THE COURT: That's a malpractice suit. And they 1 get some money out of it, either he gets it or maybe he 2 distributes it among his brothers and sisters, but it doesn't 3 have anything to do with the distribution of this estate. 4 MR. VIE: My understanding -- the reason that I 5 understood the case to be differently is that I understood 6 7 that the purpose of the litigation that he had brought in state court was claiming that the attorneys who created these 8 trusts had done so improperly so that we were in a situation in which we are here before this Court, and the Court is 10 suggesting we should wind this thing up and distribute to all 11 the beneficiaries. 12 THE COURT: It's going to be wound up. It's going 13 to be wound up in this court. 14 15 Here's what I'm suggesting. I am suggesting that this will not become a feast and famine, feast for the 16 17 lawyers and famine for the beneficiaries in this Court where we are sitting around churning the time out and the parties 18 are charging out of that lawsuit, defense of that lawsuit, 19 20 which you are not doing, apparently, unless -- are you the lawyer that created the trust? 21 22 MR. VIE: No. Your Honor. 23 THE COURT: So that's a separate law firm. MR. VIE: Yes, Your Honor. 24 25 THE COURT: Yeah. So there is no reason for you to