

SMARTCITE REPORT

for 12-20164 2012-08-25 Appellants opening brief on appeal.pdf

- 3 CITED CASES WITH NEGATIVE HISTORY OR TREATMENT
- 6 CITED CASES WITH CAUTIONARY TREATMENT
- 2 CITED CASES THAT RELY ON A CASE WITH NEGATIVE HISTORY OR TREATMENT

CITED IN BRIEF

HOSTILE SUBSEQUENT AUTHORITY

Cohens v. Virginia

19 U.S. 264 (1821)

OVERRULED BY

Kendall v. the United States

37 U.S. 524 (1838)

CITED IN CONTRADICTION BY

Virginia Office for Protection v. Stewart

563 U.S. 247 (2011)

CITED IN CONTRADICTION BY

Atlantic City Electric Co. v. General Electric Co.

207 F. Supp. 613 (S.D.N.Y. 1962)

Erie R. Co. v. Tompkins

304 U.S. 64 (1938)

SUPERSEDED BY STATUTE AS NOTED BY

Chapman & Cole v. Itel Container International B.V.

865 F.2d 676 (5th Cir. 1989)

CITED IN CONTRADICTION BY

Gulley v. Fishing Holdings, LLC (In re Operation Bass, Inc.)

No. 1:14-cv-01226-STA-egb (W.D. Tenn. Dec. 5, 2017)

CITED IN CONTRADICTION BY

Reyes v. City of N.Y.

992 F. Supp. 2d 290 (S.D.N.Y. 2014)

DISTINGUISHED BY E.D.N.Y. IN 2012

Kondaur Capital Corp. v. Cajuste

849 F. Supp. 2d 363 (E.D.N.Y. 2012)

COMPARED TO

American Electric Power Co. v. Connecticut

564 U.S. 410 (2011)



CITED IN BRIEF

HOSTILE SUBSEQUENT AUTHORITY

COMPARED TO

Chromatics, Inc. v. Telex Computer Prod.

695 F. Supp. 1184 (N.D. Ga. 1988)

COMPARED TO

Allen v. Zurich Ins. Co.

667 F.2d 1162 (4th Cir. 1982)

CITED IN CONTRADICTION BY

Shepler v. Weyerhaeuser Company

279 Or. 477 (Or. 1977)

COMPARED TO

Hanna v. Plumer

380 U.S. 460 (1965)

DISTINGUISHED BY U.S. IN 1965

Hanna v. Plumer

380 U.S. 460 (1965)

COMPARED TO

Brown v. Board of Education

347 U.S. 483 (1954)

COMPARED TO

Sola Electric Co. v. Jefferson Electric Co.

317 U.S. 173 (1942)

CITED IN CONTRADICTION BY

Kersh Lake Dist. v. Johnson

309 U.S. 485 (1940)

■ COMPARED TO

Hinderlider v. La Plata River & Cherry Creek Ditch Co.

304 U.S. 92 (1938)

In re Marshall

392 F.3d 1118 (9th Cir. 2004)

REVERSED BY

Marshall v. Marshall

547 U.S. 293 (2006)



Lefkowitz v. Bank of N.Y.

528 F.3d 102 (2d Cir. 2007)

CITED IN BRIEF HOSTILE SUBSEQUENT AUTHORITY CITED IN CONTRADICTION BY Jones v. Brennan Am. Elec. Power Serv. Corp. v. Fitch 465 F.3d 304 (7th Cir. 2006) No. 22-3005 (6th Cir. Aug. 30, 2022) COMPARED TO Atwood v. Fort Peck Tribal Court 513 F.3d 943 (9th Cir. 2008) COMPARED TO U.S. v. Bailey 115 F.3d 1222 (5th Cir. 1997) COMPARED TO U.S. v. Johnson 114 F.3d 476 (4th Cir. 1997) COMPARED TO In re Goerg 844 F.2d 1562 (11th Cir. 1988) CITED IN CONTRADICTION BY Marshall v. Marshall Sarvis v. BMO Harris Bank 547 U.S. 293 (2006) No. 14 C 09143 (N.D. III. May. 19, 2015) DISTINGUISHED BY D.D.C. IN 2008 Fernebok v. District of Columbia 534 F. Supp. 2d 25 (D.D.C. 2008) DISTINGUISHED BY E.D. MICH. IN 2015 Augustine v. United States Spillers v. United States 704 F.2d 1074 (9th Cir. 1983) Case No. 13-13501 (E.D. Mich. Feb. 9, 2015) RELIES HEAVILY ON A CASE WITH NEGATIVE HISTORY OR TREATMENT Lance v. Dennis Rooker v. Fidelity Trust Co. 546 U.S. 459 (2006) 263 U.S. 413 (1923) DISTINGUISHED BY D. MASS. IN 2018 Decoulos v. Town of Aquinnah Civil Action No. 17-cv-11532-ADB (D. Mass. Jul. 24, 2018)

QUESTIONED BY 9TH CIR. IN 2023

65 F.4th 445 (9th Cir. 2023)

Silk v. Bond



CITED IN BRIEF

HOSTILE SUBSEQUENT AUTHORITY

McClellan v. Carland

217 U.S. 268 (1910)

COMPARED TO

Rogers v. Guaranty Trust Co.

288 U.S. 123 (1933)

■ COMPARED TO

Harkin v. Brundage

276 U.S. 36 (1928)

Waterman v. Canal-Louisiana Bank Co.

215 U.S. 33 (1909)

■ COMPARED TO

Commonwealth Co. v. Bradford

297 U.S. 613 (1936)

Wisecarver v. Moore

489 F.3d 747 (6th Cir. 2007)

DISTINGUISHED BY E.D. MICH. IN 2015

Carpenters' Pension Trust Fund v. Century Truss Co.

Case No. 14-11535 (E.D. Mich. Mar. 27, 2015)