

Subject: Re: good info on challenging under a no contest (CA.)

From: Drina Brunsting <drinabrunsting@sbcglobal.net>

Date: 4/5/2016, 7:35 AM

To: Rik Munson <blowintough@att.net>

All that being said I don't know what can be done about any of it if true.

This war started with Anita and was made possible by Freed. I am sick and tired of all of it and I just want it to end.

I want our life back again. We have been through nearly 6 years of hell that nobody would believe.

Every possible thing that could have been done to us was done to us short of murder---and Carl still thinks they plotted mine. Our daughter still worries about her mother's safety.

Six years is too long.

My husband is still recovering from an illness that before 1980--was 100% fatal, and even with the introduction of the anti-viral--two-thirds of survivors never return to their pre-infectious viral encephalitis functioning.

It was during this that is sisters chose to inflict the most excruciating pain on top of what he was already fighting to survive. There is only one word for that---evil.

And I must say here that he was not a willing participant in his own survival for various and most complex reasons. That left his survival 100% up to me. Have you ever been FORCED to think of ways to pull another person's foot out of the grave when they did not care?

I think Carl has suffered far too long already, and quite frankly---I am still shell-shocked form the whole nightmare--and, by the way---STILL PAYING FOR IT.

It has to end.

On Tuesday, April 5, 2016 9:16 AM, Rik Munson <blowintough@att.net> wrote:

What I am getting from Neal's comments at the March 9, 2016 hearing is that on September 10, 2015 at the hearing on whether Greg could retain Jill young (purely an estate matter) Carole, Neal, Brad, Darlene, Greg and Judge Butts colluded towards an "independent report" preconceived to recommend mediation in the unrelated trust litigation that was not properly before the Court, was without the presence of the plaintiff's and we can't get a transcript. That was a real bad cat to let out of the bag!

The conspiracy of the preconceived recommendation for mediation was also being supported at the March 9, 2016 hearing by the very fact that it was in the report and the report was being treated like a jury verdict as opposed to the weak ass fraud that it is.

Lester dictated the defendants entire defensive line of garbage like it had some value and the overriding theme is ignore the trust!

WTF is new?

The reason we can't get transcripts of that September 10, 2015 hearing is because what went on in that room was a criminal conspiracy. Brad kissed illegal wiretap recordings off as trivial and Neal confesses the Sept 10 conspiracy as if he doesn't know how improper that was. This would explain why Butts was not there for the coup de grâce. That's destruction of evidence as much as it is concealing, protecting the illegal conduct... etc.

I would say Neal's comments are a confession that also fall under 42 USC 1983 (state actors - Conspiracy to disparage access to the courts) and 1985 (Conspiracy to disparage due process and equal protection) and probably others but it was definitely another 18 USC §371 offense.

These people are cocky, arrogant and proof that Natural Selection doesn't function properly in Houston.

On 4/4/2016 8:39 AM, Drina Brunsting wrote:

I hope you are right. I am sick and tired of lies and liars.

It looks like most have agreed to going to mediation on July 12. Is Candy planning to agree to that? I haven't seen anything about it.

Good music---thanks:) Reminds me of listening to Pacifica radio's "Music without borders" program.

On Monday, April 4, 2016 9:37 AM, Rik Munson <mailto:blowintough@att.net> wrote:

It's nice to know someone is paying attention. Obviously someone else is not.

The Cestui Que' **is the trust** and the only one with the authority to enforce the trust.

To suggest the foreclosure of remedy is to remove the beneficial interest and thus the fiduciary relationship and that would be a revocation of the trust.

Those financial accounts are a real tar baby!

BUT WAIT! THERE'S MORE:

There is a reason why all the lawyers are trying to evade hearings on substantive issues. They thought they were smart with their no-evidence motion and were flippant in the interrogatory answers. Now they realize they they are hung out to dry and will do anything to avoid a hearing... I happen to know about their Achilles heel and what they want the least I want the most and visa versa.

That conspiracy to obstruct justice between Greg Lester, the Defendants attorney's and Christine Butts in September, that we can't get a transcript of, is a bowl of Hemlock and they are going to drink deeply! I am as really really pissed about that as I am pleased to have it in the record. I want to run a stake through the hearts of these unholy creatures and they are handing me the wood.

In their filthy little Probate Court fishbowl all the depraved moral bankrupts are big fish in a little pond. Well, its raining and the creek is starting to rise. That little pond will soon become a part of the lake and when that happens the big fish in the little pond become little fish in the big lake.

The fish takes the bait thinking to find food but it is the fisherman who enjoys the meal.

We are more than happy to settle this any time but the pot is growing not getting smaller. They just need a little wake-up call and I just happen to have a dime and all the cards.

Deal me in!

On 4/3/2016 8:48 AM, Drina Brunsting wrote:

[No-Contest Clauses Do Not Apply to Challenging a Trustee's \(or Executor's\) Actions | CA Trust, Estate & Probate Litigation](#)

No-Contest Clauses Do Not Apply to Challenging a Trustee's (or Executor's) Actions | CA Trust, Estat...

The omnipresent no-contest clause (originally called in terrorum clauses--as in to terrify one's beneficiaries) is meant to prevent lawsuits. The idea bein

View on

Preview by Yahoo