it or wrote it, you don't have any other information? A. I do not. Q. And other than someone saying or writing that there might have been a GPS tracking device, do you know
2 3 4 5 6	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. No. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Further down in paragraph 14 it makes reference that "no shares were transferred to Carl despite Anita's knowledge of Carl's serious health	2 3 4 5 6	 Q. So other than someone said it or wrote it, you don't have any other information? A. I do not. Q. And other than someone saying or writing that there might have been a GPS tracking device, do you know anything else about the GPS tracking device that's
2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. No. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Further down in paragraph 14 it makes reference that "no shares were transferred to Carl despite Anita's knowledge of Carl's serious health crisis and large medical expenses."	2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. So other than someone said it or wrote it, you don't have any other information? A. I do not. Q. And other than someone saying or writing that there might have been a GPS tracking device, do you know anything else about the GPS tracking device that's referenced in that last sentence of paragraph 15,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. No. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Further down in paragraph 14 it makes reference that "no shares were transferred to Carl despite Anita's knowledge of Carl's serious health crisis and large medical expenses." If Nelva Brunsting doesn't want Carl to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. So other than someone said it or wrote it, you don't have any other information? A. I do not. Q. And other than someone saying or writing that there might have been a GPS tracking device, do you know anything else about the GPS tracking device that's referenced in that last sentence of paragraph 15, page 8, Exhibit 13?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. No. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Further down in paragraph 14 it makes reference that "no shares were transferred to Carl despite Anita's knowledge of Carl's serious health crisis and large medical expenses." If Nelva Brunsting doesn't want Carl to get any shares, is it okay for Anita to not make any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. So other than someone said it or wrote it, you don't have any other information? A. I do not. Q. And other than someone saying or writing that there might have been a GPS tracking device, do you know anything else about the GPS tracking device that's referenced in that last sentence of paragraph 15, page 8, Exhibit 13? A. I do not.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. No. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Further down in paragraph 14 it makes reference that "no shares were transferred to Carl despite Anita's knowledge of Carl's serious health crisis and large medical expenses." If Nelva Brunsting doesn't want Carl to get any shares, is it okay for Anita to not make any transfer of shares?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. So other than someone said it or wrote it, you don't have any other information? A. I do not. Q. And other than someone saying or writing that there might have been a GPS tracking device, do you know anything else about the GPS tracking device that's referenced in that last sentence of paragraph 15, page 8, Exhibit 13? A. I do not. Q. On Exhibit 13, page 9, paragraph 17, there's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. No. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Further down in paragraph 14 it makes reference that "no shares were transferred to Carl despite Anita's knowledge of Carl's serious health crisis and large medical expenses." If Nelva Brunsting doesn't want Carl to get any shares, is it okay for Anita to not make any transfer of shares? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. So other than someone said it or wrote it, you don't have any other information? A. I do not. Q. And other than someone saying or writing that there might have been a GPS tracking device, do you know anything else about the GPS tracking device that's referenced in that last sentence of paragraph 15, page 8, Exhibit 13? A. I do not. Q. On Exhibit 13, page 9, paragraph 17, there's this allegation that the remaining assets by the time
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. No. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Further down in paragraph 14 it makes reference that "no shares were transferred to Carl despite Anita's knowledge of Carl's serious health crisis and large medical expenses." If Nelva Brunsting doesn't want Carl to get any shares, is it okay for Anita to not make any transfer of shares? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. So other than someone said it or wrote it, you don't have any other information? A. I do not. Q. And other than someone saying or writing that there might have been a GPS tracking device, do you know anything else about the GPS tracking device that's referenced in that last sentence of paragraph 15, page 8, Exhibit 13? A. I do not. Q. On Exhibit 13, page 9, paragraph 17, there's this allegation that the remaining assets by the time of Nelva Brunsting's death, the remaining assets had
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. No. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Further down in paragraph 14 it makes reference that "no shares were transferred to Carl despite Anita's knowledge of Carl's serious health crisis and large medical expenses." If Nelva Brunsting doesn't want Carl to get any shares, is it okay for Anita to not make any transfer of shares? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Yes. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Then there's a complaint in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. So other than someone said it or wrote it, you don't have any other information? A. I do not. Q. And other than someone saying or writing that there might have been a GPS tracking device, do you know anything else about the GPS tracking device that's referenced in that last sentence of paragraph 15, page 8, Exhibit 13? A. I do not. Q. On Exhibit 13, page 9, paragraph 17, there's this allegation that the remaining assets by the time of Nelva Brunsting's death, the remaining assets had already been plundered.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. No. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Further down in paragraph 14 it makes reference that "no shares were transferred to Carl despite Anita's knowledge of Carl's serious health crisis and large medical expenses." If Nelva Brunsting doesn't want Carl to get any shares, is it okay for Anita to not make any transfer of shares? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Yes. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Then there's a complaint in the last part of paragraph 14, page 8 of Exhibit 13,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. So other than someone said it or wrote it, you don't have any other information? A. I do not. Q. And other than someone saying or writing that there might have been a GPS tracking device, do you know anything else about the GPS tracking device that's referenced in that last sentence of paragraph 15, page 8, Exhibit 13? A. I do not. Q. On Exhibit 13, page 9, paragraph 17, there's this allegation that the remaining assets by the time of Nelva Brunsting's death, the remaining assets had already been plundered. Do you have any knowledge of any facts
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. No. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Further down in paragraph 14 it makes reference that "no shares were transferred to Carl despite Anita's knowledge of Carl's serious health crisis and large medical expenses." If Nelva Brunsting doesn't want Carl to get any shares, is it okay for Anita to not make any transfer of shares? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Yes. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Then there's a complaint in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. So other than someone said it or wrote it, you don't have any other information? A. I do not. Q. And other than someone saying or writing that there might have been a GPS tracking device, do you know anything else about the GPS tracking device that's referenced in that last sentence of paragraph 15, page 8, Exhibit 13? A. I do not. Q. On Exhibit 13, page 9, paragraph 17, there's this allegation that the remaining assets by the time of Nelva Brunsting's death, the remaining assets had already been plundered.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. No. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Further down in paragraph 14 it makes reference that "no shares were transferred to Carl despite Anita's knowledge of Carl's serious health crisis and large medical expenses." If Nelva Brunsting doesn't want Carl to get any shares, is it okay for Anita to not make any transfer of shares? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Yes. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Then there's a complaint in the last part of paragraph 14, page 8 of Exhibit 13, that Carl's family was not even informed of the transfers until after the death.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. So other than someone said it or wrote it, you don't have any other information? A. I do not. Q. And other than someone saying or writing that there might have been a GPS tracking device, do you know anything else about the GPS tracking device that's referenced in that last sentence of paragraph 15, page 8, Exhibit 13? A. I do not. Q. On Exhibit 13, page 9, paragraph 17, there's this allegation that the remaining assets by the time of Nelva Brunsting's death, the remaining assets had already been plundered. Do you have any knowledge of any facts from any person that would suggest that assets had been
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. No. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Further down in paragraph 14 it makes reference that "no shares were transferred to Carl despite Anita's knowledge of Carl's serious health crisis and large medical expenses." If Nelva Brunsting doesn't want Carl to get any shares, is it okay for Anita to not make any transfer of shares? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Yes. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Then there's a complaint in the last part of paragraph 14, page 8 of Exhibit 13, that Carl's family was not even informed of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. So other than someone said it or wrote it, you don't have any other information? A. I do not. Q. And other than someone saying or writing that there might have been a GPS tracking device, do you know anything else about the GPS tracking device that's referenced in that last sentence of paragraph 15, page 8, Exhibit 13? A. I do not. Q. On Exhibit 13, page 9, paragraph 17, there's this allegation that the remaining assets by the time of Nelva Brunsting's death, the remaining assets had already been plundered. Do you have any knowledge of any facts from any person that would suggest that assets had been plundered?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. No. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Further down in paragraph 14 it makes reference that "no shares were transferred to Carl despite Anita's knowledge of Carl's serious health crisis and large medical expenses." If Nelva Brunsting doesn't want Carl to get any shares, is it okay for Anita to not make any transfer of shares? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Yes. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Then there's a complaint in the last part of paragraph 14, page 8 of Exhibit 13, that Carl's family was not even informed of the transfers until after the death. Was anyone obligated to tell Carl when	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. So other than someone said it or wrote it, you don't have any other information? A. I do not. Q. And other than someone saying or writing that there might have been a GPS tracking device, do you know anything else about the GPS tracking device that's referenced in that last sentence of paragraph 15, page 8, Exhibit 13? A. I do not. Q. On Exhibit 13, page 9, paragraph 17, there's this allegation that the remaining assets by the time of Nelva Brunsting's death, the remaining assets had already been plundered. Do you have any knowledge of any facts from any person that would suggest that assets had been plundered? A. Other than what is alleged, no. I have no
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. No. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Further down in paragraph 14 it makes reference that "no shares were transferred to Carl despite Anita's knowledge of Carl's serious health crisis and large medical expenses." If Nelva Brunsting doesn't want Carl to get any shares, is it okay for Anita to not make any transfer of shares? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Yes. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Then there's a complaint in the last part of paragraph 14, page 8 of Exhibit 13, that Carl's family was not even informed of the transfers until after the death. Was anyone obligated to tell Carl when anything happened inside of this trust?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. So other than someone said it or wrote it, you don't have any other information? A. I do not. Q. And other than someone saying or writing that there might have been a GPS tracking device, do you know anything else about the GPS tracking device that's referenced in that last sentence of paragraph 15, page 8, Exhibit 13? A. I do not. Q. On Exhibit 13, page 9, paragraph 17, there's this allegation that the remaining assets by the time of Nelva Brunsting's death, the remaining assets had already been plundered. Do you have any knowledge of any facts from any person that would suggest that assets had been plundered? A. Other than what is alleged, no. I have no personal knowledge of any plundering of assets.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. No. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Further down in paragraph 14 it makes reference that "no shares were transferred to Carl despite Anita's knowledge of Carl's serious health crisis and large medical expenses." If Nelva Brunsting doesn't want Carl to get any shares, is it okay for Anita to not make any transfer of shares? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Yes. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Then there's a complaint in the last part of paragraph 14, page 8 of Exhibit 13, that Carl's family was not even informed of the transfers until after the death. Was anyone obligated to tell Carl when anything happened inside of this trust? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. So other than someone said it or wrote it, you don't have any other information? A. I do not. Q. And other than someone saying or writing that there might have been a GPS tracking device, do you know anything else about the GPS tracking device that's referenced in that last sentence of paragraph 15, page 8, Exhibit 13? A. I do not. Q. On Exhibit 13, page 9, paragraph 17, there's this allegation that the remaining assets by the time of Nelva Brunsting's death, the remaining assets had already been plundered. Do you have any knowledge of any facts from any person that would suggest that assets had been plundered? A. Other than what is alleged, no. I have no personal knowledge of any plundering of assets. Q. Alleging it doesn't make it so?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. No. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Further down in paragraph 14 it makes reference that "no shares were transferred to Carl despite Anita's knowledge of Carl's serious health crisis and large medical expenses." If Nelva Brunsting doesn't want Carl to get any shares, is it okay for Anita to not make any transfer of shares? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Yes. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Then there's a complaint in the last part of paragraph 14, page 8 of Exhibit 13, that Carl's family was not even informed of the transfers until after the death. Was anyone obligated to tell Carl when anything happened inside of this trust? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Obligated or recommended?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. So other than someone said it or wrote it, you don't have any other information? A. I do not. Q. And other than someone saying or writing that there might have been a GPS tracking device, do you know anything else about the GPS tracking device that's referenced in that last sentence of paragraph 15, page 8, Exhibit 13? A. I do not. Q. On Exhibit 13, page 9, paragraph 17, there's this allegation that the remaining assets by the time of Nelva Brunsting's death, the remaining assets had already been plundered. Do you have any knowledge of any facts from any person that would suggest that assets had been plundered? A. Other than what is alleged, no. I have no personal knowledge of any plundering of assets. Q. Alleging it doesn't make it so? A. That's correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. No. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Further down in paragraph 14 it makes reference that "no shares were transferred to Carl despite Anita's knowledge of Carl's serious health crisis and large medical expenses." If Nelva Brunsting doesn't want Carl to get any shares, is it okay for Anita to not make any transfer of shares? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Yes. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Then there's a complaint in the last part of paragraph 14, page 8 of Exhibit 13, that Carl's family was not even informed of the transfers until after the death. Was anyone obligated to tell Carl when anything happened inside of this trust? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Obligated or recommended? Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Was there a duty to advise	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. So other than someone said it or wrote it, you don't have any other information? A. I do not. Q. And other than someone saying or writing that there might have been a GPS tracking device, do you know anything else about the GPS tracking device that's referenced in that last sentence of paragraph 15, page 8, Exhibit 13? A. I do not. Q. On Exhibit 13, page 9, paragraph 17, there's this allegation that the remaining assets by the time of Nelva Brunsting's death, the remaining assets had already been plundered. Do you have any knowledge of any facts from any person that would suggest that assets had been plundered? A. Other than what is alleged, no. I have no personal knowledge of any plundering of assets. Q. Alleging it doesn't make it so? A. That's correct. Q. Also with Exhibit 13, page 9, paragraph 17,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. No. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Further down in paragraph 14 it makes reference that "no shares were transferred to Carl despite Anita's knowledge of Carl's serious health crisis and large medical expenses." If Nelva Brunsting doesn't want Carl to get any shares, is it okay for Anita to not make any transfer of shares? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Yes. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Then there's a complaint in the last part of paragraph 14, page 8 of Exhibit 13, that Carl's family was not even informed of the transfers until after the death. Was anyone obligated to tell Carl when anything happened inside of this trust? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Obligated or recommended? Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Was there a duty to advise Carl and his family every time there was a stock	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. So other than someone said it or wrote it, you don't have any other information? A. I do not. Q. And other than someone saying or writing that there might have been a GPS tracking device, do you know anything else about the GPS tracking device that's referenced in that last sentence of paragraph 15, page 8, Exhibit 13? A. I do not. Q. On Exhibit 13, page 9, paragraph 17, there's this allegation that the remaining assets by the time of Nelva Brunsting's death, the remaining assets had already been plundered. Do you have any knowledge of any facts from any person that would suggest that assets had been plundered? A. Other than what is alleged, no. I have no personal knowledge of any plundering of assets. Q. Alleging it doesn't make it so? A. That's correct. Q. Also with Exhibit 13, page 9, paragraph 17, there's an allegation that "no effort was made to value,

18 (Pages 66 to 69)

72 70 or even discussed with Elmer Brunsting, are you aware of little bit earlier, I understood you to say you could 2 2 expect to spend 15 months going through that process. any documents that Elmer Brunsting's signature was 3 Would that be a fair statement? 3 forged? 4 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. No. 5 5 Q. On page 11, Exhibit 13, paragraph 26, does the A. Sure. 6 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) And that if someone initiated mere existence of a familial relationship create some 7 litigation in or about February of 2012 -- my sort of a fiduciary obligation between siblings? 8 8 recollection was you said it would grind all of this to MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 9 9 A. No. a halt. 10 10 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Let's go over to page 12, A. It would. 11 11 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. Exhibit 13, still on paragraph 26. There's a series of 12 12 subparagraphs. Subparagraph A talks about "failing to Q. (By Mr. Mendel) So to the extent that someone 13 13 may have initiated litigation, and in particular keep and provide clear, regular, accurate and complete 14 14 Candy Curtis initiating litigation, that impairs what accountings of assets." 15 15 the co-trustees need or are trying to do, does that mean Is the dissemination of account statements 16 16 that they, the co-trustees, breached some fiduciary for Exxon stock and Chevron stock produced on a monthly 17 duty? 17 basis or if they are issued on quarterly basis -- would 18 18 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. you agree that the production of those statements is an 19 19 A. I'm not sure I know how to answer that. acceptable accounting practice? 20 20 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Hard to do your job when MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 21 21 A. Just those statements or as part of an overall? people interfere? 22 A. Well, I would agree with that, absolutely. 22 O. (By Mr. Mendel) As part of an overall 23 23 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. disclosure of information regarding assets and 24 24 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) There's a reference to -- in liabilities. 25 25 Exhibit 13, page 9, to the in terrorem clause, what some MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 71 73 1 1 people call the no-contest clause. I'm going to come A. I would agree that that's acceptable. 2 back to that in a few minutes. So I don't want you to Q. (By Mr. Mendel) And if the trustees or 3 3 co-trustees provided evidence of checks that were think I'm skipping it. 4 4 On page 10 of Exhibit 13, paragraph 20, written with regard to the accounts, would that be part 5 5 there's this suggestion that Elmer purportedly signed of an acceptable accounting process in the context of an 6 6 some documents. overall accounting? 7 7 Are you aware of any facts that would A. Yes. 8 8 suggest that Elmer Brunsting did not sign any of the MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 9 instruments that were prepared and/or being held by the 9 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) And if the co-trustees 10 Vacek law firm? 10 produced tax returns in addition to showing checks and 11 11 A. Okay. First, I'm not sure where you're at, in addition to producing these statements of all of 12 12 these various stock accounts, would that be an what you're looking at that states that. 13 13 Q. I'm sorry. Are you on page 10? acceptable accounting process? 14 A. I am on page 10. 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. Page 10, paragraph 20, second line of 15 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 16 16 paragraph 20 talks about --Q. (By Mr. Mendel) And with regard to 17 17 A. Okay. paragraph B, the production of tax returns and showing 18 18 Q. -- seeking declaratory relief construing some checks and producing brokerage statements or some 19 19 various documents signed or purportedly signed by Elmer sort of stock statements over a period of several years, 20 20 and Nelva Brunsting. if you knew that somebody was producing those kinds of 21 21 Do you see that? accounting records, would you say that the co-trustee is 22 22 A. I do see that now. Thank you. resisting an accounting? 23 Q. So we've already talked about the execution by 23 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 24 Nelva. From your perspective, based on your review of 24 A. I would not. 25 the file and anything that you may have seen in the file 25 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Approximately when did you

19 (Pages 70 to 73)

74 76 conflicted with the trust and the beneficiaries that are stop providing advice on the administration of the 1 2 2 trust? the subject matter of this dispute? 3 A. I believe it was shortly after the lawsuit was 3 A. No. filed and they were referred out to litigation counsel. 4 Q. And are you aware of any facts or evidence that 5 5 would indicate that Anita, Amy and Carole Brunsting --Q. When you say "the lawsuit," are we talking 6 6 well. I'll withdraw that. It's covered in the earlier about the initial lawsuit that was filed in February of 7 question. 2012 by Candace Curtis? 8 8 A. I believe that's correct. I conferred with Moving on to subparagraph H, still 9 9 Exhibit 13, page 12. Are you aware of any facts or litigation counsel, but ... 10 10 evidence that would indicate that Anita or Amy Brunsting Q. Well, during the period that you were providing 11 11 assistance or the Vacek firm was providing assistance, failed to be loval to the family? 12 12 are you aware of any facts that would suggest that the A. I don't know what "loyal to the family" means. 13 13 co-trustees failed to preserve property? Sorry. 14 14 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. Q. Are you aware of any facts that would indicate 15 15 that -- still on subparagraph H. Are you aware of any A. I am not personally aware, no. 16 16 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) And are you aware of any facts facts or evidence that would indicate that the 17 that would suggest that the co-trustees failed to 17 co-trustees failed to take actions based upon the 18 prevent alleged losses of property? 18 interest of Nelva Brunsting? 19 19 A. I am not aware of that personally. 20 20 Q. Are you aware of any losses of property? Q. Failed to take actions upon the interest of 21 21 A. You mean other than right now? Carl Brunsting? 22 22 Q. Well, when you say "right now," what do you A. No. 23 23 mean? Q. Failed to take actions upon the interest of the 24 24 A. Well, I'm -- no. I am not aware at that time trust? 25 25 that there was any losses. A. No. 75 77 1 1 Q. Paragraph E, are you aware of any facts or Q. Subparagraph I, are you aware of any facts or 2 2 evidence that would indicate the co-trustees conveyed evidence that would indicate that the co-trustees failed 3 3 property in ways that were detrimental and in violation to deal impartially, fairly and equally with Nelva 4 4 of their obligations? Brunsting? 5 5 A. I am not personally aware of that, no. A. No. 6 6 Q. Subparagraph F, are you aware of any facts or Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that 7 7 evidence that indicates that the co-trustees entered would indicate that the co-trustees failed to deal 8 8 into transactions which were not in the best interests impartially, fairly and equally with Carl Brunsting? 9 9 of persons and trusts to whom they owed fiduciary A. No. 10 obligations? 10 Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that 11 A. I personally am not aware, no. 11 would indicate that the co-trustees failed to deal 12 12 Q. Well, when you say you're personally not aware, impartially, fairly and equally with the trust? 13 13 are you aware of anyone else that would know anything? A. No. 14 A. I'm not. 14 O. Subparagraph J, are you aware of any facts or 15 15 evidence that would indicate that the co-trustees failed Q. Subparagraph G, are you aware of any facts or 16 16 evidence that would indicate that Anita, Amy and Carole to prevent transfers of assets? 17 17 Brunstings' interest conflicted with those of their A. No. 18 18 Q. Failed to prevent gifts of assets? parents? 19 19 A. No. 20 20 O. Failed to remove assets? Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that 21 21 would indicate that Anita, Amy and Carole's interests A. No. 22 22 conflicted with those of their brother, Carl Brunsting? Q. Subparagraph K talks about failing to make 23 23 appropriate and equal distributions. A No 24 Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that 24 A. "Appropriate" is subjective. 25 would indicate that Anita, Amy and Carole's interests 25 Q. Is equal required under the trust documents?

20 (Pages 74 to 77)

78 80 A. It is not. 1 A. I am not personally aware, no. And I'm not 2 2 Q. So if it's not required to make an equal aware of anybody else. MS. BAYLESS: I'm sorry. I didn't hear 3 distribution, then one couldn't violate this allegation 3 regarding equal distributions? 4 the end. 5 5 A. Well, I think the time frame you have to --THE WITNESS: And I'm not aware of anybody 6 6 once Ms. Brunsting died, then I think things were set in else. 7 stone as to whether they were equal or not equal. But Q. (By Mr. Mendel) So, you know, my questions 8 8 prior to her death, no. with regard to paragraph 26 of Exhibit 13, going through 9 Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence -- I'm these subparagraphs, talked about co-trustees, plural. 10 10 now down to subparagraph 11 -- I mean L, L. A. Uh-huh. 11 11 Are you aware of any facts or evidence O. But with regard to these subparts, did either 12 12 that would indicate that the co-trustees failed to co-trustee, not just jointly but individually, from your 13 13 adequately inform the beneficiaries about assets? perspective, engage in misconduct? 14 A. I'm not. 14 A. Not that I am aware. 15 15 Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that Q. Okay. So Exhibit 13, page 13, paragraph 29, 16 16 would indicate the co-trustees failed to adequately Carl Brunsting claims that he owned, possessed or had 17 inform the beneficiaries about transactions? 17 the right of possession of certain personal property, 18 18 A. I'm not. including stock, accounts at financial institutions, 19 19 Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that contents of a safe deposit box, and saving bonds over 20 20 would indicate that the co-trustees failed to adequately which defendants wrongfully exercised dominion and 21 21 inform the beneficiaries of their rights? control. 22 22 A. I am not. Are you aware of any personal property 23 23 Q. We'll go to Exhibit 13, page 13, that either co-trustee allegedly deprived him of? 24 24 subparagraph M. Are you aware of any facts or evidence A. Of Carl's property? that would indicate that the co-trustees misrepresented 25 Q. Carl's personal property. 79 81 1 or allowed misrepresentations regarding assets? A. No. 2 2 A. I am not. Q. Are you aware of any stock that Carl owned that 3 Q. Regarding transactions? 3 he was deprived of by either of the co-trustees? 4 4 A. No. A. I am not. 5 5 Q. Regarding the beneficiaries' rights? Q. Are you aware of any financial account or any 6 6 A. No. accounts at financial institutions that either 7 7 Q. Subparagraph N, are you aware of any facts or co-trustee deprived him of? 8 8 evidence that would indicate that the co-trustees failed A. No. 9 to prevent transactions that were allegedly detrimental 9 Q. Are you aware of any contents of a safe deposit 10 to family members? 10 box that either co-trustee allegedly exercised wrongful 11 11 A. No. dominion or control? 12 12 A. No. Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that 13 13 would indicate that the co-trustees failed to prevent Q. Are you aware of any exercise of wrongful 14 transactions that were allegedly detrimental to the 14 dominion and control by either co-trustee over any 15 15 trust? assets? 16 16 A. No. A. Could you repeat the question? I'm sorry. 17 17 Q. Subparagraph O, are you aware of any facts or Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that 18 18 evidence that would indicate that the co-trustees would indicate that either co-trustee exercised wrongful 19 19 allowed the payment of inappropriate amounts from assets dominion and control over any assets? 20 20 they purportedly held as fiduciaries? A. No. 21 21 A. I am not. Q. I'm still on Exhibit 13. We're now up to 22 22 Q. Subparagraph P, are you aware of any facts or page 15, or that's where I want to go to next. 23 evidence that would indicate that the co-trustees failed 23 On paragraph 34 are you aware of any facts 24 to follow or otherwise enforce the terms of the trust 24 or evidence that either co-trustee made material, false 25 25 representations to Nelva Brunsting regarding action instruments?

21 (Pages 78 to 81)

713-650-1800

82 84 taken of Nelva Brunsting's assets? 1 A. Correct. 2 2 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 3 Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that 3 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) And she understood -- she, either co-trustee allegedly misled Nelva Brunsting about 4 Nelva Brunsting, understood what she was signing with 5 the impact of the QBDs on the trust plan? 5 regard to Exhibit 6, which was the August 2010 QBD? 6 A. I am not. MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 7 Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that A. Agree. 8 8 Nelva Brunsting relied on any representations, other Q. (By Mr. Mendel) And did Nelva Brunsting 9 9 than the advice and counsel of the Vacek & Freed firm. understand what she, Nelva Brunsting, was signing with 10 10 with regard to the estate and trust planning that was regard to her resignation as a trustee back in December 11 11 performed? of 2010? 12 12 A. Not that we -- the Vacek firm was not aware of. A. Yes. 13 13 Q. Exhibit 13, page 15, paragraph 36, are you MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 14 aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate that 14 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) And did she understand the 15 15 either co-trustee was engaged in some sort of a nature of how things would work with the appointment of 16 16 conspiracy against Carl Brunsting? successor trustees? 17 A. No. 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that 18 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 19 19 would indicate that either Carole Brunsting or Q. (By Mr. Mendel) I want to move over to 20 20 Candy Curtis were involved in some sort of a conspiracy Exhibit 15, which is Carl Brunsting's Second Supplement 21 21 against Carl Brunsting? to Plaintiff's First Amended Petition, another 22 22 A. No. allegation about a stock transfer from the survivor's 23 23 Q. Still on Exhibit 13, page 16, paragraph 38. trust during the period in which Nelva Brunsting was 24 24 There's the allegation that -- are you aware of any 25 facts or evidence that would indicate that either 25 If that transfer was made with Nelva 85 83 1 1 co-trustee took affirmative steps to deceive Nelva Brunsting's knowledge and consent, is there anything 2 2 Brunsting about the trust estate? wrong with that transfer? 3 3 A. No, I'm not. MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 4 4 Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that A. No. 5 5 would indicate that either co-trustee deceived or Q. (By Mr. Mendel) I want to move on to 6 6 attempted to deceive Carl Brunsting about the trust Exhibit 16, which is Carl Brunsting's Third Supplement 7 7 estate? to Plaintiff's First Amended Petition and Request for 8 8 A. No. Injunctive Relief. 9 9 Q. Also in paragraph 38 there is a reference There's this allegation that the 10 10 that -- and I'm paraphrasing -- that Nelva Brunsting defendants, plural -- which would be Anita Brunsting, 11 11 didn't understand what she was being asked to sign, why Amy Brunsting, Carole Brunsting and Candace Curtis --12 12 she was asked to sign it, what would happen if she wiretapped their mother. 13 13 signed it and the status of her assets. Are you aware of any facts or evidence 14 14 that would indicate that any of Carl's siblings I want to break that down into a couple of 15 15 categories. wiretapped their mother? 16 16 A. No. 17 17 Q. In terms of the QBD -- and as I understood your Q. Do you consider a message left or a recording 18 18 testimony earlier -- and you tell me if I'm right or on an answering device to be a wiretap? 19 19 wrong, but did Nelva Brunsting understand what she was MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 20 20 signing? A. Like a home answering machine? 21 21 A. Yes. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Yes, ma'am. 22 22 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. No. 23 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) And when I say "what she was 23 Q. In assuming that a tape on a home answering 24 signing," I'm talking about specifically Exhibit 5, 24 machine constitutes some sort of an intercept of a 25 which was one of the QBD instruments. 25 communication, if it was done with Nelva's equipment and

22 (Pages 82 to 85)

88 86 impliedly Nelva's knowledge and consent, anything wrong 1 greater than those of his mother or siblings. 2 2 Would that be a true statement? 3 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 3 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 4 A. Well, it's subjective. I mean, I'm not really 4 A. I am not aware of any words in the trust that 5 5 qualified to make that -- I see -- personally I see no state that. 6 6 problem with it, but ... Q. (By Mr. Mendel) In fact -- and you're welcome 7 to look at the trust. But as I look through the trust, Q. (By Mr. Mendel) I want to talk about --8 8 MR. MENDEL: We hit the hour. I thought it appears that a predominant theme of the trust is that 9 9 we'd keep going if everybody's up to that. Okay. the trustee is to exercise discretion with regard to 10 10 O. (By Mr. Mendel) Bear with me. I want to talk distributions. Would that be a fair statement? 11 11 a little bit about Carl Brunsting's -- he's got a motion MS. BAYLESS: Object to form. 12 12 for summary judgment, and I want to go through and talk A. Trustee of what trust? 13 13 about some of the issues that are raised by that motion. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Any trust. QBD. 14 A. There are mandatory distributions required One of the complaints, as I understand 14 15 15 that motion, is that stock distributions made from the under the decedent's trust, and the spouse is required 16 16 survivor's trust are improper because they were made at to receive the income. That's not discretionary. 17 Nelva Brunsting's direction rather than for her benefit. 17 Q. Well, with regard to the context of Carl 18 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 18 Brunsting, is it mandated that the trustee must make 19 19 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Is there really a difference distributions to Carl Brunsting? 20 20 between implementing with her, Nelva Brunsting's A. No. 21 21 knowledge and consent -- if she agrees that it should be O. As I understand the words of the trust, the 22 22 distributed straight to someone, does it really matter? trustee can make equal distributions. That's one 23 23 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. outcome? 24 24 A. Does what matter? A. Correct. 25 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Well, is it fair to say that 25 Q. Unequal distributions? 87 89 1 1 if Nelva Brunsting directs a transfer of an asset during A. Correct. 2 2 her life out of her survivor's trust, isn't that in some Q. No distributions? 3 way, shape or form for her benefit, as far as you know? 3 A. Correct, except the decedent's trust. 4 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 4 Q. With regard to income to the surviving spouse? 5 5 A. Well, I guess she could have the pleasure of A. That's correct. 6 6 O. So given those facts about discretion to make making the gift, I guess. I mean, I guess you could do 7 7 equal distributions, unequal distributions, no 8 8 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Then another way to look at it distributions, set aside the issue of income to spouse, 9 9 would be possibly, well, in lieu of making the gift over it would seem Carl Brunsting has no standing to 10 to Nelva so that she could turn around and make the gift 10 challenge those provisions? 11 11 over to one of the children, doesn't it just make sense MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 12 12 to make the gift straight to the end recipient? A. I would agree. 13 13 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) There's an allegation that no 14 14 distributions from the decedent's trust should occur A. Yes. 15 15 until there is an exhaustion of the survivor's trust. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) There's an allegation that 16 16 stock distributions, if they're going to be made, should And we can look at the language. I think it's 9-2. It 17 give some consideration to the beneficiary most in need 17 talks about the trustee. 18 18 of assistance. And in particular, Carl Brunsting is While it's preferred to exhaust the 19 19 complaining that given his encephalitis -- and I'm survivor's trust --20 paraphrasing my interpretation of what I think he's 20 A. That's correct. 21 21 saying, but --Q. - I interpret that language, and you tell me 22 22 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. if you disagree -- given that it's preferred, it's not 23 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) -- given his encephalitis and 23 mandatory? 24 his other health issues, he claims that the trustee is 24 A. That's correct. 25 mandated to make distributions to those with needs 25 Q. The motion for summary judgment also speaks to

23 (Pages 86 to 89)

92 90 1 that the QBD is not a valid exercise of the powers of contradiction because? 2 2 appointment. A. This is talking about the family trust. The 3 Would you agree or disagree with that? 3 disposition of each survivor's and decedent's trust and 4 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. how those are administered and handled are within those 5 5 A. I disagree. sections; and the survivor's trust allows amendment to 6 6 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Please share with us why you her share and a qualified beneficiary designation or 7 disagree. limited or general power of appointment, however you 8 8 A. Because the trust explicitly states that powers want to call it, for each one. 9 9 of appointment are granted to the surviving settlor and Q. And so the QBD --10 10 the initial settlor and that they should be in writing A. Uh-huh. 11 and in the form of a qualified beneficiary designation. 11 Q. -- in particular, Exhibit 6, executed in August 12 12 of 2010, is a valid and enforceable agreement? Q. And is that the process that you recommended to 13 13 Nelva Brunsting? 14 A. Yes, because Elmer had already predeceased. 14 Q. I want to talk about the -- well, let me ask 15 15 you one other thing. I want to talk about the Q. And from your perspective, that was all 16 16 properly followed? in terrorem, or the no contest, provision. 17 A. Necessary and properly, yes, if you're going to 17 A. Of the trust or the QBD? 18 18 Q. Both. make any beneficiary change. 19 19 Q. Now, there's the contention, as I understand A. Okay. 20 20 it, under the restated trust, which is Exhibit 2 -- and Q. You wrote the no-contest provisions for the 21 21 restated trust, which is Exhibit 2, and the QBD that's if you look at 3-1 -- 3-1, Exhibit 2, section B, in that 22 first paragraph it says, "When one of us dies, this 22 in large part the subject of this dispute, being 23 23 trust shall not be subject to amendment except by a Exhibit 6, right? 24 24 court of competent jurisdiction." A. Well, I personally did not write that because 25 25 A. I agree. the restatement was done before I even got to the firm. 91 93 1 1 Q. Then in the very next paragraph it talks about Q. I'm sorry. My apologies. The Vacek firm wrote 2 2 "each of us may provide for a different disposition of these things? 3 3 our share in the trust by using a qualified beneficiary A. Yes. 4 4 designation, as we define that term in this agreement, Q. And you put those provisions in there -- when 5 5 and the qualified beneficiary designation will be those provisions were put in there, do you consider them 6 6 considered an amendment to this trust as to that to be valid and enforceable? 7 7 Founder's share or interest alone," which seems to imply MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 8 8 the survivor? A. To the extent that they are allowable under the 9 A. Yes, because it says "our share in the trust." 9 law, ves. 10 10 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Okay. And your understanding Q. Okay. And so the fact that maybe one trust is 11 11 no longer subject to amendment, does that preclude an of the enforceability of in terrorem, or no-contest, 12 12 amendment -- this sentence in section B, on 3-1, does provisions, is what? 13 13 that preclude Nelva Brunsting from putting together a A. That they are a deterrent. And if somebody 14 qualified beneficiary designation? 14 brings a claim in good faith and it's found to be in 15 15 A. No. good faith, then it won't apply. But otherwise, it 16 16 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. could be enforced if you bring a suit in bad faith. 17 17 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) It's my understanding or from Q. Okay. Let's talk about 11-2. 18 18 A. Of? my reading of Carl Brunsting's pleadings and/or motion 19 19 for summary judgment that there may be some sort of a Q. Of Exhibit 2. 20 20 contradiction in Article III, section B, and then the MS. BAYLESS: Are you meaning page 11-2? 21 21 exercise of the power of appointment. MR. MENDEL: Yes.

24 (Pages 90 to 93)

A. Okav.

MS. BAYLESS: All right.

Q. (By Mr. Mendel) So Exhibit 2, page 11-2.

Q. At the very top it talks about instituting "a

22

23

24

25

A. I don't.

22

23

24

25

Do you see a contradiction?

MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.

Q. (By Mr. Mendel) And you don't see a

94 96 judicial proceeding to construe or contest this trust next paragraph, these directions, speaking to the 2 2 instrument." Do you see that? directions above, shall apply even if the judicial 3 3 A. Uh-huh. proceeding is in good faith and with probable cause. 4 Q. Would you agree that a declaratory judgment is 4 A. Yes. 5 5 Q. And so you would -- that wasn't added by an action to construe a trust instrument? 6 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. accident, right? 7 A. Would I agree that it's the only way? A. No. I'm sure it wasn't. 8 8 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Well, we're going to go Q. Those words were put in there intentionally? 9 9 through all of these. A. Correct. 10 10 A. Okav. Q. So it doesn't matter if someone brings 11 Q. But one way to be in conflict or to violate the 11 something in good faith. If it falls within these four 12 12 categories that we just spoke about, then they're in in terrorem clause is to initiate a proceeding to 13 13 construe or contest this trust instrument? violation, good faith or bad faith? 14 14 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. That's what it states, yes. 15 15 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Yes. I mean, according to what it says, yes. 16 16 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) And a second way, in addition Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Okay. And even some sort of 17 to initiating something that seeks the construction of 17 an interpretation or a challenge with regard to the 18 the trust instrument, is some sort of a claim in the 18 in terrorem, or no-contest, provision is a violation of 19 19 nature of reimbursement? the in terrorem provision? 20 20 A. Yes. That's what it says. A. That's what it says, yes. 21 21 Q. And a third way to be in violation of the MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 22 22 in terrorem, or no contest, provision, is to seek a Q. (By Mr. Mendel) And so just looking at the 23 23 constructive or resulting trust? restated trust --24 24 A. Yes. That's what it states. A. Exhibit 2. 25 25 Q. - Exhibit 2 from 2005 - I'm just going to run Q. And you would agree that if that occurred, 95 97 1 1 those would be violations of the in terrorem clause? through a series of -- we can go back and look at the 2 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. pleadings if necessary, if you think it's necessary. 3 3 A. They would be in violation of what it says But I'm just going to ask if some of these things that 4 here, yes. 4 have been alleged violate the --5 5 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) And another way to violate the A. The language in the trust? 6 6 in terrorem clause would be a proceeding that seeks to Q. The language in the trust. 7 7 enlarge a claimant's interest in the trust? A. Sorry. 8 8 A. Yes. Q. And let me ask you this, if you know. Based on 9 O. So one way to violate that would be if Nelva your practice or your understanding of the case law and 10 10 properly authorized during her lifetime gifts from the maybe the statutes, this language about even if brought 11 11 survivor's trust, that an effort to seek the return of in good faith, that's an enforceable provision? 12 12 those assets so as to increase the trust estate and MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 13 13 increase somebody's 20 percent share would be in A. That is not my understanding. 14 violation of the in terrorem clause, would it not? 14 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) And why not? 15 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 15 A. Because this was done in 2005, and case law has 16 16 probably modified that over time. A. I could see how you could construe it that way, 17 17 Q. You say "probably modified," so you don't yes. 18 18 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) You wouldn't disagree? really know? 19 19 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Most likely. I do not know. 20 20 A. No. A claim is a claim. O. Okay. So you're just surmising? 21 21 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Okay. And then the other A. Yes. 22 22 thing is that you spoke a moment ago about claims made O. But if it turns out the case law indicates that 23 in good faith? 23 good faith can be written as provided here, if that's 24 A. Correct. 24 still the law in some way, shape or form, then Carl 25 Q. This trust, however, specifically says in the 25 Brunsting may have violated the in terrorem clause?

25 (Pages 94 to 97)

	98		100
1	A. Sure.	1	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.
2	MS. BAYLESS: Objection.	2	A. Yeah, it could.
3	Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Candy Curtis may have violated	3	Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Seeking a receivership over
4	the in terrorem clause?	4	the trust would violate the in terrorem clause?
5	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.	5	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.
6	A. Yes.	6	A. Yes.
7	Q. (By Mr. Mendel) And so working from the	7	Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Allegations regarding
8	restated trust that has these four topics and has the	8	self-dealing from the survivor's trust while Nelva
9	specific language about even if brought in good faith	9	Brunsting was alive would violate the in terrorem
10	and with probable cause, Exhibit 13, 14, 15 and 16, if	10	clause?
11	Carl Brunsting brought some sort of a suit for	11	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.
12	declaratory judgment or to construe the trust, that	12	A. Yes.
13	would violate the in terrorem clause?	13	Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Allegations of a criminal
14	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.	14	wiretap would violate the in terrorem clause?
15	A. As it's stated here, yes.	15	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.
16	· •	16	· ·
17	Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Allegations with regard to	17	A. I'm not sure what that has to do with the
18	breach of fiduciary duty and conversion, those would	18	trust, but
19	violate the in terrorem clause?	19	Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Seeking injunctive relief,
20	A. They would.	20	would it violate the in terrorem clause?
20	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.	20 21	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.
	Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Allegations of negligence	1	A. Yes, as it's written here.
22	would violate the in terrorem clause.	22	Q. (By Mr. Mendel) With regard to Candy Curtis'
23	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.	23	claims, I'm going to suggest to you certain claims that
24	A. Yes.	24	I believe she's made; and I want to know if you believe
25	Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Allegations of tortious	25	that those claims violate the in terrorem clause as
1	interference, which I think was struck down by the Texas	1	written in Exhibit 2 on page 11-2
2	Supreme Court, would violate the in terrorem clause?	2	A. Okay.
3	A. Yes.	3	Q of the restated trust.
4	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.	4	Allegations regarding breach of fiduciary
5	Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Seeking a constructive trust	5	duty?
6	would violate the in terrorem clause?	6	A. Yes.
7	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.	7	Q. Allegations regarding extrinsic fraud?
8	A. Yes.	8	A. Yes.
9	Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Allegations of civil	9	Q. Allegations regarding constructive fraud?
10	conspiracy would violate the in terrorem clause?	10	A. Yes.
11	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.	11	Q. Allegations regarding intentional infliction of
12	A. Yes.	12	emotional distress?
13	Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Allegations of fraudulent	13	A. Yes.
14	concealment would violate the in terrorem clause?	14	Q. Allegations of money had and received?
15	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.	15	A. Yes.
16	A. Yes.	16	Q. Allegations of conversion?
17	Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Would allegations with regard	17	A. Uh-huh, yes.
18	to the removal of or the liability of the	18	Q. She also alleges allegations of tortious
19	beneficiaries violate the in terrorem clause?	19	interference with inheritance rights. Assuming that was
20	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.	20	even a valid claim, that would violate the in terrorem
21	A. I'm sorry. The liability of the beneficiaries?	21	clause?
22	Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Here, let me rephrase it.	22	A. Yes.
23	Let's jump to allegations regarding	23	Q. Seeking modifications of QBD would be a
24	removal of trustee. Seeking the removal of trustees	24	violation of the in terrorem clause?
25	would violate the in terrorem clause?	25	A. Yes.
1			

26 (Pages 98 to 101)

	102		104
1	Q. Allegations of unjust enrichment would be a	1	Paragraph 4, page 23 of Exhibit 6 talks about claims
2	violation of the in terrorem clause?	2	entitlement to or an interest in any asset alleged by
3	A. Yes.	3	the trustee to belong to the estate.
4	Q. Allegations of conspiracy would be a violation	4	Let me move to No. 7: "In any other
5	of the in terrorem clause?	5	manner contest this Trust or any amendment to it
6	A. Yes.	6	executed by the trustor."
7	Q. Any sort of request for a declaratory judgment	7	Based on your understanding of the
8	related to the trust would be a violation of the	8	pleadings, as put forth by Carl Brunsting, has he
9	in terrorem clause?	9	violated the in terrorem clause as set forth in the
10	A. Yes.	10	August 2010 QBD?
11	Q. I want to talk about Exhibit 6, August 2016,	11	A. Yes.
12	QBD. Go up to like page 23. Okay.	12	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.
13	Now, this is a slightly different	13	Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Based on your understanding of
14	A. Yes.	14	the claims that have been alleged by Candy Curtis, has
15	Q in terrorem clause?	15	she violated the no contest, or the in terrorem,
16	A. Yes	16	provisions in the August 2010 QBD?
17	Q. And there's no language, as I understand it,	17	A. Yes.
18	with regard to the QBD, that overrides or supersedes the	18	Q. And it's also your understanding, with regard
19	in terrorem clause in the 2005 restated trust.	19	to the trust, that fees and expenses incurred by lawyers
20	Would you agree with that?	20	in the defense of the trustees and defense of the
21	MS. BAYLESS: I'm sorry. Ask your	21	trust there are provisions to provide for
22	question again.	22	compensation to the lawyers. Is that true?
23	Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Is there any language in the	23	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.
24	August 2010 QBD in terrorem provisions that overrides	24	A. That is true.
25	the in terrorem provisions that are expressed in the	25	MR. MENDEL: I'm going to pass the
1 2	2005 Restated Trust? A. Not that I'm aware of.	1 2	witness.
3	Q. I would bring to your attention on page 23 item	3	MR. REED: My suggestion is we just take lunch.
4	No. 1, that an unsuccessful challenge to the appointment	4	
5	of a trustee or seeking to remove a trustee can be a	5	MR. MENDEL: Okay. MR. REED: Then whoever next can start up
6	violation of the QBD in terrorem clause?	6	fresh.
7	A. Yes, it would.	7	(Luncheon recess.)
8	Q. And under paragraph 2, as long as the trustee	8	Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Ms. Freed, I wanted to get a
9	acts in good faith, any sort of a challenge to the good	9	clarification. On some of the questions that I asked, I
10	faith of a trustee can be a violation of the QBD	10	think I phrased it in such a way as did Nelva Brunsting
11	in terrorem clause?	11	understand.
12	A. That's correct.	12	So short of her actually stating to you,
		13	yes, I understand, what I ultimately intend is, based on
13	() And then in paragraph 3 there is an objection		reas a universidados, vidas a unimaistas militaris 18 Daniai VII
13 14	Q. And then in paragraph 3, there is an objection to any construction or interpretation of this trust	14	
13 14 15	to any construction or interpretation of this trust	1	your interaction and based on your dealings with her,
14	to any construction or interpretation of this trust agreement or any amendment that is adopted or proposed	14	your interaction and based on your dealings with her, did you believe that she understood the nature of the
14 15	to any construction or interpretation of this trust agreement or any amendment that is adopted or proposed in good faith by the trustee would be a violation of the	14 15	your interaction and based on your dealings with her, did you believe that she understood the nature of the discussions that you were having?
14 15 16	to any construction or interpretation of this trust agreement or any amendment that is adopted or proposed in good faith by the trustee would be a violation of the in terrorem clause?	14 15 16	your interaction and based on your dealings with her, did you believe that she understood the nature of the discussions that you were having? A. Yes.
14 15 16 17	to any construction or interpretation of this trust agreement or any amendment that is adopted or proposed in good faith by the trustee would be a violation of the in terrorem clause? A. Yes.	14 15 16 17	your interaction and based on your dealings with her, did you believe that she understood the nature of the discussions that you were having? A. Yes. Q. That's all I've got.
14 15 16 17 18	to any construction or interpretation of this trust agreement or any amendment that is adopted or proposed in good faith by the trustee would be a violation of the in terrorem clause? A. Yes. Q. And you would agree, would you not or if you	14 15 16 17 18	your interaction and based on your dealings with her, did you believe that she understood the nature of the discussions that you were having? A. Yes. Q. That's all I've got. MR. MENDEL: Carole, she said it would be
14 15 16 17 18 19	to any construction or interpretation of this trust agreement or any amendment that is adopted or proposed in good faith by the trustee would be a violation of the in terrorem clause? A. Yes. Q. And you would agree, would you not or if you disagree, tell me actions for declaratory judgment	14 15 16 17 18 19	your interaction and based on your dealings with her, did you believe that she understood the nature of the discussions that you were having? A. Yes. Q. That's all I've got. MR. MENDEL: Carole, she said it would be better if we switched. So do you want to come sit over
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	to any construction or interpretation of this trust agreement or any amendment that is adopted or proposed in good faith by the trustee would be a violation of the in terrorem clause? A. Yes. Q. And you would agree, would you not or if you disagree, tell me actions for declaratory judgment seeking construction or construing the trust could be a	14 15 16 17 18 19 20	your interaction and based on your dealings with her, did you believe that she understood the nature of the discussions that you were having? A. Yes. Q. That's all I've got. MR. MENDEL: Carole, she said it would be better if we switched. So do you want to come sit over here?
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	to any construction or interpretation of this trust agreement or any amendment that is adopted or proposed in good faith by the trustee would be a violation of the in terrorem clause? A. Yes. Q. And you would agree, would you not or if you disagree, tell me actions for declaratory judgment seeking construction or construing the trust could be a violation of paragraph 3?	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	your interaction and based on your dealings with her, did you believe that she understood the nature of the discussions that you were having? A. Yes. Q. That's all I've got. MR. MENDEL: Carole, she said it would be better if we switched. So do you want to come sit over here? MS. CAROLE BRUNSTING: And I apologize in
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	to any construction or interpretation of this trust agreement or any amendment that is adopted or proposed in good faith by the trustee would be a violation of the in terrorem clause? A. Yes. Q. And you would agree, would you not or if you disagree, tell me actions for declaratory judgment seeking construction or construing the trust could be a violation of paragraph 3? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	your interaction and based on your dealings with her, did you believe that she understood the nature of the discussions that you were having? A. Yes. Q. That's all I've got. MR. MENDEL: Carole, she said it would be better if we switched. So do you want to come sit over here? MS. CAROLE BRUNSTING: And I apologize in advance because I'm pro se. If I tend to ramble, I'm
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	to any construction or interpretation of this trust agreement or any amendment that is adopted or proposed in good faith by the trustee would be a violation of the in terrorem clause? A. Yes. Q. And you would agree, would you not or if you disagree, tell me actions for declaratory judgment seeking construction or construing the trust could be a violation of paragraph 3?	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	your interaction and based on your dealings with her, did you believe that she understood the nature of the discussions that you were having? A. Yes. Q. That's all I've got. MR. MENDEL: Carole, she said it would be better if we switched. So do you want to come sit over here? MS. CAROLE BRUNSTING: And I apologize in

27 (Pages 102 to 105)

106 108 1 MS. BAYLESS: Speak up. A. Yeah. My firm, just for clarification, doesn't 2 2 **EXAMINATION** ever set up accounts. We just make recommendations to 3 QUESTIONS BY MS. CAROLE BRUNSTING: 3 clients on what type of accounts they should set up, and 4 Q. Just based on a couple of questions I had 4 then it's up to them to do it. 5 5 coming in here and then some of the things that we Q. Well, maybe Anita set it up, then; because like 6 6 talked about this morning, there's just a couple of --I said, all we did was go to the bank, sign some things 7 just a few questions that I would like to ask. and that was it. 8 8 The checking account that was set up for Do you recall when you explained -- or 9 9 my mother once she stopped writing checks off of the reached out to me to explain how this account was being 10 10 trust account, how did that come about? set up and my mother's involvement and how I was going 11 11 A. How did -to be involved with this account? 12 12 Q. Who made that decision that it would be a good A. I do not. 13 13 idea to set up that checking account for my mother so Q. Okay. Because it was a conversation, I mean, 14 all the household expenses would go through that and she 14 where I was told about this account; but because I would no longer be writing checks out of the trust? 15 15 tended to never be involved with anything having to do 16 16 A. As I recall, it was my recommendation that a with money with this family, I declined getting involved 17 checking account be set up so that your mom could still 17 with it in the beginning. And I told my mother that I 18 18 write checks to go get her hair done, to church, all didn't think it was a very good idea. 19 19 those things that she normally does, to pay her medical After a week or so, she called me -- and 20 bills because it was my understanding that you were the 20 Anita called me as well -- and said, Everything's going 21 21 agent under medical power of attorney. So you would to be fine. It's all -- there won't be any problems. 22 accompany her to the doctor and you were here in Houston 22 And, yet, I'm being sued over this. 23 23 So I just wanted to kind of clarify how and that needed to have something proper to do that. 24 24 Q. And then why was it set up as a right of the decision of -- where the recommendation came to set 25 survivor account? Who made that decision? 25 up this checking account. 107 109 1 A. It should have been a convenience account, was 1 A. The recommendation, as I recall, was a 2 2 the recommendation, with a payable-on-death to the convenience account in Nelva's name, with you as a 3 3 trust. convenience signer, with a payable-on-death to the 4 4 trust. That is our usual recommendation. Q. Okay. 5 5 A. That was the recommendation. Q. Well -- and the balance of it did go back to 6 6 Q. Somehow it was set up as a right of survivor the trust. I did not keep it. 7 7 account. You mentioned -- I think we talked about 8 8 A. In who? once my mother passed away, that they had -- Amy and 9 O. When I closed it out at the bank and asked for 9 Anita had 15 months to settle the trust and the assets 10 10 the final check, she said, This is a right-of-survivor and things like that. 11 account. You don't have to give this money back. 11 A. No. I don't believe that's what I said. I 12 12 I mean, I did; but I had nothing to do said that's a reasonable time. 13 13 with -- I just took my mother to the bank and we signed Q. Oh, okay. Okay. But within the first --14 14 within a month of my mother passing away, they put the some paperwork and that was my entire involvement in all 15 15 of this. house on the market. I was wondering where that 16 16 A. I don't know how it ended up as a right-ofdirection came from because I was trying to convey to 17 17 survivorship account. both of them that we really needed to have a discussion 18 18 Q. I didn't have anything to do with setting the amongst the five of us to figure out if maybe one of us 19 19 account up. That was all done, I'm assuming, by your wanted the house in lieu of something else because at 20 20 firm. that point no one was suing anybody. 21 21 A. No. But that was a discussion that they 22 22 O. I just drove my mother to the bank, and she refused to have. Because I work 2 miles from that 23 23 house, and it would have been so convenient for me, had signed -- actually I think they had to go out to the car 24 24 I given up maybe part of my trust in another area, to because she wasn't in a condition to go in. The people 25 25 retain the house. But they just completely ignored me, at the bank knew her.

28 (Pages 106 to 109)

put the house on the market and sold it.

So that direction wouldn't have come from you, then, to liquidate assets just as quickly as possible?

A. I don't recall making any kind of recommendation as to liquidation of assets.

Q. The other note I made was — I wasn't involved with anything having to do with the trust. I never had any fiduciary responsibilities to the trust because I was never — that wasn't my role. So I never got involved with the finances of what was in the trust and what was in the various accounts and things like that.

But as we went into 2011 -- and I wasn't aware of the gifting, necessarily the gifting going on and things like that. But I remember Anita telling me that my mother's side was running out of money. So I had to reduce the salaries of the caregivers -- because my mother had 24-hour caregivers. Then I picked up the Sundays where I was working for free just to help reduce cost.

I guess that was a concern, that I was -then when I found out about some of the gifting that
took place, could she not have converted some of the
stock into cash so that my mother could have continued
to have more cash in the account, or she wasn't allowed

mother knew her at the time, and she made a full recovery in six months.

I knew that Anita was extremely concerned at that point about the trust and what was going to happen because my brother was sick and then my mother was sick. But the only thing I heard my mother talk about was the possibility of my mother maybe setting up a medical trust for my brother, and maybe she would be the trustee until my brother recovered.

So then when I saw where Anita was starting to make a lot of phone calls and things like that — I had lunch with my mother pretty much on a regular basis because I only worked a few miles down the road, and I went over there most every day for lunch.

I just don't ever recall her having this sense of -- that my brother was going to -- that he was in any danger of passing away.

And just knowing my mother, my mother hated paperwork or making more of it. So I just couldn't see where she would just jump into the trust and want to make so many changes. So I did have a concern

And because Anita was so concerned about things with Carl and his life, red flags kept going up for me. So that's why I guess I was seeing some of the

to sell the stock at that time?

A. There's no prohibition to selling stock, but I was not involved with those decisions --

Q. Oh, okay.

A. -- so I do not know. I can't speak to it.

Q. Because early on I had a lot of concerns because when all of this happened -- my brother got sick and my mother got a cancer diagnosis almost the same week -- my mother was really focused on her -- the diagnosis of cancer.

When Carl got ill, my mother went to go see him. We all went to go see him in the hospital, and it appeared that he was going to recover just fine. They thought it was a stroke at the time. Unfortunately it did turn into encephalitis. But I just don't ever recall my mother ever expressing concern that my brother was in any danger of passing away.

I think there was maybe a 24-hour period where, because they didn't know what it was and things like that, we thought that he could be in danger. Because they hadn't diagnosed it at the time, so they didn't know what they were treating.

But then once they made the diagnosis, my mother was really optimistic that he was going to be okay because my dad's cousin had encephalitis. My other side of things that were going on that you may not have known anything about.

And my mother tended to be somebody that hated conflict, and so she a lot of times would just agree just to shut people up and try to go along with things.

But when it came to the gift that I got, it was something my mother spoke to me about because at the time we were thinking that there was a possibility that my brother may come to live with me. So we thought we needed to make the house ready for a wheelchair, things like that. What my mother talked about was just give me enough cash to where I could just make that happen quickly if the need arose.

Well, time went by and Anita called me and said that my mother was ready to make this gift. I was like okay.

But I told her, I said, I need to make sure every I is dotted, every T is crossed because I never want anybody to think that I got this under some kind of suspicious circumstances. I knew that at the time Anita seemed to be shopping for answers between you and our cousin in Iowa to try and find out, is this

okay, is this okay, is this okay?

That's why I told her I need to know

29 (Pages 110 to 113)

114 116

a hundred percent for sure that what money I get, that there's something in writing where my mother has said, I am giving this to Carole for this intended purpose; and it's notarized and everything is fine. I said, I need to know that because I know how this family can be.

She said, No, no, no, it's all taken care of. It's all fine, it's all fine.

But our mother didn't have to say in writing that she was okay with these gifts?

MR. REED: Objection, form. MR. SPIELMAN: Form.

A. There is no requirement in the trust that requires her to do that.

Q. (By Ms. Carole Brunsting) Because then what I found out also, that it came out of the decedent's trust -- I remember staying with my father because at the time, for some reason I remember that they would go to meetings with Vacek in Clear Lake City. I think that it was a different person, before you. I know that the person wasn't at the office where you were.

My father was explaining to me that the way he set it up was the decedent's trust, no one could take that money unless my mother ran out of money, because should my mother marry again, he wanted to make sure that the farm was protected for his children and

successful; and I don't really know what to do.

A. Are you asking me a question?

MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form.

Q. (By Ms. Carole Brunsting) Well, I don't know. I've gotten five different answers.

MR. REED: What I would say is I don't think she can give you any advice on that --

MS. CAROLE BRUNSTING: Oh, okay.

MR. REED: -- in this context. So I guess that would be the answer to what you're looking for.

Q. (By Ms. Carole Brunsting) Well, anyway, but I guess it was the two conversations that I had regarding money with Anita of please do not get me involved with something that is going to get me in trouble with the rest of the family.

Because I never asked, What is everybody else getting? I never asked that, because it was none of my business.

But the two things I got involved with I'm being sued for. So that's where I kind of thought that there would be something in writing saying that this checking account that my mother set up would be — this is how it came about or this was the intended purpose of this gift. And then when it didn't happen, I never spent it.

that you couldn't pull assets out of there. And I remember my father clearly explaining all that to me.

So when I found out that, number one, this wasn't cash; but, number two, it was stock out of my father's side, and I questioned it, and like, No, no. That's fine, it's fine.

The problem I was having with it — because I'm an accountant and I do a little bit — is this stock generates dividends. So, number one, I thought it would be foolish to cash it. But, number two, I didn't want a tax hit. And, number three, at the time, enough time had passed, and it wasn't given to me — I mean, the reason it was being given to me was no longer for its intended purpose. So I never felt right about accepting it because I no longer needed to modify my house.

So I kind of just ended up with it, and I still have it all. I have never cashed it in, ever. I kept trying to give it back, but I was told, No, no. Just hang on to it, hang on to it.

But now I don't know what's going to happen to it now that I have it, and I don't want to take some tax hit. I've tried to -- do I divide it five ways? I don't know what to do with it now because even though I've tried to give it back, I have never been

I just don't know what to do with this.
But also, too, it sounds like now there is nothing in writing or maybe it was never required that my mother — I thought she had to fill something out or agree to make a distribution like that because she was no longer the trustee. It was Anita that was. So I just assumed that my mother had to sign something and notarize it so I could show everybody that this is what she wanted. So I never had anything to back it up. So everybody decided that I was being bought off, and that's where it couldn't be further from the truth.

MR. REED: Form.

Q. (By Ms. Carole Brunsting) Okay.

MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form.

MS. CAROLE BRUNSTING: I'm pro se, so this is what you get.

MR. SPIELMAN: Move on.

Q. (By Ms. Carole Brunsting) Oh, the other thing, too, that was kind of a bit of a red flag when some of these changes took place was, when my mother would talk about the trust, she would say, I don't care what --

y'all can fight as much as you want, but Carl will always be trustee. He's the only boy. Your dad and I

always be trustee. He's the only boy. Your dad and I
 have agreed he will always be trustee. No matter what,

he will always be trustee.

30 (Pages 114 to 117)

118 120 1 So that was a bit of another red flag when **EXAMINATION** 2 2 I asked my mother, I said, Why would you have removed QUESTIONS BY MS. CANDACE CURTIS: 3 him? 3 Q. Okay. So I just want to kind of go over the 4 She said, Oh, no, don't worry. It's a 4 history of the trust from the very beginning just real 5 5 temporary thing. This is just temporary. quickly. 6 6 So that's why I really got the impression So in 1996 there was The Brunsting Family 7 maybe she didn't understand exactly what happened Living Trust; and Anita was the first trustee, Carl the 8 8 because it was her understanding that he was going to go second and Amy was third. The money was to be divided 9 9 back on there at some point, and she expected him to five ways and given to the beneficiaries, not in trust. 10 10 And if those three ended up not being able to serve, fully recover. 11 11 And also, I thought there was already a then Frost Bank would have taken over that position. 12 12 safety net in place that even if Carl couldn't serve, it I know because he told me that the reason 13 13 would just go to the next person; and then there was he chose those three is because they were the youngest 14 14 already something in place. I just honestly don't know and would probably be more likely to live longer than 15 15 that my mother would have thought through that hard or the older kids. 16 16 put that much thought into this document because, like I So we had the 1996 trust. At some point 17 17 said, she hated paperwork and hated all the -before 1999, Anita got divorced. And so my dad gave her 18 I mean, she -- the trust was really more 18 a hundred thousand dollars to pay her house off, and he 19 19 my dad's thing; it wasn't really hers. So that was filed a lien against her house. I don't know what 20 20 another bit of a red flag, that my mother was adamant happened to make him have to take the lien off; but he 21 21 about Carl always being the trustee. And she really went to Mr. Vacek and said that if there is a debt that 22 22 didn't seem to be that concerned about his health at the was forgiven by Anita Kay Riley -- if it was her debt 23 23 that was forgiven, it would come out of her share of the time 24 24 And also, too, I knew that there was a 25 question about Amy and Anita's own financial stability 25 So I have never seen the hundred thousand-119 121 1 1 at the time. So that was the other red flag. I'm an dollar accounts receivable anywhere in the accounting. 2 2 accountant, so this is what I do for a living. So We have my accounts receivable for \$20,000; and later on 3 3 when Anita borrowed shares of stock, we have that there's a lot of red flags for me here, and that was a red flag for me as well. 4 4 accounts receivable. 5 5 There wasn't anything I could do about it But what happened to that hundred 6 6 because I had no power to do anything about it. But thousand-dollar accounts receivable? Because it was a 7 7 there were red flags that I was really concerned about, trust asset which should have transferred within the 8 8 how all of a sudden we had all these large changes trust when the trust was restated. 9 9 taking place. And from the conversations I was having MR. SPIELMAN: Form. 10 10 MR. REED: Let me just ask you, What time with my mother, none of this ever really, really sat 11 11 well with me. And as a result, now I'm part of two period are you asking? I'm not familiar with it. 12 12 lawsuits. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Okay. So it was in 13 13 MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. 1999 that he gave her the money to pay her house off and 14 O. (By Ms. Carole Brunsting) So that's it. I'm 14 filed the lien. 15 15 done. MR. REED: Uh-huh. 16 16 MS. CANDACE CURTIS: And then it was in --MR. REED: Can we take a 30-second break 17 17 real quick? MR. MENDEL: Was this in Victoria? 18 18 MR. SPIELMAN: Sure. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Yes, it was in 19 19 (Recess taken.) Victoria, Texas. 20 20 MR. REED: The reason I'm asking as you're 21 21 looking is we were only asked to produce documents from 22 22 a certain time period. So I'm just wondering if the 23 23 documents we produced --24 24 MS. CANDACE CURTIS: You didn't produce 25 25 those two. You produced the amendment --

31 (Pages 118 to 121)

122 124 MR. SPIELMAN: I'm sorry. I know I'm just MR. REED: Okay. 2 2 MS. CANDACE CURTIS: -- to the trust. as bad as everybody else now. 3 3 MR. REED: So you're asking why someone Are you referencing the amendment that was 4 4 made to the original trust document -else hasn't produced documents? 5 5 MS. CANDACE CURTIS: No. I'm asking --MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Yes. 6 6 because this is an amendment to the trust that says if MR. SPIELMAN: -- prior to the execution 7 7 the loan was forgiven, that it would come out of her of the restatement? 8 8 trust share, that became -- at that point when it was MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Yes, I am. 9 9 forgiven, that became an asset of the trust because it MR. SPIELMAN: Does everybody now 10 10 understand better what we're talking about? was due back from her. 11 So we move along in time and we come to 11 THE WITNESS: Yes. Thank you. 12 12 the 2005 restatement, and at that point they had to Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) Okay. So now we're 13 13 gather what all the assets were. And that just coming to the restatement, and that was done in 2005. 14 disappeared, and it was a valid accounts receivable of 14 So my only question really is why that 15 15 The Brunsting Family Living Trust. wasn't continued to be carried as an asset --16 16 MR. REED: Yeah. And I appreciate that --MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. 17 MR. SPIELMAN: Form. I'm not sure what's 17 Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) -- but it's a little 18 18 different. happening with questions and not questions, but just a 19 19 bunch of "objection, forms." Okay. So now we're at the 2005 20 20 MR. REED: I'm trying to understand what restatement. And this was something that was supplied 21 21 the question is. I think the period you're saying is -that is not part of the trust; but this is the plan, 22 22 I think the answer is she's not going to be able to this is the estate plan. And I don't have the one that 23 23 offer any testimony because she wasn't there until 2007. came in the Vacek & Freed -- I don't have the number, 24 24 MS. CANDACE CURTIS: No, I understand but it is in the Vacek & Freed production. 25 25 that. But when she came there in 2007, there were MR. MENDEL: So for everyone, you're 123 125 1 1 several accountings prepared. The first one was when my saying "this," which when you read this record, nobody 2 2 dad passed away. So it should have been listed on the knows what that means. So why don't we give them this 3 3 assets of the trust. And it was a Vacek product in 4 1996. So I would think that would still be in the file. 4 MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Okay. That would be 5 5 in the amendment, because these amendments and these fine. I just don't know what the Vacek & Freed number 6 6 trusts I got out of your production. 7 7 MR. REED: I'm with you, following you. MR. MENDEL: So Ms. Curtis is making 8 8 MS. BAYLESS: Wait just a second. Can I reference to a document that's Bates-labeled Brunsting 9 9 ask what amendment we're talking about? 000535. 10 10 Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) Okay. And so when MS. CANDACE CURTIS: It's the first 11 11 amendment to the 1996 Brunsting Family Living Trust. you're looking at Article III of the 2005 restatement --12 12 MS. BAYLESS: Does it have a number? which we've already gone over -- where section B says, 13 13 MS. CANDACE CURTIS: V&F 000808. "We May Amend Our Trust," it says it "may be amended by 14 14 us in whole or in part in a writing signed by both of us MR. MENDEL: Is there a date? 15 MS. CANDACE CURTIS: April 30th, 1999. 15 for so long as we both shall live. Except as to a 16 16 MS. BAYLESS: Can you give me the number change of trust situs, when one of us dies, this trust 17 shall not be subject to amendment except by a court of 17 one more time. MR. MENDEL: V&F 000808. 18 18 competent jurisdiction." 19 19 The second paragraph says, "Each of us may MS. BAYLESS: Thank you. provide for a different disposition of our share in the 20 20 MR. REED: Okay. I don't know if we're 21 21 following you. Go ahead. trust by using a qualified beneficiary designation, as 22 22 MR. MENDEL: April 30th, 1999 amendment. we define that term in this agreement, and the qualified 23 MS. CANDACE CURTIS: It's part of the 23 beneficiary designation will be considered an amendment 24 24 to this trust as to that Founder's share or interest estate plan. 25 MR. REED: Okay. 25 alone."

32 (Pages 122 to 125)

	126		128
1	Well, can you explain to me why this	1	MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Yes.
2	didn't have to be done before our father passed away?	2	MS. BAYLESS: Okay.
3	MR. REED: Objection, form.	3	(Discussion off the record.)
4	What didn't have to be done?	4	Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) Okay. So then the
5	MS. CANDACE CURTIS: The qualified	5	qualified beneficiary designation from June of 2010
6	beneficiary designation from June of 2010 and/or the	6	basically says any money that anybody gets from any
7	qualified beneficiary designation of August 2010.	7	trust comes out of their share. And that's what my
8	MR. REED: Form.	8	mother did when I needed \$20,000 and when Carole needed
9	A. So the reason why it didn't have to be done	9	\$20,000. She came to you, and you advised her what to
10	before your father died is because each person has the	10	do.
11	right to determine which, where, how, what form that	11	So that QBD, even though I still don't
12	disposition could take for their own or grant their	12	think applies to the decedent's trust, is a valid
13	spouse, even after they are deceased, a limited right to	13	document. So any money that anybody got after that date
14	do that, which your parents did.	14	comes out of their inheritance. Would you agree?
15	Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) There is the limited.	15	MR. SPIELMAN: Form.
16	A. Yes.	16	MR. REED: Form.
17	Q. That's in Article IX.	17	Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) Correct?
18	A. Yes.	18	A. If it was documented as such by your mother as
19	Q. That's the testamentary power, which doesn't	19	a distribution rather than a gift, then, yes, that would
20	occur until after someone dies.	20	be true.
21	A. Till after someone dies, correct.	21	Q. Okay. Do gifts have to be documented?
22	Q. But you can't she could only amend it as to	22	MS. BAYLESS: Hang on just a second. Are
23	her share alone. Okay?	23	we now talking about Exhibit 5?
24	A. No. I disagree.	24	THE WITNESS: Yes.
25	Q. Okay. That's fine. Because it's considered an	25	MR. MENDEL: 6.
	Q. Skil). That's line. Because it's constanted in		M. M. M. S. S. S.
	127		
	127		129
1	amendment to that founder's share or interest alone. So	1	THE WITNESS: I believe she was referring
1 2		1 2	
	amendment to that founder's share or interest alone. So		THE WITNESS: I believe she was referring
2	amendment to that founder's share or interest alone. So how does that mean that she can do that for the	2	THE WITNESS: I believe she was referring to 5.
2 3	amendment to that founder's share or interest alone. So how does that mean that she can do that for the decedent's trust?	2 3	THE WITNESS: I believe she was referring to 5. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Yes, the June
2 3 4	amendment to that founder's share or interest alone. So how does that mean that she can do that for the decedent's trust? A. Because she was granted a limited power of	2 3 4	THE WITNESS: I believe she was referring to 5. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Yes, the June qualified beneficiary
2 3 4 5	amendment to that founder's share or interest alone. So how does that mean that she can do that for the decedent's trust? A. Because she was granted a limited power of appointment over the decedent's trust assets. That is	2 3 4 5	THE WITNESS: I believe she was referring to 5. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Yes, the June qualified beneficiary MS. BAYLESS: Exhibit 5. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6	amendment to that founder's share or interest alone. So how does that mean that she can do that for the decedent's trust? A. Because she was granted a limited power of appointment over the decedent's trust assets. That is in Article X.	2 3 4 5 6	THE WITNESS: I believe she was referring to 5. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Yes, the June qualified beneficiary MS. BAYLESS: Exhibit 5. Okay. Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) And so she could also
2 3 4 5 6 7	amendment to that founder's share or interest alone. So how does that mean that she can do that for the decedent's trust? A. Because she was granted a limited power of appointment over the decedent's trust assets. That is in Article X. Q. Article X. Okay. Then that answers my	2 3 4 5 6 7	THE WITNESS: I believe she was referring to 5. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Yes, the June qualified beneficiary MS. BAYLESS: Exhibit 5. Okay. Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) And so she could also do it to the decedent's share?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	amendment to that founder's share or interest alone. So how does that mean that she can do that for the decedent's trust? A. Because she was granted a limited power of appointment over the decedent's trust assets. That is in Article X. Q. Article X. Okay. Then that answers my questions. Even though this little flow chart says that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE WITNESS: I believe she was referring to 5. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Yes, the June qualified beneficiary MS. BAYLESS: Exhibit 5. Okay. Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) And so she could also do it to the decedent's share? MR. REED: "She" being Nelva?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	amendment to that founder's share or interest alone. So how does that mean that she can do that for the decedent's trust? A. Because she was granted a limited power of appointment over the decedent's trust assets. That is in Article X. Q. Article X. Okay. Then that answers my questions. Even though this little flow chart says that she has complete control of all the assets and the right	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: I believe she was referring to 5. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Yes, the June qualified beneficiary MS. BAYLESS: Exhibit 5. Okay. Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) And so she could also do it to the decedent's share? MR. REED: "She" being Nelva? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Nelva.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	amendment to that founder's share or interest alone. So how does that mean that she can do that for the decedent's trust? A. Because she was granted a limited power of appointment over the decedent's trust assets. That is in Article X. Q. Article X. Okay. Then that answers my questions. Even though this little flow chart says that she has complete control of all the assets and the right to give away assets in A, which is her revocable	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: I believe she was referring to 5. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Yes, the June qualified beneficiary MS. BAYLESS: Exhibit 5. Okay. Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) And so she could also do it to the decedent's share? MR. REED: "She" being Nelva? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Nelva. MR. REED: Form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	amendment to that founder's share or interest alone. So how does that mean that she can do that for the decedent's trust? A. Because she was granted a limited power of appointment over the decedent's trust assets. That is in Article X. Q. Article X. Okay. Then that answers my questions. Even though this little flow chart says that she has complete control of all the assets and the right to give away assets in A, which is her revocable survivor's trust, she can't take anything more out of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE WITNESS: I believe she was referring to 5. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Yes, the June qualified beneficiary MS. BAYLESS: Exhibit 5. Okay. Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) And so she could also do it to the decedent's share? MR. REED: "She" being Nelva? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Nelva. MR. REED: Form. A. What's the question?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	amendment to that founder's share or interest alone. So how does that mean that she can do that for the decedent's trust? A. Because she was granted a limited power of appointment over the decedent's trust assets. That is in Article X. Q. Article X. Okay. Then that answers my questions. Even though this little flow chart says that she has complete control of all the assets and the right to give away assets in A, which is her revocable survivor's trust, she can't take anything more out of the decedent's trust and give it away.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	to 5. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Yes, the June qualified beneficiary MS. BAYLESS: Exhibit 5. Okay. Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) And so she could also do it to the decedent's share? MR. REED: "She" being Nelva? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Nelva. MR. REED: Form. A. What's the question? Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) That she could have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	amendment to that founder's share or interest alone. So how does that mean that she can do that for the decedent's trust? A. Because she was granted a limited power of appointment over the decedent's trust assets. That is in Article X. Q. Article X. Okay. Then that answers my questions. Even though this little flow chart says that she has complete control of all the assets and the right to give away assets in A, which is her revocable survivor's trust, she can't take anything more out of the decedent's trust and give it away. MR. SPIELMAN: Can you refer to it — is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE WITNESS: I believe she was referring to 5. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Yes, the June qualified beneficiary — MS. BAYLESS: Exhibit 5. Okay. Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) And so she could also do it to the decedent's share? MR. REED: "She" being Nelva? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Nelva. MR. REED: Form. A. What's the question? Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) That she could have done a qualified beneficiary designation to other than
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	amendment to that founder's share or interest alone. So how does that mean that she can do that for the decedent's trust? A. Because she was granted a limited power of appointment over the decedent's trust assets. That is in Article X. Q. Article X. Okay. Then that answers my questions. Even though this little flow chart says that she has complete control of all the assets and the right to give away assets in A, which is her revocable survivor's trust, she can't take anything more out of the decedent's trust and give it away. MR. SPIELMAN: Can you refer to it — is this the flow chart?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE WITNESS: I believe she was referring to 5. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Yes, the June qualified beneficiary MS. BAYLESS: Exhibit 5. Okay. Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) And so she could also do it to the decedent's share? MR. REED: "She" being Nelva? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Nelva. MR. REED: Form. A. What's the question? Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) That she could have done a qualified beneficiary designation to other than her share alone?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	amendment to that founder's share or interest alone. So how does that mean that she can do that for the decedent's trust? A. Because she was granted a limited power of appointment over the decedent's trust assets. That is in Article X. Q. Article X. Okay. Then that answers my questions. Even though this little flow chart says that she has complete control of all the assets and the right to give away assets in A, which is her revocable survivor's trust, she can't take anything more out of the decedent's trust and give it away. MR. SPIELMAN: Can you refer to it — is this the flow chart? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: It's a spreadsheet.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE WITNESS: I believe she was referring to 5. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Yes, the June qualified beneficiary — MS. BAYLESS: Exhibit 5. Okay. Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) And so she could also do it to the decedent's share? MR. REED: "She" being Nelva? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Nelva. MR. REED: Form. A. What's the question? Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) That she could have done a qualified beneficiary designation to other than her share alone? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	amendment to that founder's share or interest alone. So how does that mean that she can do that for the decedent's trust? A. Because she was granted a limited power of appointment over the decedent's trust assets. That is in Article X. Q. Article X. Okay. Then that answers my questions. Even though this little flow chart says that she has complete control of all the assets and the right to give away assets in A, which is her revocable survivor's trust, she can't take anything more out of the decedent's trust and give it away. MR. SPIELMAN: Can you refer to it — is this the flow chart? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: It's a spreadsheet. MR. REED: So at the very bottom. That's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	to 5. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Yes, the June qualified beneficiary — MS. BAYLESS: Exhibit 5. Okay. Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) And so she could also do it to the decedent's share? MR. REED: "She" being Nelva? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Nelva. MR. REED: Form. A. What's the question? Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) That she could have done a qualified beneficiary designation to other than her share alone? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So then let's talk about accountings.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	amendment to that founder's share or interest alone. So how does that mean that she can do that for the decedent's trust? A. Because she was granted a limited power of appointment over the decedent's trust assets. That is in Article X. Q. Article X. Okay. Then that answers my questions. Even though this little flow chart says that she has complete control of all the assets and the right to give away assets in A, which is her revocable survivor's trust, she can't take anything more out of the decedent's trust and give it away. MR. SPIELMAN: Can you refer to it — is this the flow chart? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: It's a spreadsheet. MR. REED: So at the very bottom. That's the one she was talking about earlier.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE WITNESS: I believe she was referring to 5. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Yes, the June qualified beneficiary MS. BAYLESS: Exhibit 5. Okay. Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) And so she could also do it to the decedent's share? MR. REED: "She" being Nelva? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Nelva. MR. REED: Form. A. What's the question? Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) That she could have done a qualified beneficiary designation to other than her share alone? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So then let's talk about accountings. According to these in terrorem clauses, no matter what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	amendment to that founder's share or interest alone. So how does that mean that she can do that for the decedent's trust? A. Because she was granted a limited power of appointment over the decedent's trust assets. That is in Article X. Q. Article X. Okay. Then that answers my questions. Even though this little flow chart says that she has complete control of all the assets and the right to give away assets in A, which is her revocable survivor's trust, she can't take anything more out of the decedent's trust and give it away. MR. SPIELMAN: Can you refer to it — is this the flow chart? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: It's a spreadsheet. MR. REED: So at the very bottom. That's the one she was talking about earlier. MR. MENDEL: That's the one that ends in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	to 5. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Yes, the June qualified beneficiary MS. BAYLESS: Exhibit 5. Okay. Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) And so she could also do it to the decedent's share? MR. REED: "She" being Nelva? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Nelva. MR. REED: Form. A. What's the question? Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) That she could have done a qualified beneficiary designation to other than her share alone? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So then let's talk about accountings. According to these in terrorem clauses, no matter what happens, we can't argue with it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	amendment to that founder's share or interest alone. So how does that mean that she can do that for the decedent's trust? A. Because she was granted a limited power of appointment over the decedent's trust assets. That is in Article X. Q. Article X. Okay. Then that answers my questions. Even though this little flow chart says that she has complete control of all the assets and the right to give away assets in A, which is her revocable survivor's trust, she can't take anything more out of the decedent's trust and give it away. MR. SPIELMAN: Can you refer to it — is this the flow chart? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: It's a spreadsheet. MR. REED: So at the very bottom. That's the one she was talking about earlier. MR. MENDEL: That's the one that ends in 535.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	to 5. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Yes, the June qualified beneficiary MS. BAYLESS: Exhibit 5. Okay. Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) And so she could also do it to the decedent's share? MR. REED: "She" being Nelva? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Nelva. MR. REED: Form. A. What's the question? Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) That she could have done a qualified beneficiary designation to other than her share alone? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So then let's talk about accountings. According to these in terrorem clauses, no matter what happens, we can't argue with it. So when we've had to ask for accountings
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	amendment to that founder's share or interest alone. So how does that mean that she can do that for the decedent's trust? A. Because she was granted a limited power of appointment over the decedent's trust assets. That is in Article X. Q. Article X. Okay. Then that answers my questions. Even though this little flow chart says that she has complete control of all the assets and the right to give away assets in A, which is her revocable survivor's trust, she can't take anything more out of the decedent's trust and give it away. MR. SPIELMAN: Can you refer to it — is this the flow chart? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: It's a spreadsheet. MR. REED: So at the very bottom. That's the one she was talking about earlier. MR. MENDEL: That's the one that ends in 535. MR. SPIELMAN: Oh, this is the 535. Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	to 5. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Yes, the June qualified beneficiary MS. BAYLESS: Exhibit 5. Okay. Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) And so she could also do it to the decedent's share? MR. REED: "She" being Nelva? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Nelva. MR. REED: Form. A. What's the question? Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) That she could have done a qualified beneficiary designation to other than her share alone? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So then let's talk about accountings. According to these in terrorem clauses, no matter what happens, we can't argue with it. So when we've had to ask for accountings every single time, what is a beneficiary supposed to do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	amendment to that founder's share or interest alone. So how does that mean that she can do that for the decedent's trust? A. Because she was granted a limited power of appointment over the decedent's trust assets. That is in Article X. Q. Article X. Okay. Then that answers my questions. Even though this little flow chart says that she has complete control of all the assets and the right to give away assets in A, which is her revocable survivor's trust, she can't take anything more out of the decedent's trust and give it away. MR. SPIELMAN: Can you refer to it — is this the flow chart? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: It's a spreadsheet. MR. REED: So at the very bottom. That's the one she was talking about earlier. MR. MENDEL: That's the one that ends in 535. MR. SPIELMAN: Oh, this is the 535. Okay. MR. REED: Can I just see this real quick.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	to 5. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Yes, the June qualified beneficiary MS. BAYLESS: Exhibit 5. Okay. Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) And so she could also do it to the decedent's share? MR. REED: "She" being Nelva? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Nelva. MR. REED: Form. A. What's the question? Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) That she could have done a qualified beneficiary designation to other than her share alone? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So then let's talk about accountings. According to these in terrorem clauses, no matter what happens, we can't argue with it. So when we've had to ask for accountings every single time, what is a beneficiary supposed to do to protect their beneficial interest?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	amendment to that founder's share or interest alone. So how does that mean that she can do that for the decedent's trust? A. Because she was granted a limited power of appointment over the decedent's trust assets. That is in Article X. Q. Article X. Okay. Then that answers my questions. Even though this little flow chart says that she has complete control of all the assets and the right to give away assets in A, which is her revocable survivor's trust, she can't take anything more out of the decedent's trust and give it away. MR. SPIELMAN: Can you refer to it — is this the flow chart? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: It's a spreadsheet. MR. REED: So at the very bottom. That's the one she was talking about earlier. MR. MENDEL: That's the one that ends in 535. MR. SPIELMAN: Oh, this is the 535. Okay. MR. REED: Can I just see this real quick. MR. SPIELMAN: Sorry. MS. BAYLESS: Wait just a second. When	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	to 5. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Yes, the June qualified beneficiary MS. BAYLESS: Exhibit 5. Okay. Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) And so she could also do it to the decedent's share? MR. REED: "She" being Nelva? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Nelva. MR. REED: Form. A. What's the question? Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) That she could have done a qualified beneficiary designation to other than her share alone? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So then let's talk about accountings. According to these in terrorem clauses, no matter what happens, we can't argue with it. So when we've had to ask for accountings every single time, what is a beneficiary supposed to do to protect their beneficial interest? MR. REED: Objection, form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	amendment to that founder's share or interest alone. So how does that mean that she can do that for the decedent's trust? A. Because she was granted a limited power of appointment over the decedent's trust assets. That is in Article X. Q. Article X. Okay. Then that answers my questions. Even though this little flow chart says that she has complete control of all the assets and the right to give away assets in A, which is her revocable survivor's trust, she can't take anything more out of the decedent's trust and give it away. MR. SPIELMAN: Can you refer to it — is this the flow chart? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: It's a spreadsheet. MR. REED: So at the very bottom. That's the one she was talking about earlier. MR. MENDEL: That's the one that ends in 535. MR. SPIELMAN: Oh, this is the 535. Okay. MR. REED: Can I just see this real quick. MR. SPIELMAN: Sorry.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	to 5. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Yes, the June qualified beneficiary — MS. BAYLESS: Exhibit 5. Okay. Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) And so she could also do it to the decedent's share? MR. REED: "She" being Nelva? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Nelva. MR. REED: Form. A. What's the question? Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) That she could have done a qualified beneficiary designation to other than her share alone? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So then let's talk about accountings. According to these in terrorem clauses, no matter what happens, we can't argue with it. So when we've had to ask for accountings every single time, what is a beneficiary supposed to do to protect their beneficial interest? MR. REED: Objection, form. MR. SPIELMAN: Form.

33 (Pages 126 to 129)

	130		132
1	Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) You represented my	1	Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) Okay. So would she
2	mother	2	have written herself a check from the trust account
3	A. I did.	3	every month or however often she was to be paid trustee
4	Q in her estate planning. Okay? And so you	4	compensation?
5	also represented my sister Anita when she took over as	5	MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form.
6	trustee for my mother.	6	MR. REED: Objection, form.
7	So was Anita required to account to my	7	A. I do not know that. That is not something I
8	mother periodically, as the trust called for?	8	would be aware of or know.
9	A. Yes.	9	Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) Did you calculate what
10	MR. REED: Objection, form.	10	her trustee compensation would be?
11	Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) Did she?	11	A. That is not something that I was hired to do.
12	MR. REED: Objection, form.	12	MS. BAYLESS: I'm sorry.
13	A. I have no idea whether she did or did not.	13	Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) But you did it.
14	Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) Okay. Were you	14	MS. BAYLESS: Hang on. I didn't hear your
15	advising her how to do her fiduciary duties as a trustee	15	answer.
16	when she was your client at the same time as my mother	16	THE WITNESS: That was not something that
17	was?	17	I was hired to do, provide an accounting or write
18	MR. REED: Objection, form.	18	checks.
19	A. If she requested advice, advice was given.	19	Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) How did she determine
20	Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) If my mother or Anita	20	that 2 percent of the trust assets were due for trustee
21	requested advice. Okay. So what advice was she	21	compensation?
22	requesting with all of these?	22	MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form.
23	A. I don't recall. I'm sorry. It's nine years	23	MR. REED: Form.
24	ago.	24	A. I do not know.
25	Q. Okay. Well, let's go in another direction,	25	Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) Okay. Then we'll go
	131		133
1	131	1	133
1 2	then. So we're back to the accounting, and someone said	1	back, I guess. So in August of 2010 there was a second
2	then. So we're back to the accounting, and someone said that she just totally drained the survivor's account. I	2	back, I guess. So in August of 2010 there was a second QBD. Can someone have two of those?
2	then. So we're back to the accounting, and someone said that she just totally drained the survivor's account. I forget what the terminology was.	2 3	back, I guess. So in August of 2010 there was a second QBD. Can someone have two of those? A. Yes.
2 3 4	then. So we're back to the accounting, and someone said that she just totally drained the survivor's account. I forget what the terminology was. But we do have an accounting prepared by	2 3 4	back, I guess. So in August of 2010 there was a second QBD. Can someone have two of those? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And so Anita called on July 20th for
2 3 4 5	then. So we're back to the accounting, and someone said that she just totally drained the survivor's account. I forget what the terminology was. But we do have an accounting prepared by Vacek & Freed; and it's got the December 2010 values of	2 3 4 5	back, I guess. So in August of 2010 there was a second QBD. Can someone have two of those? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And so Anita called on July 20th for Candace Freed, calling on behalf of Mom Nelva, and she
2 3 4 5 6	then. So we're back to the accounting, and someone said that she just totally drained the survivor's account. I forget what the terminology was. But we do have an accounting prepared by Vacek & Freed; and it's got the December 2010 values of the Edward Jones account for Nelva Brunsting, which was	2 3 4 5 6	back, I guess. So in August of 2010 there was a second QBD. Can someone have two of those? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And so Anita called on July 20th for Candace Freed, calling on behalf of Mom Nelva, and she wanted you to give her a call. This is V&F 001197.
2 3 4 5 6 7	then. So we're back to the accounting, and someone said that she just totally drained the survivor's account. I forget what the terminology was. But we do have an accounting prepared by Vacek & Freed; and it's got the December 2010 values of the Edward Jones account for Nelva Brunsting, which was \$191,205.	2 3 4 5 6 7	back, I guess. So in August of 2010 there was a second QBD. Can someone have two of those? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And so Anita called on July 20th for Candace Freed, calling on behalf of Mom Nelva, and she wanted you to give her a call. This is V&F 001197. Candace returned call to Nelva's daughter
2 3 4 5 6	then. So we're back to the accounting, and someone said that she just totally drained the survivor's account. I forget what the terminology was. But we do have an accounting prepared by Vacek & Freed; and it's got the December 2010 values of the Edward Jones account for Nelva Brunsting, which was \$191,205. MS. BAYLESS: Excuse me, but is this	2 3 4 5 6	back, I guess. So in August of 2010 there was a second QBD. Can someone have two of those? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And so Anita called on July 20th for Candace Freed, calling on behalf of Mom Nelva, and she wanted you to give her a call. This is V&F 001197. Candace returned call to Nelva's daughter Anita, asking how she was doing.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	then. So we're back to the accounting, and someone said that she just totally drained the survivor's account. I forget what the terminology was. But we do have an accounting prepared by Vacek & Freed; and it's got the December 2010 values of the Edward Jones account for Nelva Brunsting, which was \$191,205. MS. BAYLESS: Excuse me, but is this numbered or something?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	back, I guess. So in August of 2010 there was a second QBD. Can someone have two of those? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And so Anita called on July 20th for Candace Freed, calling on behalf of Mom Nelva, and she wanted you to give her a call. This is V&F 001197. Candace returned call to Nelva's daughter Anita, asking how she was doing. She is feeling okay. "She has cancer on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	then. So we're back to the accounting, and someone said that she just totally drained the survivor's account. I forget what the terminology was. But we do have an accounting prepared by Vacek & Freed; and it's got the December 2010 values of the Edward Jones account for Nelva Brunsting, which was \$191,205. MS. BAYLESS: Excuse me, but is this numbered or something? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: This is also in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	back, I guess. So in August of 2010 there was a second QBD. Can someone have two of those? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And so Anita called on July 20th for Candace Freed, calling on behalf of Mom Nelva, and she wanted you to give her a call. This is V&F 001197. Candace returned call to Nelva's daughter Anita, asking how she was doing. She is feeling okay. "She has cancer on the liver, but it's the lungs that she has issues with.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	then. So we're back to the accounting, and someone said that she just totally drained the survivor's account. I forget what the terminology was. But we do have an accounting prepared by Vacek & Freed; and it's got the December 2010 values of the Edward Jones account for Nelva Brunsting, which was \$191,205. MS. BAYLESS: Excuse me, but is this numbered or something? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: This is also in the Vacek & Freed production, but that's not the copy that I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	back, I guess. So in August of 2010 there was a second QBD. Can someone have two of those? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And so Anita called on July 20th for Candace Freed, calling on behalf of Mom Nelva, and she wanted you to give her a call. This is V&F 001197. Candace returned call to Nelva's daughter Anita, asking how she was doing. She is feeling okay. "She has cancer on the liver, but it's the lungs that she has issues with. Worse over, her brother Carl has encephalitis and is in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	then. So we're back to the accounting, and someone said that she just totally drained the survivor's account. I forget what the terminology was. But we do have an accounting prepared by Vacek & Freed; and it's got the December 2010 values of the Edward Jones account for Nelva Brunsting, which was \$191,205. MS. BAYLESS: Excuse me, but is this numbered or something? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: This is also in the Vacek & Freed production, but that's not the copy that I have.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	back, I guess. So in August of 2010 there was a second QBD. Can someone have two of those? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And so Anita called on July 20th for Candace Freed, calling on behalf of Mom Nelva, and she wanted you to give her a call. This is V&F 001197. Candace returned call to Nelva's daughter Anita, asking how she was doing. She is feeling okay. "She has cancer on the liver, but it's the lungs that she has issues with. Worse over, her brother Carl has encephalitis and is in the hospital. Three weeks now. She is concerned for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	then. So we're back to the accounting, and someone said that she just totally drained the survivor's account. I forget what the terminology was. But we do have an accounting prepared by Vacek & Freed; and it's got the December 2010 values of the Edward Jones account for Nelva Brunsting, which was \$191,205. MS. BAYLESS: Excuse me, but is this numbered or something? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: This is also in the Vacek & Freed production, but that's not the copy that I have. MS. BAYLESS: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	back, I guess. So in August of 2010 there was a second QBD. Can someone have two of those? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And so Anita called on July 20th for Candace Freed, calling on behalf of Mom Nelva, and she wanted you to give her a call. This is V&F 001197. Candace returned call to Nelva's daughter Anita, asking how she was doing. She is feeling okay. "She has cancer on the liver, but it's the lungs that she has issues with. Worse over, her brother Carl has encephalitis and is in the hospital. Three weeks now. She is concerned for several reasons. Not sure what the outcome for the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	then. So we're back to the accounting, and someone said that she just totally drained the survivor's account. I forget what the terminology was. But we do have an accounting prepared by Vacek & Freed; and it's got the December 2010 values of the Edward Jones account for Nelva Brunsting, which was \$191,205. MS. BAYLESS: Excuse me, but is this numbered or something? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: This is also in the Vacek & Freed production, but that's not the copy that I have. MS. BAYLESS: Okay. Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) This other copy, the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	back, I guess. So in August of 2010 there was a second QBD. Can someone have two of those? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And so Anita called on July 20th for Candace Freed, calling on behalf of Mom Nelva, and she wanted you to give her a call. This is V&F 001197. Candace returned call to Nelva's daughter Anita, asking how she was doing. She is feeling okay. "She has cancer on the liver, but it's the lungs that she has issues with. Worse over, her brother Carl has encephalitis and is in the hospital. Three weeks now. She is concerned for several reasons. Not sure what the outcome for the brother is going to be or if he will recover. This may
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	then. So we're back to the accounting, and someone said that she just totally drained the survivor's account. I forget what the terminology was. But we do have an accounting prepared by Vacek & Freed; and it's got the December 2010 values of the Edward Jones account for Nelva Brunsting, which was \$191,205. MS. BAYLESS: Excuse me, but is this numbered or something? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: This is also in the Vacek & Freed production, but that's not the copy that I have. MS. BAYLESS: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	back, I guess. So in August of 2010 there was a second QBD. Can someone have two of those? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And so Anita called on July 20th for Candace Freed, calling on behalf of Mom Nelva, and she wanted you to give her a call. This is V&F 001197. Candace returned call to Nelva's daughter Anita, asking how she was doing. She is feeling okay. "She has cancer on the liver, but it's the lungs that she has issues with. Worse over, her brother Carl has encephalitis and is in the hospital. Three weeks now. She is concerned for several reasons. Not sure what the outcome for the brother is going to be or if he will recover. This may be problematic in that they are not certain his wife
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	then. So we're back to the accounting, and someone said that she just totally drained the survivor's account. I forget what the terminology was. But we do have an accounting prepared by Vacek & Freed; and it's got the December 2010 values of the Edward Jones account for Nelva Brunsting, which was \$191,205. MS. BAYLESS: Excuse me, but is this numbered or something? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: This is also in the Vacek & Freed production, but that's not the copy that I have. MS. BAYLESS: Okay. Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) This other copy, the March 30th, 2012, is V&F 000201, where that Edward Jones	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	back, I guess. So in August of 2010 there was a second QBD. Can someone have two of those? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And so Anita called on July 20th for Candace Freed, calling on behalf of Mom Nelva, and she wanted you to give her a call. This is V&F 001197. Candace returned call to Nelva's daughter Anita, asking how she was doing. She is feeling okay. "She has cancer on the liver, but it's the lungs that she has issues with. Worse over, her brother Carl has encephalitis and is in the hospital. Three weeks now. She is concerned for several reasons. Not sure what the outcome for the brother is going to be or if he will recover. This may
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	then. So we're back to the accounting, and someone said that she just totally drained the survivor's account. I forget what the terminology was. But we do have an accounting prepared by Vacek & Freed; and it's got the December 2010 values of the Edward Jones account for Nelva Brunsting, which was \$191,205. MS. BAYLESS: Excuse me, but is this numbered or something? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: This is also in the Vacek & Freed production, but that's not the copy that I have. MS. BAYLESS: Okay. Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) This other copy, the March 30th, 2012, is V&F 000201, where that Edward Jones account has \$1.05. So between December of 2010 and March 30th of 2012, the \$191,200 just flowed out of that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	back, I guess. So in August of 2010 there was a second QBD. Can someone have two of those? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And so Anita called on July 20th for Candace Freed, calling on behalf of Mom Nelva, and she wanted you to give her a call. This is V&F 001197. Candace returned call to Nelva's daughter Anita, asking how she was doing. She is feeling okay. "She has cancer on the liver, but it's the lungs that she has issues with. Worse over, her brother Carl has encephalitis and is in the hospital. Three weeks now. She is concerned for several reasons. Not sure what the outcome for the brother is going to be or if he will recover. This may be problematic in that they are not certain his wife will not take off with the money or actually use it for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	then. So we're back to the accounting, and someone said that she just totally drained the survivor's account. I forget what the terminology was. But we do have an accounting prepared by Vacek & Freed; and it's got the December 2010 values of the Edward Jones account for Nelva Brunsting, which was \$191,205. MS. BAYLESS: Excuse me, but is this numbered or something? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: This is also in the Vacek & Freed production, but that's not the copy that I have. MS. BAYLESS: Okay. Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) This other copy, the March 30th, 2012, is V&F 000201, where that Edward Jones account has \$1.05. So between December of 2010 and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	back, I guess. So in August of 2010 there was a second QBD. Can someone have two of those? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And so Anita called on July 20th for Candace Freed, calling on behalf of Mom Nelva, and she wanted you to give her a call. This is V&F 001197. Candace returned call to Nelva's daughter Anita, asking how she was doing. She is feeling okay. "She has cancer on the liver, but it's the lungs that she has issues with. Worse over, her brother Carl has encephalitis and is in the hospital. Three weeks now. She is concerned for several reasons. Not sure what the outcome for the brother is going to be or if he will recover. This may be problematic in that they are not certain his wife will not take off with the money or actually use it for his care."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	then. So we're back to the accounting, and someone said that she just totally drained the survivor's account. I forget what the terminology was. But we do have an accounting prepared by Vacek & Freed; and it's got the December 2010 values of the Edward Jones account for Nelva Brunsting, which was \$191,205. MS. BAYLESS: Excuse me, but is this numbered or something? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: This is also in the Vacek & Freed production, but that's not the copy that I have. MS. BAYLESS: Okay. Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) This other copy, the March 30th, 2012, is V&F 000201, where that Edward Jones account has \$1.05. So between December of 2010 and March 30th of 2012, the \$191,200 just flowed out of that account; and we do know where some of it is.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	back, I guess. So in August of 2010 there was a second QBD. Can someone have two of those? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And so Anita called on July 20th for Candace Freed, calling on behalf of Mom Nelva, and she wanted you to give her a call. This is V&F 001197. Candace returned call to Nelva's daughter Anita, asking how she was doing. She is feeling okay. "She has cancer on the liver, but it's the lungs that she has issues with. Worse over, her brother Carl has encephalitis and is in the hospital. Three weeks now. She is concerned for several reasons. Not sure what the outcome for the brother is going to be or if he will recover. This may be problematic in that they are not certain his wife will not take off with the money or actually use it for his care." "Comments from SIL" — which I finally
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	then. So we're back to the accounting, and someone said that she just totally drained the survivor's account. I forget what the terminology was. But we do have an accounting prepared by Vacek & Freed; and it's got the December 2010 values of the Edward Jones account for Nelva Brunsting, which was \$191,205. MS. BAYLESS: Excuse me, but is this numbered or something? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: This is also in the Vacek & Freed production, but that's not the copy that I have. MS. BAYLESS: Okay. Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) This other copy, the March 30th, 2012, is V&F 000201, where that Edward Jones account has \$1.05. So between December of 2010 and March 30th of 2012, the \$191,200 just flowed out of that account; and we do know where some of it is. Did Anita ask you how she was supposed to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	back, I guess. So in August of 2010 there was a second QBD. Can someone have two of those? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And so Anita called on July 20th for Candace Freed, calling on behalf of Mom Nelva, and she wanted you to give her a call. This is V&F 001197. Candace returned call to Nelva's daughter Anita, asking how she was doing. She is feeling okay. "She has cancer on the liver, but it's the lungs that she has issues with. Worse over, her brother Carl has encephalitis and is in the hospital. Three weeks now. She is concerned for several reasons. Not sure what the outcome for the brother is going to be or if he will recover. This may be problematic in that they are not certain his wife will not take off with the money or actually use it for his care." "Comments from SIL" which I finally figured out is sister-in-law "(Carl's wife) to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	then. So we're back to the accounting, and someone said that she just totally drained the survivor's account. I forget what the terminology was. But we do have an accounting prepared by Vacek & Freed; and it's got the December 2010 values of the Edward Jones account for Nelva Brunsting, which was \$191,205. MS. BAYLESS: Excuse me, but is this numbered or something? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: This is also in the Vacek & Freed production, but that's not the copy that I have. MS. BAYLESS: Okay. Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) This other copy, the March 30th, 2012, is V&F 000201, where that Edward Jones account has \$1.05. So between December of 2010 and March 30th of 2012, the \$191,200 just flowed out of that account; and we do know where some of it is. Did Anita ask you how she was supposed to get her trustee compensation?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	back, I guess. So in August of 2010 there was a second QBD. Can someone have two of those? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And so Anita called on July 20th for Candace Freed, calling on behalf of Mom Nelva, and she wanted you to give her a call. This is V&F 001197. Candace returned call to Nelva's daughter Anita, asking how she was doing. She is feeling okay. "She has cancer on the liver, but it's the lungs that she has issues with. Worse over, her brother Carl has encephalitis and is in the hospital. Three weeks now. She is concerned for several reasons. Not sure what the outcome for the brother is going to be or if he will recover. This may be problematic in that they are not certain his wife will not take off with the money or actually use it for his care." "Comments from SIL" — which I finally figured out is sister-in-law — "(Carl's wife) to Nelva." This is coming from Anita — "was that she
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	then. So we're back to the accounting, and someone said that she just totally drained the survivor's account. I forget what the terminology was. But we do have an accounting prepared by Vacek & Freed; and it's got the December 2010 values of the Edward Jones account for Nelva Brunsting, which was \$191,205. MS. BAYLESS: Excuse me, but is this numbered or something? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: This is also in the Vacek & Freed production, but that's not the copy that I have. MS. BAYLESS: Okay. Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) This other copy, the March 30th, 2012, is V&F 000201, where that Edward Jones account has \$1.05. So between December of 2010 and March 30th of 2012, the \$191,200 just flowed out of that account; and we do know where some of it is. Did Anita ask you how she was supposed to get her trustee compensation? MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	back, I guess. So in August of 2010 there was a second QBD. Can someone have two of those? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And so Anita called on July 20th for Candace Freed, calling on behalf of Mom Nelva, and she wanted you to give her a call. This is V&F 001197. Candace returned call to Nelva's daughter Anita, asking how she was doing. She is feeling okay. "She has cancer on the liver, but it's the lungs that she has issues with. Worse over, her brother Carl has encephalitis and is in the hospital. Three weeks now. She is concerned for several reasons. Not sure what the outcome for the brother is going to be or if he will recover. This may be problematic in that they are not certain his wife will not take off with the money or actually use it for his care." "Comments from SIL" which I finally figured out is sister-in-law "(Carl's wife) to Nelva." This is coming from Anita "was that she wished she would go on and distribute Elmer's share of the trust since Carl had said he wanted her to have something; and then if Carl dies, then his daughter
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	then. So we're back to the accounting, and someone said that she just totally drained the survivor's account. I forget what the terminology was. But we do have an accounting prepared by Vacek & Freed; and it's got the December 2010 values of the Edward Jones account for Nelva Brunsting, which was \$191,205. MS. BAYLESS: Excuse me, but is this numbered or something? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: This is also in the Vacek & Freed production, but that's not the copy that I have. MS. BAYLESS: Okay. Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) This other copy, the March 30th, 2012, is V&F 000201, where that Edward Jones account has \$1.05. So between December of 2010 and March 30th of 2012, the \$191,200 just flowed out of that account; and we do know where some of it is. Did Anita ask you how she was supposed to get her trustee compensation? MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. MR. REED: Form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	back, I guess. So in August of 2010 there was a second QBD. Can someone have two of those? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And so Anita called on July 20th for Candace Freed, calling on behalf of Mom Nelva, and she wanted you to give her a call. This is V&F 001197. Candace returned call to Nelva's daughter Anita, asking how she was doing. She is feeling okay. "She has cancer on the liver, but it's the lungs that she has issues with. Worse over, her brother Carl has encephalitis and is in the hospital. Three weeks now. She is concerned for several reasons. Not sure what the outcome for the brother is going to be or if he will recover. This may be problematic in that they are not certain his wife will not take off with the money or actually use it for his care." "Comments from SIL" — which I finally figured out is sister-in-law — "(Carl's wife) to Nelva." This is coming from Anita — "was that she wished she would go on and distribute Elmer's share of the trust since Carl had said he wanted her to have

34 (Pages 130 to 133)

713-650-1800

	134		136
1	needed to come from Nelva."	1	So it is a letter that came to Carole,
2	So this is where the QBD and the	2	myself, and it went to Carl via Bobbie Bayless.
3	certificates of trust and how Nelva can make unlimited	3	MS. BAYLESS: I'm sorry. What was the
4	gifts to Carl of doctors bills.	4	question that you didn't allow her to answer?
5	So I can't find anywhere in here where it	5	MR. REED: Whether it's a conflict for
6	came from Nelva, and there is no entry between	6	Mr. Matthews.
7	August 17th, 2010 and September 2nd of 2010, when she	7	MS. BAYLESS: Oh, okay.
8	came in to sign the documents on August 25th.	8	MR. SPIELMAN: Who, by the way, for the
9	So why was that not in here when	9	clarity of the record, has no connection to my law firm
10	everything else is in your notes?	10	Griffin & Matthews even though that
11	MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form.	11	MS. CANDACE CURTIS: It says Green.
12	MR. REED: Objection, form.	12	MR. SPIELMAN: Right. I just want to make
13	A. I don't know.	13	sure that there's a distinction being made between the
14	Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) Okay. Then since	14	letter you're referring to, which is Green & Matthews,
15	we're not getting anywhere with this stuff	15	and my law firm, who are attorneys of record for Amy
16	MR. REED: Objection, sidebar.	16	Brunsting, which is Griffin & Matthews.
17	MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Pardon me?	17	MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Okay.
18	MR. REED: I objected to your sidebar.	18	Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) So I guess you can't
19	MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Okay. Excuse me.	19	answer this question either. But how did Anita okay.
20	Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) So now, since I felt	20	Did you counsel Anita at all about her fiduciary duties
21	that my only course of remedy was to file suit after I	21	as a trustee?
22	had written the appropriate demand letters to my sisters	22	A. Of course.
23	to account and they hadn't, we have Bernard Matthews,	23	Q. Okay. And did you talk about self-dealing?
24	who was a staff attorney with Vacek & Freed,	24	A. I don't recall talking to her about that.
25	representing who represented Amy and Anita when they	25	Q. Did you talk about commingling funds?
	135		137
1	took over as trustees. Now we have him representing Amy	1	A. I believe that's in our engagement letter.
2	took over as trustees. Now we have him representing Amy and Anita under a Green & Matthews letterhead.	2	A. I believe that's in our engagement letter.Q. Okay. So when Anita determined that she was
2	took over as trustees. Now we have him representing Amy and Anita under a Green & Matthews letterhead. Now, is this a conflict?	2 3	A. I believe that's in our engagement letter.Q. Okay. So when Anita determined that she was due all of this money as trustee compensation, instead
2 3 4	took over as trustees. Now we have him representing Amy and Anita under a Green & Matthews letterhead. Now, is this a conflict? MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form.	2 3 4	A. I believe that's in our engagement letter. Q. Okay. So when Anita determined that she was due all of this money as trustee compensation, instead of writing a check to herself, which would have caused
2 3 4 5	took over as trustees. Now we have him representing Amy and Anita under a Green & Matthews letterhead. Now, is this a conflict? MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. MR. REED: Objection, form. I'm not going	2 3 4 5	A. I believe that's in our engagement letter. Q. Okay. So when Anita determined that she was due all of this money as trustee compensation, instead of writing a check to herself, which would have caused the accountant to have to send her a 1099 for trustee
2 3 4 5 6	took over as trustees. Now we have him representing Amy and Anita under a Green & Matthews letterhead. Now, is this a conflict? MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. MR. REED: Objection, form. I'm not going to allow her to answer that question.	2 3 4 5 6	A. I believe that's in our engagement letter. Q. Okay. So when Anita determined that she was due all of this money as trustee compensation, instead of writing a check to herself, which would have caused the accountant to have to send her a 1099 for trustee compensation, she just paid her personal credit card
2 3 4 5 6 7	took over as trustees. Now we have him representing Amy and Anita under a Green & Matthews letterhead. Now, is this a conflict? MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. MR. REED: Objection, form. I'm not going to allow her to answer that question. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7	A. I believe that's in our engagement letter. Q. Okay. So when Anita determined that she was due all of this money as trustee compensation, instead of writing a check to herself, which would have caused the accountant to have to send her a 1099 for trustee compensation, she just paid her personal credit card bills directly out of my mother's trust account.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	took over as trustees. Now we have him representing Amy and Anita under a Green & Matthews letterhead. Now, is this a conflict? MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. MR. REED: Objection, form. I'm not going to allow her to answer that question. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Okay. MR. SPIELMAN: What document was that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. I believe that's in our engagement letter. Q. Okay. So when Anita determined that she was due all of this money as trustee compensation, instead of writing a check to herself, which would have caused the accountant to have to send her a 1099 for trustee compensation, she just paid her personal credit card bills directly out of my mother's trust account. So that's why I was asking if you know if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	took over as trustees. Now we have him representing Amy and Anita under a Green & Matthews letterhead. Now, is this a conflict? MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. MR. REED: Objection, form. I'm not going to allow her to answer that question. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Okay. MR. SPIELMAN: What document was that? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: This is a law firm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. I believe that's in our engagement letter. Q. Okay. So when Anita determined that she was due all of this money as trustee compensation, instead of writing a check to herself, which would have caused the accountant to have to send her a 1099 for trustee compensation, she just paid her personal credit card bills directly out of my mother's trust account. So that's why I was asking if you know if she ever provided an accounting to Mother, because I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	took over as trustees. Now we have him representing Amy and Anita under a Green & Matthews letterhead. Now, is this a conflict? MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. MR. REED: Objection, form. I'm not going to allow her to answer that question. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Okay. MR. SPIELMAN: What document was that? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: This is a law firm copy of something. I don't know. It's not labeled.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. I believe that's in our engagement letter. Q. Okay. So when Anita determined that she was due all of this money as trustee compensation, instead of writing a check to herself, which would have caused the accountant to have to send her a 1099 for trustee compensation, she just paid her personal credit card bills directly out of my mother's trust account. So that's why I was asking if you know if she ever provided an accounting to Mother, because I don't think Mother would have agreed to that. But we'll
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	took over as trustees. Now we have him representing Amy and Anita under a Green & Matthews letterhead. Now, is this a conflict? MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. MR. REED: Objection, form. I'm not going to allow her to answer that question. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Okay. MR. SPIELMAN: What document was that? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: This is a law firm copy of something. I don't know. It's not labeled. MR. SPIELMAN: And you're reading from a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. I believe that's in our engagement letter. Q. Okay. So when Anita determined that she was due all of this money as trustee compensation, instead of writing a check to herself, which would have caused the accountant to have to send her a 1099 for trustee compensation, she just paid her personal credit card bills directly out of my mother's trust account. So that's why I was asking if you know if she ever provided an accounting to Mother, because I don't think Mother would have agreed to that. But we'll never know now. So when you're counseling somebody to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	took over as trustees. Now we have him representing Amy and Anita under a Green & Matthews letterhead. Now, is this a conflict? MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. MR. REED: Objection, form. I'm not going to allow her to answer that question. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Okay. MR. SPIELMAN: What document was that? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: This is a law firm copy of something. I don't know. It's not labeled. MR. SPIELMAN: And you're reading from a letterhead that says what and Matthews?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. I believe that's in our engagement letter. Q. Okay. So when Anita determined that she was due all of this money as trustee compensation, instead of writing a check to herself, which would have caused the accountant to have to send her a 1099 for trustee compensation, she just paid her personal credit card bills directly out of my mother's trust account. So that's why I was asking if you know if she ever provided an accounting to Mother, because I don't think Mother would have agreed to that. But we'll never know now. So when you're counseling somebody to be a trustee, you need to explain to them that that was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	took over as trustees. Now we have him representing Amy and Anita under a Green & Matthews letterhead. Now, is this a conflict? MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. MR. REED: Objection, form. I'm not going to allow her to answer that question. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Okay. MR. SPIELMAN: What document was that? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: This is a law firm copy of something. I don't know. It's not labeled. MR. SPIELMAN: And you're reading from a letterhead that says what and Matthews? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Green & Matthews.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. I believe that's in our engagement letter. Q. Okay. So when Anita determined that she was due all of this money as trustee compensation, instead of writing a check to herself, which would have caused the accountant to have to send her a 1099 for trustee compensation, she just paid her personal credit card bills directly out of my mother's trust account. So that's why I was asking if you know if she ever provided an accounting to Mother, because I don't think Mother would have agreed to that. But we'll never know now. So when you're counseling somebody to be a trustee, you need to explain to them that that was commingling, plain and simple.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	took over as trustees. Now we have him representing Amy and Anita under a Green & Matthews letterhead. Now, is this a conflict? MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. MR. REED: Objection, form. I'm not going to allow her to answer that question. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Okay. MR. SPIELMAN: What document was that? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: This is a law firm copy of something. I don't know. It's not labeled. MR. SPIELMAN: And you're reading from a letterhead that says what and Matthews? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Green & Matthews. MR. REED: What's that bottom number,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. I believe that's in our engagement letter. Q. Okay. So when Anita determined that she was due all of this money as trustee compensation, instead of writing a check to herself, which would have caused the accountant to have to send her a 1099 for trustee compensation, she just paid her personal credit card bills directly out of my mother's trust account. So that's why I was asking if you know if she ever provided an accounting to Mother, because I don't think Mother would have agreed to that. But we'll never know now. So when you're counseling somebody to be a trustee, you need to explain to them that that was commingling, plain and simple. Then she wrote checks to pay off her son's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	took over as trustees. Now we have him representing Amy and Anita under a Green & Matthews letterhead. Now, is this a conflict? MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. MR. REED: Objection, form. I'm not going to allow her to answer that question. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Okay. MR. SPIELMAN: What document was that? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: This is a law firm copy of something. I don't know. It's not labeled. MR. SPIELMAN: And you're reading from a letterhead that says what and Matthews? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Green & Matthews. MR. REED: What's that bottom number, though?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. I believe that's in our engagement letter. Q. Okay. So when Anita determined that she was due all of this money as trustee compensation, instead of writing a check to herself, which would have caused the accountant to have to send her a 1099 for trustee compensation, she just paid her personal credit card bills directly out of my mother's trust account. So that's why I was asking if you know if she ever provided an accounting to Mother, because I don't think Mother would have agreed to that. But we'll never know now. So when you're counseling somebody to be a trustee, you need to explain to them that that was commingling, plain and simple. Then she wrote checks to pay off her son's car, and she wrote checks to pay off her daughter's car.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	took over as trustees. Now we have him representing Amy and Anita under a Green & Matthews letterhead. Now, is this a conflict? MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. MR. REED: Objection, form. I'm not going to allow her to answer that question. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Okay. MR. SPIELMAN: What document was that? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: This is a law firm copy of something. I don't know. It's not labeled. MR. SPIELMAN: And you're reading from a letterhead that says what and Matthews? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Green & Matthews. MR. REED: What's that bottom number, though? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: That's an exhibit	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. I believe that's in our engagement letter. Q. Okay. So when Anita determined that she was due all of this money as trustee compensation, instead of writing a check to herself, which would have caused the accountant to have to send her a 1099 for trustee compensation, she just paid her personal credit card bills directly out of my mother's trust account. So that's why I was asking if you know if she ever provided an accounting to Mother, because I don't think Mother would have agreed to that. But we'll never know now. So when you're counseling somebody to be a trustee, you need to explain to them that that was commingling, plain and simple. Then she wrote checks to pay off her son's car, and she wrote checks to pay off her daughter's car. This is all out of the survivor's trust account.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	took over as trustees. Now we have him representing Amy and Anita under a Green & Matthews letterhead. Now, is this a conflict? MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. MR. REED: Objection, form. I'm not going to allow her to answer that question. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Okay. MR. SPIELMAN: What document was that? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: This is a law firm copy of something. I don't know. It's not labeled. MR. SPIELMAN: And you're reading from a letterhead that says what and Matthews? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Green & Matthews. MR. REED: What's that bottom number, though? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: That's an exhibit that I had.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. I believe that's in our engagement letter. Q. Okay. So when Anita determined that she was due all of this money as trustee compensation, instead of writing a check to herself, which would have caused the accountant to have to send her a 1099 for trustee compensation, she just paid her personal credit card bills directly out of my mother's trust account. So that's why I was asking if you know if she ever provided an accounting to Mother, because I don't think Mother would have agreed to that. But we'll never know now. So when you're counseling somebody to be a trustee, you need to explain to them that that was commingling, plain and simple. Then she wrote checks to pay off her son's car, and she wrote checks to pay off her daughter's car. This is all out of the survivor's trust account. So I don't know if my mother knew about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	took over as trustees. Now we have him representing Amy and Anita under a Green & Matthews letterhead. Now, is this a conflict? MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. MR. REED: Objection, form. I'm not going to allow her to answer that question. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Okay. MR. SPIELMAN: What document was that? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: This is a law firm copy of something. I don't know. It's not labeled. MR. SPIELMAN: And you're reading from a letterhead that says what and Matthews? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Green & Matthews. MR. REED: What's that bottom number, though? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: That's an exhibit that I had. MR. REED: It's an April 5th, 2012 letter.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. I believe that's in our engagement letter. Q. Okay. So when Anita determined that she was due all of this money as trustee compensation, instead of writing a check to herself, which would have caused the accountant to have to send her a 1099 for trustee compensation, she just paid her personal credit card bills directly out of my mother's trust account. So that's why I was asking if you know if she ever provided an accounting to Mother, because I don't think Mother would have agreed to that. But we'll never know now. So when you're counseling somebody to be a trustee, you need to explain to them that that was commingling, plain and simple. Then she wrote checks to pay off her son's car, and she wrote checks to pay off her daughter's car. This is all out of the survivor's trust account. So I don't know if my mother knew about that or not.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	took over as trustees. Now we have him representing Amy and Anita under a Green & Matthews letterhead. Now, is this a conflict? MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. MR. REED: Objection, form. I'm not going to allow her to answer that question. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Okay. MR. SPIELMAN: What document was that? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: This is a law firm copy of something. I don't know. It's not labeled. MR. SPIELMAN: And you're reading from a letterhead that says what and Matthews? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Green & Matthews. MR. REED: What's that bottom number, though? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: That's an exhibit that I had. MR. REED: It's an April 5th, 2012 letter. At the bottom it says P12146 through 12147. I'm not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. I believe that's in our engagement letter. Q. Okay. So when Anita determined that she was due all of this money as trustee compensation, instead of writing a check to herself, which would have caused the accountant to have to send her a 1099 for trustee compensation, she just paid her personal credit card bills directly out of my mother's trust account. So that's why I was asking if you know if she ever provided an accounting to Mother, because I don't think Mother would have agreed to that. But we'll never know now. So when you're counseling somebody to be a trustee, you need to explain to them that that was commingling, plain and simple. Then she wrote checks to pay off her son's car, and she wrote checks to pay off her daughter's car. This is all out of the survivor's trust account. So I don't know if my mother knew about that or not. MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	took over as trustees. Now we have him representing Amy and Anita under a Green & Matthews letterhead. Now, is this a conflict? MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. MR. REED: Objection, form. I'm not going to allow her to answer that question. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Okay. MR. SPIELMAN: What document was that? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: This is a law firm copy of something. I don't know. It's not labeled. MR. SPIELMAN: And you're reading from a letterhead that says what and Matthews? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Green & Matthews. MR. REED: What's that bottom number, though? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: That's an exhibit that I had. MR. REED: It's an April 5th, 2012 letter. At the bottom it says P12146 through 12147. I'm not sure what that came from.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. I believe that's in our engagement letter. Q. Okay. So when Anita determined that she was due all of this money as trustee compensation, instead of writing a check to herself, which would have caused the accountant to have to send her a 1099 for trustee compensation, she just paid her personal credit card bills directly out of my mother's trust account. So that's why I was asking if you know if she ever provided an accounting to Mother, because I don't think Mother would have agreed to that. But we'll never know now. So when you're counseling somebody to be a trustee, you need to explain to them that that was commingling, plain and simple. Then she wrote checks to pay off her son's car, and she wrote checks to pay off her daughter's car. This is all out of the survivor's trust account. So I don't know if my mother knew about that or not. MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. MR. REED: There's no question.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	took over as trustees. Now we have him representing Amy and Anita under a Green & Matthews letterhead. Now, is this a conflict? MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. MR. REED: Objection, form. I'm not going to allow her to answer that question. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Okay. MR. SPIELMAN: What document was that? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: This is a law firm copy of something. I don't know. It's not labeled. MR. SPIELMAN: And you're reading from a letterhead that says what and Matthews? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Green & Matthews. MR. REED: What's that bottom number, though? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: That's an exhibit that I had. MR. REED: It's an April 5th, 2012 letter. At the bottom it says P12146 through 12147. I'm not sure what that came from. MR. SPIELMAN: Which case?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. I believe that's in our engagement letter. Q. Okay. So when Anita determined that she was due all of this money as trustee compensation, instead of writing a check to herself, which would have caused the accountant to have to send her a 1099 for trustee compensation, she just paid her personal credit card bills directly out of my mother's trust account. So that's why I was asking if you know if she ever provided an accounting to Mother, because I don't think Mother would have agreed to that. But we'll never know now. So when you're counseling somebody to be a trustee, you need to explain to them that that was commingling, plain and simple. Then she wrote checks to pay off her son's car, and she wrote checks to pay off her daughter's car. This is all out of the survivor's trust account. So I don't know if my mother knew about that or not. MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. MR. REED: There's no question. Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) So did she ever ask
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	took over as trustees. Now we have him representing Amy and Anita under a Green & Matthews letterhead. Now, is this a conflict? MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. MR. REED: Objection, form. I'm not going to allow her to answer that question. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Okay. MR. SPIELMAN: What document was that? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: This is a law firm copy of something. I don't know. It's not labeled. MR. SPIELMAN: And you're reading from a letterhead that says what and Matthews? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Green & Matthews. MR. REED: What's that bottom number, though? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: That's an exhibit that I had. MR. REED: It's an April 5th, 2012 letter. At the bottom it says P12146 through 12147. I'm not sure what that came from. MR. SPIELMAN: Which case? MR. REED: Yeah.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. I believe that's in our engagement letter. Q. Okay. So when Anita determined that she was due all of this money as trustee compensation, instead of writing a check to herself, which would have caused the accountant to have to send her a 1099 for trustee compensation, she just paid her personal credit card bills directly out of my mother's trust account. So that's why I was asking if you know if she ever provided an accounting to Mother, because I don't think Mother would have agreed to that. But we'll never know now. So when you're counseling somebody to be a trustee, you need to explain to them that that was commingling, plain and simple. Then she wrote checks to pay off her son's car, and she wrote checks to pay off her daughter's car. This is all out of the survivor's trust account. So I don't know if my mother knew about that or not. MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. MR. REED: There's no question. Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) So did she ever ask you if she could pay her credit card bills out of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	took over as trustees. Now we have him representing Amy and Anita under a Green & Matthews letterhead. Now, is this a conflict? MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. MR. REED: Objection, form. I'm not going to allow her to answer that question. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Okay. MR. SPIELMAN: What document was that? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: This is a law firm copy of something. I don't know. It's not labeled. MR. SPIELMAN: And you're reading from a letterhead that says what and Matthews? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Green & Matthews. MR. REED: What's that bottom number, though? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: That's an exhibit that I had. MR. REED: It's an April 5th, 2012 letter. At the bottom it says P12146 through 12147. I'm not sure what that came from. MR. SPIELMAN: Which case? MR. REED: Yeah. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: "I represent Anita	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. I believe that's in our engagement letter. Q. Okay. So when Anita determined that she was due all of this money as trustee compensation, instead of writing a check to herself, which would have caused the accountant to have to send her a 1099 for trustee compensation, she just paid her personal credit card bills directly out of my mother's trust account. So that's why I was asking if you know if she ever provided an accounting to Mother, because I don't think Mother would have agreed to that. But we'll never know now. So when you're counseling somebody to be a trustee, you need to explain to them that that was commingling, plain and simple. Then she wrote checks to pay off her son's car, and she wrote checks to pay off her daughter's car. This is all out of the survivor's trust account. So I don't know if my mother knew about that or not. MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. MR. REED: There's no question. Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) So did she ever ask you if she could pay her credit card bills out of the survivor's trust account?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	took over as trustees. Now we have him representing Amy and Anita under a Green & Matthews letterhead. Now, is this a conflict? MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. MR. REED: Objection, form. I'm not going to allow her to answer that question. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Okay. MR. SPIELMAN: What document was that? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: This is a law firm copy of something. I don't know. It's not labeled. MR. SPIELMAN: And you're reading from a letterhead that says what and Matthews? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Green & Matthews. MR. REED: What's that bottom number, though? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: That's an exhibit that I had. MR. REED: It's an April 5th, 2012 letter. At the bottom it says P12146 through 12147. I'm not sure what that came from. MR. SPIELMAN: Which case? MR. REED: Yeah.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. I believe that's in our engagement letter. Q. Okay. So when Anita determined that she was due all of this money as trustee compensation, instead of writing a check to herself, which would have caused the accountant to have to send her a 1099 for trustee compensation, she just paid her personal credit card bills directly out of my mother's trust account. So that's why I was asking if you know if she ever provided an accounting to Mother, because I don't think Mother would have agreed to that. But we'll never know now. So when you're counseling somebody to be a trustee, you need to explain to them that that was commingling, plain and simple. Then she wrote checks to pay off her son's car, and she wrote checks to pay off her daughter's car. This is all out of the survivor's trust account. So I don't know if my mother knew about that or not. MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. MR. REED: There's no question. Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) So did she ever ask you if she could pay her credit card bills out of the

35 (Pages 134 to 137)

713-650-1800

	138		140
1	A. I do not recall ever being asked that question.	1	A. I'm sure they did.
2	Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) But she did ask you a	2	Q. Okay. When you left the Vacek firm, what was
3	lot of questions about gifting.	3	the reason that you left?
4	MR. REED: Objection, form.	4	A. I went on to on my own.
5	Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) When my mother	5	Q. Just
6	resigned as trustee, was she allowed to continue	6	A. Private practice.
7	gifting?	7	Q. I mean, you just wanted to?
8	A. "She"?	8	A. Sure, uh-huh.
9	Q. My mother?	9	Q. Did you leave on friendly terms?
10	A. Yes.	10	A. Yeah.
11	Q. When she was no longer trustee, just a mere	11	Q. Do you still do any work with them, work on
12	beneficiary?	12	cases with them or anything?
13	MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form.	13	A. With them, no, because they are not in business
14	MR. REED: Objection, form.	14	any longer.
15	Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) Okay. I guess I'm	15	Q. Oh, they're not?
16	done.	16	A. No.
17	MR. REED: Who's next?	17	Q. When did that happen?
18	MS. BAYLESS: Let's go off the record for	18	A. I don't know. I've been gone since 2015,
19	a second.	19	S0
20	(Recess taken.)	20	Q. Okay.
21		21	A. I don't know when they officially shut their
22		22	doors.
23		23	Q. When you left, did you know that they were
24		24	getting ready to shut their doors?
25		25	A. Did not.
		-	
	139		141
1	139 EXAMINATION	1	
1 2		1 2	Q. Did they shut the doors A. That was four years after.
	EXAMINATION		Q. Did they shut the doors
2	EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY MS. BAYLESS:	2	Q. Did they shut the doorsA. That was four years after.
2	EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY MS. BAYLESS: Q. Ms. Freed, I'm Bobbie Bayless. I represent	2 3	Q. Did they shut the doorsA. That was four years after.Q. I'm sorry?
2 3 4	EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY MS. BAYLESS: Q. Ms. Freed, I'm Bobbie Bayless. I represent Carl Brunsting. Do you understand that?	2 3 4	Q. Did they shut the doorsA. That was four years after.Q. I'm sorry?A. It's been four years, so I
2 3 4 5	EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY MS. BAYLESS: Q. Ms. Freed, I'm Bobbie Bayless. I represent Carl Brunsting. Do you understand that? A. I do.	2 3 4 5	 Q. Did they shut the doors A. That was four years after. Q. I'm sorry? A. It's been four years, so I Q. Okay. So sometime after you left, they closed,
2 3 4 5 6	EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY MS. BAYLESS: Q. Ms. Freed, I'm Bobbie Bayless. I represent Carl Brunsting. Do you understand that? A. I do. Q. Okay. I want to ask a couple of questions that	2 3 4 5 6	 Q. Did they shut the doors A. That was four years after. Q. I'm sorry? A. It's been four years, so I Q. Okay. So sometime after you left, they closed, but you don't know when?
2 3 4 5 6 7	EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY MS. BAYLESS: Q. Ms. Freed, I'm Bobbie Bayless. I represent Carl Brunsting. Do you understand that? A. I do. Q. Okay. I want to ask a couple of questions that go back to earlier today.	2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. Did they shut the doors A. That was four years after. Q. I'm sorry? A. It's been four years, so I Q. Okay. So sometime after you left, they closed, but you don't know when? A. I don't know when their official date was, no.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY MS. BAYLESS: Q. Ms. Freed, I'm Bobbie Bayless. I represent Carl Brunsting. Do you understand that? A. I do. Q. Okay. I want to ask a couple of questions that go back to earlier today. A. Uh-huh.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. Did they shut the doors A. That was four years after. Q. I'm sorry? A. It's been four years, so I Q. Okay. So sometime after you left, they closed, but you don't know when? A. I don't know when their official date was, no. Q. Okay. Are you board-certified in estate
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY MS. BAYLESS: Q. Ms. Freed, I'm Bobbie Bayless. I represent Carl Brunsting. Do you understand that? A. I do. Q. Okay. I want to ask a couple of questions that go back to earlier today. A. Uh-huh. Q. What law school did you attend? I couldn't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. Did they shut the doors A. That was four years after. Q. I'm sorry? A. It's been four years, so I Q. Okay. So sometime after you left, they closed, but you don't know when? A. I don't know when their official date was, no. Q. Okay. Are you board-certified in estate planning and probate?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY MS. BAYLESS: Q. Ms. Freed, I'm Bobbie Bayless. I represent Carl Brunsting. Do you understand that? A. I do. Q. Okay. I want to ask a couple of questions that go back to earlier today. A. Uh-huh. Q. What law school did you attend? I couldn't hear that. You may have answered it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Did they shut the doors A. That was four years after. Q. I'm sorry? A. It's been four years, so I Q. Okay. So sometime after you left, they closed, but you don't know when? A. I don't know when their official date was, no. Q. Okay. Are you board-certified in estate planning and probate? A. I am not.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY MS. BAYLESS: Q. Ms. Freed, I'm Bobbie Bayless. I represent Carl Brunsting. Do you understand that? A. I do. Q. Okay. I want to ask a couple of questions that go back to earlier today. A. Uh-huh. Q. What law school did you attend? I couldn't hear that. You may have answered it. A. St. Mary's.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. Did they shut the doors A. That was four years after. Q. I'm sorry? A. It's been four years, so I Q. Okay. So sometime after you left, they closed, but you don't know when? A. I don't know when their official date was, no. Q. Okay. Are you board-certified in estate planning and probate? A. I am not. Q. Have you ever taken that exam?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY MS. BAYLESS: Q. Ms. Freed, I'm Bobbie Bayless. I represent Carl Brunsting. Do you understand that? A. I do. Q. Okay. I want to ask a couple of questions that go back to earlier today. A. Uh-huh. Q. What law school did you attend? I couldn't hear that. You may have answered it. A. St. Mary's. Q. Okay. And you graduated when?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. Did they shut the doors A. That was four years after. Q. I'm sorry? A. It's been four years, so I Q. Okay. So sometime after you left, they closed, but you don't know when? A. I don't know when their official date was, no. Q. Okay. Are you board-certified in estate planning and probate? A. I am not. Q. Have you ever taken that exam? A. I have.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY MS. BAYLESS: Q. Ms. Freed, I'm Bobbie Bayless. I represent Carl Brunsting. Do you understand that? A. I do. Q. Okay. I want to ask a couple of questions that go back to earlier today. A. Uh-huh. Q. What law school did you attend? I couldn't hear that. You may have answered it. A. St. Mary's. Q. Okay. And you graduated when? A. 2003.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Did they shut the doors A. That was four years after. Q. I'm sorry? A. It's been four years, so I Q. Okay. So sometime after you left, they closed, but you don't know when? A. I don't know when their official date was, no. Q. Okay. Are you board-certified in estate planning and probate? A. I am not. Q. Have you ever taken that exam? A. I have. Q. When did you take that exam?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY MS. BAYLESS: Q. Ms. Freed, I'm Bobbie Bayless. I represent Carl Brunsting. Do you understand that? A. I do. Q. Okay. I want to ask a couple of questions that go back to earlier today. A. Uh-huh. Q. What law school did you attend? I couldn't hear that. You may have answered it. A. St. Mary's. Q. Okay. And you graduated when? A. 2003. Q. How was it that you ended up being employed at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Did they shut the doors A. That was four years after. Q. I'm sorry? A. It's been four years, so I Q. Okay. So sometime after you left, they closed, but you don't know when? A. I don't know when their official date was, no. Q. Okay. Are you board-certified in estate planning and probate? A. I am not. Q. Have you ever taken that exam? A. I have. Q. When did you take that exam? A. I don't recall. I think it may have been 2013
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY MS. BAYLESS: Q. Ms. Freed, I'm Bobbie Bayless. I represent Carl Brunsting. Do you understand that? A. I do. Q. Okay. I want to ask a couple of questions that go back to earlier today. A. Uh-huh. Q. What law school did you attend? I couldn't hear that. You may have answered it. A. St. Mary's. Q. Okay. And you graduated when? A. 2003. Q. How was it that you ended up being employed at the Vacek firm? How did you meet them?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. Did they shut the doors A. That was four years after. Q. I'm sorry? A. It's been four years, so I Q. Okay. So sometime after you left, they closed, but you don't know when? A. I don't know when their official date was, no. Q. Okay. Are you board-certified in estate planning and probate? A. I am not. Q. Have you ever taken that exam? A. I have. Q. When did you take that exam? A. I don't recall. I think it may have been 2013 maybe.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY MS. BAYLESS: Q. Ms. Freed, I'm Bobbie Bayless. I represent Carl Brunsting. Do you understand that? A. I do. Q. Okay. I want to ask a couple of questions that go back to earlier today. A. Uh-huh. Q. What law school did you attend? I couldn't hear that. You may have answered it. A. St. Mary's. Q. Okay. And you graduated when? A. 2003. Q. How was it that you ended up being employed at the Vacek firm? How did you meet them? A. I applied for an associate position.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. Did they shut the doors A. That was four years after. Q. I'm sorry? A. It's been four years, so I Q. Okay. So sometime after you left, they closed, but you don't know when? A. I don't know when their official date was, no. Q. Okay. Are you board-certified in estate planning and probate? A. I am not. Q. Have you ever taken that exam? A. I have. Q. When did you take that exam? A. I don't recall. I think it may have been 2013 maybe. Q. Okay. And do you have plans to take it again?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY MS. BAYLESS: Q. Ms. Freed, I'm Bobbie Bayless. I represent Carl Brunsting. Do you understand that? A. I do. Q. Okay. I want to ask a couple of questions that go back to earlier today. A. Uh-huh. Q. What law school did you attend? I couldn't hear that. You may have answered it. A. St. Mary's. Q. Okay. And you graduated when? A. 2003. Q. How was it that you ended up being employed at the Vacek firm? How did you meet them? A. I applied for an associate position. Q. Okay. And you said that you never were a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. Did they shut the doors — A. That was four years after. Q. I'm sorry? A. It's been four years, so I Q. Okay. So sometime after you left, they closed, but you don't know when? A. I don't know when their official date was, no. Q. Okay. Are you board-certified in estate planning and probate? A. I am not. Q. Have you ever taken that exam? A. I have. Q. When did you take that exam? A. I don't recall. I think it may have been 2013 maybe. Q. Okay. And do you have plans to take it again? A. Currently, no.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY MS. BAYLESS: Q. Ms. Freed, I'm Bobbie Bayless. I represent Carl Brunsting. Do you understand that? A. I do. Q. Okay. I want to ask a couple of questions that go back to earlier today. A. Uh-huh. Q. What law school did you attend? I couldn't hear that. You may have answered it. A. St. Mary's. Q. Okay. And you graduated when? A. 2003. Q. How was it that you ended up being employed at the Vacek firm? How did you meet them? A. I applied for an associate position. Q. Okay. And you said that you never were a partner there?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Did they shut the doors — A. That was four years after. Q. I'm sorry? A. It's been four years, so I Q. Okay. So sometime after you left, they closed, but you don't know when? A. I don't know when their official date was, no. Q. Okay. Are you board-certified in estate planning and probate? A. I am not. Q. Have you ever taken that exam? A. I have. Q. When did you take that exam? A. I don't recall. I think it may have been 2013 maybe. Q. Okay. And do you have plans to take it again? A. Currently, no. Q. So you only took it one time?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY MS. BAYLESS: Q. Ms. Freed, I'm Bobbie Bayless. I represent Carl Brunsting. Do you understand that? A. I do. Q. Okay. I want to ask a couple of questions that go back to earlier today. A. Uh-huh. Q. What law school did you attend? I couldn't hear that. You may have answered it. A. St. Mary's. Q. Okay. And you graduated when? A. 2003. Q. How was it that you ended up being employed at the Vacek firm? How did you meet them? A. I applied for an associate position. Q. Okay. And you said that you never were a partner there? A. That's correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. Did they shut the doors — A. That was four years after. Q. I'm sorry? A. It's been four years, so I Q. Okay. So sometime after you left, they closed, but you don't know when? A. I don't know when their official date was, no. Q. Okay. Are you board-certified in estate planning and probate? A. I am not. Q. Have you ever taken that exam? A. I have. Q. When did you take that exam? A. I don't recall. I think it may have been 2013 maybe. Q. Okay. And do you have plans to take it again? A. Currently, no. Q. So you only took it one time? A. I sat for it one time; that's correct, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY MS. BAYLESS: Q. Ms. Freed, I'm Bobbie Bayless. I represent Carl Brunsting. Do you understand that? A. I do. Q. Okay. I want to ask a couple of questions that go back to earlier today. A. Uh-huh. Q. What law school did you attend? I couldn't hear that. You may have answered it. A. St. Mary's. Q. Okay. And you graduated when? A. 2003. Q. How was it that you ended up being employed at the Vacek firm? How did you meet them? A. I applied for an associate position. Q. Okay. And you said that you never were a partner there? A. That's correct. Q. So why was your name in the firm name?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. Did they shut the doors A. That was four years after. Q. I'm sorry? A. It's been four years, so I Q. Okay. So sometime after you left, they closed, but you don't know when? A. I don't know when their official date was, no. Q. Okay. Are you board-certified in estate planning and probate? A. I am not. Q. Have you ever taken that exam? A. I have. Q. When did you take that exam? A. I don't recall. I think it may have been 2013 maybe. Q. Okay. And do you have plans to take it again? A. Currently, no. Q. So you only took it one time? A. I sat for it one time; that's correct, yes. Q. In connection with the documents that were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY MS. BAYLESS: Q. Ms. Freed, I'm Bobbie Bayless. I represent Carl Brunsting. Do you understand that? A. I do. Q. Okay. I want to ask a couple of questions that go back to earlier today. A. Uh-huh. Q. What law school did you attend? I couldn't hear that. You may have answered it. A. St. Mary's. Q. Okay. And you graduated when? A. 2003. Q. How was it that you ended up being employed at the Vacek firm? How did you meet them? A. I applied for an associate position. Q. Okay. And you said that you never were a partner there? A. That's correct. Q. So why was your name in the firm name? A. It was just something that they did.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Did they shut the doors — A. That was four years after. Q. I'm sorry? A. It's been four years, so I Q. Okay. So sometime after you left, they closed, but you don't know when? A. I don't know when their official date was, no. Q. Okay. Are you board-certified in estate planning and probate? A. I am not. Q. Have you ever taken that exam? A. I have. Q. When did you take that exam? A. I don't recall. I think it may have been 2013 maybe. Q. Okay. And do you have plans to take it again? A. Currently, no. Q. So you only took it one time? A. I sat for it one time; that's correct, yes. Q. In connection with the documents that were done — now, you weren't there when the restatement was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY MS. BAYLESS: Q. Ms. Freed, I'm Bobbie Bayless. I represent Carl Brunsting. Do you understand that? A. I do. Q. Okay. I want to ask a couple of questions that go back to earlier today. A. Uh-huh. Q. What law school did you attend? I couldn't hear that. You may have answered it. A. St. Mary's. Q. Okay. And you graduated when? A. 2003. Q. How was it that you ended up being employed at the Vacek firm? How did you meet them? A. I applied for an associate position. Q. Okay. And you said that you never were a partner there? A. That's correct. Q. So why was your name in the firm name? A. It was just something that they did. Q. Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Did they shut the doors A. That was four years after. Q. I'm sorry? A. It's been four years, so I Q. Okay. So sometime after you left, they closed, but you don't know when? A. I don't know when their official date was, no. Q. Okay. Are you board-certified in estate planning and probate? A. I am not. Q. Have you ever taken that exam? A. I have. Q. When did you take that exam? A. I don't recall. I think it may have been 2013 maybe. Q. Okay. And do you have plans to take it again? A. Currently, no. Q. So you only took it one time? A. I sat for it one time; that's correct, yes. Q. In connection with the documents that were done now, you weren't there when the restatement was done. You obviously weren't there when the first,

36 (Pages 138 to 141)

	142		144
1	Q. In terms of there was an amendment done, I	next time a client calls in or comes in, we know wh	at
2	believe, in did you have any occasion to be involved	was done the last time, who spoke with them and w	
3	in an amendment of the trust prior to what we're calling	3 needed to be done.	
4	the QBDs?	Q. When you say "the system," what particular	
5	A. Yes.	5 software are you using?	
6	MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. Which	6 A. It's called Act!	
7	trust?	7 Q. A-c-t?	
8	MS. BAYLESS: The restated trust.	8 A. A-c-t.	
9	A. Yes.	⁹ Q. Is that like a lawyer management system, or	
10	Q. (By Ms. Bayless) And do you recall what the	10 what is that?	
11	nature of the amendment was that you did?	A. I would describe it as a database.	
12	A. May I look at it?	Q. Okay. And so the person making the entry	
13	Q. Sure, absolutely.	well, first of all, do you still use this system at your	
14	MR. SPIELMAN: Exhibit 3 in the binder.	¹⁴ firm?	
15	A. It appears it was to change successor trustees.	15 A. I do not.	
16	Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. And that's Exhibit 3	Q. So you only used this at the Vacek firm?	
17	in the binder, right?	A. This was specific to the law firm, yes.	
18	A. Yes, that's correct.	Q. Did they use this system the entire time that	
19	Q. So this would, I assume, have been your first	¹⁹ you were there?	
20	involvement with this estate plan?	A. To the best of my knowledge, yes.	
21	A. I was the notary. So that's most likely. It's	Q. So were you trained on how to use it?	
22	not necessarily that I met with them. I just may have	A. Not I mean, yes, as you went along. Then	re
23	notarized the document.	was no formal training, but yes.	
24	Q. All right. One of the things that I'm going to	Q. And were you given instructions as to what w	as
25	want to go through with you some are your notes, what	to go into the notes or the history?	
			145
1	are called Notes/History.	A. I'm sure at some point we were.	143
2	A. I don't have those.	² Q. Okay. Well, looking, if you would, at	143
2 3	A. I don't have those.Q. Let me get you a set.	Q. Okay. Well, looking, if you would, at Exhibit 17 first, I think you have to I'm using it	
2 3 4	A. I don't have those.Q. Let me get you a set.(Exhibits 17 and 18 marked.)	Q. Okay. Well, looking, if you would, at Exhibit 17 first, I think you have to I'm using it this way because this is the way it was numbered wl	
2 3 4 5	 A. I don't have those. Q. Let me get you a set. (Exhibits 17 and 18 marked.) Q. (By Ms. Bayless) All right. So we have marked 	Q. Okay. Well, looking, if you would, at Exhibit 17 first, I think you have to I'm using it this way because this is the way it was numbered wl was provided. But to find the beginning of this	
2 3 4 5 6	 A. I don't have those. Q. Let me get you a set. (Exhibits 17 and 18 marked.) Q. (By Ms. Bayless) All right. So we have marked as Exhibit 17 what is numbered at the bottom V&F 001176 	Q. Okay. Well, looking, if you would, at Exhibit 17 first, I think you have to I'm using it this way because this is the way it was numbered wl was provided. But to find the beginning of this document, you have to go to V&F 001183, I think.	
2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. I don't have those. Q. Let me get you a set. (Exhibits 17 and 18 marked.) Q. (By Ms. Bayless) All right. So we have marked as Exhibit 17 what is numbered at the bottom V&F 001176 through 1197. Does that look right? 	Q. Okay. Well, looking, if you would, at Exhibit 17 first, I think you have to I'm using it this way because this is the way it was numbered wl was provided. But to find the beginning of this document, you have to go to V&F 001183, I think. A. Okay.	hen it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. I don't have those. Q. Let me get you a set. (Exhibits 17 and 18 marked.) Q. (By Ms. Bayless) All right. So we have marked as Exhibit 17 what is numbered at the bottom V&F 001176 through 1197. Does that look right? A. Those are the numbers on the bottom. 	Q. Okay. Well, looking, if you would, at Exhibit 17 first, I think you have to I'm using it this way because this is the way it was numbered wl was provided. But to find the beginning of this document, you have to go to V&F 001183, I think. A. Okay. So the entries on this page begin in 2003. So	hen it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. I don't have those. Q. Let me get you a set. (Exhibits 17 and 18 marked.) Q. (By Ms. Bayless) All right. So we have marked as Exhibit 17 what is numbered at the bottom V&F 001176 through 1197. Does that look right? A. Those are the numbers on the bottom. Q. I'm sorry? 	Q. Okay. Well, looking, if you would, at Exhibit 17 first, I think you have to I'm using it this way because this is the way it was numbered wl was provided. But to find the beginning of this document, you have to go to V&F 001183, I think. A. Okay. Q. So the entries on this page begin in 2003. So that's obviously before you were there.	hen it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. I don't have those. Q. Let me get you a set. (Exhibits 17 and 18 marked.) Q. (By Ms. Bayless) All right. So we have marked as Exhibit 17 what is numbered at the bottom V&F 001176 through 1197. Does that look right? A. Those are the numbers on the bottom. Q. I'm sorry? A. Those are the numbers that appear at the 	Q. Okay. Well, looking, if you would, at Exhibit 17 first, I think you have to I'm using it this way because this is the way it was numbered wl was provided. But to find the beginning of this document, you have to go to V&F 001183, I think. A. Okay. Q. So the entries on this page begin in 2003. So that's obviously before you were there. A. Yes.	hen it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. I don't have those. Q. Let me get you a set. (Exhibits 17 and 18 marked.) Q. (By Ms. Bayless) All right. So we have marked as Exhibit 17 what is numbered at the bottom V&F 001176 through 1197. Does that look right? A. Those are the numbers on the bottom. Q. I'm sorry? A. Those are the numbers that appear at the bottom. 	Q. Okay. Well, looking, if you would, at Exhibit 17 first, I think you have to I'm using it this way because this is the way it was numbered wl was provided. But to find the beginning of this document, you have to go to V&F 001183, I think. A. Okay. Q. So the entries on this page begin in 2003. So that's obviously before you were there. A. Yes. Q. Who maintained this database?	hen it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. I don't have those. Q. Let me get you a set. (Exhibits 17 and 18 marked.) Q. (By Ms. Bayless) All right. So we have marked as Exhibit 17 what is numbered at the bottom V&F 001176 through 1197. Does that look right? A. Those are the numbers on the bottom. Q. I'm sorry? A. Those are the numbers that appear at the bottom. Q. Okay. And then we have marked as Exhibit 18 	Q. Okay. Well, looking, if you would, at Exhibit 17 first, I think you have to I'm using it this way because this is the way it was numbered wl was provided. But to find the beginning of this document, you have to go to V&F 001183, I think. A. Okay. Q. So the entries on this page begin in 2003. So that's obviously before you were there. A. Yes. Q. Who maintained this database? MR. REED: Object to form.	hen it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. I don't have those. Q. Let me get you a set. (Exhibits 17 and 18 marked.) Q. (By Ms. Bayless) All right. So we have marked as Exhibit 17 what is numbered at the bottom V&F 001176 through 1197. Does that look right? A. Those are the numbers on the bottom. Q. I'm sorry? A. Those are the numbers that appear at the bottom. Q. Okay. And then we have marked as Exhibit 18 let's just go ahead and identify that the document	Q. Okay. Well, looking, if you would, at Exhibit 17 first, I think you have to I'm using it this way because this is the way it was numbered wl was provided. But to find the beginning of this document, you have to go to V&F 001183, I think. A. Okay. Q. So the entries on this page begin in 2003. So that's obviously before you were there. A. Yes. Q. Who maintained this database? MR. REED: Object to form. A. I don't know what you're asking.	hen it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. I don't have those. Q. Let me get you a set. (Exhibits 17 and 18 marked.) Q. (By Ms. Bayless) All right. So we have marked as Exhibit 17 what is numbered at the bottom V&F 001176 through 1197. Does that look right? A. Those are the numbers on the bottom. Q. I'm sorry? A. Those are the numbers that appear at the bottom. Q. Okay. And then we have marked as Exhibit 18 let's just go ahead and identify that the document that was just produced yesterday part of the	Q. Okay. Well, looking, if you would, at Exhibit 17 first, I think you have to I'm using it this way because this is the way it was numbered wl was provided. But to find the beginning of this document, you have to go to V&F 001183, I think. A. Okay. Q. So the entries on this page begin in 2003. So that's obviously before you were there. A. Yes. Q. Who maintained this database? MR. REED: Object to form. A. I don't know what you're asking. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) I'm not sure I do either.	hen it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. I don't have those. Q. Let me get you a set. (Exhibits 17 and 18 marked.) Q. (By Ms. Bayless) All right. So we have marked as Exhibit 17 what is numbered at the bottom V&F 001176 through 1197. Does that look right? A. Those are the numbers on the bottom. Q. I'm sorry? A. Those are the numbers that appear at the bottom. Q. Okay. And then we have marked as Exhibit 18 let's just go ahead and identify that the document that was just produced yesterday part of the documents that were produced yesterday, that begin with	Q. Okay. Well, looking, if you would, at Exhibit 17 first, I think you have to I'm using it this way because this is the way it was numbered wl was provided. But to find the beginning of this document, you have to go to V&F 001183, I think. A. Okay. Q. So the entries on this page begin in 2003. So that's obviously before you were there. A. Yes. Q. Who maintained this database? MR. REED: Object to form. A. I don't know what you're asking. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) I'm not sure I do either. If you well, this says that this	hen it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. I don't have those. Q. Let me get you a set. (Exhibits 17 and 18 marked.) Q. (By Ms. Bayless) All right. So we have marked as Exhibit 17 what is numbered at the bottom V&F 001176 through 1197. Does that look right? A. Those are the numbers on the bottom. Q. I'm sorry? A. Those are the numbers that appear at the bottom. Q. Okay. And then we have marked as Exhibit 18 let's just go ahead and identify that the document that was just produced yesterday part of the	Q. Okay. Well, looking, if you would, at Exhibit 17 first, I think you have to I'm using it this way because this is the way it was numbered wl was provided. But to find the beginning of this document, you have to go to V&F 001183, I think. A. Okay. Q. So the entries on this page begin in 2003. So that's obviously before you were there. A. Yes. Q. Who maintained this database? MR. REED: Object to form. A. I don't know what you're asking. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) I'm not sure I do either. If you well, this says that this document, first of all, was created if you look at	hen it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. I don't have those. Q. Let me get you a set. (Exhibits 17 and 18 marked.) Q. (By Ms. Bayless) All right. So we have marked as Exhibit 17 what is numbered at the bottom V&F 001176 through 1197. Does that look right? A. Those are the numbers on the bottom. Q. I'm sorry? A. Those are the numbers that appear at the bottom. Q. Okay. And then we have marked as Exhibit 18 let's just go ahead and identify that the document that was just produced yesterday part of the documents that were produced yesterday, that begin with the numbers V&F 002168 and go through 2183. Is that	Q. Okay. Well, looking, if you would, at Exhibit 17 first, I think you have to I'm using it this way because this is the way it was numbered wl was provided. But to find the beginning of this document, you have to go to V&F 001183, I think. A. Okay. Q. So the entries on this page begin in 2003. So that's obviously before you were there. A. Yes. Q. Who maintained this database? MR. REED: Object to form. A. I don't know what you're asking. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) I'm not sure I do either. If you well, this says that this document, first of all, was created if you look at	hen it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. I don't have those. Q. Let me get you a set. (Exhibits 17 and 18 marked.) Q. (By Ms. Bayless) All right. So we have marked as Exhibit 17 what is numbered at the bottom V&F 001176 through 1197. Does that look right? A. Those are the numbers on the bottom. Q. I'm sorry? A. Those are the numbers that appear at the bottom. Q. Okay. And then we have marked as Exhibit 18 let's just go ahead and identify that the document that was just produced yesterday part of the documents that were produced yesterday, that begin with the numbers V&F 002168 and go through 2183. Is that correct? A. Yes, that's correct.	Q. Okay. Well, looking, if you would, at Exhibit 17 first, I think you have to I'm using it this way because this is the way it was numbered wl was provided. But to find the beginning of this document, you have to go to V&F 001183, I think. A. Okay. Q. So the entries on this page begin in 2003. So that's obviously before you were there. A. Yes. Q. Who maintained this database? MR. REED: Object to form. A. I don't know what you're asking. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) I'm not sure I do either. If you well, this says that this document, first of all, was created if you look at the very bottom, it says it was created March 22nd, 2012.	hen it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. I don't have those. Q. Let me get you a set.	Q. Okay. Well, looking, if you would, at Exhibit 17 first, I think you have to I'm using it this way because this is the way it was numbered wl was provided. But to find the beginning of this document, you have to go to V&F 001183, I think. A. Okay. Q. So the entries on this page begin in 2003. So that's obviously before you were there. A. Yes. Q. Who maintained this database? MR. REED: Object to form. A. I don't know what you're asking. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) I'm not sure I do either. If you well, this says that this document, first of all, was created if you look at the very bottom, it says it was created March 22nd, 2012. A. Okay.	hen it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. I don't have those. Q. Let me get you a set. (Exhibits 17 and 18 marked.) Q. (By Ms. Bayless) All right. So we have marked as Exhibit 17 what is numbered at the bottom V&F 001176 through 1197. Does that look right? A. Those are the numbers on the bottom. Q. I'm sorry? A. Those are the numbers that appear at the bottom. Q. Okay. And then we have marked as Exhibit 18 let's just go ahead and identify that the document that was just produced yesterday part of the documents that were produced yesterday, that begin with the numbers V&F 002168 and go through 2183. Is that correct? A. Yes, that's correct.	Q. Okay. Well, looking, if you would, at Exhibit 17 first, I think you have to I'm using it this way because this is the way it was numbered wl was provided. But to find the beginning of this document, you have to go to V&F 001183, I think. A. Okay. Q. So the entries on this page begin in 2003. So that's obviously before you were there. A. Yes. Q. Who maintained this database? MR. REED: Object to form. A. I don't know what you're asking. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) I'm not sure I do either. If you well, this says that this document, first of all, was created if you look at the very bottom, it says it was created March 22nd, 2012. A. Okay. Q. Now, I assume that means when it was printe	hen it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. I don't have those. Q. Let me get you a set. (Exhibits 17 and 18 marked.) Q. (By Ms. Bayless) All right. So we have marked as Exhibit 17 what is numbered at the bottom V&F 001176 through 1197. Does that look right? A. Those are the numbers on the bottom. Q. I'm sorry? A. Those are the numbers that appear at the bottom. Q. Okay. And then we have marked as Exhibit 18 let's just go ahead and identify that the document that was just produced yesterday part of the documents that were produced yesterday, that begin with the numbers V&F 002168 and go through 2183. Is that correct? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. So these are documents that your attorney produced, and they say at the top that they are	Q. Okay. Well, looking, if you would, at Exhibit 17 first, I think you have to I'm using it this way because this is the way it was numbered wl was provided. But to find the beginning of this document, you have to go to V&F 001183, I think. A. Okay. Q. So the entries on this page begin in 2003. So that's obviously before you were there. A. Yes. Q. Who maintained this database? MR. REED: Object to form. A. I don't know what you're asking. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) I'm not sure I do either. If you well, this says that this document, first of all, was created if you look at the very bottom, it says it was created March 22nd, 2012. A. Okay. Q. Now, I assume that means when it was printe A. Probably. That would be my guess.	hen it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. I don't have those. Q. Let me get you a set. (Exhibits 17 and 18 marked.) Q. (By Ms. Bayless) All right. So we have marked as Exhibit 17 what is numbered at the bottom V&F 001176 through 1197. Does that look right? A. Those are the numbers on the bottom. Q. I'm sorry? A. Those are the numbers that appear at the bottom. Q. Okay. And then we have marked as Exhibit 18 let's just go ahead and identify that the document that was just produced yesterday part of the documents that were produced yesterday, that begin with the numbers V&F 002168 and go through 2183. Is that correct? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. So these are documents that your attorney produced, and they say at the top that they are Notes/History.	Q. Okay. Well, looking, if you would, at Exhibit 17 first, I think you have to I'm using it this way because this is the way it was numbered wl was provided. But to find the beginning of this document, you have to go to V&F 001183, I think. A. Okay. Q. So the entries on this page begin in 2003. So that's obviously before you were there. A. Yes. Q. Who maintained this database? MR. REED: Object to form. A. I don't know what you're asking. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) I'm not sure I do either. If you well, this says that this document, first of all, was created if you look at the very bottom, it says it was created March 22nd, 2012. A. Okay. Q. Now, I assume that means when it was printe A. Probably. That would be my guess.	hen it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. I don't have those. Q. Let me get you a set.	Q. Okay. Well, looking, if you would, at Exhibit 17 first, I think you have to I'm using it this way because this is the way it was numbered wl was provided. But to find the beginning of this document, you have to go to V&F 001183, I think. A. Okay. Q. So the entries on this page begin in 2003. So that's obviously before you were there. A. Yes. Q. Who maintained this database? MR. REED: Object to form. A. I don't know what you're asking. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) I'm not sure I do either. If you well, this says that this document, first of all, was created if you look at the very bottom, it says it was created March 22nd, 2012. A. Okay. Q. Now, I assume that means when it was printe A. Probably. That would be my guess. Q. Did everyone have access to the database at the	hen it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. I don't have those. Q. Let me get you a set.	Q. Okay. Well, looking, if you would, at Exhibit 17 first, I think you have to I'm using it this way because this is the way it was numbered wl was provided. But to find the beginning of this document, you have to go to V&F 001183, I think. A. Okay. Q. So the entries on this page begin in 2003. So that's obviously before you were there. A. Yes. Q. Who maintained this database? MR. REED: Object to form. A. I don't know what you're asking. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) I'm not sure I do either. If you well, this says that this document, first of all, was created if you look at the very bottom, it says it was created March 22nd, 2012. A. Okay. Q. Now, I assume that means when it was printe A. Probably. That would be my guess. Q. Did everyone have access to the database at to office, everyone at the office?	hen it

37 (Pages 142 to 145)

146 148 1 administration of the trust at that point? computers? 2 2 A. It was on a server, uh-huh. A. Well, you'd have to define "administration." 3 Q. So if you look at this page 1183 -- I'm going 3 Clients don't have me do their trusts when they're still to just refer to them by the last numbers if that's 4 living. They administer their own trusts. 5 5 okay. If you look at 1183 and you see the 2-1-2003 Q. So you didn't do anything -- you didn't 6 entry. Then there's not another entry until 2005. transfer property into the trust for them? 7 Do you see that? A. No. 8 8 A. Uh-huh. Q. Did you tell them how to do that? 9 9 Q. And it says on January 12th, 2005, they came in A. Well, I'm sure they were told how to do that 10 10 and did that on their own when they initially did the to sign a restatement. 11 11 So we're going to assume that that's the 12 12 Q. But it wouldn't have involved you? restatement that is Exhibit 2. 13 13 A. Okav. A. No. That would have been years before I was 14 Q. Do you think that's in all likelihood the case? 14 there. 15 15 A. Probably. Q. Well, you said you were sure, but you're just 16 16 Q. Okay. Now, there aren't any entries in here assuming that that's what happened? 17 about what was going to be in that document, in that 17 MR. REED: Objection, form. 18 18 restatement, Exhibit 2, right? A. If it's in the trust's name, somebody 19 19 A. I don't see any. transferred it into the name of the trust, their assets. 20 20 Q. And, to your knowledge, did anybody ever go in Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. So when you learned of 21 21 and take out entries after they were put in? Elmer's dementia, it didn't change your relationship or 22 22 anything that you were involved in? A. I don't believe so. I don't know why they 23 23 A. No. would. 24 24 Q. Anybody wouldn't have that authority, that you Q. You heard Carole earlier say that her mother 25 25 wasn't a paperwork person. Was that your experience in know of, right? 147 149 1 1 MR. REED: Objection, form. dealing with her? 2 2 A. I don't know. A. Yes. 3 3 Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. So then there's not Q. Okay. So there was an amendment that you at 4 another appointment until 2007, and then there are just 4 least notarized; whether you prepared it or not, you 5 5 notarized it in 2007? some entries about scheduling appointments. 6 Then on September 19th, 2007, there 6 A. Correct. I agree I notarized it. I don't know 7 7 appears to be an entry where Nelva called and talked if I prepared it. 8 Q. And then what is the next thing that you recall about Elmer's dementia. 8 9 So were you helping them at the time that 9 you did for these folks, for Nelva or Elmer? 10 Elmer developed dementia? Do you recall? 10 A. I don't recall. I'm sorry. 11 11 MR. SPIELMAN: Form. Q. That's all right. I don't recall what I had 12 12 A. Yes. for breakfast a couple days ago. 13 13 But if you remembered anything or if you Q. (By Ms. Bayless) And how did you first learn 14 14 did anything, you don't remember at this point? about that? 15 15 A. In meeting with Nelva. A. Correct. 16 16 Q. And when you met with Nelva initially, did you Q. Now, I notice over to the right on this 17 meet with her by yourself? Did you meet with her with 17 Notes/History that it has a name, typically, to the 18 18 right of an entry. And I assume that's who made the Mr. or Ms. Vacek, or do you recall how any of that 19 19 happened? entry? 20 20 A. Typically, yes. But the system was limited. A. I don't recall. I don't. 21 21 Q. So at some point adjustments had to be made to So I'm going to point out that a lot of times you will 22 how the trust was being administered because of Elmer's 22 see my initials, CLF, at the end of a paragraph. 23 dementia, correct? 23 O. Okav. 24 24 A. And that lets me know I actually put that in. A. I suppose that that was the case, yes. 25 25 Because they had plenty of employees that came and went Q. So were you not involved in any of the

38 (Pages 146 to 149)

	150	152
1	over time. Due to the limitations on the system, you	Q. Was anybody ever authorized to put in an entry
2	can only have so many names. So they would change	about what you did?
3	somebody's name to mine, and then it would go back	3 A. Ever? I have no idea.
4	through the system and change it all the way back.	4 Q. Okay.
5	So I learned to put my initials at the end	5 A. Typically it did not happen, but I can't say
6	of everything very quickly, because when they added a	6 never.
7	new name, it caused the names on the right to be	Q. Okay. So pretty much whoever performed the
8	changed. It was just a limitation on the database	8 task put the entry in?
9	system.	9 A. Yes.
10	Q. You have completely lost me on that, though.	Q. To your knowledge, this situation that you
11	A. Sorry. Sorry I'm confusing	talked about where somebody gets added to the system and
12	Q. It's a fine explanation. I just don't really	then it messes the names up and the history of the
13	understand it.	system, there isn't anything that affects these notes
14	You said that if they put another name in,	about this particular case, that you know of, like that,
15	it would knock you off. Is that it basically?	is there?
16	A. No. When they wanted to add me when I started	A. I don't know because I didn't go through and
17	working there	match up the record manager with whether my initials
18	Q. Yes.	were at the end or not.
19	A the system only allowed six or seven names,	Q. Looking on page 1182 just because I'm still
20	as I recall, to be people who could be a record manager.	trying to understand this database, looking at the
21	And that means that people that already entered things,	²¹ 6-30-2018 entry?
22	they had to be removed and I had to be added. And when	22 A. 6 what?
23	that happened, whoever I was replaced with, if they had	Q. 6-30-2018, second entry up there. It says that
24	notes in there, it replaced me, my name, with the record	Nelva called to schedule an appointments with CLF.
25	manager even though I wasn't even at the firm yet.	I assume that's you?
1 2	Q. Okay.A. So I learned very quickly to put my initials at	1 A. That's correct. 2 Q. Once you started doing the work for them, were
3	the end of the paragraph so that I knew that it was	you the only person that really dealt with them?
4	actually something that I typed.	⁴ Attorney-wise, I mean.
5	Q. Okay.	5 A. With Ms. Brunsting, probably because she did
6	A. You can usually tell I typed something because	6 not like to be shifted around between attorneys. Over
7	I'm a horrible typist, and I would misspell things all	the years we had attorneys coming and going, and she was
8	the time.	8 not a fan of that.
9	Q. So even if it says Candace Freed, it's better,	9 Q. Okay.
10	sounds like, to look at the end of the paragraph to see	A. So once she met you, she wanted to stay. So I
11	your initials to know for sure that came from you?	would say typically, yes, that would be true.
12	A. That's correct.	Q. Okay. And it says after called to schedule
13	Q. Now, would there have been entries that related	appointment with you
14	to you that someone else might have had responsibility	14 A. Uh-huh.
15	to put in?	Q what is "4 appointment"?
16	A. Oh, of course.	16 A. A number 4 is a type of appointment so that I 17 know what I'm coming into
17	Q. Who would have put entries in for you?	miow what I in coming into
18 19	A. Not for me. I put my own notes in.	18 Q. All right. And what type of appointment is 19 that?
20	Q. Okay.	
20	A. But if somebody else had conversations with	20 A. A 4 would be a review appointment. 21 Q. How would we know what the various options are?
22	them, with any of the clients, or set up a meeting for me, on my behalf, their names would appear there.	22 A. You wouldn't unless you worked there.
23	Q. Okay. They would be putting in an entry about	23 Q. Okay.
24	what they did?	24 A. These were set before I even started working
25	A. Correct.	there, and that was the way they did things.
	5011400	,

39 (Pages 150 to 153)

	154		156
1	Q. Okay.	1	couple entries, but the bottom entry that is dated
2	A. Sorry.	2	April 3rd, 2009 indicates that it says, "CLF received
3	Q. So 4 was a review?	3	message from the AS that Nelva had called."
4	A. Uh-huh.	4	Now, what is the AS?
5	Q. "Set for T." I assume that's the day of the	5	A. Answering service.
6	week?	6	Q. Okay. I'm going to have to get up on my
7	A. I guess.	7	acronyms.
8	Q. "7-1 at 3:30." Then it's got "EM."	8	A. Well, it depends on who typed it and what day.
9	A. That's probably e-mailed to me, probably	9	Just saying.
10	e-mailing me, letting me know that I have an appointment	10	Q. Okay. And so this is informing you that Elmer
11	that day.	11	had passed away on April 1st, 2009.
12	Q. So e-mailed to you?	12	A. It appears to be true, yes.
13	A. Yeah.	13	Q. You probably don't have an independent
14	Q. So your initials there don't mean you put it	14	recollection, but you don't have any reason to believe
15	in.	15	that's not the date of his death, do you?
16	A. That's correct.	16	A. I do not.
17	Q. The e-mail was to you.	17	Q. So this looks like, even though you refer to
18	A. I can see the SK because my assistant learned	18	yourself, you said, "CLF received message"; you're the
19	very quickly also that she better put her initials at	19	one typing this entry
20	the bottom. Because look at the record manager says	20	A. Uh-huh.
21	Tanya Lyrock; and I see SK, which is Summer Kennan,	21	Q because it has your initials on there?
22	which was my assistant. So that's why.	22	A. Right. I believe that's fair to say.
23	Q. Okay. So this may be one of those instances	23	Q. Okay. All right. So after well, first,
24	where the name got changed?	24	before Elmer died, do you recall ever having any
25	A. Exactly.	25	conversation with Anita about any of these trust issues?
	155		157
1	Q. Who was Tanya Lyrock?	1	MR. SPIELMAN: Form.
	Q. The was ranja Djroen.		THE STREET IN TOTAL
2	A. A previous employee.	2	A. No, I do not.
		2 3	
2	A. A previous employee.	1	A. No, I do not.
2	A. A previous employee.Q. The name of your assistant was what?	3	A. No, I do not.Q. (By Ms. Bayless) And before Elmer died, do you
2 3 4	A. A previous employee.Q. The name of your assistant was what?A. Her name was Summer Kennan; but she got married	3 4	A. No, I do not.Q. (By Ms. Bayless) And before Elmer died, do you remember having any conversations with Amy about any of
2 3 4 5	 A. A previous employee. Q. The name of your assistant was what? A. Her name was Summer Kennan; but she got married in the interim, so it was Summer Peoples. 	3 4 5	A. No, I do not. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) And before Elmer died, do you remember having any conversations with Amy about any of the trust issues?
2 3 4 5 6	 A. A previous employee. Q. The name of your assistant was what? A. Her name was Summer Kennan; but she got married in the interim, so it was Summer Peoples. Q. How do you spell the K? 	3 4 5 6	 A. No, I do not. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) And before Elmer died, do you remember having any conversations with Amy about any of the trust issues? MR. SPIELMAN: Form.
2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. A previous employee. Q. The name of your assistant was what? A. Her name was Summer Kennan; but she got married in the interim, so it was Summer Peoples. Q. How do you spell the K? A. K-e-n-n-a-n. 	3 4 5 6 7	 A. No, I do not. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) And before Elmer died, do you remember having any conversations with Amy about any of the trust issues? MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. I do not.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. A previous employee. Q. The name of your assistant was what? A. Her name was Summer Kennan; but she got married in the interim, so it was Summer Peoples. Q. How do you spell the K? A. K-e-n-n-a-n. Q. Once she married, it changed to Peoples? 	3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. No, I do not. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) And before Elmer died, do you remember having any conversations with Amy about any of the trust issues? MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. I do not. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) After Elmer died, when was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. A previous employee. Q. The name of your assistant was what? A. Her name was Summer Kennan; but she got married in the interim, so it was Summer Peoples. Q. How do you spell the K? A. K-e-n-n-a-n. Q. Once she married, it changed to Peoples? A. And then you'll see SKP. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. No, I do not. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) And before Elmer died, do you remember having any conversations with Amy about any of the trust issues? MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. I do not. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) After Elmer died, when was the first conversation that you recall having with Anita
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. A previous employee. Q. The name of your assistant was what? A. Her name was Summer Kennan; but she got married in the interim, so it was Summer Peoples. Q. How do you spell the K? A. K-e-n-n-a-n. Q. Once she married, it changed to Peoples? A. And then you'll see SKP. Q. All right. Okay. So these entries around this 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. No, I do not. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) And before Elmer died, do you remember having any conversations with Amy about any of the trust issues? MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. I do not. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) After Elmer died, when was the first conversation that you recall having with Anita about trust issues?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. A previous employee. Q. The name of your assistant was what? A. Her name was Summer Kennan; but she got married in the interim, so it was Summer Peoples. Q. How do you spell the K? A. K-e-n-n-a-n. Q. Once she married, it changed to Peoples? A. And then you'll see SKP. Q. All right. Okay. So these entries around this time period were relating to the fact that Elmer was no 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. No, I do not. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) And before Elmer died, do you remember having any conversations with Amy about any of the trust issues? MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. I do not. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) After Elmer died, when was the first conversation that you recall having with Anita about trust issues? MR. REED: Form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. A previous employee. Q. The name of your assistant was what? A. Her name was Summer Kennan; but she got married in the interim, so it was Summer Peoples. Q. How do you spell the K? A. K-e-n-a-n. Q. Once she married, it changed to Peoples? A. And then you'll see SKP. Q. All right. Okay. So these entries around this time period were relating to the fact that Elmer was no longer really able to handle the financial affairs. Is 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. No, I do not. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) And before Elmer died, do you remember having any conversations with Amy about any of the trust issues? MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. I do not. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) After Elmer died, when was the first conversation that you recall having with Anita about trust issues? MR. REED: Form. A. I would imagine it was around the time that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. A previous employee. Q. The name of your assistant was what? A. Her name was Summer Kennan; but she got married in the interim, so it was Summer Peoples. Q. How do you spell the K? A. K-e-n-n-a-n. Q. Once she married, it changed to Peoples? A. And then you'll see SKP. Q. All right. Okay. So these entries around this time period were relating to the fact that Elmer was no longer really able to handle the financial affairs. Is that fair? A. Which date? Q. Well, these dates in 2008 that we're looking at 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. No, I do not. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) And before Elmer died, do you remember having any conversations with Amy about any of the trust issues? MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. I do not. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) After Elmer died, when was the first conversation that you recall having with Anita about trust issues? MR. REED: Form. A. I would imagine it was around the time that Carl fell ill.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. A previous employee. Q. The name of your assistant was what? A. Her name was Summer Kennan; but she got married in the interim, so it was Summer Peoples. Q. How do you spell the K? A. K-e-n-n-a-n. Q. Once she married, it changed to Peoples? A. And then you'll see SKP. Q. All right. Okay. So these entries around this time period were relating to the fact that Elmer was no longer really able to handle the financial affairs. Is that fair? A. Which date? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. No, I do not. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) And before Elmer died, do you remember having any conversations with Amy about any of the trust issues? MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. I do not. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) After Elmer died, when was the first conversation that you recall having with Anita about trust issues? MR. REED: Form. A. I would imagine it was around the time that Carl fell ill. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. And is it your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. A previous employee. Q. The name of your assistant was what? A. Her name was Summer Kennan; but she got married in the interim, so it was Summer Peoples. Q. How do you spell the K? A. K-e-n-n-a-n. Q. Once she married, it changed to Peoples? A. And then you'll see SKP. Q. All right. Okay. So these entries around this time period were relating to the fact that Elmer was no longer really able to handle the financial affairs. Is that fair? A. Which date? Q. Well, these dates in 2008 that we're looking at 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. No, I do not. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) And before Elmer died, do you remember having any conversations with Amy about any of the trust issues? MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. I do not. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) After Elmer died, when was the first conversation that you recall having with Anita about trust issues? MR. REED: Form. A. I would imagine it was around the time that Carl fell ill. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. And is it your recollection we'll go through some of these entries.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. A previous employee. Q. The name of your assistant was what? A. Her name was Summer Kennan; but she got married in the interim, so it was Summer Peoples. Q. How do you spell the K? A. K-e-n-n-a-n. Q. Once she married, it changed to Peoples? A. And then you'll see SKP. Q. All right. Okay. So these entries around this time period were relating to the fact that Elmer was no longer really able to handle the financial affairs. Is that fair? A. Which date? Q. Well, these dates in 2008 that we're looking at on page 1182. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. No, I do not. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) And before Elmer died, do you remember having any conversations with Amy about any of the trust issues? MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. I do not. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) After Elmer died, when was the first conversation that you recall having with Anita about trust issues? MR. REED: Form. A. I would imagine it was around the time that Carl fell ill. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. And is it your recollection we'll go through some of these entries. I'm not trying to force you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. A previous employee. Q. The name of your assistant was what? A. Her name was Summer Kennan; but she got married in the interim, so it was Summer Peoples. Q. How do you spell the K? A. K-e-n-n-a-n. Q. Once she married, it changed to Peoples? A. And then you'll see SKP. Q. All right. Okay. So these entries around this time period were relating to the fact that Elmer was no longer really able to handle the financial affairs. Is that fair? A. Which date? Q. Well, these dates in 2008 that we're looking at on page 1182. A. It appears that way based off just what I'm	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. No, I do not. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) And before Elmer died, do you remember having any conversations with Amy about any of the trust issues? MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. I do not. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) After Elmer died, when was the first conversation that you recall having with Anita about trust issues? MR. REED: Form. A. I would imagine it was around the time that Carl fell ill. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. And is it your recollection we'll go through some of these entries. I'm not trying to force you A. I understand. You're asking me to recall. I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. A previous employee. Q. The name of your assistant was what? A. Her name was Summer Kennan; but she got married in the interim, so it was Summer Peoples. Q. How do you spell the K? A. K-e-n-n-a-n. Q. Once she married, it changed to Peoples? A. And then you'll see SKP. Q. All right. Okay. So these entries around this time period were relating to the fact that Elmer was no longer really able to handle the financial affairs. Is that fair? A. Which date? Q. Well, these dates in 2008 that we're looking at on page 1182. A. It appears that way based off just what I'm reading in here. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. No, I do not. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) And before Elmer died, do you remember having any conversations with Amy about any of the trust issues? MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. I do not. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) After Elmer died, when was the first conversation that you recall having with Anita about trust issues? MR. REED: Form. A. I would imagine it was around the time that Carl fell ill. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. And is it your recollection we'll go through some of these entries. I'm not trying to force you A. I understand. You're asking me to recall. I can sit and read them to you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. A previous employee. Q. The name of your assistant was what? A. Her name was Summer Kennan; but she got married in the interim, so it was Summer Peoples. Q. How do you spell the K? A. K-e-n-a-n. Q. Once she married, it changed to Peoples? A. And then you'll see SKP. Q. All right. Okay. So these entries around this time period were relating to the fact that Elmer was no longer really able to handle the financial affairs. Is that fair? A. Which date? Q. Well, these dates in 2008 that we're looking at on page 1182. A. It appears that way based off just what I'm reading in here. Q. All right. In the very first line there, it 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. No, I do not. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) And before Elmer died, do you remember having any conversations with Amy about any of the trust issues? MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. I do not. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) After Elmer died, when was the first conversation that you recall having with Anita about trust issues? MR. REED: Form. A. I would imagine it was around the time that Carl fell ill. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. And is it your recollection we'll go through some of these entries. I'm not trying to force you A. I understand. You're asking me to recall. I can sit and read them to you. Q. Yeah, yeah. Is it your recollection that the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. A previous employee. Q. The name of your assistant was what? A. Her name was Summer Kennan; but she got married in the interim, so it was Summer Peoples. Q. How do you spell the K? A. K-e-n-a-n. Q. Once she married, it changed to Peoples? A. And then you'll see SKP. Q. All right. Okay. So these entries around this time period were relating to the fact that Elmer was no longer really able to handle the financial affairs. Is that fair? A. Which date? Q. Well, these dates in 2008 that we're looking at on page 1182. A. It appears that way based off just what I'm reading in here. Q. All right. In the very first line there, it says, "Nelva, Elmer and one son came in for Nelva to 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. No, I do not. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) And before Elmer died, do you remember having any conversations with Amy about any of the trust issues? MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. I do not. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) After Elmer died, when was the first conversation that you recall having with Anita about trust issues? MR. REED: Form. A. I would imagine it was around the time that Carl fell ill. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. And is it your recollection we'll go through some of these entries. I'm not trying to force you A. I understand. You're asking me to recall. I can sit and read them to you. Q. Yeah, yeah. Is it your recollection that the very first conversation you had with Anita about any of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. A previous employee. Q. The name of your assistant was what? A. Her name was Summer Kennan; but she got married in the interim, so it was Summer Peoples. Q. How do you spell the K? A. K-e-n-a-n. Q. Once she married, it changed to Peoples? A. And then you'll see SKP. Q. All right. Okay. So these entries around this time period were relating to the fact that Elmer was no longer really able to handle the financial affairs. Is that fair? A. Which date? Q. Well, these dates in 2008 that we're looking at on page 1182. A. It appears that way based off just what I'm reading in here. Q. All right. In the very first line there, it says, "Nelva, Elmer and one son came in for Nelva to sign the new COT."	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. No, I do not. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) And before Elmer died, do you remember having any conversations with Amy about any of the trust issues? MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. I do not. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) After Elmer died, when was the first conversation that you recall having with Anita about trust issues? MR. REED: Form. A. I would imagine it was around the time that Carl fell ill. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. And is it your recollection we'll go through some of these entries. I'm not trying to force you A. I understand. You're asking me to recall. I can sit and read them to you. Q. Yeah, yeah. Is it your recollection that the very first conversation you had with Anita about any of this related to Carl's illness?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. A previous employee. Q. The name of your assistant was what? A. Her name was Summer Kennan; but she got married in the interim, so it was Summer Peoples. Q. How do you spell the K? A. K-e-n-n-a-n. Q. Once she married, it changed to Peoples? A. And then you'll see SKP. Q. All right. Okay. So these entries around this time period were relating to the fact that Elmer was no longer really able to handle the financial affairs. Is that fair? A. Which date? Q. Well, these dates in 2008 that we're looking at on page 1182. A. It appears that way based off just what I'm reading in here. Q. All right. In the very first line there, it says, "Nelva, Elmer and one son came in for Nelva to sign the new COT." What does COT stand for?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. No, I do not. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) And before Elmer died, do you remember having any conversations with Amy about any of the trust issues? MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. I do not. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) After Elmer died, when was the first conversation that you recall having with Anita about trust issues? MR. REED: Form. A. I would imagine it was around the time that Carl fell ill. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. And is it your recollection we'll go through some of these entries. I'm not trying to force you A. I understand. You're asking me to recall. I can sit and read them to you. Q. Yeah, yeah. Is it your recollection that the very first conversation you had with Anita about any of this related to Carl's illness? A. Yes.

40 (Pages 154 to 157)

	158		16
1	A. I had known that already from Nelva.	1	Q. Okay. Let's go back to this Notes/History and
2	Q. And what is your recollection of what Nelva	2	looking at the page number that's 1179.
3	said about Carl's illness?	3	So starting with the 1-20-2010 entries,
4	A. My recollection was that he was very ill and	4	you see that somebody has put in "Merlin Case" I
5	she wasn't sure if he was going to make it. That's what	5	don't know. Is that
6	I recall.	6	A. The receptionist.
7	Q. Okay. And then do you know how I mean, did	7	Q has put in a notation that Nelva called for
8	she ask you to do anything at that point?	8	an appointment, called for you and wants to make an
9	A. Her concerns were he was listed on every single	9	appointment. Then she said she e-mailed this to you,
10	document; and she had her own health issues, and how do	10	and it says carbon copy who's the carbon copy to?
11	we resolve that if something happens to her	11	Who is TS?
12	Q. All right.	12	A. I don't recall unless that's time slips like
13	A while Carl is sick.	13	our billing software.
14	Q. Okay. And had you known before that call that	14	Q. Okay.
15	she was having health issues?	15	A. Although I don't know why she would.
16	A. No.	16	Q. Right.
17	Q. So you learned about both in the same	17	A. I don't know what that is.
18	conversation?	18	Q. Then it looks like that same day you called
19	A. I learned about it when she came to visit me.	19	her; and she was needing to know some information about
20	Q. And do you know how long it was after?	20	the family trust, right? Do you see that in the next
21	A. I don't recall. I'm sorry.	21	entry above?
22	Q. Have you ever had a conversation with Drina,	22	A. Uh-huh.
23	Carl's wife?	23	Q. So she was asking about the tax ID number for
24	A. Not that I recall.	24	the family trust?
25	Q. Prior to the time that you had you had a	25	A. Uh-huh.
	159		16
1	conference call that we're going to talk about in some	1	Q. And it says, "I told her for now to use her
2	detail, I think October 25th, 2010. I know Carole was	2	social."
3	involved in that conference call, and I believe Candy	3	A. Uh-huh.
4	was involved in that conference call.	4	O. So there had been no tax ID number obtained for
5	Prior to that conference call, had you had	5	the family trust at that point?
6	conversations with either one of them?	6	A. No.
7	A. Either Candy or Carole?	7	Q. What was your practice on when you would
8	Q. Right.	8	normally obtain a tax ID number for a trust?
9	A. Not that I recall.	9	A. Typically on the first death, when the
10	Q. Going back again to the notes and history, what	10	decedent's trust was being funded, that would get a ta
11	was your practice in terms of what rose to the level of	11	ID number. The survivor's trust
12	being put in the notes and history?	12	Q. And until then
13	A. Put enough information in there so that if you	13	A would get the social.
14	had to pick it up two weeks later, a month later, a year	14	Q. So everything just passed through to their tax
15	later, you would know what you did and where you were on	15	return?
16	it and that somebody else could come pick it up behind	16	A. Uh-huh.
17	you and be able to assess where you were, what you did	17	Q. Correct?
	and where to go with it.	18	A. Right.
18	Q. And basically any involvement that you had with	19	Q. Okay. It says in that same entry "sending her
		20	the AE."
19	a chefit of somebody related to that cheft, you but in?	1	A. Asset list.
19 20	a client or somebody related to that client, you put in? MR. SPIELMAN: Form.	21	A. Assertist.
19 20 21	MR. SPIELMAN: Form.	21 22	
18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. Yes. Typically we'd write notes.		Q. Okay.
19 20 21 22	MR. SPIELMAN: Form.	22	

41 (Pages 158 to 161)

1	162		164
1	A. Uh-huh.	1	Q. I think he was probably the person that had
2	Q. Now, if you didn't help with setting up or	2	been doing the tax returns for Nelva. Do you know?
3	transferring these assets to the trust, how is it that	3	A. I believe, yes, that's what she indicated to
4	you would have the asset list?	4	me, that he prepared tax returns.
5	A. I have to rely on the client to provide the	5	Q. And so this entry where he says he's called
6	statements. They are told that when someone dies, to	6	you apparently, called for you because he's working with
7	bring in the statement for the month of death. I had a	7	Nelva and has 1099s to issue to is that IT or LT?
8	tax clerk that that's all she did, was data entry. She	8	A. LT.
9	entered the account number, calculated the value on date	9	Q. What is LT? Is that living trust?
10	of death; and that's what went into the AE.	10	A. Living trust.
11	Q. So this is going to be an AE that had been	11	Q. The next entry is where you called him back on
12	generated since Elmer died?	12	the 25th of January, 2010; and you indicated at that
13	A. Correct.	13	point that the trust had not been funded.
14	Q. Based on information she had provided?	14	So by that, are you meaning the survivor
15	A. That's correct.	15	and the decedent's trust?
16	Q. So up until that point, up until she brought in	16	A. Most likely.
17	the statements, you didn't know what assets the trust	17	Q. And there was a formula established for what
18	had?	18	was supposed to go into each trust, right?
19	A. That's correct.	19	A. That's correct.
20	Q. Were you ever involved in tax returns prior to	20	Q. Did you work out that formula? I mean, did you
21	Elmer's death?	21	determine what was going to go into each trust?
22	A. Federal estate tax returns?	22	A. The client does.
23	Q. No. Income tax returns.	23	Q. And do you help them with the formula? Well, I
24	A. For who?	24	don't mean to talk generally. In terms of Nelva, did
25	O. Elmer or Nelva.	25	you help her make that calculation?
	163		165
1	A. No.	1	A. Yes.
2	Q. So you just sent them off with the trust, but	2	Q. So what part of that did you do?
3	they kept reporting everything on their individual	3	A. What part of
4	returns?	4	Q. The process of funding the trust.
5	A. No. That's not correct.	5	A. So once we had all of the assets information
6	Q. What's correct?	6	entered into our system, we determined, based on the
7	A. Are you asking if they reported it to me?	7	language in the trust, how much was supposed to go in
8	Q. No. I'm saying that's how they dealt with the	8	milguage in the trust, now inden was supposed to go in
		0	survivor's trust and decedent's trust, and we made that
		1	survivor's trust and decedent's trust; and we made that
9	IRS.	9	recommendation. This is the maximum amount that can go
9 10	IRS. MR. REED: Objection, form.	9 10	recommendation. This is the maximum amount that can go into decedent's trust without running afoul of the IRS
9 10 11	IRS. MR. REED: Objection, form. A. I don't know how they dealt with the IRS.	9 10 11	recommendation. This is the maximum amount that can go into decedent's trust without running afoul of the IRS rules. It could be underfunded, but it couldn't be
9 10 11 12	IRS. MR. REED: Objection, form. A. I don't know how they dealt with the IRS. Everybody files their own tax return. I assume that	9 10 11 12	recommendation. This is the maximum amount that can go into decedent's trust without running afoul of the IRS rules. It could be underfunded, but it couldn't be overfunded.
9 10 11 12 13	IRS. MR. REED: Objection, form. A. I don't know how they dealt with the IRS. Everybody files their own tax return. I assume that that's what they do, but	9 10 11 12 13	recommendation. This is the maximum amount that can go into decedent's trust without running afoul of the IRS rules. It could be underfunded, but it couldn't be overfunded. She had fractional pick and choose aside
9 10 11 12 13 14	IRS. MR. REED: Objection, form. A. I don't know how they dealt with the IRS. Everybody files their own tax return. I assume that that's what they do, but Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. Do you recall having	9 10 11 12 13 14	recommendation. This is the maximum amount that can go into decedent's trust without running afoul of the IRS rules. It could be underfunded, but it couldn't be overfunded. She had fractional pick and choose aside from his, Elmer's separate property, which had to go in
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	IRS. MR. REED: Objection, form. A. I don't know how they dealt with the IRS. Everybody files their own tax return. I assume that that's what they do, but Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. Do you recall having any discussions with Nelva or Elmer about how they were	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	recommendation. This is the maximum amount that can go into decedent's trust without running afoul of the IRS rules. It could be underfunded, but it couldn't be overfunded. She had fractional pick and choose aside from his, Elmer's separate property, which had to go in the decedent's trust. She had fractional pick and
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	IRS. MR. REED: Objection, form. A. I don't know how they dealt with the IRS. Everybody files their own tax return. I assume that that's what they do, but Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. Do you recall having any discussions with Nelva or Elmer about how they were supposed to report income?	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	recommendation. This is the maximum amount that can go into decedent's trust without running afoul of the IRS rules. It could be underfunded, but it couldn't be overfunded. She had fractional pick and choose aside from his, Elmer's separate property, which had to go in the decedent's trust. She had fractional pick and choose of community property assets that could go into
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	IRS. MR. REED: Objection, form. A. I don't know how they dealt with the IRS. Everybody files their own tax return. I assume that that's what they do, but Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. Do you recall having any discussions with Nelva or Elmer about how they were supposed to report income? A. No.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	recommendation. This is the maximum amount that can go into decedent's trust without running afoul of the IRS rules. It could be underfunded, but it couldn't be overfunded. She had fractional pick and choose aside from his, Elmer's separate property, which had to go in the decedent's trust. She had fractional pick and choose of community property assets that could go into either/or.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	IRS. MR. REED: Objection, form. A. I don't know how they dealt with the IRS. Everybody files their own tax return. I assume that that's what they do, but Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. Do you recall having any discussions with Nelva or Elmer about how they were supposed to report income? A. No. Q. But as far as you're concerned, it didn't	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	recommendation. This is the maximum amount that can go into decedent's trust without running afoul of the IRS rules. It could be underfunded, but it couldn't be overfunded. She had fractional pick and choose aside from his, Elmer's separate property, which had to go in the decedent's trust. She had fractional pick and choose of community property assets that could go into either/or. Q. When you say "fractional pick and choose," you
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	IRS. MR. REED: Objection, form. A. I don't know how they dealt with the IRS. Everybody files their own tax return. I assume that that's what they do, but Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. Do you recall having any discussions with Nelva or Elmer about how they were supposed to report income? A. No. Q. But as far as you're concerned, it didn't change once the trust was formed until somebody died?	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	recommendation. This is the maximum amount that can go into decedent's trust without running afoul of the IRS rules. It could be underfunded, but it couldn't be overfunded. She had fractional pick and choose aside from his, Elmer's separate property, which had to go in the decedent's trust. She had fractional pick and choose of community property assets that could go into either/or. Q. When you say "fractional pick and choose," you mean she could decide how she got to this allocation?
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	IRS. MR. REED: Objection, form. A. I don't know how they dealt with the IRS. Everybody files their own tax return. I assume that that's what they do, but Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. Do you recall having any discussions with Nelva or Elmer about how they were supposed to report income? A. No. Q. But as far as you're concerned, it didn't change once the trust was formed until somebody died? A. That's correct.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	recommendation. This is the maximum amount that can go into decedent's trust without running afoul of the IRS rules. It could be underfunded, but it couldn't be overfunded. She had fractional pick and choose aside from his, Elmer's separate property, which had to go in the decedent's trust. She had fractional pick and choose of community property assets that could go into either/or. Q. When you say "fractional pick and choose," you mean she could decide how she got to this allocation? A. We had the number for her, but she could choose
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	IRS. MR. REED: Objection, form. A. I don't know how they dealt with the IRS. Everybody files their own tax return. I assume that that's what they do, but Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. Do you recall having any discussions with Nelva or Elmer about how they were supposed to report income? A. No. Q. But as far as you're concerned, it didn't change once the trust was formed until somebody died? A. That's correct. Q. The next entry up, January 21st, 2010, refers	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	recommendation. This is the maximum amount that can go into decedent's trust without running afoul of the IRS rules. It could be underfunded, but it couldn't be overfunded. She had fractional pick and choose aside from his, Elmer's separate property, which had to go in the decedent's trust. She had fractional pick and choose of community property assets that could go into either/or. Q. When you say "fractional pick and choose," you mean she could decide how she got to this allocation? A. We had the number for her, but she could choose the assets that she wanted to make up that number.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	IRS. MR. REED: Objection, form. A. I don't know how they dealt with the IRS. Everybody files their own tax return. I assume that that's what they do, but Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. Do you recall having any discussions with Nelva or Elmer about how they were supposed to report income? A. No. Q. But as far as you're concerned, it didn't change once the trust was formed until somebody died? A. That's correct. Q. The next entry up, January 21st, 2010, refers to Rich I'm not sure the name is spelled right; but I	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	recommendation. This is the maximum amount that can go into decedent's trust without running afoul of the IRS rules. It could be underfunded, but it couldn't be overfunded. She had fractional pick and choose aside from his, Elmer's separate property, which had to go in the decedent's trust. She had fractional pick and choose of community property assets that could go into either/or. Q. When you say "fractional pick and choose," you mean she could decide how she got to this allocation? A. We had the number for her, but she could choose the assets that she wanted to make up that number. Q. So long as it was not Elmer's separate
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	IRS. MR. REED: Objection, form. A. I don't know how they dealt with the IRS. Everybody files their own tax return. I assume that that's what they do, but Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. Do you recall having any discussions with Nelva or Elmer about how they were supposed to report income? A. No. Q. But as far as you're concerned, it didn't change once the trust was formed until somebody died? A. That's correct. Q. The next entry up, January 21st, 2010, refers to Rich I'm not sure the name is spelled right; but I think you're talking about Rich Rikkers from Iowa.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	recommendation. This is the maximum amount that can go into decedent's trust without running afoul of the IRS rules. It could be underfunded, but it couldn't be overfunded. She had fractional pick and choose aside from his, Elmer's separate property, which had to go in the decedent's trust. She had fractional pick and choose of community property assets that could go into either/or. Q. When you say "fractional pick and choose," you mean she could decide how she got to this allocation? A. We had the number for her, but she could choose the assets that she wanted to make up that number. Q. So long as it was not Elmer's separate property?
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	IRS. MR. REED: Objection, form. A. I don't know how they dealt with the IRS. Everybody files their own tax return. I assume that that's what they do, but Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. Do you recall having any discussions with Nelva or Elmer about how they were supposed to report income? A. No. Q. But as far as you're concerned, it didn't change once the trust was formed until somebody died? A. That's correct. Q. The next entry up, January 21st, 2010, refers to Rich I'm not sure the name is spelled right; but I	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	recommendation. This is the maximum amount that can go into decedent's trust without running afoul of the IRS rules. It could be underfunded, but it couldn't be overfunded. She had fractional pick and choose aside from his, Elmer's separate property, which had to go in the decedent's trust. She had fractional pick and choose of community property assets that could go into either/or. Q. When you say "fractional pick and choose," you mean she could decide how she got to this allocation? A. We had the number for her, but she could choose the assets that she wanted to make up that number. Q. So long as it was not Elmer's separate

42 (Pages 162 to 165)

	166		168
1	Here's your asset list. Decide what you want to go	1	Q. Did she return copies to you so that you knew
2	where?	2	that was done, or that was left up to her?
3	A. Correct.	3	A. That was left up to her.
4	Q. And once you did that, was there anybody else	4	Q. Do you recall and you can consult these
5	involved helping Nelva at that point with this, that you	5	notes if you want to. Were there issues where she had
6	know of?	6	to get back with you and say she didn't really
7	A. No.	7	understand
8	Q. Other than maybe this Rich Rikkers? I don't	8	A. Yes.
9	know. Do you know what his involvement was?	9	Q what she needed to do?
10	A. Actually in 2010 I don't know if she was I	10	A. I don't recall if she didn't understand or she
11	don't think anybody was helping her.	11	didn't want to; but, yes, she did come back.
12	Q. Okay.	12	Q. So you had more than one encounter of getting
13	A. I know after yeah. I don't know. I don't	13	these transfers done?
14	know if anybody was helping her.	14	A. Oh, yes.
15	Q. This is going to involve transfers of stock	15	Q. Okay. If you look on this page 1178, on
16	with medallion guarantees and all about the works.	16	3-12-2010 there was a call from Anita regarding parents'
17	Once you said, Here's your asset list and	17	trust. Do you see that?
18	here's the number that you're supposed to get to, figure	18	A. Uh-huh.
19	it out however you want to get there, then did you help	19	Q. And there's a life insurance policy in the I
20	her with the transfer instruments themselves?	20	assume LT is still living trust?
21	A. Yes.	21	A. Uh-huh. Yes. Sorry.
22	Q. And what was your involvement in that?	22	Q. It says, "In fact, that is the only thing in
23	A. We filled out as much of the paperwork as we	23	the trust. The kids have to sign a waiver each year,
24	could for her, based on what she indicated she wanted to	24	waiving their right to any funds. Her sister wants to
25	go in which trust, and put "sign here" stickies on them	25	take her share. Is this possible? Please call to
			160
	167		169
1	and said, Let's sit down and sign them.	1	discuss."
2	and said, Let's sit down and sign them. Q. And how did you make a determination, backing	2	discuss." So this, again, is going to have been
2 3	and said, Let's sit down and sign them. Q. And how did you make a determination, backing up for a minute, to the division of assets among the	2 3	discuss." So this, again, is going to have been is this your assistant who took this call?
2 3 4	and said, Let's sit down and sign them. Q. And how did you make a determination, backing up for a minute, to the division of assets among the decedent's trust and the survivor's trust?	2 3 4	discuss." So this, again, is going to have been is this your assistant who took this call? A. It looks like it was the receptionist because
2 3 4 5	and said, Let's sit down and sign them. Q. And how did you make a determination, backing up for a minute, to the division of assets among the decedent's trust and the survivor's trust? What did you do to determine what was	2 3 4 5	discuss." So this, again, is going to have been is this your assistant who took this call? A. It looks like it was the receptionist because it says e-mailed, "EM to CLF."
2 3 4 5 6	and said, Let's sit down and sign them. Q. And how did you make a determination, backing up for a minute, to the division of assets among the decedent's trust and the survivor's trust? What did you do to determine what was separate property of Elmer's?	2 3 4 5 6	discuss." So this, again, is going to have been is this your assistant who took this call? A. It looks like it was the receptionist because it says e-mailed, "EM to CLF." Q. That's a different trust, right?
2 3 4 5 6 7	and said, Let's sit down and sign them. Q. And how did you make a determination, backing up for a minute, to the division of assets among the decedent's trust and the survivor's trust? What did you do to determine what was separate property of Elmer's? A. We had determined, well, where they lived,	2 3 4 5 6 7	discuss." So this, again, is going to have been is this your assistant who took this call? A. It looks like it was the receptionist because it says e-mailed, "EM to CLF." Q. That's a different trust, right? A. Yes. It's referring even though it says
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	and said, Let's sit down and sign them. Q. And how did you make a determination, backing up for a minute, to the division of assets among the decedent's trust and the survivor's trust? What did you do to determine what was separate property of Elmer's? A. We had determined, well, where they lived, community property estate. Presumption is everything's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	discuss." So this, again, is going to have been is this your assistant who took this call? A. It looks like it was the receptionist because it says e-mailed, "EM to CLF." Q. That's a different trust, right? A. Yes. It's referring even though it says "LT" it's an ILIT, irrevocable life insurance trust.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	and said, Let's sit down and sign them. Q. And how did you make a determination, backing up for a minute, to the division of assets among the decedent's trust and the survivor's trust? What did you do to determine what was separate property of Elmer's? A. We had determined, well, where they lived, community property estate. Presumption is everything's community unless she advised otherwise or inception of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	discuss." So this, again, is going to have been is this your assistant who took this call? A. It looks like it was the receptionist because it says e-mailed, "EM to CLF." Q. That's a different trust, right? A. Yes. It's referring even though it says "LT" it's an ILIT, irrevocable life insurance trust. Q. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	and said, Let's sit down and sign them. Q. And how did you make a determination, backing up for a minute, to the division of assets among the decedent's trust and the survivor's trust? What did you do to determine what was separate property of Elmer's? A. We had determined, well, where they lived, community property estate. Presumption is everything's community unless she advised otherwise or inception of title. Iowa land was obvious. It came from Elmer's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	discuss." So this, again, is going to have been is this your assistant who took this call? A. It looks like it was the receptionist because it says e-mailed, "EM to CLF." Q. That's a different trust, right? A. Yes. It's referring even though it says "LT" it's an ILIT, irrevocable life insurance trust. Q. Okay. A. But the receptionist wouldn't know that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	and said, Let's sit down and sign them. Q. And how did you make a determination, backing up for a minute, to the division of assets among the decedent's trust and the survivor's trust? What did you do to determine what was separate property of Elmer's? A. We had determined, well, where they lived, community property estate. Presumption is everything's community unless she advised otherwise or inception of title. Iowa land was obvious. It came from Elmer's side of the family, so it was separate property.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	discuss." So this, again, is going to have been is this your assistant who took this call? A. It looks like it was the receptionist because it says e-mailed, "EM to CLF." Q. That's a different trust, right? A. Yes. It's referring even though it says "LT" it's an ILIT, irrevocable life insurance trust. Q. Okay. A. But the receptionist wouldn't know that. Q. Yeah, sure. And maybe Anita didn't know that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	and said, Let's sit down and sign them. Q. And how did you make a determination, backing up for a minute, to the division of assets among the decedent's trust and the survivor's trust? What did you do to determine what was separate property of Elmer's? A. We had determined, well, where they lived, community property estate. Presumption is everything's community unless she advised otherwise or inception of title. Iowa land was obvious. It came from Elmer's side of the family, so it was separate property. Q. And do you recall whether any of the stocks	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	So this, again, is going to have been is this your assistant who took this call? A. It looks like it was the receptionist because it says e-mailed, "EM to CLF." Q. That's a different trust, right? A. Yes. It's referring even though it says "LT" it's an ILIT, irrevocable life insurance trust. Q. Okay. A. But the receptionist wouldn't know that. Q. Yeah, sure. And maybe Anita didn't know that either. But she might have called it the wrong trust.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	and said, Let's sit down and sign them. Q. And how did you make a determination, backing up for a minute, to the division of assets among the decedent's trust and the survivor's trust? What did you do to determine what was separate property of Elmer's? A. We had determined, well, where they lived, community property estate. Presumption is everything's community unless she advised otherwise or inception of title. Iowa land was obvious. It came from Elmer's side of the family, so it was separate property. Q. And do you recall whether any of the stocks were separate property?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	So this, again, is going to have been — is this your assistant who took this call? A. It looks like it was the receptionist because it says e-mailed, "EM to CLF." Q. That's a different trust, right? A. Yes. It's referring — even though it says "LT" it's an ILIT, irrevocable life insurance trust. Q. Okay. A. But the receptionist wouldn't know that. Q. Yeah, sure. And maybe Anita didn't know that either. But she might have called it the wrong trust. Who knows.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	and said, Let's sit down and sign them. Q. And how did you make a determination, backing up for a minute, to the division of assets among the decedent's trust and the survivor's trust? What did you do to determine what was separate property of Elmer's? A. We had determined, well, where they lived, community property estate. Presumption is everything's community unless she advised otherwise or inception of title. Iowa land was obvious. It came from Elmer's side of the family, so it was separate property. Q. And do you recall whether any of the stocks were separate property? A. I do not.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	So this, again, is going to have been is this your assistant who took this call? A. It looks like it was the receptionist because it says e-mailed, "EM to CLF." Q. That's a different trust, right? A. Yes. It's referring even though it says "LT" it's an ILIT, irrevocable life insurance trust. Q. Okay. A. But the receptionist wouldn't know that. Q. Yeah, sure. And maybe Anita didn't know that either. But she might have called it the wrong trust. Who knows. A. Uh-huh.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	and said, Let's sit down and sign them. Q. And how did you make a determination, backing up for a minute, to the division of assets among the decedent's trust and the survivor's trust? What did you do to determine what was separate property of Elmer's? A. We had determined, well, where they lived, community property estate. Presumption is everything's community unless she advised otherwise or inception of title. Iowa land was obvious. It came from Elmer's side of the family, so it was separate property. Q. And do you recall whether any of the stocks were separate property? A. I do not. Q. Could have been. You just don't recall?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	So this, again, is going to have been is this your assistant who took this call? A. It looks like it was the receptionist because it says e-mailed, "EM to CLF." Q. That's a different trust, right? A. Yes. It's referring even though it says "LT" it's an ILIT, irrevocable life insurance trust. Q. Okay. A. But the receptionist wouldn't know that. Q. Yeah, sure. And maybe Anita didn't know that either. But she might have called it the wrong trust. Who knows. A. Uh-huh. Q. The point is she was talking about a separate
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	and said, Let's sit down and sign them. Q. And how did you make a determination, backing up for a minute, to the division of assets among the decedent's trust and the survivor's trust? What did you do to determine what was separate property of Elmer's? A. We had determined, well, where they lived, community property estate. Presumption is everything's community unless she advised otherwise or inception of title. Iowa land was obvious. It came from Elmer's side of the family, so it was separate property. Q. And do you recall whether any of the stocks were separate property? A. I do not. Q. Could have been. You just don't recall? MR. SPIELMAN: Form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	So this, again, is going to have been is this your assistant who took this call? A. It looks like it was the receptionist because it says e-mailed, "EM to CLF." Q. That's a different trust, right? A. Yes. It's referring even though it says "LT" it's an ILIT, irrevocable life insurance trust. Q. Okay. A. But the receptionist wouldn't know that. Q. Yeah, sure. And maybe Anita didn't know that either. But she might have called it the wrong trust. Who knows. A. Uh-huh. Q. The point is she was talking about a separate trust with life insurance. Do you know which sister she
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	and said, Let's sit down and sign them. Q. And how did you make a determination, backing up for a minute, to the division of assets among the decedent's trust and the survivor's trust? What did you do to determine what was separate property of Elmer's? A. We had determined, well, where they lived, community property estate. Presumption is everything's community unless she advised otherwise or inception of title. Iowa land was obvious. It came from Elmer's side of the family, so it was separate property. Q. And do you recall whether any of the stocks were separate property? A. I do not. Q. Could have been. You just don't recall? MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. Maybe they were; maybe they weren't. I don't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	So this, again, is going to have been is this your assistant who took this call? A. It looks like it was the receptionist because it says e-mailed, "EM to CLF." Q. That's a different trust, right? A. Yes. It's referring even though it says "LT" it's an ILIT, irrevocable life insurance trust. Q. Okay. A. But the receptionist wouldn't know that. Q. Yeah, sure. And maybe Anita didn't know that either. But she might have called it the wrong trust. Who knows. A. Uh-huh. Q. The point is she was talking about a separate trust with life insurance. Do you know which sister she was talking about that wanted to take her share?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and said, Let's sit down and sign them. Q. And how did you make a determination, backing up for a minute, to the division of assets among the decedent's trust and the survivor's trust? What did you do to determine what was separate property of Elmer's? A. We had determined, well, where they lived, community property estate. Presumption is everything's community unless she advised otherwise or inception of title. Iowa land was obvious. It came from Elmer's side of the family, so it was separate property. Q. And do you recall whether any of the stocks were separate property? A. I do not. Q. Could have been. You just don't recall? MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. Maybe they were; maybe they weren't. I don't know. They were married for a long time.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	So this, again, is going to have been is this your assistant who took this call? A. It looks like it was the receptionist because it says e-mailed, "EM to CLF." Q. That's a different trust, right? A. Yes. It's referring even though it says "LT" it's an ILIT, irrevocable life insurance trust. Q. Okay. A. But the receptionist wouldn't know that. Q. Yeah, sure. And maybe Anita didn't know that either. But she might have called it the wrong trust. Who knows. A. Uh-huh. Q. The point is she was talking about a separate trust with life insurance. Do you know which sister she was talking about that wanted to take her share? A. I do.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and said, Let's sit down and sign them. Q. And how did you make a determination, backing up for a minute, to the division of assets among the decedent's trust and the survivor's trust? What did you do to determine what was separate property of Elmer's? A. We had determined, well, where they lived, community property estate. Presumption is everything's community unless she advised otherwise or inception of title. Iowa land was obvious. It came from Elmer's side of the family, so it was separate property. Q. And do you recall whether any of the stocks were separate property? A. I do not. Q. Could have been. You just don't recall? MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. Maybe they were; maybe they weren't. I don't know. They were married for a long time. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. So once these	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	So this, again, is going to have been is this your assistant who took this call? A. It looks like it was the receptionist because it says e-mailed, "EM to CLF." Q. That's a different trust, right? A. Yes. It's referring even though it says "LT" it's an ILIT, irrevocable life insurance trust. Q. Okay. A. But the receptionist wouldn't know that. Q. Yeah, sure. And maybe Anita didn't know that either. But she might have called it the wrong trust. Who knows. A. Uh-huh. Q. The point is she was talking about a separate trust with life insurance. Do you know which sister she was talking about that wanted to take her share? A. I do. Q. Which sister?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	and said, Let's sit down and sign them. Q. And how did you make a determination, backing up for a minute, to the division of assets among the decedent's trust and the survivor's trust? What did you do to determine what was separate property of Elmer's? A. We had determined, well, where they lived, community property estate. Presumption is everything's community unless she advised otherwise or inception of title. Iowa land was obvious. It came from Elmer's side of the family, so it was separate property. Q. And do you recall whether any of the stocks were separate property? A. I do not. Q. Could have been. You just don't recall? MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. Maybe they were; maybe they weren't. I don't know. They were married for a long time. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. So once these documents were prepared to transfer stocks for sure,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	So this, again, is going to have been is this your assistant who took this call? A. It looks like it was the receptionist because it says e-mailed, "EM to CLF." Q. That's a different trust, right? A. Yes. It's referring even though it says "LT" it's an ILIT, irrevocable life insurance trust. Q. Okay. A. But the receptionist wouldn't know that. Q. Yeah, sure. And maybe Anita didn't know that either. But she might have called it the wrong trust. Who knows. A. Uh-huh. Q. The point is she was talking about a separate trust with life insurance. Do you know which sister she was talking about that wanted to take her share? A. I do. Q. Which sister? A. Candy.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	and said, Let's sit down and sign them. Q. And how did you make a determination, backing up for a minute, to the division of assets among the decedent's trust and the survivor's trust? What did you do to determine what was separate property of Elmer's? A. We had determined, well, where they lived, community property estate. Presumption is everything's community unless she advised otherwise or inception of title. Iowa land was obvious. It came from Elmer's side of the family, so it was separate property. Q. And do you recall whether any of the stocks were separate property? A. I do not. Q. Could have been. You just don't recall? MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. Maybe they were; maybe they weren't. I don't know. They were married for a long time. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. So once these documents were prepared to transfer stocks for sure, which would have involved going to the bank and getting	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	discuss." So this, again, is going to have been is this your assistant who took this call? A. It looks like it was the receptionist because it says e-mailed, "EM to CLF." Q. That's a different trust, right? A. Yes. It's referring even though it says "LT" it's an ILIT, irrevocable life insurance trust. Q. Okay. A. But the receptionist wouldn't know that. Q. Yeah, sure. And maybe Anita didn't know that either. But she might have called it the wrong trust. Who knows. A. Uh-huh. Q. The point is she was talking about a separate trust with life insurance. Do you know which sister she was talking about that wanted to take her share? A. I do. Q. Which sister? A. Candy. Q. Okay. So ultimately you talked with her about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	and said, Let's sit down and sign them. Q. And how did you make a determination, backing up for a minute, to the division of assets among the decedent's trust and the survivor's trust? What did you do to determine what was separate property of Elmer's? A. We had determined, well, where they lived, community property estate. Presumption is everything's community unless she advised otherwise or inception of title. Iowa land was obvious. It came from Elmer's side of the family, so it was separate property. Q. And do you recall whether any of the stocks were separate property? A. I do not. Q. Could have been. You just don't recall? MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. Maybe they were; maybe they weren't. I don't know. They were married for a long time. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. So once these documents were prepared to transfer stocks for sure, which would have involved going to the bank and getting the medallion guarantee, you didn't go with her to do	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	So this, again, is going to have been is this your assistant who took this call? A. It looks like it was the receptionist because it says e-mailed, "EM to CLF." Q. That's a different trust, right? A. Yes. It's referring even though it says "LT" it's an ILIT, irrevocable life insurance trust. Q. Okay. A. But the receptionist wouldn't know that. Q. Yeah, sure. And maybe Anita didn't know that either. But she might have called it the wrong trust. Who knows. A. Uh-huh. Q. The point is she was talking about a separate trust with life insurance. Do you know which sister she was talking about that wanted to take her share? A. I do. Q. Which sister? A. Candy. Q. Okay. So ultimately you talked with her about it, Anita about it?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	and said, Let's sit down and sign them. Q. And how did you make a determination, backing up for a minute, to the division of assets among the decedent's trust and the survivor's trust? What did you do to determine what was separate property of Elmer's? A. We had determined, well, where they lived, community property estate. Presumption is everything's community unless she advised otherwise or inception of title. Iowa land was obvious. It came from Elmer's side of the family, so it was separate property. Q. And do you recall whether any of the stocks were separate property? A. I do not. Q. Could have been. You just don't recall? MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. Maybe they were; maybe they weren't. I don't know. They were married for a long time. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. So once these documents were prepared to transfer stocks for sure, which would have involved going to the bank and getting the medallion guarantee, you didn't go with her to do any of that. You just gave her the documents and left	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	So this, again, is going to have been is this your assistant who took this call? A. It looks like it was the receptionist because it says e-mailed, "EM to CLF." Q. That's a different trust, right? A. Yes. It's referring even though it says "LT" it's an ILIT, irrevocable life insurance trust. Q. Okay. A. But the receptionist wouldn't know that. Q. Yeah, sure. And maybe Anita didn't know that either. But she might have called it the wrong trust. Who knows. A. Uh-huh. Q. The point is she was talking about a separate trust with life insurance. Do you know which sister she was talking about that wanted to take her share? A. I do. Q. Which sister? A. Candy. Q. Okay. So ultimately you talked with her about it, Anita about it? A. Yes. Anita was the trustee of that trust.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	and said, Let's sit down and sign them. Q. And how did you make a determination, backing up for a minute, to the division of assets among the decedent's trust and the survivor's trust? What did you do to determine what was separate property of Elmer's? A. We had determined, well, where they lived, community property estate. Presumption is everything's community unless she advised otherwise or inception of title. Iowa land was obvious. It came from Elmer's side of the family, so it was separate property. Q. And do you recall whether any of the stocks were separate property? A. I do not. Q. Could have been. You just don't recall? MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. Maybe they were; maybe they weren't. I don't know. They were married for a long time. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. So once these documents were prepared to transfer stocks for sure, which would have involved going to the bank and getting the medallion guarantee, you didn't go with her to do	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	So this, again, is going to have been is this your assistant who took this call? A. It looks like it was the receptionist because it says e-mailed, "EM to CLF." Q. That's a different trust, right? A. Yes. It's referring even though it says "LT" it's an ILIT, irrevocable life insurance trust. Q. Okay. A. But the receptionist wouldn't know that. Q. Yeah, sure. And maybe Anita didn't know that either. But she might have called it the wrong trust. Who knows. A. Uh-huh. Q. The point is she was talking about a separate trust with life insurance. Do you know which sister she was talking about that wanted to take her share? A. I do. Q. Which sister? A. Candy. Q. Okay. So ultimately you talked with her about it, Anita about it?

43 (Pages 166 to 169)

	170	172
1	A. I don't recall.	Q. Or modification-wise?
2	Q. I could have missed it because I'm capable of	A. Well, sure. If someone was a long-term client
3	missing something, but I don't think there's an entry in	and they came in for a modification and amendment, sure.
4	here about your conversation with her. Is there?	4 I would certainly help amend.
5	A. No. I see the next entry says I left or a	⁵ Q. Okay. And that's kind of what you did in this
6	message was left that I "CLF," that's me, "deferred	6 case, is you helped them amend in 2010?
7	this question to AEV," which was Al Vacek, which was my	7 MR. SPIELMAN: Form.
8	boss, "and that he will advise her of response."	⁸ Q. (By Ms. Bayless) In June and then in
9	Q. And why did you feel the need to do that?	9 August 2010?
10	A. I don't know. I don't know.	10 MR. SPIELMAN: Form.
11	Q. Had you had any involvement with the life	Q. (By Ms. Bayless) I mean, you were involved in
12	insurance trust?	12 that?
13	A. Not that one in particular, no.	13 A. In the qualified beneficiary designation?
14	Q. How many trusts would you say you have	14 Q. Right.
15	prepared?	15 A. Yes.
16	MR. REED: Object to form.	Q. Okay. So that's an example of maybe you were
17	A. I couldn't tell you.	helping her because you were administering
18	Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Do you have any rough guess	18 A. His estate.
19	of how many of the types of trusts that the Brunsting	Q his estate or his trust or whatever?
20	had how many you prepared while you were at Vacek's	20 A. Uh-huh.
21	firm?	Q. But you were involved in that, and you didn't
22	A. I don't know.	send that to Al Vacek?
23	Q. I'm assuming that you were working from his	23 A. No.
24	form. Is that right?	Q. So was there a criteria for what you did versus
25	A. Are you asking me a question? You're making an	what Al Vacek did?
	171	173
	1/1	173
1		
1 2	assumption, and then you're asking me.	A. Al did estate planning. I was in charge of
	assumption, and then you're asking me. Q. Well, it's sort of a combination of both.	1 A. Al did estate planning. I was in charge of estate administration.
2	assumption, and then you're asking me. Q. Well, it's sort of a combination of both. While you were at his firm let me ask you this way:	1 A. Al did estate planning. I was in charge of 2 estate administration. 3 Q. So if somebody needed a new document
2	assumption, and then you're asking me. Q. Well, it's sort of a combination of both. While you were at his firm let me ask you this way: When you went to work at his firm, you used his trust	1 A. Al did estate planning. I was in charge of 2 estate administration. 3 Q. So if somebody needed a new document 4 A. They would probably go to Mr. Vacek.
2 3 4	assumption, and then you're asking me. Q. Well, it's sort of a combination of both. While you were at his firm let me ask you this way: When you went to work at his firm, you used his trust form, right?	1 A. Al did estate planning. I was in charge of 2 estate administration. 3 Q. So if somebody needed a new document 4 A. They would probably go to Mr. Vacek.
2 3 4 5	assumption, and then you're asking me. Q. Well, it's sort of a combination of both. While you were at his firm let me ask you this way: When you went to work at his firm, you used his trust form, right? A. I guess, yes.	A. Al did estate planning. I was in charge of estate administration. Q. So if somebody needed a new document A. They would probably go to Mr. Vacek. D. But in this case that's not what happened?
2 3 4 5 6	assumption, and then you're asking me. Q. Well, it's sort of a combination of both. While you were at his firm let me ask you this way: When you went to work at his firm, you used his trust form, right? A. I guess, yes. Q. You didn't	1 A. Al did estate planning. I was in charge of 2 estate administration. 3 Q. So if somebody needed a new document 4 A. They would probably go to Mr. Vacek. 5 Q. But in this case that's not what happened? 6 MR. SPIELMAN: Form. 7 A. In this case it was associated with the
2 3 4 5 6 7	assumption, and then you're asking me. Q. Well, it's sort of a combination of both. While you were at his firm let me ask you this way: When you went to work at his firm, you used his trust form, right? A. I guess, yes. Q. You didn't A. I didn't make any forms myself.	A. Al did estate planning. I was in charge of estate administration. Q. So if somebody needed a new document A. They would probably go to Mr. Vacek. Q. But in this case that's not what happened? MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. In this case it was associated with the administration of the trust. So if you're talking about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	assumption, and then you're asking me. Q. Well, it's sort of a combination of both. While you were at his firm let me ask you this way: When you went to work at his firm, you used his trust form, right? A. I guess, yes. Q. You didn't A. I didn't make any forms myself. Q. Okay. You hadn't developed a trust form of	A. Al did estate planning. I was in charge of estate administration. Q. So if somebody needed a new document A. They would probably go to Mr. Vacek. Q. But in this case that's not what happened? MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. In this case it was associated with the administration of the trust. So if you're talking about the qualified beneficiary designation, that is not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	assumption, and then you're asking me. Q. Well, it's sort of a combination of both. While you were at his firm let me ask you this way: When you went to work at his firm, you used his trust form, right? A. I guess, yes. Q. You didn't A. I didn't make any forms myself. Q. Okay. You hadn't developed a trust form of your own?	A. Al did estate planning. I was in charge of estate administration. Q. So if somebody needed a new document A. They would probably go to Mr. Vacek. Q. But in this case that's not what happened? MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. In this case it was associated with the administration of the trust. So if you're talking about the qualified beneficiary designation, that is not something that Mr. Vacek would have done. It would be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	assumption, and then you're asking me. Q. Well, it's sort of a combination of both. While you were at his firm let me ask you this way: When you went to work at his firm, you used his trust form, right? A. I guess, yes. Q. You didn't A. I didn't make any forms myself. Q. Okay. You hadn't developed a trust form of your own? A. No, I have not.	A. Al did estate planning. I was in charge of estate administration. Q. So if somebody needed a new document A. They would probably go to Mr. Vacek. Q. But in this case that's not what happened? MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. In this case it was associated with the administration of the trust. So if you're talking about the qualified beneficiary designation, that is not something that Mr. Vacek would have done. It would be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	assumption, and then you're asking me. Q. Well, it's sort of a combination of both. While you were at his firm let me ask you this way: When you went to work at his firm, you used his trust form, right? A. I guess, yes. Q. You didn't A. I didn't make any forms myself. Q. Okay. You hadn't developed a trust form of your own? A. No, I have not. Q. And while you were working there, you continued	A. Al did estate planning. I was in charge of estate administration. Q. So if somebody needed a new document A. They would probably go to Mr. Vacek. Q. But in this case that's not what happened? MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. In this case it was associated with the administration of the trust. So if you're talking about the qualified beneficiary designation, that is not something that Mr. Vacek would have done. It would be done after someone had died and, therefore, it would be under mine.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	assumption, and then you're asking me. Q. Well, it's sort of a combination of both. While you were at his firm let me ask you this way: When you went to work at his firm, you used his trust form, right? A. I guess, yes. Q. You didn't A. I didn't make any forms myself. Q. Okay. You hadn't developed a trust form of your own? A. No, I have not. Q. And while you were working there, you continued to use basically a form that was developed at that firm,	A. Al did estate planning. I was in charge of estate administration. Q. So if somebody needed a new document A. They would probably go to Mr. Vacek. Q. But in this case that's not what happened? MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. In this case it was associated with the administration of the trust. So if you're talking about the qualified beneficiary designation, that is not something that Mr. Vacek would have done. It would be done after someone had died and, therefore, it would be under mine. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. So you never had a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	assumption, and then you're asking me. Q. Well, it's sort of a combination of both. While you were at his firm let me ask you this way: When you went to work at his firm, you used his trust form, right? A. I guess, yes. Q. You didn't A. I didn't make any forms myself. Q. Okay. You hadn't developed a trust form of your own? A. No, I have not. Q. And while you were working there, you continued to use basically a form that was developed at that firm, right?	A. Al did estate planning. I was in charge of estate administration. Q. So if somebody needed a new document A. They would probably go to Mr. Vacek. Q. But in this case that's not what happened? MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. In this case it was associated with the administration of the trust. So if you're talking about the qualified beneficiary designation, that is not something that Mr. Vacek would have done. It would be done after someone had died and, therefore, it would be under mine. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. So you never had a situation that you know of where somebody wanted to do a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	assumption, and then you're asking me. Q. Well, it's sort of a combination of both. While you were at his firm let me ask you this way: When you went to work at his firm, you used his trust form, right? A. I guess, yes. Q. You didn't A. I didn't make any forms myself. Q. Okay. You hadn't developed a trust form of your own? A. No, I have not. Q. And while you were working there, you continued to use basically a form that was developed at that firm, right? A. I mostly did trust administration and not trust	A. Al did estate planning. I was in charge of estate administration. Q. So if somebody needed a new document A. They would probably go to Mr. Vacek. Q. But in this case that's not what happened? MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. In this case it was associated with the administration of the trust. So if you're talking about the qualified beneficiary designation, that is not something that Mr. Vacek would have done. It would be done after someone had died and, therefore, it would be under mine. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. So you never had a situation that you know of where somebody wanted to do a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	assumption, and then you're asking me. Q. Well, it's sort of a combination of both. While you were at his firm let me ask you this way: When you went to work at his firm, you used his trust form, right? A. I guess, yes. Q. You didn't A. I didn't make any forms myself. Q. Okay. You hadn't developed a trust form of your own? A. No, I have not. Q. And while you were working there, you continued to use basically a form that was developed at that firm, right? A. I mostly did trust administration and not trust estate planning, so	A. Al did estate planning. I was in charge of estate administration. Q. So if somebody needed a new document A. They would probably go to Mr. Vacek. Q. But in this case that's not what happened? MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. In this case it was associated with the administration of the trust. So if you're talking about the qualified beneficiary designation, that is not something that Mr. Vacek would have done. It would be done after someone had died and, therefore, it would be under mine. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. So you never had a situation that you know of where somebody wanted to do a qualified beneficiary designation while both spouses were still alive?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	assumption, and then you're asking me. Q. Well, it's sort of a combination of both. While you were at his firm let me ask you this way: When you went to work at his firm, you used his trust form, right? A. I guess, yes. Q. You didn't A. I didn't make any forms myself. Q. Okay. You hadn't developed a trust form of your own? A. No, I have not. Q. And while you were working there, you continued to use basically a form that was developed at that firm, right? A. I mostly did trust administration and not trust estate planning, so Q. Okay.	A. Al did estate planning. I was in charge of estate administration. Q. So if somebody needed a new document A. They would probably go to Mr. Vacek. Q. But in this case that's not what happened? MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. In this case it was associated with the administration of the trust. So if you're talking about the qualified beneficiary designation, that is not something that Mr. Vacek would have done. It would be done after someone had died and, therefore, it would be under mine. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. So you never had a situation that you know of where somebody wanted to do a qualified beneficiary designation while both spouses were still alive?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	assumption, and then you're asking me. Q. Well, it's sort of a combination of both. While you were at his firm let me ask you this way: When you went to work at his firm, you used his trust form, right? A. I guess, yes. Q. You didn't A. I didn't make any forms myself. Q. Okay. You hadn't developed a trust form of your own? A. No, I have not. Q. And while you were working there, you continued to use basically a form that was developed at that firm, right? A. I mostly did trust administration and not trust estate planning, so Q. Okay. A. Once somebody either died usually is when I	A. Al did estate planning. I was in charge of estate administration. Q. So if somebody needed a new document A. They would probably go to Mr. Vacek. Q. But in this case that's not what happened? MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. In this case it was associated with the administration of the trust. So if you're talking about the qualified beneficiary designation, that is not something that Mr. Vacek would have done. It would be done after someone had died and, therefore, it would be under mine. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. So you never had a situation that you know of where somebody wanted to do a qualified beneficiary designation while both spouses were still alive? A. It would be unnecessary because they could
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	assumption, and then you're asking me. Q. Well, it's sort of a combination of both. While you were at his firm let me ask you this way: When you went to work at his firm, you used his trust form, right? A. I guess, yes. Q. You didn't A. I didn't make any forms myself. Q. Okay. You hadn't developed a trust form of your own? A. No, I have not. Q. And while you were working there, you continued to use basically a form that was developed at that firm, right? A. I mostly did trust administration and not trust estate planning, so Q. Okay. A. Once somebody either died usually is when I would get involved.	A. Al did estate planning. I was in charge of estate administration. Q. So if somebody needed a new document A. They would probably go to Mr. Vacek. Q. But in this case that's not what happened? MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. In this case it was associated with the administration of the trust. So if you're talking about the qualified beneficiary designation, that is not something that Mr. Vacek would have done. It would be done after someone had died and, therefore, it would be under mine. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. So you never had a situation that you know of where somebody wanted to do a qualified beneficiary designation while both spouses were still alive? A. It would be unnecessary because they could easily amend the entire trust or parts of it because they're both alive.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	assumption, and then you're asking me. Q. Well, it's sort of a combination of both. While you were at his firm let me ask you this way: When you went to work at his firm, you used his trust form, right? A. I guess, yes. Q. You didn't A. I didn't make any forms myself. Q. Okay. You hadn't developed a trust form of your own? A. No, I have not. Q. And while you were working there, you continued to use basically a form that was developed at that firm, right? A. I mostly did trust administration and not trust estate planning, so Q. Okay. A. Once somebody either died usually is when I would get involved. Q. Okay. So in terms of the form itself, the	A. Al did estate planning. I was in charge of estate administration. Q. So if somebody needed a new document A. They would probably go to Mr. Vacek. Q. But in this case that's not what happened? MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. In this case it was associated with the administration of the trust. So if you're talking about the qualified beneficiary designation, that is not something that Mr. Vacek would have done. It would be done after someone had died and, therefore, it would be under mine. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. So you never had a situation that you know of where somebody wanted to do a qualified beneficiary designation while both spouses were still alive? A. It would be unnecessary because they could easily amend the entire trust or parts of it because they're both alive.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	assumption, and then you're asking me. Q. Well, it's sort of a combination of both. While you were at his firm let me ask you this way: When you went to work at his firm, you used his trust form, right? A. I guess, yes. Q. You didn't A. I didn't make any forms myself. Q. Okay. You hadn't developed a trust form of your own? A. No, I have not. Q. And while you were working there, you continued to use basically a form that was developed at that firm, right? A. I mostly did trust administration and not trust estate planning, so Q. Okay. A. Once somebody either died usually is when I would get involved.	A. Al did estate planning. I was in charge of estate administration. Q. So if somebody needed a new document A. They would probably go to Mr. Vacek. Q. But in this case that's not what happened? MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. In this case it was associated with the administration of the trust. So if you're talking about the qualified beneficiary designation, that is not something that Mr. Vacek would have done. It would be done after someone had died and, therefore, it would be under mine. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. So you never had a situation that you know of where somebody wanted to do a qualified beneficiary designation while both spouses were still alive? A. It would be unnecessary because they could easily amend the entire trust or parts of it because they're both alive. Q. Okay. So what did Susan Vacek do? A. Train me.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	assumption, and then you're asking me. Q. Well, it's sort of a combination of both. While you were at his firm let me ask you this way: When you went to work at his firm, you used his trust form, right? A. I guess, yes. Q. You didn't A. I didn't make any forms myself. Q. Okay. You hadn't developed a trust form of your own? A. No, I have not. Q. And while you were working there, you continued to use basically a form that was developed at that firm, right? A. I mostly did trust administration and not trust estate planning, so Q. Okay. A. Once somebody either died usually is when I would get involved. Q. Okay. So in terms of the form itself, the trust form itself, you didn't have that much involvement with the trust form itself?	A. Al did estate planning. I was in charge of estate administration. Q. So if somebody needed a new document A. They would probably go to Mr. Vacek. Q. But in this case that's not what happened? MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. In this case it was associated with the administration of the trust. So if you're talking about the qualified beneficiary designation, that is not something that Mr. Vacek would have done. It would be done after someone had died and, therefore, it would be under mine. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. So you never had a situation that you know of where somebody wanted to do a qualified beneficiary designation while both spouses were still alive? A. It would be unnecessary because they could easily amend the entire trust or parts of it because they're both alive. Q. Okay. So what did Susan Vacek do? A. Train me.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	assumption, and then you're asking me. Q. Well, it's sort of a combination of both. While you were at his firm let me ask you this way: When you went to work at his firm, you used his trust form, right? A. I guess, yes. Q. You didn't A. I didn't make any forms myself. Q. Okay. You hadn't developed a trust form of your own? A. No, I have not. Q. And while you were working there, you continued to use basically a form that was developed at that firm, right? A. I mostly did trust administration and not trust estate planning, so Q. Okay. A. Once somebody either died usually is when I would get involved. Q. Okay. So in terms of the form itself, the trust form itself, you didn't have that much involvement	A. Al did estate planning. I was in charge of estate administration. Q. So if somebody needed a new document A. They would probably go to Mr. Vacek. Q. But in this case that's not what happened? MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. In this case it was associated with the administration of the trust. So if you're talking about the qualified beneficiary designation, that is not something that Mr. Vacek would have done. It would be done after someone had died and, therefore, it would be under mine. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. So you never had a situation that you know of where somebody wanted to do a qualified beneficiary designation while both spouses were still alive? A. It would be unnecessary because they could easily amend the entire trust or parts of it because they're both alive. Q. Okay. So what did Susan Vacek do? A. Train me. Q. So did she do administration, or did she do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	assumption, and then you're asking me. Q. Well, it's sort of a combination of both. While you were at his firm let me ask you this way: When you went to work at his firm, you used his trust form, right? A. I guess, yes. Q. You didn't A. I didn't make any forms myself. Q. Okay. You hadn't developed a trust form of your own? A. No, I have not. Q. And while you were working there, you continued to use basically a form that was developed at that firm, right? A. I mostly did trust administration and not trust estate planning, so Q. Okay. A. Once somebody either died usually is when I would get involved. Q. Okay. So in terms of the form itself, the trust form itself, you didn't have that much involvement with the trust form itself? A. Development-wise?	A. Al did estate planning. I was in charge of estate administration. Q. So if somebody needed a new document A. They would probably go to Mr. Vacek. Q. But in this case that's not what happened? MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. In this case it was associated with the administration of the trust. So if you're talking about the qualified beneficiary designation, that is not something that Mr. Vacek would have done. It would be done after someone had died and, therefore, it would be under mine. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. So you never had a situation that you know of where somebody wanted to do a qualified beneficiary designation while both spouses were still alive? A. It would be unnecessary because they could easily amend the entire trust or parts of it because they're both alive. Q. Okay. So what did Susan Vacek do? A. Train me. Q. So did she do administration, or did she do estate planning?

44 (Pages 170 to 173)

	174	176
1	bailiwick and the administration was Susan's? Is	And they can have the principal for their
2	that	health, education, maintenance and support, that it's
3	A. That's correct.	easiest to put things on a sweep from the decedent's
4	Q. Okay. I didn't notice any entries in this	4 trust account into the survivor's trust so it's fluid
5	document from Al Vacek.	and easy and they don't have to deal with paperwork.
6	A. Yes. That's true.	6 And that the trust would have to file a
7	Q. So he wasn't prone to putting in notes?	⁷ tax return, its own separate 1041, for the income,
8	MR. SPIELMAN: Form.	8 showing that it was passed over to the survivor's trust
9	MR. REED: Objection, form.	9 so that it pays the least amount of income tax.
10	If you know.	Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. At this point I'm
11	A. I don't know what his	assuming, since you haven't funded things, there's
12	Q. (By Ms. Bayless) So we can't, from looking at	probably not even a separate decedent's trust account
13	this, know who he might have met with among this family	and survivor's trust account.
14	group, right?	MR. SPIELMAN: Form.
15	A. No.	15 A. No. There typically would not be during
16	Q. Okay. Looking at the entry on 1-25-10	16 administration.
17	A. What page is that?	Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. So this idea that
18	Q. 1179. So looking at that entry, which is where	and this is an IRS-mandated thing, right, that all this
19	you returned the call, now, this doesn't have your	income has to go out to her to get the deduction?
20	initials at the end.	20 A. Yes.
21	A. I don't know. There's two entries for 1-25-10.	Q. Okay. So this is something did you give her
22	Which one are you talking about?	the logistics of how to set that up?
23	Q. The second one.	23 A. Yes.
24	MR. SPIELMAN: Which one is the second	Q. So you told her she needed a separate account
25	one?	for each trust, and then she needed to pay all of the
	175	177
1		
1 2	MS. BAYLESS: The second one from the top. A. 11:54 a.m.?	income from the decedent's trust to her own account?
	MS. BAYLESS: The second one from the top. A. 11:54 a.m.?	income from the decedent's trust to her own account? A. Yes, that's correct.
2	MS. BAYLESS: The second one from the top. A. 11:54 a.m.? Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Yes, 11:54 a.m. Sorry.	income from the decedent's trust to her own account? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. And it doesn't work to pay it to the survivor's
2	MS. BAYLESS: The second one from the top. A. 11:54 a.m.?	income from the decedent's trust to her own account? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. And it doesn't work to pay it to the survivor's
2 3 4	MS. BAYLESS: The second one from the top. A. 11:54 a.m.? Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Yes, 11:54 a.m. Sorry. The one that has your name out to the	income from the decedent's trust to her own account? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. And it doesn't work to pay it to the survivor's account, right? It has to go to her?
2 3 4 5	MS. BAYLESS: The second one from the top. A. 11:54 a.m.? Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Yes, 11:54 a.m. Sorry. The one that has your name out to the right, do you think you put this entry in?	income from the decedent's trust to her own account? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. And it doesn't work to pay it to the survivor's account, right? It has to go to her? A. No. The survivor's trust is her.
2 3 4 5 6	MS. BAYLESS: The second one from the top. A. 11:54 a.m.? Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Yes, 11:54 a.m. Sorry. The one that has your name out to the right, do you think you put this entry in? A. Yes.	income from the decedent's trust to her own account? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. And it doesn't work to pay it to the survivor's account, right? It has to go to her? A. No. The survivor's trust is her. Q. Okay. So it was enough if she made all the
2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. BAYLESS: The second one from the top. A. 11:54 a.m.? Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Yes, 11:54 a.m. Sorry. The one that has your name out to the right, do you think you put this entry in? A. Yes. Q. Even though it doesn't have your initials?	income from the decedent's trust to her own account? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. And it doesn't work to pay it to the survivor's account, right? It has to go to her? A. No. The survivor's trust is her. Q. Okay. So it was enough if she made all the payments from the decedent's trust into the survivor's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. BAYLESS: The second one from the top. A. 11:54 a.m.? Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Yes, 11:54 a.m. Sorry. The one that has your name out to the right, do you think you put this entry in? A. Yes. Q. Even though it doesn't have your initials? A. It looks like something I would type.	income from the decedent's trust to her own account? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. And it doesn't work to pay it to the survivor's account, right? It has to go to her? A. No. The survivor's trust is her. Q. Okay. So it was enough if she made all the payments from the decedent's trust into the survivor's trust account? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. These are, I would say, kind of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. BAYLESS: The second one from the top. A. 11:54 a.m.? Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Yes, 11:54 a.m. Sorry. The one that has your name out to the right, do you think you put this entry in? A. Yes. Q. Even though it doesn't have your initials? A. It looks like something I would type. Q. Okay.	income from the decedent's trust to her own account? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. And it doesn't work to pay it to the survivor's account, right? It has to go to her? A. No. The survivor's trust is her. Q. Okay. So it was enough if she made all the payments from the decedent's trust into the survivor's trust account? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. These are, I would say, kind of intricate types of procedures to set up. Did you ever
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. BAYLESS: The second one from the top. A. 11:54 a.m.? Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Yes, 11:54 a.m. Sorry. The one that has your name out to the right, do you think you put this entry in? A. Yes. Q. Even though it doesn't have your initials? A. It looks like something I would type. Q. Okay. A. Although there's no misspellings, so I'm not sure. Q. You say in here that "the land was Elmer's and,	income from the decedent's trust to her own account? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. And it doesn't work to pay it to the survivor's account, right? It has to go to her? A. No. The survivor's trust is her. Q. Okay. So it was enough if she made all the payments from the decedent's trust into the survivor's trust account? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. These are, I would say, kind of intricate types of procedures to set up. Did you ever have any feeling that Nelva didn't understand what you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. BAYLESS: The second one from the top. A. 11:54 a.m.? Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Yes, 11:54 a.m. Sorry. The one that has your name out to the right, do you think you put this entry in? A. Yes. Q. Even though it doesn't have your initials? A. It looks like something I would type. Q. Okay. A. Although there's no misspellings, so I'm not sure. Q. You say in here that "the land was Elmer's and, therefore, would likely be allocated to his decedent's	income from the decedent's trust to her own account? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. And it doesn't work to pay it to the survivor's account, right? It has to go to her? A. No. The survivor's trust is her. Q. Okay. So it was enough if she made all the payments from the decedent's trust into the survivor's trust account? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. These are, I would say, kind of intricate types of procedures to set up. Did you ever have any feeling that Nelva didn't understand what you were telling her?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. BAYLESS: The second one from the top. A. 11:54 a.m.? Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Yes, 11:54 a.m. Sorry. The one that has your name out to the right, do you think you put this entry in? A. Yes. Q. Even though it doesn't have your initials? A. It looks like something I would type. Q. Okay. A. Although there's no misspellings, so I'm not sure. Q. You say in here that "the land was Elmer's and, therefore, would likely be allocated to his decedent's trust but that all income is required to be pushed out	income from the decedent's trust to her own account? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. And it doesn't work to pay it to the survivor's account, right? It has to go to her? A. No. The survivor's trust is her. Q. Okay. So it was enough if she made all the payments from the decedent's trust into the survivor's trust account? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. These are, I would say, kind of intricate types of procedures to set up. Did you ever have any feeling that Nelva didn't understand what you were telling her? MR. SPIELMAN: Form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. BAYLESS: The second one from the top. A. 11:54 a.m.? Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Yes, 11:54 a.m. Sorry. The one that has your name out to the right, do you think you put this entry in? A. Yes. Q. Even though it doesn't have your initials? A. It looks like something I would type. Q. Okay. A. Although there's no misspellings, so I'm not sure. Q. You say in here that "the land was Elmer's and, therefore, would likely be allocated to his decedent's trust but that all income is required to be pushed out to her."	income from the decedent's trust to her own account? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. And it doesn't work to pay it to the survivor's account, right? It has to go to her? A. No. The survivor's trust is her. Q. Okay. So it was enough if she made all the payments from the decedent's trust into the survivor's trust account? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. These are, I would say, kind of intricate types of procedures to set up. Did you ever have any feeling that Nelva didn't understand what you were telling her? MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. At the time that it was given?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. BAYLESS: The second one from the top. A. 11:54 a.m.? Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Yes, 11:54 a.m. Sorry. The one that has your name out to the right, do you think you put this entry in? A. Yes. Q. Even though it doesn't have your initials? A. It looks like something I would type. Q. Okay. A. Although there's no misspellings, so I'm not sure. Q. You say in here that "the land was Elmer's and, therefore, would likely be allocated to his decedent's trust but that all income is required to be pushed out to her." So explain what you I assume you	income from the decedent's trust to her own account? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. And it doesn't work to pay it to the survivor's account, right? It has to go to her? A. No. The survivor's trust is her. Q. Okay. So it was enough if she made all the payments from the decedent's trust into the survivor's trust account? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. These are, I would say, kind of intricate types of procedures to set up. Did you ever have any feeling that Nelva didn't understand what you were telling her? MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. At the time that it was given? Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. BAYLESS: The second one from the top. A. 11:54 a.m.? Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Yes, 11:54 a.m. Sorry. The one that has your name out to the right, do you think you put this entry in? A. Yes. Q. Even though it doesn't have your initials? A. It looks like something I would type. Q. Okay. A. Although there's no misspellings, so I'm not sure. Q. You say in here that "the land was Elmer's and, therefore, would likely be allocated to his decedent's trust but that all income is required to be pushed out to her." So explain what you I assume you explained this to Nelva?	income from the decedent's trust to her own account? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. And it doesn't work to pay it to the survivor's account, right? It has to go to her? A. No. The survivor's trust is her. Q. Okay. So it was enough if she made all the payments from the decedent's trust into the survivor's trust account? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. These are, I would say, kind of intricate types of procedures to set up. Did you ever have any feeling that Nelva didn't understand what you were telling her? MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. At the time that it was given? Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Yes. A. No, I did not have that feeling that she did
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. BAYLESS: The second one from the top. A. 11:54 a.m.? Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Yes, 11:54 a.m. Sorry. The one that has your name out to the right, do you think you put this entry in? A. Yes. Q. Even though it doesn't have your initials? A. It looks like something I would type. Q. Okay. A. Although there's no misspellings, so I'm not sure. Q. You say in here that "the land was Elmer's and, therefore, would likely be allocated to his decedent's trust but that all income is required to be pushed out to her." So explain what you I assume you explained this to Nelva? A. Yes. That's a good assumption.	income from the decedent's trust to her own account? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. And it doesn't work to pay it to the survivor's account, right? It has to go to her? A. No. The survivor's trust is her. Q. Okay. So it was enough if she made all the payments from the decedent's trust into the survivor's trust account? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. These are, I would say, kind of intricate types of procedures to set up. Did you ever have any feeling that Nelva didn't understand what you were telling her? MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. At the time that it was given? Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Yes. A. No, I did not have that feeling that she did not understand.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. BAYLESS: The second one from the top. A. 11:54 a.m.? Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Yes, 11:54 a.m. Sorry. The one that has your name out to the right, do you think you put this entry in? A. Yes. Q. Even though it doesn't have your initials? A. It looks like something I would type. Q. Okay. A. Although there's no misspellings, so I'm not sure. Q. You say in here that "the land was Elmer's and, therefore, would likely be allocated to his decedent's trust but that all income is required to be pushed out to her." So explain what you I assume you explained this to Nelva? A. Yes. That's a good assumption. Q. Okay. Explain, as best you recall, what you	income from the decedent's trust to her own account? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. And it doesn't work to pay it to the survivor's account, right? It has to go to her? A. No. The survivor's trust is her. Q. Okay. So it was enough if she made all the payments from the decedent's trust into the survivor's trust account? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. These are, I would say, kind of intricate types of procedures to set up. Did you ever have any feeling that Nelva didn't understand what you were telling her? MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. At the time that it was given? Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Yes. A. No, I did not have that feeling that she did not understand. Q. And from the interaction that you had with her
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. BAYLESS: The second one from the top. A. 11:54 a.m.? Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Yes, 11:54 a.m. Sorry. The one that has your name out to the right, do you think you put this entry in? A. Yes. Q. Even though it doesn't have your initials? A. It looks like something I would type. Q. Okay. A. Although there's no misspellings, so I'm not sure. Q. You say in here that "the land was Elmer's and, therefore, would likely be allocated to his decedent's trust but that all income is required to be pushed out to her." So explain what you I assume you explained this to Nelva? A. Yes. That's a good assumption. Q. Okay. Explain, as best you recall, what you would have told her about how that would work.	income from the decedent's trust to her own account? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. And it doesn't work to pay it to the survivor's account, right? It has to go to her? A. No. The survivor's trust is her. Q. Okay. So it was enough if she made all the payments from the decedent's trust into the survivor's trust account? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. These are, I would say, kind of intricate types of procedures to set up. Did you ever have any feeling that Nelva didn't understand what you were telling her? MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. At the time that it was given? Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Yes. A. No, I did not have that feeling that she did not understand. Q. And from the interaction that you had with her after you sent her off with a set of instructions — you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. BAYLESS: The second one from the top. A. 11:54 a.m.? Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Yes, 11:54 a.m. Sorry. The one that has your name out to the right, do you think you put this entry in? A. Yes. Q. Even though it doesn't have your initials? A. It looks like something I would type. Q. Okay. A. Although there's no misspellings, so I'm not sure. Q. You say in here that "the land was Elmer's and, therefore, would likely be allocated to his decedent's trust but that all income is required to be pushed out to her." So explain what you I assume you explained this to Nelva? A. Yes. That's a good assumption. Q. Okay. Explain, as best you recall, what you would have told her about how that would work. MR. REED: Objection, form.	income from the decedent's trust to her own account? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. And it doesn't work to pay it to the survivor's account, right? It has to go to her? A. No. The survivor's trust is her. Q. Okay. So it was enough if she made all the payments from the decedent's trust into the survivor's trust account? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. These are, I would say, kind of intricate types of procedures to set up. Did you ever have any feeling that Nelva didn't understand what you were telling her? MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. At the time that it was given? Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Yes. A. No, I did not have that feeling that she did not understand. Q. And from the interaction that you had with her after you sent her off with a set of instructions – you said you'd talk to her some other time – was it your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. BAYLESS: The second one from the top. A. 11:54 a.m.? Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Yes, 11:54 a.m. Sorry. The one that has your name out to the right, do you think you put this entry in? A. Yes. Q. Even though it doesn't have your initials? A. It looks like something I would type. Q. Okay. A. Although there's no misspellings, so I'm not sure. Q. You say in here that "the land was Elmer's and, therefore, would likely be allocated to his decedent's trust but that all income is required to be pushed out to her." So explain what you I assume you explained this to Nelva? A. Yes. That's a good assumption. Q. Okay. Explain, as best you recall, what you would have told her about how that would work. MR. REED: Objection, form. A. I typically will tell the client that the trust	income from the decedent's trust to her own account? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. And it doesn't work to pay it to the survivor's account, right? It has to go to her? A. No. The survivor's trust is her. Q. Okay. So it was enough if she made all the payments from the decedent's trust into the survivor's trust account? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. These are, I would say, kind of intricate types of procedures to set up. Did you ever have any feeling that Nelva didn't understand what you were telling her? MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. At the time that it was given? Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Yes. A. No, I did not have that feeling that she did not understand. Q. And from the interaction that you had with her after you sent her off with a set of instructions — you said you'd talk to her some other time — was it your view that she was accomplishing these things that you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. BAYLESS: The second one from the top. A. 11:54 a.m.? Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Yes, 11:54 a.m. Sorry. The one that has your name out to the right, do you think you put this entry in? A. Yes. Q. Even though it doesn't have your initials? A. It looks like something I would type. Q. Okay. A. Although there's no misspellings, so I'm not sure. Q. You say in here that "the land was Elmer's and, therefore, would likely be allocated to his decedent's trust but that all income is required to be pushed out to her." So explain what you I assume you explained this to Nelva? A. Yes. That's a good assumption. Q. Okay. Explain, as best you recall, what you would have told her about how that would work. MR. REED: Objection, form. A. I typically will tell the client that the trust income is mandatory to them because it's a credit	income from the decedent's trust to her own account? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. And it doesn't work to pay it to the survivor's account, right? It has to go to her? A. No. The survivor's trust is her. Q. Okay. So it was enough if she made all the payments from the decedent's trust into the survivor's trust account? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. These are, I would say, kind of intricate types of procedures to set up. Did you ever have any feeling that Nelva didn't understand what you were telling her? MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. At the time that it was given? Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Yes. A. No, I did not have that feeling that she did not understand. Q. And from the interaction that you had with her after you sent her off with a set of instructions — you said you'd talk to her some other time — was it your view that she was accomplishing these things that you had set her off to accomplish?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. BAYLESS: The second one from the top. A. 11:54 a.m.? Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Yes, 11:54 a.m. Sorry. The one that has your name out to the right, do you think you put this entry in? A. Yes. Q. Even though it doesn't have your initials? A. It looks like something I would type. Q. Okay. A. Although there's no misspellings, so I'm not sure. Q. You say in here that "the land was Elmer's and, therefore, would likely be allocated to his decedent's trust but that all income is required to be pushed out to her." So explain what you I assume you explained this to Nelva? A. Yes. That's a good assumption. Q. Okay. Explain, as best you recall, what you would have told her about how that would work. MR. REED: Objection, form. A. I typically will tell the client that the trust	income from the decedent's trust to her own account? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. And it doesn't work to pay it to the survivor's account, right? It has to go to her? A. No. The survivor's trust is her. Q. Okay. So it was enough if she made all the payments from the decedent's trust into the survivor's trust account? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. These are, I would say, kind of intricate types of procedures to set up. Did you ever have any feeling that Nelva didn't understand what you were telling her? MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. At the time that it was given? Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Yes. A. No, I did not have that feeling that she did not understand. Q. And from the interaction that you had with her after you sent her off with a set of instructions — you said you'd talk to her some other time — was it your view that she was accomplishing these things that you

45 (Pages 174 to 177)

	178		180
1	done?	1	A. What interaction are you talking about? On
2	A. There were some stock transfers that still	2	2-24?
3	hadn't been done.	3	Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Well, any of these meetings
4	Q. All right. Do you know why that was?	4	that you're having since Elmer died.
5	A. No.	5	A. Of course.
6	Q. But the transfer documents themselves you had	6	Q. Tell me, if you can recall, what she expressed
7	prepared and just given them to her?	7	to you about her children.
8	A. Yes.	8	MR. SPIELMAN: Form.
9	Q. And she was going to send them to the transfer	9	Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Let's take it child by child.
10	agent, or was she going to bring them back to you to go	10	A. Okay.
11	to the transfer agent?	11	Q. Did she say anything to you about Carl?
12	A. No. The client is responsible for getting	12	MR. SPIELMAN: Form.
13	those to the transfer agent.	13	A. Carl actually came into my office with her one
14	Q. Okay. So once the papers go out of your door,	14	time.
15	they're gone?	15	Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay.
16	A. (Witness nods head affirmatively.)	16	A. So I had already met Carl.
17	Q. Did you notice a decline in Nelva's health	17	Q. I'm sorry?
18	after Elmer died?	18	A. I had already met Carl.
19	MR. SPIELMAN: Form.	19	Q. Okay. But in your conversations with her when
20	A. No.	20	Carl wasn't there, did she comment one way or the other
21	Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Or her activities?	21	about Carl?
22	A. No.	22	A. Not particularly.
23	Q. Okay. So you didn't have any sense that there	23	Q. Okay. How about Candy?
24	might have been any issues with her mental capacity. Is	24	A. Yes.
25	that right?	25	Q. What did she say about Candy?
1	MR. SPIELMAN: Form.	1	181 A. That she had gone to California and basically
2	A. No.	2	married someone, and he left her high and dry. And
3	Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. Look on page 1178.	3	that's about all I knew.
4	A. (Witness complies.)	4	Q. Did she talk about concerns for her finances,
5	Q. There's an entry on 2-24; and it says "CLF,"	5	for Candy's finances?
6	which is you, "had 5/3 with Ms. Brunsting."	6	A. Of course.
7	What does 5/3 mean?	7	Q. And did she give you any indication of whether
8	A. So a 5/3 is a type of meeting that after we've	8	she had been helping with Candy's finances?
9	allocated all of gotten the magic number that can	9	A. Ms. Brunsting indicated she helped multiple
10	possibly go into decedent's trust and the client has	10	children with their finances over time.
11	chosen which assets they want to go in the decedent's	11	Q. Okay. Candy being one of them?
12 13	trust and which the survivor's trust, then between the	12	A. Sure. O. Did she talk shout any financial halp to Carl?
14	5/2 and the 5/3 is when we prepare all the documents.	14	Q. Did she talk about any financial help to Carl?
15	If the client requests our assistance with	15	A. Not that I recall. Q. How about Carole?
16	it, we would contact brokers and get the forms and help	16	A. Probably, if I recall right this is so long
17	them fill them out so that they would be ready at 5/3 for them to sign in order to effectuate those transfers	17	ago. I want to say maybe because Carole may have been
18	and walk out with those documents to deliver.	18	helping out when dad was kind of falling ill
19	Q. And that's what you did in this case?	19	dementia-wise, that Carole was very helpful during that
20	A. Correct.	20	time, wanting to compensate her daughter for helping
21	Q. In talking about these transfers and the	21	her.
22	interaction that you had with Nelva during that time	22	Q. Okay.
23	period, did she ever say anything to you about her	23	A. Instead of her being able to go out and get a
24	children?	24	job, she was staying with Dad so that Ms. Brunsting
25	MR. SPIELMAN: Form.	25	could go and still do her I believe it was
I			5

46 (Pages 178 to 181)

182 184 1 volunteering at the church. She liked to do that, and A. Irrevocable life insurance trust with a 2 2 it gave her an option to get out of the house. second-to-die policy. 3 O. Okav. 3 Q. Did you sense that there was any disagreement A. So I do recall that. 4 between Anita and Amy about the farm in Iowa, what 5 5 Q. Okay. And how about Anita? Did she say needed to be done with that; or were they just asking 6 6 anything about Anita's finances? for options? 7 MR. REED: Object to form. A. No. I really didn't hear much about Carl, 8 8 Anita or Amy, for that matter, yeah. A. I didn't sense any disagreement. 9 Q. Okay. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Did you at any time during 10 10 A. She was rather private unless it was relevant your dealings with Amy and Anita sense any disagreement 11 to what we were talking about at that moment. 11 between them? 12 12 MR. SPIELMAN: Form. Q. Okay. And I assume that things that she might 13 13 have said to you in a meeting or on a phone A. No. They were told explicitly that if they 14 conversation, if they didn't relate to what you were 14 disagreed, I could represent no one; and it's in the 15 15 doing, they don't show up in this. agreement they both signed. 16 16 A. That's correct. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. Look at page 1177. 17 Q. Yeah. Did you ever have any conversations 17 There's an entry at the very bottom. Now we're into 18 18 about the Iowa farm and what the plans were for that April of 2010, and it says you discussed this with SSV. 19 19 Is that Susan Vacek? after Elmer died? 20 20 A. With Nelva? A. Yes. 21 21 Q. "There is not trust protector in this trust, O. Yes. 22 A. I don't recall having any conversations about 22 although Mrs. B can have some flexibility with the way 23 23 what would happen to it. It created income. So I don't the kids get the trust assets and then add QBD with 24 24 recall any specific conversations about what would PATs." 25 25 A. Uh-huh. happen to it. 183 185 1 1 Q. Do you recall any conversations about it with Q. So there are a lot of acronyms in there. 2 2 any of the children? A. Sure. 3 A. At any given time? 3 Q. Tell me what that entry basically is saying. 4 4 O. Right. A. So when Elmer and Ms. Brunsting did their 5 5 A. I believe there was discussion about what would restatement, it was before we had language regarding happen with the farm after Nelva passed away. 6 trust protectors. 7 7 Q. And who did you discuss that with? The concern for Carl and others were that 8 8 A. I believe it was the co-trustees, Anita and if they should get in a lawsuit and they're in charge of 9 9 Amy. their own trust, that the trust could not be secured for 10 Q. And do you remember why the conversation came 10 them so that they -- to protect it, asset protection. 11 up? 11 Q. Okay. Well, 4-1 of 2010 was before Carl was 12 12 A. Probably -- I don't recall exactly, but most sick. 13 13 likely because of the illiquidity of the asset itself A. Well, Carl, Amy --14 and being that it was family property, what are the 14 Q. Anybody? 15 15 options with regard to how to divvy it up. Do we split A. Any of the kids. 16 16 Q. Okay. And so this had come up because Nelva it, do we sell it and split the proceeds? Do you have 17 17 had asked this question? Or why had this come up? the option to buy -- to buy each other out in lieu of 18 using other assets? 18 A. I don't know. I'd have to look at the entries 19 19 There was an ILIT that created some cash before that. 20 20 that was initially set up to pay estate tax. Since Q. Okay. Well, let's actually go back to the page 21 21 there was none, maybe some of those funds could be used before 1178. Maybe this will help. 22 22 to buy each other out. I mean, just options with regard Look at the entry on 3-24. It indicates 23 23 that you talked to Nelva and advised her that Anita was to that. 24 Q. When you say ILIT, you're talking about a life 24 calling, told her it was best for Candace not to take a 25 insurance --25 distribution.

47 (Pages 182 to 185)

713-650-1800

	186	188
1	So that's what you were saying before?	I mean, there's a whole litany of reasons
2	A. That Candace, yes.	why a trust protector would
3	Q. That does get confusing.	Q. And so who directs the trust protector in what
4	So this is the thing we talked about	4 they're supposed to be doing?
5	earlier, that Anita had called saying that her sister	5 MR. REED: Form.
6	Candy wanted to take her distribution?	6 A. I don't know. They're a third party. So no
7	A. Correct.	one really directs them.
8	Q. And I assume that this life insurance trust had	Q. (By Ms. Bayless) So they're not at the whim of
9	insurance for both Nelva and Elmer. Is that your	9 the settlor or the trustee?
10	recollection?	10 A. No.
11	A. It was a second-to-die policy.	Q. They're a completely different beast?
12	Q. What does that mean?	12 A. Completely autonomous.
13	A. So that means you're insuring both lives, but	Q. You indicate on here that there is it says
14	it doesn't actually pay out until the second one dies.	"is not," but I assume you mean "is no trust protector."
15	Q. Okay. So at the time of Elmer's death, there	15 A. Uh-huh.
16	was no life insurance distribution going into the trust?	Q. So were you contemplating at that point
17	A. Correct.	implementing some type of a trust protector? Why is
18	Q. So what distribution was Candy seeking? Do you	that even coming up in your conversation?
19	know?	A. I would have to look at the trust as it was
20	A. So in order to pay the life insurance premiums,	restated, but I believe at that time there was no trust
21	Nelva had to gift to the trustee of that trust, and the	protector in there. So if someone is in charge of their
22	trustee would deposit those funds in the irrevocable	own trust share and gets sued, there's no one to lock it
23	life insurance trust account.	down for them. They can be compelled to pay it out.
24	There was a 30-day right to receive the	So when you see situations where people
25	gift, their portion of the gift, the beneficiary. And	25 are needing money or being sued or they're at risk for
	187	189
1	after that 30 days had run and what we call a Crummey	189 being sued or they may need supplemental needs at some
1 2		
	after that 30 days had run and what we call a Crummey	being sued or they may need supplemental needs at some
2	after that 30 days had run and what we call a Crummey letter was signed waiving the right to that, then the	being sued or they may need supplemental needs at some point, you want to have that person there to be able to
2	after that 30 days had run and what we call a Crummey letter was signed waiving the right to that, then the proceeds were the gift was used to pay the premium on	being sued or they may need supplemental needs at some point, you want to have that person there to be able to flip it into a supplemental needs trust or lock it down.
2 3 4	after that 30 days had run and what we call a Crummey letter was signed waiving the right to that, then the proceeds were the gift was used to pay the premium on the life insurance policy. If you don't pay the	being sued or they may need supplemental needs at some point, you want to have that person there to be able to flip it into a supplemental needs trust or lock it down. Q. And so as far as you know at this point, the
2 3 4 5	after that 30 days had run and what we call a Crummey letter was signed waiving the right to that, then the proceeds were the gift was used to pay the premium on the life insurance policy. If you don't pay the premium, you lose it.	being sued or they may need supplemental needs at some point, you want to have that person there to be able to flip it into a supplemental needs trust or lock it down. Q. And so as far as you know at this point, the only issue was whether Candy would take a distribution
2 3 4 5 6	after that 30 days had run and what we call a Crummey letter was signed waiving the right to that, then the proceeds were the gift was used to pay the premium on the life insurance policy. If you don't pay the premium, you lose it. Q. Okay. And so Candy was asking to be able to	being sued or they may need supplemental needs at some point, you want to have that person there to be able to flip it into a supplemental needs trust or lock it down. Q. And so as far as you know at this point, the only issue was whether Candy would take a distribution from the life insurance trust, right?
2 3 4 5 6 7	after that 30 days had run and what we call a Crummey letter was signed waiving the right to that, then the proceeds were the gift was used to pay the premium on the life insurance policy. If you don't pay the premium, you lose it. Q. Okay. And so Candy was asking to be able to get her part of the what was getting paid in to pay	being sued or they may need supplemental needs at some point, you want to have that person there to be able to flip it into a supplemental needs trust or lock it down. Q. And so as far as you know at this point, the only issue was whether Candy would take a distribution from the life insurance trust, right? MR. REED: Form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	after that 30 days had run and what we call a Crummey letter was signed waiving the right to that, then the proceeds were the gift was used to pay the premium on the life insurance policy. If you don't pay the premium, you lose it. Q. Okay. And so Candy was asking to be able to get her part of the what was getting paid in to pay the premium? A. Premium payment, yes. Q. Okay. And then in this entry you're basically	being sued or they may need supplemental needs at some point, you want to have that person there to be able to flip it into a supplemental needs trust or lock it down. Q. And so as far as you know at this point, the only issue was whether Candy would take a distribution from the life insurance trust, right? MR. REED: Form. A. I guess. I don't know. I'd have to read the previous notes going back. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. Well, let's take a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	after that 30 days had run and what we call a Crummey letter was signed waiving the right to that, then the proceeds were the gift was used to pay the premium on the life insurance policy. If you don't pay the premium, you lose it. Q. Okay. And so Candy was asking to be able to get her part of the what was getting paid in to pay the premium? A. Premium payment, yes. Q. Okay. And then in this entry you're basically saying that you told her that that was not a good idea	being sued or they may need supplemental needs at some point, you want to have that person there to be able to flip it into a supplemental needs trust or lock it down. Q. And so as far as you know at this point, the only issue was whether Candy would take a distribution from the life insurance trust, right? MR. REED: Form. A. I guess. I don't know. I'd have to read the previous notes going back. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. Well, let's take a MS. BAYLESS: Can we take a short break?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	after that 30 days had run and what we call a Crummey letter was signed waiving the right to that, then the proceeds were the gift was used to pay the premium on the life insurance policy. If you don't pay the premium, you lose it. Q. Okay. And so Candy was asking to be able to get her part of the what was getting paid in to pay the premium? A. Premium payment, yes. Q. Okay. And then in this entry you're basically saying that you told her that that was not a good idea and that she should just loan her money?	being sued or they may need supplemental needs at some point, you want to have that person there to be able to flip it into a supplemental needs trust or lock it down. Q. And so as far as you know at this point, the only issue was whether Candy would take a distribution from the life insurance trust, right? MR. REED: Form. A. I guess. I don't know. I'd have to read the previous notes going back. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. Well, let's take a MS. BAYLESS: Can we take a short break? MR. MENDEL: Sure.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	after that 30 days had run and what we call a Crummey letter was signed waiving the right to that, then the proceeds were the gift was used to pay the premium on the life insurance policy. If you don't pay the premium, you lose it. Q. Okay. And so Candy was asking to be able to get her part of the what was getting paid in to pay the premium? A. Premium payment, yes. Q. Okay. And then in this entry you're basically saying that you told her that that was not a good idea and that she should just loan her money? A. Yes.	being sued or they may need supplemental needs at some point, you want to have that person there to be able to flip it into a supplemental needs trust or lock it down. Q. And so as far as you know at this point, the only issue was whether Candy would take a distribution from the life insurance trust, right? MR. REED: Form. A. I guess. I don't know. I'd have to read the previous notes going back. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. Well, let's take a MS. BAYLESS: Can we take a short break? MR. MENDEL: Sure. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Would you mind doing that,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	after that 30 days had run and what we call a Crummey letter was signed waiving the right to that, then the proceeds were the gift was used to pay the premium on the life insurance policy. If you don't pay the premium, you lose it. Q. Okay. And so Candy was asking to be able to get her part of the what was getting paid in to pay the premium? A. Premium payment, yes. Q. Okay. And then in this entry you're basically saying that you told her that that was not a good idea and that she should just loan her money? A. Yes. Q. Tell me what your understanding is of the role	being sued or they may need supplemental needs at some point, you want to have that person there to be able to flip it into a supplemental needs trust or lock it down. Q. And so as far as you know at this point, the only issue was whether Candy would take a distribution from the life insurance trust, right? MR. REED: Form. A. I guess. I don't know. I'd have to read the previous notes going back. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. Well, let's take a MS. BAYLESS: Can we take a short break? MR. MENDEL: Sure. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Would you mind doing that, just look and see? Because, I mean, I may have missed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	after that 30 days had run and what we call a Crummey letter was signed waiving the right to that, then the proceeds were the gift was used to pay the premium on the life insurance policy. If you don't pay the premium, you lose it. Q. Okay. And so Candy was asking to be able to get her part of the what was getting paid in to pay the premium? A. Premium payment, yes. Q. Okay. And then in this entry you're basically saying that you told her that that was not a good idea and that she should just loan her money? A. Yes. Q. Tell me what your understanding is of the role of a trust protector.	being sued or they may need supplemental needs at some point, you want to have that person there to be able to flip it into a supplemental needs trust or lock it down. Q. And so as far as you know at this point, the only issue was whether Candy would take a distribution from the life insurance trust, right? MR. REED: Form. A. I guess. I don't know. I'd have to read the previous notes going back. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. Well, let's take a MS. BAYLESS: Can we take a short break? MR. MENDEL: Sure. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Would you mind doing that, just look and see? Because, I mean, I may have missed something, but I didn't see
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	after that 30 days had run and what we call a Crummey letter was signed waiving the right to that, then the proceeds were the gift was used to pay the premium on the life insurance policy. If you don't pay the premium, you lose it. Q. Okay. And so Candy was asking to be able to get her part of the what was getting paid in to pay the premium? A. Premium payment, yes. Q. Okay. And then in this entry you're basically saying that you told her that that was not a good idea and that she should just loan her money? A. Yes. Q. Tell me what your understanding is of the role of a trust protector. A. A trust protector is there to pretty much do	being sued or they may need supplemental needs at some point, you want to have that person there to be able to flip it into a supplemental needs trust or lock it down. Q. And so as far as you know at this point, the only issue was whether Candy would take a distribution from the life insurance trust, right? MR. REED: Form. A. I guess. I don't know. I'd have to read the previous notes going back. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. Well, let's take a MS. BAYLESS: Can we take a short break? MR. MENDEL: Sure. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Would you mind doing that, just look and see? Because, I mean, I may have missed something, but I didn't see A. Sure.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	after that 30 days had run and what we call a Crummey letter was signed waiving the right to that, then the proceeds were the gift was used to pay the premium on the life insurance policy. If you don't pay the premium, you lose it. Q. Okay. And so Candy was asking to be able to get her part of the what was getting paid in to pay the premium? A. Premium payment, yes. Q. Okay. And then in this entry you're basically saying that you told her that that was not a good idea and that she should just loan her money? A. Yes. Q. Tell me what your understanding is of the role of a trust protector. A. A trust protector is there to pretty much do exactly what you would think, and that is to lock down a	being sued or they may need supplemental needs at some point, you want to have that person there to be able to flip it into a supplemental needs trust or lock it down. Q. And so as far as you know at this point, the only issue was whether Candy would take a distribution from the life insurance trust, right? MR. REED: Form. A. I guess. I don't know. I'd have to read the previous notes going back. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. Well, let's take a MS. BAYLESS: Can we take a short break? MR. MENDEL: Sure. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Would you mind doing that, just look and see? Because, I mean, I may have missed something, but I didn't see A. Sure. MR. MENDEL: Ten minutes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	after that 30 days had run and what we call a Crummey letter was signed waiving the right to that, then the proceeds were the gift was used to pay the premium on the life insurance policy. If you don't pay the premium, you lose it. Q. Okay. And so Candy was asking to be able to get her part of the what was getting paid in to pay the premium? A. Premium payment, yes. Q. Okay. And then in this entry you're basically saying that you told her that that was not a good idea and that she should just loan her money? A. Yes. Q. Tell me what your understanding is of the role of a trust protector. A. A trust protector is there to pretty much do exactly what you would think, and that is to lock down a trust in the event that the beneficiary or trustee is	being sued or they may need supplemental needs at some point, you want to have that person there to be able to flip it into a supplemental needs trust or lock it down. Q. And so as far as you know at this point, the only issue was whether Candy would take a distribution from the life insurance trust, right? MR. REED: Form. A. I guess. I don't know. I'd have to read the previous notes going back. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. Well, let's take a MS. BAYLESS: Can we take a short break? MR. MENDEL: Sure. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Would you mind doing that, just look and see? Because, I mean, I may have missed something, but I didn't see A. Sure. MR. MENDEL: Ten minutes. (Recess taken.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	after that 30 days had run and what we call a Crummey letter was signed waiving the right to that, then the proceeds were the gift was used to pay the premium on the life insurance policy. If you don't pay the premium, you lose it. Q. Okay. And so Candy was asking to be able to get her part of the what was getting paid in to pay the premium? A. Premium payment, yes. Q. Okay. And then in this entry you're basically saying that you told her that that was not a good idea and that she should just loan her money? A. Yes. Q. Tell me what your understanding is of the role of a trust protector. A. A trust protector is there to pretty much do exactly what you would think, and that is to lock down a trust in the event that the beneficiary or trustee is compelled to pay out due to a judicial requirement in a	being sued or they may need supplemental needs at some point, you want to have that person there to be able to flip it into a supplemental needs trust or lock it down. Q. And so as far as you know at this point, the only issue was whether Candy would take a distribution from the life insurance trust, right? MR. REED: Form. A. I guess. I don't know. I'd have to read the previous notes going back. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. Well, let's take a MS. BAYLESS: Can we take a short break? MR. MENDEL: Sure. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Would you mind doing that, just look and see? Because, I mean, I may have missed something, but I didn't see A. Sure. MR. MENDEL: Ten minutes. (Recess taken.) Q. (By Ms. Bayless) All right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	after that 30 days had run and what we call a Crummey letter was signed waiving the right to that, then the proceeds were the gift was used to pay the premium on the life insurance policy. If you don't pay the premium, you lose it. Q. Okay. And so Candy was asking to be able to get her part of the what was getting paid in to pay the premium? A. Premium payment, yes. Q. Okay. And then in this entry you're basically saying that you told her that that was not a good idea and that she should just loan her money? A. Yes. Q. Tell me what your understanding is of the role of a trust protector. A. A trust protector is there to pretty much do exactly what you would think, and that is to lock down a trust in the event that the beneficiary or trustee is compelled to pay out due to a judicial requirement in a litigation situation; to modify it for tax purposes	being sued or they may need supplemental needs at some point, you want to have that person there to be able to flip it into a supplemental needs trust or lock it down. Q. And so as far as you know at this point, the only issue was whether Candy would take a distribution from the life insurance trust, right? MR. REED: Form. A. I guess. I don't know. I'd have to read the previous notes going back. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. Well, let's take a MS. BAYLESS: Can we take a short break? MR. MENDEL: Sure. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Would you mind doing that, just look and see? Because, I mean, I may have missed something, but I didn't see A. Sure. MR. MENDEL: Ten minutes. (Recess taken.) Q. (By Ms. Bayless) All right. (The record was read as requested.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	after that 30 days had run and what we call a Crummey letter was signed waiving the right to that, then the proceeds were the gift was used to pay the premium on the life insurance policy. If you don't pay the premium, you lose it. Q. Okay. And so Candy was asking to be able to get her part of the what was getting paid in to pay the premium? A. Premium payment, yes. Q. Okay. And then in this entry you're basically saying that you told her that that was not a good idea and that she should just loan her money? A. Yes. Q. Tell me what your understanding is of the role of a trust protector. A. A trust protector is there to pretty much do exactly what you would think, and that is to lock down a trust in the event that the beneficiary or trustee is compelled to pay out due to a judicial requirement in a litigation situation; to modify it for tax purposes because it's now irrevocable, and the trustee is locked	being sued or they may need supplemental needs at some point, you want to have that person there to be able to flip it into a supplemental needs trust or lock it down. Q. And so as far as you know at this point, the only issue was whether Candy would take a distribution from the life insurance trust, right? MR. REED: Form. A. I guess. I don't know. I'd have to read the previous notes going back. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. Well, let's take a MS. BAYLESS: Can we take a short break? MR. MENDEL: Sure. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Would you mind doing that, just look and see? Because, I mean, I may have missed something, but I didn't see A. Sure. MR. MENDEL: Ten minutes. (Recess taken.) Q. (By Ms. Bayless) All right. (The record was read as requested.) Q. (By Ms. Bayless) So have you had an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	after that 30 days had run and what we call a Crummey letter was signed waiving the right to that, then the proceeds were the gift was used to pay the premium on the life insurance policy. If you don't pay the premium, you lose it. Q. Okay. And so Candy was asking to be able to get her part of the what was getting paid in to pay the premium? A. Premium payment, yes. Q. Okay. And then in this entry you're basically saying that you told her that that was not a good idea and that she should just loan her money? A. Yes. Q. Tell me what your understanding is of the role of a trust protector. A. A trust protector is there to pretty much do exactly what you would think, and that is to lock down a trust in the event that the beneficiary or trustee is compelled to pay out due to a judicial requirement in a litigation situation; to modify it for tax purposes because it's now irrevocable, and the trustee is locked into a tax situation that was not anticipated by the	being sued or they may need supplemental needs at some point, you want to have that person there to be able to flip it into a supplemental needs trust or lock it down. Q. And so as far as you know at this point, the only issue was whether Candy would take a distribution from the life insurance trust, right? MR. REED: Form. A. I guess. I don't know. I'd have to read the previous notes going back. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. Well, let's take a MS. BAYLESS: Can we take a short break? MR. MENDEL: Sure. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Would you mind doing that, just look and see? Because, I mean, I may have missed something, but I didn't see A. Sure. MR. MENDEL: Ten minutes. (Recess taken.) Q. (By Ms. Bayless) All right. (The record was read as requested.) Q. (By Ms. Bayless) So have you had an opportunity to look at whatever you needed to look at to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	after that 30 days had run and what we call a Crummey letter was signed waiving the right to that, then the proceeds were the gift was used to pay the premium on the life insurance policy. If you don't pay the premium, you lose it. Q. Okay. And so Candy was asking to be able to get her part of the what was getting paid in to pay the premium? A. Premium payment, yes. Q. Okay. And then in this entry you're basically saying that you told her that that was not a good idea and that she should just loan her money? A. Yes. Q. Tell me what your understanding is of the role of a trust protector. A. A trust protector is there to pretty much do exactly what you would think, and that is to lock down a trust in the event that the beneficiary or trustee is compelled to pay out due to a judicial requirement in a litigation situation; to modify it for tax purposes because it's now irrevocable, and the trustee is locked into a tax situation that was not anticipated by the grantors, the settlors before they died; to modify it in	being sued or they may need supplemental needs at some point, you want to have that person there to be able to flip it into a supplemental needs trust or lock it down. Q. And so as far as you know at this point, the only issue was whether Candy would take a distribution from the life insurance trust, right? MR. REED: Form. A. I guess. I don't know. I'd have to read the previous notes going back. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. Well, let's take a MS. BAYLESS: Can we take a short break? MR. MENDEL: Sure. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Would you mind doing that, just look and see? Because, I mean, I may have missed something, but I didn't see A. Sure. MR. MENDEL: Ten minutes. (Recess taken.) Q. (By Ms. Bayless) All right. (The record was read as requested.) Q. (By Ms. Bayless) So have you had an opportunity to look at whatever you needed to look at to see what you could remember about this?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	after that 30 days had run and what we call a Crummey letter was signed waiving the right to that, then the proceeds were the gift was used to pay the premium on the life insurance policy. If you don't pay the premium, you lose it. Q. Okay. And so Candy was asking to be able to get her part of the what was getting paid in to pay the premium? A. Premium payment, yes. Q. Okay. And then in this entry you're basically saying that you told her that that was not a good idea and that she should just loan her money? A. Yes. Q. Tell me what your understanding is of the role of a trust protector. A. A trust protector is there to pretty much do exactly what you would think, and that is to lock down a trust in the event that the beneficiary or trustee is compelled to pay out due to a judicial requirement in a litigation situation; to modify it for tax purposes because it's now irrevocable, and the trustee is locked into a tax situation that was not anticipated by the	being sued or they may need supplemental needs at some point, you want to have that person there to be able to flip it into a supplemental needs trust or lock it down. Q. And so as far as you know at this point, the only issue was whether Candy would take a distribution from the life insurance trust, right? MR. REED: Form. A. I guess. I don't know. I'd have to read the previous notes going back. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. Well, let's take a MS. BAYLESS: Can we take a short break? MR. MENDEL: Sure. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Would you mind doing that, just look and see? Because, I mean, I may have missed something, but I didn't see A. Sure. MR. MENDEL: Ten minutes. (Recess taken.) Q. (By Ms. Bayless) All right. (The record was read as requested.) Q. (By Ms. Bayless) So have you had an opportunity to look at whatever you needed to look at to

48 (Pages 186 to 189)

190 192 1 However, I did look at the trust agreement. attorney; it doesn't have to be a corporate trustee. 2 2 Q. Okay. They just need to be some arbitrary third party that has 3 A. And that is what I believe would be -- the way 3 no stake, no skin in the game. 4 that the trust was drafted when it was restated, it Q. All right. So looking at this on page 1177, 5 5 appears that it stays in trust for the beneficiaries. this entry at the very bottom. 6 6 Q. Okay. A. Uh-huh. 7 A. But that's the reason why they had co-trustees, Q. You say there's not a trust protector in this 8 8 because without co-trustees over the trust, the trust, "although Mrs. B can have some flexibility with 9 9 the way the kids get the trust assets and then add QBD beneficiary trust, there would be no asset protection in 10 10 with PATs." So what does that mean? these trusts. So the beneficiary would have to ask the 11 11 co-trustees in order to get a distribution. A. So it means that she has the ability to do a 12 12 qualified beneficiary designation and treat one child So what I was starting to see was people 13 13 wanting money, and they were going to have to ask other differently than the other if she feels the need is 14 siblings for the money. So a trust protector would add 14 appropriate at any given time, based on that child's 15 15 protection but allow them to be autonomous from each needs at that given time. 16 16 other and allow them to be invested differently rather Q. All right. And it says and then add -- so 17 than pooling their funds and having to rely on each 17 let's break it down. It says she can have some 18 18 flexibility -other to get permission to make distributions. 19 19 Q. Now, how would a trust protector do that? A. Uh-huh. 20 20 Q. -- with the way the kids get the trust assets. A. So a trust protector is fairly new in trust 21 21 A. Right. law. And the way you achieved asset protection before 22 22 was you had co-trustees so that nobody could do anything O. What is that talking about? 23 23 without the consent of the other, which meant people had A. Well, I don't recall exactly what my thoughts 24 24 to agree; whereas, a trust protector being there would were at that moment. But by adding personal asset 25 25 allow the beneficiary to be in charge of their own trusts for beneficiary, it creates autonomy for them so 191 193 1 that if one wants to invest in a llama farm and the trust. But if there was a problem that arose, he or she 2 2 or it was a mechanism to step in and lock down the trust other one doesn't, they're not married at the hip and 3 3 so that it could be asset-protected for the beneficiary they don't have to fight over how things are going to be 4 4 should a need arise later on down the road that was invested or who's going to get a distribution and who's 5 5 unanticipated. not. If they've all got their own little pot, then it's 6 6 Q. Are we talking about this in connection with easv. 7 7 the restated trust? Q. Okay. 8 8 A. Yes. A. It's easy to account; it's easy to manage. 9 Q. That's what you were talking about on 9 Q. And is this something that you anticipated 10 April 1st, in this entry on April 1st? 10 could be implemented before her death or at her death? 11 11 A. Oh, I can't -- I don't recall why. A. It would only -- a qualified beneficiary 12 12 O. Okay. designation only takes effect after someone is dead. 13 13 A. What that prompted me. But when you're looking Q. Okay. So this would be --14 at the agreement as a whole and you are making changes, 14 A. Only after she's gone. 15 15 it's just natural practice for me as an attorney to look O. -- for her future? 16 16 at the documents as they are. Is there anything that A. No. It was for the kids' future. 17 17 you can do to tweak them to make them better or more O. I mean in her future. She wouldn't be around 18 18 efficient for what the client needs. to deal with it. 19 19 Q. Okay. Are there people who serve in this role A. Correct. 20 20 as trust protector kind of like you'd have a corporate Q. Okay. Then the next entry is on the 20th. Do 21 21 trustee? Are there corporate trust protectors? you recall whether you had a -- let me back up, ask you 22 22 A. Sure. one question at a time. 23 O. Who are some of these trust protectors? 23 Do you recall whether Susan Vacek thought 24 A. Well, it could be anyone that is a third party 24 this was a good idea or what the outcome of your 25 that would agree to do so. It doesn't have to be an 25 conversation with her was?

49 (Pages 190 to 193)

194 196 1 A. I don't. Q. Okay. So then if you go up to the 23rd, you 2 2 Q. And it doesn't look like -- there's at least met with her on April 23rd; and she was having some 3 not a recorded entry where you had a conversation with 3 health issues by that time and needed help with the Nelva about it, right? 4 funding, right? 5 5 A. Not that I documented. That doesn't mean that A. Correct. 6 6 I didn't have the conversation with her. It's just I Q. So before you had indicated that you first 7 heard about her health issues when you heard about didn't feel the need to be documented. 8 8 Carl's health issues. But this seems to indicate you This was something -- the personal asset 9 9 knew about that earlier, right? trust and the trust protector was something that you 10 10 would see across the firm as people came in, that if A. Depends on what health issues are. Cancer I 11 11 they had pooled trusts for their beneficiaries, it was did not hear about until closer to Carl's issues. 12 12 something that we discuss with everyone just to give Q. Okay. Do you know what kind of health issues 13 13 them the opportunity. If they wanted to make that this is talking about? 14 14 change, they could. A. I do not. 15 15 Q. Okay. So it wasn't something that you were Q. All right. And then if you go up to May 4th, 16 16 moving forward and implementing at that point? the entry at 1:56 p.m. This is an entry actually from 17 17 Summer. So she was --18 Q. Or you would have probably put an entry in 18 A. That appears to be so, yes. 19 19 about it? Q. Was she a legal assistant? 20 20 A. Correct. A. Yes. 21 21 Q. Okay. Then if you go up to the 21st, the Q. And it says, "I noticed that the Chevron 22 bottom entry on the 21st, the one that's at 10:53? 22 Corporation funding package to be mailed to BNY Mellon 23 23 Services was altered (DT EIN)" -- I assume that means A. Uh-huh. 24 24 Q. It says that "Nelva called again and spoke with decedent's trust employee identification number? 25 25 Connie." Is that the receptionist? A. Uh-huh. 195 197 1 1 A. That would actually be my mother. Q. -- "was crossed out and Nelva's Social Security 2 2 number was written in." Q. Your mother? 3 And then you say you -- apparently she 3 A. Yes. My mother worked there for a short time, 4 4 asked you, and you said you didn't do that. filling in. 5 5 Q. Okay. What did she do? A. Uh-huh. 6 6 Q. Okay. So then when she calls Nelva, she says A. Took phone calls. 7 in her entry here that she "called Nelva, and she said Q. Okay. And then it says that you took the call? 8 A. Correct. that she only signed the papers and didn't change 9 Q. And that she's having a difficult time and was anything. The girl at the bank that stamped the 10 having you go over each packet prepared for her re: the 10 medallion guarantee must have done that." 11 11 funding? And that seems unlikely, doesn't it? 12 12 A. Right. MR. REED: Form. 13 13 Q. "She seemed a little out of sorts and said she A. No. Nothing surprises me actually. 14 wished she had not even done all this." 14 Q. (By Ms. Bayless) At a bank? 15 15 A. At a bank. A. Yes. 16 16 Q. So earlier you had talked about that you just Q. How would they know her Social Security number? 17 17 MR. REED: Form. gave her the packets, and she went off and did 18 18 A. She would have had to tell them. But I could everything; but that's not really how that worked in 19 19 this case, is it? see somebody telling her, Oh, you don't need that number 20 20 A. Correct. on here. You need to put your Social. 21 21 Q. And so you told her you'd help her, but you'd Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. 22 22 have to charge her for that? A. I've had plenty of financial advisors try to be 23 23 tax people and lawyers. A. That's correct. 24 Q. And she basically said she needed help, right? 24 Q. Uh-huh. 25 25 A. Happens a lot actually. A. Yes.

50 (Pages 194 to 197)

	198	200
1	Q. Okay. So she told her that she was going to	1 trust, right?
2	change it back to the EIN number for the trust "by	2 A. Well, the opinion letter was based on whether
3	crossing through the handwritten Social Security number	or not an irrevocable trust could own cropland in Iowa.
4	and writing in the DT EIN again." See that?	4 So, yes, that was okay.
5	A. Okay.	5 I can't speak to whether or not it got
6	Q. And then she tells her the papers may get	6 funded. I don't recall. A deed would have had to have
7	bounced back for her to sign them again, that they'll	been prepared to get it in there.
8	see what Mellon did.	8 Q. But you guys did the deeds
9	A. Yes. Because you can't have white-out and you	9 A. No.
10	can't have anything changes like that. They get real	O or deed. You did not do the deed?
11	sticky.	11 A. We are not licensed to practice in Iowa. We
12	Q. Do you know what happened with this?	12 couldn't do an Iowa deed.
13	A. I would imagine that if it got bounced back,	13 Q. Oh, okay. You had somebody in Iowa do the
14	there would be another entry because we were pretty good	14 deeds?
15	about doing that.	15 A. We most likely would have, yes.
16	Q. So at this point, at least by late April of	16 Q. I think U.S. Deeds or something I saw in here.
17	2010 and this is about a year after Elmer has died,	17 A. Could be.
18	right, because he died April 1st of 2009. Does that	Q. Okay. So looking at May 17th, it says, Darlene
19	sound right?	19 from the brokerage firm had called.
20	A. I'll have to take your word for it. I don't	This is an entry that you made. What
21	recall.	brokerage firm are we talking about? Do you know?
22	Q. Well, sometime in 2009.	22 A. I don't recall, but I'm guessing it was Edward
23	MS. CAROLE BRUNSTING: That's right.	Jones because that's where Ms. Brunsting had a lot of
24	MS. BAYLESS: April 1st? Okay.	24 her stuff.
25	Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. So at least by this	25 Q. Okay. So they called and asked some questions
	199	201
1	time you had become pretty hands-on in transferring	about the setup for the decedent's trust?
2	these helping her transfer these stocks into the two	² A. Correct.
3	trusts, right?	Q. It says "CLF" that's you?
4	A. I and the staff, yes.	4 A. Me.
5	Q. The Vacek firm?	⁵ Q "answered her and reminded her that ST was
6	A. Uh-huh.	6 the beneficiary of all the income and dividends."
7	Q. And I'm assuming I think you said Susan	7 What is ST? Survivor's trust?
8	Vacek did administration. Right?	8 A. Survivor's trust.
9	A. Uh-huh.	9 Q. Okay. She said she would see if that would be
10	Q. But I'm assuming that if something came in from	able to if she would be able to be set up if that
11	Nelva, it first went to you; and then if you wanted to	would be able to be set up. Okay.
12	bring Susan into the loop, you did.	So what was the problem in that setup? Do
13	A. Of course.	13 you recall?
14	Q. So you were pretty much the first person that	A. Ms. Brunsting did not like paperwork. She did
15	they went to, right?	not want to deal with paperwork. So I requested Edward
16	A. Yes. At Ms. Brunsting's request, yes.	Jones set up sweep accounts either monthly or quarterly,
17	Q. Okay. Looking at the May 17th entry let me	that any dividends and income that were payable in the
18	back up for just a second. On the Iowa property there	decedent's trust be swept into her survivor's trust
19	was some kind of an issue about the transfer, about who	account at Edward Jones so that the funds were moved
20	could be an owner?	over and she didn't have to worry about it at the end of
21	A. Correct.	the year, trying to reconcile and get it out of the
22	Q. But you got that worked out?	decedent's trust, to ensure that the decedent's trust
23	A. Yes. We got an opinion from Iowa counsel.	did not pay the higher income tax rate on that money.
24	Q. So there isn't any question in your mind that	Q. And did that eventually get set up, do you
25	the Iowa farm is owned completely by Elmer's decedent's	25 think?

51 (Pages 198 to 201)

202 204 A. Not that I recall. A. Uh-huh. 2 2 Q. So as far as you know, was that the case up Q. Okay. So do you know what this conversation 3 through Nelva's death? 3 was about? Do you have any recollection of that? A. It should have been. But once a client leaves 4 A. Only from what I read. It says regarding the 5 5 my office, if they change things, that would not be decedent's trust account. 6 anything that I would know about. Q. Right. 7 Q. Okay. But the last you heard of it, that is A. Dividends and interest and how they're to be 8 8 how it was done? deposited. 9 9 A. That's how it was supposed to be done, yes. Q. You think that's what it was? 10 10 Q. So any income that came into the decedent's A. That's what it says. So it says, "Is she 11 11 trust was swept into the survivor's trust? unable to take principal? Is she required to take 12 12 A. That's what was requested, yes. dividends?" 13 13 Q. Okay. Looking at page 1176, on May 19th of Q. Okay. We're looking at a different entry, I 14 14 2010, near the bottom. It's the 5:11 p.m. entry. It think. 15 15 just says "Going to oncologist. They found spot on her A. Well, it's the same day, just 4:01 p.m. 16 16 liver. She said she would be out of pocket ... but that Q. All right. So this, you think, was still part 17 she agreed to having an opinion letter done by the 17 of the setup? 18 attorney and to send her whatever she needs to sign." 18 A. Uh-huh. 19 19 It doesn't have a name in there, but I Q. And based on what you said earlier, it was 20 20 assume you were talking directly with Nelva? dividends and interest? 21 21 A. Yes. That would be my assumption as well. A. Uh-huh. 22 Q. And she's talking to you about the opinion 22 O. All right. 23 23 letter, meaning the attorney in Iowa? A. Ordinary interest and dividends. 24 24 Q. Okay. Ordinary interest as opposed to what A. Correct. 25 25 Q. So at least at this point you knew she was kind of interest? 203 205 1 1 having cancer issues, right? A. Or ordinary income. Sorry. 2 2 A. Yes. I mean, I assume by "oncologist," that Q. I thought maybe there was a new kind of 3 3 that's what that meant. interest I didn't know about. 4 Q. Well, and "spot on her liver." 4 All right. Then on June 3rd there's an 5 5 Did she say why she was going to be out of entry where Summer Peoples has called Nelva to schedule 6 6 5/3 and then in parentheses it says F. pocket? Was that for medical treatment? 7 A. I assume so. I don't recall. A. Uh-huh. 8 8 Q. On 5-27-2010 there is an entry, "Merlin Case." Q. Is that different than just a regular 5/3? 9 9 Who is Merlin Case? A. It means it was the fifth time I had met with 10 10 Ms. Brunsting regarding the funding. A. She's a receptionist. 11 11 O. It said, Nelva called to give us permission to O. Okay. So 5/3 in this instance -- I thought you 12 12 speak with her broker, Doug Williams, who had called said -- well, tell me again what 5/3 is. 13 13 earlier and left a voice message with his number A. So 5/3 is the signing of all the funding 14 regarding her trust. 14 documents, and they leave with them. 15 15 A. Okay. Q. Okay. 16 16 Q. Apparently sent an e-mail to you and carbon A. If they call me back and need another meeting, 17 17 copy, I guess, to your assistant, Summer Peoples? then it will show up as a 5/3B. I know I've already met 18 18 with them, so I've got to go back and look at my notes. 19 19 Q. Did you have occasion to speak with Doug So we go C, D, E, F. We'll go all the way through the Williams about his concerns about Ms. Brunsting's health 20 20 alphabet. 21 21 or activity on her accounts? Q. Okay. 22 22 A. No. A. And that's the fifth time I met with her 23 MR. SPIELMAN: Form. 23 regarding funding. 24 Q. (By Ms. Bayless) He never talked with you 24 Q. So does that sound like a lot of times? 25 25 A. It depends. about that?

52 (Pages 202 to 205)

206 208 1 MR. REED: Form. recollection that she drove herself there that day? 2 2 A. I mean, it depends on the client. It depends A. I do. 3 on their age; it depends on their health; it depends on 3 Q. Did you guys talk about it? the assets and the types. So I can't say it was or 4 A. No. 5 5 wasn't. It was what it was. Q. Had you had any discussion at that point about 6 6 Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. So the meeting was set her needing to not drive? 7 up for the 8th. A. No. 8 8 Q. Do you know what her age was by this time? A. Uh-huh. 9 9 Q. And you have an entry that you did on the 8th A. No. 10 10 that says you visited with Nelva today? Q. Okay. There's another entry, on June 10th, of 11 A. Uh-huh. 11 a conversation with Doug Williams at Edward Jones. This 12 12 Q. "She has an appointment with her oncologist on looks like he talked to Susan Vacek. It says he called 13 13 Thursday, and she did indicate that she was not a for Susan Vacek. 14 14 candidate for chemo in that her lungs were not strong A. Uh-huh. 15 15 enough. Not sure what course of treatment she will Q. "Re question - left message." Is there any 16 16 have, and they will go over that on Thursday. She said reason why he would be calling Susan about this? 17 that she was concerned about Candy, her daughter in 17 MR. REED: Object to form. 18 18 A. I have no idea. California. Candy was adopted by them as a child. She 19 19 went off to college in California and met a young man Q. (By Ms. Bayless) All right. So you returned 20 20 and married him. They both dropped out of college, and the call. Is that because Susan told you to return the 21 21 she has been there ever since. The man has now run out 22 on her, and she has problems making ends meet. She 22 A. I have no idea. 23 23 would like to make an early distribution to Candy in the Q. Okay. So you returned the call, and you're 24 24 amount." And then it doesn't have an amount. telling him that the income is mandatory in the 25 A. I don't recall what that was. 25 decedent's trust? 207 209 1 1 O. Okav. A. Uh-huh. 2 2 A. It looks like it drops off, too. Q. "(includes interest and dividends) and 3 Q. Yeah. Didn't finish that sentence. Okay. 3 principal for HEMS." What's "HEMS"? 4 So she talks about at this time that she 4 A. Health, education, maintenance and support. 5 5 was having a hard time breathing. Did you notice by Q. So that was the standard set forth in the 6 6 this -- now, this is before Carl is sick, right? trust, right --7 7 MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. A. Correct. 8 8 Q. (By Ms. Bayless) So by this time, had you Q. -- for a distribution? 9 noticed a deterioration in her health, or were you just 9 Now, earlier you talked about that the 10 hearing the story and you couldn't tell any difference? 10 trustee could make a distribution without taking it --11 11 MR. REED: Form. at least this was my impression of your testimony --12 12 A. Just hearing it, and she drove herself to the without taking into consideration the standard required 13 13 office that day. So she was by herself. by the trust. 14 Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. And up until this 14 Is that what you meant to say? 15 point, there aren't any indications that anybody else 15 MR. REED: Form. 16 16 had brought her to the office. But you wouldn't A. If that's what was stated, then, no, that was 17 necessarily meet with somebody that brought her to the 17 not my intent. 18 18 office, right? Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. Tell me how the 19 19 A. No. But our office is small enough that if standard works in this health, education, maintenance, 20 20 somebody brought another person in, they were usually in support. How is that supposed to work in a trust like 21 21 our space, fishbowl of a reception area. the Brunsting trust? 22 22 Q. So you think it would have been noted in your A. For which trust? 23 notes? 23 Q. Well, let's start with the restated trust. How 24 A. Not necessarily. 24 was it supposed to work? 25 Q. Okay. But you remember, you have independent 25 A. Well, there is no -- they can freely put things

53 (Pages 206 to 209)

210 212 in and take things out because they're both living. So 1 about Candy --2 2 there is no standard. A. Correct. 3 Q. Then where would it come up in the Brunsting 3 Q. -- and her financial needs, and that's what 4 that dealt with, that QBD? trust? 5 5 A. So in the decedent's trust it would be income A. That's most likely correct. 6 6 mandatory to the spouse, principal for health, education Q. So they're sort of tied in. 7 But in terms of what was supposed to go in maintenance and support. 8 8 Survivor's trust, she can freely put it or any of that, we don't have any notes here that 9 9 things in, take things out. There is no standard. related to that meeting? 10 10 Q. And the health, education, maintenance and A. And typically you wouldn't. I don't typically 11 11 support means basically her health, her education, her make notes of everything that I'm going to put into a 12 12 maintenance, her support, whatever she needs to support document unless it's something that is specific that 13 13 her household? 14 A. Uh-huh. 14 Q. Okay. I thought the purpose of the notes was 15 15 so that if you came back a week later, a month later, Q. And support, is that different from 16 16 maintenance? you knew what you had last done or what you were 17 A. It could be. 17 supposed to --18 18 Q. Okay. A. Yeah. But there are other ways of doing that 19 19 A. Maintenance is getting your hair done. as well. 20 20 Maintenance is getting your nails done probably. Just O. Okav. 21 21 depends on what the standard of living is that you're A. Jotting it down on a piece of paper as soon as 22 22 accustomed to. I get out of a meeting and handing it to my assistant, 23 23 Q. Okay. And so earlier when you testified, you saying, Draft this, is perfectly fine for me recalling. 24 24 were not meaning to say that that standard could just be Q. Okay. So you don't have any independent 25 25 recollection that prior to June 8th, you were ignored? 211 213 1 1 MR. REED: Form. contemplating doing this --2 2 A. In the decedent's trust? A. Huh-uh. O. (By Ms. Bayless) Yes. Let's talk about the Q. - June 15th? Okay. 3 3 4 4 decedent's trust. Prior to the time that you had this 5 5 meeting on June 8th with Nelva, did she understand -- do A. No, it could not. 6 Q. And once Nelva was no longer the trustee, the 6 you believe, based on your conversation with her, that 7 person who was responsible for seeing that that standard she understood what an advance was as opposed to just a 8 8 was applied was Anita? gift? A. Whoever the successor trustee is; yes, that's 9 A. Yes, I believe she did. 10 10 Q. So did she come in to you asking for that kind correct 11 11 O. First, Anita -- well, I guess Anita was the of a mechanism to be set up? 12 12 only successor trustee until Nelva died. Right? A. Yes. 13 13 A. That is correct. Q. All right. So then there's a call from Nelva 14 Q. Okay. So do you know -- I didn't see anything 14 saying that she saw you last Tuesday -- this ties us 15 15 back in to June 8th -- "and thinks that she's supposed in here -- and, again, I could have missed it. But I 16 16 to come in and sign some papers." Then it looks like didn't see anything in here that talked about when you 17 17 Summer returned that call and said that the signing was were first contacted about drafting the 6-15-2010 QBD, 18 18 which is, I believe, Exhibit 5. to be tomorrow. Is that what TMRW is? 19 19 So can you tell from these notes? A. I guess. 20 20 A. No. I can't, other than I reviewed it after. Q. Okay. So at that point on June 8th -- I'm 21 21 So I can only surmise because I don't recall that when sorry, June 15th, when that was signed -- and there's no 22 22 she came in on the 8th, we discussed it. entry in here that she came in and signed it, but we 23 O. Okay. On June 8th? 23 know that she signed it on June 15th? 24 24 A. Uh-huh. A. Yeah. My notary stamp is indication she did. 25 Q. And probably, I guess, because you're talking 25 Q. So at that point there was no indication that

54 (Pages 210 to 213)

214 216 there was going to be any other QBD, right? Ms. Brunsting, that that is how it will be treated. 2 2 A. I don't recall. O. Okay. So --3 Q. Okay. 3 A. This would have been specific to the actual A. It may have been discussed; it may not have. I 4 payment and how much. 5 5 Q. Okay. And it's basically what you wanted --6 6 Q. Well, it wasn't discussed until Carl got sick, how you wanted her to document it, so that it would 7 7 was it? trigger the provisions of the June 15th QBD? 8 8 MR. REED: Object to form. A. That's correct. 9 A. I don't recall. 9 Q. Okay. And that was not the practice, that you 10 10 Q. (By Ms. Bayless) So you think it might have know of, before that, was it? 11 11 been before then? A. For Ms. Brunsting? 12 12 MR. REED: Objection, form. Q. Yes. 13 13 A. Based on the entry that's in here, I think it A. I don't know what her practice was. I can only 14 was already being discussed. 14 recommend -- based on what she's given to me at that 15 15 Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. The entry -time, that this is how I recommend you do it. 16 16 A. Because the one that said the PATs in the trust Q. Okay. Now, was it your practice at the Vacek 17 protector, and that didn't have anything to do, I guess, 17 firm to do a new fee agreement each time you did a task? 18 18 with Carl, per se, just amending the trust to provide MR. REED: Objection, form. 19 19 flexibility for the beneficiaries down the road. A. No. 20 20 Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. How did you -- because Q. So it was already, in your mind, in the works? 21 21 A. Yeah, probably so. I notice that there are some instances in which -- in 22 Q. Had you talked about it with Nelva at that 22 the documents that you produced where it talks about you 23 23 point? needed to get a fee agreement and a retainer for a 24 24 A. Probably. specific task. 25 25 Q. All right. Now, I'm sorry to have to do this So how did you determine whether it 215 217 1 1 to you, but the next page datewise, you have to go to required a new fee agreement? 2 2 the very back, and it's page 1197. So it picks up at A. It depended on the client. If they were a 3 3 the bottom with June 25th. long-term client that I knew I didn't have to worry 4 A. Uh-huh. 4 about chasing after payment, if they called me and asked 5 5 Q. And then on July 1st, at 12:07 there's an entry me to do a document, I did not do a new fee agreement. 6 6 from Summer that says "received Vacek & Freed copy of They would just come in and sign it, and we'd give them 7 7 signed receipt and distribution from Candace Louise an invoice at that time. 8 8 Curtis. Filed in file." If we were being engaged by a separate 9 So this is going to be documentation 9 trustee for a task, then we did a new engagement. 10 pursuant to the June 15th QBD? 10 Q. So is it your recollection that you did not do 11 11 A. No. a bunch of new fee agreements for Nelva for these tasks 12 12 O. Okay. What is this? that you were performing? 13 13 A. I believe that would have been if Ms. Brunsting A. It would not have been my normal practice to 14 made a \$20,000 or whatever it was payment, that my 14 have done that. 15 15 recommendation always to clients is, if you're going to Q. So you didn't do one for like when you started 16 16 be advancing a distribution as opposed to making a gift, helping her with the funding of the trust? you have the kids sign off on it, agreeing that they 17 17 A. We did one at administration, at the very 18 18 acknowledge that it's an advance of their share and not beginning; and that was the agreement based on the fact 19 19 just a gift. that somebody had died, and we were going to assist 20 20 funding the subtrusts. A new agreement is always done 21 21 A. So that everybody knows what's going on. at that time. 22 22 Q. Okay. But isn't that what the June 15th QBD Q. Okay. 23 23 A. After that, we would not have done another one was about? 24 A. The QBD itself just says anyone who receives, 24 with Nelva. 25 as long as it's documented as an advance by 25 Q. So when she came in and said she needed help,

55 (Pages 214 to 217)

	218		220
1	you just did it; you didn't do another	1	question. That's why I was asking the question.
2	A. That's correct. The fee agreement we had in	2	Q. (By Ms. Bayless) I'm not trying to trick you.
3	place was still effective.	3	It's easier to just show you the agreements.
4	Q. And when you did one of these QBDs, did you do	4	A. That's fine. I would prefer that.
5	a new fee agreement for those?	5	Q. Okay. Now, is it your testimony that I just
6	A. No.	6	want to be sure I heard you right about this that
7	Q. Not with Nelva, anyway, you're saying?	7	prior to this we're talking now about, say, the
8	A. No.	8	June 15th QBD time or early July.
9	Q. Okay. Then when you began representing Anita	9	But before Carl was sick, before he
10	as the successor trustee, you did a new fee agreement	10	contracted his encephalitis, you didn't have
11	with her, right?	11	communications with Anita on any kind of a regular basis
12	A. Yes. I believe that's correct.	12	about the trusts?
13	Q. And at that point was it contemplated that	13	A. Not that I'm aware of, because most of my
14	there would be a co-trustee arrangement at any point?	14	conversations are documented.
15	A. Yes.	15	Q. So the only thing you think that you had talked
16	Q. What was contemplated about that?	16	with her about by that time was the life insurance
17	A. The trust said that Anita and Amy were	17	trust?
18	co-trustees if Nelva resigned at that time.	18	A. The one in which she was the trustee, yes.
19	Q. All right. So initially Anita was the sole	19	Q. Did you have a separate fee agreement with her
20	successor trustee?	20	for that?
21	A. No.	21	A. I just needed permission from Ms. Brunsting to
22	Q. Okay. She was a co-trustee?	22	have conversation with her, that's all. So I didn't
23	A. She was always a co-trustee.	23	have a fee agreement with her.
24	Q. Okay. So Nelva had been the sole trustee,	24	Q. So you didn't bill that trust?
25	right	25	A. Probably not.
		-	
	219		221
1	A. Yes.	1	Q. And I guess Nelva gave you permission to talk
2	Q until she resigned?	2	with her?
3	A. Correct. That's my recollection.	3	A. Yes.
4	Q. And so you had a fee agreement with Amy as	4	Q. Did you have to ask that permission every time
5	well, right?	5	you talked with her, or you
6	MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form.	6	A. No. She had a power of attorney that allowed
7	A. It would have been as co-trustees.	7	me to talk. But just as a matter of practice, we
8	Q. (By Ms. Bayless) So you had one fee agreement	8	would like talking to the broker or whatever, unless
9	with Anita and Amy?	9	I had it written down in my file that I had permission
10	MR. REED: Form.	10	to talk to the CPA or from the client, we just made it a
11	MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form.	11	practice to call the client and ask.
12	A. That should have been the yes.	12	Q. And this power of attorney that Anita had had,
13	MR. SPIELMAN: When are you asking? I	13	had she ever used that for any purpose that you know of?
14	mean, in the production there is a fee agreement between	14	A. I don't recall.
15	the law firm and Anita for a period of time.	15	Q. She hadn't talked with you about using it?
1 4/	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100		
16	MR. MENDEL: Right.	16	A. Not to my knowledge.
17	MR. SPIELMAN: And then after Nelva's	17	Q. Okay. All right. So look on July 20th.
17 18	MR. SPIELMAN: And then after Nelva's death there is a fee agreement between the firm and Amy	17 18	Q. Okay. All right. So look on July 20th.A. What page?
17 18 19	MR. SPIELMAN: And then after Nelva's death there is a fee agreement between the firm and Amy and Anita as co-trustees.	17 18 19	Q. Okay. All right. So look on July 20th.A. What page?Q. I'm sorry. 1197. We're now working from the
17 18 19 20	MR. SPIELMAN: And then after Nelva's death there is a fee agreement between the firm and Amy and Anita as co-trustees. MR. MENDEL: Right.	17 18 19 20	Q. Okay. All right. So look on July 20th.A. What page?Q. I'm sorry. 1197. We're now working from the back forward.
17 18 19 20 21	MR. SPIELMAN: And then after Nelva's death there is a fee agreement between the firm and Amy and Anita as co-trustees. MR. MENDEL: Right. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) We'll just go over the fee	17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Okay. All right. So look on July 20th. A. What page? Q. I'm sorry. 1197. We're now working from the back forward. A. Okay.
17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. SPIELMAN: And then after Nelva's death there is a fee agreement between the firm and Amy and Anita as co-trustees. MR. MENDEL: Right. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) We'll just go over the fee agreements at some point.	17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Okay. All right. So look on July 20th. A. What page? Q. I'm sorry. 1197. We're now working from the back forward. A. Okay. Q. So on July 20th at 11:58, it says that Anita
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. SPIELMAN: And then after Nelva's death there is a fee agreement between the firm and Amy and Anita as co-trustees. MR. MENDEL: Right. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) We'll just go over the fee agreements at some point. A. Okay.	17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Okay. All right. So look on July 20th. A. What page? Q. I'm sorry. 1197. We're now working from the back forward. A. Okay. Q. So on July 20th at 11:58, it says that Anita called for you on behalf of her mother, Nelva, and wants
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. SPIELMAN: And then after Nelva's death there is a fee agreement between the firm and Amy and Anita as co-trustees. MR. MENDEL: Right. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) We'll just go over the fee agreements at some point. A. Okay. Q. I got sidetracked.	17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. Okay. All right. So look on July 20th. A. What page? Q. I'm sorry. 1197. We're now working from the back forward. A. Okay. Q. So on July 20th at 11:58, it says that Anita called for you on behalf of her mother, Nelva, and wants you to give her a call. And then the entry above it
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. SPIELMAN: And then after Nelva's death there is a fee agreement between the firm and Amy and Anita as co-trustees. MR. MENDEL: Right. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) We'll just go over the fee agreements at some point. A. Okay.	17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Okay. All right. So look on July 20th. A. What page? Q. I'm sorry. 1197. We're now working from the back forward. A. Okay. Q. So on July 20th at 11:58, it says that Anita called for you on behalf of her mother, Nelva, and wants

56 (Pages 218 to 221)

713-650-1800

222 224 1 Q. And then the other issues are that he was the calling her back, right? 2 2 A. That does appear, yes. first agent under the power of attorney and that he's on 3 Q. So at this point we have reached the stage 3 the medical power of attorney for Nelva and that he's a 4 where Carl is ill. You didn't know that until you had 4 co-trustee with Anita. 5 5 this conversation with Anita. Is that right? So just from hearing that information, did 6 MR. REED: Object to form. you respond, that you recall, to Anita? 7 MR. REED: Objection, form. A. I don't recall. 8 8 Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. All right. So, A. It says what my response was right here. 9 9 anyway, it says you returned the call to Nelva's Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. Well, let's look at 10 10 daughter Anita and asked how she was doing. it. So skip -- well, first there's an interim paragraph 11 11 "She" means Nelva? that says -- I assume SIL is sister-in-law, "comments 12 12 from" --A. Of course, yes. 13 13 Q. And she, apparently Anita, reported that "she A. Probably. 14 is feeling okay. She has cancer on the liver, but it's 14 Q. Because it says Carl's wife in parentheses. 15 15 the lungs that she has issues with that keep her A. Uh-huh. 16 16 treatment of the liver cancer from being able to handle Q. -- to Nelva was that she wished she would go on 17 the treatments." 17 and substitute. 18 18 A. That's probably "distribute." It's my typing. Do you recall Nelva coming into your 19 19 office and having any breathing issues that you could Q. Okay. "Distribute Elmer's share of the trust 20 20 since Carl had said he wanted her to have something; and 21 21 MR. REED: Form. if Carl dies, then his daughter would get it all." 22 22 Now, this is what Anita told you that she A. At any time? 23 23 is claiming that Nelva told her that Drina said, Carl's Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Well, let's talk about up 24 24 through this period. wife? 25 25 A. Because I don't recall what time frame it was. A. I guess so. 223 225 1 1 There was a time where I recall her having an oxygen Q. So none of this is coming to you directly from 2 2 tank. Nelva, first of all, right? 3 3 A. Not in this conversation. O. Okay. 4 4 Q. Okay. And none of it is coming to you from A. But I don't remember what time frame that was. 5 5 Q. Okay. And then she talks about -- it says Carl's wife. You didn't hear from her, right? 6 6 "worse over." I'm not sure what that means, but "worse A. No. 7 7 over, her brother Carl has encephalitis and is in the Q. Have you ever had any conversation with Drina? 8 8 hospital. Three weeks now." A. Not that I recall. 9 9 And then she talks about concern. She Q. Okay. So then your suggestion -- you said, "I 10 10 suggested the following but that it" would be -- "but says she is concerned for several reasons. 11 11 Is "she" Nelva, or is "she" Anita? that it needed to come from Nelva." 12 12 A. I don't recall. It's difficult to say based on A. Okav. Sorry. Go ahead. 13 13 how it's typed. Q. You probably know what I was going to ask you. 14 Q. Okay. So first concern is what the outcome for 14 A. That's okay. 15 15 Q. So why did you feel the need to tell her that the brother is going to be or if he will recover. And 16 16 it needed to come from Nelva? then she talks about that being a problem because 17 17 they're not certain his wife will not take off with the A. Well, no. That Nelva had to make the changes. 18 18 money and actually use it for his -- or actually use it That no one else could effectively change anything other 19 19 for his care. than Nelva. 20 20 Q. And was Anita giving you the impression that So what you're saying there -- I'm not 21 21 sure from the way it's typed, but I assume what you're she thought she could make the change? 22 22 saying there is she expressed concern that they didn't A. No. It's just this would be something that 23 want Carl's wife to have access -- it says "the money." 23 Nelva would have to do on her own. 24 Does that mean trust? 24 Q. Okay. Then it says, "1, appoint successor 25 25 trustee, changing Carl out to another co-trustee with A. I don't know.

57 (Pages 222 to 225)

	226		228
1	Anita."	Q. Flip Carl's trust into a supplemental needs	
2	A. Yes.	trust and have the co-trustees have the right to name	
3	Q. Now, wasn't there already a mechanism in the	3 their own successor.	
4	trust if one of the co-trustees couldn't serve?	4 A. Carl didn't have a trust because Nelva is stil	1
5	A. Maybe. It depends on how it was worded. I	⁵ alive.	
6	don't recall.	⁶ Q. Right.	
7	Q. Okay. And when you answered this question, you	7 A. So I guess the answer to your question would	d
8	didn't go look at it first?	be, no, Nelva couldn't do that because there was no	0
9	A. No, no.	9 trust for Carl.	
10	Q. You were just pointing out that that's	Q. There couldn't be one set up?	
11	something that could be dealt with?	A. Well, that is a totally different I mean, I	
12	A. Correct.	suppose she could do one, but that was not the	
13	Q. All right. And then, No. 2, you say, "PAT QBD	discussion.	
14	so the co-trustee can flip Carl's trust into a	Q. Okay. And since Carl had these issues now,	
15	supplemental needs trust, have the co-trustees have the	rather than when Nelva dies, wouldn't it make sense to	0
16	right to name their own successor trustee of Carl's	be looking at some kind of a trust arrangement at the	
17	trust should he fully recover."	present, I mean on this date as opposed to what was	
18	A. Correct.	going to happen when Nelva died?	
19	Q. Explain that to me.	A. I'm sorry. For clarification purposes, are yo	
20	A. So if it's not the way the trust is drafted but	asking me should Nelva have set up a trust for her	son,
21	the way the QBD was done, a trust protector was added in	who was sick?	
22	that allows the trustee of that trust to flip it into a	Q. I'm asking you if that was discussed.	
23 24	supplemental needs so that Carl can qualify for	23 A. No.	
25	government benefits and not be required to spend down	Q. And it was not discussed because Anita wasn't	
25	the trust. But if he makes a full recovery, the right	25 trying to go there, right?	
	227		
	227		229
1		MR. REED: Objection, form.	229
1 2	for them to name their own successor, they could turn around and name Carl as his own trustee again.		229
	for them to name their own successor, they could turn	1 MR. REED: Objection, form.	
2	for them to name their own successor, they could turn around and name Carl as his own trustee again.	1 MR. REED: Objection, form. 2 MR. SPIELMAN: Form.	It
2 3	for them to name their own successor, they could turn around and name Carl as his own trustee again. Q. So when you say the co-trustee can flip Carl's	1 MR. REED: Objection, form. 2 MR. SPIELMAN: Form. 3 A. I have no idea where she was trying to go. 4 just was not discussed, or at least I didn't docume 5 as such.	It ent it
2 3 4	for them to name their own successor, they could turn around and name Carl as his own trustee again. Q. So when you say the co-trustee can flip Carl's trust into a supplemental needs trust, that is some kind	1 MR. REED: Objection, form. 2 MR. SPIELMAN: Form. 3 A. I have no idea where she was trying to go. 4 just was not discussed, or at least I didn't docume	It ent it
2 3 4 5	for them to name their own successor, they could turn around and name Carl as his own trustee again. Q. So when you say the co-trustee can flip Carl's trust into a supplemental needs trust, that is some kind of a change that would be provided you were	1 MR. REED: Objection, form. 2 MR. SPIELMAN: Form. 3 A. I have no idea where she was trying to go. 1 4 just was not discussed, or at least I didn't docume 5 as such. 6 Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. So the issues that you were dealing with in your suggestions were issues that	It ent it
2 3 4 5 6	for them to name their own successor, they could turn around and name Carl as his own trustee again. Q. So when you say the co-trustee can flip Carl's trust into a supplemental needs trust, that is some kind of a change that would be provided you were suggesting to provide to when you say the	1 MR. REED: Objection, form. 2 MR. SPIELMAN: Form. 3 A. I have no idea where she was trying to go. 1 4 just was not discussed, or at least I didn't docume 5 as such. 6 Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. So the issues that yo	It ent it
2 3 4 5 6 7	for them to name their own successor, they could turn around and name Carl as his own trustee again. Q. So when you say the co-trustee can flip Carl's trust into a supplemental needs trust, that is some kind of a change that would be provided you were suggesting to provide to when you say the co-trustee A. Uh-huh. Q you're meaning somebody who would serve with	1 MR. REED: Objection, form. 2 MR. SPIELMAN: Form. 3 A. I have no idea where she was trying to go. 1 4 just was not discussed, or at least I didn't docume as such. 6 Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. So the issues that yowere dealing with in your suggestions were issues that would happen sometime in the future? 8 would happen sometime in the future? 9 A. That's correct.	It ent it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	for them to name their own successor, they could turn around and name Carl as his own trustee again. Q. So when you say the co-trustee can flip Carl's trust into a supplemental needs trust, that is some kind of a change that would be provided you were suggesting to provide to when you say the co-trustee A. Uh-huh.	1 MR. REED: Objection, form. 2 MR. SPIELMAN: Form. 3 A. I have no idea where she was trying to go. 1 4 just was not discussed, or at least I didn't docume as such. 6 Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. So the issues that yowere dealing with in your suggestions were issues that would happen sometime in the future? 8 would happen sometime in the future? 9 A. That's correct. 10 Q. All right. And you just didn't talk about	It ent it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	for them to name their own successor, they could turn around and name Carl as his own trustee again. Q. So when you say the co-trustee can flip Carl's trust into a supplemental needs trust, that is some kind of a change that would be provided you were suggesting to provide to when you say the co-trustee A. Uh-huh. Q you're meaning somebody who would serve with	MR. REED: Objection, form. MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. I have no idea where she was trying to go. i just was not discussed, or at least I didn't docume as such. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. So the issues that yowere dealing with in your suggestions were issues that would happen sometime in the future? A. That's correct. Q. All right. And you just didn't talk about anything that could be done at the moment?	It ent it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	for them to name their own successor, they could turn around and name Carl as his own trustee again. Q. So when you say the co-trustee can flip Carl's trust into a supplemental needs trust, that is some kind of a change that would be provided you were suggesting to provide to when you say the co-trustee A. Uh-huh. Q you're meaning somebody who would serve with Anita? Are you talking about Anita? A. Or whoever was the co-trustee. It didn't matter who the co-trustee was.	MR. REED: Objection, form. MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. I have no idea where she was trying to go. I just was not discussed, or at least I didn't docume as such. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. So the issues that you were dealing with in your suggestions were issues that would happen sometime in the future? A. That's correct. Q. All right. And you just didn't talk about anything that could be done at the moment? A. That's correct, not that I recall.	It ent it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	for them to name their own successor, they could turn around and name Carl as his own trustee again. Q. So when you say the co-trustee can flip Carl's trust into a supplemental needs trust, that is some kind of a change that would be provided you were suggesting to provide to when you say the co-trustee A. Uh-huh. Q you're meaning somebody who would serve with Anita? Are you talking about Anita? A. Or whoever was the co-trustee. It didn't matter who the co-trustee was. Q. At this point were you talking about something	MR. REED: Objection, form. MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. I have no idea where she was trying to go. 1 just was not discussed, or at least I didn't docume as such. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. So the issues that you were dealing with in your suggestions were issues that would happen sometime in the future? A. That's correct. Q. All right. And you just didn't talk about anything that could be done at the moment? A. That's correct, not that I recall. Q. Okay. Then in No. 3 you did say that "Nelva	It ent it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	for them to name their own successor, they could turn around and name Carl as his own trustee again. Q. So when you say the co-trustee can flip Carl's trust into a supplemental needs trust, that is some kind of a change that would be provided you were suggesting to provide to when you say the co-trustee A. Uh-huh. Q you're meaning somebody who would serve with Anita? Are you talking about Anita? A. Or whoever was the co-trustee. It didn't matter who the co-trustee was. Q. At this point were you talking about something that would only take effect on Nelva's death?	MR. REED: Objection, form. MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. I have no idea where she was trying to go. 1 just was not discussed, or at least I didn't docume as such. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. So the issues that yowere dealing with in your suggestions were issues that would happen sometime in the future? A. That's correct. Q. All right. And you just didn't talk about anything that could be done at the moment? A. That's correct, not that I recall. Q. Okay. Then in No. 3 you did say that "Nelva can make unlimited gifts to Carl of doctor bills paid	It ent it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	for them to name their own successor, they could turn around and name Carl as his own trustee again. Q. So when you say the co-trustee can flip Carl's trust into a supplemental needs trust, that is some kind of a change that would be provided you were suggesting to provide to when you say the co-trustee A. Uh-huh. Q you're meaning somebody who would serve with Anita? Are you talking about Anita? A. Or whoever was the co-trustee. It didn't matter who the co-trustee was. Q. At this point were you talking about something that would only take effect on Nelva's death? A. Correct.	MR. REED: Objection, form. MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. I have no idea where she was trying to go. i just was not discussed, or at least I didn't docume as such. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. So the issues that you were dealing with in your suggestions were issues that would happen sometime in the future? A. That's correct. Q. All right. And you just didn't talk about anything that could be done at the moment? A. That's correct, not that I recall. Q. Okay. Then in No. 3 you did say that "Nelva can make unlimited gifts to Carl of doctor bills paid directly to the provider doctor or hospital gift	It ent it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	for them to name their own successor, they could turn around and name Carl as his own trustee again. Q. So when you say the co-trustee can flip Carl's trust into a supplemental needs trust, that is some kind of a change that would be provided you were suggesting to provide to when you say the co-trustee A. Uh-huh. Q you're meaning somebody who would serve with Anita? Are you talking about Anita? A. Or whoever was the co-trustee. It didn't matter who the co-trustee was. Q. At this point were you talking about something that would only take effect on Nelva's death? A. Correct. Q. So it couldn't be Nelva. It would have to be	MR. REED: Objection, form. MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. I have no idea where she was trying to go. just was not discussed, or at least I didn't docume as such. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. So the issues that you were dealing with in your suggestions were issues that would happen sometime in the future? A. That's correct. Q. All right. And you just didn't talk about anything that could be done at the moment? A. That's correct, not that I recall. Q. Okay. Then in No. 3 you did say that "Nelva can make unlimited gifts to Carl of doctor bills paid directly to the provider doctor or hospital gift tax-free"?	It ent it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	for them to name their own successor, they could turn around and name Carl as his own trustee again. Q. So when you say the co-trustee can flip Carl's trust into a supplemental needs trust, that is some kind of a change that would be provided you were suggesting to provide to when you say the co-trustee A. Uh-huh. Q you're meaning somebody who would serve with Anita? Are you talking about Anita? A. Or whoever was the co-trustee. It didn't matter who the co-trustee was. Q. At this point were you talking about something that would only take effect on Nelva's death? A. Correct. Q. So it couldn't be Nelva. It would have to be whoever was supposed to become the trustee after her	MR. REED: Objection, form. MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. I have no idea where she was trying to go. just was not discussed, or at least I didn't docume as such. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. So the issues that yo were dealing with in your suggestions were issues that would happen sometime in the future? A. That's correct. Q. All right. And you just didn't talk about anything that could be done at the moment? A. That's correct, not that I recall. Q. Okay. Then in No. 3 you did say that "Nelva can make unlimited gifts to Carl of doctor bills paid directly to the provider doctor or hospital gift tax-free"? A. Correct.	It ent it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	for them to name their own successor, they could turn around and name Carl as his own trustee again. Q. So when you say the co-trustee can flip Carl's trust into a supplemental needs trust, that is some kind of a change that would be provided you were suggesting to provide to when you say the co-trustee A. Uh-huh. Q you're meaning somebody who would serve with Anita? Are you talking about Anita? A. Or whoever was the co-trustee. It didn't matter who the co-trustee was. Q. At this point were you talking about something that would only take effect on Nelva's death? A. Correct. Q. So it couldn't be Nelva. It would have to be whoever was supposed to become the trustee after her death?	MR. REED: Objection, form. MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. I have no idea where she was trying to go. just was not discussed, or at least I didn't docume as such. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. So the issues that you were dealing with in your suggestions were issues that would happen sometime in the future? A. That's correct. Q. All right. And you just didn't talk about anything that could be done at the moment? A. That's correct, not that I recall. Q. Okay. Then in No. 3 you did say that "Nelva can make unlimited gifts to Carl of doctor bills paid directly to the provider doctor or hospital gift tax-free"? A. Correct. Q. So, in other words, as long as she paid the	It ent it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	for them to name their own successor, they could turn around and name Carl as his own trustee again. Q. So when you say the co-trustee can flip Carl's trust into a supplemental needs trust, that is some kind of a change that would be provided you were suggesting to provide to when you say the co-trustee A. Uh-huh. Q you're meaning somebody who would serve with Anita? Are you talking about Anita? A. Or whoever was the co-trustee. It didn't matter who the co-trustee was. Q. At this point were you talking about something that would only take effect on Nelva's death? A. Correct. Q. So it couldn't be Nelva. It would have to be whoever was supposed to become the trustee after her death? A. I'm not sure I understand your question. What	MR. REED: Objection, form. MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. I have no idea where she was trying to go. just was not discussed, or at least I didn't docume as such. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. So the issues that you were dealing with in your suggestions were issues that would happen sometime in the future? A. That's correct. Q. All right. And you just didn't talk about anything that could be done at the moment? A. That's correct, not that I recall. Q. Okay. Then in No. 3 you did say that "Nelva can make unlimited gifts to Carl of doctor bills paid directly to the provider doctor or hospital gift tax-free"? A. Correct. Q. So, in other words, as long as she paid the bills directly, there wouldn't be a gift tax	It ent it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	for them to name their own successor, they could turn around and name Carl as his own trustee again. Q. So when you say the co-trustee can flip Carl's trust into a supplemental needs trust, that is some kind of a change that would be provided you were suggesting to provide to when you say the co-trustee A. Uh-huh. Q you're meaning somebody who would serve with Anita? Are you talking about Anita? A. Or whoever was the co-trustee. It didn't matter who the co-trustee was. Q. At this point were you talking about something that would only take effect on Nelva's death? A. Correct. Q. So it couldn't be Nelva. It would have to be whoever was supposed to become the trustee after her death? A. I'm not sure I understand your question. What couldn't be Nelva?	MR. REED: Objection, form. MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. I have no idea where she was trying to go. just was not discussed, or at least I didn't docume as such. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. So the issues that you were dealing with in your suggestions were issues that would happen sometime in the future? A. That's correct. Q. All right. And you just didn't talk about anything that could be done at the moment? A. That's correct, not that I recall. Q. Okay. Then in No. 3 you did say that "Nelva can make unlimited gifts to Carl of doctor bills paid directly to the provider doctor or hospital gift tax-free"? A. Correct. Q. So, in other words, as long as she paid the bills directly, there wouldn't be a gift tax implication?	It ent it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	for them to name their own successor, they could turn around and name Carl as his own trustee again. Q. So when you say the co-trustee can flip Carl's trust into a supplemental needs trust, that is some kind of a change that would be provided you were suggesting to provide to when you say the co-trustee A. Uh-huh. Q you're meaning somebody who would serve with Anita? Are you talking about Anita? A. Or whoever was the co-trustee. It didn't matter who the co-trustee was. Q. At this point were you talking about something that would only take effect on Nelva's death? A. Correct. Q. So it couldn't be Nelva. It would have to be whoever was supposed to become the trustee after her death? A. I'm not sure I understand your question. What couldn't be Nelva? Q. One of the co-trustees or the trustee. At this	MR. REED: Objection, form. MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. I have no idea where she was trying to go. ijust was not discussed, or at least I didn't docume as such. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. So the issues that yowere dealing with in your suggestions were issues that would happen sometime in the future? A. That's correct. Q. All right. And you just didn't talk about anything that could be done at the moment? A. That's correct, not that I recall. Q. Okay. Then in No. 3 you did say that "Nelva can make unlimited gifts to Carl of doctor bills paid directly to the provider doctor or hospital gift tax-free"? A. Correct. Q. So, in other words, as long as she paid the bills directly, there wouldn't be a gift tax implication? A. Correct.	It ent it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	for them to name their own successor, they could turn around and name Carl as his own trustee again. Q. So when you say the co-trustee can flip Carl's trust into a supplemental needs trust, that is some kind of a change that would be provided you were suggesting to provide to when you say the co-trustee A. Uh-huh. Q you're meaning somebody who would serve with Anita? Are you talking about Anita? A. Or whoever was the co-trustee. It didn't matter who the co-trustee was. Q. At this point were you talking about something that would only take effect on Nelva's death? A. Correct. Q. So it couldn't be Nelva. It would have to be whoever was supposed to become the trustee after her death? A. I'm not sure I understand your question. What couldn't be Nelva? Q. One of the co-trustees or the trustee. At this point Nelva was the only trustee, right?	MR. REED: Objection, form. MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. I have no idea where she was trying to go. just was not discussed, or at least I didn't docume as such. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. So the issues that yo were dealing with in your suggestions were issues that would happen sometime in the future? A. That's correct. Q. All right. And you just didn't talk about anything that could be done at the moment? A. That's correct, not that I recall. Q. Okay. Then in No. 3 you did say that "Nelva can make unlimited gifts to Carl of doctor bills paid directly to the provider doctor or hospital gift tax-free"? A. Correct. Q. So, in other words, as long as she paid the bills directly, there wouldn't be a gift tax implication? A. Correct. Q. And did Anita have a response to that	It ent it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	for them to name their own successor, they could turn around and name Carl as his own trustee again. Q. So when you say the co-trustee can flip Carl's trust into a supplemental needs trust, that is some kind of a change that would be provided you were suggesting to provide to when you say the co-trustee A. Uh-huh. Q you're meaning somebody who would serve with Anita? Are you talking about Anita? A. Or whoever was the co-trustee. It didn't matter who the co-trustee was. Q. At this point were you talking about something that would only take effect on Nelva's death? A. Correct. Q. So it couldn't be Nelva. It would have to be whoever was supposed to become the trustee after her death? A. I'm not sure I understand your question. What couldn't be Nelva? Q. One of the co-trustees or the trustee. At this point Nelva was the only trustee, right? A. That's correct.	MR. REED: Objection, form. MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. I have no idea where she was trying to go. just was not discussed, or at least I didn't docume as such. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. So the issues that yo were dealing with in your suggestions were issues that would happen sometime in the future? A. That's correct. Q. All right. And you just didn't talk about anything that could be done at the moment? A. That's correct, not that I recall. Q. Okay. Then in No. 3 you did say that "Nelva can make unlimited gifts to Carl of doctor bills paid directly to the provider doctor or hospital gift tax-free"? A. Correct. Q. So, in other words, as long as she paid the bills directly, there wouldn't be a gift tax implication? A. Correct. Q. And did Anita have a response to that suggestion that you recall?	It ent it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	for them to name their own successor, they could turn around and name Carl as his own trustee again. Q. So when you say the co-trustee can flip Carl's trust into a supplemental needs trust, that is some kind of a change that would be provided you were suggesting to provide to when you say the co-trustee A. Uh-huh. Q you're meaning somebody who would serve with Anita? Are you talking about Anita? A. Or whoever was the co-trustee. It didn't matter who the co-trustee was. Q. At this point were you talking about something that would only take effect on Nelva's death? A. Correct. Q. So it couldn't be Nelva. It would have to be whoever was supposed to become the trustee after her death? A. I'm not sure I understand your question. What couldn't be Nelva? Q. One of the co-trustees or the trustee. At this point Nelva was the only trustee, right?	MR. REED: Objection, form. MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. I have no idea where she was trying to go. just was not discussed, or at least I didn't docume as such. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. So the issues that yo were dealing with in your suggestions were issues that would happen sometime in the future? A. That's correct. Q. All right. And you just didn't talk about anything that could be done at the moment? A. That's correct, not that I recall. Q. Okay. Then in No. 3 you did say that "Nelva can make unlimited gifts to Carl of doctor bills paid directly to the provider doctor or hospital gift tax-free"? A. Correct. Q. So, in other words, as long as she paid the bills directly, there wouldn't be a gift tax implication? A. Correct. Q. And did Anita have a response to that	It ent it ou at

58 (Pages 226 to 229)

	230		232
1	to 2 again I'm sorry to hover over 2.	1	Nelva.
2	A. That's okay.	2	Q. Okay. But you don't remember how that was left
3	Q. The suggestion that if Carl got better, the	3	with Anita?
4	co-trustees would have a right to name their own	4	A. I do not.
5	successor trustee so that they could give it back to	5	Q. Look at page 1196.
6	Carl basically, that was going to be the arrangement	6	A. Uh-huh.
7	you were suggesting, it would be dependent on them being	7	Q. The entry at the very bottom is a July 28th,
8	willing to do that, right?	8	2010 entry.
9	A. Well, I suppose that whoever the co-trustees	9	A. Uh-huh.
10	would have to be willing to do that.	10	Q. And it's Summer's entry; and it talks about
11	Q. Okay.	11	Nelva having paid for a bill that she had already paid
12	A. But there's also other mechanisms where he	12	for, right? I mean, read that and see if I'm
13	could get back in.	13	characterizing it properly.
14	Q. Okay. All right.	14	A. That's what it looks like.
15	A. That's just the path of least resistance.	15	Q. Do you know whether that was unusual or whether
16	Q. Okay. Do you recall whether Anita had a	16	that had happened before with Nelva?
17	reaction to that?	17	A. No.
18	A. I do not.	18	Q. Okay.
19	Q. Okay. Then the fourth one is just about	19	A. I wouldn't even have seen that unless she
20	updating the medical power of attorney to add Anita and	20	well, she says she e-mailed me. So I probably saw it in
21	take Carl off.	21	an e-mail.
22	Now, Carole lives in Houston, right?	22	Q. Then if you notice, there is no other time
23	A. Yes. I believe that's correct.	23	entry until February 15th, 2011.
24	Q. So why would you be thinking about putting a	24	MR. REED: Objection, form.
25	medical power of attorney, giving that right to Anita	25	Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Do you see that? I say time
	231		233
1	when she lives in Victoria?	1	entry. Notes/History entry.
2	A. I have no reason, rationale. At that point I	2	A. Yeah. Notes and history. So it may have been
3	don't	3	in another section of that.
4	Q. Okay. You weren't promoting that one way or	4	Q. What's the other section? Oh, you mean of the
5	the other?	5	things that have been produced?
6	A. Huh-uh.	6	A. Yeah. I mean, I don't
7	Q. It's just you were	7	Q. Yeah, I can tell you there isn't. But feel
8	A. It could be any of the kids.	8	free. Look at it and see if you can find anything that
9	Q. You were talking to Anita. All right.	9	covers the time period between July 28, 2010 and
10	And you said, "I recommended these be done	10	February 28th, 2011.
11	in a timely fashion since Ms. B is dealing with her own	11	MR. REED: You're asking just strictly for
12	health issues."	12	whether there's any notes?
13	Now, how did you leave it with Anita in	13	MS. BAYLESS: Right, because these are out
14	that conversation?	14	of order.
15	A. I don't recall.	15	MR. REED: Are you saying, though, there's
16	Q. All right. So you had told her, though, that	16	no billing entries for that time period; or you're just
17	Nelva needed to make these changes?	17	saying notes?
18	A. Yeah. No one else stood in the shoes to be	18	MS. BAYLESS: Right now
19	able to do that. So that was something that was	19	THE WITNESS: No, there is.
20	obvious	20	MS. BAYLESS: I'm talking about notes.
21	Q. Did you say	21	Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. Did you find
22	A to me, not her.	22	something?
23	Q. Did you say, Go talk to Nelva? Or did you say,	23	A. Oh, wait. That's 2011. February, March,
24	Have Nelva call me?	24	March, March. Here's 2-14-11. So that's between those
25	A. Well, I would not make any change without	25	two dates, 2-14-11.

59 (Pages 230 to 233)

	234		236
1	Q. All right. Let's say January 1st.	1	move over to the new one, everything got kind of
2	A. Here's January 2011, January 6th, January 3rd,	2	Q. Formatted weird and all that?
3	January 3rd. December, December, December of 2010.	3	A. Yeah.
4	They're here. They're just in a different	4	Q. So between July 28, 2010, which was the entry
5	section.	5	about Nelva paying again for a bill she had already
6	Q. Okay. What pages are you looking at?	6	paid
7	MR. REED: Exhibit 18.	7	A. Uh-huh.
8	A. Exhibit 18, 002182. It's just the way they	8	Q the next entry is September 2nd, 2010,
9	were printed because the system is not very friendly to	9	right?
10	printing.	10	A. Uh-huh.
11	Q. (By Ms. Bayless) I'm sorry. 21 what was	11	Q. So there is no entry about conversations that
12	the number?	12	you might have had with Nelva about the August 25th,
13	A. 002182.	13	2010 QBD, right?
14	MR. REED: Exhibit 18.	14	A. I don't see any.
15	Q. (By Ms. Bayless) 0021 there is no it's	15	Q. So we can't tell from looking at your notes
16	Exhibit 18, but what about the number of the page?	16	A. Well, you can't tell from looking at the Act!
17	A. 15 of 38, if that helps.	17	notes.
18	Q. Oh, 15. You're not looking at the Bates	18	Q. At these notes?
19	number. I see.	19	A. Correct.
20	A. Well, the Bates number is 002182.	20	Q who you talked with after July 20th when you
21	Q. All right. So that picks up there's	21	talked to Anita.
22	December. Okay. Looking at this is on Exhibit 18.	22	A. I'm not sure why that is.
23	These are the materials that were produced yesterday.	23	Q. Okay.
24	In looking at 2183, does that seem to be	24	A. Between I don't know.
25	where the gap where it fills in after July 28th,	25	Q. And you're sure that you pulled all of these,
1 2	2010? A. Well, if you look up at the top on Exhibit 17,	1 2	right? A. Well, this was done in 2012.
3	on page Bates No. 001196.	3	Q. Right.
4	Q. Okay.	4	A. And this was pulled by my assistant.
5	A. There's July 2010, July 29th, 2010,	5	Q. As far as you know, she pulled everything?
6	August 2010, August 2010, August 2010, September 2010.	6	A. Yeah.
7	Q. Well, okay. July 2004, then July 2010 through	7	Q. You weren't telling her only pull these dates?
8	August 2010, those are all field changes where it talks	8	A. No.
9	about some marital status change or something like that.	9	Q. So that does seem unusual, doesn't it, that
10	That's not meeting notes, right?	10	this
11	A. There's a September one at the top that says a	11	THE WITNESS: Do you have some? Yeah.
12	call came in from Nelva	12	A. That's why. Remember when I said we don't
12			A. That's why. Remember when I said we don't
13	Q. Okay.	13	
	Q. Okay. A regarding Carole, "who wants \$20,000	1	always make notes in here. If I have notes on paper, that's in the file.
13	Q. Okay. A regarding Carole, "who wants \$20,000 donation against her heritage."	13	always make notes in here. If I have notes on paper, that's in the file.
13 14	A regarding Carole, "who wants \$20,000	13 14	always make notes in here. If I have notes on paper,
13 14 15	A regarding Carole, "who wants \$20,000 donation against her heritage."	13 14 15	always make notes in here. If I have notes on paper, that's in the file. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay.
13 14 15 16	 A regarding Carole, "who wants \$20,000 donation against her heritage." Q. Okay. So other than the it does look like 	13 14 15 16	always make notes in here. If I have notes on paper, that's in the file. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. A. So it's either here or there.
13 14 15 16 17	A regarding Carole, "who wants \$20,000 donation against her heritage." Q. Okay. So other than the – it does look like the September meeting relates to an interaction with the	13 14 15 16 17	always make notes in here. If I have notes on paper, that's in the file. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. A. So it's either here or there. THE WITNESS: Thank you.
13 14 15 16 17	A regarding Carole, "who wants \$20,000 donation against her heritage." Q. Okay. So other than the it does look like the September meeting relates to an interaction with the client. The others are just somehow correcting	13 14 15 16 17 18	always make notes in here. If I have notes on paper, that's in the file. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. A. So it's either here or there. THE WITNESS: Thank you. MR. REED: Trust review meeting, which is
13 14 15 16 17 18	A regarding Carole, "who wants \$20,000 donation against her heritage." Q. Okay. So other than the – it does look like the September meeting relates to an interaction with the client. The others are just somehow correcting something in the database?	13 14 15 16 17 18 19	always make notes in here. If I have notes on paper, that's in the file. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. A. So it's either here or there. THE WITNESS: Thank you. MR. REED: Trust review meeting, which is V&F 687, dated July 30th.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A regarding Carole, "who wants \$20,000 donation against her heritage." Q. Okay. So other than the – it does look like the September meeting relates to an interaction with the client. The others are just somehow correcting something in the database? A. Yeah. When we flip it over from one side to	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	always make notes in here. If I have notes on paper, that's in the file. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. A. So it's either here or there. THE WITNESS: Thank you. MR. REED: Trust review meeting, which is V&F 687, dated July 30th. MS. BAYLESS: What was the number, again?
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A regarding Carole, "who wants \$20,000 donation against her heritage." Q. Okay. So other than the it does look like the September meeting relates to an interaction with the client. The others are just somehow correcting something in the database? A. Yeah. When we flip it over from one side to the other and we did there was one time where we	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	always make notes in here. If I have notes on paper, that's in the file. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. A. So it's either here or there. THE WITNESS: Thank you. MR. REED: Trust review meeting, which is V&F 687, dated July 30th. MS. BAYLESS: What was the number, again? MR. REED: 687.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A regarding Carole, "who wants \$20,000 donation against her heritage." Q. Okay. So other than the — it does look like the September meeting relates to an interaction with the client. The others are just somehow correcting something in the database? A. Yeah. When we flip it over from one side to the other and we did there was one time where we had a change in the software.	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	always make notes in here. If I have notes on paper, that's in the file. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. A. So it's either here or there. THE WITNESS: Thank you. MR. REED: Trust review meeting, which is V&F 687, dated July 30th. MS. BAYLESS: What was the number, again? MR. REED: 687. MS. BAYLESS: I think I have that here.

60 (Pages 234 to 237)

MS. BAYLESS: Sure. (Recess taken.) (Re		238		240
(Exhibits 19 and 20 marked.) 4 Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. So as you indicated earliers, sometimes you made notes in a way other than on this Notes/History computer database, right? A. Uh-hub. That's correct. Q. Os you'vel looking at what has been marked as Echibit 19. First of all, what is that form? A. This is a form that I would use sitting in a meeting with Nelva. Q. Os it is tupposed to be —it's sort of a list other of the check-off of what revisions or what the task is to be? A. Yes. Q. Was that a standard Vacek form? A. Yes. Q. When it says "PM trust review meeting," what does "PM" mean? A. Po. Or really and the decuments that you're talking about on this form were going to be signed. Q. When it says "PM trust review meeting," what does "PM" mean? A. I ob I really have to tell you? Q. You really do. I think I've seen too many initials. A. I didn't say I liked it. That's what it was when I got there. 239 1 Q. Okay. Postmortem. A. So somebody has died. Q. Okay. Postmortem. A. No. MR. REED: Object to form. A. That's what it indicates to me that any of that is true or not true. MR. REED: Object to form. A. That's was already signed, right; A. That's continuents than you're talking about on this form were going to be be a signed. Q. Okay. So in talk obcuments that you're talking about on this form were going to be be signed. Q. Okay. So in documents that you're talking about on this form were going to be be signed. Q. Okay. So the documents that you're talking about on this form were going to be be signed. Q. Okay. So the documents that you're talking about on this form were going to be prepared. A. That's what Exhibit 6s. So I would assume yes. Q. Okay. So it got don't end up happening then. Do you know if there was sorne difficulty that made t	1	MS. BAYLESS: Sure.	1	A. No.
4 Q. (By Ms. Baykss) Okay. So as you indicated earlier, sometimes you made notes in a way other than on this Notes/History computer database, right? 7 A. Uh-huh. That's correct. 9 Q. So you're looking at what has been marked as Establit 19. First of all, what is that form? 10 A. This is a form that I would use sitting in a meeting with Nelva. 11 Q. Is it supposed to be –it's sort of a check-off of what revisions or what the task is to be? 12 A. Correct. 13 Q. Was that a standard Vacek form? 14 A. Yes. 17 Q. When it says "PM Trust review meeting," what does "PM" mean? 18 does "PM" mean? 19 A. Do I really have to tell you? 20 Q. You really do. I think I've seen too many initials. 21 A. I didn't say I liked it. That's what it was when I got there. 229 1 Q. Okay. Postmortem. 23 Q. Postmortem. 24 A. So somebudy has died. 3 Q. But at this point the only person who's died is Elmer? 3 A. No. 23 Q. Dat a die you tell —I see where it says the time of the meeting and the date of the meeting. 4 Elmer, uh-huh. 6 Q. So how can you tell —I see where it says the time of the meeting and the date of the meeting. 5 There's no indication of how long the meeting? 2 A. Cannot. 10 Q. Can you tell from this who is in the meeting? 2 A. Toannot. 11 Q. Can you tell from this who is in the meeting? 2 A. Toannot. 12 Q. So what was no July 30th. So you don't know from looking at this whether Nelva drow herself there, right? A. I do not recall. 20 Q. So what was the purpose of this form? 3 A. No. 24 A. Cannot. 25 When I says "PM Trust review meeting," what documents to see when they were actually signed. 26 D. Gay is added that there even was a meeting. 27 A. Correct. 28 A. Correct. 29 Q. Vou really do. I think I've seen too many initials. 20 Q. Okay. Postmortem. 21 A. To didn't say I liked it. That's what it was the say "Supplement of the document of the document of the document one in between, right? 29 A. Correct. 20 Q. Okay. Postmortem. 20 A. So somebudy has died. 30 Q. So how can you tell —I se	2	(Recess taken.)	2	Q. And there is no indication on the notes and
degrees, connectines you made notes in an way other than on this Notes/History computer database, right? A. Uh-luh. That's correct. Q. So you're looking at what has been marked as Eshibit 19. First of all, what is that form? A. That's a form that I would use sitting in a meeting with Nebva. Q. Is it supposed to be —it's sort of a check off of what revisions or what the task is to be? A. Correct. Q. Was that a standard Vacek form? A. Yes. Q. When it says "PM trust review meeting," what does "PM" mean? A. It's postmortem. Q. You really do. I think I've seen too many initials. A. It's postmortem. Q. Postmortem. Q. Postmortem. A. So somebody has died. A. Elmer, uh-huh. Q. Okay. Postmortem. A. So somebody has died. Q. List at this point the only person who's died is Ellmer? A. Elmer, uh-huh. Q. Os any you tell - I see where it says the time of the meeting and the date of the meeting? A. To amot. D. Q. Can you tell from this who is in the meeting? A. To amot. A. No. MR. REED: Object to form. A. No. MR. REED: Object to form. A. That's what it is the net here's nothing on here tissay the time of the meeting and the date of the meeting? A. I cannot. Q. Os And this was on July 30th. So you don't know from looking at this whether Nelva drove herself there, right? A. To assess where we were at and what documents were going to be prepared. Q. Os And do you know why — there's nothing on here to too this form? A. To assess where we were at and what documents were going to be prepared. Q. Okay. Look at Exhibit 20, if you would. My real question — we're about to get to this in the notes and history, lify out of this in the notes, in the notes and history, betto the side — were going to be prepared. Q. Okay Look at Exhibit 20, if you would. My real question — we're about to get to this in the notes and history, betto the side — were going to be prepared. Q. Okay Look at Exhibit 20, if you would. My real question — we're about to get to this in the notes and history, betto the side — were go	3	(Exhibits 19 and 20 marked.)	3	history around this time period that there even was a
6 this Notes/History computer database, right? 7 A. Uh-huh. That's correct. 8 Q. So you're looking at what has been marked as Exhibit 19. First of all, what is that form? 9 A. That's what was scheduled. 10 Q. Is it supposed to be — it's sort of a check-off of what revisions or what the task is to be? 11 Q. Was that a standard Vacek form? 12 Q. Was that a standard Vacek form? 13 Q. When if says "PM frust review meeting," what does "PM" mean? 14 A. Ves. 15 Q. When if says "PM trust review meeting," what does "PM" mean? 16 A. It spostmortem. 17 Q. When if says "Isked it. That's what it was when I got there. 18 Q. Postmortem. Okay. All right. 23 A. I din't say I liked it. That's what it was when I got there. 239 1 Q. Okay. Postmortem. 240 25 Q. Dokay. Postmortem. 25 When I got there. 240 25 When I say liked it. That's what it was time of the meeting and the date of the meeting. 8 Elmer? 5 A. Elmer, ub-hub. 6 Q. So how can you tell — I see where it says the time of the meeting and the date of the meeting. 8 There's no indication of how long the meeting has gone on, is there? 10 A. No. 11 Q. Can you tell from this who is in the meeting? 12 A. I cannot. 13 Q. So it's about Nelva; but it doesn't indicate that Nelva is the only person there, right? 14 A. I do not recall. 15 Q. O And dis was on July 30th. So you don't know from looking at this whether Nelva drove herself there, right? 24 to indicate why this meeting was called, right, like who to date were going to be prepared. 25 to indicate why this meeting was called, right, like who to date and the meeting was called, right, like who to day the propose of this form? 26 La To a saess where we've at and what documents were going to be prepared. 27 La To a saess where we've at and what documents were going to be prepared. 28 to indicate why this meeting was called, right, like who to day the propose of this form? 29 A. To of the document shar was some difficulty that made the town the meeting and the date of the meeting and the date o	4	Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. So as you indicated	4	
Now, when it says "signing date and time" Now, when it says "signing date and time" A. This is a form that I would use sitting in a meeting with Netva. 1	5	earlier, sometimes you made notes in a way other than on	5	A. No.
Now, when it says "signing date and time" Now, when were going to be signed Now, when the says the time of or what a transfer due to liking and the date. Image Now, what that the was some difficulty that happened, right? Now, when it says "signing date and time" Now, when the says "signing and thate. Now, then that you were going to be signed Now, What happened, december Now, When it says "signing date time. Now, what were going to be indeed to the indeed time. Now, What happened, december Now	6		6	Q. Or on this date that there even was a meeting.
Sex Description Sex Se	7	· · ·	7	
A. This is a form that I would use sitting in a meeting with Nebva. Q. Is it supposed to be – it's sort of a check-off of what revisions or what the task is to be? A. Correct. A. Correct. A. Ves. Owhen it says "PM trust review meeting," what does "PM" mean? A. Do I really have to tell you? Q. You really do. I think I've seen too many initials. A. I didn't say I liked it. That's what it was when I got there. 239 Q. Postmortem. A. I didn't say I liked it. That's what it was when I got there. 239 Line Q. Okay. Postmortem. A. So somebody has died. Q. Do So how can you tell – I see where it says the time of the meeting and the date of the meeting and the d	8	Q. So you're looking at what has been marked as	8	
10 A. This is a form that I would use sitting in a meeting with Nelva. 12 Q. Is it supposed to be – it's sort of a check-off of what revisions or what the task is to be? 13 A. Correct. 15 Q. Was that a standard Vacek form? 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. When it says "PM trust review meeting," what does "PM" men? 18 does "PM" men? 19 A. Do I really have to tell you? 20 Q. You really do. I think I've seen too many initials. 21 A. It's postmortem. 22 A. It's postmortem. 23 Q. Postmortem. Okay. All right. 24 A. I didn't say I liked it. That's what it was when I got there. 24 A. So somebody has died. 25 when I got there. 25 When it says "PM trust review meeting," what does "PM" men? 26 Q. You really do. I think I've seen too many initials. 27 A. I's postmortem. 28 Q. Postmortem. 29 Q. Postmortem. 20 Q. Postmortem. 21 Q. Okay. Postmortem. 22 A. So somebody has died. 24 Q. So how can you tell – I see where it says the time of the meeting and the date of the meeting. 25 There's no indication of how long the meeting has gone on, is there? 26 A. No. 27 There's no indication of how long the meeting has gone on, is there? 28 A. No. 29 Q. Can you tell from this who is in the meeting? 29 A. I cannot. 20 Q. So it's about Nelva; but it doesn't indicate that Nelva is the only person there, right? 29 A. I cannot. 29 Q. Can di this was on July 30th. So you don't know from looking at this whether Nelva drove herself there, right? 29 A. I do not recall. 20 Q. So what was the purpose of this form? 21 A. To assess where we were at and what documents were going to be signed — 29 A. I don't know. The take to look at the documents that you're talking about on this form were going to be signed — 29 A. To nhat date. 20 Q. When it says "PM trust review meeting," what document swate to tool at the document shat that that the task is to be? 21 A. To about 10 A. Thinh. 22 A. I don't know. Ther's nothing on the revision of the document shat that that that that the take this bit of the side untake the lime of the meeting has gone on, is there? 24 A. I don't	9	Exhibit 19. First of all, what is that form?	9	A. That's what was scheduled.
12 Q. S. is tsupposed to be - it's sort of a 12 Q on August 4th?	10		10	Q. Okay. So the documents that you're talking
12 Q. S. is tsupposed to be — it's sort of a 12 Q. — on August 4th?	11	meeting with Nelva.	11	about on this form were going to be signed
14 A. Correct. 15 Q. Was that a standard Vacek form? 16 A. Yes. 16 Q. When it says "PM trust review meeting," what does "PM" mean? 17 Q. When it says "PM trust review meeting," what does "PM" mean? 18 Q. You really do. I think I've seen too many initials. 22 A. I didn't say I liked it. That's what it was when I got there. 239 A. Correct. Q. A. A. Correct. Q. So shown any you tell I see where it says the time of the meeting and the date of the meeting. 8 There's no indication of how long the meeting has gone on, is there? 19 A. No. 10 A. No. 10 C. C. C. C. C. A. No. 10 C. C. C. C. A. No. 10 C. C. C. C. C. A. No. 10 C. C. C. C. C. A. No. 10 C. C. C. C. C. C. A. No. 10 C. C. C. C. C. C. C. A. I didn't say. And there's nothing that indicates to the meeting and the date of the meeting, and the date of the meeting and the date of the meeting, a	12	=	12	
15 Q. Was that a standard Vacek form? 15 A. Yes. 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. When it says "PM trust review meeting," what 18 does "PM" mean? 18 does "PM" mean? 18 does "PM" mean? 18 does "PM" mean? 19 A. Do I really have to tell you? 19 Q. O You really do. I think I've seen too many initials. 19 A. I really does "PM" mean? 19 A. I don't know. I'd have to look at the documents to see when they were actually signed. Q. Is this referring, you believe, to Exhibit 6? A. Could be. I mean, it says "PAT QBD," and that's what Exhibit 6 is. So I would assume yes. Q. And it's after the June 15th, so there's not one in between, right? A. Coureet. Q. Okay. So it just didn't end up happening then. Do you know if there was some difficulty that made the Do you know if there was some difficulty that made the Polyou know if th	13	check-off of what revisions or what the task is to be?	13	Q on August 4th?
16 A. Yes. 17 Q. When it says "PM trust review meeting," what 18 does "PM" mean? 18 does "PM" mean? 18 does "PM" mean? 19 A. Do I really have to tell you? 19 A. Do I really have to tell you? 19 A. Could be. I mean, it says "PAT QBD," and that's what Exhibit 6 is. So I would assume yes. 10 A. It's postmortem. 22 Q. Postmortem. 23 Q. Postmortem. 24 A. I didn't say I liked it. That's what it was 25 when I got there. 239 A. Correct. 24 A. So somebody has died. 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	14	A. Correct.	14	A. Uh-huh.
17 Q. When it says "PM trust review meeting," what does "PM" mean? 18	15	Q. Was that a standard Vacek form?	15	Q. That's not actually what happened, right?
18 Q. Is this referring, you believe, to Exhibit 6?	16	A. Yes.	16	A. I don't know. I'd have to look at the
18 Q. Is this referring, you believe, to Exhibit 6?	17	Q. When it says "PM trust review meeting," what	17	documents to see when they were actually signed.
that's what Exhibit 6 is. So I would assume yes. 20	18		18	
that's what Exhibit 6 is. So I would assume yes. 20	19	A. Do I really have to tell you?	19	A. Could be. I mean, it says "PAT QBD," and
A. It's postmortem. 23 Q. Postmortem. Okay. All right. 24 A. I didn't say I liked it. That's what it was when I got there. 239 240 250 250 260 270 281 282 283 284 285 285 286 286 287 288 289 280 280 280 280 280 280	20	Q. You really do. I think I've seen too many	20	
23 Q. Postmortem. Okay. All right. 24 A. I didn't say I liked it. That's what it was when I got there. 239 240 1 Q. Okay. Postmortem. 241 25	21	initials.	21	Q. And it's after the June 15th, so there's not
24 A. I didn't say I liked it. That's what it was when I got there. 239 241 Q. Okay. Postmortem. A. So somebody has died. Q. But at this point the only person who's died is Elmer? A. Elmer, uh-huh. C. So how can you tell — I see where it says the time of the meeting and the date of the meeting. There's no indication of how long the meeting has gone on, is there? A. No. C. Can you tell from this who is in the meeting? A. I cannot. C. O. So it's about Nelva; but it doesn't indicate that Nelva is the only person there, right? A. No. C. So what was the purpose of this form? A. I do not recall. C. Can you tell from this who is in the meeting? A. No. C. Can you tell from this who is in the meeting? A. No. C. So what was the purpose of this form? A. I do not recall. C. O. Okay. So it just didn't end up happening then. Do you know if there was some difficulty that made the Do you know if there was some difficulty that made the Do you know if there was some difficulty that made the Do you know if there was some difficulty that made the Do you know if there was some difficulty that made the Do you know if there was some difficulty that made the 24 Signing not happen on August 4th? Was there more revision of the documents than you expected, or do you know? MR. REED: Object to form. A. I do not know. There's nothing that indicates to me that any of that is true or not true. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. And there's nothing on the notes and history about anything until September 2nd, which is after it was already signed, right? A. That's correct. Q. And, in fact, the entry on September 2nd is really an entry about Carole wanting what's called a \$20,000 donation against her heritage. I assume that's an advancement? MR. REED: Form. A. I guess so. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) So it really didn't have anything to do with the document? A. No. Q. Okay. Look at Exhibit 20, if you would. My real question — we're about to get to this in the notes, in the notes and history anything t	22	A. It's postmortem.	22	one in between, right?
239 241 1 Q. Okay. Postmortem. 2 A. So somebody has died. 3 Q. But at this point the only person who's died is 4 Elmer? 5 A. Elmer, uh-huh. 6 Q. So how can you tell I see where it says the time of the meeting and the date of the meeting. 7 There's no indication of how long the meeting has gone on, is there? 9 on, is there? 1 A. No. 10 Q. Can you tell from this who is in the meeting? 1 A. I cannot. 1 Q. So it's about Nelva; but it doesn't indicate that Nelva is the only person there, right? 1 A. No. 1 C. And this was on July 30th. So you don't know from looking at this whether Nelva drove herself there, right? 2 A. I do not know. There's nothing that indicates to me that any of that is true or not true. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. And there's nothing on the notes and history about anything until September 2nd, which is after it was already signed, right? A. No. 10 A. That's correct. Q. And, in fact, the entry on September 2nd is really an entry about Carole wanting what's called a \$20,000 donation against her heritage. I assume that's an advancement? A. I guess so. Q. So what was the purpose of this form? A. I do not recall. D. And tho not recall. D. And do you know why — there's nothing on here to indicate why this meeting was called, right, like who D. Okay. Look at Exhibit 20, if you would. My real question — we're about to get to this in the notes, in the notes and history. If you look at page 1195 of Exhibit 17 — put 20 to the side —	23	Q. Postmortem. Okay. All right.	23	A. Correct.
239 1 Q. Okay. Postmortem. 2 A. So somebody has died. 2 Elmer? 3 Q. So how can you tell — I see where it says the time of the meeting and the date of the meeting has gone on, is there? 4 A. No. 5 C. Can you tell from this who is in the meeting? 6 Q. So it's about Nelva; but it doesn't indicate that Nelva is the only person there, right? 6 Q. And this was on July 30th. So you don't know from looking at this whether Nelva drove herself there, right? 6 A. I do not know. There's nothing that indicates to me that any of that is true or not true. 6 Q. Gan you tell from this who is in the meeting? 7 A. I cannot. 8 There's no indication of how long the meeting has gone on, is there? 9 Q. Can you tell from this who is in the meeting? 10 A. No. 11 Q. So it's about Nelva; but it doesn't indicate that Nelva is the only person there, right? 12 A. No. 15 A. No. 16 Q. And this was on July 30th. So you don't know from looking at this whether Nelva drove herself there, right? 18 R. I do not recall. 19 A. I do not recall. 19 A. I do not know. There's nothing that indicates to me that any of that is true or not true. 10 Q. Gan you tell from this who is in the meeting? 11 A. No. 12 M. That's correct. 13 September 2nd, which is after it was already signed, right? 14 A. No. 15 A. No. 15 A. That's correct. 16 Q. And this was on July 30th. So you don't know from looking at this whether Nelva drove herself there, right? 18 A. I do not recall. 19 A. I do not recall. 20 Q. So what was the purpose of this form? 21 A. To assess where we were at and what documents 22 were going to be prepared. 23 Q. And do you know why — there's nothing on here to indicate why this meeting was called, right, like who 24 to indicate why this meeting was called, right, like who	24	A. I didn't say I liked it. That's what it was	24	Q. Okay. So it just didn't end up happening then.
1 Q. Okay. Postmortem. 2 A. So somebody has died. 3 Q. But at this point the only person who's died is 4 Elmer? 5 A. Elmer, uh-huh. 6 Q. So how can you tell I see where it says the 7 time of the meeting and the date of the meeting. 8 There's no indication of how long the meeting has gone 9 on, is there? 10 A. No. 11 Q. Can you tell from this who is in the meeting? 12 A. I cannot. 13 Q. So it's about Nelva; but it doesn't indicate 14 that Nelva is the only person there, right? 15 A. No. 16 Q. And this was on July 30th. So you don't know 17 from looking at this whether Nelva drove herself there, right? 18 right? 19 A. I do not know. There's nothing that indicates to me that any of that is true or not true. 19 September 2nd, which is after it was already signed, right? 10 A. That's correct. 11 Q. And, in fact, the entry on September 2nd is really an entry about Carole wanting what's called a \$20,000 donation against her heritage. I assume that's an advancement? 16 MR. REED: Object to form. 17 A. I do not know. There's nothing that indicates to me that any of that is true or not true. 18 right? 19 A. I cannot. 10 (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. And there's nothing on the notes and history about anything until 10 September 2nd, which is after it was already signed, right? 11 A. That's correct. 12 Q. And, in fact, the entry on September 2nd is really an entry about Carole wanting what's called a \$20,000 donation against her heritage. I assume that's an advancement? 15 MR. REED: Form. 16 MR. That's correct. 17 Q. And, in fact, the entry on September 2nd is really an entry about Carole wanting what's called a \$20,000 donation against her heritage. I assume that's an advancement? 18 right? 19 A. I do not recall. 20 Q. So what was the purpose of this form? 21 A. No. 22 Were going to be prepared. 23 Q. And do you know why — there's nothing on here and history of the indicate why this meeting was called, right, like who 24 United that not prove a not true. 25 Were going to be prepared. 26 Okay. Look at Exhibit 20, if you would.	25	when I got there.	25	Do you know if there was some difficulty that made the
2 revision of the documents than you expected, or do you know? 3 Q. But at this point the only person who's died is Elmer? 4 Elmer, wh-huh. 5 A. Elmer, uh-huh. 6 Q. So how can you tell – I see where it says the time of the meeting and the date of the meeting. 7 There's no indication of how long the meeting has gone on, is there? 9 on, is there? 10 A. No. 11 Q. Can you tell from this who is in the meeting? 12 A. I cannot. 13 Q. So it's about Nelva; but it doesn't indicate that Nelva is the only person there, right? 14 that Nelva is the only person there, right? 15 A. No. 16 Q. And this was on July 30th. So you don't know from looking at this whether Nelva drove herself there, right? 19 A. I do not recall. 10 Q. So what was the purpose of this form? 11 A. To assess where we were at and what documents were going to be prepared. 12 Q. And do you know why – there's nothing on here to indicate why this meeting was called, right, like who 14 Identity and the documents than you expected, or do you know? 15 MR. REED: Object to form. 16 A. I do not know. There's nothing that indicates to tome that any of that is true or not true. 16 Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. And there's nothing on the notes and history about anything until 2 September 2nd, which is after it was already signed, right? 2 A. That's correct. 2 Q. And, in fact, the entry on September 2nd is really an entry about Carole wanting what's called a \$20,000 donation against her heritage. I assume that's an advancement? MR. REED: Torm. 16 MR. REED: Object to form. A. Ido not know. There's nothing on the notes and history about anything on do with the document? A. No. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Os it really didn't have anything to do with the document? A. No. Q. Okay. Look at Exhibit 20, if you would. My real question – we're about to get to this in the notes, in the notes and history. If you look at page 1195 of Exhibit 17 – put 20 to the side –		239		241
2 revision of the documents than you expected, or do you know? 3 Q. But at this point the only person who's died is Elmer? 4 Elmer, wh-huh. 5 A. Elmer, uh-huh. 6 Q. So how can you tell – I see where it says the time of the meeting and the date of the meeting. 7 There's no indication of how long the meeting has gone on, is there? 9 on, is there? 10 A. No. 11 Q. Can you tell from this who is in the meeting? 12 A. I cannot. 13 Q. So it's about Nelva; but it doesn't indicate that Nelva is the only person there, right? 14 that Nelva is the only person there, right? 15 A. No. 16 Q. And this was on July 30th. So you don't know from looking at this whether Nelva drove herself there, right? 19 A. I do not recall. 10 Q. So what was the purpose of this form? 11 A. To assess where we were at and what documents were going to be prepared. 12 Q. And do you know why – there's nothing on here to indicate why this meeting was called, right, like who 14 Identity and the documents than you expected, or do you know? 15 MR. REED: Object to form. 16 A. I do not know. There's nothing that indicates to tome that any of that is true or not true. 16 Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. And there's nothing on the notes and history about anything until 2 September 2nd, which is after it was already signed, right? 2 A. That's correct. 2 Q. And, in fact, the entry on September 2nd is really an entry about Carole wanting what's called a \$20,000 donation against her heritage. I assume that's an advancement? MR. REED: Torm. 16 MR. REED: Object to form. A. Ido not know. There's nothing on the notes and history about anything on do with the document? A. No. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Os it really didn't have anything to do with the document? A. No. Q. Okay. Look at Exhibit 20, if you would. My real question – we're about to get to this in the notes, in the notes and history. If you look at page 1195 of Exhibit 17 – put 20 to the side –	1	Q. Okay. Postmortem.	1	signing not happen on August 4th? Was there more
3 Q. But at this point the only person who's died is 4 Elmer? 5 A. Elmer, uh-huh. 6 Q. So how can you tell I see where it says the 7 time of the meeting and the date of the meeting. 8 There's no indication of how long the meeting has gone 9 on, is there? 10 A. No. 11 Q. Can you tell from this who is in the meeting? 12 A. I cannot. 13 Q. So it's about Nelva; but it doesn't indicate 14 that Nelva is the only person there, right? 15 A. No. 16 Q. And this was on July 30th. So you don't know 17 from looking at this whether Nelva drove herself there, 18 right? 19 A. I do not recall. 20 Q. So what was the purpose of this form? 21 A. To assess where we were at and what documents 22 were going to be prepared. 23 Q. And do you know why there's nothing on here 24 to indicate why this meeting was called, right, like who 2 MR. REED: Object to form. A. Id on ot know. There's nothing that indicates to me that any of that is true or not true. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. And there's nothing on the notes and history about anything until September 2nd, which is after it was already signed, right? A. That's correct. Q. And, in fact, the entry on September 2nd is really an entry about Carole wanting what's called a \$20,000 donation against her heritage. I assume that's an advancement? MR. REED: Form. A. I guess so. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) So it really didn't have anything to do with the document? A. No. Q. Okay. Look at Exhibit 20, if you would. My real question we're about to get to this in the notes, in the notes and history. If you look at page 1195 of Exhibit 17 put 20 to the side	2	A. So somebody has died.	2	
5 A. Elmer, uh-huh. 5 Q. So how can you tell I see where it says the time of the meeting and the date of the meeting. 7 There's no indication of how long the meeting has gone 9 on, is there? 10 A. No. 11 Q. Can you tell from this who is in the meeting? 12 A. I cannot. 13 Q. So it's about Nelva; but it doesn't indicate that Nelva is the only person there, right? 14 that Nelva is the only person there, right? 15 A. No. 16 Q. And this was on July 30th. So you don't know right? 17 from looking at this whether Nelva drove herself there, right? 18 right? 19 A. I do not know. There's nothing that indicates to me that any of that is true or not true. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. And there's nothing on the notes and history about anything until September 2nd, which is after it was already signed, right? A. That's correct. Q. And, in fact, the entry on September 2nd is really an entry about Carole wanting what's called a \$20,000 donation against her heritage. I assume that's an advancement? MR. REED: Form. A. I guess so. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) So it really didn't have anything to do with the document? A. No. Q. Okay. Look at Exhibit 20, if you would. Were going to be prepared. Q. Okay. Look at Exhibit 17 put 20 to the side	3	Q. But at this point the only person who's died is	3	know?
6 Q. So how can you tell I see where it says the 7 time of the meeting and the date of the meeting. 8 There's no indication of how long the meeting has gone 9 on, is there? 10 A. No. 11 Q. Can you tell from this who is in the meeting? 12 A. I cannot. 13 Q. So it's about Nelva; but it doesn't indicate 14 that Nelva is the only person there, right? 15 A. No. 16 Q. And this was on July 30th. So you don't know 17 from looking at this whether Nelva drove herself there, 18 right? 19 A. I do not recall. 20 Q. So what was the purpose of this form? 21 A. To assess where we were at and what documents 22 were going to be prepared. 23 Q. And do you know why there's nothing on the meeting? 24 to indicate why this meeting was called, right, like who 29 to meeting and the date of the meeting. 20 Q. So how any ou tell I see where it says the to me that any of that is true or not true. 29 Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. And there's nothing on the notes and history about anything until 29 A. That's correct. 20 Q. And, in fact, the entry on September 2nd is really an entry about Carole wanting what's called a \$20,000 donation against her heritage. I assume that's an advancement? 3 MR. REED: Form. 4 I guess so. 3 Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Oo it really didn't have anything to do with the document? 4 No. 3 Q. Okay. Look at Exhibit 20, if you would. 4 Wy real question we're about to get to this in the notes, in the notes and history. If you look at page 1195 of Exhibit 17 put 20 to the side	4	Elmer?	4	MR. REED: Object to form.
time of the meeting and the date of the meeting. There's no indication of how long the meeting has gone on, is there? A. No. Can you tell from this who is in the meeting? A. I cannot. So it's about Nelva; but it doesn't indicate that Nelva is the only person there, right? A. No. Q. And this was on July 30th. So you don't know from looking at this whether Nelva drove herself there, right? A. I do not recall. Q. So what was the purpose of this form? A. To assess where we were at and what documents were going to be prepared. Q. And do you know why there's nothing on here 24 to indicate why this meeting was called, right, like who There's no indication of how long the meeting has gone the notes and history about anything until September 2nd, which is after it was already signed, right? A. That's correct. Q. And, in fact, the entry on September 2nd is really an entry about Carole wanting what's called a \$20,000 donation against her heritage. I assume that's an advancement? MR. REED: Form. A. I guess so. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) So it really didn't have anything to do with the document? A. No. Q. Okay. Look at Exhibit 20, if you would. My real question we're about to get to this in the notes, in the notes and history. If you look at page 1195 of Exhibit 17 put 20 to the side	5	A. Elmer, uh-huh.	5	A. I do not know. There's nothing that indicates
There's no indication of how long the meeting has gone on, is there? 10	6	Q. So how can you tell I see where it says the	6	to me that any of that is true or not true.
on, is there? Ond is after it was already signed, right? On the object of the meeting? On the object of the meeting and on the object of the meeting? On the object of the meeting and on the object of the meeting? On the object of the meeting was already signed, right? On the object of the	7	time of the meeting and the date of the meeting.	7	Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. And there's nothing on
10 A. No. 11 Q. Can you tell from this who is in the meeting? 12 A. I cannot. 13 Q. So it's about Nelva; but it doesn't indicate 14 that Nelva is the only person there, right? 15 A. No. 16 Q. And this was on July 30th. So you don't know 17 from looking at this whether Nelva drove herself there, 18 right? 19 A. I do not recall. 20 Q. So what was the purpose of this form? 21 A. To assess where we were at and what documents 22 were going to be prepared. 23 Q. And do you know why there's nothing on here 24 to indicate why this meeting was called, right, like who 20 And, in fact, the entry on September 2nd is 21 a. That's correct. 20 And, in fact, the entry on September 2nd is 22 really an entry about Carole wanting what's called a 32 \$20,000 donation against her heritage. I assume that's 23 an advancement? 24 MR. REED: Form. 25 A. I guess so. 26 Q. (By Ms. Bayless) So it really didn't have 27 anything to do with the document? 28 A. No. 29 Q. Okay. Look at Exhibit 20, if you would. 29 My real question we're about to get to 30 this in the notes, in the notes and history. If you 31 look at page 1195 of Exhibit 17 put 20 to the side	8	There's no indication of how long the meeting has gone	8	
11 Q. Can you tell from this who is in the meeting? 12 A. I cannot. 13 Q. So it's about Nelva; but it doesn't indicate 14 that Nelva is the only person there, right? 15 A. No. 16 Q. And this was on July 30th. So you don't know 17 from looking at this whether Nelva drove herself there, 18 right? 19 A. I do not recall. 20 Q. So what was the purpose of this form? 21 A. To assess where we were at and what documents 22 were going to be prepared. 23 Q. And do you know why there's nothing on here 24 to indicate why this meeting was called, right, like who 29 A. I do not recall, g. And do you know why there's nothing on here 20 to indicate why this meeting was called, right, like who 20 A. That's correct. 21 Q. And, in fact, the entry on September 2nd is 22 really an entry about Carole wanting what's called a 32 \$20,000 donation against her heritage. I assume that's 32 an advancement? 33 A. I guess so. 34 Q. (By Ms. Bayless) So it really didn't have 35 anything to do with the document? 36 A. No. 37 Q. Okay. Look at Exhibit 20, if you would. 38 My real question we're about to get to 39 this in the notes, in the notes and history. If you 30 look at page 1195 of Exhibit 17 put 20 to the side		on, is there?		September 2nd, which is after it was already signed,
A. I cannot. Q. So it's about Nelva; but it doesn't indicate that Nelva is the only person there, right? A. No. Q. And this was on July 30th. So you don't know from looking at this whether Nelva drove herself there, right? A. I do not recall. Q. So what was the purpose of this form? A. To assess where we were at and what documents were going to be prepared. Q. And do you know why — there's nothing on here d. A. I cannot. Q. And, in fact, the entry on September 2nd is really an entry about Carole wanting what's called a \$20,000 donation against her heritage. I assume that's an advancement? MR. REED: Form. A. I guess so. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) So it really didn't have anything to do with the document? A. No. Q. Okay. Look at Exhibit 20, if you would. My real question — we're about to get to this in the notes, in the notes and history. If you look at page 1195 of Exhibit 17 — put 20 to the side —		A. No.		-
Q. So it's about Nelva; but it doesn't indicate that Nelva is the only person there, right? A. No. Q. And this was on July 30th. So you don't know from looking at this whether Nelva drove herself there, right? A. I do not recall. Q. So what was the purpose of this form? A. To assess where we were at and what documents were going to be prepared. Q. And do you know why there's nothing on here to indicate why this meeting was called, right, like who 13 really an entry about Carole wanting what's called a \$20,000 donation against her heritage. I assume that's an advancement? MR. REED: Form. A. I guess so. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) So it really didn't have anything to do with the document? A. No. Q. Okay. Look at Exhibit 20, if you would. My real question we're about to get to this in the notes, in the notes and history. If you look at page 1195 of Exhibit 17 put 20 to the side	11	Q. Can you tell from this who is in the meeting?		
that Nelva is the only person there, right? A. No. Q. And this was on July 30th. So you don't know from looking at this whether Nelva drove herself there, right? A. I guess so. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) So it really didn't have anything to do with the document? A. I guess so. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) So it really didn't have anything to do with the document? A. No. A. To assess where we were at and what documents were going to be prepared. Q. And do you know why there's nothing on here to indicate why this meeting was called, right, like who				
A. No. Q. And this was on July 30th. So you don't know from looking at this whether Nelva drove herself there, right? A. I do not recall. Q. So what was the purpose of this form? A. To assess where we were at and what documents were going to be prepared. Q. And do you know why there's nothing on here to indicate why this meeting was called, right, like who				
Q. And this was on July 30th. So you don't know from looking at this whether Nelva drove herself there, right? A. I do not recall. Q. So what was the purpose of this form? A. To assess where we were at and what documents were going to be prepared. Q. And do you know why there's nothing on here to indicate why this meeting was called, right, like who				-
from looking at this whether Nelva drove herself there, right? A. I guess so. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) So it really didn't have anything to do with the document? A. No. A. To assess where we were at and what documents were going to be prepared. Q. And do you know why there's nothing on here to indicate why this meeting was called, right, like who A. I guess so. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) So it really didn't have anything to do with the document? A. No. Q. Okay. Look at Exhibit 20, if you would. My real question we're about to get to this in the notes, in the notes and history. If you look at page 1195 of Exhibit 17 put 20 to the side				
right? A. I do not recall. Q. So what was the purpose of this form? A. To assess where we were at and what documents were going to be prepared. Q. And do you know why there's nothing on here to indicate why this meeting was called, right, like who 18 Q. (By Ms. Bayless) So it really didn't have anything to do with the document? A. No. 20 Q. Okay. Look at Exhibit 20, if you would. 21 Wy real question we're about to get to this in the notes, in the notes and history. If you look at page 1195 of Exhibit 17 put 20 to the side				
A. I do not recall. Q. So what was the purpose of this form? A. To assess where we were at and what documents were going to be prepared. Q. And do you know why there's nothing on here to indicate why this meeting was called, right, like who 19 anything to do with the document? A. No. 21 Q. Okay. Look at Exhibit 20, if you would. 22 My real question we're about to get to 23 this in the notes, in the notes and history. If you 24 look at page 1195 of Exhibit 17 - put 20 to the side				
Q. So what was the purpose of this form? A. To assess where we were at and what documents were going to be prepared. Q. And do you know why there's nothing on here to indicate why this meeting was called, right, like who 20 A. No. 21 Q. Okay. Look at Exhibit 20, if you would. 22 My real question we're about to get to this in the notes, in the notes and history. If you look at page 1195 of Exhibit 17 put 20 to the side		C		
A. To assess where we were at and what documents Were going to be prepared. Q. Okay. Look at Exhibit 20, if you would. My real question we're about to get to My real question we're about to get to this in the notes, in the notes and history. If you to indicate why this meeting was called, right, like who Q. Okay. Look at Exhibit 20, if you would. My real question we're about to get to this in the notes, in the notes and history. If you look at page 1195 of Exhibit 17 put 20 to the side				• •
were going to be prepared. Q. And do you know why there's nothing on here to indicate why this meeting was called, right, like who 22 My real question we're about to get to this in the notes, in the notes and history. If you look at page 1195 of Exhibit 17 put 20 to the side		-		
23 Q. And do you know why there's nothing on here 24 to indicate why this meeting was called, right, like who 25 this in the notes, in the notes and history. If you 26 look at page 1195 of Exhibit 17 put 20 to the side				
to indicate why this meeting was called, right, like who 24 look at page 1195 of Exhibit 17 put 20 to the side				• •
				The state of the s
called it? Sorry. Okay.				
	دے	caned it!	23	sony. Okay.

61 (Pages 238 to 241)

	242		244
1	A. 11 what?	1	says "re questions about power of attorney" I'm
2	Q. 1195.	2	assuming POA is power of attorney?
3	A. Uh-huh.	3	A. Uh-huh.
4	Q. Actually I gave you the wrong page number.	4	Q "and gifting." It says, "Mom gave bro"
5	It's 1194. Look at 1194.	5	so I assume that's Carl "25,000 instead of paying
6	You see that it looks to me like this	6	medical bills directly. She has questions about the POA
7	entire Exhibit 20 is in the Notes/History on page 1194	7	clause in the living trust. Please call."
8	under this same date of October 7, 2010.	8	Did I read that right?
9	A. Okay.	9	A. Down here?
10	Q. So do you have any idea why I mean, these	10	Q. Yes.
11	were both produced. Do you have any idea why Exhibit 20	11	A. Okay.
12	is somehow independent of the notes and history but it's	12	Q. So here we have Anita calling to ask questions
13	also included in the notes and history?	13	about the power of attorney. Is she talking about a
14	A. Yeah.	14	power of attorney that she held, or do you know?
15	Q. And why is that?	15	A. I don't know.
16	A. Because this does not have spell-check.	16	Q. And gifting. And she talked with Summer, but
17	Sometimes I type it into Word and throw it in there so	17	it looks like you called her back, right, because if you
18	· -	18	
19	it will not have a bunch of typos. Q. Okay.	19	look at the next entry, you returned Anita's call. A. Uh-huh. That's correct.
20	- •	20	
21	A. That happens, or I'll throw in my actual	21	Q. Okay. Why don't you read it, and then we'll
22	e-mail. If you look, sometimes you'll see some e-mails.	22	talk about it.
23	You can actually copy and paste an e-mail in there too.	23	A. "Anita is concerned about her mom."
24	Q. Okay.		Q. You can just read it to yourself.
25	A. And sometimes I'll do that rather than just	24 25	A. Sorry. Thank you.
23	retyping it.	25	Q. That's all right. It's a long entry. So I
	242		245
	243	,	
1	Q. Okay. So was there a reason why you wanted	1	just wanted you to familiarize yourself with it.
2	Exhibit 20 to be spell-checked?	2	A. Okay.
3	MR. REED: Objection, form.	3	Q. So this appears to be an entry in which
4	A. No. I mean, just it depends on where I		
-		4	Anita you're recording a call that you made,
5	typed it. Depends on where I was when I had the	5	Anita you're recording a call that you made, returning Anita's call; and she is concerned that her
6	typed it. Depends on where I was when I had the conversation and I documented it. Maybe I wasn't at the	5 6	Anita you're recording a call that you made, returning Anita's call; and she is concerned that her mother has been sick and in the hospital with pneumonia.
6 7	typed it. Depends on where I was when I had the conversation and I documented it. Maybe I wasn't at the office and have or BPN'd in. It could be a litany of	5 6 7	Anita you're recording a call that you made, returning Anita's call; and she is concerned that her mother has been sick and in the hospital with pneumonia. A. Okay.
6 7 8	typed it. Depends on where I was when I had the conversation and I documented it. Maybe I wasn't at the office and have or BPN'd in. It could be a litany of reasons.	5 6 7 8	Anita you're recording a call that you made, returning Anita's call; and she is concerned that her mother has been sick and in the hospital with pneumonia. A. Okay. Q. And her compromised lungs and that her other
6 7 8 9	typed it. Depends on where I was when I had the conversation and I documented it. Maybe I wasn't at the office and have or BPN'd in. It could be a litany of reasons. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) All right. So it is you	5 6 7 8 9	Anita you're recording a call that you made, returning Anita's call; and she is concerned that her mother has been sick and in the hospital with pneumonia. A. Okay. Q. And her compromised lungs and that her other she mentioned to her other sister that she's getting
6 7 8 9	typed it. Depends on where I was when I had the conversation and I documented it. Maybe I wasn't at the office and have or BPN'd in. It could be a litany of reasons. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) All right. So it is you just pasted it into the notes?	5 6 7 8 9	Anita you're recording a call that you made, returning Anita's call; and she is concerned that her mother has been sick and in the hospital with pneumonia. A. Okay. Q. And her compromised lungs and that her other she mentioned to her other sister that she's getting stressed out over the pressure she's getting from the
6 7 8 9 10	typed it. Depends on where I was when I had the conversation and I documented it. Maybe I wasn't at the office and have or BPN'd in. It could be a litany of reasons. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) All right. So it is you just pasted it into the notes? A. Of course, yes.	5 6 7 8 9 10	Anita you're recording a call that you made, returning Anita's call; and she is concerned that her mother has been sick and in the hospital with pneumonia. A. Okay. Q. And her compromised lungs and that her other she mentioned to her other sister that she's getting stressed out over the pressure she's getting from the wife, Carl's wife, that is in the hospital.
6 7 8 9 10 11	typed it. Depends on where I was when I had the conversation and I documented it. Maybe I wasn't at the office and have or BPN'd in. It could be a litany of reasons. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) All right. So it is you just pasted it into the notes? A. Of course, yes. Q. Okay. So going back to page 1195.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Anita you're recording a call that you made, returning Anita's call; and she is concerned that her mother has been sick and in the hospital with pneumonia. A. Okay. Q. And her compromised lungs and that her other she mentioned to her other sister that she's getting stressed out over the pressure she's getting from the wife, Carl's wife, that is in the hospital. Do you know who the other sister was? Did
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	typed it. Depends on where I was when I had the conversation and I documented it. Maybe I wasn't at the office and have or BPN'd in. It could be a litany of reasons. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) All right. So it is you just pasted it into the notes? A. Of course, yes. Q. Okay. So going back to page 1195. A. Okay.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Anita you're recording a call that you made, returning Anita's call; and she is concerned that her mother has been sick and in the hospital with pneumonia. A. Okay. Q. And her compromised lungs and that her other she mentioned to her other sister that she's getting stressed out over the pressure she's getting from the wife, Carl's wife, that is in the hospital. Do you know who the other sister was? Did she tell you who
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	typed it. Depends on where I was when I had the conversation and I documented it. Maybe I wasn't at the office and have or BPN'd in. It could be a litany of reasons. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) All right. So it is you just pasted it into the notes? A. Of course, yes. Q. Okay. So going back to page 1195. A. Okay. Q. On September 2nd you drafted the distribution	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Anita you're recording a call that you made, returning Anita's call; and she is concerned that her mother has been sick and in the hospital with pneumonia. A. Okay. Q. And her compromised lungs and that her other she mentioned to her other sister that she's getting stressed out over the pressure she's getting from the wife, Carl's wife, that is in the hospital. Do you know who the other sister was? Did she tell you who A. She may have. I don't recall.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	typed it. Depends on where I was when I had the conversation and I documented it. Maybe I wasn't at the office and have or BPN'd in. It could be a litany of reasons. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) All right. So it is you just pasted it into the notes? A. Of course, yes. Q. Okay. So going back to page 1195. A. Okay. Q. On September 2nd you drafted the distribution letter for Carole's request, right? Well, Summer did.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Anita you're recording a call that you made, returning Anita's call; and she is concerned that her mother has been sick and in the hospital with pneumonia. A. Okay. Q. And her compromised lungs and that her other she mentioned to her other sister that she's getting stressed out over the pressure she's getting from the wife, Carl's wife, that is in the hospital. Do you know who the other sister was? Did she tell you who A. She may have. I don't recall. Q. Okay. So this wasn't even a conversation that
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	typed it. Depends on where I was when I had the conversation and I documented it. Maybe I wasn't at the office and have or BPN'd in. It could be a litany of reasons. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) All right. So it is you just pasted it into the notes? A. Of course, yes. Q. Okay. So going back to page 1195. A. Okay. Q. On September 2nd you drafted the distribution letter for Carole's request, right? Well, Summer did. A. I'm sorry. Where are you?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Anita you're recording a call that you made, returning Anita's call; and she is concerned that her mother has been sick and in the hospital with pneumonia. A. Okay. Q. And her compromised lungs and that her other she mentioned to her other sister that she's getting stressed out over the pressure she's getting from the wife, Carl's wife, that is in the hospital. Do you know who the other sister was? Did she tell you who A. She may have. I don't recall. Q. Okay. So this wasn't even a conversation that she had with Nelva. This was one she was relaying to
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	typed it. Depends on where I was when I had the conversation and I documented it. Maybe I wasn't at the office and have or BPN'd in. It could be a litany of reasons. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) All right. So it is you just pasted it into the notes? A. Of course, yes. Q. Okay. So going back to page 1195. A. Okay. Q. On September 2nd you drafted the distribution letter for Carole's request, right? Well, Summer did. A. I'm sorry. Where are you? Q. I'm down at the bottom, September 2nd.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Anita you're recording a call that you made, returning Anita's call; and she is concerned that her mother has been sick and in the hospital with pneumonia. A. Okay. Q. And her compromised lungs and that her other she mentioned to her other sister that she's getting stressed out over the pressure she's getting from the wife, Carl's wife, that is in the hospital. Do you know who the other sister was? Did she tell you who A. She may have. I don't recall. Q. Okay. So this wasn't even a conversation that she had with Nelva. This was one she was relaying to you that Nelva had had with another sister, right?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	typed it. Depends on where I was when I had the conversation and I documented it. Maybe I wasn't at the office and have or BPN'd in. It could be a litany of reasons. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) All right. So it is you just pasted it into the notes? A. Of course, yes. Q. Okay. So going back to page 1195. A. Okay. Q. On September 2nd you drafted the distribution letter for Carole's request, right? Well, Summer did. A. I'm sorry. Where are you? Q. I'm down at the bottom, September 2nd. A. Of?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Anita you're recording a call that you made, returning Anita's call; and she is concerned that her mother has been sick and in the hospital with pneumonia. A. Okay. Q. And her compromised lungs and that her other she mentioned to her other sister that she's getting stressed out over the pressure she's getting from the wife, Carl's wife, that is in the hospital. Do you know who the other sister was? Did she tell you who A. She may have. I don't recall. Q. Okay. So this wasn't even a conversation that she had with Nelva. This was one she was relaying to you that Nelva had had with another sister, right? A. I suppose so.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	typed it. Depends on where I was when I had the conversation and I documented it. Maybe I wasn't at the office and have or BPN'd in. It could be a litany of reasons. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) All right. So it is you just pasted it into the notes? A. Of course, yes. Q. Okay. So going back to page 1195. A. Okay. Q. On September 2nd you drafted the distribution letter for Carole's request, right? Well, Summer did. A. I'm sorry. Where are you? Q. I'm down at the bottom, September 2nd. A. Of? Q. 2010?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Anita you're recording a call that you made, returning Anita's call; and she is concerned that her mother has been sick and in the hospital with pneumonia. A. Okay. Q. And her compromised lungs and that her other she mentioned to her other sister that she's getting stressed out over the pressure she's getting from the wife, Carl's wife, that is in the hospital. Do you know who the other sister was? Did she tell you who A. She may have. I don't recall. Q. Okay. So this wasn't even a conversation that she had with Nelva. This was one she was relaying to you that Nelva had had with another sister, right?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	typed it. Depends on where I was when I had the conversation and I documented it. Maybe I wasn't at the office and have or BPN'd in. It could be a litany of reasons. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) All right. So it is you just pasted it into the notes? A. Of course, yes. Q. Okay. So going back to page 1195. A. Okay. Q. On September 2nd you drafted the distribution letter for Carole's request, right? Well, Summer did. A. I'm sorry. Where are you? Q. I'm down at the bottom, September 2nd. A. Of? Q. 2010? A. What page?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Anita you're recording a call that you made, returning Anita's call; and she is concerned that her mother has been sick and in the hospital with pneumonia. A. Okay. Q. And her compromised lungs and that her other she mentioned to her other sister that she's getting stressed out over the pressure she's getting from the wife, Carl's wife, that is in the hospital. Do you know who the other sister was? Did she tell you who A. She may have. I don't recall. Q. Okay. So this wasn't even a conversation that she had with Nelva. This was one she was relaying to you that Nelva had had with another sister, right? A. I suppose so. Q. Isn't that how you read it? I'm not trying to
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	typed it. Depends on where I was when I had the conversation and I documented it. Maybe I wasn't at the office and have or BPN'd in. It could be a litany of reasons. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) All right. So it is you just pasted it into the notes? A. Of course, yes. Q. Okay. So going back to page 1195. A. Okay. Q. On September 2nd you drafted the distribution letter for Carole's request, right? Well, Summer did. A. I'm sorry. Where are you? Q. I'm down at the bottom, September 2nd. A. Of? Q. 2010? A. What page? Q. 1195. So you dealt with Nelva's request about	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Anita you're recording a call that you made, returning Anita's call; and she is concerned that her mother has been sick and in the hospital with pneumonia. A. Okay. Q. And her compromised lungs and that her other she mentioned to her other sister that she's getting stressed out over the pressure she's getting from the wife, Carl's wife, that is in the hospital. Do you know who the other sister was? Did she tell you who A. She may have. I don't recall. Q. Okay. So this wasn't even a conversation that she had with Nelva. This was one she was relaying to you that Nelva had had with another sister, right? A. I suppose so. Q. Isn't that how you read it? I'm not trying to A. It's hard to tell.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	typed it. Depends on where I was when I had the conversation and I documented it. Maybe I wasn't at the office and have or BPN'd in. It could be a litany of reasons. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) All right. So it is you just pasted it into the notes? A. Of course, yes. Q. Okay. So going back to page 1195. A. Okay. Q. On September 2nd you drafted the distribution letter for Carole's request, right? Well, Summer did. A. I'm sorry. Where are you? Q. I'm down at the bottom, September 2nd. A. Of? Q. 2010? A. What page? Q. 1195. So you dealt with Nelva's request about Carole wanting an advance, right?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Anita you're recording a call that you made, returning Anita's call; and she is concerned that her mother has been sick and in the hospital with pneumonia. A. Okay. Q. And her compromised lungs and that her other she mentioned to her other sister that she's getting stressed out over the pressure she's getting from the wife, Carl's wife, that is in the hospital. Do you know who the other sister was? Did she tell you who A. She may have. I don't recall. Q. Okay. So this wasn't even a conversation that she had with Nelva. This was one she was relaying to you that Nelva had had with another sister, right? A. I suppose so. Q. Isn't that how you read it? I'm not trying to A. It's hard to tell. Q. And she was also concerned because her mother
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	typed it. Depends on where I was when I had the conversation and I documented it. Maybe I wasn't at the office and have or BPN'd in. It could be a litany of reasons. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) All right. So it is you just pasted it into the notes? A. Of course, yes. Q. Okay. So going back to page 1195. A. Okay. Q. On September 2nd you drafted the distribution letter for Carole's request, right? Well, Summer did. A. I'm sorry. Where are you? Q. I'm down at the bottom, September 2nd. A. Of? Q. 2010? A. What page? Q. 1195. So you dealt with Nelva's request about Carole wanting an advance, right? A. Okay.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Anita you're recording a call that you made, returning Anita's call; and she is concerned that her mother has been sick and in the hospital with pneumonia. A. Okay. Q. And her compromised lungs and that her other she mentioned to her other sister that she's getting stressed out over the pressure she's getting from the wife, Carl's wife, that is in the hospital. Do you know who the other sister was? Did she tell you who A. She may have. I don't recall. Q. Okay. So this wasn't even a conversation that she had with Nelva. This was one she was relaying to you that Nelva had had with another sister, right? A. I suppose so. Q. Isn't that how you read it? I'm not trying to A. It's hard to tell. Q. And she was also concerned because her mother had sent this check to Carl that had bounced, and she
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	typed it. Depends on where I was when I had the conversation and I documented it. Maybe I wasn't at the office and have or BPN'd in. It could be a litany of reasons. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) All right. So it is you just pasted it into the notes? A. Of course, yes. Q. Okay. So going back to page 1195. A. Okay. Q. On September 2nd you drafted the distribution letter for Carole's request, right? Well, Summer did. A. I'm sorry. Where are you? Q. I'm down at the bottom, September 2nd. A. Of? Q. 2010? A. What page? Q. 1195. So you dealt with Nelva's request about Carole wanting an advance, right? A. Okay. Q. And then the next entry is Anita is calling	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Anita you're recording a call that you made, returning Anita's call; and she is concerned that her mother has been sick and in the hospital with pneumonia. A. Okay. Q. And her compromised lungs and that her other she mentioned to her other sister that she's getting stressed out over the pressure she's getting from the wife, Carl's wife, that is in the hospital. Do you know who the other sister was? Did she tell you who A. She may have. I don't recall. Q. Okay. So this wasn't even a conversation that she had with Nelva. This was one she was relaying to you that Nelva had had with another sister, right? A. I suppose so. Q. Isn't that how you read it? I'm not trying to A. It's hard to tell. Q. And she was also concerned because her mother had sent this check to Carl that had bounced, and she hadn't made sure that money was in the account and that
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	typed it. Depends on where I was when I had the conversation and I documented it. Maybe I wasn't at the office and have or BPN'd in. It could be a litany of reasons. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) All right. So it is you just pasted it into the notes? A. Of course, yes. Q. Okay. So going back to page 1195. A. Okay. Q. On September 2nd you drafted the distribution letter for Carole's request, right? Well, Summer did. A. I'm sorry. Where are you? Q. I'm down at the bottom, September 2nd. A. Of? Q. 2010? A. What page? Q. 1195. So you dealt with Nelva's request about Carole wanting an advance, right? A. Okay.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Anita you're recording a call that you made, returning Anita's call; and she is concerned that her mother has been sick and in the hospital with pneumonia. A. Okay. Q. And her compromised lungs and that her other she mentioned to her other sister that she's getting stressed out over the pressure she's getting from the wife, Carl's wife, that is in the hospital. Do you know who the other sister was? Did she tell you who A. She may have. I don't recall. Q. Okay. So this wasn't even a conversation that she had with Nelva. This was one she was relaying to you that Nelva had had with another sister, right? A. I suppose so. Q. Isn't that how you read it? I'm not trying to A. It's hard to tell. Q. And she was also concerned because her mother had sent this check to Carl that had bounced, and she

62 (Pages 242 to 245)

246 248 tell him that she needed the money transfer. whether Carole, who was in Houston, could be helpful? 2 2 Is that what she told you? A. I don't recall. The only thing I can -- I must 3 A. That's what it appears to say, yes. 3 have had at least some conversation because I listed her as -- or suggested or it was going to be done that Q. Okay. And your suggestion was that Nelva 5 5 should resign and Anita should take over, or you gave Carole was the first person on healthcare documents. 6 her that as an option? And that would be an obvious choice since she's local. 7 A. It says that I "suggested that if Mom is Q. You're looking at Exhibit 19? 8 8 willing to resign, that it's the best option for her to A. Yes, that's correct. 9 9 accept the responsibility for now." Q. On the second page of that? Is that what 10 10 Q. Okay. And so the whole resignation discussion you're talking about? 11 11 was initiated from this conversation, right? A. Yes. 12 12 O. And I think there had been some discussion A. I don't recall. 13 13 Q. Well, Nelva hadn't contacted you and said, I earlier about Carole had been helpful when Elmer was 14 14 want to resign as trustee? 15 15 A. Not that I recall. A. That's correct. 16 16 Q. Okay. And there aren't any entries in any of Q. And Nelva appreciated that, right? 17 the notes or the history or pieces of paper like 17 A. That's correct. 18 Exhibit 20 that you have that say that, right? 18 Q. So did Anita ever raise the issue about Carole 19 19 A. Not that I have seen. being involved in these discussions? 20 20 Q. And did Anita respond to the suggestion that A. In these discussions about what? 21 21 her mother resign? Q. About what to do with this pressure that her 22 mother was feeling, where you were suggesting the 22 A. I don't recall. 23 23 Q. Was there any indication from Anita that the resignation. 24 24 A. I don't recall. I have no idea. resignation was a good idea before you raised it? 25 25 A. I don't recall. Q. Okay. So at some point in time it was decided 247 249 1 1 Q. And then in this entry -- we're still talking that a conference call was going to take place, right? 2 2 about this 10-6-2010 entry on page 1195 of Exhibit 17 --A. Yes. 3 3 there's a paragraph that says that "the best option for Q. And tell me what you remember about how that 4 her to accept the responsibility" -- is for her to 4 developed, if you would. 5 5 accept the responsibility now "and that she can open an A. As I recall, the first thing was the bounced 6 6 account in Mom's name alone, with her as a cosigner, and check of \$25,000, which I did believe was out of 7 POD to the trust" -- what is POD? character for Ms. Brunsting. But people have bounced 8 8 A. Pavable on death. checks before, so it's not anything that I would be 9 O. -- "to the trust so that Mom could have the overly concerned about. 10 10 freedom to write checks but that it will be monitored." But I believe there were two -- or another 11 11 call from Ms. Brunsting asking me to take Carl off of A. Correct. 12 12 things. Q. Is this ultimately the arrangement that was 13 13 being suggested? Is this ultimately what resulted in And I said we had already done that. 14 the account that Carole was a signer on? 14 We're good. It's covered. So that concerned me, that 15 MR. REED: Object to form. 15 she was asking me to make changes that we had already 16 16 A. I have no idea. 17 17 Q. Okay. Let's look at page 1194 of Exhibit 17. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. 18 18 A. I can only make recommendations. I think this may be the notes of the conversation you're 19 19 Q. And this -- but during this time, you know that talking about you had with Nelva. 20 20 A. Is this Exhibit 20? I mean, it's the same Anita was living in Victoria, right? 21 21 A. I believe that's correct, yes. exact thing, correct? 22 22 Q. Okay. Had you ever had any contact with Q. Yeah. Actually it is, and you can probably 23 Carole, to speak of? 23 read it easier on Exhibit 20. 24 A. I don't recall. 24 A. Yeah. 25 Q. So did you ever raise any questions about 25 Q. So Exhibit 20 are the notes that you made about

63 (Pages 246 to 249)

250 252 an October 7th, 2010 conversation with Nelva. 1 A. Because I had just asked Ms. Brunsting if she 2 2 So she called you? was -- if it was okay to talk. She said, yes, she was 3 A. I don't know. 3 private but that Carole was there. But I didn't realize 4 Q. Okay. that there was someone else on the phone. 5 5 A. It says "Call to Nelva Brunsting by CLF," so Q. Did you have an impression that Nelva realized 6 that Carole was on the phone? I'm assuming I called her. 7 Q. So maybe this was prompted by the conversation A. I didn't have any impression either way. It 8 8 you had with Anita, do you think? just surprised me. 9 MR. REED: Objection, form. 9 Q. Okay. She didn't act surprised when Carole 10 10 A. Most likely. started talking? 11 11 Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. So you ask her if it A. (Witness shakes head negatively.) 12 12 Q. Okay. So you discussed then with both Nelva was okay to talk because she had a caregiver coming in 13 13 to help her? and Carole this Edward Jones issue and the bounced 14 A. Correct. 14 check, right? 15 15 Q. So you wanted to make sure it was private? Is A. Yes. It appears that I did. 16 16 that why? Q. So you continued to have the conversation. I 17 17 assume Nelva was fine with that? A. Correct. 18 18 Q. And that's when she told you that the person A. Well, she would have had to tell me not to. 19 19 that was there was Carole? Q. Okay. And so this is the conversation where 20 20 A. Correct. she said Carl was sick and he needed to be taken off of 21 21 Q. And you told her that Anita had called, and she his appointments and her estate planning documents. 22 22 confirmed that she had been in the hospital. She didn't And you knew that that had already 23 23 understand why Edward Jones didn't transfer the funds. occurred, right? 24 24 So she thought she had contacted them, I A. Correct. 25 25 Q. I assume -- when you corrected her and told her guess. 251 253 1 1 A. I guess. that that had already been done, did that seem to 2 2 Q. Okay. Did you sense confusion on her part when confuse her? 3 you talked with her? 3 A. No. She said, Oh, that's right. 4 MR. REED: Objection, form. 4 Q. Okay. So that was more of something that she 5 5 A. She sounded confused about why Edward Jones did just seemed to have forgotten? 6 6 not transfer funds. A. Yes. 7 Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. And have you ever had Q. Did you ever have occasion to speak with Nelva 8 8 a conversation that you know of with -- I've forgotten where she didn't remember that Elmer had died? 9 his name now but the guy who was at Edward Jones that 9 was her accountant? 10 Q. I see in the notes that you talked to her about 11 A. Doug. 11 if she wanted to resign, she could name somebody to 12 12 Q. Doug, yeah. replace her. 13 13 A. I probably did at some point. A. Uh-huh. 14 Q. About this bounced check, though? 14 Q. Prior to this conversation, had you ever had a 15 A. Oh, no. That's not something I would get 15 discussion with Nelva about her resigning as trustee? 16 16 involved with. A. I don't recall. 17 Q. Okay. All right. It says that arbrubtly --17 Q. So you might have? 18 although I'm not sure I think much of your spell check. 18 A. Might have. 19 19 A. Oh, did it --Q. Have you ever had a discussion with Nelva about 20 Q. It missed arbrubtly. Abruptly a voice came 20 that before you had the conversation with Anita where 21 21 through on the line, and that was Carole, right? Anita was talking about she was pressured? 22 22 A. I didn't know it was Carole at first. But, A. I might have. I don't recall when 23 yes, then I realized who it was when she started 23 specifically. 24 talking. 24 Q. Do you ever recall a time prior to this 25 Q. Okay. 25 conversation when Nelva asked you if she could resign?

64 (Pages 250 to 253)

	254		256
1	A. I don't recall.	1 A. That's corr	rect.
2	Q. Then in this conversation and maybe it was	Q. You were s	pecifically referring to having a
3	because Carole was on the phone	family discussion a	bout whether she should resign as
4	MS. CAROLE BRUNSTING: Actually I dropped	4 trustee?	
5	off when I realized it was a confidential call.	5 A. That's corr	rect.
6	MS. BAYLESS: All right.	6 Q. And you we	eren't suggesting that it was
7	A. I wouldn't have known that unless I hear a	7 anybody's decision	but hers, right?
8	click.	8 A. That's corr	rect.
9	Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. I'm not sure	Q. You just wa	inted her to talk with everybody
10	MS. CAROLE BRUNSTING: I just answered at	0 about it?	
11	the same time as Mother did.	1 A. It's my reco	ommendation that the family should
12	Q. (By Ms. Bayless) All right. But in this	be involved in tho	se situations.
13	conversation and maybe Carole wasn't on the phone any	Q. All right.	
14	longer. But for whatever reason, you suggested that	4 MS. BAY	LESS: I think we stop because I'm
15	Carole could be on an account with her since she was		to talk about this phone
16	local?	6 conversation.	
17	A. Correct.	7 MR. REE	The state of the s
18	Q. Is that the first time that you recall the	8 (Proceedi	ngs recessed at 5:01 p.m.)
19	issue of Carole being on a convenience account for her	9	
20	came up?	0	
21	A. Could be.	1	
22	Q. Actually you do say down here that Carole	2	
23	abruptly hung up the phone.	3	
24	A. Okay. Well, see, I didn't remember that.	4	
25	Q. Okay. Let's see. Let's read it together here.	5	
1 2 3	It says you "suggested that Carole be on the account with Mom since she's local. Carole stated that while it's well and good that she thinks she should be the	CHANGES PAGE LINE CHANGE	AND SIGNATURE REASON
4	co-power of attorney" and you explained that	·	
5	"companies do not like co-powers of attorney because	<u> </u>	
6	they have to be able to rely on them for decisions to be	·	
7	made; and if they do not agree, then nothing gets done."		
8	Then it says Carole hung up the phone		
9	abruptly.		
10	A. Okay.		
11	Q. So I guess you don't have a clue whether that		
12	made her upset that you said she shouldn't be co-power		
13	of attorney?	·	
14	A. I do not.		
15	Q. Okay. And so then you asked Nelva if	·	
16	everything was okay, and she said, Yes, it was fine.	<u> </u>	
17	Was that because Carole had hung up the	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
17			
18	phone, do you think?		
	MR. REED: Form.	·	
18)	
18 19 20 21	MR. REED: Form. A. Yes, probably. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) And so then you just let her		
18 19 20	MR. REED: Form. A. Yes, probably.		
18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. REED: Form. A. Yes, probably. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) And so then you just let her know that oh, no. You told her to have a family discussion about this		
18 19 20 21 22	MR. REED: Form. A. Yes, probably. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) And so then you just let her know that — oh, no. You told her to have a family		

65 (Pages 254 to 257)

	258		260	\mathbf{c}
1	I, CANDACE KUNZ-FREED, have read the foregoing	1	following includes all parties of record and the amount	
2	deposition and hereby affix my signature that same is	2	of time used by each party at the time of the	
3	true and correct, except as noted above.	3	deposition:	
4	and and correct, encope as noted assorts	4	Stephen Mendel (2h39m)	
5		_	Attorney for Defendant Anita Brunsting	
6	CANDACE VINZ EDEED	5	Carole Brunsting (0h18m)	
7	CANDACE KUNZ-FREED	6	Pro Se Defendant Candace Curtis (0h28m)	
			Pro Se Defendant	
8	THE STATE OF)	7	Bobbie Bayless (2h31m)	
9	COUNTY OF)		Attorney for Plaintiff	
10		8		
11	Before me,, on this day	9	That a copy of this certificate was served on all	
12	personally appeared CANDACE KUNZ-FREED, known to me or	10	parties shown herein on and filed	
13	proved to me on the oath of or through	11	with the Clerk.	
14	(description of identity card	12	I further certify that I am neither counsel for,	
15	or other document) to be the person whose name is	13 14	related to, nor employed by any of the parties in the	
16	subscribed to the foregoing instrument and acknowledged	15	action in which this proceeding was taken, and further that I am not financially or otherwise interested in the	
17	to me that he/she executed the same for the purpose and	16	outcome of this action.	
18	* *	17	Further certification requirements pursuant to	
	consideration therein expressed.	18	Rule 203 of the Texas Code of Civil Procedure will be	
19	Given under my hand and seal of office on this	19	complied with after they have occurred.	
20	day of	20	Certified to by me on this day of	
21		21		
22		22		
23	NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND FOR	23 24	Melinda Barre	
24	THE STATE OF	24	Texas CSR 2192	
25	My Commission Expires:	25	Expiration: 12/31/21	
			r	
	259		261	1
1	259 CAUSE NO. 412,249-401	1	261 FURTHER CERTIFICATION UNDER TRCP RULE 203	
1 2		1 2		
2	CAUSE NO. 412,249-401 ESTATE OF) IN THE DISTRICT COURT)		FURTHER CERTIFICATION UNDER TRCP RULE 20:	
	CAUSE NO. 412,249-401 ESTATE OF) IN THE DISTRICT COURT) NELVA E. BRUNSTING,) NUMBER FOUR (4) OF	2	FURTHER CERTIFICATION UNDER TRCP RULE 20: The original deposition was/was not returned to the	
2	CAUSE NO. 412,249-401 ESTATE OF) IN THE DISTRICT COURT) NELVA E. BRUNSTING,) NUMBER FOUR (4) OF)	2 3	FURTHER CERTIFICATION UNDER TRCP RULE 20. The original deposition was/was not returned to the deposition officer on	
2	CAUSE NO. 412,249-401 ESTATE OF) IN THE DISTRICT COURT) NELVA E. BRUNSTING,) NUMBER FOUR (4) OF	2 3 4	FURTHER CERTIFICATION UNDER TRCP RULE 20: The original deposition was/was not returned to the deposition officer on If returned, the attached Changes and Signature	
2	CAUSE NO. 412,249-401 ESTATE OF) IN THE DISTRICT COURT) NELVA E. BRUNSTING,) NUMBER FOUR (4) OF)	2 3 4 5	FURTHER CERTIFICATION UNDER TRCP RULE 20: The original deposition was/was not returned to the deposition officer on If returned, the attached Changes and Signature page(s) contain(s) any changes and the reasons therefor.	
2 3 4 5	CAUSE NO. 412,249-401 ESTATE OF) IN THE DISTRICT COURT) NELVA E. BRUNSTING,) NUMBER FOUR (4) OF) DECEASED) HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS CARL HENRY BRUNSTING,)	2 3 4 5 6 7	FURTHER CERTIFICATION UNDER TRCP RULE 20: The original deposition was/was not returned to the deposition officer on If returned, the attached Changes and Signature page(s) contain(s) any changes and the reasons therefor. If returned, the original deposition was delivered	
2 3 4	CAUSE NO. 412,249-401 ESTATE OF) IN THE DISTRICT COURT) NELVA E. BRUNSTING,) NUMBER FOUR (4) OF) DECEASED) HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS CARL HENRY BRUNSTING,) et al.)	2 3 4 5 6	FURTHER CERTIFICATION UNDER TRCP RULE 20: The original deposition was/was not returned to the deposition officer on If returned, the attached Changes and Signature page(s) contain(s) any changes and the reasons therefor. If returned, the original deposition was delivered to Stephen Mendel, Custodial Attorney.	
2 3 4 5	CAUSE NO. 412,249-401 ESTATE OF) IN THE DISTRICT COURT) NELVA E. BRUNSTING,) NUMBER FOUR (4) OF) DECEASED) HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS CARL HENRY BRUNSTING,) et al.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	FURTHER CERTIFICATION UNDER TRCP RULE 20: The original deposition was/was not returned to the deposition officer on If returned, the attached Changes and Signature page(s) contain(s) any changes and the reasons therefor. If returned, the original deposition was delivered to Stephen Mendel, Custodial Attorney. \$ is the deposition officer's charges to the	
2 3 4 5	CAUSE NO. 412,249-401 ESTATE OF) IN THE DISTRICT COURT) NELVA E. BRUNSTING,) NUMBER FOUR (4) OF) DECEASED) HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS CARL HENRY BRUNSTING,) et al.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	FURTHER CERTIFICATION UNDER TRCP RULE 20: The original deposition was/was not returned to the deposition officer on If returned, the attached Changes and Signature page(s) contain(s) any changes and the reasons therefor. If returned, the original deposition was delivered to Stephen Mendel, Custodial Attorney. \$ is the deposition officer's charges to the Defendant Anita Brunsting for preparing the original	
2 3 4 5	CAUSE NO. 412,249-401 ESTATE OF) IN THE DISTRICT COURT) NELVA E. BRUNSTING,) NUMBER FOUR (4) OF) DECEASED) HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS CARL HENRY BRUNSTING,) et al.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	FURTHER CERTIFICATION UNDER TRCP RULE 20: The original deposition was/was not returned to the deposition officer on If returned, the attached Changes and Signature page(s) contain(s) any changes and the reasons therefor. If returned, the original deposition was delivered to Stephen Mendel, Custodial Attorney. \$ is the deposition officer's charges to the Defendant Anita Brunsting for preparing the original deposition and any copies of exhibits;	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CAUSE NO. 412,249-401 ESTATE OF	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	FURTHER CERTIFICATION UNDER TRCP RULE 20: The original deposition was/was not returned to the deposition officer on If returned, the attached Changes and Signature page(s) contain(s) any changes and the reasons therefor. If returned, the original deposition was delivered to Stephen Mendel, Custodial Attorney. \$ is the deposition officer's charges to the Defendant Anita Brunsting for preparing the original deposition and any copies of exhibits; The deposition was delivered in accordance with Rule	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CAUSE NO. 412,249-401 ESTATE OF) IN THE DISTRICT COURT) NELVA E. BRUNSTING,) NUMBER FOUR (4) OF) DECEASED) HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS CARL HENRY BRUNSTING,) et al.) vs.) ANITA KAY BRUNSTING,) et al.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	FURTHER CERTIFICATION UNDER TRCP RULE 203. The original deposition was/was not returned to the deposition officer on If returned, the attached Changes and Signature page(s) contain(s) any changes and the reasons therefor. If returned, the original deposition was delivered to Stephen Mendel, Custodial Attorney. \$ is the deposition officer's charges to the Defendant Anita Brunsting for preparing the original deposition and any copies of exhibits; The deposition was delivered in accordance with Rule 203.3, and a copy of this certificate, served on all	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CAUSE NO. 412,249-401 ESTATE OF	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	FURTHER CERTIFICATION UNDER TRCP RULE 201. The original deposition was/was not returned to the deposition officer on If returned, the attached Changes and Signature page(s) contain(s) any changes and the reasons therefor. If returned, the original deposition was delivered to Stephen Mendel, Custodial Attorney. \$ is the deposition officer's charges to the Defendant Anita Brunsting for preparing the original deposition and any copies of exhibits; The deposition was delivered in accordance with Rule 203.3, and a copy of this certificate, served on all parties shown herein, was filed with the Clerk.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CAUSE NO. 412,249-401 ESTATE OF	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	FURTHER CERTIFICATION UNDER TRCP RULE 20: The original deposition was/was not returned to the deposition officer on If returned, the attached Changes and Signature page(s) contain(s) any changes and the reasons therefor. If returned, the original deposition was delivered to Stephen Mendel, Custodial Attorney. \$ is the deposition officer's charges to the Defendant Anita Brunsting for preparing the original deposition and any copies of exhibits; The deposition was delivered in accordance with Rule 203.3, and a copy of this certificate, served on all parties shown herein, was filed with the Clerk. Certified to by me on this day of	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CAUSE NO. 412,249-401 ESTATE OF	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	FURTHER CERTIFICATION UNDER TRCP RULE 201. The original deposition was/was not returned to the deposition officer on If returned, the attached Changes and Signature page(s) contain(s) any changes and the reasons therefor. If returned, the original deposition was delivered to Stephen Mendel, Custodial Attorney. \$ is the deposition officer's charges to the Defendant Anita Brunsting for preparing the original deposition and any copies of exhibits; The deposition was delivered in accordance with Rule 203.3, and a copy of this certificate, served on all parties shown herein, was filed with the Clerk.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CAUSE NO. 412,249-401 ESTATE OF	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	FURTHER CERTIFICATION UNDER TRCP RULE 20: The original deposition was/was not returned to the deposition officer on If returned, the attached Changes and Signature page(s) contain(s) any changes and the reasons therefor. If returned, the original deposition was delivered to Stephen Mendel, Custodial Attorney. \$ is the deposition officer's charges to the Defendant Anita Brunsting for preparing the original deposition and any copies of exhibits; The deposition was delivered in accordance with Rule 203.3, and a copy of this certificate, served on all parties shown herein, was filed with the Clerk. Certified to by me on this day of	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CAUSE NO. 412,249-401 ESTATE OF	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	FURTHER CERTIFICATION UNDER TRCP RULE 20: The original deposition was/was not returned to the deposition officer on If returned, the attached Changes and Signature page(s) contain(s) any changes and the reasons therefor. If returned, the original deposition was delivered to Stephen Mendel, Custodial Attorney. \$ is the deposition officer's charges to the Defendant Anita Brunsting for preparing the original deposition and any copies of exhibits; The deposition was delivered in accordance with Rule 203.3, and a copy of this certificate, served on all parties shown herein, was filed with the Clerk. Certified to by me on this day of	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CAUSE NO. 412,249-401 ESTATE OF	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	FURTHER CERTIFICATION UNDER TRCP RULE 20: The original deposition was/was not returned to the deposition officer on If returned, the attached Changes and Signature page(s) contain(s) any changes and the reasons therefor. If returned, the original deposition was delivered to Stephen Mendel, Custodial Attorney. \$ is the deposition officer's charges to the Defendant Anita Brunsting for preparing the original deposition and any copies of exhibits; The deposition was delivered in accordance with Rule 203.3, and a copy of this certificate, served on all parties shown herein, was filed with the Clerk. Certified to by me on this day of	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CAUSE NO. 412,249-401 ESTATE OF	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	FURTHER CERTIFICATION UNDER TRCP RULE 20: The original deposition was/was not returned to the deposition officer on If returned, the attached Changes and Signature page(s) contain(s) any changes and the reasons therefor. If returned, the original deposition was delivered to Stephen Mendel, Custodial Attorney. \$ is the deposition officer's charges to the Defendant Anita Brunsting for preparing the original deposition and any copies of exhibits; The deposition was delivered in accordance with Rule 203.3, and a copy of this certificate, served on all parties shown herein, was filed with the Clerk. Certified to by me on this day of	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CAUSE NO. 412,249-401 ESTATE OF) IN THE DISTRICT COURT) NELVA E. BRUNSTING,) NUMBER FOUR (4) OF) DECEASED) HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS CARL HENRY BRUNSTING,) et al.) vs.) ANITA KAY BRUNSTING,) et al.) REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE ORAL DEPOSITION OF CANDACE KUNZ-FREED March 20, 2019 I, Melinda Barre, Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Texas, hereby certify to the following: That the witness, CANDACE KUNZ-FREED, was duly sworn and that the transcript of the deposition is a true	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	FURTHER CERTIFICATION UNDER TRCP RULE 201 The original deposition was/was not returned to the deposition officer on If returned, the attached Changes and Signature page(s) contain(s) any changes and the reasons therefor. If returned, the original deposition was delivered to Stephen Mendel, Custodial Attorney. \$ is the deposition officer's charges to the Defendant Anita Brunsting for preparing the original deposition and any copies of exhibits; The deposition was delivered in accordance with Rule 203.3, and a copy of this certificate, served on all parties shown herein, was filed with the Clerk. Certified to by me on this day of	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CAUSE NO. 412,249-401 ESTATE OF	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	FURTHER CERTIFICATION UNDER TRCP RULE 202 The original deposition was/was not returned to the deposition officer on If returned, the attached Changes and Signature page(s) contain(s) any changes and the reasons therefor. If returned, the original deposition was delivered to Stephen Mendel, Custodial Attorney. \$ is the deposition officer's charges to the Defendant Anita Brunsting for preparing the original deposition and any copies of exhibits; The deposition was delivered in accordance with Rule 203.3, and a copy of this certificate, served on all parties shown herein, was filed with the Clerk. Certified to by me on this day of Melinda Barre Texas CSR 2192	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CAUSE NO. 412,249-401 ESTATE OF	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	FURTHER CERTIFICATION UNDER TRCP RULE 201 The original deposition was/was not returned to the deposition officer on If returned, the attached Changes and Signature page(s) contain(s) any changes and the reasons therefor. If returned, the original deposition was delivered to Stephen Mendel, Custodial Attorney. \$ is the deposition officer's charges to the Defendant Anita Brunsting for preparing the original deposition and any copies of exhibits; The deposition was delivered in accordance with Rule 203.3, and a copy of this certificate, served on all parties shown herein, was filed with the Clerk. Certified to by me on this day of	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CAUSE NO. 412,249-401 ESTATE OF	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	FURTHER CERTIFICATION UNDER TRCP RULE 202 The original deposition was/was not returned to the deposition officer on If returned, the attached Changes and Signature page(s) contain(s) any changes and the reasons therefor. If returned, the original deposition was delivered to Stephen Mendel, Custodial Attorney. \$ is the deposition officer's charges to the Defendant Anita Brunsting for preparing the original deposition and any copies of exhibits; The deposition was delivered in accordance with Rule 203.3, and a copy of this certificate, served on all parties shown herein, was filed with the Clerk. Certified to by me on this day of Melinda Barre Texas CSR 2192	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CAUSE NO. 412,249-401 ESTATE OF	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	FURTHER CERTIFICATION UNDER TRCP RULE 202 The original deposition was/was not returned to the deposition officer on If returned, the attached Changes and Signature page(s) contain(s) any changes and the reasons therefor. If returned, the original deposition was delivered to Stephen Mendel, Custodial Attorney. \$ is the deposition officer's charges to the Defendant Anita Brunsting for preparing the original deposition and any copies of exhibits; The deposition was delivered in accordance with Rule 203.3, and a copy of this certificate, served on all parties shown herein, was filed with the Clerk. Certified to by me on this day of Melinda Barre Texas CSR 2192	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CAUSE NO. 412,249-401 ESTATE OF	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	FURTHER CERTIFICATION UNDER TRCP RULE 202 The original deposition was/was not returned to the deposition officer on If returned, the attached Changes and Signature page(s) contain(s) any changes and the reasons therefor. If returned, the original deposition was delivered to Stephen Mendel, Custodial Attorney. \$ is the deposition officer's charges to the Defendant Anita Brunsting for preparing the original deposition and any copies of exhibits; The deposition was delivered in accordance with Rule 203.3, and a copy of this certificate, served on all parties shown herein, was filed with the Clerk. Certified to by me on this day of Melinda Barre Texas CSR 2192	

66 (Pages 258 to 261)