

Subject: Fw: 412249-401 Estate Of Nelva Brunsting

From: Candace Curtis <occurtis@sbcglobal.net>

Date: 10/12/2018 10:31 AM

To: Rik Munson <blowintough@att.net>

X-Account-Key: account1

X-UIDL: AArPigpdPxqLW8DaZwpUiBOvxLk

X-Mozilla-Status: 0001

X-Mozilla-Status2: 00000000

X-Apparently-To: blowintough@att.net; Fri, 12 Oct 2018 17:31:19 +0000

Return-Path: <occurtis@sbcglobal.net>

Received-SPF: none (domain of sbcglobal.net does not designate permitted sender hosts)

X-YMailISG: SmJY_JEWLDvRjtnjbM4diR3r1neCpPGHQFn1DGT.76PwSqlH
qrMpaA2zCWb7p2NdVWJfXEolZXUpRKiwiZAgrmEte40QCnuZCSzpoP0wvCRx
nGQhJvP1h2iNHOM7R.LfWvj8RITKoLWGVdNKP61C8u9AVNEqwwsXVjmGN2S
SxE4hoiTq__HaZE8l7wbH_GA.5.YwbsGWcP4O0LeN6HZXONgH5ar9xNqpNay
Wa6vJPYApHIyQ3NtJDY4mOYpMPjdTNzupok_C6ubHb4euURZwDFtrhb52skj
MbTTY.FQswZxprn2FzmuTrXhoXnI1WdWB3Jz3BuIHmTem7EJJM.z_yJNIJk5
elKdbDXnzP3Yi26J5NBzw8hUs32s1mFE06VTgI9wMp5E9ZJA1sPXUoU3urt4
F8Qmdc7YNZh7h.eZnrYp4BPks54sR2sBcEEmmXCvNjPChkOn72Y.BIM0u62F
.fBU4NyhQVXufIwZYjD1eK8LwPcTotWixjdKX9C.hOZ2ZNFJLKCCNle9cw.X
HS3qwBMsEL21azKGGiWYq1_7OLsk4LZqPKCCWRHknjRG6OPJBjXo0yjX8ZuZ
ALqhi24.Q8RDiTJYfs_zFJG28dLohG4PKcyB5vcQHqxT3c4g6vJmZoYMITJ1
nn8qRzhGvd6IE8FrvfIA5Bez8OEFsFNqdLXwXle_wVqGPdmSIZHqciXU.OL
n3h4Wz._ZURFRRLw84BxxNS26UVgbjMsVmuI2jM1qf_i_z2KeltD5zpSHBuB
9eMLK4L1yek4IIEVysV4v5U7oERaWp6Ewei1rx3hC21NsDvtXsOm9MXs4_zC
dFXsxU1aqlJOHWjRgAixC6SfXXSMqa4JUkqK2foXLxaU.5fG7zsy1DNYxGE
BpSIDoY3kpu46dQKqYP4c7ktqyeckjsjuZOHPQvjAMQG1BrK2KqVyg9E5VDQ
z6IANhdyp0HMGUsKhmg8mRkCptYrv1bz8Dq6jPq2Q.7BMhvn9dk2e.hfJKg
isWXEDiKNfGxTmo_2PEXjgSYy9jqG99ovU9tHKyOOVqujS2Y9SiqTWcPvbHO
VWX9Sgt2OjjRIX5Tf7ef7Gv81qb46sDGzeTujhe5t6ZO52HKoQZO8DZZrSi
GYmVD0nQNIgeutSFbNZCpzKHtRWAKnHHjq_ljoXTiqGDu8OjHj.hcrfoF64X
9osfBUj5Q13iB93Kz3B6TYy2VkmZ6.iIFN4.pegg9C706kZVqv7dGDumitKj
GaPXX5luXAU2OgWZRx.m4dLfUSDNvUKh9gbI5gtDgpOVOxMP.3TYEVJlveSG Z13XUPI-

X-Originating-IP: [66.163.188.150]

Authentication-Results: mta4052.sbc.mail.gq1.yahoo.com from=sbcglobal.net; domainkeys=neutral

Received: from 144.160.152.217 (EHLO flpd578.prodigy.net) (144.160.152.217) by mta4052.sbc.ma: 17:31:19 +0000

X-Originating-IP: [66.163.188.150]

Received: from sonic303-24.consmr.mail.ne1.yahoo.com (sonic303-24.consmr.mail.ne1.yahoo.com [altR5 TLS/8.14.4) with ESMTP id w9CHVH8u112511 (version=TLSv1/SSLv3 cipher=AES128-SHA) Fri, 12 Oct 2018 10:31:18 -0700

DKIM-Signature: v=1; a=rsa-sha256; c=relaxed/relaxed; d=sbcglobal.net; s=s2048; t=1539365477; bh=rH7LsEyMBA+YTnGnh8HRgq4QW16yU/eCFj9AINrYOzs=; h=Date:From:Reply-To:To:In-Reply-To:Subject:; b=W4Qqg6RGgsLCT0eMPBuFJrk03bR47EUu1v+b+kVkYe1FOV8PD4pne2uAEMqFWNFK/xBBC/4JITaT/JgBoz2JhWdTWqYZuGXyfy8OipKJ8neMr+iji4RFXJvkTEyrhASyD9jnNRjLFZGp8Y5QtV

/KLIjHJqZAGLgit0kO6k7s40/zFuI0aFJzhNxETb7iF+/XRZIHgNw5f4xVZEi9yWID5o52GThFgdfR
/MjB31g9pALvrNohSOQKdy3NOA==

X-YMail-OSG: WmJYD0QVM1nnp4GxA_zEdZWPx7Bl.6L9UJd_8.UMDRAOVH_yxv_6AtHPI8
6jxEiaamD3ENkPzEyBseesb9vYDebBC7xujshzaXew5hzf8y2qXOXxGCJVB.5QxUEC_FUIuzDo9.
C.HSQnAiYtM5ZxwX7phiEWCpg6NP86fEyvM2n2MPEWJ6F4rc1esFSQDAI4LpGae2AwGWFz9C
moeByPqbut4K._.5IvY0mQRxmjeUW_wZMZpHGv0AbfEh000ls1esh54KuWP8p5tnMUAhIkml.
3aiExJSY78GCOHtX4.4b9pEMeBM9bC5cSAI7dzEtPKOqO5V3LQbtdZRBHNI3cN4byAPEAfG6N
HxpYtZpHJxDgP9SIJDA8p.iig5GIPQp5A2qB4EJHAQVhSAA1oZpn90U2ZEDX7V6Fs.0nyJ.u3KA
ir79c2z.9V8k775k_coZINeT8NACAGIM7Iib3gBoYMGQm5kQYfqtMU_6iEGlyRBEqCdGooYwU
jH6HldaJksjan0Nyy3C4bHgK2FAFgsHDmfYP7wtFgxksyT6JJCpyKxbzPgeY.Qddr9IEK_2HsrSM
kyRc9iTXG_Dy.DIw3f5VHZCh3JaPUAt1bwNnplSDz.cla2cDwQdGFloO_SW.M2R_gf.cZwj1s2Nb
SNQeOnGbZ0YdqJZesW7MDbOpD6HnWc2XhUkwylBghCBf06kC_ywOpVEL2eSECL8HEV22t
xyPve8aVdpZMhw3pKW.i0fAMD44KJgBQReV.IYjvo2mTEijv4VDp5adlAGEZISvO_dJqvR3EgfS.
fuRoPuAmgTiicRpM1GEiARil4SH1V3pzqHO.p3rqHNN11a0moPBey0dwIXT8uB0GeCXKeOWc
98UB333rROq8RdyOSkSmdxJJQdkDyNyTG6faVaYsN2eR3.kiczf04Xg9DRhEiKtZy.amVKZKHE
ODurl5EghgGTsAPvt7p1mKB9NKT6Rv53cJwxm23i8XUbFDDd.12SzXX50rSb.iG3UbUEvvkjzrO

Received: from sonic.gate.mail.ne1.yahoo.com by sonic303.consmr.mail.ne1.yahoo.com with HTTP;

Reply-To: Candace Curtis <occurtis@sbcglobal.net>

Message-ID: <1018211077.257240.1539365472047@mail.yahoo.com>

In-Reply-To: <00b801d46250\$964188d0\$c2c49a70\$@grifmatlaw.com>

References: <f439c4b810284d228df22829b8446d3e@NN-DC-LW-MAIL07.naturalnetworks.us>
<00b801d46250\$964188d0\$c2c49a70\$@grifmatlaw.com>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: multipart/alternative; boundary="-----_Part_257239_1088987234.1539365472038"

X-Mailer: WebService/1.1.12605 YahooMailNeo Mozilla/5.0 (Windows NT 6.1; WOW64; rv:62.0) (

Content-Length: 43265

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Neal Spielman <nspielman@grifmatlaw.com>

To: 'Steve Mendel' <steve@mendellawfirm.com>; "'Comstock, Clarinda (Probate Courts)'" <Clarinda.Comstock@prob.hctx.net>; 'Bobbie Bayless' <bayless@baylessstokes.com>; 'Carole Brunsting' <cbrunsting@sbcglobal.net>; 'Candace Curtis' <occurtis@sbcglobal.net>

Cc: Neal Spielman <nspielman@grifmatlaw.com>

Sent: Friday, October 12, 2018 10:25 AM

Subject: RE: 412249-401 Estate Of Nelva Brunsting

Dear Judge Comstock –

I am following up on Steve Mendel's e-mail below in light of other responses to your e-mail of 10/8/18, particularly the e-mails of 10/10/18 from Carole Brunsting and 10/11/18 from

Bobbie Bayless (on behalf of Carl Brunsting).

First, there appears to be some confusion as to whether any party to this action is contesting Nelva Brunsting's capacity at the time she executed various documents, including without limitation: the Appointment of Successor Trustee and the Resignation of December 21, 2010; the Qualified Beneficiary Designation/Exercise of Power of Appointment dated June 15, 2010; and the Qualified Beneficiary Designation/Exercise of Power of Appointment dated August 25, 2010. This issue might be resolved if each party were ordered to stipulate in a writing filed with the Court, that Nelva Brunsting's capacity is or is not an issue.

Second, there appears to be some effort to disregard or discredit the content of the Report of Temporary Administrator Pending Contest. There is too much to be captured in e-mail about the problems this would create. However, one area of concern stems from the content set forth on Page 7 of the Report (No Contest Clause Provisions). Significantly, the Report concludes (a) **"in both documents [the August 25, 2010 Qualified Beneficiary Designation/Exercise of Power of Appointment and the January 12, 2005 Restatement of Brunsting Family Living Trust] the provision is well written"** and (b) **"[a] decision by the Court upholding either no contest provision might resolve all other issues."**

Considering the content of the two no-contest clauses and the Report's observations about them, it becomes clear that the first issue to be considered before any other is the enforceability of the no-contest clauses. If claims asserted by Carl Brunsting and/or Candace Curtis trigger one or both no-contest clauses, then each has effectively "disinherited" themselves, and none of their claims or pleadings, including without limitation those presented by Carl Brunsting in his Motion for Summary Judgment and/or those presented by Candace Curtis in her First Amended Plea in Abatement are properly before the Court.

Until the no-contest clause issues are resolved, none of their Motions, Pleas, claims, etc. should be considered.

For things to proceed in this fashion, a Docket Control Order remains necessary and the discovery referenced by Mr. Mendel must proceed so that the parties can present arguments for or against the no-contest clause(s), whether based on issues of capacity or enforceability. Then and only then will it be proper to consider issues such as those raised by Carl Brunsting and Candace Curtis (and even then, only if the no-contest clauses are not enforceable for one reason or another).

I appreciate the Court's consideration of the issues raised above.

Very truly yours,
Neal E. Spielman
Griffin & Matthews

1155 Dairy Ashford, Suite 300
Houston, Texas 77079
281-870-1124 - telephone
281-870-1647 - telefax
nspielman@grifmatlaw.com

Please take a moment to visit our website at www.grifmatlaw.com

To comply with IRS regulations, we advise you that any discussion of Federal tax issues in this e-mail was not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used by you (i) to avoid penalties imposed under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) to promote, market or recommend to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein.

This communication may be protected by the attorney/client privilege and may contain confidential information intended only for the person to whom it is addressed. Any views or opinions expressed are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of Griffin & Matthews. Any use, dissemination, forwarding, printing or copying of this e-mail without consent of the originator is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify Melissa Niles by telephone at 281.870.1124.

If you are not one of the addressees on this e-mail, the information contained in this e-mail is not intended for you, and please delete this e-mail immediately.

From: Steve Mendel [mailto:steve@mendellawfirm.com]
Sent: Tuesday, October 09, 2018 2:37 PM
To: Comstock, Clarinda (Probate Courts) <Clarinda.Comstock@prob.hctx.net>; Bobbie Bayless <bayless@baylessstokes.com>; nspielman@grifmatlaw.com; Carole Brunsting <cbrunsting@sbcglobal.net>; Candace Curtis <occurtis@sbcglobal.net>
Subject: 412249-401 Estate Of Nelva Brunsting

Dear Judge Comstock:

As you may recall, our office represents Co-Trustee Anita Brunsting, and Neal Spielman represents Co-Trustee Amy Brunsting. This correspondence represents a joint response as between myself and Mr. Spielman, on behalf of our respective clients.

First, the Co-Trustees want an oral hearing regarding Carl Brunsting's motion

for summary judgment.

Second, we would remind the court that it was discussed at the last hearing that certain discovery was important, including, but not limited to, evidence as to capacity and the academics of why the QBD was created, enforceable, and does not violate the trust provisions that predate the QBD, as referenced in Carl Brunsting's motion.

In this regard, we believe the following discovery needs to occur before Carl Brunsting's motion is set for an oral hearing:

1. Depose Candice Kuntz-Freed, and/or appropriate representatives of Vacek & Freed.

2. Greg Lester, the temporary administrator. However, if the parties will agree that Mr. Lester's report is admissible, then we see no need to depose Mr. Lester at this time. By admissible we mean, a waiver of all objections as to authenticity and hearsay. Notwithstanding the admissibility of the report, every party reserves the right to challenge, in whole or in part, the opinions and/or conclusions set forth in Mr. Lester's report.

3. Candace Curtis, who asserts that Nelva Brunsting lacked capacity.

4. Carole Brunsting who we understand had a reasonable degree of interaction with Nelva Brunsting and would have facts that relate to the capacity issue.

While there is other discovery that may be appropriate as to the foregoing issues, at present we believe the foregoing depositions are the minimum necessary to be completed before there is a hearing on Carl Brunsting's motion.

Further, we do not see any of the proposed dates as allowing sufficient time to complete the foregoing depositions. We, therefore, urge the court to issue a docket control order as to the entirety of the case, or at least an order that the foregoing named witnesses make themselves available for deposition between now and November 30, 2018.

Last, but not least, we will be filing motions that include, but are not necessarily

be limited to:

A. Obtain two different types of appraisals for the Iowa farm. One appraisal is for the value of the farm without regard to any division of the farm. The other appraisal would seek values if the farm was divided as equally as possible among the beneficiaries. The appraisals would assist with settlement negotiations among the parties.

B. Allocation of funds to pay for court reporters and videographers for the foregoing depositions.

Very truly yours,
Stephen A. Mendel

The Mendel Law Firm, L.P.
1155 Dairy Ashford, Suite 104
Houston, TX 77079
O: 281-759-3213
F: 281-759-3214
steve@mendellawfirm.com

CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION: The information contained in this e-mail from The Mendel Law Firm, L.P., is confidential, privileged, and protected from disclosure. Such information is intended only for the use by the individual(s) or entity named on the above recipient list. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us by telephone.

From: Steve Mendel
Sent: Tuesday, October 09, 2018 1:52 PM
To: 'Bobbie Bayless'; Comstock, Clarinda (Probate Courts); nspielman@grifmatlaw.com; Carole Brunsting; Candace Curtis
Subject: RE: 412249-401 Estate Of Nelva Brunsting

Judge Comstock:

Mr. Spielman and I will be sending a joint response within the hour.

Very truly yours,

Stephen A. Mendel

The Mendel Law Firm, L.P.
1155 Dairy Ashford, Suite 104
Houston, TX 77079
O: 281-759-3213
F: 281-759-3214
steve@mendellawfirm.com

CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION: The information contained in this e-mail from The Mendel Law Firm, L.P., is confidential, privileged, and protected from disclosure. Such information is intended only for the use by the individual(s) or entity named on the above recipient list. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us by telephone.

From: Bobbie Bayless [<mailto:bayless@baylessstokes.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, October 09, 2018 12:50 PM
To: Comstock, Clarinda (Probate Courts); Steve Mendel; nspielman@grifmatlaw.com; Carole Brunsting; Candace Curtis
Subject: RE: 412249-401 Estate Of Nelva Brunsting

As far as I am concerned, the Court can consider my Motion for Partial Summary Judgment without further argument. It is a pretty straightforward motion, so I am willing to allow the court to proceed without a further hearing.

Bobbie G. Bayless
BAYLESS & STOKES
2931 Ferndale
Houston, TX 77098
713.522.2224
713.522.2218 (fax)
bayless@baylessstokes.com

From: Comstock, Clarinda (Probate Courts) [<mailto:Clarinda.Comstock@prob.hctx.net>]
Sent: Monday, October 08, 2018 3:53 PM
To: Steve Mendel; Bobbie Bayless; nspielman@grifmatlaw.com; Carole Brunsting; Candace Curtis
Subject: 412249-401 Estate Of Nelva Brunsting

Judge Butts believes she is ready to consider the Motion for Summary Judgment which was continued at the last hearing.

Although a hearing on a motion for summary judgment is not required, she would like to give the parties the opportunity for oral argument, if desired.

The following dates may be available for an oral hearing on this Motion, assuming a courtroom can be made available:

Oct. 24th at 11am

Oct. 29th at 2:30pm

Nov. 19th at 2:30pm

Please respond to this email no later than the end of the day Thursday, Oct. 11th to advise whether you wish to have an oral hearing of the Motion for Partial Summary Judgment.

An oral hearing will be set on one of these dates should any party request a hearing.

If an agreement cannot be reached as to a hearing date by this Thursday, a date will be set by the court.

If no one requests a hearing by this Thursday, Judge Butts will rule without a hearing.

Regards,

Clarinda Comstock

Associate Judge

Harris County Probate Court 4

Clarinda.comstock@prob.hctx.net

832-927-1404

From: Bobbie Bayless [mailto:bayless@baylessstokes.com]

Sent: Tuesday, October 09, 2018 12:50 PM

To: Comstock, Clarinda (Probate Courts); Steve Mendel; nspielman@grifmatlaw.com; Carole Brunsting; Candace Curtis

Subject: RE: 412249-401 Estate Of Nelva Brunsting

As far as I am concerned, the Court can consider my Motion for Partial Summary Judgment without further argument. It is a pretty straightforward motion, so I am willing to allow the court to proceed without a further hearing.

[Faint, illegible text, possibly a signature or contact information]

From: Comstock, Clarinda (Probate Courts) [mailto:Clarinda.Comstock@prob.hctx.net]

Sent: Monday, October 08, 2018 3:53 PM

To: Steve Mendel; Bobbie Bayless; nspielman@grifmatlaw.com; Carole Brunsting; Candace Curtis

Subject: 412249-401 Estate Of Nelva Brunsting

Judge Butts believes she is ready to consider the Motion for Summary Judgment which was continued at the last hearing.

Although a hearing on a motion for summary judgment is not required, she would like to give the parties the opportunity for oral argument, if desired.

The following dates may be available for an oral hearing on this Motion, assuming a courtroom can be made available:

- Oct. 24th at 11am
- Oct. 29th at 2:30pm
- Nov. 19th at 2:30pm

Please respond to this email no later than the end of the day Thursday, Oct. 11th to advise whether you wish to have an oral hearing of the Motion for Partial Summary Judgment. An oral hearing will be set on one of these dates should any party request a hearing.

References: <f439c4b810284d228df22829b8446d3e@NN-DC-LW-MAIL07.naturalnetworks.us>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: multipart/alternative; boundary="-----=_Part_220940_2124324878.1539114843704"

X-Mailer: WebService/1.1.12512 YahooMailNeo Mozilla/5.0 (Windows NT 6.3; Win64; x64; rv:62.0)

Content-Length: 30186

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Steve Mendel <steve@mendellawfirm.com>

To: "Comstock, Clarinda (Probate Courts)" <Clarinda.Comstock@prob.hctx.net>;

Bobbie Bayless <bayless@baylessstokes.com>; "nspielman@grifmatlaw.com"

<nspielman@grifmatlaw.com>; Carole Brunsting <cbrunsting@sbcglobal.net>;

Candace Curtis <occurtis@sbcglobal.net>

Sent: Tuesday, October 9, 2018 12:37 PM

Subject: 412249-401 Estate Of Nelva Brunsting

Dear Judge Comstock:

As you may recall, our office represents Co-Trustee Anita Brunsting, and Neal Spielman represents Co-Trustee Amy Brunsting. This correspondence represents a joint response as between myself and Mr. Spielman, on behalf of our respective clients.

First, the Co-Trustees want an oral hearing regarding Carl Brunsting's motion for summary judgment.

Second, we would remind the court that it was discussed at the last hearing that certain discovery was important, including, but not limited to, evidence as to capacity and the academics of why the QBD was created, enforceable, and does not violate the trust provisions that predate the QBD, as referenced in Carl Brunsting's motion.

In this regard, we believe the following discovery needs to occur before Carl Brunsting's motion is set for an oral hearing:

1. Depose Candice Kuntz-Freed, and/or appropriate representatives of Vacek & Freed.

2. Greg Lester, the temporary administrator. However, if the parties will agree that Mr. Lester's report is admissible, then we see no need to depose Mr. Lester at this time. By admissible we mean, a waiver of all objections as to authenticity and hearsay. Notwithstanding the admissibility of the report, every party reserves the right to challenge, in whole or in part, the opinions and/or conclusions set forth in Mr. Lester's report.

3. Candace Curtis, who asserts that Nelva Brunsting lacked capacity.

4. Carole Brunsting who we understand had a reasonable degree of interaction with Nelva Brunsting and would have facts that relate to the capacity issue.

While there is other discovery that may be appropriate as to the foregoing issues, at present we believe the foregoing depositions are the minimum necessary to be completed before there is a hearing on Carl Brunsting's motion.

Further, we do not see any of the proposed dates as allowing sufficient time to complete the foregoing depositions. We, therefore, urge the court to issue a docket control order as to the entirety of the case, or at least an order that the foregoing named witnesses make themselves available for deposition between now and November 30, 2018.

Last, but not least, we will be filing motions that include, but are not necessarily be limited to:

A. Obtain two different types of appraisals for the Iowa farm. One appraisal is for the value of the farm without regard to any division of the farm. The other appraisal would seek values if the farm was divided as equally as possible among the beneficiaries. The appraisals would assist with settlement negotiations among the parties.

B. Allocation of funds to pay for court reporters and videographers for the foregoing depositions.

Very truly yours,
Stephen A. Mendel

The Mendel Law Firm, L.P.
1155 Dairy Ashford, Suite 104
Houston, TX 77079
O: 281-759-3213
F: 281-759-3214

steve@mendelawfirm.com

CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION: The information contained in this e-mail from The Mendel Law Firm, L.P., is confidential, privileged, and protected from disclosure. Such information is intended only for the use by the individual(s) or entity named on the above recipient list. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us by telephone.

From: Steve Mendel
Sent: Tuesday, October 09, 2018 1:52 PM
To: 'Bobbie Bayless'; Comstock, Clarinda (Probate Courts); nspielman@grifmatlaw.com; Carole Brunsting; Candace Curtis
Subject: RE: 412249-401 Estate Of Nelva Brunsting

Judge Comstock:

Mr. Spielman and I will be sending a joint response within the hour.

Very truly yours,
Stephen A. Mendel

The Mendel Law Firm, L.P.
1155 Dairy Ashford, Suite 104
Houston, TX 77079
O: 281-759-3213
F: 281-759-3214

steve@mendelawfirm.com

CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION: The information contained in this e-mail from The Mendel Law Firm, L.P., is confidential, privileged, and protected from disclosure. Such information is intended only for the use by the individual(s) or entity named on the above recipient list. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us by telephone.

Subject: Fw: 412249-401 Estate Of Nelva Brunsting

From: Candace Curtis <occurtis@sbcglobal.net>

Date: 10/9/2018 12:54 PM

To: Rik Munson <blowintough@att.net>

X-Account-Key: account1

X-UIDL: AKx1CNwphUwIW70HZARdME/KFEw

X-Mozilla-Status: 0001

X-Mozilla-Status2: 00000000

X-Apparently-To: blowintough@att.net; Tue, 09 Oct 2018 19:54:12 +0000

Return-Path: <occurtis@sbcglobal.net>

Received-SPF: none (domain of sbcglobal.net does not designate permitted sender hosts)

X-YMailISG: ou1oooQWLDsrzkgNeDmb50znDfZu111ILPIDEXklFfxYFKt5RtzU_2EHtGdbDHI39_GMHgvymq1xuRfxBDHHurLWfYuNiEvE.2a1ocmjv8QN85dk7F7KN3jbpR9j1yDcFcuB9L6pYtFs.duCNIOFS17h3H68NpMSQsnTxd7RHmde_tlFIMFS79eznsp4U7hHsFbCoMPdRslpaS348ryy2cKrp1Fxu5cmWLX.yPaLbpAtS76bos.ZxMqpojFOH9IiZFmaXxoEOwoQLJ9BsIq33Up1jMSLyqGkTE8U1ALI63jLZ4EyMJO78jiXi5VtW15D2j5sxFc0l2jwkYQWpr4x_PTHcuPT h2xoZvDDWH.mJT78AZJVKOLC_s6PzTzGJauJE.eRNtuf7plemOB9njuAigDmuQbme1HTuzAKdo mHGDdR8hJgw.CDSMLqZYrsGv1Wv05m0P6CbXBD2_V.TamyKPllyG5gzRUKRW2iFdNRhA05iu03b2lDOky_7Gfqx_j2KRQqmKqzkO31qX3YBGGt6uPXaUuK9kuAMeYAR_ih5K_S5shC7KG3apf mVGfEOuaPbFmg2wJ1JCCNr3.BXy3g9sF0QQwS1WDUDPOTui20hhH6diIytKOBRRHqwlLauX Hlo1akQhRhrTg uocbIah03id4GlQaZOoBZlCer3269iNDLduW0Yn3hcx7OTZlETJ8FsxMxcnm k3BIP1v_OJVPsGfzjp.lcKYgNaMQifx.V4UEjyUhZyXIj4zwsyybuEO47ZT7eEOnAkwhkr3p4VF_NtL_GXcbD20gWO

X-Originating-IP: [66.163.185.150]

Authentication-Results: mta4003.sbc.mail.gq1.yahoo.com from=sbcglobal.net; domainkeys=neutral

Received: from 144.160.152.194 (EHLO flpd585.prodigy.net) (144.160.152.194) by mta4003.sbc.ma

X-Originating-IP: [66.163.185.150]

Received: from sonic305-24.consmr.mail.ne1.yahoo.com (sonic305-24.consmr.mail.ne1.yahoo.com [<blowintough@att.net>]; Tue, 9 Oct 2018 12:54:11 -0700

DKIM-Signature: v=1; a=rsa-sha256; c=relaxed/relaxed; d=sbcglobal.net; s=s2048; t=1539114848;

To:References:Subject:From:Subject;

b=C5IZfKn2iS8oSio51KwbGE1STZLdMWv9JPDHkODYVmmT2qWAE3aEHhbQopiEe08LBCBP/hQeHrxh0X8/gUYqkeBENTKaJloopliqXp9FBe6labpPqvcU875Kvldgi5AQGNJGDjy8J

/NP9mnAltyHkZMYmuX2DanB8Uua4dfpiZAu008IuN0DawzSvHwPDgPyoUGNDIH2Ukq8OET:

X-YMail-OSG: jTBYdi0VM1kqee0Y0JIB5biNBI4qTOzljRDBcApXgQ7fka7Ys6_IcEbWQkcZ4zK

jer4sdDWshKE.pb41kDDlS6izQi_giXtMsejv9tm70lolZ6lfKkJhqQd.l2kH5h.F0RetMMD7BoC Ao8/

HMRxhI2ksSTSj5wYvcuOHR8pQ6uiWNiaphud7mylzf115CMGusbLWzAhAo8xYrAeZqzQiCi6KB

GIK.7vDf_M.WXX5kP_p6F_KsJkZN_pm7yAFQg7GPIDA5OM8HKcnmyjILBKDPjCEpWrMBNE

EZ6wq6hMFIgid5TPVEmC2oMmhRPsfBixMbYWF0TeUPBLdB3NhAjzN7jd.7PygscwkO3TESx

KXE64FlNsBhxNXkh5ayoWNERFxZJvYJg0HpLey7U9TDmH.T47K4plTsecjO_WKyojAA8iioLYI

7YcWa5ivLCF6h_a0mlncSs1noPZYJeDFlQK7yYeg9kC2vJhejDWIvVAgoADZlvwm9gRVkETwPF:

z5hH5DRK8rb3Nh5ANXkRFZpjEyeU-

Received: from sonic.gate.mail.ne1.yahoo.com by sonic305.consmr.mail.ne1.yahoo.com with HTTP;

Reply-To: Candace Curtis <occurtis@sbcglobal.net>

Message-ID: <1181400624.220941.1539114843713@mail.yahoo.com>

In-Reply-To: <f439c4b810284d228df22829b8446d3e@NN-DC-LW-MAIL07.naturalnetworks.us>

References: <f439c4b810284d228df22829b8446d3e@NN-DC-LW-MAIL07.naturalnetworks.us>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: multipart/alternative; boundary="-----_Part_220940_2124324878.1539114843704"

X-Mailer: WebService/1.1.12512 YahooMailNeo Mozilla/5.0 (Windows NT 6.3; Win64; x64; rv:62.0)

Content-Length: 30186

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Steve Mendel <steve@mendellawfirm.com>

To: "Comstock, Clarinda (Probate Courts)" <Clarinda.Comstock@prob.hctx.net>;
Bobbie Bayless <bayless@baylessstokes.com>; "nspielman@grifmatlaw.com"
<nspielman@grifmatlaw.com>; Carole Brunsting <cbrunsting@sbcglobal.net>;
Candace Curtis <occurtis@sbcglobal.net>

Sent: Tuesday, October 9, 2018 12:37 PM

Subject: 412249-401 Estate Of Nelva Brunsting

Dear Judge Comstock:

As you may recall, our office represents Co-Trustee Anita Brunsting, and Neal Spielman represents Co-Trustee Amy Brunsting. This correspondence represents a joint response as between myself and Mr. Spielman, on behalf of our respective clients.

First, the Co-Trustees want an oral hearing regarding Carl Brunsting's motion for summary judgment.

Second, we would remind the court that it was discussed at the last hearing that certain discovery was important, including, but not limited to, evidence as to capacity and the academics of why the QBD was created, enforceable, and does not violate the trust provisions that predate the QBD, as referenced in Carl Brunsting's motion.

In this regard, we believe the following discovery needs to occur before Carl Brunsting's motion is set for an oral hearing:

1. Depose Candice Kuntz-Freed, and/or appropriate representatives of Vacek & Freed.

2. Greg Lester, the temporary administrator. However, if the parties will agree that Mr. Lester's report is admissible, then we see no need to depose Mr. Lester at this time. By admissible we mean, a waiver of all objections as to authenticity and hearsay. Notwithstanding the admissibility of the report, every party reserves the right to challenge, in whole or in part, the opinions and/or conclusions set forth in Mr. Lester's report.

3. Candace Curtis, who asserts that Nelva Brunsting lacked capacity.

4. Carole Brunsting who we understand had a reasonable degree of interaction with Nelva Brunsting and would have facts that relate to the capacity issue.

While there is other discovery that may be appropriate as to the foregoing issues, at present we believe the foregoing depositions are the minimum necessary to be completed before there is a hearing on Carl Brunsting's motion.

Further, we do not see any of the proposed dates as allowing sufficient time to complete the foregoing depositions. We, therefore, urge the court to issue a docket control order as to the entirety of the case, or at least an order that the foregoing named witnesses make themselves available for deposition between now and November 30, 2018.

Last, but not least, we will be filing motions that include, but are not necessarily be limited to:

A. Obtain two different types of appraisals for the Iowa farm. One appraisal is for the value of the farm without regard to any division of the farm. The other appraisal would seek values if the farm was divided as equally as possible among the beneficiaries. The appraisals would assist with settlement negotiations among the parties.

B. Allocation of funds to pay for court reporters and videographers for the foregoing depositions.

Very truly yours,
Stephen A. Mendel

The Mendel Law Firm, L.P.
1155 Dairy Ashford, Suite 104
Houston, TX 77079
O: 281-759-3213
F: 281-759-3214
steve@mendellawfirm.com

CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION: The information contained in this e-mail from The Mendel Law Firm, L.P., is confidential, privileged, and protected from disclosure. Such information is intended only for the use by the individual(s) or entity named on the above recipient list. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us by telephone.

From: Steve Mendel
Sent: Tuesday, October 09, 2018 1:52 PM
To: 'Bobbie Bayless'; Comstock, Clarinda (Probate Courts); nspielman@grifmatlaw.com; Carole Brunsting; Candace Curtis
Subject: RE: 412249-401 Estate Of Nelva Brunsting

Judge Comstock:

Mr. Spielman and I will be sending a joint response within the hour.

Very truly yours,
Stephen A. Mendel

The Mendel Law Firm, L.P.
1155 Dairy Ashford, Suite 104
Houston, TX 77079
O: 281-759-3213
F: 281-759-3214
steve@mendellawfirm.com

CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION: The information contained in this e-mail from The Mendel Law Firm, L.P., is confidential, privileged, and protected from disclosure. Such information is intended only for the use by the individual(s) or entity named on the above recipient list. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us by telephone.

From: Bobbie Bayless [mailto:bayless@baylessstokes.com]

Sent: Tuesday, October 09, 2018 12:50 PM

To: Comstock, Clarinda (Probate Courts); Steve Mendel; nspielman@grifmatlaw.com; Carole Brunsting; Candace Curtis

Subject: RE: 412249-401 Estate Of Nelva Brunsting

As far as I am concerned, the Court can consider my Motion for Partial Summary Judgment without further argument. It is a pretty straightforward motion, so I am willing to allow the court to proceed without a further hearing.

Bobbie G. Bayless

BAYLESS & STOKES

2931 Ferndale

Houston, TX 77098

713.522.2224

713.522.2218 (fax)

bayless@baylessstokes.com

From: Comstock, Clarinda (Probate Courts) [mailto:Clarinda.Comstock@prob.hctx.net]

Sent: Monday, October 08, 2018 3:53 PM

To: Steve Mendel; Bobbie Bayless; nspielman@grifmatlaw.com; Carole Brunsting; Candace Curtis

Subject: 412249-401 Estate Of Nelva Brunsting

Judge Butts believes she is ready to consider the Motion for Summary Judgment which was continued at the last hearing.

Although a hearing on a motion for summary judgment is not required, she would like to give the parties the opportunity for oral argument, if desired.

The following dates may be available for an oral hearing on this Motion, assuming a courtroom can be made available:

Oct. 24th at 11am

Oct. 29th at 2:30pm

Nov. 19th at 2:30pm

Please respond to this email no later than the end of the day Thursday, Oct. 11th to advise whether you wish to have an oral hearing of the Motion for Partial Summary Judgment. An oral hearing will be set on one of these dates should any party request a hearing.

If an agreement cannot be reached as to a hearing date by this Thursday, a date will be set by the court.

If no one requests a hearing by this Thursday, Judge Butts will rule without a hearing.

Regards,

Clarinda Comstock

Associate Judge

Harris County Probate Court 4

Clarinda.comstock@prob.hctx.net

832-927-1404

RE: 412249-401 Estate Of Nelva Brunsting / Farm Appraisal

From: Neal Spielman (nspielman@grifmatlaw.com)

To: occurtis@sbcglobal.net; steve@mendellawfirm.com; cbrunsting@sbcglobal.net; bayless@baylessstokes.com

Cc: tim@mendellawfirm.com

Date: Thursday, October 11, 2018, 2:28 PM PDT

Dear Candace –

It is my opinion that your refusal to participate in these discussions is extremely detrimental to everyone, yourself included.

Settlement discussions whether informal, via e-mails and such, or formal, via mediation, present a way for parties to look past certain aspects on which there is strong disagreement (such as your position that this dispute is improperly before the Probate Court) and try to build consensus on the substantive issues, rather than the procedural ones.

Said another way, if the 5 siblings can agree on matters such as sale or partition of the Farm, equalization of disputed monetary issues such as the stock transfers, etc., does it really matter who is correct insofar as reference to an “Estate” or a “Trust” are concerned, or whether Jason Ostrom was authorized to have the dispute moved to Probate Court while serving as your attorney of record? The substantive issues (sale, partition, distributions, etc.) are those that would be addressed via settlement discussions/mediation even if the case had not made its way to Probate Court from Federal Court. For purposes of settlement discussions, the Probate Court/Federal Court debate and the Estate/Trust nomenclature among other procedural topics are irrelevant issues that can be put aside for later, and argued to conclusion if agreement cannot be reached.

I encourage you to re-think both your response to Steve’s proposal below, and your overall refusal to participate in settlement discussions at mediation, or otherwise.

Neal E. Spielman
Griffin & Matthews
1155 Dairy Ashford, Suite 300
Houston, Texas 77079
281-870-1124 - telephone
281-870-1647 - telefax
nspielman@grifmatlaw.com

Please take a moment to visit our website at www.grifmatlaw.com

To comply with IRS regulations, we advise you that any discussion of Federal tax issues in this e-mail was not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used by you (i) to avoid penalties imposed under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) to promote, market or recommend to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein.

This communication may be protected by the attorney/client privilege and may contain confidential information intended only for the person to whom it is addressed. Any views or opinions expressed are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of Griffin & Matthews. Any use, dissemination, forwarding, printing or copying of this e-mail without consent of the originator is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify Melissa Niles by telephone at 281.870.1124.

If you are not one of the addressees on this e-mail, the information contained in this e-mail is not intended for you, and please delete this e-mail immediately.

From: Candace Curtis [mailto:occurtis@sbcglobal.net]
Sent: Thursday, October 11, 2018 3:52 PM
To: Steve Mendel <steve@mendellawfirm.com>; Carole Brunsting <cbrunsting@sbcglobal.net>;
Bobbie Bayless <bayless@baylessstokes.com>; nspielman@grifmatlaw.com
Cc: Tim Jadloski <tim@mendellawfirm.com>
Subject: Re: 412249-401 Estate Of Nelva Brunsting / Farm Appraisal

All -

The farm is in the Decedent's Trust. I refuse to discuss the Trusts in any context that includes the Estate of Nelva Brunsting.

Candace

From: Steve Mendel <steve@mendellawfirm.com>
To: Carole Brunsting <cbrunsting@sbcglobal.net>; Bobbie Bayless <bayless@baylessstokes.com>; "nspielman@grifmatlaw.com" <nspielman@grifmatlaw.com>; Candace Curtis <ocurtis@sbcglobal.net>
Cc: Tim Jadloski <tim@mendellawfirm.com>
Sent: Thursday, October 11, 2018 1:27 PM
Subject: 412249-401 Estate Of Nelva Brunsting / Farm Appraisal

To All:

Please confirm there is no opposition to an appraisal of the farm. We believe same is needed for settlement discussions. The fees are:

1. \$950.00 (+/-) to appraise the entire farm; plus an additional
2. \$1,950.00 (+/-) to appraise the value of the farm into five (5) shares. The appraiser would be requested to make the market values as equal as possible, by taking into account acreage may vary because of various factors, such as road access, farmable v. nonfarmable land, water resources, etc.

Please respond by noon, Friday, October 12, 2018.

Very truly yours,

Stephen A. Mendel

The Mendel Law Firm, L.P.

1155 Dairy Ashford, Suite 104

Houston, TX 77079

O: 281-759-3213

F: 281-759-3214

steve@mendellawfirm.com

CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION: The information contained in this e-mail from The Mendel Law Firm, L.P., is confidential, privileged, and protected from disclosure. Such information is intended only for the use by the individual(s) or entity named on the above recipient list. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us by telephone.

|