1

CAUSE NO. 412,249-401 ESTATE OF) IN THE DISTRICT COURT) NELVA E. BRUNSTING,) NUMBER FOUR (4) OF) DECEASED) HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS CARL HENRY BRUNSTING,) et al.) vs. ANITA KAY BRUNSTING,) et al.) ORAL DEPOSITION

CANDACE KUNZ-FREED MARCH 20, 2019

ORAL DEPOSITION OF CANDACE KUNZ-FREED, produced as a witness at the instance of the Defendant Anita K. Brunsting and duly sworn, was taken in the above-styled and numbered cause on March 20, 2019, from 9:21 a.m. to 5:01 p.m., before Melinda Barre, Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Texas, reported by computerized stenotype machine at the offices of Harris County Civil Courthouse, 201 Caroline, 7th Floor, Houston, Harris County, Texas, pursuant to the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure and the provisions stated on the record or attached hereto.

	2		4
1	APPEARANCES	1	
2 3	FOR PLAINTIFF CARL HENRY BRUNSTING:	2 INDEX 3 PAGE	
4	Ms. Bobbie G. Bayless BAYLESS & STOKES	4 Examination by Mr. Mendel	
5	2931 Ferndale	Examination by Ms. Carole Brunsting106 5 Examination by Ms. Candace Curtis120	
6	Houston, Texas 77098	Examination by Ms. Bayless139	
7	Telephone: 713.522.2224 E-mail: bayless@baylessstokes.com	Court Reporter's Certificate	
8		7 EXHIBITS	
9	FOR DEFENDANT ANITA BRUNSTING:	9	
10	Mr. Stephen A. Mendel Mr. Timothy J. Jadloski	10 EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION PAGE	
11	THE MENDEL LAW FIRM, L.P.	¹² Exhibit 1 1996 Brunsting Family Living 9	
	1155 Dairy Ashford, Suite 104 Houston, Texas 77079	Trust 13	
12	Telephone: 281.759.3213	Exhibit 2 2005 Restatement of the 9	
13 14	E-mail: info@mendellawfim.com	 Brunsting Family Living Trust Exhibit 3 2007 First Amendment to The 10 	
	FOR DEFENDANT AMY BRUNSTING:	Restatement to The Brunsting Family Living Trust	
15	Mr. Neal Spielman	¹⁷ Exhibit 4 2008 Appointment of Successor 10	
16	GRIFFIN & MATTHEWS	Trustees	
17	1155 Dairy Ashford, Suite 300 Houston, Texas 77079	Exhibit 5 June 2010 Qualified Beneficiary 10	
18	Telephone: 281.870.1124 E-mail: nspielman@grifmatlaw.com	¹⁹ Designation and Exercise of Testamentary Powers of	
19	FOR WITNESS CANDACE KUNZ-FREED:	20 Appointment Under Living Trust Agreement	
20		21	
21	Mr. Cory S. Reed THOMPSON COE	Exhibit 6 August 2010 Qualified 11 22 Beneficiary Designation and	
22	One Riverway, Suite 1400 Houston, Texas 77056	Exercise of Testamentary Powers	
23	Telephone: 713.403.8210	23 of Appointment Under Living Trust Agreement	
24	E-mail: creed@thompsoncoe.com	24 25	
25		20	
	3		5
1	-	L EXHIBITS (cont.)	5
1 2	3 APPEARANCES	² EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION PAGE	5
2	-	² EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION PAGE	5
	APPEARANCES PRO SE DEFENDANT:	 ² EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION PAGE ³ Exhibit 7 2010 Appointment of Successor 11 Trustees ⁴ Exhibit 8 12/21/10 Resignation of 12 	5
2	APPEARANCES PRO SE DEFENDANT: Ms. Candace Louise Curtis	2 EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION PAGE 3 Exhibit 7 2010 Appointment of Successor 11 Trustees 4 Exhibit 8 12/21/10 Resignation of 12 5 Original Trustee and Acceptance by Successor Trustee	5
2 3	APPEARANCES PRO SE DEFENDANT: Ms. Candace Louise Curtis 218 Lanadana Street American Canyon, California 94503	2 EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION PAGE 3 Exhibit 7 2010 Appointment of Successor 11 Trustees 4 Exhibit 8 12/21/10 Resignation of 12 5 Original Trustee and Acceptance by Successor Trustee 6 12 6 Exhibit 9 2016 Report of Temporary 12	5
2 3 4 5	APPEARANCES PRO SE DEFENDANT: Ms. Candace Louise Curtis 218 Lanadana Street American Canyon, California 94503 E-mail: occurtis@sbcglobal.net	2 EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION PAGE 3 Exhibit 7 2010 Appointment of Successor 11 Trustees 4 Exhibit 8 12/21/10 Resignation of 12 5 Original Trustee and Acceptance by Successor Trustee 6 6 6 Exhibit 9 2016 Report of Temporary 12 7 Administrator Pending Contest (Lester Report) 12	5
2 3 4 5 6	APPEARANCES PRO SE DEFENDANT: Ms. Candace Louise Curtis 218 Lanadana Street American Canyon, California 94503 E-mail: occurtis@sbcglobal.net PRO SE DEFENDANT:	 ² EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION PAGE ³ Exhibit 7 2010 Appointment of Successor 11 Trustees ⁴ Exhibit 8 12/21/10 Resignation of 12 Original Trustee and Acceptance by Successor Trustee ⁶ Exhibit 9 2016 Report of Temporary 12 Administrator Pending Contest (Lester Report) ⁸ Exhibit 10 2016 Plaintiff's Original 13 	5
2 3 4 5	APPEARANCES PRO SE DEFENDANT: Ms. Candace Louise Curtis 218 Lanadana Street American Canyon, California 94503 E-mail: occurtis@sbcglobal.net	2 EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION PAGE 3 Exhibit 7 2010 Appointment of Successor 11 4 Trustees 11 4 Exhibit 8 12/21/10 Resignation of 12 5 Original Trustee and Acceptance by Successor Trustee 6 Exhibit 9 2016 Report of Temporary 12 7 Administrator Pending Contest (Lester Report) 13 8 Exhibit 10 2016 Plaintiff's Original 13 9 Petition, Complaint and Application for Ex-Parte 14	5
2 3 4 5 6	APPEARANCES PRO SE DEFENDANT: Ms. Candace Louise Curtis 218 Lanadana Street American Canyon, California 94503 E-mail: occurtis@sbcglobal.net PRO SE DEFENDANT: Ms. Carole Ann Brunsting 5822 Jason Street Houston, Texas 77074	2 EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION PAGE 3 Exhibit 7 2010 Appointment of Successor 11 Trustees 11 Trustees 12 4 Exhibit 8 12/21/10 Resignation of 12 5 Original Trustee and Acceptance by Successor Trustee 12 6 Exhibit 9 2016 Report of Temporary 12 7 Administrator Pending Contest (Lester Report) 13 8 Exhibit 10 2016 Plaintiff's Original 13 9 Petition, Complaint and Application for Ex-Parte 10 Temporary Restraining Order, Asset Freeze, Temporary and	5
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	APPEARANCES PRO SE DEFENDANT: Ms. Candace Louise Curtis 218 Lanadana Street American Canyon, California 94503 E-mail: occurtis@sbcglobal.net PRO SE DEFENDANT: Ms. Carole Ann Brunsting 5822 Jason Street	2 EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION PAGE 3 Exhibit 7 2010 Appointment of Successor 11 Trustees 11 Trustees 4 Exhibit 8 12/21/10 Resignation of 12 5 Original Trustee and Acceptance by Successor Trustee 6 Exhibit 9 2016 Report of Temporary 12 7 Administrator Pending Contest (Lester Report) 13 8 Exhibit 10 2016 Plaintiff's Original 13 9 Petition, Complaint and Application for Ex-Parte 10 10 Temporary Restraining Order, 14	5
2 3 4 5 6 7	APPEARANCES PRO SE DEFENDANT: Ms. Candace Louise Curtis 218 Lanadana Street American Canyon, California 94503 E-mail: occurtis@sbcglobal.net PRO SE DEFENDANT: Ms. Carole Ann Brunsting 5822 Jason Street Houston, Texas 77074	2 EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION PAGE 3 Exhibit 7 2010 Appointment of Successor 11 Trustees 11 Trustees 12 4 Exhibit 8 12/21/10 Resignation of 12 5 Original Trustee and Acceptance by Successor Trustee 12 6 Exhibit 9 2016 Report of Temporary 12 7 Administrator Pending Contest (Lester Report) 13 8 Exhibit 10 2016 Plaintiff's Original 13 9 Petition, Complaint and Application for Ex-Parte 10 Temporary Restraining Order, Asset Freeze, Temporary and 11 Permanent Injunction (Federal Court) 12	5
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	APPEARANCES PRO SE DEFENDANT: Ms. Candace Louise Curtis 218 Lanadana Street American Canyon, California 94503 E-mail: occurtis@sbcglobal.net PRO SE DEFENDANT: Ms. Carole Ann Brunsting 5822 Jason Street Houston, Texas 77074	2 EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION PAGE 3 Exhibit 7 2010 Appointment of Successor 11 Trustees 11 Trustees 12 4 Exhibit 8 12/21/10 Resignation of 12 5 Original Trustee and Acceptance by Successor Trustee 12 6 Exhibit 9 2016 Report of Temporary 12 7 Administrator Pending Contest (Lester Report) 13 8 Exhibit 10 2016 Plaintiff's Original 13 9 Petition, Complaint and Application for Ex-Parte 10 Temporary Restraining Order, Asset Freeze, Temporary and 11 10 Termzent Injunction (Federal Court) 12 12 Exhibit 11 2016 Verified Complaint for 13 13 Damages of Candace Curtis 14	5
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	APPEARANCES PRO SE DEFENDANT: Ms. Candace Louise Curtis 218 Lanadana Street American Canyon, California 94503 E-mail: occurtis@sbcglobal.net PRO SE DEFENDANT: Ms. Carole Ann Brunsting 5822 Jason Street Houston, Texas 77074	2 EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION PAGE 3 Exhibit 7 2010 Appointment of Successor 11 Trustees 4 Exhibit 8 12/21/10 Resignation of 12 5 Original Trustee and Acceptance by Successor Trustee 6 6 Exhibit 9 2016 Report of Temporary 12 7 Administrator Pending Contest (Lester Report) 8 Exhibit 10 2016 Plaintiff's Original 13 9 Petition, Complaint and Application for Ex-Parte 10 Temporary Restraining Order, Asset Freeze, Temporary and Permanent Injunction (Federal Court) 12 Exhibit 11 2016 Verified Complaint for 13 13 Damages of Candace Curtis (Federal Court) 14	5
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	APPEARANCES PRO SE DEFENDANT: Ms. Candace Louise Curtis 218 Lanadana Street American Canyon, California 94503 E-mail: occurtis@sbcglobal.net PRO SE DEFENDANT: Ms. Carole Ann Brunsting 5822 Jason Street Houston, Texas 77074	2 EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION PAGE 3 Exhibit 7 2010 Appointment of Successor 11 Trustees 11 Trustees 12 4 Exhibit 8 12/21/10 Resignation of 12 5 Original Trustee and Acceptance by Successor Trustee 12 6 Exhibit 9 2016 Report of Temporary 12 7 Administrator Pending Contest (Lester Report) 13 8 Exhibit 10 2016 Report of Temporary 13 9 Petition, Complaint and Application for Ex-Parte 10 10 Temporary Restraining Order, Asset Freeze, Temporary and 11 11 Permanent Injunction (Federal Court) 13 12 Exhibit 11 2016 Verified Complaint for 13 13 Damages of Candace Curtis (Federal Court) 14 14 Exhibit 12 2015 Plaintiff's Second Amended 13 15 Petition (Candace Curtis) 14 14	5
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	APPEARANCES PRO SE DEFENDANT: Ms. Candace Louise Curtis 218 Lanadana Street American Canyon, California 94503 E-mail: occurtis@sbcglobal.net PRO SE DEFENDANT: Ms. Carole Ann Brunsting 5822 Jason Street Houston, Texas 77074	2 EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION PAGE 3 Exhibit 7 2010 Appointment of Successor 11 Trustees 11 Trustees 12 4 Exhibit 8 12/21/10 Resignation of 12 5 Original Trustee and Acceptance by Successor Trustee 12 6 Exhibit 9 2016 Report of Temporary 12 7 Administrator Pending Contest (Lester Report) 13 8 Exhibit 10 2016 Plaintiff's Original 13 9 Petition, Complaint and Application for Ex-Parte 10 10 Temporary Restraining Order, Asset Freeze, Temporary and Permanent Injunction (Federal Court) 12 12 Exhibit 11 2016 Verified Complaint for 13 13 Damages of Candace Curtis (Federal Court) 14 14 Exhibit 12 2015 Plaintiff's Second Amended 13 15 Petition (Candace Curtis) (Probate Court 4) 14	5
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	APPEARANCES PRO SE DEFENDANT: Ms. Candace Louise Curtis 218 Lanadana Street American Canyon, California 94503 E-mail: occurtis@sbcglobal.net PRO SE DEFENDANT: Ms. Carole Ann Brunsting 5822 Jason Street Houston, Texas 77074	2 EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION PAGE 3 Exhibit 7 2010 Appointment of Successor 11 Trustees 11 Trustees 12 4 Exhibit 8 12/21/10 Resignation of 12 5 Original Trustee and Acceptance by Successor Trustee 12 6 Exhibit 9 2016 Report of Temporary 12 7 Administrator Pending Contest (Lester Report) 13 8 Exhibit 10 2016 Plaintiff's Original 13 9 Petition, Complaint and Application for Ex-Parte 10 10 Temporary Restraining Order, Asset Freeze, Temporary and Court 13 11 Permanent Injunction (Federal Court) 14 12 Exhibit 11 2016 Verified Complaint for 13 13 Damages of Candace Curtis (Federal Court) 14 14 Exhibit 12 2015 Plaintiff's Second Amended 13 15 Petition (Candace Curtis) (Probate Court 4) 16 16 Exhibit 13 2013 First Amended Petition for 14 17 Declaratory Judgment, for an 14	5
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	APPEARANCES PRO SE DEFENDANT: Ms. Candace Louise Curtis 218 Lanadana Street American Canyon, California 94503 E-mail: occurtis@sbcglobal.net PRO SE DEFENDANT: Ms. Carole Ann Brunsting 5822 Jason Street Houston, Texas 77074	2 EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION PAGE 3 Exhibit 7 2010 Appointment of Successor 11 Trustees 4 Exhibit 8 12/21/10 Resignation of 12 5 Original Trustee and Acceptance by Successor Trustee 6 6 Exhibit 9 2016 Report of Temporary 12 7 Administrator Pending Contest (Lester Report) 13 8 Exhibit 10 2016 Plaintiff's Original 13 9 Petition, Complaint and Application for Ex-Parte Temporary Restraining Order, Asset Freeze, Temporary and Permanent Injunction (Federal Court) 14 10 Exhibit 11 2016 Verified Complaint for 13 13 Damages of Candace Curtis (Federal Court) 14 14 Exhibit 12 2015 Plaintiff's Second Amended 13 15 Petition (Candace Curtis) (Probate Court 4) 14 16 Exhibit 13 2013 First Amended Petition for 14 17 Declaratory Judgment, for an Accounting, for Damages, and for Imposition of a 14	5
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	APPEARANCES PRO SE DEFENDANT: Ms. Candace Louise Curtis 218 Lanadana Street American Canyon, California 94503 E-mail: occurtis@sbcglobal.net PRO SE DEFENDANT: Ms. Carole Ann Brunsting 5822 Jason Street Houston, Texas 77074	 2 EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION PAGE 3 Exhibit 7 2010 Appointment of Successor 11 Trustees 4 Exhibit 8 12/21/10 Resignation of 12 Original Trustee and Acceptance by Successor Trustee 6 Exhibit 9 2016 Report of Temporary 12 Administrator Pending Contest (Lester Report) 8 Exhibit 10 2016 Plaintiff's Original 13 9 Petition, Complaint and Application for Ex-Parte 10 Temporary Restraining Order, Asset Freeze, Temporary and 11 Permanent Injunction (Federal Court) 12 Exhibit 11 2016 Verified Complaint for 13 Damages of Candace Curtis (Federal Court) 14 Exhibit 12 2015 Plaintiff's Second Amended 13 Petition (Candace Curtis) (Probate Court 4) 16 Exhibit 13 2013 First Amended Petition for 14 Declaratory Judgment, for an Accounting, for Damages, and 18 for Imposition of a Constructive Trust (Carl 19 Brunsting) (Probate Court 4) 	5
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	APPEARANCES PRO SE DEFENDANT: Ms. Candace Louise Curtis 218 Lanadana Street American Canyon, California 94503 E-mail: occurtis@sbcglobal.net PRO SE DEFENDANT: Ms. Carole Ann Brunsting 5822 Jason Street Houston, Texas 77074	2 EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION PAGE 3 Exhibit 7 2010 Appointment of Successor 11 Trustees 11 Trustees 12 4 Exhibit 8 12/21/10 Resignation of 12 5 Original Trustee and Acceptance by Successor Trustee 6 Exhibit 9 2016 Report of Temporary 12 7 Administrator Pending Contest (Lester Report) 8 Exhibit 10 2016 Plaintiff's Original 13 9 Petition, Complaint and Application for Ex-Parte 10 Temporary Restraining Order, Asset Freeze, Temporary and 11 Permanent Injunction (Federal Court) 13 12 Exhibit 11 2016 Verified Complaint for 13 13 Damages of Candace Curtis (Federal Court) 14 14 (Federal Court) 14 15 Petition (Candace Curtis) (Probate Court 4) 15 16 Exhibit 13 2013 First Amended Petition for 14 17 Declaratory Judgment, for an Accounting, for Damages, and for Imposition of a Constructive Trust (Carl 19 Evalibit 1	5
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	APPEARANCES PRO SE DEFENDANT: Ms. Candace Louise Curtis 218 Lanadana Street American Canyon, California 94503 E-mail: occurtis@sbcglobal.net PRO SE DEFENDANT: Ms. Carole Ann Brunsting 5822 Jason Street Houston, Texas 77074	2 EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION PAGE 3 Exhibit 7 2010 Appointment of Successor 11 Trustees 11 Trustees 12 4 Exhibit 8 12/21/10 Resignation of 12 5 Original Trustee and Acceptance by Successor Trustee 12 6 Exhibit 9 2016 Report of Temporary 12 7 Administrator Pending Contest (Lester Report) 13 8 Exhibit 10 2016 Plaintiff's Original 13 9 Petition, Complaint and Application for Ex-Parte 14 10 Temporary Restraining Order, Asset Freeze, Temporary and Permanent Injunction (Federal Court) 13 12 Exhibit 11 2016 Verified Complaint for 13 13 Damages of Candace Curtis (Federal Court) 14 14 Exhibit 12 2015 Plaintiff's Second Amended 13 15 Petition (Candace Curtis) (Probate Court 4) 14 16 Exhibit 13 2013 First Amended Petition for 14 17 Declaratory Judgment, for an Accounting, for Damages, and for Imposition of a Constructive Trust (Carl Brunsting) (Probate Court 4) 18 for Imposition of a Constru	5
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	APPEARANCES PRO SE DEFENDANT: Ms. Candace Louise Curtis 218 Lanadana Street American Canyon, California 94503 E-mail: occurtis@sbcglobal.net PRO SE DEFENDANT: Ms. Carole Ann Brunsting 5822 Jason Street Houston, Texas 77074	 EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION PAGE Exhibit 7 2010 Appointment of Successor 11 Trustees Exhibit 8 12/21/10 Resignation of 12 Original Trustee and Acceptance by Successor Trustee Exhibit 9 2016 Report of Temporary 12 Administrator Pending Contest (Lester Report) Exhibit 10 2016 Plaintiff's Original 13 Petition, Complaint and Application for Ex-Parte Temporary Restraining Order, Asset Freeze, Temporary and Permanent Injunction (Federal Court) Exhibit 11 2016 Verified Complaint for 13 Damages of Candace Curtis (Federal Court) Exhibit 12 2015 Plaintiff's Second Amended 13 Petition (Candace Curtis) (Probate Court 4) Exhibit 13 2013 First Amended Petition for 14 Declaratory Judgment, for an Accounting, for Damages, and for Imposition of a Constructive Trust (Carl Brunsting) (Probate Court 4) Exhibit 14 March 2015 First Supplement to 14 Plaintiff's First Amended Petition (Carl Brunsting) (Probate Court 4) 	5
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	APPEARANCES PRO SE DEFENDANT: Ms. Candace Louise Curtis 218 Lanadana Street American Canyon, California 94503 E-mail: occurtis@sbcglobal.net PRO SE DEFENDANT: Ms. Carole Ann Brunsting 5822 Jason Street Houston, Texas 77074	2 EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION PAGE 3 Exhibit 7 2010 Appointment of Successor 11 Trustees 11 Trustees 12 4 Exhibit 8 12/21/10 Resignation of 12 5 Original Trustee and Acceptance by Successor Trustee 12 6 Exhibit 9 2016 Report of Temporary 12 7 Administrator Pending Contest (Lester Report) 13 8 Exhibit 10 2016 Plaintiff's Original 13 9 Petition, Complaint and Application for Ex-Parte 10 10 Temporary Restraining Order, Asset Freeze, Temporary and Court 13 11 Permanent Injunction (Federal Court) 14 12 Exhibit 11 2016 Verified Complaint for 13 13 Damages of Candace Curtis (Federal Court) 14 14 Exhibit 12 2015 Plaintiff's Second Amended 13 15 Petition (Candace Curtis) (Probate Court 4) 16 16 Exhibit 13 2013 First Amended Petition for 14 17 Declaratory Judgment, for an Accounting, for Damages, and for Imposition of a Constructive Trust (Carl Brunsting) (Probate Court 4)	5
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 3 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	APPEARANCES PRO SE DEFENDANT: Ms. Candace Louise Curtis 218 Lanadana Street American Canyon, California 94503 E-mail: occurtis@sbcglobal.net PRO SE DEFENDANT: Ms. Carole Ann Brunsting 5822 Jason Street Houston, Texas 77074	2 EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION PAGE 3 Exhibit 7 2010 Appointment of Successor 11 Trustees 11 Trustees 12 4 Exhibit 8 12/21/10 Resignation of 12 5 Original Trustee and Acceptance by Successor Trustee 12 6 Exhibit 9 2016 Report of Temporary 12 7 Administrator Pending Contest (Lester Report) 13 8 Exhibit 10 2016 Plaintiff's Original 13 9 Petition, Complaint and Application for Ex-Parte 14 10 Temporary Restraining Order, Asset Freeze, Temporary and Permanent Injunction (Federal Court) 13 12 Exhibit 11 2015 Verified Complaint for 13 13 13 Damages of Candace Curtis (Federal Court) 14 14 Exhibit 12 2015 Plaintiff's Second Amended 13 15 Petition (Candace Curtis) (Probate Court 4) 14 16 Exhibit 13 2013 First Amended Petition for 14 17 Declaratory Judgment, for an Accounting, for Damages, and for Imposition of a Constructive Trust (Carl Parusting) (Probate Court 4) 19 Exhibit 14 <t< td=""><td>5</td></t<>	5

2 (Pages 2 to 5)

Southwest Reporting & Video Service, Inc. Registration #189 swreptproduction@swreporting.com

	6	8
1	EXHIBITS (cont.)	¹ Q. Okay. Then the other thing that I think
2	EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION PAGE	² everyone sometimes forgets is please let me try and
3	Exhibit 16 August 2015 Third Supplement to 15	³ finish the question, I'll try and let you finish the
	Plaintiff's First Amended	⁴ answer, because it makes it challenging for her to write
4	Petition and Request for	⁵ down what both people are saying if we're talking at the
-	Injunctive Relief (Carl	6 same time.
5	Brunsting) (Probate Court 4)	⁷ A. Sure.
6 7	Exhibit 17 Notes/History 143	⁸ Q. Okay. We put together a notebook that we put
8	Exhibit 18 Notes/History 143	⁹ in front of you, and I want to run through those
9	Exhibit 19PM Trust Review Meeting 7/30/10238Exhibit 20October 7, 2010 Notes238	¹⁰ we're going to be talking about one or more of those
10	Exhibit 20 October 7, 2010 Notes 238	¹¹ documents during the course of the day. I just want to
11		¹² run through those documents and get you to identify
12		¹³ them. Bear with me a second.
13		¹⁴ The first document is the 1996 Brunsting
14		¹⁵ Family Living Trust. I brought today what we have a
15		¹⁶ copy of in our file, and our copy is unsigned.
16		¹⁷ Do you recognize this document?
17		¹⁸ A. I do.
18		¹⁹ Q. And I realize you don't have an opportunity to
19		²⁰ read it word for word or go through every page, but do
20 21		²¹ you have any reason to believe that this may not be the
21		²² 1996 document that was, in fact, signed by Elmer and
23		²³ Nelva Brunsting?
24		A. I have no reason to believe that it is not.
25		²⁵ Q. And I guess would some sort of a signed copy
	7	9
1		
1 2	CANDACE KUNZ-FREED,	
		¹ still be with Mr. Vacek, or do you know where a signed
2	CANDACE KUNZ-FREED, having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:	 still be with Mr. Vacek, or do you know where a signed copy might be?
2 3	CANDACE KUNZ-FREED, having been first duly sworn, testified as follows: EXAMINATION	 still be with Mr. Vacek, or do you know where a signed copy might be? A. There may be a scanned copy somewhere, but it
2 3 4	CANDACE KUNZ-FREED, having been first duly sworn, testified as follows: EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY MR. MENDEL:	 still be with Mr. Vacek, or do you know where a signed copy might be? A. There may be a scanned copy somewhere, but it was my understanding there was a restatement done. And
2 3 4 5	CANDACE KUNZ-FREED, having been first duly sworn, testified as follows: EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY MR. MENDEL: Q. Ms. Kunz-Freed, my name is Steve Mendel. I	 still be with Mr. Vacek, or do you know where a signed copy might be? A. There may be a scanned copy somewhere, but it was my understanding there was a restatement done. And typically when a document is restated in its entirety,
2 3 4 5 6	CANDACE KUNZ-FREED, having been first duly sworn, testified as follows: EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY MR. MENDEL: Q. Ms. Kunz-Freed, my name is Steve Mendel. I represent Anita Brunsting in this matter. You had	 still be with Mr. Vacek, or do you know where a signed copy might be? A. There may be a scanned copy somewhere, but it was my understanding there was a restatement done. And typically when a document is restated in its entirety, then there may not be a hard copy anymore. Q. Okay. So what we have marked as tab 1, we're just going to refer to that as Exhibit 1. Okay?
2 3 4 5 6 7	CANDACE KUNZ-FREED, having been first duly sworn, testified as follows: EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY MR. MENDEL: Q. Ms. Kunz-Freed, my name is Steve Mendel. I represent Anita Brunsting in this matter. You had indicated earlier it would be okay to call you	 still be with Mr. Vacek, or do you know where a signed copy might be? A. There may be a scanned copy somewhere, but it was my understanding there was a restatement done. And typically when a document is restated in its entirety, then there may not be a hard copy anymore. Q. Okay. So what we have marked as tab 1, we're just going to refer to that as Exhibit 1. Okay? (Exhibit 1 marked.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CANDACE KUNZ-FREED, having been first duly sworn, testified as follows: EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY MR. MENDEL: Q. Ms. Kunz-Freed, my name is Steve Mendel. I represent Anita Brunsting in this matter. You had indicated earlier it would be okay to call you Ms. Freed.	 still be with Mr. Vacek, or do you know where a signed copy might be? A. There may be a scanned copy somewhere, but it was my understanding there was a restatement done. And typically when a document is restated in its entirety, then there may not be a hard copy anymore. Q. Okay. So what we have marked as tab 1, we're just going to refer to that as Exhibit 1. Okay?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CANDACE KUNZ-FREED, having been first duly sworn, testified as follows: EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY MR. MENDEL: Q. Ms. Kunz-Freed, my name is Steve Mendel. I represent Anita Brunsting in this matter. You had indicated earlier it would be okay to call you Ms. Freed. A. (Witness nods head affirmatively.)	 still be with Mr. Vacek, or do you know where a signed copy might be? A. There may be a scanned copy somewhere, but it was my understanding there was a restatement done. And typically when a document is restated in its entirety, then there may not be a hard copy anymore. Q. Okay. So what we have marked as tab 1, we're just going to refer to that as Exhibit 1. Okay? (Exhibit 1 marked.) Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Let's go to tab 2. That's the restated instrument of the Brunsting family trust back
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CANDACE KUNZ-FREED, having been first duly sworn, testified as follows: EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY MR. MENDEL: Q. Ms. Kunz-Freed, my name is Steve Mendel. I represent Anita Brunsting in this matter. You had indicated earlier it would be okay to call you Ms. Freed. A. (Witness nods head affirmatively.) Q. So I appreciate that. Have you ever given a deposition before? A. No, I have not.	 still be with Mr. Vacek, or do you know where a signed copy might be? A. There may be a scanned copy somewhere, but it was my understanding there was a restatement done. And typically when a document is restated in its entirety, then there may not be a hard copy anymore. Q. Okay. So what we have marked as tab 1, we're just going to refer to that as Exhibit 1. Okay? (Exhibit 1 marked.) Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Let's go to tab 2. That's the restated instrument of the Brunsting family trust back in 2005. This one is a signed copy.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CANDACE KUNZ-FREED, having been first duly sworn, testified as follows: EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY MR. MENDEL: Q. Ms. Kunz-Freed, my name is Steve Mendel. I represent Anita Brunsting in this matter. You had indicated earlier it would be okay to call you Ms. Freed. A. (Witness nods head affirmatively.) Q. So I appreciate that. Have you ever given a deposition before? A. No, I have not. Q. Okay. Have you ever testified on attorneys'	 still be with Mr. Vacek, or do you know where a signed copy might be? A. There may be a scanned copy somewhere, but it was my understanding there was a restatement done. And typically when a document is restated in its entirety, then there may not be a hard copy anymore. Q. Okay. So what we have marked as tab 1, we're just going to refer to that as Exhibit 1. Okay? (Exhibit 1 marked.) Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Let's go to tab 2. That's the restated instrument of the Brunsting family trust back in 2005. This one is a signed copy. Do you recognize that document?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CANDACE KUNZ-FREED, having been first duly sworn, testified as follows: EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY MR. MENDEL: Q. Ms. Kunz-Freed, my name is Steve Mendel. I represent Anita Brunsting in this matter. You had indicated earlier it would be okay to call you Ms. Freed. A. (Witness nods head affirmatively.) Q. So I appreciate that. Have you ever given a deposition before? A. No, I have not. Q. Okay. Have you ever testified on attorneys' fees by way as an expert?	 still be with Mr. Vacek, or do you know where a signed copy might be? A. There may be a scanned copy somewhere, but it was my understanding there was a restatement done. And typically when a document is restated in its entirety, then there may not be a hard copy anymore. Q. Okay. So what we have marked as tab 1, we're just going to refer to that as Exhibit 1. Okay? (Exhibit 1 marked.) Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Let's go to tab 2. That's the restated instrument of the Brunsting family trust back in 2005. This one is a signed copy. Do you recognize that document? A. I do.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CANDACE KUNZ-FREED, having been first duly sworn, testified as follows: EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY MR. MENDEL: Q. Ms. Kunz-Freed, my name is Steve Mendel. I represent Anita Brunsting in this matter. You had indicated earlier it would be okay to call you Ms. Freed. A. (Witness nods head affirmatively.) Q. So I appreciate that. Have you ever given a deposition before? A. No, I have not. Q. Okay. Have you ever testified on attorneys' fees by way as an expert? A. No.	 still be with Mr. Vacek, or do you know where a signed copy might be? A. There may be a scanned copy somewhere, but it was my understanding there was a restatement done. And typically when a document is restated in its entirety, then there may not be a hard copy anymore. Q. Okay. So what we have marked as tab 1, we're just going to refer to that as Exhibit 1. Okay? (Exhibit 1 marked.) Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Let's go to tab 2. That's the restated instrument of the Brunsting family trust back in 2005. This one is a signed copy. Do you recognize that document? A. I do. Q. Just based on your quick thumb-through, does
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CANDACE KUNZ-FREED, having been first duly sworn, testified as follows: EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY MR. MENDEL: Q. Ms. Kunz-Freed, my name is Steve Mendel. I represent Anita Brunsting in this matter. You had indicated earlier it would be okay to call you Ms. Freed. A. (Witness nods head affirmatively.) Q. So I appreciate that. Have you ever given a deposition before? A. No, I have not. Q. Okay. Have you ever testified on attorneys' fees by way as an expert? A. No. Q. Im assuming you had an opportunity to visit	 still be with Mr. Vacek, or do you know where a signed copy might be? A. There may be a scanned copy somewhere, but it was my understanding there was a restatement done. And typically when a document is restated in its entirety, then there may not be a hard copy anymore. Q. Okay. So what we have marked as tab 1, we're just going to refer to that as Exhibit 1. Okay? (Exhibit 1 marked.) Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Let's go to tab 2. That's the restated instrument of the Brunsting family trust back in 2005. This one is a signed copy. Do you recognize that document? A. I do. Q. Just based on your quick thumb-through, does that appear to be a true and correct copy of the 2005
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CANDACE KUNZ-FREED, having been first duly sworn, testified as follows: EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY MR. MENDEL: Q. Ms. Kunz-Freed, my name is Steve Mendel. I represent Anita Brunsting in this matter. You had indicated earlier it would be okay to call you Ms. Freed. A. (Witness nods head affirmatively.) Q. So I appreciate that. Have you ever given a deposition before? A. No, I have not. Q. Okay. Have you ever testified on attorneys' fees by way as an expert? A. No. Q. Im assuming you had an opportunity to visit with Mr. Reed and learn about the deposition process.	 still be with Mr. Vacek, or do you know where a signed copy might be? A. There may be a scanned copy somewhere, but it was my understanding there was a restatement done. And typically when a document is restated in its entirety, then there may not be a hard copy anymore. Q. Okay. So what we have marked as tab 1, we're just going to refer to that as Exhibit 1. Okay? (Exhibit 1 marked.) Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Let's go to tab 2. That's the restated instrument of the Brunsting family trust back in 2005. This one is a signed copy. Do you recognize that document? A. I do. Q. Just based on your quick thumb-through, does that appear to be a true and correct copy of the 2005 restatement?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CANDACE KUNZ-FREED, having been first duly sworn, testified as follows: EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY MR. MENDEL: Q. Ms. Kunz-Freed, my name is Steve Mendel. I represent Anita Brunsting in this matter. You had indicated earlier it would be okay to call you Ms. Freed. A. (Witness nods head affirmatively.) Q. So I appreciate that. Have you ever given a deposition before? A. No, I have not. Q. Okay. Have you ever testified on attorneys' fees by way as an expert? A. No. Q. Im assuming you had an opportunity to visit with Mr. Reed and learn about the deposition process. A. Yes.	 still be with Mr. Vacek, or do you know where a signed copy might be? A. There may be a scanned copy somewhere, but it was my understanding there was a restatement done. And typically when a document is restated in its entirety, then there may not be a hard copy anymore. Q. Okay. So what we have marked as tab 1, we're just going to refer to that as Exhibit 1. Okay? (Exhibit 1 marked.) Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Let's go to tab 2. That's the restated instrument of the Brunsting family trust back in 2005. This one is a signed copy. Do you recognize that document? A. I do. Q. Just based on your quick thumb-through, does that appear to be a true and correct copy of the 2005 restatement? A. It does.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CANDACE KUNZ-FREED, having been first duly sworn, testified as follows: EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY MR. MENDEL: Q. Ms. Kunz-Freed, my name is Steve Mendel. I represent Anita Brunsting in this matter. You had indicated earlier it would be okay to call you Ms. Freed. A. (Witness nods head affirmatively.) Q. So I appreciate that. Have you ever given a deposition before? A. No, I have not. Q. Okay. Have you ever testified on attorneys' fees by way as an expert? A. No. Q. I'm assuming you had an opportunity to visit with Mr. Reed and learn about the deposition process. A. Yes. Q. So one of the things we want to try and do for	 still be with Mr. Vacek, or do you know where a signed copy might be? A. There may be a scanned copy somewhere, but it was my understanding there was a restatement done. And typically when a document is restated in its entirety, then there may not be a hard copy anymore. Q. Okay. So what we have marked as tab 1, we're just going to refer to that as Exhibit 1. Okay? (Exhibit 1 marked.) Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Let's go to tab 2. That's the restated instrument of the Brunsting family trust back in 2005. This one is a signed copy. Do you recognize that document? A. I do. Q. Just based on your quick thumb-through, does that appear to be a true and correct copy of the 2005 restatement? A. It does. Q. So we'll treat that as Exhibit No. 2.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CANDACE KUNZ-FREED, having been first duly sworn, testified as follows: EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY MR. MENDEL: Q. Ms. Kunz-Freed, my name is Steve Mendel. I represent Anita Brunsting in this matter. You had indicated earlier it would be okay to call you Ms. Freed. A. (Witness nods head affirmatively.) Q. So I appreciate that. Have you ever given a deposition before? A. No, I have not. Q. Okay. Have you ever testified on attorneys' fees by way as an expert? A. No. Q. Im assuming you had an opportunity to visit with Mr. Reed and learn about the deposition process. A. Yes. Q. So one of the things we want to try and do for the court reporter is speak our answers because that's	 still be with Mr. Vacek, or do you know where a signed copy might be? A. There may be a scanned copy somewhere, but it was my understanding there was a restatement done. And typically when a document is restated in its entirety, then there may not be a hard copy anymore. Q. Okay. So what we have marked as tab 1, we're just going to refer to that as Exhibit 1. Okay? (Exhibit 1 marked.) Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Let's go to tab 2. That's the restated instrument of the Brunsting family trust back in 2005. This one is a signed copy. Do you recognize that document? A. I do. Q. Just based on your quick thumb-through, does that appear to be a true and correct copy of the 2005 restatement? A. It does. Q. So we'll treat that as Exhibit No. 2. (Exhibit 2 marked.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CANDACE KUNZ-FREED, having been first duly sworn, testified as follows: EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY MR. MENDEL: Q. Ms. Kunz-Freed, my name is Steve Mendel. I represent Anita Brunsting in this matter. You had indicated earlier it would be okay to call you Ms. Freed. A. (Witness nods head affirmatively.) Q. So I appreciate that. Have you ever given a deposition before? A. No, I have not. Q. Okay. Have you ever testified on attorneys' fees by way as an expert? A. No. Q. Im assuming you had an opportunity to visit with Mr. Reed and learn about the deposition process. A. Yes. Q. So one of the things we want to try and do for the court reporter is speak our answers because that's all she can do, is write it down.	 still be with Mr. Vacek, or do you know where a signed copy might be? A. There may be a scanned copy somewhere, but it was my understanding there was a restatement done. And typically when a document is restated in its entirety, then there may not be a hard copy anymore. Q. Okay. So what we have marked as tab 1, we're just going to refer to that as Exhibit 1. Okay? (Exhibit 1 marked.) Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Let's go to tab 2. That's the restated instrument of the Brunsting family trust back in 2005. This one is a signed copy. Do you recognize that document? A. I do. Q. Just based on your quick thumb-through, does that appear to be a true and correct copy of the 2005 restatement? A. It does. Q. So we'll treat that as Exhibit No. 2. (Exhibit 2 marked.) Q. (By Mr. Mendel) And under tab No. 3, we're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CANDACE KUNZ-FREED, having been first duly sworn, testified as follows: EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY MR. MENDEL: Q. Ms. Kunz-Freed, my name is Steve Mendel. I represent Anita Brunsting in this matter. You had indicated earlier it would be okay to call you Ms. Freed. A. (Witness nods head affirmatively.) Q. So I appreciate that. Have you ever given a deposition before? A. No, I have not. Q. Okay. Have you ever testified on attorneys' fees by way as an expert? A. No. Q. Im assuming you had an opportunity to visit with Mr. Reed and learn about the deposition process. A. Yes. Q. So one of the things we want to try and do for the court reporter is speak our answers because that's all she can do, is write it down. A. Correct.	 still be with Mr. Vacek, or do you know where a signed copy might be? A. There may be a scanned copy somewhere, but it was my understanding there was a restatement done. And typically when a document is restated in its entirety, then there may not be a hard copy anymore. Q. Okay. So what we have marked as tab 1, we're just going to refer to that as Exhibit 1. Okay? (Exhibit 1 marked.) Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Let's go to tab 2. That's the restated instrument of the Brunsting family trust back in 2005. This one is a signed copy. Do you recognize that document? A. I do. Q. Just based on your quick thumb-through, does that appear to be a true and correct copy of the 2005 restatement? A. It does. Q. So we'll treat that as Exhibit No. 2. (Exhibit 2 marked.) Q. (By Mr. Mendel) And under tab No. 3, we're going to treat that as Exhibit No. 3, a 2007 First
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CANDACE KUNZ-FREED, having been first duly sworn, testified as follows: EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY MR. MENDEL: Q. Ms. Kunz-Freed, my name is Steve Mendel. I represent Anita Brunsting in this matter. You had indicated earlier it would be okay to call you Ms. Freed. A. (Witness nods head affirmatively.) Q. So I appreciate that. Have you ever given a deposition before? A. No, I have not. Q. Okay. Have you ever testified on attorneys' fees by way as an expert? A. No. Q. I'm assuming you had an opportunity to visit with Mr. Reed and learn about the deposition process. A. Yes. Q. So one of the things we want to try and do for the court reporter is speak our answers because that's all she can do, is write it down. Q. And we want to try and avoid uh-huh and huh-uh	 still be with Mr. Vacek, or do you know where a signed copy might be? A. There may be a scanned copy somewhere, but it was my understanding there was a restatement done. And typically when a document is restated in its entirety, then there may not be a hard copy anymore. Q. Okay. So what we have marked as tab 1, we're just going to refer to that as Exhibit 1. Okay? (Exhibit 1 marked.) Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Let's go to tab 2. That's the restated instrument of the Brunsting family trust back in 2005. This one is a signed copy. Do you recognize that document? A. I do. Q. Just based on your quick thumb-through, does that appear to be a true and correct copy of the 2005 restatement? A. It does. Q. So we'll treat that as Exhibit No. 2. (Exhibit 2 marked.) Q. (By Mr. Mendel) And under tab No. 3, we're going to treat that as Exhibit No. 3, a 2007 First Amendment to The Restatement to The Brunsting Family
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CANDACE KUNZ-FREED, having been first duly sworn, testified as follows: EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY MR. MENDEL: Q. Ms. Kunz-Freed, my name is Steve Mendel. I represent Anita Brunsting in this matter. You had indicated earlier it would be okay to call you Ms. Freed. A. (Witness nods head affirmatively.) Q. So I appreciate that. Have you ever given a deposition before? A. No, I have not. Q. Okay. Have you ever testified on attorneys' fees by way as an expert? A. No. Q. Im assuming you had an opportunity to visit with Mr. Reed and learn about the deposition process. A. Yes. Q. So one of the things we want to try and do for the court reporter is speak our answers because that's all she can do, is write it down. A. Correct.	 still be with Mr. Vacek, or do you know where a signed copy might be? A. There may be a scanned copy somewhere, but it was my understanding there was a restatement done. And typically when a document is restated in its entirety, then there may not be a hard copy anymore. Q. Okay. So what we have marked as tab 1, we're just going to refer to that as Exhibit 1. Okay? (Exhibit 1 marked.) Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Let's go to tab 2. That's the restated instrument of the Brunsting family trust back in 2005. This one is a signed copy. Do you recognize that document? A. I do. Q. Just based on your quick thumb-through, does that appear to be a true and correct copy of the 2005 restatement? A. It does. Q. So we'll treat that as Exhibit No. 2. (Exhibit 2 marked.) Q. (By Mr. Mendel) And under tab No. 3, we're going to treat that as Exhibit No. 3, a 2007 First

3 (Pages 6 to 9)

	10		12
1	A. Yes.	1	Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Do you recognize that
2	Q. Does that appear to be a true and correct copy	2	instrument, and does that appear to be a true and
3	of Exhibit No. 3?	3	correct copy?
4	A. It does.	4	A. It does.
5	(Exhibit 3 marked.)	5	Q. And then under tab 8 we have another instrument
6	Q. (By Mr. Mendel) And under tab No. 4, we're	6	that was executed in December of 2010, the Resignation
7	going to treat that as Exhibit No. 4. And that's a 2008	7	of Original Trustee. And that will be Exhibit 8.
8	Appointment of Successor Trustees.	8	(Exhibit 8 marked.)
9	Do you recognize that document?	9	Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Do you recognize that exhibit,
10	A. I do.	10	and does that appear to be a true and correct copy?
11	Q. And does that appear to be a true and correct	11	A. It does, along with the acceptance behind it.
12	copy of that instrument?	12	Q. Okay. Under No. 9, which will be Exhibit 9, is
13	A. It does.	13	the Report of Temporary Administrator that Mr. Lester
14	(Exhibit 4 marked.)	14	put together back in 2016.
15	Q. (By Mr. Mendel) And then under tab 5, which	15	Have you seen this document?
16 17	will be Exhibit 5, is what appears to be a June 2010	16	A. I think I did at some point. I believe I did
17 18	Qualified Beneficiary Designation and Testamentary	17	through counsel.
18	Powers of Appointment.	19	Actually, I don't know that I saw this
20	Do you recognize that document? A. I do.	20	entire report; but if it was filed of record, I did.
20		20	(Exhibit 9 marked.)
22	Q. Does that appear to be a true and correct copy?A. It does.	22	Q. (By Mr. Mendel) All right. No. 10, we get into some pleadings. No. 10 is a February 2012 federal
23	A. It does. (Exhibit 5 marked.)	23	court complaint filed by Candace Curtis, something we
24	Q. (By Mr. Mendel) And then under tab 6, which	24	pulled down from the court's website.
25	we're going to refer to as Exhibit 6, is a Qualified	25	Have you seen this particular document?
	11		13
1	Beneficiary Designation and Exercise of Testamentary	1	A. I'm sure I have.
2	Powers of Appointment Under Living Trust Agreement.	2	Q. We're going to call that Exhibit 10.
3	Do you recognize that agreement?	3	(Exhibit 10 marked.)
4	A. I do.	4	Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Under tab 11, which is going
5	Q. Does that appear to be a true and correct copy?	5	to be Exhibit 11, another document that we would have
6	A. It does.	6	pulled from the court's website, is a 2016 federal court
7	(Exhibit 6 marked.)	7	Complaint filed by Candace Curtis.
8 9	Q. (By Mr. Mendel) I think it's just going to be	8	Are you familiar with this instrument?
10	easier I'm going to refer to that particular	10	A. Yes, I am.
10	document, being Exhibit No. 6, as the QBD. So can we	10	(Exhibit 11 marked.)
12	have the agreement that if we're talking about the QBD, we're talking about Exhibit No. 6?	12	Q. (By Mr. Mendel) No. 12, which we're going to
13	-	13	refer to as Exhibit 12, this is an instrument that was filed by Candace Curtis in 2015 entitled Plaintiff's
14	A. And not the one that was qualified beneficiary designation before that?	14	Second Amended Petition.
15	Q. And not No. 5.	15	Have you ever seen this instrument?
16	A. Okay. Yes.	16	Again, something we would have pulled from the court's
17	Q. For the record, Exhibit 5 was executed in June	17	website.
18	of 2010 and Exhibit 6 was executed in August of 2010?	18	A. I'm sure I would have seen it at some point if
19	A. Correct.	19	it was on the website.
20	Q. Under tab 7 we're going to have what's Exhibit	20	(Exhibit 12 marked.)
21	No. 7, which was an instrument that was executed in	21	Q. (By Mr. Mendel) No. 13, something that we
22	December of 2010 where we have an Appointment of	22	would have also obtained from the court's website, which
23	Successor Trustees?	23	will be Exhibit 13, is something that was filed in 2013.
24	A. Uh-huh.	24	It would be Carl Brunsting's First Amended Petition.
		25	
25	(Exhibit 7 marked.)	25	This was filed in the probate court.

4 (Pages 10 to 13)

	14		16
1	Are you familiar with this instrument?	1	your practice is estate planning and estate
2	A. Vaguely, yes.	2	administration. Would that be correct?
3	(Exhibit 13 marked.)	3	A. That's correct.
4	Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Tab 14, which is Exhibit 14,	4	Q. So would you tell the jury a little bit about
5	another instrument filed by Mr. Brunsting, Carl	5	what is the nature of your practice in terms of estate
6	Brunsting, in March of 2015. It would be his First	6	and trust planning and in terms of estate and trust
7	Supplement to Plaintiff's First Amended Petition,	7	administration?
8	something we would have obtained from the court's	8	A. Currently or nine years ago?
9	website.	9	Q. Well, currently. We'll go back and talk in a
10		10	Q. wen, currentry. we'n go back and tark in a minute.
10	Are you familiar with this instrument?	11	
12	A. I have seen it before, yes.	12	A. So currently I continue to do estate planning.
12	(Exhibit 14 marked.)	12	I do wills, trusts. I do estate administration, probate
13	Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Under tab 15, now Exhibit 15,	13	work.
	is a July 2015 instrument filed by Carl Brunsting		Q. Okay. And so when did you first start with the
15	entitled Second Supplement to Plaintiff's First Amended	15	Vacek firm?
16	Petition.	16	A. I believe it was March of 2007.
17	Are you familiar with this instrument?	17	Q. I tell you what. Let's back up before that.
18	A. Yes.	18	Let's just take your education real quick, starting with
19	(Exhibit 15 marked.)	19	your undergraduate degree and jumping up to law school.
20	Q. (By Mr. Mendel) And then I've got under	20	A. Sure.
21	tab 16, which we'll refer to as Exhibit 16, an	21	Q. Undergraduate background?
22	August 2015 instrument filed by Carl Brunsting, the	22	A. BBA from Southwest Texas State University in
23	Third Supplement to Plaintiff's First Amended Petition	23	marketing.
24	and Request for Injunctive Relief.	24	Q. Okay.
25	Are you familiar with this instrument?	25	A. And then that was graduated from there in
	15		17
1	A. I'm sorry. What was the date on the	1	2000.
2	instrument?	2	Q. Okay.
3	Q. August of 2015.	3	A. From 2000 to 2003, Saint Mary's law school in
4	A. Okay. Yes.	4	San Antonio. I graduated in 2003; I started practicing
5	(Exhibit 16 marked.)	5	in November of 2003.
6	Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Have you reviewed any	6	Q. Okay. And then just briefly, who did you go
7	documents in preparation for your deposition?	7	you indicated you started with the Vacek firm in
8	A. I did.	8	A. In '07.
9	Q. I'm sorry. You did?	9	Q March of 2007. So who did you go to work
10	A. I did.	10	for in 2003?
11	Q. Would you give us a general understanding; or	11	A. So I was an attorney for LMI and did
12	if you recall the specific instrument, would you tell us	12	business just business practice for him in
13	what it is you reviewed?	13	San Marcos, Texas.
14	A. I reviewed my notes, my attorney notes.	14	Q. What is LMI?
15		15	
16	Q. Did you review anything other than your	16	A. It was Love Lady Management.
10	attorney notes?	17	MS. BAYLESS: Can you speak up just a
	A. No.	18	little bit?
18	Q. Okay. And the attorney notes that you're		THE WITNESS: Love Lady Management.
19 20	making reference to, would those be the documents that	19	Q. (By Mr. Mendel) What did they do?
20	you recently turned over to your lawyer and that were	20	A. He held various business practices, at one
21	released to the parties?	21	point was building a marina in Costa Rica.
22	A. Uh-huh.	22	Q. And then when did you move to a new position
23	Q. Is that a "yes"?	23	after that?
24	A. Yes. I'm sorry. It is.	24	A. In 2007, when I went to work for the Vacek law
25	Q. It's my understanding that the primary focus of	25	firm.

5 (Pages 14 to 17)

	18	20
1	Q. And at the time that you started with the Vacek	¹ A. No, I have not.
2	law firm, were you an associate attorney?	² Q. Would it be fair to say, in light of your legal
3	A. Yes.	³ training through law school and your legal training
4	Q. Tell us a little bit about what you did,	4 working at the Vacek firm and even now in your own firm,
5	starting in 2007 and coming forward.	⁵ that in terms of assisting the judge or the jury, you
6	A. Sure. I started out, 2007, in the area of	⁶ possess special skills with regard to estates and
7	planning. I then moved over to the administration	7 trusts?
8	department about a year into it and started running the	⁸ A. Sure.
9	administration department a couple of years after that.	9 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.
10	Q. Okay.	¹⁰ Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Would it be fair to say that
11	A. So it was just a natural progression.	¹¹ you have special knowledge in the area of estates and
12	Q. And when did you start your own firm?	12 trusts?
13	A. In 2015, September 2015.	¹³ MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.
14	Q. And so from March of 2007 until you started	14 A. I guess it would be. I mean, my area of
15	your own firm, you were employed continuously with the	 ¹⁵ practice has been focused in that area. So I would say
16	Vacek firm?	practice has been tocused in that area. So I would say
17	A. That's correct.	16 yes. 17 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) So if you were meeting with a
18	Q. And then at some point in there, you became a	
19	partner?	 new client, what would you indicate to them, some of the skills that you bring to the client's issues and some of
20	A. Never.	 ²⁰ skins that you bring to the cheft's issues and some of ²⁰ the knowledge that you bring to the process of estate
21	O. Never?	 and trust planning and probate and trust administration?
22		 and dust planning and probate and dust administration? A. I'm sorry. Could you ask that again.
23	A. I was never a partner at the law firm.Q. It's my recollection it said Vacek & Freed.	23 Q. Yeah. If you were meeting with a client and
24	A. Yes, it did.	 ²⁴ they were asking about your background and experience,
25	Q. Okay.	 ²⁵ what would you share with them about skills and
	Q. Okay.	what would you shale with tich about skins and
	19	21
1	A. I was always an associate attorney, never a	¹ knowledge in the area of trust and estate planning and
2	partner.	 knowledge in the area of trust and estate planning and trust and estate administration?
3	Q. It's my understanding that in addition to being	³ A. I suppose I would say that that's where my
4	a member of the State Bar of Texas, you're a member of	4 practice is focused and that I don't dabble in other
5	the American Bar Association?	 ⁵ areas of the law. So that's where my training has been
6	A. I am.	 ⁶ over the years.
7	Q. And you're affiliated with the real estate,	⁷ Q. So to help a layperson understand, what does an
8	probate and trust departments of both organizations?	 8 estate and trust attorney do? What would be some of the
9	A. That is correct.	9 things that they might seek your advice for?
10	Q. And I understand you're affiliated with a group	 ¹⁰ A. Estate planning, to get their stuff where they
11	called Disability and Elder Law?	¹¹ want it to go, to determine who's going to be in charge
12	A. I had been; yes, that's correct.	 ¹² of their stuff if they become incapacitated, who's going
13	Q. What do they do?	 ¹³ to take care of them if they become incapacitated.
14	A. DELA is more geared towards guardianship and	¹⁴ Estate tax planning if there are tax issues involved. I
15	prevention of guardianship.	¹⁵ mean, that's
16	Q. You say you had been affiliated. So you're no	¹⁶ Q. Fair enough. And then what would be some of
17	longer affiliated?	¹⁷ the things that you might share with them about if
18	A. I have not been an attending member for the	 the unity state you might share with them about - if they ask, well, what's a probate administration or
19	last four years or five years.	¹⁹ what's a trust administration, what would you share with
20	Q. Okay. You indicated that you hadn't given a	²⁰ them generally, what that's about?
21	deposition before; but let me just, I guess, get a	 A. Probate is a will going to court and a judge
22	clarification for my own purposes.	 ²² blessing the will, saying that, yes, this is, in fact,
23	A. Sure.	 ²³ the last will; and then the executor is appointed to
24	Q. Have you ever testified as an expert in court	 ²⁴ carry out those duties and assistance in making sure
25	about a will or a trust or an administration?	 ²⁵ that their fiduciary responsibilities are
	accut a win of a trast of an administration;	and their induction j responsibilities are in

6 (Pages 18 to 21)

	22	24
1	Q. And so what would a layperson need to	¹ for some reason the planning seemed to exceed what we
2	understand as part of the probate process? So, you	² thought.
3	know, the will is written, it's admitted to probate,	3 Q. Okay.
4	it's approved or admitted by the Court.	4 A. But typically they were flat fee.
5	What kind of happens next in terms of the	⁵ Q. So during the period that the Vacek firm was
6	process of, okay, probate's been opened; at some point	⁶ working on the Brunsting matter and I assume the
7	it's going to end. What happens in between?	⁷ rates probably increased over time to account for
8	A. Again, what their responsibilities are as far	⁸ inflation and things like that.
9	as being an executor or a personal representative or, in	⁹ A. Uh-huh.
10	the case of a trust, a trustee; an accounting being set	¹⁰ Q. Do you have a general recollection of what the
11	up; taking control or possession of assets; making sure	¹¹ hourly rates were for you and for Mr. Vacek?
12	that they are preserved and getting them to the place	¹² A. I do not recall what those were, but they did
13	they need to be; and the tax returns are filed.	¹³ increase over time. I do recall that.
14	Q. And what about evaluating liabilities and	¹⁴ Q. Do you recall what they were at the time that
15	things like that?	¹⁵ you left?
16	A. Of course. I mean, that goes without saying.	A. 225 an hour. And I'm making a guess. I don't
17	Q. Okay. Would those be the steps that you've	¹⁷ remember, honestly. That was a long time ago.
18	just described for a probate administration, would those	¹⁸ Q. Would that have been your rate or his rate or
19	be very similar for a trust administration?	¹⁹ both rates?
20	A. Absolutely, yes.	²⁰ A. Oh, his would have been higher, I'm sure.
21	Q. What's the focus of your continuing education	²¹ Q. Okay. Any reasonable idea of what his rate
22	programs in terms of keeping your license current?	²² might have been?
23	A. So I continue to go to the Advanced Estate	²³ A. Typically he did estate planning versus
24	Planning each year that the Texas Bar puts on. I'm a	²⁴ administration. So his was I don't know what his
25	member of the State Bar College.	²⁵ hourly rate was because that wasn't he wasn't in that
	23	25
1	So I've always exceeded the amount of CLE	¹ area of the firm.
	So I we always exceeded the amount of CLL	
2	that I'm required to do. Maintaining wealth I'm a	² Q. So from your perspective, is there anything
2 3	-	
	that I'm required to do. Maintaining wealth I'm a	² Q. So from your perspective, is there anything
3	that I'm required to do. Maintaining wealth I'm a member of Wealth Council. So I attend Wealth Council meetings twice a year.Q. As a result of the extra continuing education,	 Q. So from your perspective, is there anything unreasonable about hourly rates between, say, 200 and \$400 an hour? A. No.
3 4 5 6	that I'm required to do. Maintaining wealth I'm a member of Wealth Council. So I attend Wealth Council meetings twice a year.Q. As a result of the extra continuing education, don't you also hold a designation for State Bar of	 Q. So from your perspective, is there anything unreasonable about hourly rates between, say, 200 and \$400 an hour? A. No. Q. What would you consider to be a reasonable
3 4 5	that I'm required to do. Maintaining wealth I'm a member of Wealth Council. So I attend Wealth Council meetings twice a year.Q. As a result of the extra continuing education,	 Q. So from your perspective, is there anything unreasonable about hourly rates between, say, 200 and \$400 an hour? A. No. Q. What would you consider to be a reasonable hourly rate for someone that might be doing a probate
3 4 5 6	that I'm required to do. Maintaining wealth I'm a member of Wealth Council. So I attend Wealth Council meetings twice a year.Q. As a result of the extra continuing education, don't you also hold a designation for State Bar of	 Q. So from your perspective, is there anything unreasonable about hourly rates between, say, 200 and \$400 an hour? A. No. Q. What would you consider to be a reasonable hourly rate for someone that might be doing a probate administration or even a trust administration?
3 4 5 6 7	 that I'm required to do. Maintaining wealth I'm a member of Wealth Council. So I attend Wealth Council meetings twice a year. Q. As a result of the extra continuing education, don't you also hold a designation for State Bar of College or State Bar College? 	 Q. So from your perspective, is there anything unreasonable about hourly rates between, say, 200 and \$400 an hour? A. No. Q. What would you consider to be a reasonable hourly rate for someone that might be doing a probate administration or even a trust administration? MR. REED: Objection, form.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 that I'm required to do. Maintaining wealth I'm a member of Wealth Council. So I attend Wealth Council meetings twice a year. Q. As a result of the extra continuing education, don't you also hold a designation for State Bar of College or State Bar College? A. Yes. Q. So in addition to the extra continuing education programs that you just described, do you have 	2 Q. So from your perspective, is there anything 3 unreasonable about hourly rates between, say, 200 and 4 \$400 an hour? 5 A. No. 6 Q. What would you consider to be a reasonable 7 hourly rate for someone that might be doing a probate 8 administration or even a trust administration? 9 MR. REED: Objection, form. 10 A. An hourly rate?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 that I'm required to do. Maintaining wealth I'm a member of Wealth Council. So I attend Wealth Council meetings twice a year. Q. As a result of the extra continuing education, don't you also hold a designation for State Bar of College or State Bar College? A. Yes. Q. So in addition to the extra continuing education programs that you just described, do you have any other special training in the area of estates and 	2 Q. So from your perspective, is there anything 3 unreasonable about hourly rates between, say, 200 and 4 \$400 an hour? 5 A. No. 6 Q. What would you consider to be a reasonable 7 hourly rate for someone that might be doing a probate 8 administration or even a trust administration? 9 MR. REED: Objection, form. 10 A. An hourly rate? 11 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Yes, ma'am.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 that I'm required to do. Maintaining wealth I'm a member of Wealth Council. So I attend Wealth Council meetings twice a year. Q. As a result of the extra continuing education, don't you also hold a designation for State Bar of College or State Bar College? A. Yes. Q. So in addition to the extra continuing education programs that you just described, do you have any other special training in the area of estates and trusts or planning or estate and trust administration 	2 Q. So from your perspective, is there anything 3 unreasonable about hourly rates between, say, 200 and 4 \$400 an hour? 5 A. No. 6 Q. What would you consider to be a reasonable 7 hourly rate for someone that might be doing a probate 8 administration or even a trust administration? 9 MR. REED: Objection, form. 10 A. An hourly rate? 11 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Yes, ma'am. 12 A. I don't know what a reasonable I mean,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 that I'm required to do. Maintaining wealth I'm a member of Wealth Council. So I attend Wealth Council meetings twice a year. Q. As a result of the extra continuing education, don't you also hold a designation for State Bar of College or State Bar College? A. Yes. Q. So in addition to the extra continuing education programs that you just described, do you have any other special training in the area of estates and trusts or planning or estate and trust administration other than doing the work? 	2 Q. So from your perspective, is there anything 3 unreasonable about hourly rates between, say, 200 and 4 \$400 an hour? 5 A. No. 6 Q. What would you consider to be a reasonable 7 hourly rate for someone that might be doing a probate 8 administration or even a trust administration? 9 MR. REED: Objection, form. 10 A. An hourly rate? 11 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Yes, ma'am. 12 A. I don't know what a reasonable I mean, 13 that's
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 that I'm required to do. Maintaining wealth I'm a member of Wealth Council. So I attend Wealth Council meetings twice a year. Q. As a result of the extra continuing education, don't you also hold a designation for State Bar of College or State Bar College? A. Yes. Q. So in addition to the extra continuing education programs that you just described, do you have any other special training in the area of estates and trusts or planning or estate and trust administration other than doing the work? A. Special training, no. I mean, not other than 	2 Q. So from your perspective, is there anything 3 unreasonable about hourly rates between, say, 200 and 4 \$400 an hour? 5 A. No. 6 Q. What would you consider to be a reasonable 7 hourly rate for someone that might be doing a probate 8 administration or even a trust administration? 9 MR. REED: Objection, form. 10 A. An hourly rate? 11 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Yes, ma'am. 12 A. I don't know what a reasonable I mean, 13 that's 14 Q. Well, if a client asked for a recommendation
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 that I'm required to do. Maintaining wealth I'm a member of Wealth Council. So I attend Wealth Council meetings twice a year. Q. As a result of the extra continuing education, don't you also hold a designation for State Bar of College or State Bar College? A. Yes. Q. So in addition to the extra continuing education programs that you just described, do you have any other special training in the area of estates and trusts or planning or estate and trust administration other than doing the work? A. Special training, no. I mean, not other than just the practice. 	2 Q. So from your perspective, is there anything 3 unreasonable about hourly rates between, say, 200 and 4 \$400 an hour? 5 A. No. 6 Q. What would you consider to be a reasonable 7 hourly rate for someone that might be doing a probate 8 administration or even a trust administration? 9 MR. REED: Objection, form. 10 A. An hourly rate? 11 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Yes, ma'am. 12 A. I don't know what a reasonable I mean, 13 that's 14 Q. Well, if a client asked for a recommendation 15 from you of I have to pick someone to be my successor
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 that I'm required to do. Maintaining wealth I'm a member of Wealth Council. So I attend Wealth Council meetings twice a year. Q. As a result of the extra continuing education, don't you also hold a designation for State Bar of College or State Bar College? A. Yes. Q. So in addition to the extra continuing education programs that you just described, do you have any other special training in the area of estates and trusts or planning or estate and trust administration other than doing the work? A. Special training, no. I mean, not other than just the practice. Q. When you do work for clients so let's talk 	2 Q. So from your perspective, is there anything 3 unreasonable about hourly rates between, say, 200 and 4 \$400 an hour? 5 A. No. 6 Q. What would you consider to be a reasonable 7 hourly rate for someone that might be doing a probate 8 administration or even a trust administration? 9 MR. REED: Objection, form. 10 A. An hourly rate? 11 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Yes, ma'am. 12 A. I don't know what a reasonable I mean, 13 that's 14 Q. Well, if a client asked for a recommendation 15 from you of I have to pick someone to be my successor 16 trustee when I'm not here anymore. I want them to be
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 that I'm required to do. Maintaining wealth I'm a member of Wealth Council. So I attend Wealth Council meetings twice a year. Q. As a result of the extra continuing education, don't you also hold a designation for State Bar of College or State Bar College? A. Yes. Q. So in addition to the extra continuing education programs that you just described, do you have any other special training in the area of estates and trusts or planning or estate and trust administration other than doing the work? A. Special training, no. I mean, not other than just the practice. Q. When you do work for clients so let's talk about the planning work versus the administration work. 	2 Q. So from your perspective, is there anything 3 unreasonable about hourly rates between, say, 200 and 4 \$400 an hour? 5 A. No. 6 Q. What would you consider to be a reasonable 7 hourly rate for someone that might be doing a probate 8 administration or even a trust administration? 9 MR. REED: Objection, form. 10 A. An hourly rate? 11 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Yes, ma'am. 12 A. I don't know what a reasonable I mean, 13 that's 14 Q. Well, if a client asked for a recommendation 15 from you of I have to pick someone to be my successor 16 trustee when I'm not here anymore. I want them to be 17 compensated what would the conversation be like in
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 that I'm required to do. Maintaining wealth I'm a member of Wealth Council. So I attend Wealth Council meetings twice a year. Q. As a result of the extra continuing education, don't you also hold a designation for State Bar of College or State Bar College? A. Yes. Q. So in addition to the extra continuing education programs that you just described, do you have any other special training in the area of estates and trusts or planning or estate and trust administration other than doing the work? A. Special training, no. I mean, not other than just the practice. Q. When you do work for clients so let's talk about the planning work versus the administration work. Back when you were working on the 	 Q. So from your perspective, is there anything unreasonable about hourly rates between, say, 200 and \$400 an hour? A. No. Q. What would you consider to be a reasonable hourly rate for someone that might be doing a probate administration or even a trust administration? MR. REED: Objection, form. A. An hourly rate? Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Yes, ma'am. A. I don't know what a reasonable I mean, that's Q. Well, if a client asked for a recommendation from you of I have to pick someone to be my successor trustee when I'm not here anymore. I want them to be compensated what would the conversation be like in terms of recommendations that you might make to the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 that I'm required to do. Maintaining wealth I'm a member of Wealth Council. So I attend Wealth Council meetings twice a year. Q. As a result of the extra continuing education, don't you also hold a designation for State Bar of College or State Bar College? A. Yes. Q. So in addition to the extra continuing education programs that you just described, do you have any other special training in the area of estates and trusts or planning or estate and trust administration other than doing the work? A. Special training, no. I mean, not other than just the practice. Q. When you do work for clients so let's talk about the planning work versus the administration work. Back when you were working on the 	 Q. So from your perspective, is there anything unreasonable about hourly rates between, say, 200 and \$400 an hour? A. No. Q. What would you consider to be a reasonable hourly rate for someone that might be doing a probate administration or even a trust administration? MR. REED: Objection, form. A. An hourly rate? Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Yes, ma'am. A. I don't know what a reasonable I mean, that's Q. Well, if a client asked for a recommendation from you of I have to pick someone to be my successor trustee when I'm not here anymore. I want them to be compensated what would the conversation be like in terms of recommendations that you might make to the client?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 that I'm required to do. Maintaining wealth I'm a member of Wealth Council. So I attend Wealth Council meetings twice a year. Q. As a result of the extra continuing education, don't you also hold a designation for State Bar of College or State Bar College? A. Yes. Q. So in addition to the extra continuing education programs that you just described, do you have any other special training in the area of estates and trusts or planning or estate and trust administration other than doing the work? A. Special training, no. I mean, not other than just the practice. Q. When you do work for clients so let's talk about the planning work versus the administration work. Back when you were working on the Brunsting matter, were y'all doing things on an hourly rate, a flat rate, some combination? 	2 Q. So from your perspective, is there anything 3 unreasonable about hourly rates between, say, 200 and 4 \$400 an hour? 5 A. No. 6 Q. What would you consider to be a reasonable 7 hourly rate for someone that might be doing a probate 8 administration or even a trust administration? 9 MR. REED: Objection, form. 10 A. An hourly rate? 11 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Yes, ma'am. 12 A. I don't know what a reasonable I mean, 13 that's 14 Q. Well, if a client asked for a recommendation 15 from you of I have to pick someone to be my successor 16 trustee when I'm not here anymore. I want them to be 17 compensated what would the conversation be like in 18 terms of recommendations that you might make to the 19 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 that I'm required to do. Maintaining wealth I'm a member of Wealth Council. So I attend Wealth Council meetings twice a year. Q. As a result of the extra continuing education, don't you also hold a designation for State Bar of College or State Bar College? A. Yes. Q. So in addition to the extra continuing education programs that you just described, do you have any other special training in the area of estates and trusts or planning or estate and trust administration other than doing the work? A. Special training, no. I mean, not other than just the practice. Q. When you do work for clients so let's talk about the planning work versus the administration work. Back when you were working on the Brunsting matter, were y'all doing things on an hourly rate, a flat rate, some combination? A. Typically estate planning issues were done on a 	2 Q. So from your perspective, is there anything 3 unreasonable about hourly rates between, say, 200 and 4 \$400 an hour? 5 A. No. 6 Q. What would you consider to be a reasonable 7 hourly rate for someone that might be doing a probate 8 administration or even a trust administration? 9 MR. REED: Objection, form. 10 A. An hourly rate? 11 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Yes, ma'am. 12 A. I don't know what a reasonable I mean, 13 that's 14 Q. Well, if a client asked for a recommendation 15 from you of I have to pick someone to be my successor 16 trustee when I'm not here anymore. I want them to be 17 compensated what would the conversation be like in 18 terms of recommendations that you might make to the 19 client? 20 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 21 A. On the rate a trustee would charge or the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 that I'm required to do. Maintaining wealth I'm a member of Wealth Council. So I attend Wealth Council meetings twice a year. Q. As a result of the extra continuing education, don't you also hold a designation for State Bar of College or State Bar College? A. Yes. Q. So in addition to the extra continuing education programs that you just described, do you have any other special training in the area of estates and trusts or planning or estate and trust administration other than doing the work? A. Special training, no. I mean, not other than just the practice. Q. When you do work for clients so let's talk about the planning work versus the administration work. Back when you were working on the Brunsting matter, were y'all doing things on an hourly rate, a flat rate, some combination? A. Typically estate planning issues were done on a flat rate. 	2 Q. So from your perspective, is there anything 3 unreasonable about hourly rates between, say, 200 and 4 \$400 an hour? 5 A. No. 6 Q. What would you consider to be a reasonable 7 hourly rate for someone that might be doing a probate 8 administration or even a trust administration? 9 MR. REED: Objection, form. 10 A. An hourly rate? 11 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Yes, ma'am. 12 A. I don't know what a reasonable I mean, 13 that's 14 Q. Well, if a client asked for a recommendation 15 from you of I have to pick someone to be my successor 16 trustee when I'm not here anymore. I want them to be 17 compensated what would the conversation be like in 18 terms of recommendations that you might make to the 19 client? 20 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 21 A. On the rate a trustee would charge or the 22 attorney? I'm not sure of your question.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 that I'm required to do. Maintaining wealth I'm a member of Wealth Council. So I attend Wealth Council meetings twice a year. Q. As a result of the extra continuing education, don't you also hold a designation for State Bar of College or State Bar College? A. Yes. Q. So in addition to the extra continuing education programs that you just described, do you have any other special training in the area of estates and trusts or planning or estate and trust administration other than doing the work? A. Special training, no. I mean, not other than just the practice. Q. When you do work for clients so let's talk about the planning work versus the administration work. Back when you were working on the Brunsting matter, were y'all doing things on an hourly rate, a flat rate, some combination? A. Typically estate planning issues were done on a flat rate. Q. Okay. 	2 Q. So from your perspective, is there anything 3 unreasonable about hourly rates between, say, 200 and 4 \$400 an hour? 5 A. No. 6 Q. What would you consider to be a reasonable 7 hourly rate for someone that might be doing a probate 8 administration or even a trust administration? 9 MR. REED: Objection, form. 10 A. An hourly rate? 11 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Yes, ma'am. 12 A. I don't know what a reasonable I mean, 13 that's 14 Q. Well, if a client asked for a recommendation 15 from you of I have to pick someone to be my successor 16 trustee when I'm not here anymore. I want them to be 17 compensated what would the conversation be like in 18 terms of recommendations that you might make to the 19 Client? 20 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 21 A. On the rate a trustee would charge or the 22 attorney? I'm not sure of your question. 23 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) The trustee.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 that I'm required to do. Maintaining wealth I'm a member of Wealth Council. So I attend Wealth Council meetings twice a year. Q. As a result of the extra continuing education, don't you also hold a designation for State Bar of College or State Bar College? A. Yes. Q. So in addition to the extra continuing education programs that you just described, do you have any other special training in the area of estates and trusts or planning or estate and trust administration other than doing the work? A. Special training, no. I mean, not other than just the practice. Q. When you do work for clients so let's talk about the planning work versus the administration work. Back when you were working on the Brunsting matter, were y'all doing things on an hourly rate, a flat rate, some combination? A. Typically estate planning issues were done on a flat rate. 	2 Q. So from your perspective, is there anything 3 unreasonable about hourly rates between, say, 200 and 4 \$400 an hour? 5 A. No. 6 Q. What would you consider to be a reasonable 7 hourly rate for someone that might be doing a probate 8 administration or even a trust administration? 9 MR. REED: Objection, form. 10 A. An hourly rate? 11 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Yes, ma'am. 12 A. I don't know what a reasonable I mean, 13 that's 14 Q. Well, if a client asked for a recommendation 15 from you of I have to pick someone to be my successor 16 trustee when I'm not here anymore. I want them to be 17 compensated what would the conversation be like in 18 terms of recommendations that you might make to the 19 client? 20 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 21 A. On the rate a trustee would charge or the 22 attorney? I'm not sure of your question.

Southwest Reporting & Video Service, Inc. Registration #189 swreptproduction@swreporting.com

	26	28
1	what's reasonable and customary for the job that they're	1 A. I would.
2	doing, depending on what they're actually doing. I give	² Q. From your perspective, would you consider
3	them an idea of what a corporate trustee would charge,	³ litigation to be very time-consuming?
4	and I also tell them that they are held to a higher	⁴ A. I would.
5	fiduciary standard if they take a fee.	⁵ Q. Would you consider discovery to be time-
6	Q. And so what is your understanding of what is a	6 consuming?
7	reasonable corporate trustee fee in Harris County?	7 A. I would.
8	A. Currently?	⁸ Q. Would you consider situations like today,
9	Q. Yes, ma'am.	⁹ preparing and attending a deposition, to be time-
10	A. My understanding is 1.2 to 1.3 percent for the	¹⁰ consuming?
11	first million, plus a minimum. And as the trust gets	¹¹ A. Yes, I would.
12	higher in value, the percentage is reduced typically.	¹² Q. Preparing and attending hearings?
13	Q. And so, as an example, is there any reason to	¹³ A. Yes, I would.
14	believe that a fee of 75 basis points for the next	¹⁴ Q. You believe it's reasonable for those who
15	couple of million would that be reasonable or	¹⁵ participate in that process to be compensated for their
16	unreasonable?	¹⁶ time for all of that. Would you agree with that?
17	MR. REED: Objection, form.	¹⁷ MR. REED: Object to form.
18	A. I don't understand 75 basis points. I'm sorry.	¹⁸ A. I would agree.
19	Q. (By Mr. Mendel) .75 of 1 percent.	¹⁹ Q. (By Mr. Mendel) I want to talk a little bit
20	A. Oh, sure. I think that would be I mean, it	²⁰ about well, let me back up for a second.
21	depends on what the corporate trustees are charging.	²¹ I want to talk about how the Vacek firm
22	They're all about the same.	²² handles its client consultations with respect to estate
23	Q. Okay. Any material difference, from your	²³ planning and what are sort of the steps.
24	perspective, for a trust administration currently, which	²⁴ So we know that Elmer and Nelva Brunsting
25	you indicated might be 1.2 to 1.3 percent what is	²⁵ had this 1996 trust. So if they want to get some sort
1 2	your understanding of what those rates might be back when Anita and Amy Brunsting were performing or had been	 of an update it's been referred to as a restatement how does that process work? How do you
3	performing an administration in this case?	 3 get from your original trust to the restated trust? 4 Are you asking me about the Brunstings
4	A. I would think they were about the same. I	The you asking the about the Drunstings
6	mean, I'm sure they get adjusted for inflation, and	specifically, of the you using usout any other cheft
7	different corporate trustees charge a minimum. I	
8	haven't looked at what they are now. Q. But from your perspective, no material	 Q. I just want kind of a quick overview of just about any client, and then I want to focus in particular
9	difference?	 about any cheft, and then I want to focus in particular on the Brunstings.
10	A. Over a ten-year period there probably is some	 A. So Mr. Vacek had clients that already had
11	difference, but	¹¹ trusts dating back to 1990, 1991. As the tax laws
12	Q. But going back to 2011, 2012, 2013	 ¹² change over time, clients are offered three-year
13	A. That was about the going rate.	¹³ reviews, to come in.
14	Q. Okay.	¹⁴ When they come in, we would talk to them
15	A. From what I recall.	¹⁵ about whether or not they needed any changes based on
16	Q. On the administrations, whether they're probate	¹⁶ the changes in the tax law, whether there were any
17	or trusts, have you gotten involved on the litigation	¹⁷ desired changes that they wanted to make. And at that
18	side of those kinds of cases?	¹⁸ time the client would decide whether or not they wanted
19		¹⁹ to amend, restate or their trust was fine as is.
	A. I do not.	to amend, restate of their trust was fine as is.
20	A. I do not.Q. Do you provide assistance I guess do you	20 Q. Okay. So when you sit down to restate the
20 21		
	Q. Do you provide assistance I guess do you	20 Q. Okay. So when you sit down to restate the
21	Q. Do you provide assistance I guess do you refer those kinds the litigation matters to someone	20 Q. Okay. So when you sit down to restate the 21 trust, what are sort of the common events or is there
21 22	Q. Do you provide assistance I guess do you refer those kinds the litigation matters to someone else?	20 Q. Okay. So when you sit down to restate the 21 trust, what are sort of the common events or is there 22 such a thing as common changes that a client might
21 22 23	Q. Do you provide assistance I guess do you refer those kinds the litigation matters to someone else?A. I would.	20Q. Okay. So when you sit down to restate the21trust, what are sort of the common events or is there22such a thing as common changes that a client might23implement with regard to going from an original trust to

8 (Pages 26 to 29)

	30		32
1	MR. REED: Objection, form.	¹ with Nelva Brunsting with regard to why she	e wanted to
2	A. Tax law changes, familial changes. There would	² amend again?	
3	also be changes in homestead laws, changes in HIPAA	³ A. Because Carl was listed as a co-tr	ustee and
4	laws, updates of medical powers of attorney, updates of	⁴ first on some documents, and she wasn't	
5	durable general powers of attorney.	⁵ going to actually live.	
6	Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Okay. Do you have a	6 Q. I think Candy Curtis was also listed as	s either
7	recollection of what Mr. and Mrs. Brunsting had why	7 a trustee or a successor trustee on some instru	
8	they decided to do a restated trust?	8 and she was removed or not permitted to be a	
9	A. I do not. I was not involved with the	9 trustee.	
10	restatement, as it was before I believe it was before	10 Do you have a recollection as to wh	y that
11	I worked at the law firm.	11 change was made?	
12	O. That's '07?	12 A. She was listed as a co-trustee, I be	elieve, with
13	A. I started in '07.	13 Carl Brunsting. Typically I don't recom	
14	Q. Okay. All right.	¹⁴ family member is outside the state of Te	
15	So now let's move forward and talk about	¹⁵ more difficult logistically to operate and	
16	the QBD. Apparently something got signed under	¹⁶ administration or trust work.	
17	Exhibit 5 is an instrument that was signed in June of	¹⁷ Nelva and Mr. Brunsting, Elme	er, always had
18	2010 related to the QBD.	¹⁸ listed co-trustees throughout their docu	•
19	What is your recollection of what brought	¹⁹ believe it was just a check and balance o	
20	Nelva Brunsting to the office to make some changes?	²⁰ children just to make sure that there wa	
21	A. You have to forgive me because this was a long	21 Candy was removed at that tim	
22	time ago already, nine years ago or almost nine years	²² co-trustees were more local, one in Victo	
23	ago. But my recollection of this particular one, in the	²³ New Braunfels, I believe.	
24	trust document it stated that the trust or the trustee	Q. Okay. When you're engaged in conve	ersations
25	could make gifts, and it was not an advance on their	²⁵ with clients in doing this kind of planning, w	
	31		33
1	trust share.	¹ attention do you give to the issue of test	
2	trust share. But Ms. Brunsting had an occasion where	² capacity?	tamentary
2 3	trust share. But Ms. Brunsting had an occasion where two of her children needed some funds, and she wanted to	 ² capacity? ³ A. Well, I mean, I usually can spot 	tamentary t if there's an
2 3 4	trust share. But Ms. Brunsting had an occasion where two of her children needed some funds, and she wanted to make those gifts; but she did not <mark>she wanted to keep</mark>	 ² capacity? ³ A. Well, I mean, I usually can spot issue. If someone has not given me an 	tamentary t if there's an ny indication that
2 3 4 5	trust share. But Ms. Brunsting had an occasion where two of her children needed some funds, and she wanted to make those gifts; but she did not she wanted to keep it equal amongst her children. So that necessitated	 ² capacity? ³ A. Well, I mean, I usually can spot issue. If someone has not given me an there's an incapacity issue, then I real 	tamentary t if there's an ny indication that
2 3 4 5 6	trust share. But Ms. Brunsting had an occasion where two of her children needed some funds, and she wanted to make those gifts; but she did not she wanted to keep it equal amongst her children. So that necessitated amending the trust.	 attention do you grie to the liste of text capacity? A. Well, I mean, I usually can spot issue. If someone has not given me an there's an incapacity issue, then I real about it. 	tamentary t if there's an ny indication that lly don't worry
2 3 4 5 6 7	trust share. But Ms. Brunsting had an occasion where two of her children needed some funds, and she wanted to make those gifts; but she did not she wanted to keep it equal amongst her children. So that necessitated amending the trust. Q. And those two children would be who?	 activities for give to the liste of each capacity? A. Well, I mean, I usually can spot issue. If someone has not given me an there's an incapacity issue, then I real about it. 7 I would look at what they're 	tamentary t if there's an ny indication that lly don't worry asking me to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	trust share. But Ms. Brunsting had an occasion where two of her children needed some funds, and she wanted to make those gifts; but she did not she wanted to keep it equal amongst her children. So that necessitated amending the trust. Q. And those two children would be who? A. Carole Brunsting and Candy Curtis.	 activities for give to the liste of text capacity? A. Well, I mean, I usually can spot issue. If someone has not given me an there's an incapacity issue, then I real about it. I would look at what they're do. Is it totally out of character? Is it 	tamentary t if there's an ny indication that lly don't worry asking me to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	trust share. But Ms. Brunsting had an occasion where two of her children needed some funds, and she wanted to make those gifts; but she did not she wanted to keep it equal amongst her children. So that necessitated amending the trust. Q. And those two children would be who? A. Carole Brunsting and Candy Curtis. Q. And your understanding of why Carole was	 alternion do you grie to the inside of text capacity? A. Well, I mean, I usually can spot issue. If someone has not given me an there's an incapacity issue, then I real about it. I would look at what they're do. Is it totally out of character? Is i change? 	tamentary t if there's an ny indication that lly don't worry asking me to it a major
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	trust share. But Ms. Brunsting had an occasion where two of her children needed some funds, and she wanted to make those gifts; but she did not she wanted to keep it equal amongst her children. So that necessitated amending the trust. Q. And those two children would be who? A. Carole Brunsting and Candy Curtis. Q. And your understanding of why Carole was receiving gifts was what?	 alternion do you grie to the liste of text capacity? A. Well, I mean, I usually can spot issue. If someone has not given me an there's an incapacity issue, then I real about it. I would look at what they're do. Is it totally out of character? Is i change? I mean, you're asking me wh 	tamentary t if there's an ny indication that lly don't worry asking me to it a major ether or not I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	trust share. But Ms. Brunsting had an occasion where two of her children needed some funds, and she wanted to make those gifts; but she did not she wanted to keep it equal amongst her children. So that necessitated amending the trust. Q. And those two children would be who? A. Carole Brunsting and Candy Curtis. Q. And your understanding of why Carole was receiving gifts was what? A. I honestly	 an and a bourger of the last of texts capacity? A. Well, I mean, I usually can spotissue. If someone has not given mean there's an incapacity issue, then I real about it. I would look at what they're do. Is it totally out of character? Is inchange? I mean, you're asking me wh give thought to it. I do, but I don't as 	t if there's an ny indication that lly don't worry asking me to it a major ether or not I ssume that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	trust share. But Ms. Brunsting had an occasion where two of her children needed some funds, and she wanted to make those gifts; but she did not she wanted to keep it equal amongst her children. So that necessitated amending the trust. Q. And those two children would be who? A. Carole Brunsting and Candy Curtis. Q. And your understanding of why Carole was receiving gifts was what? A. I honestly MR. REED: Form.	 analysis in the solution is solution. A. Well, I mean, I usually can spot is solution in the solution is solution. about it. I would look at what they're incapacity is solution. about it. I mean, you're asking me when the solution is incapacitated every time they. 	t if there's an ny indication that lly don't worry asking me to it a major ether or not I ssume that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	trust share. But Ms. Brunsting had an occasion where two of her children needed some funds, and she wanted to make those gifts; but she did not she wanted to keep it equal amongst her children. So that necessitated amending the trust. Q. And those two children would be who? A. Carole Brunsting and Candy Curtis. Q. And your understanding of why Carole was receiving gifts was what? A. I honestly MR. REED: Form. A don't recall what the purpose of that was.	 analysis in the foreign of the instance of text capacity? A. Well, I mean, I usually can spot issue. If someone has not given me an there's an incapacity issue, then I real about it. I would look at what they're do. Is it totally out of character? Is i change? I mean, you're asking me wh give thought to it. I do, but I don't as they're incapacitated every time they office. 	tamentary t if there's an ny indication that lly don't worry asking me to it a major ether or not I ssume that walk into my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	trust share. But Ms. Brunsting had an occasion where two of her children needed some funds, and she wanted to make those gifts; but she did not she wanted to keep it equal amongst her children. So that necessitated amending the trust. Q. And those two children would be who? A. Carole Brunsting and Candy Curtis. Q. And your understanding of why Carole was receiving gifts was what? A. I honestly MR. REED: Form. A don't recall what the purpose of that was. I mean, that's between Mom and her children.	 analysis in the solution is solution. A. Well, I mean, I usually can spotistic in the solution is solution. is solution is solution in the solution is solution in the solution is solution. is the solution is in the solution is solution in the solution in the solution is solution. is the solution is in the solution is solution in the solution in the solution is solution. is the solution is in the solution is solution in the solution in the solution is solution. is the solution is solution in the solution in the solution is solution. is the solution is solution in the solution is solution. is the solution is solution in the solution is solution. is the solution is solution in the solution is solution. is the solution is solution in the solution is solution. is the solution is solution in the solution is solution. is the solution is solution in the solution is solution. is the solution is solution in the solution is solution. is the solution is solution in the solution in the solution is solution. is the solution is solution in the solution in the solution is solution. is the solution is solution in the solution in the solution in the solution is solution. is the solution is solution in the solution in the solution in the solution is solution. is the solution is solution in the solution in the solution in the solution is solution. is the solution is solution in the solution in the solution in the solution is solution in the solution in the solution in the solution is solution in the solution	tamentary t if there's an ny indication that lly don't worry asking me to it a major ether or not I ssume that walk into my get a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 trust share. But Ms. Brunsting had an occasion where two of her children needed some funds, and she wanted to make those gifts; but she did not she wanted to keep it equal amongst her children. So that necessitated amending the trust. Q. And those two children would be who? A. Carole Brunsting and Candy Curtis. Q. And your understanding of why Carole was receiving gifts was what? A. I honestly MR. REED: Form. A don't recall what the purpose of that was. I mean, that's between Mom and her children. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Okay. Do you have a 	 analysis of give to the laste of text capacity? A. Well, I mean, I usually can spot issue. If someone has not given me ar there's an incapacity issue, then I rea about it. I would look at what they're do. Is it totally out of character? Is i change? I mean, you're asking me wh give thought to it. I do, but I don't as they're incapacitated every time they office. Q. And that's fine. I just wanted to g general sense of, in particular for the time 	tamentary t if there's an ny indication that lly don't worry asking me to it a major ether or not I ssume that walk into my get a ne frame of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 trust share. But Ms. Brunsting had an occasion where two of her children needed some funds, and she wanted to make those gifts; but she did not she wanted to keep it equal amongst her children. So that necessitated amending the trust. Q. And those two children would be who? A. Carole Brunsting and Candy Curtis. Q. And your understanding of why Carole was receiving gifts was what? A. I honestly MR. REED: Form. A don't recall what the purpose of that was. I mean, that's between Mom and her children. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Okay. Do you have a recollection of the nature or the purpose of the gifts 	 analysis of a give to the laste of text capacity? A. Well, I mean, I usually can spot issue. If someone has not given me an there's an incapacity issue, then I real about it. I would look at what they're do. Is it totally out of character? Is i change? I mean, you're asking me wh give thought to it. I do, but I don't as they're incapacitated every time they office. Q. And that's fine. I just wanted to general sense of, in particular for the tim June of 2010 to August of 2010, with real series of the series of th	tamentary t if there's an ny indication that lly don't worry asking me to it a major ether or not I ssume that walk into my get a, ne frame of egard to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 trust share. But Ms. Brunsting had an occasion where two of her children needed some funds, and she wanted to make those gifts; but she did not she wanted to keep it equal amongst her children. So that necessitated amending the trust. Q. And those two children would be who? A. Carole Brunsting and Candy Curtis. Q. And your understanding of why Carole was receiving gifts was what? A. I honestly MR. REED: Form. A don't recall what the purpose of that was. I mean, that's between Mom and her children. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Okay. Do you have a recollection of the nature or the purpose of the gifts with regard to Candy Curtis? 	 alterniou do you grie to the hole of text capacity? A. Well, I mean, I usually can spot issue. If someone has not given me an there's an incapacity issue, then I real about it. I would look at what they're do. Is it totally out of character? Is i change? I mean, you're asking me wh give thought to it. I do, but I don't as they're incapacitated every time they office. Q. And that's fine. I just wanted to g general sense of, in particular for the time June of 2010 to August of 2010, with real Exhibits 5 and 6, which are QBD-related 	tamentary t if there's an ny indication that lly don't worry asking me to it a major ether or not I ssume that walk into my get a ne frame of egard to ed, that you at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 trust share. But Ms. Brunsting had an occasion where two of her children needed some funds, and she wanted to make those gifts; but she did not she wanted to keep it equal amongst her children. So that necessitated amending the trust. Q. And those two children would be who? A. Carole Brunsting and Candy Curtis. Q. And your understanding of why Carole was receiving gifts was what? A. I honestly MR. REED: Form. A don't recall what the purpose of that was. I mean, that's between Mom and her children. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Okay. Do you have a recollection of the nature or the purpose of the gifts with regard to Candy Curtis? A. I don't. 	 alterniou do you grie to the hole of text capacity? A. Well, I mean, I usually can spo issue. If someone has not given me an there's an incapacity issue, then I real about it. I would look at what they're do. Is it totally out of character? Is i change? I mean, you're asking me wh give thought to it. I do, but I don't as they're incapacitated every time they office. Q. And that's fine. I just wanted to g general sense of, in particular for the time June of 2010 to August of 2010, with real Exhibits 5 and 6, which are QBD-relate least had a comfort level that Nelva Bru 	tamentary t if there's an ny indication that lly don't worry asking me to it a major ether or not I ssume that walk into my get a ne frame of egard to ed, that you at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 trust share. But Ms. Brunsting had an occasion where two of her children needed some funds, and she wanted to make those gifts; but she did not she wanted to keep it equal amongst her children. So that necessitated amending the trust. Q. And those two children would be who? A. Carole Brunsting and Candy Curtis. Q. And your understanding of why Carole was receiving gifts was what? A. I honestly MR. REED: Form. A don't recall what the purpose of that was. I mean, that's between Mom and her children. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Okay. Do you have a recollection of the nature or the purpose of the gifts with regard to Candy Curtis? A. I don't. Q. In or about July 2010, Carl Brunsting became 	 analysis of a give to the laste of text capacity? A. Well, I mean, I usually can spotissue. If someone has not given me an there's an incapacity issue, then I real about it. I would look at what they're do. Is it totally out of character? Is i change? I mean, you're asking me wh give thought to it. I do, but I don't as they're incapacitated every time they office. Q. And that's fine. I just wanted to general sense of, in particular for the time June of 2010 to August of 2010, with real sense that a comfort level that Nelva Bru capacity to sign these instruments. 	tamentary t if there's an ny indication that lly don't worry asking me to it a major ether or not I ssume that walk into my get a ne frame of egard to ed, that you at insting had the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 trust share. But Ms. Brunsting had an occasion where two of her children needed some funds, and she wanted to make those gifts; but she did not she wanted to keep it equal amongst her children. So that necessitated amending the trust. Q. And those two children would be who? A. Carole Brunsting and Candy Curtis. Q. And your understanding of why Carole was receiving gifts was what? A. I honestly MR. REED: Form. A don't recall what the purpose of that was. I mean, that's between Mom and her children. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Okay. Do you have a recollection of the nature or the purpose of the gifts with regard to Candy Curtis? A. I don't. Q. In or about July 2010, Carl Brunsting became ill from which is my understanding in looking at 	 analysis of a give to the laste of text capacity? A. Well, I mean, I usually can spot issue. If someone has not given me an there's an incapacity issue, then I real about it. I would look at what they're do. Is it totally out of character? Is i change? I mean, you're asking me wh give thought to it. I do, but I don't as they're incapacitated every time they office. Q. And that's fine. I just wanted to general sense of, in particular for the tim June of 2010 to August of 2010, with recepted that a comfort level that Nelva Bru capacity to sign these instruments. A. Yes, absolutely. I mean, nothin 	tamentary t if there's an ny indication that lly don't worry asking me to it a major ether or not I ssume that walk into my get a ne frame of egard to ed, that you at insting had the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 trust share. But Ms. Brunsting had an occasion where two of her children needed some funds, and she wanted to make those gifts; but she did not she wanted to keep it equal amongst her children. So that necessitated amending the trust. Q. And those two children would be who? A. Carole Brunsting and Candy Curtis. Q. And your understanding of why Carole was receiving gifts was what? A. I honestly MR. REED: Form. A don't recall what the purpose of that was. I mean, that's between Mom and her children. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Okay. Do you have a recollection of the nature or the purpose of the gifts with regard to Candy Curtis? A. I don't. Q. In or about July 2010, Carl Brunsting became ill from which is my understanding in looking at documents with encephalitis. And then it appears 	 attention to you give to the laste of text capacity? A. Well, I mean, I usually can spot issue. If someone has not given me ar there's an incapacity issue, then I reat about it. I would look at what they're do. Is it totally out of character? Is i change? I mean, you're asking me wh give thought to it. I do, but I don't as they're incapacitated every time they office. Q. And that's fine. I just wanted to g general sense of, in particular for the tim June of 2010 to August of 2010, with re Exhibits 5 and 6, which are QBD-relate least had a comfort level that Nelva Bru capacity to sign these instruments. A. Yes, absolutely. I mean, nothin me that she didn't. 	tamentary t if there's an ny indication that lly don't worry asking me to it a major ether or not I ssume that walk into my get a ne frame of egard to ed, that you at unsting had the ng indicated to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 trust share. But Ms. Brunsting had an occasion where two of her children needed some funds, and she wanted to make those gifts; but she did not she wanted to keep it equal amongst her children. So that necessitated amending the trust. Q. And those two children would be who? A. Carole Brunsting and Candy Curtis. Q. And your understanding of why Carole was receiving gifts was what? A. I honestly MR. REED: Form. A don't recall what the purpose of that was. I mean, that's between Mom and her children. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Okay. Do you have a recollection of the nature or the purpose of the gifts with regard to Candy Curtis? A. I don't. Q. In or about July 2010, Carl Brunsting became ill from which is my understanding in looking at documents with encephalitis. And then it appears that there may have been some discussions about amending 	 analysis of a give to the laste of text capacity? A. Well, I mean, I usually can spot issue. If someone has not given me an there's an incapacity issue, then I real about it. I would look at what they're do. Is it totally out of character? Is i change? I mean, you're asking me wh give thought to it. I do, but I don't as they're incapacitated every time they office. Q. And that's fine. I just wanted to g general sense of, in particular for the tim June of 2010 to August of 2010, with re Exhibits 5 and 6, which are QBD-relate least had a comfort level that Nelva Bru capacity to sign these instruments. A. Yes, absolutely. I mean, nothin me that she didn't. Q. And so when you say nothing ind 	tamentary t if there's an ny indication that lly don't worry asking me to it a major ether or not I ssume that walk into my get a ne frame of egard to ed, that you at unsting had the ng indicated to licated to you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 trust share. But Ms. Brunsting had an occasion where two of her children needed some funds, and she wanted to make those gifts; but she did not she wanted to keep it equal amongst her children. So that necessitated amending the trust. Q. And those two children would be who? A. Carole Brunsting and Candy Curtis. Q. And your understanding of why Carole was receiving gifts was what? A. I honestly MR. REED: Form. A don't recall what the purpose of that was. I mean, that's between Mom and her children. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Okay. Do you have a recollection of the nature or the purpose of the gifts with regard to Candy Curtis? A. I don't. Q. In or about July 2010, Carl Brunsting became ill from which is my understanding in looking at documents with encephalitis. And then it appears that there may have been some discussions about amending the QBD again? 	 analysis of a give to the laste of text capacity? A. Well, I mean, I usually can spot issue. If someone has not given me an there's an incapacity issue, then I real about it. I would look at what they're do. Is it totally out of character? Is i change? I mean, you're asking me wh give thought to it. I do, but I don't as they're incapacitated every time they office. Q. And that's fine. I just wanted to g general sense of, in particular for the tim June of 2010 to August of 2010, with re Exhibits 5 and 6, which are QBD-relate least had a comfort level that Nelva Bru capacity to sign these instruments. A. Yes, absolutely. I mean, nothin me that she didn't. Q. And so when you say nothing ind that she didn't, is that based on your cor 	tamentary t if there's an ny indication that lly don't worry asking me to it a major ether or not I sume that walk into my get a ne frame of egard to ed, that you at insting had the ing indicated to licated to you iversations and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 trust share. But Ms. Brunsting had an occasion where two of her children needed some funds, and she wanted to make those gifts; but she did not she wanted to keep it equal amongst her children. So that necessitated amending the trust. Q. And those two children would be who? A. Carole Brunsting and Candy Curtis. Q. And your understanding of why Carole was receiving gifts was what? A. I honestly MR. REED: Form. A don't recall what the purpose of that was. I mean, that's between Mom and her children. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Okay. Do you have a recollection of the nature or the purpose of the gifts with regard to Candy Curtis? A. I don't. Q. In or about July 2010, Carl Brunsting became ill from which is my understanding in looking at documents with encephalitis. And then it appears that there may have been some discussions about amending 	 analysis of a give to the laste of text capacity? A. Well, I mean, I usually can spot issue. If someone has not given me an there's an incapacity issue, then I real about it. I would look at what they're do. Is it totally out of character? Is i change? I mean, you're asking me wh give thought to it. I do, but I don't as they're incapacitated every time they office. Q. And that's fine. I just wanted to g general sense of, in particular for the tim June of 2010 to August of 2010, with re Exhibits 5 and 6, which are QBD-relate least had a comfort level that Nelva Bru capacity to sign these instruments. A. Yes, absolutely. I mean, nothin me that she didn't. Q. And so when you say nothing ind 	tamentary t if there's an ny indication that lly don't worry asking me to it a major ether or not I sume that walk into my get a ne frame of egard to ed, that you at insting had the ing indicated to licated to you iversations and

9 (Pages 30 to 33)

ζ

	34		36
1	A. That's correct. You know, does she drive	1	A. Yes.
2	herself there?	2	Q. Did she have a general understanding of how she
3	Q. Which is a great point.	3	wanted those assets to be managed when she wasn't here?
4	A. Uh-huh.	4	A. Yes.
5	Q. Did she drive herself there?	5	Q. When I say a general understanding of assets,
6	A. Yes, uh-huh.	6	I'm talking about liquid assets as well as the farm that
7	MR. REED: Is that a "yes"?	7	was up in Iowa.
8	THE WITNESS: That's a "yes."	8	A. Sure, yes.
9	Q. (By Mr. Mendel) And so for these meetings for	9	Q. Did you have discussions with her about those
10	Exhibit 5 and Exhibit 6, did any of the other children	10	assets?
11	attend those meetings?	11	A. Yes.
12	A. No, not that I recall.	12	Q. At any time in the June to August time frame,
13	Q. With regard to Exhibit 6, which is a longer	13	did she, from your perspective, exhibit act
14	instrument in terms of pages and more detail as compared	14	irrationally or exhibit some sort of irrational
15	to Exhibit 5, what sort of process I mean, the client	15	behavior?
16	would indicate to you what it is they wanted, and you	16	A. June to August of what year?
17	would prepare the instrument?	17	Q. 2010.
18	A. That's correct.	18	MR. REED: What was the question?
19	Q. Okay. And then what sort of a discussion would	19	MR. MENDEL: Did Nelva Brunsting ever show
20	you have with the client, and in particular Nelva	20	any sort of irrational behavior during that time period.
21	Brunsting, to help her, at least at the time that she	21	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.
22	signed the instruments, to have an appreciation for what	22	A. Not that I'm aware.
23	they say?	23	Q. (By Mr. Mendel) On the day that she signed
24	A. What would I say to the client to make sure she	24	these instruments, as you recall if I understood your
25	had an appreciation of what it said?	25	testimony correctly a moment ago, none of the adult
	35		37
1	Q. Yes, ma'am.	1	children came to any of these meetings.
2	A. I would explain what the trust that they have	2	A. You asked me about these two.
	1 I would explain what the trust that they have		
3	-		
3 4	says currently, what changes they're wanting to make,	3	Q. Exhibits 5 and 6.
3 4 5	says currently, what changes they're wanting to make, what changes are in the document, to follow their	3	Q. Exhibits 5 and 6.A. Yes. I do not recall any of her children
4	says currently, what changes they're wanting to make, what changes are in the document, to follow their instructions on which they desire to make on the things	3 4	Q. Exhibits 5 and 6.A. Yes. I do not recall any of her children coming. I believe she drove herself.
4 5	says currently, what changes they're wanting to make, what changes are in the document, to follow their instructions on which they desire to make on the things that they wanted to change, and how that would work if	3 4 5	 Q. Exhibits 5 and 6. A. Yes. I do not recall any of her children coming. I believe she drove herself. Q. Not only to the meetings, but she drove herself
4 5 6	says currently, what changes they're wanting to make, what changes are in the document, to follow their instructions on which they desire to make on the things that they wanted to change, and how that would work if they were to pass away right now, as signed.	3 4 5 6	 Q. Exhibits 5 and 6. A. Yes. I do not recall any of her children coming. I believe she drove herself. Q. Not only to the meetings, but she drove herself for the signing?
4 5 6 7	says currently, what changes they're wanting to make, what changes are in the document, to follow their instructions on which they desire to make on the things that they wanted to change, and how that would work if they were to pass away right now, as signed. Q. Okay. And with regard to Exhibit 5 and	3 4 5 6 7	 Q. Exhibits 5 and 6. A. Yes. I do not recall any of her children coming. I believe she drove herself. Q. Not only to the meetings, but she drove herself for the signing? A. To sign them as well.
4 5 6 7 8	says currently, what changes they're wanting to make, what changes are in the document, to follow their instructions on which they desire to make on the things that they wanted to change, and how that would work if they were to pass away right now, as signed. Q. Okay. And with regard to Exhibit 5 and Exhibit 6, the is that the kind of conversation that	3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. Exhibits 5 and 6. A. Yes. I do not recall any of her children coming. I believe she drove herself. Q. Not only to the meetings, but she drove herself for the signing? A. To sign them as well. Q. And no children were present at those signings?
4 5 7 8 9	says currently, what changes they're wanting to make, what changes are in the document, to follow their instructions on which they desire to make on the things that they wanted to change, and how that would work if they were to pass away right now, as signed. Q. Okay. And with regard to Exhibit 5 and Exhibit 6, the is that the kind of conversation that you, in fact, would have had with Nelva Brunsting?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Exhibits 5 and 6. A. Yes. I do not recall any of her children coming. I believe she drove herself. Q. Not only to the meetings, but she drove herself for the signing? A. To sign them as well. Q. And no children were present at those signings? A. No, they were not.
4 5 7 8 9 10	 says currently, what changes they're wanting to make, what changes are in the document, to follow their instructions on which they desire to make on the things that they wanted to change, and how that would work if they were to pass away right now, as signed. Q. Okay. And with regard to Exhibit 5 and Exhibit 6, the is that the kind of conversation that you, in fact, would have had with Nelva Brunsting? A. Oh, absolutely, yes. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. Exhibits 5 and 6. A. Yes. I do not recall any of her children coming. I believe she drove herself. Q. Not only to the meetings, but she drove herself for the signing? A. To sign them as well. Q. And no children were present at those signings? A. No, they were not. Q. Okay. And in your interactions with
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 says currently, what changes they're wanting to make, what changes are in the document, to follow their instructions on which they desire to make on the things that they wanted to change, and how that would work if they were to pass away right now, as signed. Q. Okay. And with regard to Exhibit 5 and Exhibit 6, the is that the kind of conversation that you, in fact, would have had with Nelva Brunsting? A. Oh, absolutely, yes. Q. And would it be fair to say that after having 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. Exhibits 5 and 6. A. Yes. I do not recall any of her children coming. I believe she drove herself. Q. Not only to the meetings, but she drove herself for the signing? A. To sign them as well. Q. And no children were present at those signings? A. No, they were not. Q. Okay. And in your interactions with Ms. Brunsting, I mean, I guess, what was sort of her
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 says currently, what changes they're wanting to make, what changes are in the document, to follow their instructions on which they desire to make on the things that they wanted to change, and how that would work if they were to pass away right now, as signed. Q. Okay. And with regard to Exhibit 5 and Exhibit 6, the is that the kind of conversation that you, in fact, would have had with Nelva Brunsting? A. Oh, absolutely, yes. Q. And would it be fair to say that after having that conversation with her, from your perspective, she 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. Exhibits 5 and 6. A. Yes. I do not recall any of her children coming. I believe she drove herself. Q. Not only to the meetings, but she drove herself for the signing? A. To sign them as well. Q. And no children were present at those signings? A. No, they were not. Q. Okay. And in your interactions with Ms. Brunsting, I mean, I guess, what was sort of her from her outward expression, did she seem relieved by
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 says currently, what changes they're wanting to make, what changes are in the document, to follow their instructions on which they desire to make on the things that they wanted to change, and how that would work if they were to pass away right now, as signed. Q. Okay. And with regard to Exhibit 5 and Exhibit 6, the is that the kind of conversation that you, in fact, would have had with Nelva Brunsting? A. Oh, absolutely, yes. Q. And would it be fair to say that after having 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Exhibits 5 and 6. A. Yes. I do not recall any of her children coming. I believe she drove herself. Q. Not only to the meetings, but she drove herself for the signing? A. To sign them as well. Q. And no children were present at those signings? A. No, they were not. Q. Okay. And in your interactions with Ms. Brunsting, I mean, I guess, what was sort of her from her outward expression, did she seem relieved by getting these things done? Upset?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 says currently, what changes they're wanting to make, what changes are in the document, to follow their instructions on which they desire to make on the things that they wanted to change, and how that would work if they were to pass away right now, as signed. Q. Okay. And with regard to Exhibit 5 and Exhibit 6, the is that the kind of conversation that you, in fact, would have had with Nelva Brunsting? A. Oh, absolutely, yes. Q. And would it be fair to say that after having that conversation with her, from your perspective, she had an appreciation for the essence of what that instrument was about? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. Exhibits 5 and 6. A. Yes. I do not recall any of her children coming. I believe she drove herself. Q. Not only to the meetings, but she drove herself for the signing? A. To sign them as well. Q. And no children were present at those signings? A. No, they were not. Q. Okay. And in your interactions with Ms. Brunsting, I mean, I guess, what was sort of herfrom her outward expression, did she seem relieved by getting these things done? Upset? What was your perception of how she felt
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 says currently, what changes they're wanting to make, what changes are in the document, to follow their instructions on which they desire to make on the things that they wanted to change, and how that would work if they were to pass away right now, as signed. Q. Okay. And with regard to Exhibit 5 and Exhibit 6, the is that the kind of conversation that you, in fact, would have had with Nelva Brunsting? A. Oh, absolutely, yes. Q. And would it be fair to say that after having that conversation with her, from your perspective, she had an appreciation for the essence of what that 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. Exhibits 5 and 6. A. Yes. I do not recall any of her children coming. I believe she drove herself. Q. Not only to the meetings, but she drove herself for the signing? A. To sign them as well. Q. And no children were present at those signings? A. No, they were not. Q. Okay. And in your interactions with Ms. Brunsting, I mean, I guess, what was sort of herfrom her outward expression, did she seem relieved by getting these things done? Upset? What was your perception of how she felt about making these changes?
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 says currently, what changes they're wanting to make, what changes are in the document, to follow their instructions on which they desire to make on the things that they wanted to change, and how that would work if they were to pass away right now, as signed. Q. Okay. And with regard to Exhibit 5 and Exhibit 6, the is that the kind of conversation that you, in fact, would have had with Nelva Brunsting? A. Oh, absolutely, yes. Q. And would it be fair to say that after having that conversation with her, from your perspective, she had an appreciation for the essence of what that instrument was about? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. Exhibits 5 and 6. A. Yes. I do not recall any of her children coming. I believe she drove herself. Q. Not only to the meetings, but she drove herself for the signing? A. To sign them as well. Q. And no children were present at those signings? A. No, they were not. Q. Okay. And in your interactions with Ms. Brunsting, I mean, I guess, what was sort of herfrom her outward expression, did she seem relieved by getting these things done? Upset? What was your perception of how she felt about making these changes? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 says currently, what changes they're wanting to make, what changes are in the document, to follow their instructions on which they desire to make on the things that they wanted to change, and how that would work if they were to pass away right now, as signed. Q. Okay. And with regard to Exhibit 5 and Exhibit 6, the is that the kind of conversation that you, in fact, would have had with Nelva Brunsting? A. Oh, absolutely, yes. Q. And would it be fair to say that after having that conversation with her, from your perspective, she had an appreciation for the essence of what that instrument was about? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Of course. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. Exhibits 5 and 6. A. Yes. I do not recall any of her children coming. I believe she drove herself. Q. Not only to the meetings, but she drove herself for the signing? A. To sign them as well. Q. And no children were present at those signings? A. No, they were not. Q. Okay. And in your interactions with Ms. Brunsting, I mean, I guess, what was sort of her from her outward expression, did she seem relieved by getting these things done? Upset? What was your perception of how she felt about making these changes? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. I believe that she was concerned about her son,
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 says currently, what changes they're wanting to make, what changes are in the document, to follow their instructions on which they desire to make on the things that they wanted to change, and how that would work if they were to pass away right now, as signed. Q. Okay. And with regard to Exhibit 5 and Exhibit 6, the is that the kind of conversation that you, in fact, would have had with Nelva Brunsting? A. Oh, absolutely, yes. Q. And would it be fair to say that after having that conversation with her, from your perspective, she had an appreciation for the essence of what that instrument was about? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Of course. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Would it be fair to say, from 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Exhibits 5 and 6. A. Yes. I do not recall any of her children coming. I believe she drove herself. Q. Not only to the meetings, but she drove herself for the signing? A. To sign them as well. Q. And no children were present at those signings? A. No, they were not. Q. Okay. And in your interactions with Ms. Brunsting, I mean, I guess, what was sort of her from her outward expression, did she seem relieved by getting these things done? Upset? What was your perception of how she felt about making these changes? A. I believe that she was concerned about her son, Carl, and making sure that somebody would be able to
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 says currently, what changes they're wanting to make, what changes are in the document, to follow their instructions on which they desire to make on the things that they wanted to change, and how that would work if they were to pass away right now, as signed. Q. Okay. And with regard to Exhibit 5 and Exhibit 6, the is that the kind of conversation that you, in fact, would have had with Nelva Brunsting? A. Oh, absolutely, yes. Q. And would it be fair to say that after having that conversation with her, from your perspective, she had an appreciation for the essence of what that instrument was about? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Of course. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Would it be fair to say, from your perspective, that you've probably heard the 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. Exhibits 5 and 6. A. Yes. I do not recall any of her children coming. I believe she drove herself. Q. Not only to the meetings, but she drove herself for the signing? A. To sign them as well. Q. And no children were present at those signings? A. No, they were not. Q. Okay. And in your interactions with Ms. Brunsting, I mean, I guess, what was sort of her from her outward expression, did she seem relieved by getting these things done? Upset? What was your perception of how she felt about making these changes? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. I believe that she was concerned about her son,
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 says currently, what changes they're wanting to make, what changes are in the document, to follow their instructions on which they desire to make on the things that they wanted to change, and how that would work if they were to pass away right now, as signed. Q. Okay. And with regard to Exhibit 5 and Exhibit 6, the is that the kind of conversation that you, in fact, would have had with Nelva Brunsting? A. Oh, absolutely, yes. Q. And would it be fair to say that after having that conversation for the essence of what that instrument was about? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Of course. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Would it be fair to say, from your perspective, that you've probably heard the phrase "the objects of her bounty." 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. Exhibits 5 and 6. A. Yes. I do not recall any of her children coming. I believe she drove herself. Q. Not only to the meetings, but she drove herself for the signing? A. To sign them as well. Q. And no children were present at those signings? A. No, they were not. Q. Okay. And in your interactions with Ms. Brunsting, I mean, I guess, what was sort of her from her outward expression, did she seem relieved by getting these things done? Upset? What was your perception of how she felt about making these changes? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. I believe that she was concerned about her son, Carl, and making sure that somebody would be able to handle things if something happened to her. And I believe those were eliminated by the signing of those
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 says currently, what changes they're wanting to make, what changes are in the document, to follow their instructions on which they desire to make on the things that they wanted to change, and how that would work if they were to pass away right now, as signed. Q. Okay. And with regard to Exhibit 5 and Exhibit 6, the is that the kind of conversation that you, in fact, would have had with Nelva Brunsting? A. Oh, absolutely, yes. Q. And would it be fair to say that after having that conversation for the essence of what that instrument was about? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Of course. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Would it be fair to say, from your perspective, that you've probably heard the phrase "the objects of her bounty." 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Exhibits 5 and 6. A. Yes. I do not recall any of her children coming. I believe she drove herself. Q. Not only to the meetings, but she drove herself for the signing? A. To sign them as well. Q. And no children were present at those signings? A. No, they were not. Q. Okay. And in your interactions with Ms. Brunsting, I mean, I guess, what was sort of her from her outward expression, did she seem relieved by getting these things done? Upset? What was your perception of how she felt about making these changes? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. I believe that she was concerned about her son, Carl, and making sure that somebody would be able to handle things if something happened to her. And I
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 says currently, what changes they're wanting to make, what changes are in the document, to follow their instructions on which they desire to make on the things that they wanted to change, and how that would work if they were to pass away right now, as signed. Q. Okay. And with regard to Exhibit 5 and Exhibit 6, the is that the kind of conversation that you, in fact, would have had with Nelva Brunsting? A. Oh, absolutely, yes. Q. And would it be fair to say that after having that conversation for the essence of what that instrument was about? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Of course. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Would it be fair to say, from your perspective, that you've probably heard the phrase "the objects of her bounty." Did she understand who her family members were? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Exhibits 5 and 6. A. Yes. I do not recall any of her children coming. I believe she drove herself. Q. Not only to the meetings, but she drove herself for the signing? A. To sign them as well. Q. And no children were present at those signings? A. No, they were not. Q. Okay. And in your interactions with Ms. Brunsting, I mean, I guess, what was sort of her from her outward expression, did she seem relieved by getting these things done? Upset? What was your perception of how she felt about making these changes? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. I believe that she was concerned about her son, Carl, and making sure that somebody would be able to handle things if something happened to her. And I believe those were eliminated by the signing of those that concern was eliminated by the signing of the
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 says currently, what changes they're wanting to make, what changes are in the document, to follow their instructions on which they desire to make on the things that they wanted to change, and how that would work if they were to pass away right now, as signed. Q. Okay. And with regard to Exhibit 5 and Exhibit 6, the is that the kind of conversation that you, in fact, would have had with Nelva Brunsting? A. Oh, absolutely, yes. Q. And would it be fair to say that after having that conversation for the essence of what that instrument was about? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Of course. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Would it be fair to say, from your perspective, that you've probably heard the phrase "the objects of her bounty." Did she understand who her family members were? A. Definitely. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Exhibits 5 and 6. A. Yes. I do not recall any of her children coming. I believe she drove herself. Q. Not only to the meetings, but she drove herself for the signing? A. To sign them as well. Q. And no children were present at those signings? A. No, they were not. Q. Okay. And in your interactions with Ms. Brunsting, I mean, I guess, what was sort of her from her outward expression, did she seem relieved by getting these things done? Upset? What was your perception of how she felt about making these changes? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. I believe that she was concerned about her son, Carl, and making sure that somebody would be able to handle things if something happened to her. And I believe those were eliminated by the signing of those that concern was eliminated by the signing of the documents.

10 (Pages 34 to 37)

	38		40
1	instruments, at least on	1	Exhibits 5 and 6 were signed, any reason whatsoever that
2	A. Yes, I did.	2	you felt Nelva Brunsting lacked capacity?
3	Q Exhibit 6. There's been the suggestion	3	A. Not that I recall.
4	or based on everything that I've seen in the documents,	4	Q. From your perspective, was there any indication
5	there seems to be the suggestion that Exhibit 6 was	5	that she was being coerced to sign these documents?
6	forged.	6	A. No.
7	Given that you were the notary, would you	7	Q. From your perspective, was there any indication
8	have participated in any sort of a situation where that	8	that she was under duress in terms of signing Exhibits 5
9	exhibit might be forged?	9	and 6?
10	A. Absolutely not.	10	A. No.
11	Q. Okay. And I don't see your name on Exhibit 5,	11	MS. BAYLESS: I'm sorry. I didn't hear.
12	but do you have any reason to believe that as far as	12	THE WITNESS: That was a "no."
13	you're concerned, is there any evidence whatsoever that	13	Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Was there any indication that
14	Exhibit 5 was forged?	14	Nelva Brunsting was fraudulently induced to sign
15	A. No.	15	Exhibits 5 and 6?
16	Q. Any evidence whatsoever that you're aware of	16	A. As a legal no, no. Nothing to indicate that
17	that Exhibit 6 was forged?	17	to me.
18	A. Absolutely not.	18	Q. There's been the suggestion that maybe Nelva
19	Q. Sometimes people will sign multiple originals	19	Brunsting was unduly influenced to sign these
20	like in duplicate or in triplicate. Did that occur	20	instruments. Given that one of the co-trustees lived in
21	here?	21	Victoria, which is about a hundred miles away, and
22	A. It was a common, usual, everyday practice at	22	another one lived in New Braunfels, which is about
23	the law firm.	23	160 miles away, do you have any reason to believe that
24 25	Q. Okay. And what do you see or what is the	24 25	either Amy or Anita Brunsting endeavored to unduly
25	benefit to the client of multiple original executions?	23	influence their mother to sign the June and August 2010
	39		41
1	A. The client always leaves with or would	1	41 instruments which are marked as Exhibits 5 and 6?
1 2		1 2	
	A. The client always leaves with or would	1	instruments which are marked as Exhibits 5 and 6?
2	A. The client always leaves with or would always leave with a binder that was original;	2	instruments which are marked as Exhibits 5 and 6? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.
2 3	A. The client always leaves with or would always leave with a binder that was original; blue-backed originals, which was another set, that was	2 3	instruments which are marked as Exhibits 5 and 6? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. I do not.
2 3 4 5 6	A. The client always leaves with or would always leave with a binder that was original; blue-backed originals, which was another set, that was supposed to be stored in a fire safe or safe deposit box. And then for amendments only and medical	2 3 4 5 6	 instruments which are marked as Exhibits 5 and 6? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. I do not. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) What facts would be important to you as to whether or not somebody might be exercising undue influence over a trustor or over a testator?
2 3 4 5 6 7	A. The client always leaves with or would always leave with a binder that was original; blue-backed originals, which was another set, that was supposed to be stored in a fire safe or safe deposit box. And then for amendments only and medical documents, we would sign a third one; and the law firm	2 3 4 5 6 7	 instruments which are marked as Exhibits 5 and 6? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. I do not. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) What facts would be important to you as to whether or not somebody might be exercising undue influence over a trustor or over a testator? A. I'm sorry. Can you rephrase your question?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. The client always leaves with or would always leave with a binder that was original; blue-backed originals, which was another set, that was supposed to be stored in a fire safe or safe deposit box. And then for amendments only and medical documents, we would sign a third one; and the law firm kept those because sometimes both the originals and the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 instruments which are marked as Exhibits 5 and 6? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. I do not. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) What facts would be important to you as to whether or not somebody might be exercising undue influence over a trustor or over a testator? A. I'm sorry. Can you rephrase your question? Q. I'm just wondering what facts you would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. The client always leaves with or would always leave with a binder that was original; blue-backed originals, which was another set, that was supposed to be stored in a fire safe or safe deposit box. And then for amendments only and medical documents, we would sign a third one; and the law firm kept those because sometimes both the originals and the ones that were kept at home would disappear. So we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 instruments which are marked as Exhibits 5 and 6? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. I do not. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) What facts would be important to you as to whether or not somebody might be exercising undue influence over a trustor or over a testator? A. I'm sorry. Can you rephrase your question? Q. I'm just wondering what facts you would consider that might be important to get a sense of or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. The client always leaves with or would always leave with a binder that was original; blue-backed originals, which was another set, that was supposed to be stored in a fire safe or safe deposit box. And then for amendments only and medical documents, we would sign a third one; and the law firm kept those because sometimes both the originals and the ones that were kept at home would disappear. So we started keeping a third set.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 instruments which are marked as Exhibits 5 and 6? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. I do not. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) What facts would be important to you as to whether or not somebody might be exercising undue influence over a trustor or over a testator? A. I'm sorry. Can you rephrase your question? Q. I'm just wondering what facts you would consider that might be important to get a sense of or come to a decision that maybe somebody was exercising
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. The client always leaves with or would always leave with a binder that was original; blue-backed originals, which was another set, that was supposed to be stored in a fire safe or safe deposit box. And then for amendments only and medical documents, we would sign a third one; and the law firm kept those because sometimes both the originals and the ones that were kept at home would disappear. So we started keeping a third set. Q. And that would have been your practice back in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 instruments which are marked as Exhibits 5 and 6? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. I do not. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) What facts would be important to you as to whether or not somebody might be exercising undue influence over a trustor or over a testator? A. I'm sorry. Can you rephrase your question? Q. I'm just wondering what facts you would consider that might be important to get a sense of or come to a decision that maybe somebody was exercising undue influence.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. The client always leaves with or would always leave with a binder that was original; blue-backed originals, which was another set, that was supposed to be stored in a fire safe or safe deposit box. And then for amendments only and medical documents, we would sign a third one; and the law firm kept those because sometimes both the originals and the ones that were kept at home would disappear. So we started keeping a third set. Q. And that would have been your practice back in June and August of 2010 when I say "your practice," 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 instruments which are marked as Exhibits 5 and 6? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. I do not. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) What facts would be important to you as to whether or not somebody might be exercising undue influence over a trustor or over a testator? A. I'm sorry. Can you rephrase your question? Q. I'm just wondering what facts you would consider that might be important to get a sense of or come to a decision that maybe somebody was exercising undue influence. So, as an example, it would seem to me,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. The client always leaves with or would always leave with a binder that was original; blue-backed originals, which was another set, that was supposed to be stored in a fire safe or safe deposit box. And then for amendments only and medical documents, we would sign a third one; and the law firm kept those because sometimes both the originals and the ones that were kept at home would disappear. So we started keeping a third set. Q. And that would have been your practice back in June and August of 2010 when I say "your practice," the law firm's practice with regard to the Brunsting 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 instruments which are marked as Exhibits 5 and 6? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. I do not. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) What facts would be important to you as to whether or not somebody might be exercising undue influence over a trustor or over a testator? A. I'm sorry. Can you rephrase your question? Q. I'm just wondering what facts you would consider that might be important to get a sense of or come to a decision that maybe somebody was exercising undue influence. So, as an example, it would seem to me, being close in proximity would be important; but if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. The client always leaves with or would always leave with a binder that was original; blue-backed originals, which was another set, that was supposed to be stored in a fire safe or safe deposit box. And then for amendments only and medical documents, we would sign a third one; and the law firm kept those because sometimes both the originals and the ones that were kept at home would disappear. So we started keeping a third set. Q. And that would have been your practice back in June and August of 2010 when I say "your practice," the law firm's practice with regard to the Brunsting matter? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 instruments which are marked as Exhibits 5 and 6? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. I do not. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) What facts would be important to you as to whether or not somebody might be exercising undue influence over a trustor or over a testator? A. I'm sorry. Can you rephrase your question? Q. I'm just wondering what facts you would consider that might be important to get a sense of or come to a decision that maybe somebody was exercising undue influence. So, as an example, it would seem to me, being close in proximity would be important; but if you're between 100 and 150 miles away and you don't even
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. The client always leaves with or would always leave with a binder that was original; blue-backed originals, which was another set, that was supposed to be stored in a fire safe or safe deposit box. And then for amendments only and medical documents, we would sign a third one; and the law firm kept those because sometimes both the originals and the ones that were kept at home would disappear. So we started keeping a third set. Q. And that would have been your practice back in June and August of 2010 when I say "your practice," the law firm's practice with regard to the Brunsting matter? A. Yes. And it's still my practice today. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 instruments which are marked as Exhibits 5 and 6? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. I do not. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) What facts would be important to you as to whether or not somebody might be exercising undue influence over a trustor or over a testator? A. I'm sorry. Can you rephrase your question? Q. I'm just wondering what facts you would consider that might be important to get a sense of or come to a decision that maybe somebody was exercising undue influence. So, as an example, it would seem to me, being close in proximity would be important; but if you're between 100 and 150 miles away and you don't even come to the meetings, how do you exercise undue
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. The client always leaves with or would always leave with a binder that was original; blue-backed originals, which was another set, that was supposed to be stored in a fire safe or safe deposit box. And then for amendments only and medical documents, we would sign a third one; and the law firm kept those because sometimes both the originals and the ones that were kept at home would disappear. So we started keeping a third set. Q. And that would have been your practice back in June and August of 2010 when I say "your practice," the law firm's practice with regard to the Brunsting matter? A. Yes. And it's still my practice today. Q. So is it your experience that there can be 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 instruments which are marked as Exhibits 5 and 6? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. I do not. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) What facts would be important to you as to whether or not somebody might be exercising undue influence over a trustor or over a testator? A. I'm sorry. Can you rephrase your question? Q. I'm just wondering what facts you would consider that might be important to get a sense of or come to a decision that maybe somebody was exercising undue influence. So, as an example, it would seem to me, being close in proximity would be important; but if you're between 100 and 150 miles away and you don't even come to the meetings, how do you exercise undue influence in those situations?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. The client always leaves with or would always leave with a binder that was original; blue-backed originals, which was another set, that was supposed to be stored in a fire safe or safe deposit box. And then for amendments only and medical documents, we would sign a third one; and the law firm kept those because sometimes both the originals and the ones that were kept at home would disappear. So we started keeping a third set. Q. And that would have been your practice back in June and August of 2010 when I say "your practice," the law firm's practice with regard to the Brunsting matter? A. Yes. And it's still my practice today. Q. So is it your experience that there can be slight variations of a signature from one original 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 instruments which are marked as Exhibits 5 and 6? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. I do not. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) What facts would be important to you as to whether or not somebody might be exercising undue influence over a trustor or over a testator? A. I'm sorry. Can you rephrase your question? Q. I'm just wondering what facts you would consider that might be important to get a sense of or come to a decision that maybe somebody was exercising undue influence. So, as an example, it would seem to me, being close in proximity would be important; but if you're between 100 and 150 miles away and you don't even come to the meetings, how do you exercise undue influence in those situations? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. The client always leaves with or would always leave with a binder that was original; blue-backed originals, which was another set, that was supposed to be stored in a fire safe or safe deposit box. And then for amendments only and medical documents, we would sign a third one; and the law firm kept those because sometimes both the originals and the ones that were kept at home would disappear. So we started keeping a third set. Q. And that would have been your practice back in June and August of 2010 when I say "your practice," the law firm's practice with regard to the Brunsting matter? A. Yes. And it's still my practice today. Q. So is it your experience that there can be slight variations of a signature from one original execution to the second set, to the third set? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 instruments which are marked as Exhibits 5 and 6? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. I do not. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) What facts would be important to you as to whether or not somebody might be exercising undue influence over a trustor or over a testator? A. I'm sorry. Can you rephrase your question? Q. I'm just wondering what facts you would consider that might be important to get a sense of or come to a decision that maybe somebody was exercising undue influence. So, as an example, it would seem to me, being close in proximity would be important; but if you're between 100 and 150 miles away and you don't even come to the meetings, how do you exercise undue influence in those situations? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. I believe that would be very difficult.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. The client always leaves with or would always leave with a binder that was original; blue-backed originals, which was another set, that was supposed to be stored in a fire safe or safe deposit box. And then for amendments only and medical documents, we would sign a third one; and the law firm kept those because sometimes both the originals and the ones that were kept at home would disappear. So we started keeping a third set. Q. And that would have been your practice back in June and August of 2010 when I say "your practice," the law firm's practice with regard to the Brunsting matter? A. Yes. And it's still my practice today. Q. So is it your experience that there can be slight variations of a signature from one original execution to the second set, to the third set? A. Absolutely. My signature has slight 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 instruments which are marked as Exhibits 5 and 6? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. I do not. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) What facts would be important to you as to whether or not somebody might be exercising undue influence over a trustor or over a testator? A. I'm sorry. Can you rephrase your question? Q. I'm just wondering what facts you would consider that might be important to get a sense of or come to a decision that maybe somebody was exercising undue influence. So, as an example, it would seem to me, being close in proximity would be important; but if you're between 100 and 150 miles away and you don't even come to the meetings, how do you exercise undue influence in those situations? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. I believe that would be very difficult. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Did Ms. Nelva Brunsting ever
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. The client always leaves with or would always leave with a binder that was original; blue-backed originals, which was another set, that was supposed to be stored in a fire safe or safe deposit box. And then for amendments only and medical documents, we would sign a third one; and the law firm kept those because sometimes both the originals and the ones that were kept at home would disappear. So we started keeping a third set. Q. And that would have been your practice back in June and August of 2010 when I say "your practice," the law firm's practice with regard to the Brunsting matter? A. Yes. And it's still my practice today. Q. So is it your experience that there can be slight variations of a signature from one original execution to the second set, to the third set? A. Absolutely. My signature has slight variations. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 instruments which are marked as Exhibits 5 and 6? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. I do not. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) What facts would be important to you as to whether or not somebody might be exercising undue influence over a trustor or over a testator? A. I'm sorry. Can you rephrase your question? Q. I'm just wondering what facts you would consider that might be important to get a sense of or come to a decision that maybe somebody was exercising undue influence. So, as an example, it would seem to me, being close in proximity would be important; but if you're between 100 and 150 miles away and you don't even come to the meetings, how do you exercise undue influence in those situations? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. I believe that would be very difficult. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Did Ms. Nelva Brunsting ever indicate to you that someone said she should not seek
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. The client always leaves with or would always leave with a binder that was original; blue-backed originals, which was another set, that was supposed to be stored in a fire safe or safe deposit box. And then for amendments only and medical documents, we would sign a third one; and the law firm kept those because sometimes both the originals and the ones that were kept at home would disappear. So we started keeping a third set. Q. And that would have been your practice back in June and August of 2010 when I say "your practice," the law firm's practice with regard to the Brunsting matter? A. Yes. And it's still my practice today. Q. So is it your experience that there can be slight variations of a signature from one original execution to the second set, to the third set? A. Absolutely. My signature has slight variations. Q. Does that make anything forged just because 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 instruments which are marked as Exhibits 5 and 6? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. I do not. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) What facts would be important to you as to whether or not somebody might be exercising undue influence over a trustor or over a testator? A. I'm sorry. Can you rephrase your question? Q. I'm just wondering what facts you would consider that might be important to get a sense of or come to a decision that maybe somebody was exercising undue influence. So, as an example, it would seem to me, being close in proximity would be important; but if you're between 100 and 150 miles away and you don't even come to the meetings, how do you exercise undue influence in those situations? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. I believe that would be very difficult. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Did Ms. Nelva Brunsting ever indicate to you that someone said she should not seek the advice of Vacek & Freed?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. The client always leaves with or would always leave with a binder that was original; blue-backed originals, which was another set, that was supposed to be stored in a fire safe or safe deposit box. And then for amendments only and medical documents, we would sign a third one; and the law firm kept those because sometimes both the originals and the ones that were kept at home would disappear. So we started keeping a third set. Q. And that would have been your practice back in June and August of 2010 when I say "your practice," the law firm's practice with regard to the Brunsting matter? A. Yes. And it's still my practice today. Q. So is it your experience that there can be slight variations of a signature from one original execution to the second set, to the third set? A. Absolutely. My signature has slight variations. Q. Does that make anything forged just because there's some slight differences? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 instruments which are marked as Exhibits 5 and 6? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. I do not. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) What facts would be important to you as to whether or not somebody might be exercising undue influence over a trustor or over a testator? A. I'm sorry. Can you rephrase your question? Q. I'm just wondering what facts you would consider that might be important to get a sense of or come to a decision that maybe somebody was exercising undue influence. So, as an example, it would seem to me, being close in proximity would be important; but if you're between 100 and 150 miles away and you don't even come to the meetings, how do you exercise undue influence in those situations? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. I believe that would be very difficult. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Did Ms. Nelva Brunsting ever indicate to you that someone said she should not seek the advice of Vacek & Freed? A. Did she ever indicate to me that she should not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. The client always leaves with or would always leave with a binder that was original; blue-backed originals, which was another set, that was supposed to be stored in a fire safe or safe deposit box. And then for amendments only and medical documents, we would sign a third one; and the law firm kept those because sometimes both the originals and the ones that were kept at home would disappear. So we started keeping a third set. Q. And that would have been your practice back in June and August of 2010 when I say "your practice," the law firm's practice with regard to the Brunsting matter? A. Yes. And it's still my practice today. Q. So is it your experience that there can be slight variations of a signature from one original execution to the second set, to the third set? A. Absolutely. My signature has slight variations. Q. Does that make anything forged just because there's some slight differences? A. Absolutely not. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 instruments which are marked as Exhibits 5 and 6? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. I do not. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) What facts would be important to you as to whether or not somebody might be exercising undue influence over a trustor or over a testator? A. I'm sorry. Can you rephrase your question? Q. I'm just wondering what facts you would consider that might be important to get a sense of or come to a decision that maybe somebody was exercising undue influence. So, as an example, it would seem to me, being close in proximity would be important; but if you're between 100 and 150 miles away and you don't even come to the meetings, how do you exercise undue influence in those situations? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. I believe that would be very difficult. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Did Ms. Nelva Brunsting ever indicate to you that someone said she should not seek the advice of Vacek & Freed? A. Did she ever indicate to me that she should not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. The client always leaves with or would always leave with a binder that was original; blue-backed originals, which was another set, that was supposed to be stored in a fire safe or safe deposit box. And then for amendments only and medical documents, we would sign a third one; and the law firm kept those because sometimes both the originals and the ones that were kept at home would disappear. So we started keeping a third set. Q. And that would have been your practice back in June and August of 2010 when I say "your practice," the law firm's practice with regard to the Brunsting matter? A. Yes. And it's still my practice today. Q. So is it your experience that there can be slight variations of a signature from one original execution to the second set, to the third set? A. Absolutely. My signature has slight variations. Q. Does that make anything forged just because there's some slight differences? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 instruments which are marked as Exhibits 5 and 6? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. I do not. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) What facts would be important to you as to whether or not somebody might be exercising undue influence over a trustor or over a testator? A. I'm sorry. Can you rephrase your question? Q. I'm just wondering what facts you would consider that might be important to get a sense of or come to a decision that maybe somebody was exercising undue influence. So, as an example, it would seem to me, being close in proximity would be important; but if you're between 100 and 150 miles away and you don't even come to the meetings, how do you exercise undue influence in those situations? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. I believe that would be very difficult. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Did Ms. Nelva Brunsting ever indicate to you that someone said she should not seek the advice of Vacek & Freed? A. Did she ever indicate to me that she should not

11 (Pages 38 to 41)

	42		44
1	Q. Did she ever indicate to you that someone was	1	So was anybody treated unfairly, from your
2	trying to influence her to go see some other law firm?	2	perspective?
3	A. Not that I am aware.	3	A. No.
4	Q. During the period June 2010 to August of 2010,	4	Q. Who has the ultimate right to pick a trustee?
5	did you feel like the process of putting together the	5	A. The settlor.
6	QBDs, whether it's Exhibit 5 or Exhibit 6 did you	6	Q. Which would be Nelva Brunsting?
7	feel like that whole process was being rushed?	7	A. Uh-huh.
8	A. I feel like there was a sense of urgency from	8	Q. And so is there anything unfair about removing
9	Ms. Brunsting due to Carl's current situation; but other	9	Carl as a trustee?
10	than that, no.	10	A. No. I think it was prudent to do so.
11	Q. Does the mere fact that there was a sense of	11	Q. And given that Candace Curtis resided out of
12	urgency mean that the process of meeting, creating,	12	state and it's your recommendation that co-trustees or
13	explaining, executing did that process seem rushed?	13	trustees be local, is there anything unfair about
14	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.	14	removing Candace Curtis as a trustee?
15	A. Not that I recall.	15	A. No.
16	Q. (By Mr. Mendel) A minute ago we were talking	16	Q. Would that fall under the category of prudent?
17	about whether or not Ms. Brunsting might have exhibited	17	A. Yes.
18	any irrational behavior, and you said no.	18	Q. I want to talk a little bit about so at some
19	From your perspective, during this process	19	point later in the year, later in the year being 2010,
20	of explaining things to her, did she seem confused?	20	Nelva Brunsting elected to resign as a trustee, and
21	A. No.	21	that's where her daughters Amy and Anita stepped in.
22	Q. In particular, on the day and at the time that	22	Do you recall that?
23	these instruments were signed, these instruments being	23	A. I do.
24	Exhibits 5 and Exhibit 6, as I understand your	24	Q. And at that time, being back in or about
25	testimony and correct me if I'm wrong she had	25	December of 2010 and moving into 2011, did the Vacek
	43		45
1	capacity, no irrational behavior, no confusion at the	1	
1 2	capacity, no irrational behavior, no confusion at the time the instruments were signed?	1 2	firm provide assistance for Amy and Anita Brunsting?
1 2 3	time the instruments were signed?	1 2 3	firm provide assistance for Amy and Anita Brunsting?A. We provided assistance to Mrs. Brunsting.
2	time the instruments were signed? A. That's correct.	2	firm provide assistance for Amy and Anita Brunsting?A. We provided assistance to Mrs. Brunsting.Q. Mrs. Brunsting being Nelva?
2 3	time the instruments were signed?A. That's correct.Q. I have seen some commentators suggest that if	2 3	firm provide assistance for Amy and Anita Brunsting?A. We provided assistance to Mrs. Brunsting.Q. Mrs. Brunsting being Nelva?A. Yes.
2 3 4	time the instruments were signed?A. That's correct.Q. I have seen some commentators suggest that if there's undue influence, it usually is an indication	2 3 4	 firm provide assistance for Amy and Anita Brunsting? A. We provided assistance to Mrs. Brunsting. Q. Mrs. Brunsting being Nelva? A. Yes. Q. But wasn't some was any advice and counsel
2 3 4 5	time the instruments were signed?A. That's correct.Q. I have seen some commentators suggest that if there's undue influence, it usually is an indication that one beneficiary probably got more and another one	2 3 4 5	 firm provide assistance for Amy and Anita Brunsting? A. We provided assistance to Mrs. Brunsting. Q. Mrs. Brunsting being Nelva? A. Yes. Q. But wasn't some was any advice and counsel being provided to Amy and Anita Brunsting?
2 3 4 5 6	 time the instruments were signed? A. That's correct. Q. I have seen some commentators suggest that if there's undue influence, it usually is an indication that one beneficiary probably got more and another one got less as a result of the undue influence. 	2 3 4 5 6	 firm provide assistance for Amy and Anita Brunsting? A. We provided assistance to Mrs. Brunsting. Q. Mrs. Brunsting being Nelva? A. Yes. Q. But wasn't some was any advice and counsel being provided to Amy and Anita Brunsting? A. With Ms. Brunsting's permission, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7	time the instruments were signed? A. That's correct. Q. I have seen some commentators suggest that if there's undue influence, it usually is an indication that one beneficiary probably got more and another one got less as a result of the undue influence. Would that be a fair statement about the	2 3 4 5 6 7	 firm provide assistance for Amy and Anita Brunsting? A. We provided assistance to Mrs. Brunsting. Q. Mrs. Brunsting being Nelva? A. Yes. Q. But wasn't some was any advice and counsel being provided to Amy and Anita Brunsting? A. With Ms. Brunsting's permission, yes. Q. And even though Mrs. Brunsting, Nelva
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	time the instruments were signed? A. That's correct. Q. I have seen some commentators suggest that if there's undue influence, it usually is an indication that one beneficiary probably got more and another one got less as a result of the undue influence. Would that be a fair statement about the effects of undue influence on an estate plan?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 firm provide assistance for Amy and Anita Brunsting? A. We provided assistance to Mrs. Brunsting. Q. Mrs. Brunsting being Nelva? A. Yes. Q. But wasn't some was any advice and counsel being provided to Amy and Anita Brunsting? A. With Ms. Brunsting's permission, yes. Q. And even though Mrs. Brunsting, Nelva Brunsting, was coming to the Vacek firm and it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	time the instruments were signed? A. That's correct. Q. I have seen some commentators suggest that if there's undue influence, it usually is an indication that one beneficiary probably got more and another one got less as a result of the undue influence. Would that be a fair statement about the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 firm provide assistance for Amy and Anita Brunsting? A. We provided assistance to Mrs. Brunsting. Q. Mrs. Brunsting being Nelva? A. Yes. Q. But wasn't some was any advice and counsel being provided to Amy and Anita Brunsting? A. With Ms. Brunsting's permission, yes. Q. And even though Mrs. Brunsting, Nelva Brunsting, was coming to the Vacek firm and it appears that you were probably the lead person to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	time the instruments were signed? A. That's correct. Q. I have seen some commentators suggest that if there's undue influence, it usually is an indication that one beneficiary probably got more and another one got less as a result of the undue influence. Would that be a fair statement about the effects of undue influence on an estate plan? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 firm provide assistance for Amy and Anita Brunsting? A. We provided assistance to Mrs. Brunsting. Q. Mrs. Brunsting being Nelva? A. Yes. Q. But wasn't some was any advice and counsel being provided to Amy and Anita Brunsting? A. With Ms. Brunsting's permission, yes. Q. And even though Mrs. Brunsting, Nelva Brunsting, was coming to the Vacek firm and it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 time the instruments were signed? A. That's correct. Q. I have seen some commentators suggest that if there's undue influence, it usually is an indication that one beneficiary probably got more and another one got less as a result of the undue influence. Would that be a fair statement about the effects of undue influence on an estate plan? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. I think it would be fair. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 firm provide assistance for Amy and Anita Brunsting? A. We provided assistance to Mrs. Brunsting. Q. Mrs. Brunsting being Nelva? A. Yes. Q. But wasn't some was any advice and counsel being provided to Amy and Anita Brunsting? A. With Ms. Brunsting's permission, yes. Q. And even though Mrs. Brunsting, Nelva Brunsting, was coming to the Vacek firm and it appears that you were probably the lead person to provide assistance and advice was Mr. Vacek involved
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 time the instruments were signed? A. That's correct. Q. I have seen some commentators suggest that if there's undue influence, it usually is an indication that one beneficiary probably got more and another one got less as a result of the undue influence. Would that be a fair statement about the effects of undue influence on an estate plan? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. I think it would be fair. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Given that Ms. Brunsting 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 firm provide assistance for Amy and Anita Brunsting? A. We provided assistance to Mrs. Brunsting. Q. Mrs. Brunsting being Nelva? A. Yes. Q. But wasn't some was any advice and counsel being provided to Amy and Anita Brunsting? A. With Ms. Brunsting's permission, yes. Q. And even though Mrs. Brunsting, Nelva Brunsting, was coming to the Vacek firm and it appears that you were probably the lead person to provide assistance and advice was Mr. Vacek involved in this case back starting in June of 2010 and moving
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 time the instruments were signed? A. That's correct. Q. I have seen some commentators suggest that if there's undue influence, it usually is an indication that one beneficiary probably got more and another one got less as a result of the undue influence. Would that be a fair statement about the effects of undue influence on an estate plan? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. I think it would be fair. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Given that Ms. Brunsting treated all of the children equally from a distribution standpoint, given that fact alone, do you see any way 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 firm provide assistance for Amy and Anita Brunsting? A. We provided assistance to Mrs. Brunsting. Q. Mrs. Brunsting being Nelva? A. Yes. Q. But wasn't some was any advice and counsel being provided to Amy and Anita Brunsting? A. With Ms. Brunsting's permission, yes. Q. And even though Mrs. Brunsting, Nelva Brunsting, was coming to the Vacek firm and it appears that you were probably the lead person to provide assistance and advice was Mr. Vacek involved in this case back starting in June of 2010 and moving forward?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 time the instruments were signed? A. That's correct. Q. I have seen some commentators suggest that if there's undue influence, it usually is an indication that one beneficiary probably got more and another one got less as a result of the undue influence. Would that be a fair statement about the effects of undue influence on an estate plan? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. I think it would be fair. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Given that Ms. Brunsting treated all of the children equally from a distribution 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 firm provide assistance for Amy and Anita Brunsting? A. We provided assistance to Mrs. Brunsting. Q. Mrs. Brunsting being Nelva? A. Yes. Q. But wasn't some was any advice and counsel being provided to Amy and Anita Brunsting? A. With Ms. Brunsting's permission, yes. Q. And even though Mrs. Brunsting, Nelva Brunsting, was coming to the Vacek firm and it appears that you were probably the lead person to provide assistance and advice was Mr. Vacek involved in this case back starting in June of 2010 and moving forward? A. I conferred with Mr. Vacek and Mrs. Vacek.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 time the instruments were signed? A. That's correct. Q. I have seen some commentators suggest that if there's undue influence, it usually is an indication that one beneficiary probably got more and another one got less as a result of the undue influence. Would that be a fair statement about the effects of undue influence on an estate plan? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. I think it would be fair. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Given that Ms. Brunsting treated all of the children equally from a distribution standpoint, given that fact alone, do you see any way that she could be unduly influenced in the execution of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 firm provide assistance for Amy and Anita Brunsting? A. We provided assistance to Mrs. Brunsting. Q. Mrs. Brunsting being Nelva? A. Yes. Q. But wasn't some was any advice and counsel being provided to Amy and Anita Brunsting? A. With Ms. Brunsting's permission, yes. Q. And even though Mrs. Brunsting, Nelva Brunsting, was coming to the Vacek firm and it appears that you were probably the lead person to provide assistance and advice was Mr. Vacek involved in this case back starting in June of 2010 and moving forward? A. I conferred with Mr. Vacek and Mrs. Vacek. Q. Would it be fair to say that with Nelva
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 time the instruments were signed? A. That's correct. Q. I have seen some commentators suggest that if there's undue influence, it usually is an indication that one beneficiary probably got more and another one got less as a result of the undue influence. Would that be a fair statement about the effects of undue influence on an estate plan? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. I think it would be fair. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Given that Ms. Brunsting treated all of the children equally from a distribution standpoint, given that fact alone, do you see any way that she could be unduly influenced in the execution of that document? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 firm provide assistance for Amy and Anita Brunsting? A. We provided assistance to Mrs. Brunsting. Q. Mrs. Brunsting being Nelva? A. Yes. Q. But wasn't some was any advice and counsel being provided to Amy and Anita Brunsting? A. With Ms. Brunsting's permission, yes. Q. And even though Mrs. Brunsting, Nelva Brunsting, was coming to the Vacek firm and it appears that you were probably the lead person to provide assistance and advice was Mr. Vacek involved in this case back starting in June of 2010 and moving forward? A. I conferred with Mr. Vacek and Mrs. Vacek. Q. Would it be fair to say that with Nelva Brunsting's permission, advice just so I'm clear,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 time the instruments were signed? A. That's correct. Q. I have seen some commentators suggest that if there's undue influence, it usually is an indication that one beneficiary probably got more and another one got less as a result of the undue influence. Would that be a fair statement about the effects of undue influence on an estate plan? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. I think it would be fair. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Given that Ms. Brunsting treated all of the children equally from a distribution standpoint, given that fact alone, do you see any way that she could be unduly influenced in the execution of that document? MR. REED: Objection, form. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 firm provide assistance for Amy and Anita Brunsting? A. We provided assistance to Mrs. Brunsting. Q. Mrs. Brunsting being Nelva? A. Yes. Q. But wasn't some was any advice and counsel being provided to Amy and Anita Brunsting? A. With Ms. Brunsting's permission, yes. Q. And even though Mrs. Brunsting, Nelva Brunsting, was coming to the Vacek firm and it appears that you were probably the lead person to provide assistance and advice was Mr. Vacek involved in this case back starting in June of 2010 and moving forward? A. I conferred with Mr. Vacek and Mrs. Vacek. Q. Would it be fair to say that with Nelva Brunsting's permission, advice just so Im clear, Nelva Brunsting granted permission to the Vacek firm to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 time the instruments were signed? A. That's correct. Q. I have seen some commentators suggest that if there's undue influence, it usually is an indication that one beneficiary probably got more and another one got less as a result of the undue influence. Would that be a fair statement about the effects of undue influence on an estate plan? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. I think it would be fair. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Given that Ms. Brunsting treated all of the children equally from a distribution standpoint, given that fact alone, do you see any way that she could be unduly influenced in the execution of that document? MR. REED: Objection, form. A. I really don't know how to answer that. I 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 firm provide assistance for Amy and Anita Brunsting? A. We provided assistance to Mrs. Brunsting. Q. Mrs. Brunsting being Nelva? A. Yes. Q. But wasn't some was any advice and counsel being provided to Amy and Anita Brunsting? A. With Ms. Brunsting's permission, yes. Q. And even though Mrs. Brunsting, Nelva Brunsting, was coming to the Vacek firm and it appears that you were probably the lead person to provide assistance and advice was Mr. Vacek involved in this case back starting in June of 2010 and moving forward? A. I conferred with Mr. Vacek and Mrs. Vacek. Q. Would it be fair to say that with Nelva Brunsting's permission, advice just so I'm clear, Nelva Brunsting granted permission to the Vacek firm to provide advice to Amy and Anita?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 time the instruments were signed? A. That's correct. Q. I have seen some commentators suggest that if there's undue influence, it usually is an indication that one beneficiary probably got more and another one got less as a result of the undue influence. Would that be a fair statement about the effects of undue influence on an estate plan? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. I think it would be fair. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Given that Ms. Brunsting treated all of the children equally from a distribution standpoint, given that fact alone, do you see any way that she could be unduly influenced in the execution of that document? MR. REED: Objection, form. A. I really don't know how to answer that. I mean, she didn't make a material change to the documents. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Well, that's my point. All of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 firm provide assistance for Amy and Anita Brunsting? A. We provided assistance to Mrs. Brunsting. Q. Mrs. Brunsting being Nelva? A. Yes. Q. But wasn't some was any advice and counsel being provided to Amy and Anita Brunsting? A. With Ms. Brunsting's permission, yes. Q. And even though Mrs. Brunsting, Nelva Brunsting, was coming to the Vacek firm and it appears that you were probably the lead person to provide assistance and advice was Mr. Vacek involved in this case back starting in June of 2010 and moving forward? A. I conferred with Mr. Vacek and Mrs. Vacek. Q. Would it be fair to say that with Nelva Brunsting's permission, advice just so Tm clear, Nelva Brunsting granted permission to the Vacek firm to provide advice to Amy and Anita? A. That's correct. Q. And there's nothing wrong with attorneys providing advice to trustees?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 time the instruments were signed? A. That's correct. Q. I have seen some commentators suggest that if there's undue influence, it usually is an indication that one beneficiary probably got more and another one got less as a result of the undue influence. Would that be a fair statement about the effects of undue influence on an estate plan? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. I think it would be fair. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Given that Ms. Brunsting treated all of the children equally from a distribution standpoint, given that fact alone, do you see any way that she could be unduly influenced in the execution of that document? MR. REED: Objection, form. A. I really don't know how to answer that. I mean, she didn't make a material change to the documents. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Well, that's my point. All of these children were in for 20 percent of the estate at 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 firm provide assistance for Amy and Anita Brunsting? A. We provided assistance to Mrs. Brunsting. Q. Mrs. Brunsting being Nelva? A. Yes. Q. But wasn't some was any advice and counsel being provided to Amy and Anita Brunsting? A. With Ms. Brunsting's permission, yes. Q. And even though Mrs. Brunsting, Nelva Brunsting, was coming to the Vacek firm and it appears that you were probably the lead person to provide assistance and advice was Mr. Vacek involved in this case back starting in June of 2010 and moving forward? A. I conferred with Mr. Vacek and Mrs. Vacek. Q. Would it be fair to say that with Nelva Brunsting's permission, advice just so I'm clear, Nelva Brunsting granted permission to the Vacek firm to provide advice to Amy and Anita? A. That's correct. Q. And there's nothing wrong with attorneys providing advice to trustees? A. No, there is nothing wrong with that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 time the instruments were signed? A. That's correct. Q. I have seen some commentators suggest that if there's undue influence, it usually is an indication that one beneficiary probably got more and another one got less as a result of the undue influence. Would that be a fair statement about the effects of undue influence on an estate plan? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. I think it would be fair. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Given that Ms. Brunsting treated all of the children equally from a distribution standpoint, given that fact alone, do you see any way that she could be unduly influenced in the execution of that document? MR. REED: Objection, form. A. I really don't know how to answer that. I mean, she didn't make a material change to the documents. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Well, that's my point. All of these children were in for 20 percent of the estate at the time of the restatement. All of these children were 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 firm provide assistance for Amy and Anita Brunsting? A. We provided assistance to Mrs. Brunsting. Q. Mrs. Brunsting being Nelva? A. Yes. Q. But wasn't some was any advice and counsel being provided to Amy and Anita Brunsting? A. With Ms. Brunsting's permission, yes. Q. And even though Mrs. Brunsting, Nelva Brunsting, was coming to the Vacek firm and it appears that you were probably the lead person to provide assistance and advice was Mr. Vacek involved in this case back starting in June of 2010 and moving forward? A. I conferred with Mr. Vacek and Mrs. Vacek. Q. Would it be fair to say that with Nelva Brunsting's permission, advice just so I'm clear, Nelva Brunsting granted permission to the Vacek firm to provide advice to Amy and Anita? A. That's correct. Q. And there's nothing wrong with attorneys providing advice to trustees? A. No, there is nothing wrong with thet. Q. And is there anything wrong with the client
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 time the instruments were signed? A. That's correct. Q. I have seen some commentators suggest that if there's undue influence, it usually is an indication that one beneficiary probably got more and another one got less as a result of the undue influence. Would that be a fair statement about the effects of undue influence on an estate plan? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. I think it would be fair. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Given that Ms. Brunsting treated all of the children equally from a distribution standpoint, given that fact alone, do you see any way that she could be unduly influenced in the execution of that document? MR. REED: Objection, form. A. I really don't know how to answer that. I mean, she didn't make a material change to the documents. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Well, that's my point. All of these children were in for 20 percent of the estate at the time of the restatement. All of these children were in for 20 percent of the estate at the time the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 firm provide assistance for Amy and Anita Brunsting? A. We provided assistance to Mrs. Brunsting. Q. Mrs. Brunsting being Nelva? A. Yes. Q. But wasn't some was any advice and counsel being provided to Amy and Anita Brunsting? A. With Ms. Brunsting's permission, yes. Q. And even though Mrs. Brunsting, Nelva Brunsting, was coming to the Vacek firm and it appears that you were probably the lead person to provide assistance and advice was Mr. Vacek involved in this case back starting in June of 2010 and moving forward? A. I conferred with Mr. Vacek and Mrs. Vacek. Q. Would it be fair to say that with Nelva Brunsting's permission, advice just so Im clear, Nelva Brunsting granted permission to the Vacek firm to provide advice to Amy and Anita? A. That's correct. Q. And there's nothing wrong with attorneys providing advice to trustees? A. No, there is nothing wrong with thet. Q. And is there anything wrong with the client relying on the advice of their counsel, no matter how
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 time the instruments were signed? A. That's correct. Q. I have seen some commentators suggest that if there's undue influence, it usually is an indication that one beneficiary probably got more and another one got less as a result of the undue influence. Would that be a fair statement about the effects of undue influence on an estate plan? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. I think it would be fair. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Given that Ms. Brunsting treated all of the children equally from a distribution standpoint, given that fact alone, do you see any way that she could be unduly influenced in the execution of that document? MR. REED: Objection, form. A. I really don't know how to answer that. I mean, she didn't make a material change to the documents. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Well, that's my point. All of these children were in for 20 percent of the estate at the time of the restatement. All of these children were 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 firm provide assistance for Amy and Anita Brunsting? A. We provided assistance to Mrs. Brunsting. Q. Mrs. Brunsting being Nelva? A. Yes. Q. But wasn't some was any advice and counsel being provided to Amy and Anita Brunsting? A. With Ms. Brunsting's permission, yes. Q. And even though Mrs. Brunsting, Nelva Brunsting, was coming to the Vacek firm and it appears that you were probably the lead person to provide assistance and advice was Mr. Vacek involved in this case back starting in June of 2010 and moving forward? A. I conferred with Mr. Vacek and Mrs. Vacek. Q. Would it be fair to say that with Nelva Brunsting's permission, advice just so I'm clear, Nelva Brunsting granted permission to the Vacek firm to provide advice to Amy and Anita? A. That's correct. Q. And there's nothing wrong with attorneys providing advice to trustees? A. No, there is nothing wrong with thet. Q. And is there anything wrong with the client

12 (Pages 42 to 45)

	46	48
1	object?	¹ is being made.
2	A. No. I believe they should be able to rely on	2 A. Okay.
3	counsel.	³ Q. If that's what if Nelva Brunsting wanted an
4	Q. Is there anything wrong with Anita and Amy	4 asset transfer, regardless of which trust it came from
5	Brunsting relying on the advice of the Vacek firm, no	⁵ and if the net result in terms of estate value would be
6	matter how much some of the other beneficiaries might	⁶ the same after the transfer, is that any sort of breach
7	object?	⁷ of fiduciary duty?
8	A. No.	8 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.
9	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.	⁹ A. It could be.
10	Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Are you aware that some of the	¹⁰ Q. (By Mr. Mendel) In what way?
11	other beneficiaries do object to everything that was	¹¹ A. A distribution from a decedent's trust or a
12	going on?	¹² credit shelter, bypass trust is a distribution and not a
13	A. I am aware.	¹³ gift. Anything that comes out of the survivor's trust
14	Q. I want to talk a little bit about some of the	¹⁴ is considered a gift unless it's otherwise noted, and a
15	transfers that would have been made to one or more of	¹⁵ gift tax return would have to be filed.
16	the beneficiaries. Okay?	¹⁶ Q. But the net value of the estate when you add
17	And so during Nelva Brunsting's life, as a	¹⁷ the decedent's trust and the survivor's trust, the total
18	creator, a trustor and as a beneficiary, what rights	¹⁸ net value of the estate hasn't changed, has it?
19	does she get to decide who ultimately might get	¹⁹ A. I disagree with that also.
20	something from her?	²⁰ Q. Share why.
21	A. It's the golden rule: The woman with the gold	A. Because the decedent's trust had a basis when
22	makes the rules. I mean, she can decide whatever she	²² it went in. So an asset that came out of the decedent's
23	wants. It's her stuff.	²³ trust may not have the same value as the survivor's
24	Q. So if she has five children and she elects to	²⁴ trust because of the basis that was set. So when a
25	make distributions to one or two people now and one or	²⁵ beneficiary tries to sell the asset, there's a capital
	47	49
1	two different people later, is there anything wrong with	¹ gain or a loss, depending on when they buy or sell.
2	two different people later, is there anything wrong with that?	 gain or a loss, depending on when they buy or sell. Q. But that's a tax issue, is it not?
	two different people later, is there anything wrong with that? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.	 gain or a loss, depending on when they buy or sell. Q. But that's a tax issue, is it not? A. It is.
2 3	two different people later, is there anything wrong with that?MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.A. Are you asking me for my personal opinion or my	 gain or a loss, depending on when they buy or sell. Q. But that's a tax issue, is it not? A. It is. Q. Okay. So if on the date of the transfer the
2 3 4	 two different people later, is there anything wrong with that? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Are you asking me for my personal opinion or my legal opinion, my recommendation? 	 gain or a loss, depending on when they buy or sell. Q. But that's a tax issue, is it not? A. It is. Q. Okay. So if on the date of the transfer the total value of all of the assets would be hypothetically
2 3 4 5	 two different people later, is there anything wrong with that? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Are you asking me for my personal opinion or my legal opinion, my recommendation? Q. (By Mr. Mendel) I'm interested in your legal 	 gain or a loss, depending on when they buy or sell. Q. But that's a tax issue, is it not? A. It is. Q. Okay. So if on the date of the transfer the total value of all of the assets would be hypothetically a million dollars
2 3 4 5 6	 two different people later, is there anything wrong with that? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Are you asking me for my personal opinion or my legal opinion, my recommendation? Q. (By Mr. Mendel) I'm interested in your legal opinion. 	 gain or a loss, depending on when they buy or sell. Q. But that's a tax issue, is it not? A. It is. Q. Okay. So if on the date of the transfer the total value of all of the assets would be hypothetically a million dollars A. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7	 two different people later, is there anything wrong with that? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Are you asking me for my personal opinion or my legal opinion, my recommendation? Q. (By Mr. Mendel) I'm interested in your legal opinion. Did anyone violate the trust instruments 	 gain or a loss, depending on when they buy or sell. Q. But that's a tax issue, is it not? A. It is. Q. Okay. So if on the date of the transfer the total value of all of the assets would be hypothetically a million dollars A. Okay. Q and you transferred \$10,000.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 two different people later, is there anything wrong with that? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Are you asking me for my personal opinion or my legal opinion, my recommendation? Q. (By Mr. Mendel) I'm interested in your legal opinion. Did anyone violate the trust instruments because Nelva Brunsting wanted to make or wanted to 	 gain or a loss, depending on when they buy or sell. Q. But that's a tax issue, is it not? A. It is. Q. Okay. So if on the date of the transfer the total value of all of the assets would be hypothetically a million dollars A. Okay. Q and you transferred \$10,000. A. Uh-huh.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 two different people later, is there anything wrong with that? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Are you asking me for my personal opinion or my legal opinion, my recommendation? Q. (By Mr. Mendel) I'm interested in your legal opinion. Did anyone violate the trust instruments because Nelva Brunsting wanted to make or wanted to see assets transferred to one or more of her children? 	1gain or a loss, depending on when they buy or sell.2Q. But that's a tax issue, is it not?3A. It is.4Q. Okay. So if on the date of the transfer the5total value of all of the assets would be hypothetically6a million dollars7A. Okay.8Q and you transferred \$10,000.9A. Uh-huh.10Q. At the end of that transaction, the net value
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 two different people later, is there anything wrong with that? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Are you asking me for my personal opinion or my legal opinion, my recommendation? Q. (By Mr. Mendel) I'm interested in your legal opinion. Did anyone violate the trust instruments because Nelva Brunsting wanted to make or wanted to see assets transferred to one or more of her children? A. No, she did not. 	1 gain or a loss, depending on when they buy or sell. 2 Q. But that's a tax issue, is it not? 3 A. It is. 4 Q. Okay. So if on the date of the transfer the 5 total value of all of the assets would be hypothetically 6 a million dollars 7 A. Okay. 8 Q and you transferred \$10,000. 9 A. Uh-huh. 10 Q. At the end of that transaction, the net value 11 of the estate, regardless of the tax issues, is still
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 two different people later, is there anything wrong with that? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Are you asking me for my personal opinion or my legal opinion, my recommendation? Q. (By Mr. Mendel) I'm interested in your legal opinion. Did anyone violate the trust instruments because Nelva Brunsting wanted to make or wanted to see assets transferred to one or more of her children? 	1 gain or a loss, depending on when they buy or sell. 2 Q. But that's a tax issue, is it not? 3 A. It is. 4 Q. Okay. So if on the date of the transfer the 5 total value of all of the assets would be hypothetically 6 a million dollars 7 A. Okay. 8 Q and you transferred \$10,000. 9 A. Uh-huh. 10 Q. At the end of that transaction, the net value 11 of the estate, regardless of the tax issues, is still 12 \$990,000, is it not?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 two different people later, is there anything wrong with that? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A Are you asking me for my personal opinion or my legal opinion, my recommendation? Q. (By Mr. Mendel) I'm interested in your legal opinion. Did anyone violate the trust instruments because Nelva Brunsting wanted to make or wanted to see assets transferred to one or more of her children? A. No, she did not. Q. And so if Anita or Amy made transfers 	1 gain or a loss, depending on when they buy or sell. 2 Q. But that's a tax issue, is it not? 3 A. It is. 4 Q. Okay. So if on the date of the transfer the 5 total value of all of the assets would be hypothetically 6 a million dollars 7 A. Okay. 8 Q and you transferred \$10,000. 9 A. Uh-huh. 10 Q. At the end of that transaction, the net value 11 of the estate, regardless of the tax issues, is still 12 \$990,000, is it not?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 two different people later, is there anything wrong with that? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Are you asking me for my personal opinion or my legal opinion, my recommendation? Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Tm interested in your legal opinion. Did anyone violate the trust instruments because Nelva Brunsting wanted to make or wanted to see assets transferred to one or more of her children? A. No, she did not. Q. And so if Anita or Amy made transfers consistent with what Nelva Brunsting wanted, would that 	1 gain or a loss, depending on when they buy or sell. 2 Q. But that's a tax issue, is it not? 3 A. It is. 4 Q. Okay. So if on the date of the transfer the 5 total value of all of the assets would be hypothetically 6 a million dollars 7 A. Okay. 8 Q and you transferred \$10,000. 9 A. Uh-huh. 10 Q. At the end of that transaction, the net value 11 of the estate, regardless of the tax issues, is still 12 \$990,000, is it not? 13 MS. BAYLESS: Object to form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 two different people later, is there anything wrong with that? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Are you asking me for my personal opinion or my legal opinion, my recommendation? Q. (By Mr. Mendel) I'm interested in your legal opinion. Did anyone violate the trust instruments because Nelva Brunsting wanted to make or wanted to see assets transferred to one or more of her children? A. No, she did not. Q. And so if Anita or Amy made transfers consistent with what Nelva Brunsting wanted, would that be a breach of fiduciary duty? A. No. 	1 gain or a loss, depending on when they buy or sell. 2 Q. But that's a tax issue, is it not? 3 A. It is. 4 Q. Okay. So if on the date of the transfer the 5 total value of all of the assets would be hypothetically 6 a million dollars 7 A. Okay. 8 Q and you transferred \$10,000. 9 A. Uh-huh. 10 Q. At the end of that transaction, the net value 11 of the estate, regardless of the tax issues, is still 12 \$990,000, is it not? 13 MS. BAYLESS: Object to form. 14 A. No. 15 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) It's not?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 two different people later, is there anything wrong with that? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Are you asking me for my personal opinion or my legal opinion, my recommendation? Q. (By Mr. Mendel) I'm interested in your legal opinion. Did anyone violate the trust instruments because Nelva Brunsting wanted to make or wanted to see assets transferred to one or more of her children? A. No, she did not. Q. And so if Anita or Amy made transfers consistent with what Nelva Brunsting wanted, would that be a breach of fiduciary duty? 	1 gain or a loss, depending on when they buy or sell. 2 Q. But that's a tax issue, is it not? 3 A. It is. 4 Q. Okay. So if on the date of the transfer the 5 total value of all of the assets would be hypothetically 6 a million dollars 7 A. Okay. 8 Q and you transferred \$10,000. 9 A. Uh-huh. 10 Q. At the end of that transaction, the net value 11 of the estate, regardless of the tax issues, is still 12 \$990,000, is it not? 13 MS. BAYLESS: Object to form. 14 A. No. 15 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) It's not?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 two different people later, is there anything wrong with that? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Are you asking me for my personal opinion or my legal opinion, my recommendation? Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Tm interested in your legal opinion. Did anyone violate the trust instruments because Nelva Brunsting wanted to make or wanted to see assets transferred to one or more of her children? A. No, she did not. Q. And so if Anita or Amy made transfers consistent with what Nelva Brunsting wanted, would that be a breach of fiduciary duty? A. No. MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 	1 gain or a loss, depending on when they buy or sell. 2 Q. But that's a tax issue, is it not? 3 A. It is. 4 Q. Okay. So if on the date of the transfer the 5 total value of all of the assets would be hypothetically 6 a million dollars 7 A. Okay. 8 Q and you transferred \$10,000. 9 A. Uh-huh. 10 Q. At the end of that transaction, the net value 11 of the estate, regardless of the tax issues, is still 12 \$990,000, is it not? 13 MS. BAYLESS: Object to form. 14 A. No. 15 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) It's not? 16 A. I disagree with the valuation. If you had to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 two different people later, is there anything wrong with that? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Are you asking me for my personal opinion or my legal opinion, my recommendation? Q. (By Mr. Mendel) I'm interested in your legal opinion. Did anyone violate the trust instruments because Nelva Brunsting wanted to make or wanted to see assets transferred to one or more of her children? A. No, she did not. Q. And so if Anita or Amy made transfers consistent with what Nelva Brunsting wanted, would that be a breach of fiduciary duty? A. No. MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) You know what a breach of 	1 gain or a loss, depending on when they buy or sell. 2 Q. But that's a tax issue, is it not? 3 A. It is. 4 Q. Okay. So if on the date of the transfer the 5 total value of all of the assets would be hypothetically 6 a million dollars 7 A. Okay. 8 Q and you transferred \$10,000. 9 A. Uh-huh. 10 Q. At the end of that transaction, the net value 11 of the estate, regardless of the tax issues, is still 12 \$990,000, is it not? 13 MS. BAYLESS: Object to form. 14 A. No. 15 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) It's not? 16 A. I disagree with the valuation. If you had to 17 sell an asset to create the cash, then you've created a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 two different people later, is there anything wrong with that? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Are you asking me for my personal opinion or my legal opinion, my recommendation? Q. (By Mr. Mendel) I'm interested in your legal opinion. Did anyone violate the trust instruments because Nelva Brunsting wanted to make or wanted to see assets transferred to one or more of her children? A. No, she did not. Q. And so if Anita or Amy made transfers consistent with what Nelva Brunsting wanted, would that be a breach of fiduciary duty? A. No. MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) You know what a breach of fiduciary duty is. 	1 gain or a loss, depending on when they buy or sell. 2 Q. But that's a tax issue, is it not? 3 A. It is. 4 Q. Okay. So if on the date of the transfer the 5 total value of all of the assets would be hypothetically 6 a million dollars 7 A. Okay. 8 Q and you transferred \$10,000. 9 A. Uh-huh. 10 Q. At the end of that transaction, the net value 11 of the estate, regardless of the tax issues, is still 12 \$990,000, is it not? 13 MS. BAYLESS: Object to form. 14 A. No. 15 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) It's not? 16 A. I disagree with the valuation. If you had to 17 sell an asset to create the cash, then you've created a 18 tax for the trust. So I guess where I get hung up on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 two different people later, is there anything wrong with that? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A Are you asking me for my personal opinion or my legal opinion, my recommendation? Q. (By Mr. Mendel) I'm interested in your legal opinion. Did anyone violate the trust instruments because Nelva Brunsting wanted to make or wanted to see assets transferred to one or more of her children? A. No, she did not. Q. And so if Anita or Amy made transfers consistent with what Nelva Brunsting wanted, would that be a breach of fiduciary duty? A. No. MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) You know what a breach of fiduciary duty is. A. I do. 	1 gain or a loss, depending on when they buy or sell. 2 Q. But that's a tax issue, is it not? 3 A. It is. 4 Q. Okay. So if on the date of the transfer the 5 total value of all of the assets would be hypothetically 6 a million dollars 7 A. Okay. 8 Q and you transferred \$10,000. 9 A. Uh-huh. 10 Q. At the end of that transaction, the net value 11 of the estate, regardless of the tax issues, is still 12 \$990,000, is it not? 13 MS. BAYLESS: Object to form. 14 A. No. 15 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) It's not? 16 A. I disagree with the valuation. If you had to 17 sell an asset to create the cash, then you've created a 18 tax for the trust. So I guess where I get hung up on 19 the taxes because that's what I do.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 two different people later, is there anything wrong with that? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A Are you asking me for my personal opinion or my legal opinion, my recommendation? Q. (By Mr. Mendel) I'm interested in your legal opinion. Did anyone violate the trust instruments because Nelva Brunsting wanted to make or wanted to see assets transferred to one or more of her children? A. No, she did not. Q. And so if Anita or Amy made transfers consistent with what Nelva Brunsting wanted, would that be a breach of fiduciary duty? A. No. MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) You know what a breach of fiduciary duty is. A. I do. Q. There's been the suggestion that some transfers 	1 gain or a loss, depending on when they buy or sell. 2 Q. But that's a tax issue, is it not? 3 A. It is. 4 Q. Okay. So if on the date of the transfer the 5 total value of all of the assets would be hypothetically 6 a million dollars 7 A. Okay. 8 Q and you transferred \$10,000. 9 A. Uh-huh. 10 Q. At the end of that transaction, the net value 11 of the estate, regardless of the tax issues, is still 12 \$990,000, is it not? 13 MS. BAYLESS: Object to form. 14 A. No. 15 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) It's not? 16 A. I disagree with the valuation. If you had to 17 sell an asset to create the cash, then you've created a 18 tax for the trust. So I guess where I get hung up on 19 the taxes because that's what I do. 20 If you're talking about there's cash in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 two different people later, is there anything wrong with that? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A Are you asking me for my personal opinion or my legal opinion, my recommendation? Q. (By Mr. Mendel) I'm interested in your legal opinion. Did anyone violate the trust instruments because Nelva Brunsting wanted to make or wanted to see assets transferred to one or more of her children? A. No, she did not. Q. And so if Anita or Amy made transfers consistent with what Nelva Brunsting wanted, would that be a breach of fiduciary duty? A. No. MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) You know what a breach of fiduciary duty is. A. I do. Q. There's been the suggestion that some transfers 	1 gain or a loss, depending on when they buy or sell. 2 Q. But that's a tax issue, is it not? 3 A. It is. 4 Q. Okay. So if on the date of the transfer the 5 total value of all of the assets would be hypothetically 6 a million dollars 7 A. Okay. 8 Q and you transferred \$10,000. 9 A. Uh-huh. 10 Q. At the end of that transaction, the net value 11 of the estate, regardless of the tax issues, is still 12 \$990,000, is it not? 13 MS. BAYLESS: Object to form. 14 A. No. 15 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) It's not? 16 A. I disagree with the valuation. If you had to 17 sell an asset to create the cash, then you've created a 18 tax for the trust. So I guess where I get hung up on 19 the taxes because that's what I do. 20 If you're talking about there's cash in 21 both and you distribute and the beneficiaries are 22 exactly the same, then I would agree with you; yes, it's 23 the same.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 two different people later, is there anything wrong with that? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A Are you asking me for my personal opinion or my legal opinion, my recommendation? Q. (By Mr. Mendel) I'm interested in your legal opinion. Did anyone violate the trust instruments because Nelva Brunsting wanted to make or wanted to see assets transferred to one or more of her children? A. No, she did not. Q. And so if Anita or Amy made transfers consistent with what Nelva Brunsting wanted, would that be a breach of fiduciary duty? A. No. MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) You know what a breach of fiduciary duty is. A. I do. Q. There's been the suggestion that some transfers were made out of the decedent's trust, and maybe those transfers should have been made out of the survivor's 	1 gain or a loss, depending on when they buy or sell. 2 Q. But that's a tax issue, is it not? 3 A. It is. 4 Q. Okay. So if on the date of the transfer the 5 total value of all of the assets would be hypothetically 6 a million dollars 7 A. Okay. 8 Q and you transferred \$10,000. 9 A. Uh-huh. 10 Q. At the end of that transaction, the net value 11 of the estate, regardless of the tax issues, is still 12 \$990,000, is it not? 13 MS. BAYLESS: Object to form. 14 A. No. 15 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) It's not? 16 A. I disagree with the valuation. If you had to 17 sell an asset to create the cash, then you've created a 18 tax for the trust. So I guess where I get hung up on 19 the taxes because that's what I do. 20 If you're talking about there's cash in 21 both and you distribute and the beneficiaries are 22 exactly the same, then I would agree with you; yes, it's

13 (Pages 46 to 49)

	50	52
1	exact assets.	¹ A. We would sign an engagement letter to assist
2	Q. Fair statement. So let's break it down. So if	² what our duties are as a firm. Sit down and have a
3	what was transferred was cash, then the net value of the	³ meeting with the trustee or co-trustees or whoever is in
4	estate is essentially unchanged?	⁴ charge. Outline what their duties are, what they need
5	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.	⁵ to do. Set up an accounting, valuation of assets.
6	Q. (By Mr. Mendel) I mean, you take out so a	⁶ Their duty to ensure that the assets are not squandered
7	million dollars with a \$10,000 gift of cash	7 or lost due to fluctuations in the market, if they need
8	A. Uh-huh.	⁸ to be moved to safer investments. Their duty to file a
9	Q you would expect the net value of the estate	⁹ tax return, to assess whether an estate tax return is
10	to be \$990,000?	¹⁰ required to be filed and the steps to make distribution
11	A. I would.	¹¹ once all the liabilities are paid.
12	Q. Okay. And let's assume that maybe the transfer	¹² Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Does the impact of real
13	was intended to be some stock, not sell the stock but	¹³ estate, I guess, add more time to that process?
14	just transfer 100 shares of, say, Exxon.	¹⁴ A. Sure. It's illiquid.
15	A. Uh-huh.	¹⁵ Q. Okay. From your experience, what additional
16	Q. Isn't the net value of the estate still the	¹⁶ steps are associated for the administration of the
17	same after the transfer?	¹⁷ estate when you're dealing with a farm up in Iowa?
18	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.	¹⁸ A. Well, one, you're dealing with out-of-state
19	A. No.	¹⁹ laws. We had to do some we had to get an opinion
20	Q. (By Mr. Mendel) You didn't sell the stock; you	²⁰ letter, as I recall, from an Iowa attorney as to whether
21	just transferred the stock.	²¹ or not crops could be put in crop land could be put
22	A. But what was the value on the day you	²² into an irrevocable trust and still maintained whatever
23	transferred it?	²³ exemptions it received under state law.
24	Q. Same hypothetical, million dollars. You	Q. What was the outcome of that inquiry?
25	transferred 100 shares, and let's say that's worth	²⁵ A. Although the State of Iowa had an no crop
	51	53
1	51	53
1	\$10,000.	¹ land could be owned by an irrevocable trust. There were
2	\$10,000. A. Is the stock paying dividends?	 land could be owned by an irrevocable trust. There were several listed exceptions to that, and one of them was
2 3	\$10,000. A. Is the stock paying dividends? I mean, do you see what I'm getting at	 land could be owned by an irrevocable trust. There were several listed exceptions to that, and one of them was the decedent's a credit shelter, bypass trust
2 3 4	\$10,000. A. Is the stock paying dividends? I mean, do you see what I'm getting at here?	 land could be owned by an irrevocable trust. There were several listed exceptions to that, and one of them was the decedent's a credit shelter, bypass trust qualified for that.
2 3 4 5	\$10,000. A. Is the stock paying dividends? I mean, do you see what I'm getting at here? Q. No, I understand.	 land could be owned by an irrevocable trust. There were several listed exceptions to that, and one of them was the decedent's a credit shelter, bypass trust qualified for that. Q. And so given that Ms. Brunsting, Nelva
2 3 4 5 6	 \$10,000. A. Is the stock paying dividends? I mean, do you see what I'm getting at here? Q. No, I understand. A. Okay. 	 land could be owned by an irrevocable trust. There were several listed exceptions to that, and one of them was the decedent's a credit shelter, bypass trust qualified for that. Q. And so given that Ms. Brunsting, Nelva Brunsting, died near the holiday period, and given all
2 3 4 5 6 7	 \$10,000. A. Is the stock paying dividends? I mean, do you see what I'm getting at here? Q. No, I understand. A. Okay. Q. But that's a tax issue. The net value of the 	 land could be owned by an irrevocable trust. There were several listed exceptions to that, and one of them was the decedent's a credit shelter, bypass trust qualified for that. Q. And so given that Ms. Brunsting, Nelva Brunsting, died near the holiday period, and given all the things that you've described in terms of, I guess,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 \$10,000. A. Is the stock paying dividends? I mean, do you see what I'm getting at here? Q. No, I understand. A. Okay. Q. But that's a tax issue. The net value of the estate the moment after the transfer is just the total 	 land could be owned by an irrevocable trust. There were several listed exceptions to that, and one of them was the decedent's a credit shelter, bypass trust qualified for that. Q. And so given that Ms. Brunsting, Nelva Brunsting, died near the holiday period, and given all the things that you've described in terms of, I guess, identifying assets, valuing assets, is that something
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 \$10,000. A. Is the stock paying dividends? I mean, do you see what I'm getting at here? Q. No, I understand. A. Okay. Q. But that's a tax issue. The net value of the estate the moment after the transfer is just the total value of the estate less the gift. Nothing's really 	 land could be owned by an irrevocable trust. There were several listed exceptions to that, and one of them was the decedent's a credit shelter, bypass trust qualified for that. Q. And so given that Ms. Brunsting, Nelva Brunsting, died near the holiday period, and given all the things that you've described in terms of, I guess, identifying assets, valuing assets, is that something that would take six or more months to complete?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 \$10,000. A. Is the stock paying dividends? I mean, do you see what I'm getting at here? Q. No, I understand. A. Okay. Q. But that's a tax issue. The net value of the estate the moment after the transfer is just the total value of the estate less the gift. Nothing's really changed, has it? 	 land could be owned by an irrevocable trust. There were several listed exceptions to that, and one of them was the decedent's a credit shelter, bypass trust qualified for that. Q. And so given that Ms. Brunsting, Nelva Brunsting, died near the holiday period, and given all the things that you've described in terms of, I guess, identifying assets, valuing assets, is that something that would take six or more months to complete? A. Oh, of course. Sure.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 \$10,000. A. Is the stock paying dividends? I mean, do you see what I'm getting at here? Q. No, I understand. A. Okay. Q. But that's a tax issue. The net value of the estate the moment after the transfer is just the total value of the estate less the gift. Nothing's really changed, has it? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 	 land could be owned by an irrevocable trust. There were several listed exceptions to that, and one of them was the decedent's a credit shelter, bypass trust qualified for that. Q. And so given that Ms. Brunsting, Nelva Brunsting, died near the holiday period, and given all the things that you've described in terms of, I guess, identifying assets, valuing assets, is that something that would take six or more months to complete? A. Oh, of course. Sure. Q. Okay. From your perspective, what would be a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 \$10,000. A. Is the stock paying dividends? I mean, do you see what I'm getting at here? Q. No, I understand. A. Okay. Q. But that's a tax issue. The net value of the estate the moment after the transfer is just the total value of the estate less the gift. Nothing's really changed, has it? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. No, I guess not. 	 land could be owned by an irrevocable trust. There were several listed exceptions to that, and one of them was the decedent's a credit shelter, bypass trust qualified for that. Q. And so given that Ms. Brunsting, Nelva Brunsting, died near the holiday period, and given all the things that you've described in terms of, I guess, identifying assets, valuing assets, is that something that would take six or more months to complete? A. Oh, of course. Sure. Q. Okay. From your perspective, what would be a reasonable time frame that you would expect to go by, at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 \$10,000. A. Is the stock paying dividends? I mean, do you see what I'm getting at here? Q. No, I understand. A. Okay. Q. But that's a tax issue. The net value of the estate the moment after the transfer is just the total value of the estate less the gift. Nothing's really changed, has it? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. No, I guess not. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Nelva Brunsting died, as I 	 land could be owned by an irrevocable trust. There were several listed exceptions to that, and one of them was the decedent's a credit shelter, bypass trust qualified for that. Q. And so given that Ms. Brunsting, Nelva Brunsting, died near the holiday period, and given all the things that you've described in terms of, I guess, identifying assets, valuing assets, is that something that would take six or more months to complete? A. Oh, of course. Sure. Q. Okay. From your perspective, what would be a reasonable time frame that you would expect to go by, at least at a minimum, to determine the assets, value the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 \$10,000. A. Is the stock paying dividends? I mean, do you see what I'm getting at here? Q. No, I understand. A. Okay. Q. But that's a tax issue. The net value of the estate the moment after the transfer is just the total value of the estate less the gift. Nothing's really changed, has it? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. No, I guess not. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Nelva Brunsting died, as I understand it, on November 11th of 2011. 	 land could be owned by an irrevocable trust. There were several listed exceptions to that, and one of them was the decedent's a credit shelter, bypass trust qualified for that. Q. And so given that Ms. Brunsting, Nelva Brunsting, died near the holiday period, and given all the things that you've described in terms of, I guess, identifying assets, valuing assets, is that something that would take six or more months to complete? A. Oh, of course. Sure. Q. Okay. From your perspective, what would be a reasonable time frame that you would expect to go by, at least at a minimum, to determine the assets, value the assets, look at liabilities, reach out to this lawyer in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 \$10,000. A. Is the stock paying dividends? I mean, do you see what I'm getting at here? Q. No, I understand. A. Okay. Q. But that's a tax issue. The net value of the estate the moment after the transfer is just the total value of the estate less the gift. Nothing's really changed, has it? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. No, I guess not. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Nelva Brunsting died, as I understand it, on November 11th of 2011. A. That's correct. 	 land could be owned by an irrevocable trust. There were several listed exceptions to that, and one of them was the decedent's a credit shelter, bypass trust qualified for that. Q. And so given that Ms. Brunsting, Nelva Brunsting, died near the holiday period, and given all the things that you've described in terms of, I guess, identifying assets, valuing assets, is that something that would take six or more months to complete? A. Oh, of course. Sure. Q. Okay. From your perspective, what would be a reasonable time frame that you would expect to go by, at least at a minimum, to determine the assets, value the assets, look at liabilities, reach out to this lawyer in Iowa, get these opinions, deal with this out-of-state
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 \$10,000. A. Is the stock paying dividends? I mean, do you see what I'm getting at here? Q. No, I understand. A. Okay. Q. But that's a tax issue. The net value of the estate the moment after the transfer is just the total value of the estate less the gift. Nothing's really changed, has it? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. No, I guess not. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Nelva Brunsting died, as I understand it, on November 11th of 2011. A. That's correct. Q. And so at that point the trust would have moved 	 land could be owned by an irrevocable trust. There were several listed exceptions to that, and one of them was the decedent's a credit shelter, bypass trust qualified for that. Q. And so given that Ms. Brunsting, Nelva Brunsting, died near the holiday period, and given all the things that you've described in terms of, I guess, identifying assets, valuing assets, is that something that would take six or more months to complete? A. Oh, of course. Sure. Q. Okay. From your perspective, what would be a reasonable time frame that you would expect to go by, at least at a minimum, to determine the assets, value the assets, look at liabilities, reach out to this lawyer in Iowa, get these opinions, deal with this out-of-state real estate?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 \$10,000. A. Is the stock paying dividends? I mean, do you see what I'm getting at here? Q. No, I understand. A. Okay. Q. But that's a tax issue. The net value of the estate the moment after the transfer is just the total value of the estate less the gift. Nothing's really changed, has it? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. No, I guess not. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Nelva Brunsting died, as I understand it, on November 11th of 2011. A. That's correct. Q. And so at that point the trust would have moved into, I guess, a post-death administration process. Is 	 land could be owned by an irrevocable trust. There were several listed exceptions to that, and one of them was the decedent's a credit shelter, bypass trust qualified for that. Q. And so given that Ms. Brunsting, Nelva Brunsting, died near the holiday period, and given all the things that you've described in terms of, I guess, identifying assets, valuing assets, is that something that would take six or more months to complete? A. Oh, of course. Sure. Q. Okay. From your perspective, what would be a reasonable time frame that you would expect to go by, at least at a minimum, to determine the assets, value the assets, look at liabilities, reach out to this lawyer in Iowa, get these opinions, deal with this out-of-state real estate? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 \$10,000. A. Is the stock paying dividends? I mean, do you see what I'm getting at here? Q. No, I understand. A. Okay. Q. But that's a tax issue. The net value of the estate the moment after the transfer is just the total value of the estate less the gift. Nothing's really changed, has it? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. No, I guess not. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Nelva Brunsting died, as I understand it, on November 11th of 2011. A. That's correct. Q. And so at that point the trust would have moved into, I guess, a post-death administration process. Is that a fair statement? 	 land could be owned by an irrevocable trust. There were several listed exceptions to that, and one of them was the decedent's a credit shelter, bypass trust qualified for that. Q. And so given that Ms. Brunsting, Nelva Brunsting, died near the holiday period, and given all the things that you've described in terms of, I guess, identifying assets, valuing assets, is that something that would take six or more months to complete? A. Oh, of course. Sure. Q. Okay. From your perspective, what would be a reasonable time frame that you would expect to go by, at least at a minimum, to determine the assets, value the assets, look at liabilities, reach out to this lawyer in Iowa, get these opinions, deal with this out-of-state real estate? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. At the very least, 15 months.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 \$10,000. A. Is the stock paying dividends? I mean, do you see what I'm getting at here? Q. No, I understand. A. Okay. Q. But that's a tax issue. The net value of the estate the moment after the transfer is just the total value of the estate less the gift. Nothing's really changed, has it? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. No, I guess not. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Nelva Brunsting died, as I understand it, on November 11th of 2011. A. That's correct. Q. And so at that point the trust would have moved into, I guess, a post-death administration process. Is that a fair statement? A. That's correct. 	 land could be owned by an irrevocable trust. There were several listed exceptions to that, and one of them was the decedent's a credit shelter, bypass trust qualified for that. Q. And so given that Ms. Brunsting, Nelva Brunsting, died near the holiday period, and given all the things that you've described in terms of, I guess, identifying assets, valuing assets, is that something that would take six or more months to complete? A. Oh, of course. Sure. Q. Okay. From your perspective, what would be a reasonable time frame that you would expect to go by, at least at a minimum, to determine the assets, value the assets, look at liabilities, reach out to this lawyer in Iowa, get these opinions, deal with this out-of-state real estate? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. At the very least, 15 months. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) 15 months?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 \$10,000. A. Is the stock paying dividends? I mean, do you see what I'm getting at here? Q. No, I understand. A. Okay. Q. But that's a tax issue. The net value of the estate the moment after the transfer is just the total value of the estate less the gift. Nothing's really changed, has it? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. No, I guess not. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Nelva Brunsting died, as I understand it, on November 11th of 2011. A. That's correct. Q. And so at that point the trust would have moved into, I guess, a post-death administration process. Is that a fair statement? A. That's correct. Q. And would you describe for the jury in this 	1 land could be owned by an irrevocable trust. There were 2 several listed exceptions to that, and one of them was 3 the decedent's a credit shelter, bypass trust 4 qualified for that. 5 Q. And so given that Ms. Brunsting, Nelva 6 Brunsting, died near the holiday period, and given all 7 the things that you've described in terms of, I guess, 8 identifying assets, valuing assets, is that something 9 that would take six or more months to complete? 10 A. Oh, of course. Sure. 11 Q. Okay. From your perspective, what would be a 12 reasonable time frame that you would expect to go by, at 13 least at a minimum, to determine the assets, value the 14 assets, look at liabilities, reach out to this lawyer in 15 Iowa, get these opinions, deal with this out-of-state 16 real estate? 17 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 18 A. At the very least, 15 months. 19 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) 15 months? 20 MS. BAYLESS: I'm sorry. I didn't hear
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 \$10,000. A. Is the stock paying dividends? I mean, do you see what I'm getting at here? Q. No, I understand. A. Okay. Q. But that's a tax issue. The net value of the estate the moment after the transfer is just the total value of the estate less the gift. Nothing's really changed, has it? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. No, I guess not. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Nelva Brunsting died, as I understand it, on November 11th of 2011. A. That's correct. Q. And so at that point the trust would have moved into, I guess, a post-death administration process. Is that a fair statement? A. That's correct. Q. And would you describe for the jury in this case what are some of the assets or what are some of 	1 land could be owned by an irrevocable trust. There were 2 several listed exceptions to that, and one of them was 3 the decedent's a credit shelter, bypass trust 4 qualified for that. 5 Q. And so given that Ms. Brunsting, Nelva 6 Brunsting, died near the holiday period, and given all 7 the things that you've described in terms of, I guess, 8 identifying assets, valuing assets, is that something 9 that would take six or more months to complete? 10 A. Oh, of course. Sure. 11 Q. Okay. From your perspective, what would be a 12 reasonable time frame that you would expect to go by, at 13 least at a minimum, to determine the assets, value the 14 assets, look at liabilities, reach out to this lawyer in 15 Iowa, get these opinions, deal with this out-of-state 16 real estate? 17 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 18 A. At the very least, 15 months. 19 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) 15 months? 20 MS. BAYLESS: I'm sorry. I didn't hear 21 your answer.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 \$10,000. A. Is the stock paying dividends? Imean, do you see what I'm getting at here? Q. No, I understand. A. Okay. Q. But that's a tax issue. The net value of the estate the moment after the transfer is just the total value of the estate less the gift. Nothing's really changed, has it? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. No, I guess not. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Nelva Brunsting died, as I understand it, on November 11th of 2011. A. That's correct. Q. And so at that point the trust would have moved into, I guess, a post-death administration process. Is that a fair statement? A. That's correct. Q. And would you describe for the jury in this case what are some of the assets or what are some of the steps or the process that you would follow in terms 	1 land could be owned by an irrevocable trust. There were 2 several listed exceptions to that, and one of them was 3 the decedent's a credit shelter, bypass trust 4 qualified for that. 5 Q. And so given that Ms. Brunsting, Nelva 6 Brunsting, died near the holiday period, and given all 7 the things that you've described in terms of, I guess, 8 identifying assets, valuing assets, is that something 9 that would take six or more months to complete? 10 A. Oh, of course. Sure. 11 Q. Okay. From your perspective, what would be a 12 reasonable time frame that you would expect to go by, at 13 least at a minimum, to determine the assets, value the 14 assets, look at liabilities, reach out to this lawyer in 15 Iowa, get these opinions, deal with this out-of-state 16 real estate? 17 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 18 A. At the very least, 15 months. 19 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) 15 months? 20 MS. BAYLESS: I'm sorry. I didn't hear 21 your answer. 22 MR. MENDEL: She said 15 mont
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 \$10,000. A. Is the stock paying dividends? Imean, do you see what I'm getting at here? Q. No, I understand. A. Okay. Q. But that's a tax issue. The net value of the estate the moment after the transfer is just the total value of the estate less the gift. Nothing's really changed, has it? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. No, I guess not. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Nelva Brunsting died, as I understand it, on November 11th of 2011. A. That's correct. Q. And so at that point the trust would have moved into, I guess, a post-death administration process. Is that a fair statement? A. That's correct. Q. And would you describe for the jury in this case what are some of the assets or what are some of the steps or the process that you would follow in terms of assisting Anita and Amy Brunsting with an 	1 land could be owned by an irrevocable trust. There were 2 several listed exceptions to that, and one of them was 3 the decedent's a credit shelter, bypass trust 4 qualified for that. 5 Q. And so given that Ms. Brunsting, Nelva 6 Brunsting, died near the holiday period, and given all 7 the things that you've described in terms of, I guess, 8 identifying assets, valuing assets, is that something 9 that would take six or more months to complete? 10 A. Oh, of course. Sure. 11 Q. Okay. From your perspective, what would be a 12 reasonable time frame that you would expect to go by, at 13 least at a minimum, to determine the assets, value the 14 assets, look at liabilities, reach out to this lawyer in 15 Iowa, get these opinions, deal with this out-of-state 16 real estate? 17 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 18 A. At the very least, 15 months. 19 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) 15 months? 20 MR. MENDEL: She said 15 months. 21 Your answer. 22 MR. MENDEL: She said 15 months.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 \$10,000. A. Is the stock paying dividends? Imean, do you see what I'm getting at here? Q. No, I understand. A. Okay. Q. But that's a tax issue. The net value of the estate the moment after the transfer is just the total value of the estate less the gift. Nothing's really changed, has it? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. No, I guess not. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Nelva Brunsting died, as I understand it, on November 11th of 2011. A. That's correct. Q. And so at that point the trust would have moved into, I guess, a post-death administration process. Is that a fair statement? A. That's correct. Q. And would you describe for the jury in this case what are some of the assets or what are some of the steps or the process that you would follow in terms 	1 land could be owned by an irrevocable trust. There were 2 several listed exceptions to that, and one of them was 3 the decedent's a credit shelter, bypass trust 4 qualified for that. 5 Q. And so given that Ms. Brunsting, Nelva 6 Brunsting, died near the holiday period, and given all 7 the things that you've described in terms of, I guess, 8 identifying assets, valuing assets, is that something 9 that would take six or more months to complete? 10 A. Oh, of course. Sure. 11 Q. Okay. From your perspective, what would be a 12 reasonable time frame that you would expect to go by, at 13 least at a minimum, to determine the assets, value the 14 assets, look at liabilities, reach out to this lawyer in 15 Iowa, get these opinions, deal with this out-of-state 16 real estate? 17 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 18 A. At the very least, 15 months. 19 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) 15 months? 20 MS. BAYLESS: I'm sorry. I didn't hear 21 your answer. 22 MR. MENDEL: She said 15 mont

14 (Pages 50 to 53)

	54		56
1	particular case, Candy Curtis filed a lawsuit. What	1	MR. MENDEL: What's your objection?
2	impact would a lawsuit like that have on a potential	2	MS. BAYLESS: Form.
3	delay of the administration process?	3	MR. MENDEL: Form. And the specific
4	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.	4	MS. BAYLESS: You asked is there any
5	A. It would be exponential.	5	evidence. You didn't ask her if she had any. You asked
6	Q. (By Mr. Mendel) When you say "exponential,"	6	her if there's any evidence. I just think that's an
7	what do you mean by that?	7	improper question and answer.
8	A. Well, everything comes to a grinding halt when	8	THE WITNESS: I have no evidence, if that
9	a lawsuit is filed.	9	helps.
10	Q. I don't know about you but I like to take a	10	Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Okay. So when I'm asking is
11	break about every hour and we're up on the hour.	11	there any evidence, it's going to be based on what you
12	A. I'm good with that.	12	know, what you saw, what you heard.
13	Q. Why don't we take	13	A. Yes.
14	A. Stretch my legs. I keep shifting in my chair.	14	Q. So do you have any evidence that the QBD was
15	Q. Five to ten minutes tops, and we'll regroup?	15	created by deception?
16	A. Sure. Thank you.	16	A. I do not.
17	(Recess taken.)	17	Q. Do you have any evidence that Nelva did not
18	Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Ms. Freed, I want to talk a	18	understand or consent to the document that was created?
19	little bit about some of the instruments that have been	19	A. I do not.
20	filed, in particular the pleadings and a motion for	20	Q. When I say "the document," I'm talking about
21	summary judgment that's been filed.	21	Exhibits 5 and 6.
22	I think I'd like to start with Exhibit	22	A. I understand.
23	No. 13, which is a pleading that was filed by Carl	23	MS. BAYLESS: Are you talking about both
24	Brunsting. So I just want to go through and get your	24	in the same question?
25	feedback on some things that are said in this particular	25	MR. MENDEL: I'll break them down if you
			,
	55		57
1	55	1	57
1	instrument.	1	want.
2	instrument. I would call your attention to page 3 of	2	want. MS. BAYLESS: Well, it's your deposition.
2 3	instrument. I would call your attention to page 3 of Exhibit 13. And to the extent that some of my questions	2 3	want. MS. BAYLESS: Well, it's your deposition. If you're going to talk about two documents in one
2 3 4	instrument. I would call your attention to page 3 of Exhibit 13. And to the extent that some of my questions might be a little duplicative, I'm going to apologize in	2 3 4	want. MS. BAYLESS: Well, it's your deposition. If you're going to talk about two documents in one question, I'm going to object.
2 3 4 5	instrument. I would call your attention to page 3 of Exhibit 13. And to the extent that some of my questions might be a little duplicative, I'm going to apologize in advance because, for example, we've touched on undue	2 3 4 5	want. MS. BAYLESS: Well, it's your deposition. If you're going to talk about two documents in one question, I'm going to object. MR. MENDEL: I'll break them down.
2 3 4 5 6	instrument. I would call your attention to page 3 of Exhibit 13. And to the extent that some of my questions might be a little duplicative, I'm going to apologize in advance because, for example, we've touched on undue influence and we've touched on capacity; but they're	2 3 4 5 6	want. MS. BAYLESS: Well, it's your deposition. If you're going to talk about two documents in one question, I'm going to object. MR. MENDEL: I'll break them down. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Let's go back. With regard to
2 3 4 5 6 7	instrument. I would call your attention to page 3 of Exhibit 13. And to the extent that some of my questions might be a little duplicative, I'm going to apologize in advance because, for example, we've touched on undue influence and we've touched on capacity; but they're specifically referenced in this pleading, so I want to	2 3 4 5 6 7	 want. MS. BAYLESS: Well, it's your deposition. If you're going to talk about two documents in one question, I'm going to object. MR. MENDEL: I'll break them down. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Let's go back. With regard to Exhibit 5, and then we'll talk about Exhibit 6, do you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	instrument. I would call your attention to page 3 of Exhibit 13. And to the extent that some of my questions might be a little duplicative, I'm going to apologize in advance because, for example, we've touched on undue influence and we've touched on capacity; but they're specifically referenced in this pleading, so I want to kind of just march through what's here.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 want. MS. BAYLESS: Well, it's your deposition. If you're going to talk about two documents in one question, I'm going to object. MR. MENDEL: I'll break them down. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Let's go back. With regard to Exhibit 5, and then we'll talk about Exhibit 6, do you have any evidence that Exhibit 5 was the result of undue
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	instrument. I would call your attention to page 3 of Exhibit 13. And to the extent that some of my questions might be a little duplicative, I'm going to apologize in advance because, for example, we've touched on undue influence and we've touched on capacity; but they're specifically referenced in this pleading, so I want to kind of just march through what's here. At the bottom of that first paragraph, it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	want. MS. BAYLESS: Well, it's your deposition. If you're going to talk about two documents in one question, I'm going to object. MR. MENDEL: I'll break them down. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Let's go back. With regard to Exhibit 5, and then we'll talk about Exhibit 6, do you have any evidence that Exhibit 5 was the result of undue influence?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	instrument. I would call your attention to page 3 of Exhibit 13. And to the extent that some of my questions might be a little duplicative, I'm going to apologize in advance because, for example, we've touched on undue influence and we've touched on capacity; but they're specifically referenced in this pleading, so I want to kind of just march through what's here. At the bottom of that first paragraph, it talks about the "QBD was the result of undue influence,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 want. MS. BAYLESS: Well, it's your deposition. If you're going to talk about two documents in one question, I'm going to object. MR. MENDEL: I'll break them down. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Let's go back. With regard to Exhibit 5, and then we'll talk about Exhibit 6, do you have any evidence that Exhibit 5 was the result of undue influence? A. I do not.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	instrument. I would call your attention to page 3 of Exhibit 13. And to the extent that some of my questions might be a little duplicative, I'm going to apologize in advance because, for example, we've touched on undue influence and we've touched on capacity; but they're specifically referenced in this pleading, so I want to kind of just march through what's here. At the bottom of that first paragraph, it talks about the "QBD was the result of undue influence, was done when Nelva lacked capacity and/or was created	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 want. MS. BAYLESS: Well, it's your deposition. If you're going to talk about two documents in one question, I'm going to object. MR. MENDEL: I'll break them down. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Let's go back. With regard to Exhibit 5, and then we'll talk about Exhibit 6, do you have any evidence that Exhibit 5 was the result of undue influence? A. I do not. Q. Do you have any evidence that Nelva Brunsting
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	instrument. I would call your attention to page 3 of Exhibit 13. And to the extent that some of my questions might be a little duplicative, I'm going to apologize in advance because, for example, we've touched on undue influence and we've touched on capacity; but they're specifically referenced in this pleading, so I want to kind of just march through what's here. At the bottom of that first paragraph, it talks about the "QBD was the result of undue influence, was done when Nelva lacked capacity and/or was created by deception so that Nelva did not understand or consent	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 want. MS. BAYLESS: Well, it's your deposition. If you're going to talk about two documents in one question, I'm going to object. MR. MENDEL: I'll break them down. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Let's go back. With regard to Exhibit 5, and then we'll talk about Exhibit 6, do you have any evidence that Exhibit 5 was the result of undue influence? A. I do not. Q. Do you have any evidence that Nelva Brunsting lacked capacity to execute Exhibit 5?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	instrument. I would call your attention to page 3 of Exhibit 13. And to the extent that some of my questions might be a little duplicative, I'm going to apologize in advance because, for example, we've touched on undue influence and we've touched on capacity; but they're specifically referenced in this pleading, so I want to kind of just march through what's here. At the bottom of that first paragraph, it talks about the "QBD was the result of undue influence, was done when Nelva lacked capacity and/or was created by deception so that Nelva did not understand or consent to the document."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 want. MS. BAYLESS: Well, it's your deposition. If you're going to talk about two documents in one question, I'm going to object. MR. MENDEL: I'll break them down. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Let's go back. With regard to Exhibit 5, and then we'll talk about Exhibit 6, do you have any evidence that Exhibit 5 was the result of undue influence? A. I do not. Q. Do you have any evidence that Nelva Brunsting lacked capacity to execute Exhibit 5? A. I do not.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	instrument. I would call your attention to page 3 of Exhibit 13. And to the extent that some of my questions might be a little duplicative, I'm going to apologize in advance because, for example, we've touched on undue influence and we've touched on capacity; but they're specifically referenced in this pleading, so I want to kind of just march through what's here. At the bottom of that first paragraph, it talks about the "QBD was the result of undue influence, was done when Nelva lacked capacity and/or was created by deception so that Nelva did not understand or consent to the document." As someone who was very much involved in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 want. MS. BAYLESS: Well, it's your deposition. If you're going to talk about two documents in one question, I'm going to object. MR. MENDEL: I'll break them down. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Let's go back. With regard to Exhibit 5, and then we'll talk about Exhibit 6, do you have any evidence that Exhibit 5 was the result of undue influence? A. I do not. Q. Do you have any evidence that Nelva Brunsting lacked capacity to execute Exhibit 5? A. I do not. Q. Do you have any evidence that Exhibit 5 was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	instrument. I would call your attention to page 3 of Exhibit 13. And to the extent that some of my questions might be a little duplicative, I'm going to apologize in advance because, for example, we've touched on undue influence and we've touched on capacity; but they're specifically referenced in this pleading, so I want to kind of just march through what's here. At the bottom of that first paragraph, it talks about the "QBD was the result of undue influence, was done when Nelva lacked capacity and/or was created by deception so that Nelva did not understand or consent to the document." As someone who was very much involved in the creation of the QBD, is there any evidence and I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 want. MS. BAYLESS: Well, it's your deposition. If you're going to talk about two documents in one question, I'm going to object. MR. MENDEL: I'll break them down. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Let's go back. With regard to Exhibit 5, and then we'll talk about Exhibit 6, do you have any evidence that Exhibit 5 was the result of undue influence? A. I do not. Q. Do you have any evidence that Nelva Brunsting lacked capacity to execute Exhibit 5? A. I do not. Q. Do you have any evidence that Exhibit 5 was created by deception in that she did not understand what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	instrument. I would call your attention to page 3 of Exhibit 13. And to the extent that some of my questions might be a little duplicative, I'm going to apologize in advance because, for example, we've touched on undue influence and we've touched on capacity; but they're specifically referenced in this pleading, so I want to kind of just march through what's here. At the bottom of that first paragraph, it talks about the "QBD was the result of undue influence, was done when Nelva lacked capacity and/or was created by deception so that Nelva did not understand or consent to the document." As someone who was very much involved in the creation of the QBD, is there any evidence and I want to break these down. Is there any evidence that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 want. MS. BAYLESS: Well, it's your deposition. If you're going to talk about two documents in one question, I'm going to object. MR. MENDEL: I'll break them down. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Let's go back. With regard to Exhibit 5, and then we'll talk about Exhibit 6, do you have any evidence that Exhibit 5 was the result of undue influence? A. I do not. Q. Do you have any evidence that Nelva Brunsting lacked capacity to execute Exhibit 5? A. I do not. Q. Do you have any evidence that Exhibit 5 was created by deception in that she did not understand what she was signing?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	instrument. I would call your attention to page 3 of Exhibit 13. And to the extent that some of my questions might be a little duplicative, I'm going to apologize in advance because, for example, we've touched on undue influence and we've touched on capacity; but they're specifically referenced in this pleading, so I want to kind of just march through what's here. At the bottom of that first paragraph, it talks about the "QBD was the result of undue influence, was done when Nelva lacked capacity and/or was created by deception so that Nelva did not understand or consent to the document." As someone who was very much involved in the creation of the QBD, is there any evidence and I want to break these down. Is there any evidence that there was undue influence regarding the creation and/or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 want. MS. BAYLESS: Well, it's your deposition. If you're going to talk about two documents in one question, I'm going to object. MR. MENDEL: I'll break them down. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Let's go back. With regard to Exhibit 5, and then we'll talk about Exhibit 6, do you have any evidence that Exhibit 5 was the result of undue influence? A. I do not. Q. Do you have any evidence that Nelva Brunsting lacked capacity to execute Exhibit 5? A. I do not. Q. Do you have any evidence that Exhibit 5 was created by deception in that she did not understand what she was signing? A. I do not.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	instrument. I would call your attention to page 3 of Exhibit 13. And to the extent that some of my questions might be a little duplicative, I'm going to apologize in advance because, for example, we've touched on undue influence and we've touched on capacity; but they're specifically referenced in this pleading, so I want to kind of just march through what's here. At the bottom of that first paragraph, it talks about the "QBD was the result of undue influence, was done when Nelva lacked capacity and/or was created by deception so that Nelva did not understand or consent to the document." As someone who was very much involved in the creation of the QBD, is there any evidence and I want to break these down. Is there any evidence that there was undue influence regarding the creation and/or execution of Exhibit 5 or Exhibit 6?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 want. MS. BAYLESS: Well, it's your deposition. If you're going to talk about two documents in one question, I'm going to object. MR. MENDEL: I'll break them down. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Let's go back. With regard to Exhibit 5, and then we'll talk about Exhibit 6, do you have any evidence that Exhibit 5 was the result of undue influence? A. I do not. Q. Do you have any evidence that Nelva Brunsting lacked capacity to execute Exhibit 5? A. I do not. Q. Do you have any evidence that Exhibit 5 was created by deception in that she did not understand what she was signing? A. I do not. Q. Do you have any evidence that Nelva Brunsting
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 instrument. I would call your attention to page 3 of Exhibit 13. And to the extent that some of my questions might be a little duplicative, I'm going to apologize in advance because, for example, we've touched on undue influence and we've touched on capacity; but they're specifically referenced in this pleading, so I want to kind of just march through what's here. At the bottom of that first paragraph, it talks about the "QBD was the result of undue influence, was done when Nelva lacked capacity and/or was created by deception so that Nelva did not understand or consent to the document." As someone who was very much involved in the creation of the QBD, is there any evidence and I want to break these down. Is there any evidence that there was undue influence regarding the creation and/or execution of Exhibit 5 or Exhibit 6? A. No. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 want. MS. BAYLESS: Well, it's your deposition. If you're going to talk about two documents in one question, I'm going to object. MR. MENDEL: I'll break them down. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Let's go back. With regard to Exhibit 5, and then we'll talk about Exhibit 6, do you have any evidence that Exhibit 5 was the result of undue influence? A. I do not. Q. Do you have any evidence that Nelva Brunsting lacked capacity to execute Exhibit 5? A. I do not. Q. Do you have any evidence that Exhibit 5 was created by deception in that she did not understand what she was signing? A. I do not. Q. Do you have any evidence that Nelva Brunsting did not consent to the creation and/or execution of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	instrument. I would call your attention to page 3 of Exhibit 13. And to the extent that some of my questions might be a little duplicative, I'm going to apologize in advance because, for example, we've touched on undue influence and we've touched on capacity; but they're specifically referenced in this pleading, so I want to kind of just march through what's here. At the bottom of that first paragraph, it talks about the "QBD was the result of undue influence, was done when Nelva lacked capacity and/or was created by deception so that Nelva did not understand or consent to the document." As someone who was very much involved in the creation of the QBD, is there any evidence and I want to break these down. Is there any evidence that there was undue influence regarding the creation and/or execution of Exhibit 5 or Exhibit 6? A. No. MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 want. MS. BAYLESS: Well, it's your deposition. If you're going to talk about two documents in one question, I'm going to object. MR. MENDEL: I'll break them down. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Let's go back. With regard to Exhibit 5, and then we'll talk about Exhibit 6, do you have any evidence that Exhibit 5 was the result of undue influence? A. I do not. Q. Do you have any evidence that Nelva Brunsting lacked capacity to execute Exhibit 5? A. I do not. Q. Do you have any evidence that Exhibit 5 was created by deception in that she did not understand what she was signing? A. I do not. Q. Do you have any evidence that Nelva Brunsting did not consent to the creation and/or execution of Exhibit 5?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	instrument. I would call your attention to page 3 of Exhibit 13. And to the extent that some of my questions might be a little duplicative, I'm going to apologize in advance because, for example, we've touched on undue influence and we've touched on capacity; but they're specifically referenced in this pleading, so I want to kind of just march through what's here. At the bottom of that first paragraph, it talks about the "QBD was the result of undue influence, was done when Nelva lacked capacity and/or was created by deception so that Nelva did not understand or consent to the document." As someone who was very much involved in the creation of the QBD, is there any evidence and I want to break these down. Is there any evidence that there was undue influence regarding the creation and/or execution of Exhibit 5 or Exhibit 6? A. No. MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Is there any evidence that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 want. MS. BAYLESS: Well, it's your deposition. If you're going to talk about two documents in one question, I'm going to object. MR. MENDEL: I'll break them down. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Let's go back. With regard to Exhibit 5, and then we'll talk about Exhibit 6, do you have any evidence that Exhibit 5 was the result of undue influence? A. I do not. Q. Do you have any evidence that Nelva Brunsting lacked capacity to execute Exhibit 5? A. I do not. Q. Do you have any evidence that Exhibit 5 was created by deception in that she did not understand what she was signing? A. I do not. Q. Do you have any evidence that Nelva Brunsting did not consent to the creation and/or execution of Exhibit 5? A. I do not.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 instrument. I would call your attention to page 3 of Exhibit 13. And to the extent that some of my questions might be a little duplicative, I'm going to apologize in advance because, for example, we've touched on undue influence and we've touched on capacity; but they're specifically referenced in this pleading, so I want to kind of just march through what's here. At the bottom of that first paragraph, it talks about the "QBD was the result of undue influence, was done when Nelva lacked capacity and/or was created by deception so that Nelva did not understand or consent to the document." As someone who was very much involved in the creation of the QBD, is there any evidence and I want to break these down. Is there any evidence that there was undue influence regarding the creation and/or execution of Exhibit 5 or Exhibit 6? A. No. MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Is there any evidence that Nelva lacked capacity with regard to the execution of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 want. MS. BAYLESS: Well, it's your deposition. If you're going to talk about two documents in one question, I'm going to object. MR. MENDEL: I'll break them down. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Let's go back. With regard to Exhibit 5, and then we'll talk about Exhibit 6, do you have any evidence that Exhibit 5 was the result of undue influence? A. I do not. Q. Do you have any evidence that Nelva Brunsting lacked capacity to execute Exhibit 5? A. I do not. Q. Do you have any evidence that Exhibit 5 was created by deception in that she did not understand what she was signing? A. I do not. Q. Do you have any evidence that Nelva Brunsting did not consent to the creation and/or execution of Exhibit 5? A. I do not. Q. Do you have any evidence that Nelva Brunsting did not consent to the creation and/or execution of Exhibit 5? A. I do not. Q. With regard to Exhibit 6, which was the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 instrument. I would call your attention to page 3 of Exhibit 13. And to the extent that some of my questions might be a little duplicative, I'm going to apologize in advance because, for example, we've touched on undue influence and we've touched on capacity; but they're specifically referenced in this pleading, so I want to kind of just march through what's here. At the bottom of that first paragraph, it talks about the "QBD was the result of undue influence, was done when Nelva lacked capacity and/or was created by deception so that Nelva did not understand or consent to the document." As someone who was very much involved in the creation of the QBD, is there any evidence and I want to break these down. Is there any evidence that there was undue influence regarding the creation and/or execution of Exhibit 5 or Exhibit 6? A. No. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Is there any evidence that Nelva lacked capacity with regard to the execution of Exhibits 5 or 6? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 want. MS. BAYLESS: Well, it's your deposition. If you're going to talk about two documents in one question, I'm going to object. MR. MENDEL: I'll break them down. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Let's go back. With regard to Exhibit 5, and then we'll talk about Exhibit 6, do you have any evidence that Exhibit 5 was the result of undue influence? A. I do not. Q. Do you have any evidence that Nelva Brunsting lacked capacity to execute Exhibit 5? A. I do not. Q. Do you have any evidence that Exhibit 5 was created by deception in that she did not understand what she was signing? A. I do not. Q. Do you have any evidence that Nelva Brunsting did not consent to the creation and/or execution of Exhibit 5? A. I do not. Q. Do you have any evidence that Nelva Brunsting did not consent to the creation and/or execution of Exhibit 5? A. I do not. Q. With regard to Exhibit 6, which was the August 2010 QBD, do you have any evidence that that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 instrument. I would call your attention to page 3 of Exhibit 13. And to the extent that some of my questions might be a little duplicative, I'm going to apologize in advance because, for example, we've touched on undue influence and we've touched on capacity; but they're specifically referenced in this pleading, so I want to kind of just march through what's here. At the bottom of that first paragraph, it talks about the "QBD was the result of undue influence, was done when Nelva lacked capacity and/or was created by deception so that Nelva did not understand or consent to the document." As someone who was very much involved in the creation of the QBD, is there any evidence and I want to break these down. Is there any evidence that there was undue influence regarding the creation and/or execution of Exhibit 5 or Exhibit 6? A. No. MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Is there any evidence that Nelva lacked capacity with regard to the execution of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 want. MS. BAYLESS: Well, it's your deposition. If you're going to talk about two documents in one question, I'm going to object. MR. MENDEL: I'll break them down. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Let's go back. With regard to Exhibit 5, and then we'll talk about Exhibit 6, do you have any evidence that Exhibit 5 was the result of undue influence? A. I do not. Q. Do you have any evidence that Nelva Brunsting lacked capacity to execute Exhibit 5? A. I do not. Q. Do you have any evidence that Exhibit 5 was created by deception in that she did not understand what she was signing? A. I do not. Q. Do you have any evidence that Nelva Brunsting did not consent to the creation and/or execution of Exhibit 5? A. I do not. Q. Do you have any evidence that Nelva Brunsting did not consent to the creation and/or execution of Exhibit 5? A. I do not. Q. With regard to Exhibit 6, which was the

15 (Pages 54 to 57)

	58	60
1	A. I do not.	¹ any evidence that Anita Brunsting sought replacement
2	Q. Do you have any evidence that Nelva Brunsting	² through an improper means or an improper purpose?
3	lacked capacity to execute Exhibit 6?	³ A. No.
4	A. I do not.	4 Q. Based on what you know as you sit here today,
5	Q. Do you have any evidence that Exhibit 6 was	⁵ from your perspective, is there anything improper about
6	created by deception so that she did not understand what	⁶ Nelva Brunsting appointing Anita Brunsting to be the
7	it was about?	7 trustee?
8	A. I do not.	⁸ A. No. It would have been my recommendation, most
9	Q. Do you have any evidence that Exhibit 6 that	⁹ likely.
10	Nelva Brunsting did not consent to the nature of	¹⁰ Q. Okay. Exhibit 13, page 4. There is this
11	Exhibit 6?	¹¹ consistent reference, if you look through Exhibit 13,
12	A. I do not.	¹² that the August QBD is tainted.
13	Q. Moving down to the bottom of page 3 of	¹³ From your perspective, was there anything
14	Exhibit 13, there is a statement that plaintiff	¹⁴ wrong or improper about the creation of Exhibit 6, being
15	MS. BAYLESS: I'm sorry. What page?	¹⁵ the August 2010 QBD?
16	MR. MENDEL: I'm on page 3.	¹⁶ A. No.
17	MS. BAYLESS: Okay.	¹⁷ Q. Anything about Exhibit 6 where it was
18	MR. MENDEL: Or still on page 3.	18 improperly created or executed?
19	MS. BAYLESS: Okay.	¹⁹ A. No.
20	Q. (By Mr. Mendel) "The plaintiff," which would	²⁰ MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.
21	be Carl Brunsting, "believes Anita convinced Nelva to	Q. (By Mr. Mendel) At the bottom of page 4 for
22	resign from her trustee position and to appoint Anita as	Exhibit 13, there's a sentence that talks about Candy,
23 24	her replacement through improper means and for improper	 being Candy Curtis, and Carl, being Carl Brunsting, were the only Brunsting siblings whose right to be trustees
25	purposes." What is your recollection of what was	 the only Brunsting siblings whose right to be trustees of their own trusts after Nelva died were extinguished
20	what is your reconction of what was	
	59	61
1	59 going on in or about November/December of 2010 with	61 ¹ by the changes implemented in the tainted August QBD.
1 2		
2 3	going on in or about November/December of 2010 withregard to Nelva Brunsting's health?A. I believe she was undergoing treatments, if the	 by the changes implemented in the tainted August QBD. I'm just paraphrasing. If I understood your testimony earlier,
2 3 4	going on in or about November/December of 2010 with regard to Nelva Brunsting's health?A. I believe she was undergoing treatments, if the time frame I'm thinking of is correct. She had a spot	 by the changes implemented in the tainted August QBD. I'm just paraphrasing. If I understood your testimony earlier, there's nothing wrong with removing someone as a
2 3 4 5	 going on in or about November/December of 2010 with regard to Nelva Brunsting's health? A. I believe she was undergoing treatments, if the time frame I'm thinking of is correct. She had a spot on her liver maybe or on her lungs. I can't remember 	 by the changes implemented in the tainted August QBD. I'm just paraphrasing. If I understood your testimony earlier, there's nothing wrong with removing someone as a trustee.
2 3 4 5 6	 going on in or about November/December of 2010 with regard to Nelva Brunsting's health? A. I believe she was undergoing treatments, if the time frame I'm thinking of is correct. She had a spot on her liver maybe or on her lungs. I can't remember what it was. I don't recall. She was going through 	 by the changes implemented in the tainted August QBD. I'm just paraphrasing. If I understood your testimony earlier, there's nothing wrong with removing someone as a trustee. A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7	 going on in or about November/December of 2010 with regard to Nelva Brunsting's health? A. I believe she was undergoing treatments, if the time frame I'm thinking of is correct. She had a spot on her liver maybe or on her lungs. I can't remember what it was. I don't recall. She was going through treatments for something and had pneumonia at some 	 by the changes implemented in the tainted August QBD. I'm just paraphrasing. If I understood your testimony earlier, there's nothing wrong with removing someone as a trustee. A. No. Q. And so is there anything wrong given that it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 going on in or about November/December of 2010 with regard to Nelva Brunsting's health? A. I believe she was undergoing treatments, if the time frame I'm thinking of is correct. She had a spot on her liver maybe or on her lungs. I can't remember what it was. I don't recall. She was going through treatments for something and had pneumonia at some point, but I don't recall the time frame. I'm sorry. 	 by the changes implemented in the tainted August QBD. I'm just paraphrasing. If I understood your testimony earlier, there's nothing wrong with removing someone as a trustee. A. No. Q. And so is there anything wrong given that it was Nelva's decision, anything wrong with Nelva
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 going on in or about November/December of 2010 with regard to Nelva Brunsting's health? A. I believe she was undergoing treatments, if the time frame I'm thinking of is correct. She had a spot on her liver maybe or on her lungs. I can't remember what it was. I don't recall. She was going through treatments for something and had pneumonia at some point, but I don't recall the time frame. I'm sorry. Q. Were you in discussions with Anita Brunsting 	 by the changes implemented in the tainted August QBD. I'm just paraphrasing. If I understood your testimony earlier, there's nothing wrong with removing someone as a trustee. A. No. Q. And so is there anything wrong given that it was Nelva's decision, anything wrong with Nelva Brunsting appointing Anita and Amy Brunsting to be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	going on in or about November/December of 2010 with regard to Nelva Brunsting's health? A. I believe she was undergoing treatments, if the time frame I'm thinking of is correct. She had a spot on her liver maybe or on her lungs. I can't remember what it was. I don't recall. She was going through treatments for something and had pneumonia at some point, but I don't recall the time frame. I'm sorry. Q. Were you in discussions with Anita Brunsting and/or other family members during the November/	 by the changes implemented in the tainted August QBD. I'm just paraphrasing. If I understood your testimony earlier, there's nothing wrong with removing someone as a trustee. A. No. Q. And so is there anything wrong given that it was Nelva's decision, anything wrong with Nelva Brunsting appointing Anita and Amy Brunsting to be co-trustees of Candy Curtis' personal asset trust?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 going on in or about November/December of 2010 with regard to Nelva Brunsting's health? A. I believe she was undergoing treatments, if the time frame I'm thinking of is correct. She had a spot on her liver maybe or on her lungs. I can't remember what it was. I don't recall. She was going through treatments for something and had pneumonia at some point, but I don't recall the time frame. I'm sorry. Q. Were you in discussions with Anita Brunsting and/or other family members during the November/ December 2010 time period with regard to Nelva 	 by the changes implemented in the tainted August QBD. I'm just paraphrasing. If I understood your testimony earlier, there's nothing wrong with removing someone as a trustee. A. No. Q. And so is there anything wrong given that it was Nelva's decision, anything wrong with Nelva Brunsting appointing Anita and Amy Brunsting to be co-trustees of Candy Curtis' personal asset trust? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 going on in or about November/December of 2010 with regard to Nelva Brunsting's health? A. I believe she was undergoing treatments, if the time frame I'm thinking of is correct. She had a spot on her liver maybe or on her lungs. I can't remember what it was. I don't recall. She was going through treatments for something and had pneumonia at some point, but I don't recall the time frame. I'm sorry. Q. Were you in discussions with Anita Brunsting and/or other family members during the November/ December 2010 time period with regard to Nelva Brunsting's health? 	 by the changes implemented in the tainted August QBD. I'm just paraphrasing. If I understood your testimony earlier, there's nothing wrong with removing someone as a trustee. A. No. Q. And so is there anything wrong given that it was Nelva's decision, anything wrong with Nelva Brunsting appointing Anita and Amy Brunsting to be co-trustees of Candy Curtis' personal asset trust? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 going on in or about November/December of 2010 with regard to Nelva Brunsting's health? A. I believe she was undergoing treatments, if the time frame I'm thinking of is correct. She had a spot on her liver maybe or on her lungs. I can't remember what it was. I don't recall. She was going through treatments for something and had pneumonia at some point, but I don't recall the time frame. I'm sorry. Q. Were you in discussions with Anita Brunsting and/or other family members during the November/ December 2010 time period with regard to Nelva Brunsting's health? A. With regard to her health. I don't recall. I 	 by the changes implemented in the tainted August QBD. I'm just paraphrasing. If I understood your testimony earlier, there's nothing wrong with removing someone as a trustee. A. No. Q. And so is there anything wrong given that it was Nelva's decision, anything wrong with Nelva Brunsting appointing Anita and Amy Brunsting to be co-trustees of Candy Curtis' personal asset trust? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. No. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) From your perspective and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 going on in or about November/December of 2010 with regard to Nelva Brunsting's health? A. I believe she was undergoing treatments, if the time frame I'm thinking of is correct. She had a spot on her liver maybe or on her lungs. I can't remember what it was. I don't recall. She was going through treatments for something and had pneumonia at some point, but I don't recall the time frame. I'm sorry. Q. Were you in discussions with Anita Brunsting and/or other family members during the November/ December 2010 time period with regard to Nelva Brunsting's health? A. With regard to her health. I don't recall. I may have been, but I don't recall. 	 by the changes implemented in the tainted August QBD. I'm just paraphrasing. If I understood your testimony earlier, there's nothing wrong with removing someone as a trustee. A. No. Q. And so is there anything wrong given that it was Nelva's decision, anything wrong with Nelva Brunsting appointing Anita and Amy Brunsting to be co-trustees of Candy Curtis' personal asset trust? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. No. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) From your perspective and based on your involvement, is there anything wrong with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 going on in or about November/December of 2010 with regard to Nelva Brunsting's health? A. I believe she was undergoing treatments, if the time frame I'm thinking of is correct. She had a spot on her liver maybe or on her lungs. I can't remember what it was. I don't recall. She was going through treatments for something and had pneumonia at some point, but I don't recall the time frame. I'm sorry. Q. Were you in discussions with Anita Brunsting and/or other family members during the November/ December 2010 time period with regard to Nelva Brunsting's health? A. With regard to her health. I don't recall. I may have been, but I don't recall. 	 by the changes implemented in the tainted August QBD. I'm just paraphrasing. If I understood your testimony earlier, there's nothing wrong with removing someone as a trustee. A. No. Q. And so is there anything wrong given that it was Nelva's decision, anything wrong with Nelva Brunsting appointing Anita and Amy Brunsting to be co-trustees of Candy Curtis' personal asset trust? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. No. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) From your perspective and based on your involvement, is there anything wrong with Anita or Amy Brunsting being co-trustees of Carl
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 going on in or about November/December of 2010 with regard to Nelva Brunsting's health? A. I believe she was undergoing treatments, if the time frame I'm thinking of is correct. She had a spot on her liver maybe or on her lungs. I can't remember what it was. I don't recall. She was going through treatments for something and had pneumonia at some point, but I don't recall the time frame. I'm sorry. Q. Were you in discussions with Anita Brunsting and/or other family members during the November/ December 2010 time period with regard to Nelva Brunsting's health? A. With regard to her health. I don't recall. I may have been, but I don't recall. Q. Did you have conversations or rather communications, whether they were oral or written, with 	 by the changes implemented in the tainted August QBD. I'm just paraphrasing. If I understood your testimony earlier, there's nothing wrong with removing someone as a trustee. A. No. Q. And so is there anything wrong given that it was Nelva's decision, anything wrong with Nelva Brunsting appointing Anita and Amy Brunsting to be co-trustees of Candy Curtis' personal asset trust? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. No. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) From your perspective and based on your involvement, is there anything wrong with Anita or Amy Brunsting being co-trustees of Carl Brunsting's personal asset trust?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 going on in or about November/December of 2010 with regard to Nelva Brunsting's health? A. I believe she was undergoing treatments, if the time frame I'm thinking of is correct. She had a spot on her liver maybe or on her lungs. I can't remember what it was. I don't recall. She was going through treatments for something and had pneumonia at some point, but I don't recall the time frame. I'm sorry. Q. Were you in discussions with Anita Brunsting and/or other family members during the November/ December 2010 time period with regard to Nelva Brunsting's health? A. With regard to her health. I don't recall. I may have been, but I don't recall. Q. Did you have conversations or rather communications, whether they were oral or written, with Anita Brunsting during the November/December 2010 time 	 by the changes implemented in the tainted August QBD. I'm just paraphrasing. If I understood your testimony earlier, there's nothing wrong with removing someone as a trustee. A. No. Q. And so is there anything wrong given that it was Nelva's decision, anything wrong with Nelva Brunsting appointing Anita and Amy Brunsting to be co-trustees of Candy Curtis' personal asset trust? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. No. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) From your perspective and based on your involvement, is there anything wrong with Anita or Amy Brunsting being co-trustees of Carl Brunsting's personal asset trust? A. No. A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 going on in or about November/December of 2010 with regard to Nelva Brunsting's health? A. I believe she was undergoing treatments, if the time frame I'm thinking of is correct. She had a spot on her liver maybe or on her lungs. I can't remember what it was. I don't recall. She was going through treatments for something and had pneumonia at some point, but I don't recall the time frame. I'm sorry. Q. Were you in discussions with Anita Brunsting and/or other family members during the November/ December 2010 time period with regard to Nelva Brunsting's health? A. With regard to her health. I don't recall. I may have been, but I don't recall. Q. Did you have conversations or rather communications, whether they were oral or written, with Anita Brunsting during the November/December 2010 time period? 	 by the changes implemented in the tainted August QBD. I'm just paraphrasing. If I understood your testimony earlier, there's nothing wrong with removing someone as a trustee. A. No. Q. And so is there anything wrong given that it was Nelva's decision, anything wrong with Nelva Brunsting appointing Anita and Amy Brunsting to be co-trustees of Candy Curtis' personal asset trust? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. No. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) From your perspective and based on your involvement, is there anything wrong with Anita or Amy Brunsting being co-trustees of Carl Brunsting's personal asset trust? A. No. Q. Let's move to page 6, Exhibit 13.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 going on in or about November/December of 2010 with regard to Nelva Brunsting's health? A. I believe she was undergoing treatments, if the time frame I'm thinking of is correct. She had a spot on her liver maybe or on her lungs. I can't remember what it was. I don't recall. She was going through treatments for something and had pneumonia at some point, but I don't recall the time frame. I'm sorry. Q. Were you in discussions with Anita Brunsting and/or other family members during the November/ December 2010 time period with regard to Nelva Brunsting's health? A. With regard to her health. I don't recall. I may have been, but I don't recall. Q. Did you have conversations or rather communications, whether they were oral or written, with Anita Brunsting during the November/December 2010 time period? A. I may have. I don't recall a specific 	 by the changes implemented in the tainted August QBD. I'm just paraphrasing. If I understood your testimony earlier, there's nothing wrong with removing someone as a trustee. A. No. Q. And so is there anything wrong given that it was Nelva's decision, anything wrong with Nelva Brunsting appointing Anita and Amy Brunsting to be co-trustees of Candy Curtis' personal asset trust? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. No. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) From your perspective and based on your involvement, is there anything wrong with Anita or Amy Brunsting being co-trustees of Carl Brunsting's personal asset trust? A. No. Q. Let's move to page 6, Exhibit 13. A. (Witness complies.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 going on in or about November/December of 2010 with regard to Nelva Brunsting's health? A. I believe she was undergoing treatments, if the time frame I'm thinking of is correct. She had a spot on her liver maybe or on her lungs. I can't remember what it was. I don't recall. She was going through treatments for something and had pneumonia at some point, but I don't recall the time frame. I'm sorry. Q. Were you in discussions with Anita Brunsting and/or other family members during the November/ December 2010 time period with regard to Nelva Brunsting's health? A. With regard to her health. I don't recall. I may have been, but I don't recall. Q. Did you have conversations or rather communications, whether they were oral or written, with Anita Brunsting during the November/December 2010 time period? 	 by the changes implemented in the tainted August QBD. I'm just paraphrasing. If I understood your testimony earlier, there's nothing wrong with removing someone as a trustee. A. No. Q. And so is there anything wrong given that it was Nelva's decision, anything wrong with Nelva Brunsting appointing Anita and Amy Brunsting to be co-trustees of Candy Curtis' personal asset trust? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. No. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) From your perspective and based on your involvement, is there anything wrong with Anita or Amy Brunsting being co-trustees of Carl Brunsting's personal asset trust? A. No. Q. Let's move to page 6, Exhibit 13. A. (Witness complies.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 going on in or about November/December of 2010 with regard to Nelva Brunsting's health? A. I believe she was undergoing treatments, if the time frame I'm thinking of is correct. She had a spot on her liver maybe or on her lungs. I can't remember what it was. I don't recall. She was going through treatments for something and had pneumonia at some point, but I don't recall the time frame. I'm sorry. Q. Were you in discussions with Anita Brunsting and/or other family members during the November/ December 2010 time period with regard to Nelva Brunsting's health? A. With regard to her health. I don't recall. I may have been, but I don't recall. Q. Did you have conversations or rather communications, whether they were oral or written, with Anita Brunsting during the November/December 2010 time period? A. I may have. I don't recall a specific conversation, but I may have. 	 by the changes implemented in the tainted August QBD. I'm just paraphrasing. If I understood your testimony earlier, there's nothing wrong with removing someone as a trustee. A. No. Q. And so is there anything wrong given that it was Nelva's decision, anything wrong with Nelva Brunsting appointing Anita and Amy Brunsting to be co-trustees of Candy Curtis' personal asset trust? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. No. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) From your perspective and based on your involvement, is there anything wrong with Anita or Amy Brunsting being co-trustees of Carl Brunsting's personal asset trust? A. No. Q. Let's move to page 6, Exhibit 13. A. (Witness complies.) Q. Paragraph 10 on page 6 of Exhibit 13 talks
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 going on in or about November/December of 2010 with regard to Nelva Brunsting's health? A. I believe she was undergoing treatments, if the time frame I'm thinking of is correct. She had a spot on her liver maybe or on her lungs. I can't remember what it was. I don't recall. She was going through treatments for something and had pneumonia at some point, but I don't recall the time frame. I'm sorry. Q. Were you in discussions with Anita Brunsting and/or other family members during the November/ December 2010 time period with regard to Nelva Brunsting's health? A. With regard to her health. I don't recall. I may have been, but I don't recall. Q. Did you have conversations or rather communications, whether they were oral or written, with Anita Brunsting during the November/December 2010 time period? A. I may have. I don't recall a specific conversation, but I may have. Q. Do you have any evidence or are you aware of 	 by the changes implemented in the tainted August QBD. I'm just paraphrasing. If I understood your testimony earlier, there's nothing wrong with removing someone as a trustee. A. No. Q. And so is there anything wrong given that it was Nelva's decision, anything wrong with Nelva Brunsting appointing Anita and Amy Brunsting to be co-trustees of Candy Curtis' personal asset trust? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. No. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) From your perspective and based on your involvement, is there anything wrong with Anita or Amy Brunsting being co-trustees of Carl Brunsting's personal asset trust? A. No. Q. Let's move to page 6, Exhibit 13. A. (Witness complies.) Q. Paragraph 10 on page 6 of Exhibit 13 talks about "At some point Anita and Amy implemented a plan to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 going on in or about November/December of 2010 with regard to Nelva Brunsting's health? A. I believe she was undergoing treatments, if the time frame I'm thinking of is correct. She had a spot on her liver maybe or on her lungs. I can't remember what it was. I don't recall. She was going through treatments for something and had pneumonia at some point, but I don't recall the time frame. I'm sorry. Q. Were you in discussions with Anita Brunsting and/or other family members during the November/ December 2010 time period with regard to Nelva Brunsting's health? A. With regard to her health. I don't recall. I may have been, but I don't recall. Q. Did you have conversations or rather communications, whether they were oral or written, with Anita Brunsting during the November/December 2010 time period? A. I may have. I don't recall a specific conversation, but I may have. Q. Do you have any evidence or are you aware of any evidence that Anita Brunsting convinced her mother 	 by the changes implemented in the tainted August QBD. I'm just paraphrasing. If I understood your testimony earlier, there's nothing wrong with removing someone as a trustee. A. No. Q. And so is there anything wrong given that it was Nelva's decision, anything wrong with Nelva Brunsting appointing Anita and Amy Brunsting to be co-trustees of Candy Curtis' personal asset trust? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. No. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) From your perspective and based on your involvement, is there anything wrong with Anita or Amy Brunsting being co-trustees of Carl Brunsting's personal asset trust? A. No. Q. Let's move to page 6, Exhibit 13. A. (Witness complies.) Q. Paragraph 10 on page 6 of Exhibit 13 talks about "At some point Anita and Amy implemented a plan to take over their parents' remaining assets and divide the

16 (Pages 58 to 61)

	62	64
1	there was some alleged plan to take over the assets and	¹ Q. (By Mr. Mendel) From your perspective as a
2	divide the spoils?	² lawyer?
3	A. I do not.	³ A. No.
4	Q. Also in paragraph 10 there's an indication that	⁴ Q. Given the nature of the encephalitis and other
5	they, Anita and Amy Brunsting, became more aggressive in	⁵ healthcare conditions, would you have made that
6	controlling their mother's actions.	⁶ recommendation?
7	Based on your dealings with Nelva	7 A. I would.
8	Brunsting, certainly in the June to August 2010 time	⁸ Q. Paragraph 11, still page 7, Exhibit 13.
9	period, did you see any indication or are you aware of	⁹ There's a reference that Anita and Amy Brunsting
10	any evidence that would indicate that Anita Brunsting	¹⁰ apparently determined which documents would be prepared.
11	was seeking to control her mother's actions?	¹¹ Based on your dealings with Nelva
12	A. No.	¹² Brunsting in the June to August 2010 time period, did
13	Q. Do you have for the same time period, do you	¹³ Anita or Amy Brunsting have any input on what documents
14	have any evidence or are you aware of any evidence that	¹⁴ were going to be prepared?
15	would indicate that Amy Brunsting was trying to control	¹⁵ A. No.
16	her mother's actions?	¹⁶ Q. Paragraph 12, page 7, Exhibit 13, makes
17	A. No.	¹⁷ reference to Nelva Brunsting's purported resignation as
18	Q. Exhibit 13, page 6, paragraph 11, there's this	¹⁸ trustee.
19	statement in here that Anita and Amy carried out their	¹⁹ Exhibit No. 8 is the resignation of Nelva
20	plan of replacing their mother's wishes with the help of	²⁰ Brunsting and includes the acceptance by Anita
21	Nelva's own legal counsel.	²¹ Brunsting. Do you see that?
22	Now, this paragraph doesn't identify who	²² A. Uh-huh.
23	Nelva's own legal counsel was; but on the assumption	²³ Q. Is there anything about Exhibit 8 that makes
24	that they're suggesting that you were assisting in	²⁴ that instrument ineffective?
25	carrying out the plan, have you at any time assisted	²⁵ A. No.
1	63	65
1 2	Anita Brunsting with trying to circumvent or subvert the	¹ MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. ² O (By Mr Mendel) From your perspective is
3	intent of Elmer and Nelva Brunsting's testamentary desires?	² Q. (By Mr. Mendel) From your perspective, is ³ that would that exhibit be enforceable?
4		4 A. Yes.
5	A. No.Q. Have you at any time attempted to subvert Elmer	⁵ Q. At the bottom of page 7, paragraph 13,
6	and Nelva Brunsting's testamentary desires in terms of	 6 Exhibit 13, there's a statement in here about "more than
7	helping Amy Brunsting?	 ⁷ \$150,000 was transferred from accounts by Anita and
8	A. No.	 ⁸ spent by Carole."
9	Q. Also in paragraph 11, page 6 of Exhibit 13,	 9 If Nelva Brunsting said it was okay to
10	there's a reference that through bullying and deception,	¹⁰ transfer money over to Carole Brunsting, is there
11	the document was executed without regard to Nelva	11 anything wrong with Anita carrying out that wish?
12	Brunsting's capacity.	¹² MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.
13	Do you have any evidence or are you aware	¹³ A. No.
14	of any facts that would indicate there was bullying and	¹⁴ Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Exhibit 13, page 8,
15	deception going on in the June to August 2010 time	¹⁵ paragraph 14, there is a reference during the period
16	period?	¹⁶ in which Nelva was alive, there's a reference that Anita
17	A. I do not.	¹⁷ transferred shares of stock from Nelva's survivor's
18	Q. On page 7, at the top of page 7, Exhibit 13, it	¹⁸ trust in May, June twice three times in June.
19	talks about that Nelva Brunsting's safe deposit box, to	¹⁹ Any transfers from the survivor's trust by
20	which Carl had access, was closed and a new one opened,	²⁰ Anita to anyone, if that was with Nelva's knowledge and
21	giving Anita Brunsting access.	²¹ consent, is there anything wrong with that?
22	Is there anything wrong with that	²² A. No.
23	transaction?	²³ MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.
24	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.	24 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Let's break it down. Still on
25	A. No.	²⁵ page 8, paragraph 14, Exhibit 13. If it was with Nelva
		1

	66	6	58
1	Brunsting's consent, would that May 9th transfer from	¹ A. No.	
2	Anita from the survivor's trust be permissible?	² Q. (By Mr. Mendel) There were other references to	С
3	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.	³ other transfers during the period in which Nelva	
4	A. Yes.	⁴ Brunsting was alive and with regard to the survivor's	
5	Q. (By Mr. Mendel) With regard to the June 13th,	⁵ trust.	
6	2011 transfer from the survivor's trust, if that was	⁶ So just to be clear, if Nelva Brunsting,	
7	with Nelva's knowledge and consent, would that be	⁷ with knowledge and consent, said it was okay to make a	a
8	permissible?	⁸ transfer out of the survivor's trust to either Anita,	
9	A. Yes.	⁹ Amy, to Carole or even Candy, and exclude Carl, is the	re
10	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.	¹⁰ anything wrong with that?	
11	Q. (By Mr. Mendel) In either of those two cases,	¹¹ MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.	
12	would it be a breach of fiduciary duty to make a	¹² A. No.	
13	transfer that was with the knowledge and consent of	¹³ Q. (By Mr. Mendel) In paragraph 15, page 8,	
14	Nelva Brunsting?	¹⁴ Exhibit 13, there's a reference about trust assets: "It	
15	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.	¹⁵ is believed that trust assets were used to hire	
16	A. I don't believe it would be, no.	¹⁶ investigators to follow Carl's wife."	
17	Q. (By Mr. Mendel) On June 15th there's a	¹⁷ Are you aware of anything regarding that	
18	complaint about Anita makes a transfer of shares from	¹⁸ allegation?	
19	Nelva's survivor's trust to Candy Curtis.	¹⁹ A. I have heard the allegation. I am not aware if	<u>,</u>
20	If that was done with Nelva Brunsting's	²⁰ that occurred or did not occur.	
21	knowledge and consent, would there be anything wrong	²¹ Q. And what is your understanding of the	
22	with that?	²² allegation?	
23	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.	²³ A. That the allegation was made. But there are a	a
24	A. No.	²⁴ lot of allegations that are made throughout these	
25	Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Would that be a breach of	²⁵ documents, so	
	67	6	59
1	fiduciary duty?	¹ Q. So other than someone said it or wrote it, you	
2	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.	² don't have any other information?	
3	A. No.	³ A. I do not.	
4	Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Further down in paragraph 14	⁴ Q. And other than someone saying or writing that	
5	it makes reference that "no shares were transferred to	⁵ there might have been a GPS tracking device, do you know	/
6	Carl despite Anita's knowledge of Carl's serious health	⁶ anything else about the GPS tracking device that's	
7	crisis and large medical expenses."	⁷ referenced in that last sentence of paragraph 15,	
8	If Nelva Brunsting doesn't want Carl to	⁸ page 8, Exhibit 13?	
9	get any shares, is it okay for Anita to not make any	⁹ A. I do not.	
10	transfer of shares?	¹⁰ Q. On Exhibit 13, page 9, paragraph 17, there's	
11	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.	¹¹ this allegation that the remaining assets by the time	
12	A. Yes.	¹² of Nelva Brunsting's death, the remaining assets had	
13	Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Then there's a complaint in	¹³ already been plundered.	
14	the last part of paragraph 14, page 8 of Exhibit 13,	¹⁴ Do you have any knowledge of any facts	
15	that Carl's family was not even informed of the	¹⁵ from any person that would suggest that assets had been	
16	transfers until after the death.	¹⁶ plundered?	
17	Was anyone obligated to tell Carl when	¹⁷ A. Other than what is alleged, no. I have no	
18	anything happened inside of this trust?	¹⁸ personal knowledge of any plundering of assets.	
19	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.	¹⁹ Q. Alleging it doesn't make it so?	
20	A. Obligated or recommended?	20 A. That's correct.	
21	Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Was there a duty to advise	²¹ Q. Also with Exhibit 13, page 9, paragraph 17,	
22	Carl and his family every time there was a stock	²² there's an allegation that "no effort was made to value,	
23	transfer during the period in which Nelva Brunsting was	²³ preserve inventory and properly divide personal	
24	alive?	²⁴ property."	
25	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.	²⁵ If I understood your testimony correctly a	
		1	

18 (Pages 66 to 69)

	70	72
1	little bit earlier, I understood you to say you could	¹ or even discussed with Elmer Brunsting, are you aware of
2	expect to spend 15 months going through that process.	 any documents that Elmer Brunsting's signature was
3	Would that be a fair statement?	³ forged?
4	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.	4 A. No.
5	A. Sure.	⁵ Q. On page 11, Exhibit 13, paragraph 26, does the
6	Q. (By Mr. Mendel) And that if someone initiated	 ⁶ mere existence of a familial relationship create some
7	litigation in or about February of 2012 my	 ⁷ sort of a fiduciary obligation between siblings?
8	recollection was you said it would grind all of this to	8 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.
9	a halt.	9 A. No.
10	A. It would.	¹⁰ Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Let's go over to page 12,
11	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.	¹¹ Exhibit 13, still on paragraph 26. There's a series of
12	Q. (By Mr. Mendel) So to the extent that someone	¹² subparagraphs. Subparagraph A talks about "failing to
13	may have initiated litigation, and in particular	 keep and provide clear, regular, accurate and complete
14	Candy Curtis initiating litigation, that impairs what	 accountings of assets."
15	the co-trustees need or are trying to do, does that mean	¹⁵ Is the dissemination of account statements
16	that they, the co-trustees, breached some fiduciary	¹⁶ for Exxon stock and Chevron stock produced on a monthly
17	duty?	¹⁷ basis or if they are issued on quarterly basis would
18	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.	¹⁸ you agree that the production of those statements is an
19	A. I'm not sure I know how to answer that.	¹⁹ acceptable accounting practice?
20	Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Hard to do your job when	²⁰ MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.
21	people interfere?	²¹ A. Just those statements or as part of an overall?
22	A. Well, I would agree with that, absolutely.	²² Q. (By Mr. Mendel) As part of an overall
23	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.	 ²³ disclosure of information regarding assets and
24	Q. (By Mr. Mendel) There's a reference to in	²⁴ liabilities.
25	Exhibit 13, page 9, to the in terrorem clause, what some	²⁵ MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
	71	73
1	people call the no-contest clause. I'm going to come	¹ A. I would agree that that's acceptable.
2	back to that in a few minutes. So I don't want you to	² Q. (By Mr. Mendel) And if the trustees or
3	think I'm skipping it.	³ co-trustees provided evidence of checks that were
4	On page 10 of Exhibit 13, paragraph 20,	⁴ written with regard to the accounts, would that be part
5	there's this suggestion that Elmer purportedly signed	⁵ of an acceptable accounting process in the context of an
6	some documents.	⁶ overall accounting?
7	Are you aware of any facts that would	7 A. Yes.
8	suggest that Elmer Brunsting did not sign any of the	⁸ MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.
9	instruments that were prepared and/or being held by the	⁹ Q. (By Mr. Mendel) And if the co-trustees
10	Vacek law firm?	¹⁰ produced tax returns in addition to showing checks and
11	A. Okay. First, I'm not sure where you're at,	¹¹ in addition to producing these statements of all of
12	what you're looking at that states that.	¹² these various stock accounts, would that be an
13	Q. I'm sorry. Are you on page 10?	¹³ acceptable accounting process?
14	A. I am on page 10.	¹⁴ A. Yes.
15	Q. Page 10, paragraph 20, second line of	¹⁵ MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.
16	paragraph 20 talks about	¹⁶ Q. (By Mr. Mendel) And with regard to
17	A. Okay.	¹⁷ paragraph B, the production of tax returns and showing
18	Q seeking declaratory relief construing	¹⁸ some checks and producing brokerage statements or some
19	various documents signed or purportedly signed by Elmer	¹⁹ sort of stock statements over a period of several years,
20	and Nelva Brunsting.	²⁰ if you knew that somebody was producing those kinds of
21	Do you see that?	²¹ accounting records, would you say that the co-trustee is
22	A. I do see that now. Thank you.	²² resisting an accounting?
23	Q. So we've already talked about the execution by	²³ MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.
24	Nelva. From your perspective, based on your review of	²⁴ A. I would not.
25	the file and anything that you may have seen in the file	²⁵ Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Approximately when did you

19 (Pages 70 to 73)

	74	76
1	stop providing advice on the administration of the	¹ conflicted with the trust and the beneficiaries that are
2	trust?	² the subject matter of this dispute?
3	A. I believe it was shortly after the lawsuit was	3 A. No.
4	filed and they were referred out to litigation counsel.	4 Q. And are you aware of any facts or evidence that
5	Q. When you say "the lawsuit," are we talking	⁵ would indicate that Anita, Amy and Carole Brunsting
6	about the initial lawsuit that was filed in February of	⁶ well, I'll withdraw that. It's covered in the earlier
7	2012 by Candace Curtis?	⁷ question.
8	A. I believe that's correct. I conferred with	⁸ Moving on to subparagraph H, still
9	litigation counsel, but	⁹ Exhibit 13, page 12. Are you aware of any facts or
10	Q. Well, during the period that you were providing	¹⁰ evidence that would indicate that Anita or Amy Brunsting
11	assistance or the Vacek firm was providing assistance,	¹¹ failed to be loyal to the family?
12	are you aware of any facts that would suggest that the	¹² A. I don't know what "loyal to the family" means.
13	co-trustees failed to preserve property?	¹³ Sorry.
14	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.	¹⁴ Q. Are you aware of any facts that would indicate
15	A. I am not personally aware, no.	¹⁵ that still on subparagraph H. Are you aware of any
16	Q. (By Mr. Mendel) And are you aware of any facts	¹⁶ facts or evidence that would indicate that the
17	that would suggest that the co-trustees failed to	¹⁷ co-trustees failed to take actions based upon the
18	prevent alleged losses of property?	¹⁸ interest of Nelva Brunsting?
19	A. I am not aware of that personally.	¹⁹ A. No.
20	Q. Are you aware of any losses of property?	²⁰ Q. Failed to take actions upon the interest of
21	A. You mean other than right now?	²¹ Carl Brunsting?
22	Q. Well, when you say "right now," what do you	²² A. No.
23	mean?	²³ Q. Failed to take actions upon the interest of the
24	A. Well, I'm no. I am not aware at that time	²⁴ trust?
25	that there was any losses.	²⁵ A. No.
	that there was any losses.	
	75	77
1	75	77
1	Q. Paragraph E, are you aware of any facts or	¹ Q. Subparagraph I, are you aware of any facts or
2	Q. Paragraph E, are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate the co-trustees conveyed	 Q. Subparagraph I, are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate that the co-trustees failed
2 3	Q. Paragraph E, are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate the co-trustees conveyed property in ways that were detrimental and in violation	 Q. Subparagraph I, are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate that the co-trustees failed to deal impartially, fairly and equally with Nelva
2 3 4	Q. Paragraph E, are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate the co-trustees conveyed property in ways that were detrimental and in violation of their obligations?	 Q. Subparagraph I, are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate that the co-trustees failed to deal impartially, fairly and equally with Nelva Brunsting?
2 3 4 5	Q. Paragraph E, are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate the co-trustees conveyed property in ways that were detrimental and in violation of their obligations?A. I am not personally aware of that, no.	 Q. Subparagraph I, are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate that the co-trustees failed to deal impartially, fairly and equally with Nelva Brunsting? A. No.
2 3 4 5 6	 Q. Paragraph E, are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate the co-trustees conveyed property in ways that were detrimental and in violation of their obligations? A. I am not personally aware of that, no. Q. Subparagraph F, are you aware of any facts or 	 Q. Subparagraph I, are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate that the co-trustees failed to deal impartially, fairly and equally with Nelva Brunsting? A. No. Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. Paragraph E, are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate the co-trustees conveyed property in ways that were detrimental and in violation of their obligations? A. I am not personally aware of that, no. Q. Subparagraph F, are you aware of any facts or evidence that indicates that the co-trustees entered 	 Q. Subparagraph I, are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate that the co-trustees failed to deal impartially, fairly and equally with Nelva Brunsting? A. No. Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate that the co-trustees failed to deal
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. Paragraph E, are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate the co-trustees conveyed property in ways that were detrimental and in violation of their obligations? A. I am not personally aware of that, no. Q. Subparagraph F, are you aware of any facts or evidence that indicates that the co-trustees entered into transactions which were not in the best interests 	 Q. Subparagraph I, are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate that the co-trustees failed to deal impartially, fairly and equally with Nelva Brunsting? A. No. Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate that the co-trustees failed to deal impartially, fairly and equally with Carl Brunsting?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Paragraph E, are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate the co-trustees conveyed property in ways that were detrimental and in violation of their obligations? A. I am not personally aware of that, no. Q. Subparagraph F, are you aware of any facts or evidence that indicates that the co-trustees entered into transactions which were not in the best interests of persons and trusts to whom they owed fiduciary 	1 Q. Subparagraph I, are you aware of any facts or 2 evidence that would indicate that the co-trustees failed 3 to deal impartially, fairly and equally with Nelva 4 Brunsting? 5 A. No. 6 Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that 7 would indicate that the co-trustees failed to deal 8 impartially, fairly and equally with Carl Brunsting? 9 A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. Paragraph E, are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate the co-trustees conveyed property in ways that were detrimental and in violation of their obligations? A. I am not personally aware of that, no. Q. Subparagraph F, are you aware of any facts or evidence that indicates that the co-trustees entered into transactions which were not in the best interests of persons and trusts to whom they owed fiduciary obligations? 	1 Q. Subparagraph I, are you aware of any facts or 2 evidence that would indicate that the co-trustees failed 3 to deal impartially, fairly and equally with Nelva 4 Brunsting? 5 A. No. 6 Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that 7 would indicate that the co-trustees failed to deal 8 impartially, fairly and equally with Carl Brunsting? 9 A. No. 10 Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. Paragraph E, are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate the co-trustees conveyed property in ways that were detrimental and in violation of their obligations? A. I am not personally aware of that, no. Q. Subparagraph F, are you aware of any facts or evidence that indicates that the co-trustees entered into transactions which were not in the best interests of persons and trusts to whom they owed fiduciary obligations? A. I personally am not aware, no. 	1 Q. Subparagraph I, are you aware of any facts or 2 evidence that would indicate that the co-trustees failed 3 to deal impartially, fairly and equally with Nelva 4 Brunsting? 5 A. No. 6 Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that 7 would indicate that the co-trustees failed to deal 8 impartially, fairly and equally with Carl Brunsting? 9 A. No. 10 Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that 11 would indicate that the co-trustees failed to deal
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. Paragraph E, are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate the co-trustees conveyed property in ways that were detrimental and in violation of their obligations? A. I am not personally aware of that, no. Q. Subparagraph F, are you aware of any facts or evidence that indicates that the co-trustees entered into transactions which were not in the best interests of persons and trusts to whom they owed fiduciary obligations? A. I personally am not aware, no. Q. Well, when you say you're personally not aware, 	1 Q. Subparagraph I, are you aware of any facts or 2 evidence that would indicate that the co-trustees failed 3 to deal impartially, fairly and equally with Nelva 4 Brunsting? 5 A. No. 6 Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that 7 would indicate that the co-trustees failed to deal 8 impartially, fairly and equally with Carl Brunsting? 9 A. No. 10 Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that 11 would indicate that the co-trustees failed to deal 12 impartially, fairly and equally with the trust?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Paragraph E, are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate the co-trustees conveyed property in ways that were detrimental and in violation of their obligations? A. I am not personally aware of that, no. Q. Subparagraph F, are you aware of any facts or evidence that indicates that the co-trustees entered into transactions which were not in the best interests of persons and trusts to whom they owed fiduciary obligations? A. I personally am not aware, no. Q. Well, when you say you're personally not aware, are you aware of anyone else that would know anything? 	1 Q. Subparagraph I, are you aware of any facts or 2 evidence that would indicate that the co-trustees failed 3 to deal impartially, fairly and equally with Nelva 4 Brunsting? 5 A. No. 6 Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that 7 would indicate that the co-trustees failed to deal 8 impartially, fairly and equally with Carl Brunsting? 9 A. No. 10 Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that 11 would indicate that the co-trustees failed to deal 12 impartially, fairly and equally with the trust? 13 A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. Paragraph E, are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate the co-trustees conveyed property in ways that were detrimental and in violation of their obligations? A. I am not personally aware of that, no. Q. Subparagraph F, are you aware of any facts or evidence that indicates that the co-trustees entered into transactions which were not in the best interests of persons and trusts to whom they owed fiduciary obligations? A. I personally am not aware, no. Q. Well, when you say you're personally not aware, are you aware of anyone else that would know anything? A. I'm not. 	1 Q. Subparagraph I, are you aware of any facts or 2 evidence that would indicate that the co-trustees failed 3 to deal impartially, fairly and equally with Nelva 4 Brunsting? 5 A. No. 6 Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that 7 would indicate that the co-trustees failed to deal 8 impartially, fairly and equally with Carl Brunsting? 9 A. No. 10 Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that 11 would indicate that the co-trustees failed to deal 12 impartially, fairly and equally with the trust? 13 A. No. 14 Q. Subparagraph J, are you aware of any facts or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. Paragraph E, are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate the co-trustees conveyed property in ways that were detrimental and in violation of their obligations? A. I am not personally aware of that, no. Q. Subparagraph F, are you aware of any facts or evidence that indicates that the co-trustees entered into transactions which were not in the best interests of persons and trusts to whom they owed fiduciary obligations? A. I personally am not aware, no. Q. Well, when you say you're personally not aware, are you aware of anyone else that would know anything? A. I'm not. Q. Subparagraph G, are you aware of any facts or 	1 Q. Subparagraph I, are you aware of any facts or 2 evidence that would indicate that the co-trustees failed 3 to deal impartially, fairly and equally with Nelva 4 Brunsting? 5 A. No. 6 Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that 7 would indicate that the co-trustees failed to deal 8 impartially, fairly and equally with Carl Brunsting? 9 A. No. 10 Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that 11 would indicate that the co-trustees failed to deal 12 impartially, fairly and equally with the trust? 13 A. No. 14 Q. Subparagraph J, are you aware of any facts or 15 evidence that would indicate that the co-trustees failed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. Paragraph E, are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate the co-trustees conveyed property in ways that were detrimental and in violation of their obligations? A. I am not personally aware of that, no. Q. Subparagraph F, are you aware of any facts or evidence that indicates that the co-trustees entered into transactions which were not in the best interests of persons and trusts to whom they owed fiduciary obligations? A. I personally am not aware, no. Q. Well, when you say you're personally not aware, are you aware of any facts or evidence that would know anything? A. I'm not. Q. Subparagraph G, are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate that Anita, Amy and Carole 	1 Q. Subparagraph I, are you aware of any facts or 2 evidence that would indicate that the co-trustees failed 3 to deal impartially, fairly and equally with Nelva 4 Brunsting? 5 A. No. 6 Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that 7 would indicate that the co-trustees failed to deal 8 impartially, fairly and equally with Carl Brunsting? 9 A. No. 10 Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that 11 would indicate that the co-trustees failed to deal 12 impartially, fairly and equally with the trust? 13 A. No. 14 Q. Subparagraph J, are you aware of any facts or 15 evidence that would indicate that the co-trustees failed 16 to prevent transfers of assets?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. Paragraph E, are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate the co-trustees conveyed property in ways that were detrimental and in violation of their obligations? A. I am not personally aware of that, no. Q. Subparagraph F, are you aware of any facts or evidence that indicates that the co-trustees entered into transactions which were not in the best interests of persons and trusts to whom they owed fiduciary obligations? A. I personally am not aware, no. Q. Well, when you say you're personally not aware, are you aware of anyone else that would know anything? A. I'm not. Q. Subparagraph G, are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate that Anita, Amy and Carole Brunstings' interest conflicted with those of their 	1 Q. Subparagraph I, are you aware of any facts or 2 evidence that would indicate that the co-trustees failed 3 to deal impartially, fairly and equally with Nelva 4 Brunsting? 5 A. No. 6 Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that 7 would indicate that the co-trustees failed to deal 8 impartially, fairly and equally with Carl Brunsting? 9 A. No. 10 Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that 11 would indicate that the co-trustees failed to deal 12 impartially, fairly and equally with the trust? 13 A. No. 14 Q. Subparagraph J, are you aware of any facts or 15 evidence that would indicate that the co-trustees failed 16 to prevent transfers of assets? 17 A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Paragraph E, are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate the co-trustees conveyed property in ways that were detrimental and in violation of their obligations? A. I am not personally aware of that, no. Q. Subparagraph F, are you aware of any facts or evidence that indicates that the co-trustees entered into transactions which were not in the best interests of persons and trusts to whom they owed fiduciary obligations? A. I personally am not aware, no. Q. Well, when you say you're personally not aware, are you aware of any facts or evidence that would know anything? A. I'm not. Q. Subparagraph G, are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate that Anita, Amy and Carole Brunstings' interest conflicted with those of their parents? 	1 Q. Subparagraph I, are you aware of any facts or 2 evidence that would indicate that the co-trustees failed 3 to deal impartially, fairly and equally with Nelva 4 Brunsting? 5 A. No. 6 Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that 7 would indicate that the co-trustees failed to deal 8 impartially, fairly and equally with Carl Brunsting? 9 A. No. 10 Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that 11 would indicate that the co-trustees failed to deal 12 impartially, fairly and equally with the trust? 13 A. No. 14 Q. Subparagraph J, are you aware of any facts or 15 evidence that would indicate that the co-trustees failed 16 to prevent transfers of assets? 17 A. No. 18 Q. Failed to prevent gifts of assets?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. Paragraph E, are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate the co-trustees conveyed property in ways that were detrimental and in violation of their obligations? A. I am not personally aware of that, no. Q. Subparagraph F, are you aware of any facts or evidence that indicates that the co-trustees entered into transactions which were not in the best interests of persons and trusts to whom they owed fiduciary obligations? A. I personally am not aware, no. Q. Well, when you say you're personally not aware, are you aware of any facts or evidence that would end know anything? A. I'm not. Q. Subparagraph G, are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate that Anita, Amy and Carole Brunstings' interest conflicted with those of their parents? A. No. 	1 Q. Subparagraph I, are you aware of any facts or 2 evidence that would indicate that the co-trustees failed 3 to deal impartially, fairly and equally with Nelva 4 Brunsting? 5 A. No. 6 Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that 7 would indicate that the co-trustees failed to deal 8 impartially, fairly and equally with Carl Brunsting? 9 A. No. 10 Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that 11 would indicate that the co-trustees failed to deal 12 impartially, fairly and equally with the trust? 13 A. No. 14 Q. Subparagraph J, are you aware of any facts or 15 evidence that would indicate that the co-trustees failed 16 to prevent transfers of assets? 17 A. No. 18 Q. Failed to prevent gifts of assets? 19 A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. Paragraph E, are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate the co-trustees conveyed property in ways that were detrimental and in violation of their obligations? A. I am not personally aware of that, no. Q. Subparagraph F, are you aware of any facts or evidence that indicates that the co-trustees entered into transactions which were not in the best interests of persons and trusts to whom they owed fiduciary obligations? A. I personally am not aware, no. Q. Well, when you say you're personally not aware, are you aware of anyone else that would know anything? A. I'm not. Q. Subparagraph G, are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate that Anita, Amy and Carole Brunstings' interest conflicted with those of their parents? A. No. Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that 	1 Q. Subparagraph I, are you aware of any facts or 2 evidence that would indicate that the co-trustees failed 3 to deal impartially, fairly and equally with Nelva 4 Brunsting? 5 A. No. 6 Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that 7 would indicate that the co-trustees failed to deal 8 impartially, fairly and equally with Carl Brunsting? 9 A. No. 10 Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that 11 would indicate that the co-trustees failed to deal 12 impartially, fairly and equally with the trust? 13 A. No. 14 Q. Subparagraph J, are you aware of any facts or 15 evidence that would indicate that the co-trustees failed 16 to prevent transfers of assets? 17 A. No. 18 Q. Failed to prevent gifts of assets? 19 A. No. 20 Q. Failed to remove assets?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Paragraph E, are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate the co-trustees conveyed property in ways that were detrimental and in violation of their obligations? A. I am not personally aware of that, no. Q. Subparagraph F, are you aware of any facts or evidence that indicates that the co-trustees entered into transactions which were not in the best interests of persons and trusts to whom they owed fiduciary obligations? A. I personally am not aware, no. Q. Well, when you say you're personally not aware, are you aware of anyone else that would know anything? A. I'm not. Q. Subparagraph G, are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate that Anita, Amy and Carole Brunstings' interest conflicted with those of their parents? A. No. Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate that Anita, Amy and Carole's interests 	1 Q. Subparagraph I, are you aware of any facts or 2 evidence that would indicate that the co-trustees failed 3 to deal impartially, fairly and equally with Nelva 4 Brunsting? 5 A. No. 6 Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that 7 would indicate that the co-trustees failed to deal 8 impartially, fairly and equally with Carl Brunsting? 9 A. No. 10 Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that 11 would indicate that the co-trustees failed to deal 12 impartially, fairly and equally with the trust? 13 A. No. 14 Q. Subparagraph J, are you aware of any facts or 15 evidence that would indicate that the co-trustees failed 16 to prevent transfers of assets? 17 A. No. 18 Q. Failed to prevent gifts of assets? 19 A. No. 20 Q. Failed to remove assets? 21 A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Paragraph E, are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate the co-trustees conveyed property in ways that were detrimental and in violation of their obligations? A. I am not personally aware of that, no. Q. Subparagraph F, are you aware of any facts or evidence that indicates that the co-trustees entered into transactions which were not in the best interests of persons and trusts to whom they owed fiduciary obligations? A. I personally am not aware, no. Q. Well, when you say you're personally not aware, are you aware of anyone else that would know anything? A. I'm not. Q. Subparagraph G, are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate that Anita, Amy and Carole Brunstings' interest conflicted with those of their parents? A. No. Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate that Anita, Amy and Carole's interests conflicted with those of their parents? 	1 Q. Subparagraph I, are you aware of any facts or 2 evidence that would indicate that the co-trustees failed 3 to deal impartially, fairly and equally with Nelva 4 Brunsting? 5 A. No. 6 Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that 7 would indicate that the co-trustees failed to deal 8 impartially, fairly and equally with Carl Brunsting? 9 A. No. 10 Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that 11 would indicate that the co-trustees failed to deal 11 impartially, fairly and equally with the trust? 13 A. No. 14 Q. Subparagraph J, are you aware of any facts or 15 evidence that would indicate that the co-trustees failed 16 to prevent transfers of assets? 17 A. No. 18 Q. Failed to prevent gifts of assets? 19 A. No. 20 Q. Failed to remove assets? 21 A. No. 22 Q. Subparagraph K talks about failing to make
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Paragraph E, are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate the co-trustees conveyed property in ways that were detrimental and in violation of their obligations? A. I am not personally aware of that, no. Q. Subparagraph F, are you aware of any facts or evidence that indicates that the co-trustees entered into transactions which were not in the best interests of persons and trusts to whom they owed fiduciary obligations? A. I personally am not aware, no. Q. Well, when you say you're personally not aware, are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate that Anita, Amy and Carole Brunstings' interest conflicted with those of their parents? A. No. Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate that Anita, Amy and Carole's interests conflicted with those of their parents? A. No. 	1 Q. Subparagraph I, are you aware of any facts or 2 evidence that would indicate that the co-trustees failed 3 to deal impartially, fairly and equally with Nelva 4 Brunsting? 5 A. No. 6 Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that 7 would indicate that the co-trustees failed to deal 8 impartially, fairly and equally with Carl Brunsting? 9 A. No. 10 Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that 11 would indicate that the co-trustees failed to deal 11 impartially, fairly and equally with the trust? 13 A. No. 14 Q. Subparagraph J, are you aware of any facts or 15 evidence that would indicate that the co-trustees failed 16 to prevent transfers of assets? 17 A. No. 18 Q. Failed to prevent gifts of assets? 19 A. No. 20 Q. Failed to remove assets? 21 A. No. 22 Q. Subparagraph K talks about failing to make 23 appropriate and equal distributions.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Paragraph E, are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate the co-trustees conveyed property in ways that were detrimental and in violation of their obligations? A. I am not personally aware of that, no. Q. Subparagraph F, are you aware of any facts or evidence that indicates that the co-trustees entered into transactions which were not in the best interests of persons and trusts to whom they owed fiduciary obligations? A. I personally am not aware, no. Q. Well, when you say you're personally not aware, are you aware of anyone else that would know anything? A. I'm not. Q. Subparagraph G, are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate that Anita, Amy and Carole Brunstings' interest conflicted with those of their parents? A. No. Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate that Anita, Amy and Carole's interests conflicted with those of their parents? 	1 Q. Subparagraph I, are you aware of any facts or 2 evidence that would indicate that the co-trustees failed 3 to deal impartially, fairly and equally with Nelva 4 Brunsting? 5 A. No. 6 Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that 7 would indicate that the co-trustees failed to deal 8 impartially, fairly and equally with Carl Brunsting? 9 A. No. 10 Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that 11 would indicate that the co-trustees failed to deal 11 impartially, fairly and equally with the trust? 13 A. No. 14 Q. Subparagraph J, are you aware of any facts or 15 evidence that would indicate that the co-trustees failed 16 to prevent transfers of assets? 17 A. No. 18 Q. Failed to prevent gifts of assets? 19 A. No. 20 Q. Failed to remove assets? 21 A. No. 22 Q. Subparagraph K talks about failing to make

20 (Pages 74 to 77)

	78		80
1	A. It is not.	1	A. I am not personally aware, no. And I'm not
2	Q. So if it's not required to make an equal	2	aware of anybody else.
3	distribution, then one couldn't violate this allegation	3	MS. BAYLESS: I'm sorry. I didn't hear
4	regarding equal distributions?	4	the end.
5	A. Well, I think the time frame you have to	5	THE WITNESS: And I'm not aware of anybody
6	once Ms. Brunsting died, then I think things were set in	6	else.
7	stone as to whether they were equal or not equal. But	7	Q. (By Mr. Mendel) So, you know, my questions
8 9	prior to her death, no.	8	with regard to paragraph 26 of Exhibit 13, going through
10	Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence I'm	10	these subparagraphs, talked about co-trustees, plural.
11	now down to subparagraph 11 I mean L, L. Are you aware of any facts or evidence	10	A. Uh-huh.Q. But with regard to these subparts, did either
12	that would indicate that the co-trustees failed to	12	co-trustee, not just jointly but individually, from your
13	adequately inform the beneficiaries about assets?	13	perspective, engage in misconduct?
14	A. I'm not.	14	A. Not that I am aware.
15	Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that	15	Q. Okay. So Exhibit 13, page 13, paragraph 29,
16	would indicate the co-trustees failed to adequately	16	Carl Brunsting claims that he owned, possessed or had
17	inform the beneficiaries about transactions?	17	the right of possession of certain personal property,
18	A. I'm not.	18	including stock, accounts at financial institutions,
19	Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that	19	contents of a safe deposit box, and saving bonds over
20	would indicate that the co-trustees failed to adequately	20	which defendants wrongfully exercised dominion and
21	inform the beneficiaries of their rights?	21	control.
22	A. I am not.	22	Are you aware of any personal property
23	Q. We'll go to Exhibit 13, page 13,	23	that either co-trustee allegedly deprived him of?
24	subparagraph M. Are you aware of any facts or evidence	24	A. Of Carl's property?
25	that would indicate that the co-trustees misrepresented	25	Q. Carl's personal property.
	79		81
1		1	81 A. No.
1 2	79or allowed misrepresentations regarding assets?A. I am not.	1 2	
	or allowed misrepresentations regarding assets?	1	A. No.
2	or allowed misrepresentations regarding assets?A. I am not.Q. Regarding transactions?A. No.	2	A. No.Q. Are you aware of any stock that Carl owned that
2 3	or allowed misrepresentations regarding assets? A. I am not. Q. Regarding transactions?	2 3	 A. No. Q. Are you aware of any stock that Carl owned that he was deprived of by either of the co-trustees? A. I am not. Q. Are you aware of any financial account or any
2 3 4 5 6	 or allowed misrepresentations regarding assets? A. I am not. Q. Regarding transactions? A. No. Q. Regarding the beneficiaries' rights? A. No. 	2 3 4 5 6	 A. No. Q. Are you aware of any stock that Carl owned that he was deprived of by either of the co-trustees? A. I am not. Q. Are you aware of any financial account or any accounts at financial institutions that either
2 3 4 5 6 7	 or allowed misrepresentations regarding assets? A. I am not. Q. Regarding transactions? A. No. Q. Regarding the beneficiaries' rights? A. No. Q. Subparagraph N, are you aware of any facts or 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. No. Q. Are you aware of any stock that Carl owned that he was deprived of by either of the co-trustees? A. I am not. Q. Are you aware of any financial account or any accounts at financial institutions that either co-trustee deprived him of?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 or allowed misrepresentations regarding assets? A. I am not. Q. Regarding transactions? A. No. Q. Regarding the beneficiaries' rights? A. No. Q. Subparagraph N, are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate that the co-trustees failed 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. No. Q. Are you aware of any stock that Carl owned that he was deprived of by either of the co-trustees? A. I am not. Q. Are you aware of any financial account or any accounts at financial institutions that either co-trustee deprived him of? A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 or allowed misrepresentations regarding assets? A. I am not. Q. Regarding transactions? A. No. Q. Regarding the beneficiaries' rights? A. No. Q. Subparagraph N, are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate that the co-trustees failed to prevent transactions that were allegedly detrimental 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. No. Q. Are you aware of any stock that Carl owned that he was deprived of by either of the co-trustees? A. I am not. Q. Are you aware of any financial account or any accounts at financial institutions that either co-trustee deprived him of? A. No. Q. Are you aware of any contents of a safe deposit
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 or allowed misrepresentations regarding assets? A. I am not. Q. Regarding transactions? A. No. Q. Regarding the beneficiaries' rights? A. No. Q. Subparagraph N, are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate that the co-trustees failed to prevent transactions that were allegedly detrimental to family members? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. No. Q. Are you aware of any stock that Carl owned that he was deprived of by either of the co-trustees? A. I am not. Q. Are you aware of any financial account or any accounts at financial institutions that either co-trustee deprived him of? A. No. Q. Are you aware of any contents of a safe deposit box that either co-trustee allegedly exercised wrongful
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 or allowed misrepresentations regarding assets? A. I am not. Q. Regarding transactions? A. No. Q. Regarding the beneficiaries' rights? A. No. Q. Subparagraph N, are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate that the co-trustees failed to prevent transactions that were allegedly detrimental to family members? A. No. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. No. Q. Are you aware of any stock that Carl owned that he was deprived of by either of the co-trustees? A. I am not. Q. Are you aware of any financial account or any accounts at financial institutions that either co-trustee deprived him of? A. No. Q. Are you aware of any contents of a safe deposit box that either co-trustee allegedly exercised wrongful dominion or control?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 or allowed misrepresentations regarding assets? A. I am not. Q. Regarding transactions? A. No. Q. Regarding the beneficiaries' rights? A. No. Q. Subparagraph N, are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate that the co-trustees failed to prevent transactions that were allegedly detrimental to family members? A. No. Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. No. Q. Are you aware of any stock that Carl owned that he was deprived of by either of the co-trustees? A. I am not. Q. Are you aware of any financial account or any accounts at financial institutions that either co-trustee deprived him of? A. No. Q. Are you aware of any contents of a safe deposit box that either co-trustee allegedly exercised wrongful dominion or control? A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 or allowed misrepresentations regarding assets? A. I am not. Q. Regarding transactions? A. No. Q. Regarding the beneficiaries' rights? A. No. Q. Subparagraph N, are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate that the co-trustees failed to prevent transactions that were allegedly detrimental to family members? A. No. Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate that the co-trustees failed to prevent 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. No. Q. Are you aware of any stock that Carl owned that he was deprived of by either of the co-trustees? A. I am not. Q. Are you aware of any financial account or any accounts at financial institutions that either co-trustee deprived him of? A. No. Q. Are you aware of any contents of a safe deposit box that either co-trustee allegedly exercised wrongful dominion or control? A. No. Q. Are you aware of any exercise of wrongful
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 or allowed misrepresentations regarding assets? A. I am not. Q. Regarding transactions? A. No. Q. Regarding the beneficiaries' rights? A. No. Q. Subparagraph N, are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate that the co-trustees failed to prevent transactions that were allegedly detrimental to family members? A. No. Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate that the co-trustees failed to prevent transactions that were allegedly detrimental to family members? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. No. Q. Are you aware of any stock that Carl owned that he was deprived of by either of the co-trustees? A. I am not. Q. Are you aware of any financial account or any accounts at financial institutions that either co-trustee deprived him of? A. No. Q. Are you aware of any contents of a safe deposit box that either co-trustee allegedly exercised wrongful dominion or control? A. No. Q. Are you aware of any exercise of wrongful dominion and control by either co-trustee over any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 or allowed misrepresentations regarding assets? A. I am not. Q. Regarding transactions? A. No. Q. Regarding the beneficiaries' rights? A. No. Q. Subparagraph N, are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate that the co-trustees failed to prevent transactions that were allegedly detrimental to family members? A. No. Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate that the co-trustees failed to prevent transactions that were allegedly detrimental to family members? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. No. Q. Are you aware of any stock that Carl owned that he was deprived of by either of the co-trustees? A. I am not. Q. Are you aware of any financial account or any accounts at financial institutions that either co-trustee deprived him of? A. No. Q. Are you aware of any contents of a safe deposit box that either co-trustee allegedly exercised wrongful dominion or control? A. No. Q. Are you aware of any exercise of wrongful dominion and control by either co-trustee over any assets?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 or allowed misrepresentations regarding assets? A. I am not. Q. Regarding transactions? A. No. Q. Regarding the beneficiaries' rights? A. No. Q. Subparagraph N, are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate that the co-trustees failed to prevent transactions that were allegedly detrimental to family members? A. No. Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate that the co-trustees failed to prevent transactions that were allegedly detrimental to the trust? A. No. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. No. Q. Are you aware of any stock that Carl owned that he was deprived of by either of the co-trustees? A. I am not. Q. Are you aware of any financial account or any accounts at financial institutions that either co-trustee deprived him of? A. No. Q. Are you aware of any contents of a safe deposit box that either co-trustee allegedly exercised wrongful dominion or control? A. No. Q. Are you aware of any exercise of wrongful dominion and control by either co-trustee over any assets? A. Could you repeat the question? I'm sorry.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 or allowed misrepresentations regarding assets? A. I am not. Q. Regarding transactions? A. No. Q. Regarding the beneficiaries' rights? A. No. Q. Subparagraph N, are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate that the co-trustees failed to prevent transactions that were allegedly detrimental to family members? A. No. Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate that the co-trustees failed to prevent transactions that were allegedly detrimental to family members? A. No. Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate that the co-trustees failed to prevent transactions that were allegedly detrimental to the trust? A. No. Q. Subparagraph O, are you aware of any facts or 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. No. Q. Are you aware of any stock that Carl owned that he was deprived of by either of the co-trustees? A. I am not. Q. Are you aware of any financial account or any accounts at financial institutions that either co-trustee deprived him of? A. No. Q. Are you aware of any contents of a safe deposit box that either co-trustee allegedly exercised wrongful dominion or control? A. No. Q. Are you aware of any exercise of wrongful dominion and control by either co-trustee over any assets? A. Could you repeat the question? I'm sorry. Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 or allowed misrepresentations regarding assets? A. I am not. Q. Regarding transactions? A. No. Q. Regarding the beneficiaries' rights? A. No. Q. Subparagraph N, are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate that the co-trustees failed to prevent transactions that were allegedly detrimental to family members? A. No. Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate that the co-trustees failed to prevent transactions that were allegedly detrimental to family members? A. No. Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate that the co-trustees failed to prevent transactions that were allegedly detrimental to the trust? A. No. Q. Subparagraph O, are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate that the co-trustees 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. No. Q. Are you aware of any stock that Carl owned that he was deprived of by either of the co-trustees? A. I am not. Q. Are you aware of any financial account or any accounts at financial institutions that either co-trustee deprived him of? A. No. Q. Are you aware of any contents of a safe deposit box that either co-trustee allegedly exercised wrongful dominion or control? A. No. Q. Are you aware of any exercise of wrongful dominion and control by either co-trustee over any assets? A. Could you repeat the question? I'm sorry. Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate that either co-trustee exercised wrongful
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 or allowed misrepresentations regarding assets? A. I am not. Q. Regarding transactions? A. No. Q. Regarding the beneficiaries' rights? A. No. Q. Subparagraph N, are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate that the co-trustees failed to prevent transactions that were allegedly detrimental to family members? A. No. Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate that the co-trustees failed to prevent transactions that were allegedly detrimental to family members? A. No. Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate that the co-trustees failed to prevent transactions that were allegedly detrimental to the trust? A. No. Q. Subparagraph O, are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate that the co-trustees allowed the payment of inappropriate amounts from assets 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. No. Q. Are you aware of any stock that Carl owned that he was deprived of by either of the co-trustees? A. I am not. Q. Are you aware of any financial account or any accounts at financial institutions that either co-trustee deprived him of? A. No. Q. Are you aware of any contents of a safe deposit box that either co-trustee allegedly exercised wrongful dominion or control? A. No. Q. Are you aware of any exercise of wrongful dominion and control by either co-trustee over any assets? A. Could you repeat the question? I'm sorry. Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 or allowed misrepresentations regarding assets? A. I am not. Q. Regarding transactions? A. No. Q. Regarding the beneficiaries' rights? A. No. Q. Subparagraph N, are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate that the co-trustees failed to prevent transactions that were allegedly detrimental to family members? A. No. Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate that the co-trustees failed to prevent transactions that were allegedly detrimental to family members? A. No. Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate that the co-trustees failed to prevent transactions that were allegedly detrimental to the trust? A. No. Q. Subparagraph O, are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate that the co-trustees 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. No. Q. Are you aware of any stock that Carl owned that he was deprived of by either of the co-trustees? A. I am not. Q. Are you aware of any financial account or any accounts at financial institutions that either co-trustee deprived him of? A. No. Q. Are you aware of any contents of a safe deposit box that either co-trustee allegedly exercised wrongful dominion or control? A. No. Q. Are you aware of any exercise of wrongful dominion and control by either co-trustee over any assets? A. Could you repeat the question? I'm sorry. Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate that either co-trustee exercised wrongful dominion and control over any assets? A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 or allowed misrepresentations regarding assets? A. I am not. Q. Regarding transactions? A. No. Q. Regarding the beneficiaries' rights? A. No. Q. Subparagraph N, are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate that the co-trustees failed to prevent transactions that were allegedly detrimental to family members? A. No. Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate that the co-trustees failed to prevent transactions that were allegedly detrimental to the trust? A. No. Q. Subparagraph O, are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate that the co-trustees failed to the trust? A. No. Q. Subparagraph O, are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate that the co-trustees allowed the payment of inappropriate amounts from assets they purportedly held as fiduciaries? A. I am not. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. No. Q. Are you aware of any stock that Carl owned that he was deprived of by either of the co-trustees? A. I am not. Q. Are you aware of any financial account or any accounts at financial institutions that either co-trustee deprived him of? A. No. Q. Are you aware of any contents of a safe deposit box that either co-trustee allegedly exercised wrongful dominion or control? A. No. Q. Are you aware of any exercise of wrongful dominion and control by either co-trustee over any assets? A. Could you repeat the question? I'm sorry. Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate that either co-trustee exercised wrongful dominion and control over any assets? A. No. Q. I'm still on Exhibit 13. We're now up to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 or allowed misrepresentations regarding assets? A. I am not. Q. Regarding transactions? A. No. Q. Regarding the beneficiaries' rights? A. No. Q. Subparagraph N, are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate that the co-trustees failed to prevent transactions that were allegedly detrimental to family members? A. No. Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate that the co-trustees failed to prevent transactions that were allegedly detrimental to the trust? A. No. Q. Subparagraph O, are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate that the co-trustees allowed the payment of inappropriate amounts from assets they purportedly held as fiduciaries? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. No. Q. Are you aware of any stock that Carl owned that he was deprived of by either of the co-trustees? A. I am not. Q. Are you aware of any financial account or any accounts at financial institutions that either co-trustee deprived him of? A. No. Q. Are you aware of any contents of a safe deposit box that either co-trustee allegedly exercised wrongful dominion or control? A. No. Q. Are you aware of any exercise of wrongful dominion and control by either co-trustee over any assets? A. Could you repeat the question? I'm sorry. Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate that either co-trustee exercised wrongful dominion and control over any assets? A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 or allowed misrepresentations regarding assets? A. I am not. Q. Regarding transactions? A. No. Q. Regarding the beneficiaries' rights? A. No. Q. Subparagraph N, are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate that the co-trustees failed to prevent transactions that were allegedly detrimental to family members? A. No. Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate that the co-trustees failed to prevent transactions that were allegedly detrimental to family members? A. No. Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate that the co-trustees failed to prevent transactions that were allegedly detrimental to the trust? A. No. Q. Subparagraph O, are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate that the co-trustees allowed the payment of inappropriate amounts from assets they purportedly held as fiduciaries? A. I am not. Q. Subparagraph P, are you aware of any facts or 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. No. Q. Are you aware of any stock that Carl owned that he was deprived of by either of the co-trustees? A. I am not. Q. Are you aware of any financial account or any accounts at financial institutions that either co-trustee deprived him of? A. No. Q. Are you aware of any contents of a safe deposit box that either co-trustee allegedly exercised wrongful dominion or control? A. No. Q. Are you aware of any exercise of wrongful dominion and control by either co-trustee over any assets? A. Could you repeat the question? I'm sorry. Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate that either co-trustee exercised wrongful dominion and control over any assets? A. No. Q. I'm still on Exhibit 13. We're now up to page 15, or that's where I want to go to next.

	82	84
1	taken of Nelva Brunsting's assets?	¹ A. Correct.
2	A. No.	² MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.
3	Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that	³ Q. (By Mr. Mendel) And she understood she,
4	either co-trustee allegedly misled Nelva Brunsting about	⁴ Nelva Brunsting, understood what she was signing with
5	the impact of the QBDs on the trust plan?	⁵ regard to Exhibit 6, which was the August 2010 QBD?
6	A. I am not.	6 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.
7	Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that	⁷ A. Agree.
8	Nelva Brunsting relied on any representations, other	⁸ Q. (By Mr. Mendel) And did Nelva Brunsting
9	than the advice and counsel of the Vacek & Freed firm,	⁹ understand what she, Nelva Brunsting, was signing with
10	with regard to the estate and trust planning that was	¹⁰ regard to her resignation as a trustee back in December
11	performed?	¹¹ of 2010?
12	A. Not that we the Vacek firm was not aware of.	¹² A. Yes.
13	Q. Exhibit 13, page 15, paragraph 36, are you	13 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.
14	aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate that	¹⁴ Q. (By Mr. Mendel) And did she understand the
15	either co-trustee was engaged in some sort of a	¹⁵ nature of how things would work with the appointment of
16	conspiracy against Carl Brunsting?	16 successor trustees?
17 18	A. No.	17 A. Yes. 18 MS_BAYLESS: Objection form
18	Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that	MB. BATTELESS. Objection, Torm.
20	would indicate that either Carole Brunsting or	
20	Candy Curtis were involved in some sort of a conspiracy against Carl Brunsting?	 Exhibit 15, which is Carl Brunsting's Second Supplement to Plaintiff's First Amended Petition, another
22	A. No.	 allegation about a stock transfer from the survivor's
23	Q. Still on Exhibit 13, page 16, paragraph 38.	 anegation about a stock training the period in which Nelva Brunsting was
24	There's the allegation that are you aware of any	²⁴ alive.
25	facts or evidence that would indicate that either	²⁵ If that transfer was made with Nelva
	83	85
1	83	85
1	co-trustee took affirmative steps to deceive Nelva	¹ Brunsting's knowledge and consent, is there anything
2	co-trustee took affirmative steps to deceive Nelva Brunsting about the trust estate?	 Brunsting's knowledge and consent, is there anything wrong with that transfer?
2 3	co-trustee took affirmative steps to deceive NelvaBrunsting about the trust estate?A. No, I'm not.	 Brunsting's knowledge and consent, is there anything wrong with that transfer? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.
2	 co-trustee took affirmative steps to deceive Nelva Brunsting about the trust estate? A. No, I'm not. Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that 	 Brunsting's knowledge and consent, is there anything wrong with that transfer? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. No.
2 3 4	 co-trustee took affirmative steps to deceive Nelva Brunsting about the trust estate? A. No, I'm not. Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate that either co-trustee deceived or 	 Brunsting's knowledge and consent, is there anything wrong with that transfer? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. No. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) I want to move on to
2 3 4 5	 co-trustee took affirmative steps to deceive Nelva Brunsting about the trust estate? A. No, I'm not. Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate that either co-trustee deceived or attempted to deceive Carl Brunsting about the trust 	 Brunsting's knowledge and consent, is there anything wrong with that transfer? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. No. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) I want to move on to Exhibit 16, which is Carl Brunsting's Third Supplement
2 3 4 5 6	 co-trustee took affirmative steps to deceive Nelva Brunsting about the trust estate? A. No, I'm not. Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate that either co-trustee deceived or attempted to deceive Carl Brunsting about the trust estate? 	 Brunsting's knowledge and consent, is there anything wrong with that transfer? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. No. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) I want to move on to Exhibit 16, which is Carl Brunsting's Third Supplement to Plaintiff's First Amended Petition and Request for
2 3 4 5 6 7	 co-trustee took affirmative steps to deceive Nelva Brunsting about the trust estate? A. No, I'm not. Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate that either co-trustee deceived or attempted to deceive Carl Brunsting about the trust estate? A. No. 	 Brunsting's knowledge and consent, is there anything wrong with that transfer? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. No. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) I want to move on to Exhibit 16, which is Carl Brunsting's Third Supplement to Plaintiff's First Amended Petition and Request for Injunctive Relief.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 co-trustee took affirmative steps to deceive Nelva Brunsting about the trust estate? A. No, I'm not. Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate that either co-trustee deceived or attempted to deceive Carl Brunsting about the trust estate? A. No. Q. Also in paragraph 38 there is a reference 	 Brunsting's knowledge and consent, is there anything wrong with that transfer? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. No. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) I want to move on to Exhibit 16, which is Carl Brunsting's Third Supplement to Plaintiff's First Amended Petition and Request for Injunctive Relief. There's this allegation that the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 co-trustee took affirmative steps to deceive Nelva Brunsting about the trust estate? A. No, I'm not. Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate that either co-trustee deceived or attempted to deceive Carl Brunsting about the trust estate? A. No. Q. Also in paragraph 38 there is a reference that and I'm paraphrasing that Nelva Brunsting 	 Brunsting's knowledge and consent, is there anything wrong with that transfer? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. No. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) I want to move on to Exhibit 16, which is Carl Brunsting's Third Supplement to Plaintiff's First Amended Petition and Request for Injunctive Relief. There's this allegation that the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 co-trustee took affirmative steps to deceive Nelva Brunsting about the trust estate? A. No, I'm not. Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate that either co-trustee deceived or attempted to deceive Carl Brunsting about the trust estate? A. No. Q. Also in paragraph 38 there is a reference 	 Brunsting's knowledge and consent, is there anything wrong with that transfer? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. No. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) I want to move on to Exhibit 16, which is Carl Brunsting's Third Supplement to Plaintiff's First Amended Petition and Request for Injunctive Relief. There's this allegation that the defendants, plural which would be Anita Brunsting, Amy Brunsting, Carole Brunsting and Candace Curtis
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 co-trustee took affirmative steps to deceive Nelva Brunsting about the trust estate? A. No, I'm not. Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate that either co-trustee deceived or attempted to deceive Carl Brunsting about the trust estate? A. No. Q. Also in paragraph 38 there is a reference that and I'm paraphrasing that Nelva Brunsting didn't understand what she was being asked to sign, why she was asked to sign it, what would happen if she 	 Brunsting's knowledge and consent, is there anything wrong with that transfer? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. No. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) I want to move on to Exhibit 16, which is Carl Brunsting's Third Supplement to Plaintiff's First Amended Petition and Request for Injunctive Relief. There's this allegation that the defendants, plural which would be Anita Brunsting, Amy Brunsting, Carole Brunsting and Candace Curtis
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 co-trustee took affirmative steps to deceive Nelva Brunsting about the trust estate? A. No, I'm not. Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate that either co-trustee deceived or attempted to deceive Carl Brunsting about the trust estate? A. No. Q. Also in paragraph 38 there is a reference that and I'm paraphrasing that Nelva Brunsting didn't understand what she was being asked to sign, why 	 Brunsting's knowledge and consent, is there anything wrong with that transfer? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. No. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) I want to move on to Exhibit 16, which is Carl Brunsting's Third Supplement to Plaintiff's First Amended Petition and Request for Injunctive Relief. There's this allegation that the defendants, plural which would be Anita Brunsting, Amy Brunsting, Carole Brunsting and Candace Curtis wiretapped their mother.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 co-trustee took affirmative steps to deceive Nelva Brunsting about the trust estate? A. No, I'm not. Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate that either co-trustee deceived or attempted to deceive Carl Brunsting about the trust estate? A. No. Q. Also in paragraph 38 there is a reference that and I'm paraphrasing that Nelva Brunsting didn't understand what she was being asked to sign, why she was asked to sign it, what would happen if she signed it and the status of her assets. 	1 Brunsting's knowledge and consent, is there anything 2 wrong with that transfer? 3 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 4 A. No. 5 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) I want to move on to 6 Exhibit 16, which is Carl Brunsting's Third Supplement 7 to Plaintiff's First Amended Petition and Request for 8 Injunctive Relief. 9 There's this allegation that the 10 defendants, plural which would be Anita Brunsting, 11 Amy Brunsting, Carole Brunsting and Candace Curtis 12 wiretapped their mother. 13 Are you aware of any facts or evidence
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 co-trustee took affirmative steps to deceive Nelva Brunsting about the trust estate? A. No, I'm not. Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate that either co-trustee deceived or attempted to deceive Carl Brunsting about the trust estate? A. No. Q. Also in paragraph 38 there is a reference that and I'm paraphrasing that Nelva Brunsting didn't understand what she was being asked to sign, why she was asked to sign it, what would happen if she signed it and the status of her assets. I want to break that down into a couple of categories. A. Okay. 	1 Brunsting's knowledge and consent, is there anything 2 wrong with that transfer? 3 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 4 A. No. 5 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) I want to move on to 6 Exhibit 16, which is Carl Brunsting's Third Supplement 7 to Plaintiff's First Amended Petition and Request for 8 Injunctive Relief. 9 There's this allegation that the 10 defendants, plural which would be Anita Brunsting, 11 Arny Brunsting, Carole Brunsting and Candace Curtis 12 wiretapped their mother. 13 Are you aware of any facts or evidence 14 that would indicate that any of Carl's siblings
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 co-trustee took affirmative steps to deceive Nelva Brunsting about the trust estate? A. No, I'm not. Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate that either co-trustee deceived or attempted to deceive Carl Brunsting about the trust estate? A. No. Q. Also in paragraph 38 there is a reference that and I'm paraphrasing that Nelva Brunsting didn't understand what she was being asked to sign, why she was asked to sign it, what would happen if she signed it and the status of her assets. I want to break that down into a couple of categories. A. Okay. Q. In terms of the QBD and as I understood your 	1 Brunsting's knowledge and consent, is there anything 2 wrong with that transfer? 3 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 4 A. No. 5 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) I want to move on to 6 Exhibit 16, which is Carl Brunsting's Third Supplement 7 to Plaintiff's First Amended Petition and Request for 8 Injunctive Relief. 9 There's this allegation that the 10 defendants, plural which would be Anita Brunsting, 11 Amy Brunsting, Carole Brunsting and Candace Curtis 12 wiretapped their mother. 13 Are you aware of any facts or evidence 14 that would indicate that any of Carl's siblings 15 wiretapped their mother? 16 A. No. 17 Q. Do you consider a message left or a recording
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 co-trustee took affirmative steps to deceive Nelva Brunsting about the trust estate? A. No, I'm not. Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate that either co-trustee deceived or attempted to deceive Carl Brunsting about the trust estate? A. No. Q. Also in paragraph 38 there is a reference that and I'm paraphrasing that Nelva Brunsting didn't understand what she was being asked to sign, why she was asked to sign it, what would happen if she signed it and the status of her assets. I want to break that down into a couple of categories. A. Okay. 	1 Brunsting's knowledge and consent, is there anything 2 wrong with that transfer? 3 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 4 A. No. 5 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) I want to move on to 6 Exhibit 16, which is Carl Brunsting's Third Supplement 7 to Plaintiff's First Amended Petition and Request for 10 Injunctive Relief. 9 There's this allegation that the 10 defendants, plural which would be Anita Brunsting, 11 Amy Brunsting, Carole Brunsting and Candace Curtis 12 wiretapped their mother. 13 Are you aware of any facts or evidence 14 that would indicate that any of Carl's siblings 15 wiretapped their mother? 16 A. No. 17 Q. Do you consider a message left or a recording 18 on an answering device to be a wiretap?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 co-trustee took affirmative steps to deceive Nelva Brunsting about the trust estate? A. No, I'm not. Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate that either co-trustee deceived or attempted to deceive Carl Brunsting about the trust estate? A. No. Q. Also in paragraph 38 there is a reference that and I'm paraphrasing that Nelva Brunsting didn't understand what she was being asked to sign, why she was asked to sign it, what would happen if she signed it and the status of her assets. I want to break that down into a couple of categories. A. Okay. Q. In terms of the QBD and as I understood your 	1 Brunsting's knowledge and consent, is there anything 2 wrong with that transfer? 3 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 4 A. No. 5 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) I want to move on to 6 Exhibit 16, which is Carl Brunsting's Third Supplement 7 to Plaintiff's First Amended Petition and Request for 10 Injunctive Relief. 9 There's this allegation that the 10 defendants, plural which would be Anita Brunsting, 11 Amy Brunsting, Carole Brunsting and Candace Curtis 12 wiretapped their mother. 13 Are you aware of any facts or evidence 14 that would indicate that any of Carl's siblings 15 wiretapped their mother? 16 A. No. 17 Q. Do you consider a message left or a recording 18 on an answering device to be a wiretap? 19 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 co-trustee took affirmative steps to deceive Nelva Brunsting about the trust estate? A. No, I'm not. Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate that either co-trustee deceived or attempted to deceive Carl Brunsting about the trust estate? A. No. Q. Also in paragraph 38 there is a reference that and I'm paraphrasing that Nelva Brunsting didn't understand what she was being asked to sign, why she was asked to sign it, what would happen if she signed it and the status of her assets. I want to break that down into a couple of categories. A. Okay. Q. In terms of the QBD and as I understood your testimony earlier and you tell me if I'm right or wrong, but did Nelva Brunsting understand what she was signing? 	1 Brunsting's knowledge and consent, is there anything 2 wrong with that transfer? 3 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 4 A. No. 5 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) I want to move on to 6 Exhibit 16, which is Carl Brunsting's Third Supplement 7 to Plaintiff's First Amended Petition and Request for 8 Injunctive Relief. 9 There's this allegation that the 10 defendants, plural which would be Anita Brunsting, 11 Amy Brunsting, Carole Brunsting and Candace Curtis 12 wiretapped their mother. 13 Are you aware of any facts or evidence 14 that would indicate that any of Carl's siblings 15 wiretapped their mother? 16 A. No. 17 Q. Do you consider a message left or a recording 18 on an answering device to be a wiretap? 19 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 20 A. Like a home answering machine?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 co-trustee took affirmative steps to deceive Nelva Brunsting about the trust estate? A. No, I'm not. Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate that either co-trustee deceived or attempted to deceive Carl Brunsting about the trust estate? A. No. Q. Also in paragraph 38 there is a reference that - and I'm paraphrasing that Nelva Brunsting didn't understand what she was being asked to sign, why she was asked to sign it, what would happen if she signed it and the status of her assets. I want to break that down into a couple of categories. A. Okay. Q. In terms of the QBD and as I understood your testimony earlier and you tell me if I'm right or wrong, but did Nelva Brunsting understand what she was signing? A. Yes. 	1 Brunsting's knowledge and consent, is there anything 2 wrong with that transfer? 3 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 4 A. No. 5 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) I want to move on to 6 Exhibit 16, which is Carl Brunsting's Third Supplement 7 to Plaintiff's First Amended Petition and Request for 8 Injunctive Relief. 9 There's this allegation that the 10 defendants, plural which would be Anita Brunsting, 11 Amy Brunsting, Carole Brunsting and Candace Curtis 12 wiretapped their mother. 13 Are you aware of any facts or evidence 14 that would indicate that any of Carl's siblings 15 wiretapped their mother? 16 A. No. 17 Q. Do you consider a message left or a recording 18 on an answering device to be a wiretap? 19 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 20 A. Like a home answering machine? 21 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Yes, ma'am.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 co-trustee took affirmative steps to deceive Nelva Brunsting about the trust estate? A. No, I'm not. Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate that either co-trustee deceived or attempted to deceive Carl Brunsting about the trust estate? A. No. Q. Also in paragraph 38 there is a reference that - and I'm paraphrasing that Nelva Brunsting didn't understand what she was being asked to sign, why she was asked to sign it, what would happen if she signed it and the status of her assets. J. Want to break that down into a couple of categories. A. Okay. Q. In terms of the QBD and as I understood your testimony earlier and you tell me if I'm right or wrong, but did Nelva Brunsting understand what she was signing? A. Yes. MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 	1 Brunsting's knowledge and consent, is there anything 2 wrong with that transfer? 3 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 4 A. No. 5 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) I want to move on to 6 Exhibit 16, which is Carl Brunsting's Third Supplement 7 to Plaintiff's First Amended Petition and Request for 8 Injunctive Relief. 9 There's this allegation that the 10 defendants, plural which would be Anita Brunsting, 11 Amy Brunsting, Carole Brunsting and Candace Curtis 12 wiretapped their mother. 13 Are you aware of any facts or evidence 14 that would indicate that any of Carl's siblings 15 wiretapped their mother? 16 A. No. 17 Q. Do you consider a message left or a recording 18 on an answering device to be a wiretap? 19 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 20 A. Like a home answering machine? 21 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Yes, ma'am. 22 A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 co-trustee took affirmative steps to deceive Nelva Brunsting about the trust estate? A. No, I'm not. Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate that either co-trustee deceived or attempted to deceive Carl Brunsting about the trust estate? A. No. Q. Also in paragraph 38 there is a reference that and I'm paraphrasing that Nelva Brunsting didn't understand what she was being asked to sign, why she was asked to sign it, what would happen if she signed it and the status of her assets. I want to break that down into a couple of categories. A. Okay. Q. In terms of the QBD and as I understood your testimony earlier and you tell me if I'm right or wrong, but did Nelva Brunsting understand what she was signing? A. Yes. MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) And when I say "what she was 	1 Brunsting's knowledge and consent, is there anything 2 wrong with that transfer? 3 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 4 A. No. 5 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) I want to move on to 6 Exhibit 16, which is Carl Brunsting's Third Supplement 7 to Plaintiff's First Amended Petition and Request for 8 Injunctive Relief. 9 There's this allegation that the 10 defendants, plural which would be Anita Brunsting, 11 Amy Brunsting, Carole Brunsting and Candace Curtis 12 wiretapped their mother. 13 Are you aware of any facts or evidence 14 that would indicate that any of Carl's siblings 15 wiretapped their mother? 16 A. No. 17 Q. Do you consider a message left or a recording 18 on an answering device to be a wiretap? 19 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 20 A. Like a home answering machine? 21 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Yes, ma'am. 22 A. No. 23 Q. In assuming that a tape on a home answering
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 co-trustee took affirmative steps to deceive Nelva Brunsting about the trust estate? A. No, I'm not. Q. Are you aware of any facts or evidence that would indicate that either co-trustee deceived or attempted to deceive Carl Brunsting about the trust estate? A. No. Q. Also in paragraph 38 there is a reference that - and I'm paraphrasing that Nelva Brunsting didn't understand what she was being asked to sign, why she was asked to sign it, what would happen if she signed it and the status of her assets. J. Want to break that down into a couple of categories. A. Okay. Q. In terms of the QBD and as I understood your testimony earlier and you tell me if I'm right or wrong, but did Nelva Brunsting understand what she was signing? A. Yes. MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 	1 Brunsting's knowledge and consent, is there anything 2 wrong with that transfer? 3 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 4 A. No. 5 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) I want to move on to 6 Exhibit 16, which is Carl Brunsting's Third Supplement 7 to Plaintiff's First Amended Petition and Request for 8 Injunctive Relief. 9 There's this allegation that the 10 defendants, plural which would be Anita Brunsting, 11 Amy Brunsting, Carole Brunsting and Candace Curtis 12 wiretapped their mother. 13 Are you aware of any facts or evidence 14 that would indicate that any of Carl's siblings 15 wiretapped their mother? 16 A. No. 17 Q. Do you consider a message left or a recording 18 on an answering device to be a wiretap? 19 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 20 A. Like a home answering machine? 21 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Yes, ma'am. 22 A. No.

22 (Pages 82 to 85)

	86	88
1	impliedly Nelva's knowledge and consent, anything wrong	¹ greater than those of his mother or siblings.
2	with that?	² Would that be a true statement?
3	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.	³ MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.
4	A. Well, it's subjective. I mean, I'm not really	4 A. I am not aware of any words in the trust that
5	qualified to make that I see personally I see no	⁵ state that.
6	problem with it, but	⁶ Q. (By Mr. Mendel) In fact and you're welcome
7	Q. (By Mr. Mendel) I want to talk about	⁷ to look at the trust. But as I look through the trust,
8	MR. MENDEL: We hit the hour. I thought	⁸ it appears that a predominant theme of the trust is that
9	we'd keep going if everybody's up to that. Okay.	⁹ the trustee is to exercise discretion with regard to
10	Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Bear with me. I want to talk	¹⁰ distributions. Would that be a fair statement?
11	a little bit about Carl Brunsting's he's got a motion	¹¹ MS. BAYLESS: Object to form.
12	for summary judgment, and I want to go through and talk	¹² A. Trustee of what trust?
13	about some of the issues that are raised by that motion.	¹³ Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Any trust. QBD.
14	One of the complaints, as I understand	¹⁴ A. There are mandatory distributions required
15	that motion, is that stock distributions made from the	¹⁵ under the decedent's trust, and the spouse is required
16	survivor's trust are improper because they were made at	¹⁶ to receive the income. That's not discretionary.
17	Nelva Brunsting's direction rather than for her benefit.	¹⁷ Q. Well, with regard to the context of Carl
18	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.	¹⁸ Brunsting, is it mandated that the trustee must make
19	Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Is there really a difference	¹⁹ distributions to Carl Brunsting?
20	between implementing with her, Nelva Brunsting's	20 A. No.
21	knowledge and consent if she agrees that it should be	²¹ Q. As I understand the words of the trust, the
22	distributed straight to someone, does it really matter?	²² trustee can make equal distributions. That's one
23	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.	²³ outcome?
24	A. Does what matter?	²⁴ A. Correct.
25	Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Well, is it fair to say that	²⁵ Q. Unequal distributions?
	87	89
1	if Nelva Brunsting directs a transfer of an asset during	¹ A. Correct.
2	if Nelva Brunsting directs a transfer of an asset during her life out of her survivor's trust, isn't that in some	1 A. Correct. 2 Q. No distributions?
2 3	if Nelva Brunsting directs a transfer of an asset during her life out of her survivor's trust, isn't that in some way, shape or form for her benefit, as far as you know?	 A. Correct. Q. No distributions? A. Correct, except the decedent's trust.
2 3 4	if Nelva Brunsting directs a transfer of an asset during her life out of her survivor's trust, isn't that in some way, shape or form for her benefit, as far as you know? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.	 A. Correct. Q. No distributions? A. Correct, except the decedent's trust. Q. With regard to income to the surviving spouse?
2 3 4 5	 if Nelva Brunsting directs a transfer of an asset during her life out of her survivor's trust, isn't that in some way, shape or form for her benefit, as far as you know? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Well, I guess she could have the pleasure of 	 A. Correct. Q. No distributions? A. Correct, except the decedent's trust. Q. With regard to income to the surviving spouse? A. That's correct.
2 3 4 5 6	 if Nelva Brunsting directs a transfer of an asset during her life out of her survivor's trust, isn't that in some way, shape or form for her benefit, as far as you know? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Well, I guess she could have the pleasure of making the gift, I guess. I mean, I guess you could do 	 A. Correct. Q. No distributions? A. Correct, except the decedent's trust. Q. With regard to income to the surviving spouse? A. That's correct. G. So given those facts about discretion to make
2 3 4 5 6 7	 if Nelva Brunsting directs a transfer of an asset during her life out of her survivor's trust, isn't that in some way, shape or form for her benefit, as far as you know? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Well, I guess she could have the pleasure of making the gift, I guess. I mean, I guess you could do it that way. 	 A. Correct. Q. No distributions? A. Correct, except the decedent's trust. Q. With regard to income to the surviving spouse? A. That's correct. Q. So given those facts about discretion to make requal distributions, unequal distributions, no
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 if Nelva Brunsting directs a transfer of an asset during her life out of her survivor's trust, isn't that in some way, shape or form for her benefit, as far as you know? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Well, I guess she could have the pleasure of making the gift, I guess. I mean, I guess you could do it that way. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Then another way to look at it 	 A. Correct. Q. No distributions? A. Correct, except the decedent's trust. Q. With regard to income to the surviving spouse? A. That's correct. Q. So given those facts about discretion to make equal distributions, unequal distributions, no distributions, set aside the issue of income to spouse,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 if Nelva Brunsting directs a transfer of an asset during her life out of her survivor's trust, isn't that in some way, shape or form for her benefit, as far as you know? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Well, I guess she could have the pleasure of making the gift, I guess. I mean, I guess you could do it that way. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Then another way to look at it would be possibly, well, in lieu of making the gift over 	 A. Correct. Q. No distributions? A. Correct, except the decedent's trust. Q. With regard to income to the surviving spouse? A. That's correct. Q. So given those facts about discretion to make equal distributions, unequal distributions, no distributions, set aside the issue of income to spouse, it would seem Carl Brunsting has no standing to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 if Nelva Brunsting directs a transfer of an asset during her life out of her survivor's trust, isn't that in some way, shape or form for her benefit, as far as you know? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Well, I guess she could have the pleasure of making the gift, I guess. I mean, I guess you could do it that way. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Then another way to look at it would be possibly, well, in lieu of making the gift over to Nelva so that she could turn around and make the gift 	1 A. Correct. 2 Q. No distributions? 3 A. Correct, except the decedent's trust. 4 Q. With regard to income to the surviving spouse? 5 A. That's correct. 6 Q. So given those facts about discretion to make 7 equal distributions, unequal distributions, no 8 distributions, set aside the issue of income to spouse, 9 it would seem Carl Brunsting has no standing to 10 challenge those provisions?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 if Nelva Brunsting directs a transfer of an asset during her life out of her survivor's trust, isn't that in some way, shape or form for her benefit, as far as you know? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Well, I guess she could have the pleasure of making the gift, I guess. I mean, I guess you could do it that way. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Then another way to look at it would be possibly, well, in lieu of making the gift over to Nelva so that she could turn around and make the gift over to one of the children, doesn't it just make sense 	1 A. Correct. 2 Q. No distributions? 3 A. Correct, except the decedent's trust. 4 Q. With regard to income to the surviving spouse? 5 A. That's correct. 6 Q. So given those facts about discretion to make 7 equal distributions, unequal distributions, no 8 distributions, set aside the issue of income to spouse, 9 it would seem Carl Brunsting has no standing to 10 challenge those provisions? 11 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 if Nelva Brunsting directs a transfer of an asset during her life out of her survivor's trust, isn't that in some way, shape or form for her benefit, as far as you know? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Well, I guess she could have the pleasure of making the gift, I guess. I mean, I guess you could do it that way. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Then another way to look at it would be possibly, well, in lieu of making the gift over to Nelva so that she could turn around and make the gift over to one of the children, doesn't it just make sense to make the gift straight to the end recipient? 	1 A. Correct. 2 Q. No distributions? 3 A. Correct, except the decedent's trust. 4 Q. With regard to income to the surviving spouse? 5 A. That's correct. 6 Q. So given those facts about discretion to make 7 equal distributions, unequal distributions, no 8 distributions, set aside the issue of income to spouse, 9 it would seem Carl Brunsting has no standing to 10 challenge those provisions? 11 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 12 A. I would agree.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 if Nelva Brunsting directs a transfer of an asset during her life out of her survivor's trust, isn't that in some way, shape or form for her benefit, as far as you know? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Well, I guess she could have the pleasure of making the gift, I guess. I mean, I guess you could do it that way. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Then another way to look at it would be possibly, well, in lieu of making the gift over to Nelva so that she could turn around and make the gift over to one of the children, doesn't it just make sense to make the gift straight to the end recipient? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 	1 A. Correct. 2 Q. No distributions? 3 A. Correct, except the decedent's trust. 4 Q. With regard to income to the surviving spouse? 5 A. That's correct. 6 Q. So given those facts about discretion to make 7 equal distributions, unequal distributions, no 8 distributions, set aside the issue of income to spouse, 9 it would seem Carl Brunsting has no standing to 10 challenge those provisions? 11 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 12 A. I would agree. 13 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) There's an allegation that no
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 if Nelva Brunsting directs a transfer of an asset during her life out of her survivor's trust, isn't that in some way, shape or form for her benefit, as far as you know? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Well, I guess she could have the pleasure of making the gift, I guess. I mean, I guess you could do it that way. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Then another way to look at it would be possibly, well, in lieu of making the gift over to Nelva so that she could turn around and make the gift over to one of the children, doesn't it just make sense to make the gift straight to the end recipient? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Yes. 	1 A. Correct. 2 Q. No distributions? 3 A. Correct, except the decedent's trust. 4 Q. With regard to income to the surviving spouse? 5 A. That's correct. 6 Q. So given those facts about discretion to make 7 equal distributions, unequal distributions, no 8 distributions, set aside the issue of income to spouse, 9 it would seem Carl Brunsting has no standing to 10 challenge those provisions? 11 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 12 A. I would agree. 13 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) There's an allegation that no 14 distributions from the decedent's trust should occur
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 if Nelva Brunsting directs a transfer of an asset during her life out of her survivor's trust, isn't that in some way, shape or form for her benefit, as far as you know? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Well, I guess she could have the pleasure of making the gift, I guess. I mean, I guess you could do it that way. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Then another way to look at it would be possibly, well, in lieu of making the gift over to Nelva so that she could turn around and make the gift over to one of the children, doesn't it just make sense to make the gift straight to the end recipient? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Yes. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) There's an allegation that 	1 A. Correct. 2 Q. No distributions? 3 A. Correct, except the decedent's trust. 4 Q. With regard to income to the surviving spouse? 5 A. That's correct. 6 Q. So given those facts about discretion to make 7 equal distributions, unequal distributions, no 8 distributions, set aside the issue of income to spouse, 9 it would seem Carl Brunsting has no standing to 10 challenge those provisions? 11 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 12 A. I would agree. 13 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) There's an allegation that no 14 distributions from the decedent's trust should occur 15 until there is an exhaustion of the survivor's trust.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 if Nelva Brunsting directs a transfer of an asset during her life out of her survivor's trust, isn't that in some way, shape or form for her benefit, as far as you know? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Well, I guess she could have the pleasure of making the gift, I guess. I mean, I guess you could do it that way. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Then another way to look at it would be possibly, well, in lieu of making the gift over to Nelva so that she could turn around and make the gift over to nee of the children, doesn't it just make sense to make the gift straight to the end recipient? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Yes. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) There's an allegation that stock distributions, if they're going to be made, should 	1 A. Correct. 2 Q. No distributions? 3 A. Correct, except the decedent's trust. 4 Q. With regard to income to the surviving spouse? 5 A. That's correct. 6 Q. So given those facts about discretion to make 7 equal distributions, unequal distributions, no 8 distributions, set aside the issue of income to spouse, 9 it would seem Carl Brunsting has no standing to 10 challenge those provisions? 11 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 12 A. I would agree. 13 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) There's an allegation that no 14 distributions from the decedent's trust should occur 15 until there is an exhaustion of the survivor's trust. 16 And we can look at the language. I think it's 9-2. It
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 if Nelva Brunsting directs a transfer of an asset during her life out of her survivor's trust, isn't that in some way, shape or form for her benefit, as far as you know? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Well, I guess she could have the pleasure of making the gift, I guess. I mean, I guess you could do it that way. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Then another way to look at it would be possibly, well, in lieu of making the gift over to Nelva so that she could turn around and make the gift over to one of the children, doesn't it just make sense to make the gift straight to the end recipient? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Yes. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) There's an allegation that stock distributions, if they're going to be made, should give some consideration to the beneficiary most in need 	1 A. Correct. 2 Q. No distributions? 3 A. Correct, except the decedent's trust. 4 Q. With regard to income to the surviving spouse? 5 A. That's correct. 6 Q. So given those facts about discretion to make 7 equal distributions, unequal distributions, no 8 distributions, set aside the issue of income to spouse, 9 it would seem Carl Brunsting has no standing to 10 challenge those provisions? 11 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 12 A. I would agree. 13 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) There's an allegation that no 14 distributions from the decedent's trust should occur 15 until there is an exhaustion of the survivor's trust. 16 And we can look at the language. I think it's 9-2. It 17 talks about the trustee.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 if Nelva Brunsting directs a transfer of an asset during her life out of her survivor's trust, isn't that in some way, shape or form for her benefit, as far as you know? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Well, I guess she could have the pleasure of making the gift, I guess. I mean, I guess you could do it that way. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Then another way to look at it would be possibly, well, in lieu of making the gift over to Nelva so that she could turn around and make the gift over to one of the children, doesn't it just make sense to make the gift straight to the end recipient? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Yes. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) There's an allegation that stock distributions, if they're going to be made, should give some consideration to the beneficiary most in need of assistance. And in particular, Carl Brunsting is 	1 A. Correct. 2 Q. No distributions? 3 A. Correct, except the decedent's trust. 4 Q. With regard to income to the surviving spouse? 5 A. That's correct. 6 Q. So given those facts about discretion to make 7 equal distributions, unequal distributions, no 8 distributions, set aside the issue of income to spouse, 9 it would seem Carl Brunsting has no standing to 10 challenge those provisions? 11 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 12 A. I would agree. 13 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) There's an allegation that no 14 distributions from the decedent's trust should occur 15 until there is an exhaustion of the survivor's trust. 16 And we can look at the language. I think it's 9-2. It 17 talks about the trustee. 18 While it's preferred to exhaust the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 if Nelva Brunsting directs a transfer of an asset during her life out of her survivor's trust, isn't that in some way, shape or form for her benefit, as far as you know? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Well, I guess she could have the pleasure of making the gift, I guess. I mean, I guess you could do it that way. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Then another way to look at it would be possibly, well, in lieu of making the gift over to Nelva so that she could turn around and make the gift over to one of the children, doesn't it just make sense to make the gift straight to the end recipient? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Yes. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) There's an allegation that stock distributions, if they're going to be made, should give some consideration to the beneficiary most in need of assistance. And in particular, Carl Brunsting is complaining that given his encephalitis and I'm 	1 A. Correct. 2 Q. No distributions? 3 A. Correct, except the decedent's trust. 4 Q. With regard to income to the surviving spouse? 5 A. That's correct. 6 Q. So given those facts about discretion to make 7 equal distributions, unequal distributions, no 8 distributions, set aside the issue of income to spouse, 9 it would seem Carl Brunsting has no standing to 10 challenge those provisions? 11 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 12 A. I would agree. 13 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) There's an allegation that no 14 distributions from the decedent's trust should occur 15 until there is an exhaustion of the survivor's trust. 16 And we can look at the language. I think it's 9-2. It 17 talks about the trustee. 18 While it's preferred to exhaust the 19 survivor's trust
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 if Nelva Brunsting directs a transfer of an asset during her life out of her survivor's trust, isn't that in some way, shape or form for her benefit, as far as you know? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Well, I guess she could have the pleasure of making the gift, I guess. I mean, I guess you could do it that way. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Then another way to look at it would be possibly, well, in lieu of making the gift over to Nelva so that she could turn around and make the gift over to one of the children, doesn't it just make sense to make the gift straight to the end recipient? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Yes. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) There's an allegation that stock distributions, if they're going to be made, should give some consideration to the beneficiary most in need of assistance. And in particular, Carl Brunsting is complaining that given his encephalitis and Tm paraphrasing my interpretation of what I think he's 	1 A. Correct. 2 Q. No distributions? 3 A. Correct, except the decedent's trust. 4 Q. With regard to income to the surviving spouse? 5 A. That's correct. 6 Q. So given those facts about discretion to make 7 equal distributions, unequal distributions, no 8 distributions, set aside the issue of income to spouse, 9 it would seem Carl Brunsting has no standing to 10 challenge those provisions? 11 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 12 A. I would agree. 13 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) There's an allegation that no 14 distributions from the decedent's trust should occur 15 until there is an exhaustion of the survivor's trust. 16 And we can look at the language. I think it's 9-2. It 17 talks about the trustee. 18 While it's preferred to exhaust the 19 survivor's trust 20 A. That's correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 if Nelva Brunsting directs a transfer of an asset during her life out of her survivor's trust, isn't that in some way, shape or form for her benefit, as far as you know? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Well, I guess she could have the pleasure of making the gift, I guess. I mean, I guess you could do it that way. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Then another way to look at it would be possibly, well, in lieu of making the gift over to Nelva so that she could turn around and make the gift over to one of the children, doesn't it just make sense to make the gift straight to the end recipient? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Yes. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) There's an allegation that stock distributions, if they're going to be made, should give some consideration to the beneficiary most in need of assistance. And in particular, Carl Brunsting is complaining that given his encephalitis and I'm paraphrasing my interpretation of what I think he's saying, but 	1 A. Correct. 2 Q. No distributions? 3 A. Correct, except the decedent's trust. 4 Q. With regard to income to the surviving spouse? 5 A. That's correct. 6 Q. So given those facts about discretion to make 7 equal distributions, unequal distributions, no 8 distributions, set aside the issue of income to spouse, 9 it would seem Carl Brunsting has no standing to 10 challenge those provisions? 11 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 12 A. I would agree. 13 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) There's an allegation that no 14 distributions from the decedent's trust should occur 15 until there is an exhaustion of the survivor's trust. 16 And we can look at the language. I think it's 9-2. It 17 talks about the trustee. 18 While it's preferred to exhaust the 19 survivor's trust 20 A. That's correct. 21 Q I interpret that language, and you tell me
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 if Nelva Brunsting directs a transfer of an asset during her life out of her survivor's trust, isn't that in some way, shape or form for her benefit, as far as you know? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Well, I guess she could have the pleasure of making the gift, I guess. I mean, I guess you could do it that way. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Then another way to look at it would be possibly, well, in lieu of making the gift over to one of the children, doesn't it just make sense to make the gift straight to the end recipient? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Yes. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) There's an allegation that stock distributions, if they're going to be made, should give some consideration to the beneficiary most in need of assistance. And in particular, Carl Brunsting is complaining that given his encephalitis and I'm paraphrasing my interpretation of what I think he's saying, but MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 	1 A. Correct. 2 Q. No distributions? 3 A. Correct, except the decedent's trust. 4 Q. With regard to income to the surviving spouse? 5 A. That's correct. 6 Q. So given those facts about discretion to make 7 equal distributions, unequal distributions, no 8 distributions, set aside the issue of income to spouse, 9 it would seem Carl Brunsting has no standing to 10 challenge those provisions? 11 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 12 A. I would agree. 13 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) There's an allegation that no 14 distributions from the decedent's trust should occur 15 until there is an exhaustion of the survivor's trust. 16 And we can look at the language. I think it's 9-2. It 17 talks about the trustee. 18 While it's preferred to exhaust the 19 survivor's trust 20 A. That's correct. 21 Q I interpret that language, and you tell me 22 if you disagree given that it's preferred, it's not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 if Nelva Brunsting directs a transfer of an asset during her life out of her survivor's trust, isn't that in some way, shape or form for her benefit, as far as you know? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Well, I guess she could have the pleasure of making the gift, I guess. I mean, I guess you could do it that way. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Then another way to look at it would be possibly, well, in lieu of making the gift over to Nelva so that she could turn around and make the gift over to one of the children, doesn't it just make sense to make the gift straight to the end recipient? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Yes. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) There's an allegation that stock distributions, if they're going to be made, should give some consideration to the beneficiary most in need of assistance. And in particular, Carl Brunsting is complaining that given his encephalitis and I'm paraphrasing my interpretation of what I think he's saying, but MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) given his encephalitis and 	1 A. Correct. 2 Q. No distributions? 3 A. Correct, except the decedent's trust. 4 Q. With regard to income to the surviving spouse? 5 A. That's correct. 6 Q. So given those facts about discretion to make 7 equal distributions, unequal distributions, no 8 distributions, set aside the issue of income to spouse, 9 it would seem Carl Brunsting has no standing to 10 challenge those provisions? 11 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 12 A. I would agree. 13 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) There's an allegation that no 14 distributions from the decedent's trust should occur 15 until there is an exhaustion of the survivor's trust. 16 And we can look at the language. I think it's 9-2. It 17 talks about the trustee. 18 While it's preferred to exhaust the 19 survivor's trust 20 A. That's correct. 21 Q I interpret that language, and you tell me 22 if you disagree given that it's preferred, it's not 23 mandatory? <
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 if Nelva Brunsting directs a transfer of an asset during her life out of her survivor's trust, isn't that in some way, shape or form for her benefit, as far as you know? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Well, I guess she could have the pleasure of making the gift, I guess. I mean, I guess you could do it that way. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Then another way to look at it would be possibly, well, in lieu of making the gift over to one of the children, doesn't it just make sense to make the gift straight to the end recipient? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Yes. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) There's an allegation that stock distributions, if they're going to be made, should give some consideration to the beneficiary most in need of assistance. And in particular, Carl Brunsting is complaining that given his encephalitis and I'm paraphrasing my interpretation of what I think he's saying, but MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 	1 A. Correct. 2 Q. No distributions? 3 A. Correct, except the decedent's trust. 4 Q. With regard to income to the surviving spouse? 5 A. That's correct. 6 Q. So given those facts about discretion to make 7 equal distributions, unequal distributions, no 8 distributions, set aside the issue of income to spouse, 9 it would seem Carl Brunsting has no standing to 10 challenge those provisions? 11 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 12 A. I would agree. 13 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) There's an allegation that no 14 distributions from the decedent's trust should occur 15 until there is an exhaustion of the survivor's trust. 16 And we can look at the language. I think it's 9-2. It 17 talks about the trustee. 18 While it's preferred to exhaust the 19 survivor's trust 20 A. That's correct. 21 Q I interpret that language, and you tell me 22 if you disagree given that it's preferred, it's not

23 (Pages 86 to 89)

	90	92
1	that the QBD is not a valid exercise of the powers of	¹ contradiction because?
2	appointment.	² A. This is talking about the family trust. The
3	Would you agree or disagree with that?	³ disposition of each survivor's and decedent's trust and
4	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.	4 how those are administered and handled are within those
5	A. I disagree.	⁵ sections; and the survivor's trust allows amendment to
6	Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Please share with us why you	⁶ her share and a qualified beneficiary designation or
7	disagree.	 ⁷ limited or general power of appointment, however you
8	A. Because the trust explicitly states that powers	⁸ want to call it, for each one.
9	of appointment are granted to the surviving settlor and	9 Q. And so the QBD
10	the initial settlor and that they should be in writing	¹⁰ A. Uh-huh.
11	and in the form of a qualified beneficiary designation.	11 Q in particular, Exhibit 6, executed in August
12	Q. And is that the process that you recommended to	¹² of 2010, is a valid and enforceable agreement?
13	Nelva Brunsting?	13 A. Yes.
14	A. Yes, because Elmer had already predeceased.	¹⁴ Q. I want to talk about the well, let me ask
15	Q. And from your perspective, that was all	¹⁵ you one other thing. I want to talk about the
16	properly followed?	¹⁶ in terrorem, or the no contest, provision.
17	A. Necessary and properly, yes, if you're going to	¹⁷ A. Of the trust or the QBD?
18	make any beneficiary change.	¹⁸ Q. Both.
19	Q. Now, there's the contention, as I understand	¹⁹ A. Okay.
20	it, under the restated trust, which is Exhibit 2 and	20 Q. You wrote the no-contest provisions for the
21	if you look at 3-1 3-1, Exhibit 2, section B, in that	 restated trust, which is Exhibit 2, and the QBD that's
22	first paragraph it says, "When one of us dies, this	 restated thist, when is Exhibit 2, and the QDD that s in large part the subject of this dispute, being
23	trust shall not be subject to amendment except by a	 ²³ Exhibit 6, right?
24	court of competent jurisdiction."	 A. Well, I personally did not write that because
25	A. I agree.	 A. weil, i personally the not write that because the restatement was done before I even got to the firm.
	A. Tagitt.	
	91	93
1		
1	Q. Then in the very next paragraph it talks about	¹ Q. I'm sorry. My apologies. The Vacek firm wrote
2	Q. Then in the very next paragraph it talks about "each of us may provide for a different disposition of	 Q. I'm sorry. My apologies. The Vacek firm wrote these things?
2 3	Q. Then in the very next paragraph it talks about "each of us may provide for a different disposition of our share in the trust by using a qualified beneficiary	 Q. I'm sorry. My apologies. The Vacek firm wrote these things? A. Yes.
2 3 4	Q. Then in the very next paragraph it talks about "each of us may provide for a different disposition of our share in the trust by using a qualified beneficiary designation, as we define that term in this agreement,	 Q. I'm sorry. My apologies. The Vacek firm wrote these things? A. Yes. Q. And you put those provisions in there when
2 3 4 5	Q. Then in the very next paragraph it talks about "each of us may provide for a different disposition of our share in the trust by using a qualified beneficiary designation, as we define that term in this agreement, and the qualified beneficiary designation will be	 Q. I'm sorry. My apologies. The Vacek firm wrote these things? A. Yes. Q. And you put those provisions in there when those provisions were put in there, do you consider them
2 3 4 5 6	Q. Then in the very next paragraph it talks about "each of us may provide for a different disposition of our share in the trust by using a qualified beneficiary designation, as we define that term in this agreement, and the qualified beneficiary designation will be considered an amendment to this trust as to that	 Q. I'm sorry. My apologies. The Vacek firm wrote these things? A. Yes. Q. And you put those provisions in there when those provisions were put in there, do you consider them to be valid and enforceable?
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. Then in the very next paragraph it talks about "each of us may provide for a different disposition of our share in the trust by using a qualified beneficiary designation, as we define that term in this agreement, and the qualified beneficiary designation will be considered an amendment to this trust as to that Founder's share or interest alone," which seems to imply	1Q. I'm sorry. My apologies. The Vacek firm wrote2these things?3A. Yes.4Q. And you put those provisions in there when5those provisions were put in there, do you consider them6to be valid and enforceable?7MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. Then in the very next paragraph it talks about "each of us may provide for a different disposition of our share in the trust by using a qualified beneficiary designation, as we define that term in this agreement, and the qualified beneficiary designation will be considered an amendment to this trust as to that Founder's share or interest alone," which seems to imply the survivor?	 Q. I'm sorry. My apologies. The Vacek firm wrote these things? A. Yes. Q. And you put those provisions in there when those provisions were put in there, do you consider them to be valid and enforceable? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. To the extent that they are allowable under the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Then in the very next paragraph it talks about "each of us may provide for a different disposition of our share in the trust by using a qualified beneficiary designation, as we define that term in this agreement, and the qualified beneficiary designation will be considered an amendment to this trust as to that Founder's share or interest alone," which seems to imply the survivor? A. Yes, because it says "our share in the trust." 	1Q. I'm sorry. My apologies. The Vacek firm wrote2these things?3A. Yes.4Q. And you put those provisions in there when5those provisions were put in there, do you consider them6to be valid and enforceable?7MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.8A. To the extent that they are allowable under the9law, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. Then in the very next paragraph it talks about "each of us may provide for a different disposition of our share in the trust by using a qualified beneficiary designation, as we define that term in this agreement, and the qualified beneficiary designation will be considered an amendment to this trust as to that Founder's share or interest alone," which seems to imply the survivor? A. Yes, because it says "our share in the trust." Q. Okay. And so the fact that maybe one trust is 	1 Q. I'm sorry. My apologies. The Vacek firm wrote 2 these things? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. And you put those provisions in there when 5 those provisions were put in there, do you consider them 6 to be valid and enforceable? 7 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 8 A. To the extent that they are allowable under the 9 law, yes. 10 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Okay. And your understanding
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. Then in the very next paragraph it talks about "each of us may provide for a different disposition of our share in the trust by using a qualified beneficiary designation, as we define that term in this agreement, and the qualified beneficiary designation will be considered an amendment to this trust as to that Founder's share or interest alone," which seems to imply the survivor? A. Yes, because it says "our share in the trust." Q. Okay. And so the fact that maybe one trust is no longer subject to amendment, does that preclude an 	1 Q. I'm sorry. My apologies. The Vacek firm wrote 2 these things? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. And you put those provisions in there when 5 those provisions were put in there, do you consider them 6 to be valid and enforceable? 7 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 8 A. To the extent that they are allowable under the 9 law, yes. 10 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Okay. And your understanding 11 of the enforceability of in terrorem, or no-contest,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. Then in the very next paragraph it talks about "each of us may provide for a different disposition of our share in the trust by using a qualified beneficiary designation, as we define that term in this agreement, and the qualified beneficiary designation will be considered an amendment to this trust as to that Founder's share or interest alone," which seems to imply the survivor? A. Yes, because it says "our share in the trust." Q. Okay. And so the fact that maybe one trust is no longer subject to amendment, does that preclude an amendment – this sentence in section B, on 3-1, does 	1 Q. I'm sorry. My apologies. The Vacek firm wrote 2 these things? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. And you put those provisions in there when 5 those provisions were put in there, do you consider them 6 to be valid and enforceable? 7 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 8 A. To the extent that they are allowable under the 9 law, yes. 10 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Okay. And your understanding 11 of the enforceability of in terrorem, or no-contest, 12 provisions, is what?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Then in the very next paragraph it talks about "each of us may provide for a different disposition of our share in the trust by using a qualified beneficiary designation, as we define that term in this agreement, and the qualified beneficiary designation will be considered an amendment to this trust as to that Founder's share or interest alone," which seems to imply the survivor? A. Yes, because it says "our share in the trust." Q. Okay. And so the fact that maybe one trust is no longer subject to amendment, does that preclude an amendment this sentence in section B, on 3-1, does that preclude Nelva Brunsting from putting together a 	1 Q. I'm sorry. My apologies. The Vacek firm wrote 2 these things? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. And you put those provisions in there when 5 those provisions were put in there, do you consider them 6 to be valid and enforceable? 7 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 8 A. To the extent that they are allowable under the 9 law, yes. 10 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Okay. And your understanding 11 of the enforceability of in terrorem, or no-contest, 12 provisions, is what? 13 A. That they are a deterrent. And if somebody
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. Then in the very next paragraph it talks about "each of us may provide for a different disposition of our share in the trust by using a qualified beneficiary designation, as we define that term in this agreement, and the qualified beneficiary designation will be considered an amendment to this trust as to that Founder's share or interest alone," which seems to imply the survivor? A. Yes, because it says "our share in the trust." Q. Okay. And so the fact that maybe one trust is no longer subject to amendment, does that preclude an amendment – this sentence in section B, on 3-1, does that preclude Nelva Brunsting from putting together a qualified beneficiary designation? 	1 Q. I'm sorry. My apologies. The Vacek firm wrote 2 these things? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. And you put those provisions in there when 5 those provisions were put in there, do you consider them 6 to be valid and enforceable? 7 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 8 A. To the extent that they are allowable under the 9 law, yes. 10 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Okay. And your understanding 11 of the enforceability of in terrorem, or no-contest, 12 provisions, is what? 13 A. That they are a deterrent. And if somebody 14 brings a claim in good faith and it's found to be in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. Then in the very next paragraph it talks about "each of us may provide for a different disposition of our share in the trust by using a qualified beneficiary designation, as we define that term in this agreement, and the qualified beneficiary designation will be considered an amendment to this trust as to that Founder's share or interest alone," which seems to imply the survivor? A. Yes, because it says "our share in the trust." Q. Okay. And so the fact that maybe one trust is no longer subject to amendment, does that preclude an amendment – this sentence in section B, on 3-1, does that preclude Nelva Brunsting from putting together a qualified beneficiary designation? A. No. 	1 Q. I'm sorry. My apologies. The Vacek firm wrote 2 these things? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. And you put those provisions in there when 5 those provisions were put in there, do you consider them 6 to be valid and enforceable? 7 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 8 A. To the extent that they are allowable under the 9 law, yes. 10 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Okay. And your understanding 11 of the enforceability of in terrorem, or no-contest, 12 provisions, is what? 13 A. That they are a deterrent. And if somebody 14 brings a claim in good faith and it's found to be in 15 good faith, then it won't apply. But otherwise, it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. Then in the very next paragraph it talks about "each of us may provide for a different disposition of our share in the trust by using a qualified beneficiary designation, as we define that term in this agreement, and the qualified beneficiary designation will be considered an amendment to this trust as to that Founder's share or interest alone," which seems to imply the survivor? A. Yes, because it says "our share in the trust." Q. Okay. And so the fact that maybe one trust is no longer subject to amendment, does that preclude an amendment this sentence in section B, on 3-1, does that preclude Nelva Brunsting from putting together a qualified beneficiary designation? A. No. MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 	1Q. I'm sorry. My apologies. The Vacek firm wrote2these things?3A. Yes.4Q. And you put those provisions in there when5those provisions were put in there, do you consider them6to be valid and enforceable?7MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.8A. To the extent that they are allowable under the9law, yes.10Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Okay. And your understanding11of the enforceability of in terrorem, or no-contest,12provisions, is what?13A. That they are a deterrent. And if somebody14brings a claim in good faith and it's found to be in15good faith, then it won't apply. But otherwise, it16could be enforced if you bring a suit in bad faith.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. Then in the very next paragraph it talks about "each of us may provide for a different disposition of our share in the trust by using a qualified beneficiary designation, as we define that term in this agreement, and the qualified beneficiary designation will be considered an amendment to this trust as to that Founder's share or interest alone," which seems to imply the survivor? A. Yes, because it says "our share in the trust." Q. Okay. And so the fact that maybe one trust is no longer subject to amendment, does that preclude an amendment this sentence in section B, on 3-1, does that preclude Nelva Brunsting from putting together a qualified beneficiary designation? A. No. MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) It's my understanding or from 	1 Q. I'm sorry. My apologies. The Vacek firm wrote 2 these things? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. And you put those provisions in there when 5 those provisions were put in there, do you consider them 6 to be valid and enforceable? 7 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 8 A. To the extent that they are allowable under the 9 law, yes. 10 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Okay. And your understanding 11 of the enforceability of in terrorem, or no-contest, 12 provisions, is what? 13 A. That they are a deterrent. And if somebody 14 brings a claim in good faith and it's found to be in 15 good faith, then it won't apply. But otherwise, it 16 could be enforced if you bring a suit in bad faith. 17 Q. Okay. Let's talk about 11-2.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Then in the very next paragraph it talks about "each of us may provide for a different disposition of our share in the trust by using a qualified beneficiary designation, as we define that term in this agreement, and the qualified beneficiary designation will be considered an amendment to this trust as to that Founder's share or interest alone," which seems to imply the survivor? A. Yes, because it says "our share in the trust." Q. Okay. And so the fact that maybe one trust is no longer subject to amendment, does that preclude an amendment – this sentence in section B, on 3-1, does that preclude Nelva Brunsting from putting together a qualified beneficiary designation? A. No. MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) It's my understanding or from my reading of Carl Brunsting's pleadings and/or motion 	1 Q. I'm sorry. My apologies. The Vacek firm wrote 2 these things? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. And you put those provisions in there when 5 those provisions were put in there, do you consider them 6 to be valid and enforceable? 7 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 8 A. To the extent that they are allowable under the 9 law, yes. 10 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Okay. And your understanding 11 of the enforceability of in terrorem, or no-contest, 12 provisions, is what? 13 A. That they are a deterrent. And if somebody 14 brings a claim in good faith and it's found to be in 15 good faith, then it won't apply. But otherwise, it 16 could be enforced if you bring a suit in bad faith. 17 Q. Okay. Let's talk about 11-2. 18 A. Of?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. Then in the very next paragraph it talks about "each of us may provide for a different disposition of our share in the trust by using a qualified beneficiary designation, as we define that term in this agreement, and the qualified beneficiary designation will be considered an amendment to this trust as to that Founder's share or interest alone," which seems to imply the survivor? A. Yes, because it says "our share in the trust." Q. Okay. And so the fact that maybe one trust is no longer subject to amendment, does that preclude an amendment – this sentence in section B, on 3-1, does that preclude Nelva Brunsting from putting together a qualified beneficiary designation? A. No. MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) It's my understanding or from my reading of Carl Brunsting's pleadings and/or motion for summary judgment that there may be some sort of a 	1 Q. I'm sorry. My apologies. The Vacek firm wrote 2 these things? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. And you put those provisions in there when 5 those provisions were put in there, do you consider them 6 to be valid and enforceable? 7 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 8 A. To the extent that they are allowable under the 9 law, yes. 10 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Okay. And your understanding 11 of the enforceability of in terrorem, or no-contest, 12 provisions, is what? 13 A. That they are a deterrent. And if somebody 14 brings a claim in good faith and it's found to be in 15 good faith, then it won't apply. But otherwise, it 16 could be enforced if you bring a suit in bad faith. 17 Q. Okay. Let's talk about 11-2. 18 A. Of? 19 Q. Of Exhibit 2.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. Then in the very next paragraph it talks about "each of us may provide for a different disposition of our share in the trust by using a qualified beneficiary designation, as we define that term in this agreement, and the qualified beneficiary designation will be considered an amendment to this trust as to that Founder's share or interest alone," which seems to imply the survivor? A. Yes, because it says "our share in the trust." Q. Okay. And so the fact that maybe one trust is no longer subject to amendment, does that preclude an amendment – this sentence in section B, on 3-1, does that preclude Nelva Brunsting from putting together a qualified beneficiary designation? A. No. MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) It's my understanding or from my reading of Carl Brunsting's pleadings and/or motion for summary judgment that there may be some sort of a contradiction in Article III, section B, and then the 	1 Q. I'm sorry. My apologies. The Vacek firm wrote 2 these things? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. And you put those provisions in there when 5 those provisions were put in there, do you consider them 6 to be valid and enforceable? 7 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 8 A. To the extent that they are allowable under the 9 law, yes. 10 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Okay. And your understanding 11 of the enforceability of in terrorem, or no-contest, 12 provisions, is what? 13 A. That they are a deterrent. And if somebody 14 brings a claim in good faith and it's found to be in 15 good faith, then it won't apply. But otherwise, it 16 could be enforced if you bring a suit in bad faith. 17 Q. Okay. Let's talk about 11-2. 18 A. Of? 19 Q. Of Exhibit 2. 20 MS. BAYLESS: Are you meaning page 11-2?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Then in the very next paragraph it talks about "each of us may provide for a different disposition of our share in the trust by using a qualified beneficiary designation, as we define that term in this agreement, and the qualified beneficiary designation will be considered an amendment to this trust as to that Founder's share or interest alone," which seems to imply the survivor? A. Yes, because it says "our share in the trust." Q. Okay. And so the fact that maybe one trust is no longer subject to amendment, does that preclude an amendment – this sentence in section B, on 3-1, does that preclude Nelva Brunsting from putting together a qualified beneficiary designation? A. No. MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) It's my understanding or from my reading of Carl Brunsting's pleadings and/or motion for summary judgment that there may be some sort of a contradiction in Article III, section B, and then the exercise of the power of appointment. 	1 Q. I'm sorry. My apologies. The Vacek firm wrote 2 these things? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. And you put those provisions in there when 5 those provisions were put in there, do you consider them 6 to be valid and enforceable? 7 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 8 A. To the extent that they are allowable under the 9 law, yes. 10 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Okay. And your understanding 11 of the enforceability of in terrorem, or no-contest, 12 provisions, is what? 13 A. That they are a deterrent. And if somebody 14 brings a claim in good faith and it's found to be in 15 good faith, then it won't apply. But otherwise, it 16 could be enforced if you bring a suit in bad faith. 17 Q. Okay. Let's talk about 11-2. 18 A. Of? 19 Q. Of Exhibit 2. 20 MS. BAYLESS: Are you meaning page 11-2? 21 MR. MENDEL: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Then in the very next paragraph it talks about "each of us may provide for a different disposition of our share in the trust by using a qualified beneficiary designation, as we define that term in this agreement, and the qualified beneficiary designation will be considered an amendment to this trust as to that Founder's share or interest alone," which seems to imply the survivor? A. Yes, because it says "our share in the trust." Q. Okay. And so the fact that maybe one trust is no longer subject to amendment, does that preclude an amendment – this sentence in section B, on 3-1, does that preclude Nelva Brunsting from putting together a qualified beneficiary designation? A. No. MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) It's my understanding or from my reading of Carl Brunsting's pleadings and/or motion for summary judgment that there may be some sort of a contradiction in Article III, section B, and then the exercise of the power of appointment. 	1 Q. I'm sorry. My apologies. The Vacek firm wrote 2 these things? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. And you put those provisions in there when 5 those provisions were put in there, do you consider them 6 to be valid and enforceable? 7 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 8 A. To the extent that they are allowable under the 9 law, yes. 10 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Okay. And your understanding 11 of the enforceability of in terrorem, or no-contest, 12 provisions, is what? 13 A. That they are a deterrent. And if somebody 14 brings a claim in good faith and it's found to be in 15 good faith, then it won't apply. But otherwise, it 16 could be enforced if you bring a suit in bad faith. 17 Q. Okay. Let's talk about 11-2. 18 A. Of? 19 Q. Of Exhibit 2. 20 MS. BAYLESS: Are you meaning page 11-2? 21 MR. MENDEL: Yes. 22 MS. BAYLESS: All right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Then in the very next paragraph it talks about "each of us may provide for a different disposition of our share in the trust by using a qualified beneficiary designation, as we define that term in this agreement, and the qualified beneficiary designation will be considered an amendment to this trust as to that Founder's share or interest alone," which seems to imply the survivor? A. Yes, because it says "our share in the trust." Q. Okay. And so the fact that maybe one trust is no longer subject to amendment, does that preclude an amendment – this sentence in section B, on 3-1, does that preclude Nelva Brunsting from putting together a qualified beneficiary designation? A. No. MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) It's my understanding or from my reading of Carl Brunsting's pleadings and/or motion for summary judgment that there may be some sort of a contradiction in Article III, section B, and then the exercise of the power of appointment. Do you see a contradiction? A. I don't. 	1 Q. I'm sorry. My apologies. The Vacek firm wrote 2 these things? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. And you put those provisions in there when 5 those provisions were put in there, do you consider them 6 to be valid and enforceable? 7 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 8 A. To the extent that they are allowable under the 9 law, yes. 10 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Okay. And your understanding 11 of the enforceability of in terrorem, or no-contest, 12 provisions, is what? 13 A. That they are a deterrent. And if somebody 14 brings a claim in good faith and it's found to be in 15 good faith, then it won't apply. But otherwise, it 16 could be enforced if you bring a suit in bad faith. 17 Q. Okay. Let's talk about 11-2. 18 A. Of? 19 Q. Of Exhibit 2. 10 MS. BAYLESS: Are you meaning page 11-2? 18 MS. BAYLESS: All right. 23 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) So Exhibit 2, page 11-2.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Then in the very next paragraph it talks about "each of us may provide for a different disposition of our share in the trust by using a qualified beneficiary designation, as we define that term in this agreement, and the qualified beneficiary designation will be considered an amendment to this trust as to that Founder's share or interest alone," which seems to imply the survivor? A. Yes, because it says "our share in the trust." Q. Okay. And so the fact that maybe one trust is no longer subject to amendment, does that preclude an amendment – this sentence in section B, on 3-1, does that preclude Nelva Brunsting from putting together a qualified beneficiary designation? A. No. MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) It's my understanding or from my reading of Carl Brunsting's pleadings and/or motion for summary judgment that there may be some sort of a contradiction in Article III, section B, and then the exercise of the power of appointment. 	1 Q. I'm sorry. My apologies. The Vacek firm wrote 2 these things? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. And you put those provisions in there when 5 those provisions were put in there, do you consider them 6 to be valid and enforceable? 7 MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 8 A. To the extent that they are allowable under the 9 law, yes. 10 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Okay. And your understanding 11 of the enforceability of in terrorem, or no-contest, 12 provisions, is what? 13 A. That they are a deterrent. And if somebody 14 brings a claim in good faith and it's found to be in 15 good faith, then it won't apply. But otherwise, it 16 could be enforced if you bring a suit in bad faith. 17 Q. Okay. Let's talk about 11-2. 18 A. Of? 19 Q. Of Exhibit 2. 20 MS. BAYLESS: Are you meaning page 11-2? 21 MR. MENDEL: Yes. 22 MS. BAYLESS: All right.

24 (Pages 90 to 93)

	94		96
1	judicial proceeding to construe or contest this trust	1	next paragraph, these directions, speaking to the
2	instrument." Do you see that?	2	directions above, shall apply even if the judicial
3	A. Uh-huh.	3	proceeding is in good faith and with probable cause.
4	Q. Would you agree that a declaratory judgment is	4	A. Yes.
5	an action to construe a trust instrument?	5	Q. And so you would that wasn't added by
6	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.	6	accident, right?
7	A. Would I agree that it's the only way?	7	A. No. I'm sure it wasn't.
8	Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Well, we're going to go	8	Q. Those words were put in there intentionally?
9	through all of these.	9	A. Correct.
10	A. Okay.	10	Q. So it doesn't matter if someone brings
11	Q. But one way to be in conflict or to violate the	11	something in good faith. If it falls within these four
12	in terrorem clause is to initiate a proceeding to	12	categories that we just spoke about, then they're in
13	construe or contest this trust instrument?	13	violation, good faith or bad faith?
14	A. That's what it states, yes.	14	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.
15	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.	15	A. Yes. I mean, according to what it says, yes.
16	Q. (By Mr. Mendel) And a second way, in addition	16	Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Okay. And even some sort of
17	to initiating something that seeks the construction of	17	an interpretation or a challenge with regard to the
18	the trust instrument, is some sort of a claim in the	18	in terrorem, or no-contest, provision is a violation of
19	nature of reimbursement?	19	the in terrorem provision?
20	A. Yes. That's what it says.	20	A. That's what it says, yes.
21	Q. And a third way to be in violation of the	21	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.
22	in terrorem, or no contest, provision, is to seek a	22	Q. (By Mr. Mendel) And so just looking at the
23	constructive or resulting trust?	23	restated trust
24	A. Yes. That's what it states.	24	A. Exhibit 2.
25	Q. And you would agree that if that occurred,	25	Q Exhibit 2 from 2005 I'm just going to run
	95		97
1	those would be violations of the in terrorem clause?	1	through a series of we can go back and look at the
2	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.	2	pleadings if necessary, if you think it's necessary.
3	A. They would be in violation of what it says	3	But I'm just going to ask if some of these things that
4	here, yes.	4	have been alleged violate the
5	Q. (By Mr. Mendel) And another way to violate the	5	A. The language in the trust?
6	in terrorem clause would be a proceeding that seeks to	6	Q. The language in the trust.
7	enlarge a claimant's interest in the trust?	7	A. Sorry.
8	A. Yes.	8	Q. And let me ask you this, if you know. Based on
9	Q. So one way to violate that would be if Nelva	9	your practice or your understanding of the case law and
10	properly authorized during her lifetime gifts from the	10	maybe the statutes, this language about even if brought
11	survivor's trust, that an effort to seek the return of	11	in good faith, that's an enforceable provision?
12	those assets so as to increase the trust estate and	12	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.
13	increase somebody's 20 percent share would be in	13	A. That is not my understanding.
14	violation of the in terrorem clause, would it not?	14	Q. (By Mr. Mendel) And why not?
15	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.	15	A. Because this was done in 2005, and case law has
16	A. I could see how you could construe it that way,	16	probably modified that over time.
17	yes.	17	Q. You say "probably modified," so you don't
18	Q. (By Mr. Mendel) You wouldn't disagree?	18	really know?
19	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.	19	A. Most likely. I do not know.
20	A. No. A claim is a claim.	20	Q. Okay. So you're just surmising?
21	Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Okay. And then the other	21	A. Yes.
22	thing is that you spoke a moment ago about claims made	22	Q. But if it turns out the case law indicates that
23	in good faith?	23	good faith can be written as provided here, if that's
24	A. Correct.	24	still the law in some way, shape or form, then Carl
25	Q. This trust, however, specifically says in the	25	Brunsting may have violated the in terrorem clause?
		1	

25 (Pages 94 to 97)

	98	100
1	A. Sure.	¹ MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.
2	MS. BAYLESS: Objection.	² A. Yeah, it could.
3	Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Candy Curtis may have violated	³ Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Seeking a receivership over
4	the in terrorem clause?	4 the trust would violate the in terrorem clause?
5	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.	⁵ MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.
6	A. Yes.	6 A. Yes.
7	Q. (By Mr. Mendel) And so working from the	⁷ Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Allegations regarding
8	restated trust that has these four topics and has the	⁸ self-dealing from the survivor's trust while Nelva
9	specific language about even if brought in good faith	 ⁹ Brunsting was alive would violate the in terrorem
10	and with probable cause, Exhibit 13, 14, 15 and 16, if	¹⁰ clause?
11	Carl Brunsting brought some sort of a suit for	¹¹ MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.
12	declaratory judgment or to construe the trust, that	¹² A. Yes.
13	would violate the in terrorem clause?	¹³ Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Allegations of a criminal
14	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.	¹⁴ wiretap would violate the in terrorem clause?
15	A. As it's stated here, yes.	¹⁵ MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.
16	Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Allegations with regard to	¹⁶ A. I'm not sure what that has to do with the
17	breach of fiduciary duty and conversion, those would	¹⁷ trust, but
18	violate the in terrorem clause?	¹⁸ Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Seeking injunctive relief,
19	A. They would.	¹⁹ would it violate the in terrorem clause?
20	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.	²⁰ MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.
21	Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Allegations of negligence	²¹ A. Yes, as it's written here.
22	would violate the in terrorem clause.	22 Q. (By Mr. Mendel) With regard to Candy Curtis'
23	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.	²³ claims, I'm going to suggest to you certain claims that
24	A. Yes.	²⁴ I believe she's made; and I want to know if you believe
25	Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Allegations of tortious	²⁵ that those claims violate the in terrorem clause as
	99	101
1	interference, which I think was struck down by the Texas	¹ written in Exhibit 2 on page 11-2
2	interference, which I think was struck down by the Texas Supreme Court, would violate the in terrorem clause?	 written in Exhibit 2 on page 11-2 A. Okay.
2 3	interference, which I think was struck down by the TexasSupreme Court, would violate the in terrorem clause?A. Yes.	 written in Exhibit 2 on page 11-2 A. Okay. Q of the restated trust.
2 3 4	interference, which I think was struck down by the TexasSupreme Court, would violate the in terrorem clause?A. Yes.MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.	 written in Exhibit 2 on page 11-2 A. Okay. Q of the restated trust. Allegations regarding breach of fiduciary
2 3 4 5	 interference, which I think was struck down by the Texas Supreme Court, would violate the in terrorem clause? A. Yes. MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Seeking a constructive trust 	 written in Exhibit 2 on page 11-2 A. Okay. Q of the restated trust. Allegations regarding breach of fiduciary duty?
2 3 4 5 6	 interference, which I think was struck down by the Texas Supreme Court, would violate the in terrorem clause? A. Yes. MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Seeking a constructive trust would violate the in terrorem clause? 	 written in Exhibit 2 on page 11-2 A. Okay. Q of the restated trust. Allegations regarding breach of fiduciary duty? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7	 interference, which I think was struck down by the Texas Supreme Court, would violate the in terrorem clause? A. Yes. MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Seeking a constructive trust would violate the in terrorem clause? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 	 written in Exhibit 2 on page 11-2 A. Okay. Q of the restated trust. Allegations regarding breach of fiduciary duty? A. Yes. Q. Allegations regarding extrinsic fraud?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 interference, which I think was struck down by the Texas Supreme Court, would violate the in terrorem clause? A. Yes. MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Seeking a constructive trust would violate the in terrorem clause? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Yes. 	 written in Exhibit 2 on page 11-2 A. Okay. Q of the restated trust. Allegations regarding breach of fiduciary duty? A. Yes. Q. Allegations regarding extrinsic fraud? 8 A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 interference, which I think was struck down by the Texas Supreme Court, would violate the in terrorem clause? A. Yes. MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Seeking a constructive trust would violate the in terrorem clause? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Yes. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Allegations of civil 	 written in Exhibit 2 on page 11-2 A. Okay. Q of the restated trust. Allegations regarding breach of fiduciary duty? A. Yes. Q. Allegations regarding extrinsic fraud? 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. Allegations regarding constructive fraud?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 interference, which I think was struck down by the Texas Supreme Court, would violate the in terrorem clause? A. Yes. MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Seeking a constructive trust would violate the in terrorem clause? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Yes. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Allegations of civil conspiracy would violate the in terrorem clause? 	 written in Exhibit 2 on page 11-2 A. Okay. Q of the restated trust. Allegations regarding breach of fiduciary duty? A. Yes. Q. Allegations regarding extrinsic fraud? A. Yes. Q. Allegations regarding constructive fraud? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 interference, which I think was struck down by the Texas Supreme Court, would violate the in terrorem clause? A. Yes. MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Seeking a constructive trust would violate the in terrorem clause? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Yes. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Allegations of civil conspiracy would violate the in terrorem clause? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 	 written in Exhibit 2 on page 11-2 A. Okay. Q of the restated trust. Allegations regarding breach of fiduciary duty? A. Yes. Q. Allegations regarding extrinsic fraud? A. Yes. Q. Allegations regarding constructive fraud? A. Yes. Q. Allegations regarding intentional infliction of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 interference, which I think was struck down by the Texas Supreme Court, would violate the in terrorem clause? A. Yes. MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Seeking a constructive trust would violate the in terrorem clause? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Yes. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Allegations of civil conspiracy would violate the in terrorem clause? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Yes. MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Yes. A. Yes. 	 written in Exhibit 2 on page 11-2 A. Okay. Q of the restated trust. Allegations regarding breach of fiduciary duty? A. Yes. Q. Allegations regarding extrinsic fraud? A. Yes. Q. Allegations regarding constructive fraud? A. Yes. Q. Allegations regarding intentional infliction of emotional distress?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 interference, which I think was struck down by the Texas Supreme Court, would violate the in terrorem clause? A. Yes. MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Seeking a constructive trust would violate the in terrorem clause? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Yes. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Allegations of civil conspiracy would violate the in terrorem clause? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Yes. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Allegations of civil conspiracy would violate the in terrorem clause? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Yes. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Allegations of fraudulent 	 written in Exhibit 2 on page 11-2 A. Okay. Q of the restated trust. Allegations regarding breach of fiduciary duty? A. Yes. Q. Allegations regarding extrinsic fraud? A. Yes. Q. Allegations regarding constructive fraud? A. Yes. Q. Allegations regarding intentional infliction of emotional distress? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 interference, which I think was struck down by the Texas Supreme Court, would violate the in terrorem clause? A. Yes. MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Seeking a constructive trust would violate the in terrorem clause? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Yes. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Allegations of civil conspiracy would violate the in terrorem clause? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Yes. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Allegations of civil conspiracy would violate the in terrorem clause? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Yes. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Allegations of fraudulent concealment would violate the in terrorem clause? 	 written in Exhibit 2 on page 11-2 A. Okay. Q of the restated trust. Allegations regarding breach of fiduciary duty? A. Yes. Q. Allegations regarding extrinsic fraud? A. Yes. Q. Allegations regarding constructive fraud? A. Yes. Q. Allegations regarding intentional infliction of emotional distress? A. Yes. Q. Allegations of money had and received?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 interference, which I think was struck down by the Texas Supreme Court, would violate the in terrorem clause? A. Yes. MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Seeking a constructive trust would violate the in terrorem clause? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Yes. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Allegations of civil conspiracy would violate the in terrorem clause? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Yes. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Allegations of civil conspiracy would violate the in terrorem clause? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Yes. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Allegations of fraudulent concealment would violate the in terrorem clause? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 	 written in Exhibit 2 on page 11-2 A. Okay. Q of the restated trust. Allegations regarding breach of fiduciary duty? A. Yes. Q. Allegations regarding extrinsic fraud? A. Yes. Q. Allegations regarding constructive fraud? A. Yes. Q. Allegations regarding intentional infliction of emotional distress? A. Yes. Q. Allegations of money had and received? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 interference, which I think was struck down by the Texas Supreme Court, would violate the in terrorem clause? A. Yes. MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Seeking a constructive trust would violate the in terrorem clause? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Yes. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Allegations of civil conspiracy would violate the in terrorem clause? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Yes. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Allegations of civil conspiracy would violate the in terrorem clause? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Yes. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Allegations of fraudulent concealment would violate the in terrorem clause? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Yes. 	 written in Exhibit 2 on page 11-2 A. Okay. Q of the restated trust. Allegations regarding breach of fiduciary duty? A. Yes. Q. Allegations regarding extrinsic fraud? A. Yes. Q. Allegations regarding constructive fraud? A. Yes. Q. Allegations regarding intentional infliction of emotional distress? A. Yes. Q. Allegations of money had and received? A. Yes. Q. Allegations of conversion?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 interference, which I think was struck down by the Texas Supreme Court, would violate the in terrorem clause? A. Yes. MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Seeking a constructive trust would violate the in terrorem clause? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Yes. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Allegations of civil conspiracy would violate the in terrorem clause? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Yes. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Allegations of fraudulent concealment would violate the in terrorem clause? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Yes. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Allegations of fraudulent concealment would violate the in terrorem clause? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Yes. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Would allegations with regard 	 written in Exhibit 2 on page 11-2 A. Okay. Q of the restated trust. Allegations regarding breach of fiduciary duty? A. Yes. Q. Allegations regarding extrinsic fraud? A. Yes. Q. Allegations regarding constructive fraud? A. Yes. Q. Allegations regarding intentional infliction of emotional distress? A. Yes. Q. Allegations of money had and received? A. Yes. Q. Allegations of conversion? A. Uh-huh, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 interference, which I think was struck down by the Texas Supreme Court, would violate the in terrorem clause? A. Yes. MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Seeking a constructive trust would violate the in terrorem clause? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Yes. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Allegations of civil conspiracy would violate the in terrorem clause? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Yes. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Allegations of fraudulent concealment would violate the in terrorem clause? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Yes. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Allegations of fraudulent concealment would violate the in terrorem clause? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Yes. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Would allegations with regard to the removal of or the liability of the 	 written in Exhibit 2 on page 11-2 A. Okay. Q of the restated trust. Allegations regarding breach of fiduciary duty? A. Yes. Q. Allegations regarding extrinsic fraud? A. Yes. Q. Allegations regarding constructive fraud? A. Yes. Q. Allegations regarding intentional infliction of emotional distress? A. Yes. Q. Allegations of money had and received? A. Yes. Q. Allegations of conversion? A. Uh-huh, yes. Q. She also alleges allegations of tortious
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 interference, which I think was struck down by the Texas Supreme Court, would violate the in terrorem clause? A. Yes. MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Seeking a constructive trust would violate the in terrorem clause? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Yes. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Allegations of civil conspiracy would violate the in terrorem clause? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Yes. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Allegations of fraudulent concealment would violate the in terrorem clause? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Yes. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Allegations of fraudulent concealment would violate the in terrorem clause? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Yes. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Would allegations with regard to the removal of or the liability of the beneficiaries violate the in terrorem clause? 	 written in Exhibit 2 on page 11-2 A. Okay. Q of the restated trust. Allegations regarding breach of fiduciary duty? A. Yes. Q. Allegations regarding extrinsic fraud? A. Yes. Q. Allegations regarding constructive fraud? A. Yes. Q. Allegations regarding intentional infliction of emotional distress? A. Yes. Q. Allegations of money had and received? A. Yes. Q. Allegations of conversion? A. Yes. Q. Allegations of tortious interference with inheritance rights. Assuming that was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 interference, which I think was struck down by the Texas Supreme Court, would violate the in terrorem clause? A. Yes. MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Seeking a constructive trust would violate the in terrorem clause? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Yes. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Allegations of civil conspiracy would violate the in terrorem clause? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Yes. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Allegations of fraudulent concealment would violate the in terrorem clause? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Yes. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Allegations of fraudulent concealment would violate the in terrorem clause? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Yes. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Would allegations with regard to the removal of or the liability of the beneficiaries violate the in terrorem clause? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 	 written in Exhibit 2 on page 11-2 A. Okay. Q of the restated trust. Allegations regarding breach of fiduciary duty? A. Yes. Q. Allegations regarding extrinsic fraud? A. Yes. Q. Allegations regarding constructive fraud? A. Yes. Q. Allegations regarding intentional infliction of emotional distress? A. Yes. Q. Allegations of money had and received? A. Yes. Q. Allegations of conversion? A. Uh-huh, yes. Q. She also alleges allegations of tortious interference with inheritance rights. Assuming that was even a valid claim, that would violate the in terrorem
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 interference, which I think was struck down by the Texas Supreme Court, would violate the in terrorem clause? A. Yes. MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Seeking a constructive trust would violate the in terrorem clause? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Yes. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Allegations of civil conspiracy would violate the in terrorem clause? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Yes. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Allegations of civil conspiracy would violate the in terrorem clause? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Yes. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Allegations of fraudulent concealment would violate the in terrorem clause? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Yes. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Would allegations with regard to the removal of or the liability of the beneficiaries violate the in terrorem clause? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 	 written in Exhibit 2 on page 11-2 A. Okay. Q of the restated trust. Allegations regarding breach of fiduciary duty? A. Yes. Q. Allegations regarding extrinsic fraud? A. Yes. Q. Allegations regarding constructive fraud? A. Yes. Q. Allegations regarding intentional infliction of emotional distress? A. Yes. Q. Allegations of money had and received? A. Yes. Q. Allegations of conversion? A. Uh-huh, yes. Q. She also alleges allegations of tortious interference with inheritance rights. Assuming that was even a valid claim, that would violate the in terrorem clause?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 interference, which I think was struck down by the Texas Supreme Court, would violate the in terrorem clause? A. Yes. MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Seeking a constructive trust would violate the in terrorem clause? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Yes. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Allegations of civil conspiracy would violate the in terrorem clause? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Yes. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Allegations of fraudulent concealment would violate the in terrorem clause? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Yes. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Allegations of fraudulent concealment would violate the in terrorem clause? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Yes. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Would allegations with regard to the removal of or the liability of the beneficiaries violate the in terrorem clause? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Yes. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Would allegations with regard to the removal of or the liability of the beneficiaries? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 	 written in Exhibit 2 on page 11-2 A. Okay. Q of the restated trust. Allegations regarding breach of fiduciary duty? A. Yes. Q. Allegations regarding extrinsic fraud? A. Yes. Q. Allegations regarding constructive fraud? A. Yes. Q. Allegations regarding intentional infliction of emotional distress? A. Yes. Q. Allegations of money had and received? A. Yes. Q. Allegations of conversion? A. Uh-huh, yes. Q. She also alleges allegations of tortious interference with inheritance rights. Assuming that was even a valid claim, that would violate the in terrorem clause? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 interference, which I think was struck down by the Texas Supreme Court, would violate the in terrorem clause? A. Yes. MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Seeking a constructive trust would violate the in terrorem clause? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Yes. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Allegations of civil conspiracy would violate the in terrorem clause? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Yes. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Allegations of fraudulent concealment would violate the in terrorem clause? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Yes. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Allegations of fraudulent concealment would violate the in terrorem clause? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Yes. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Would allegations with regard to the removal of or the liability of the beneficiaries violate the in terrorem clause? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Yes. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Would allegations with regard to the removal of or the liability of the beneficiaries? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 	 written in Exhibit 2 on page 11-2 A. Okay. Q of the restated trust. Allegations regarding breach of fiduciary duty? A. Yes. Q. Allegations regarding extrinsic fraud? A. Yes. Q. Allegations regarding constructive fraud? A. Yes. Q. Allegations regarding intentional infliction of emotional distress? A. Yes. Q. Allegations of money had and received? A. Yes. Q. Allegations of conversion? A. Uh-huh, yes. Q. She also alleges allegations of tortious interference with inheritance rights. Assuming that was even a valid claim, that would violate the in terrorem clause? Q. Seeking modifications of QBD would be a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 interference, which I think was struck down by the Texas Supreme Court, would violate the in terrorem clause? A. Yes. MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Seeking a constructive trust would violate the in terrorem clause? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Yes. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Allegations of civil conspiracy would violate the in terrorem clause? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Yes. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Allegations of fraudulent concealment would violate the in terrorem clause? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Yes. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Allegations of fraudulent concealment would violate the in terrorem clause? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Yes. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Would allegations with regard to the removal of or the liability of the beneficiaries violate the in terrorem clause? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. Yes. Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Would allegations with regard to the removal of or the liability of the beneficiaries? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 	 written in Exhibit 2 on page 11-2 A. Okay. Q of the restated trust. Allegations regarding breach of fiduciary duty? A. Yes. Q. Allegations regarding extrinsic fraud? A. Yes. Q. Allegations regarding constructive fraud? A. Yes. Q. Allegations regarding intentional infliction of emotional distress? A. Yes. Q. Allegations of money had and received? A. Yes. Q. Allegations of conversion? A. Uh-huh, yes. Q. She also alleges allegations of tortious interference with inheritance rights. Assuming that was even a valid claim, that would violate the in terrorem clause? Q. Seeking modifications of QBD would be a

26 (Pages 98 to 101)

	102		104
1	Q. Allegations of unjust enrichment would be a	1	Paragraph 4, page 23 of Exhibit 6 talks about claims
2	violation of the in terrorem clause?	2	entitlement to or an interest in any asset alleged by
3	A. Yes.	3	the trustee to belong to the estate.
4	Q. Allegations of conspiracy would be a violation	4	Let me move to No. 7: "In any other
5	of the in terrorem clause?	5	manner contest this Trust or any amendment to it
6	A. Yes.	6	executed by the trustor."
7	Q. Any sort of request for a declaratory judgment	7	Based on your understanding of the
8	related to the trust would be a violation of the	8	pleadings, as put forth by Carl Brunsting, has he
9	in terrorem clause?	9	violated the in terrorem clause as set forth in the
10	A. Yes.	10	August 2010 QBD?
11	Q. I want to talk about Exhibit 6, August 2016,	11	A. Yes.
12	QBD. Go up to like page 23. Okay.	12	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.
13	Now, this is a slightly different	13	Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Based on your understanding of
14	A. Yes.	14	the claims that have been alleged by Candy Curtis, has
15	Q in terrorem clause?	15	she violated the no contest, or the in terrorem,
16	A. Yes	16	provisions in the August 2010 QBD?
17	Q. And there's no language, as I understand it,	17	A. Yes.
18	with regard to the QBD, that overrides or supersedes the	18	Q. And it's also your understanding, with regard
19	in terrorem clause in the 2005 restated trust.	19	to the trust, that fees and expenses incurred by lawyers
20	Would you agree with that?	20	in the defense of the trustees and defense of the
21	MS. BAYLESS: I'm sorry. Ask your	21	trust there are provisions to provide for
22	question again.	22	compensation to the lawyers. Is that true?
23	Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Is there any language in the	23	MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form.
24	August 2010 QBD in terrorem provisions that overrides	24	A. That is true.
25	the in terrorem provisions that are expressed in the	25	MR. MENDEL: I'm going to pass the
	103		105
1	2005 Restated Trust?	1	witness.
2	2005 Restated Trust? A. Not that I'm aware of.	1 2 3	witness. MR. REED: My suggestion is we just take
	2005 Restated Trust?A. Not that I'm aware of.Q. I would bring to your attention on page 23 item	2	witness. MR. REED: My suggestion is we just take lunch.
2 3	2005 Restated Trust?A. Not that I'm aware of.Q. I would bring to your attention on page 23 itemNo. 1, that an unsuccessful challenge to the appointment	2 3	witness. MR. REED: My suggestion is we just take lunch. MR. MENDEL: Okay.
2 3 4	2005 Restated Trust?A. Not that I'm aware of.Q. I would bring to your attention on page 23 itemNo. 1, that an unsuccessful challenge to the appointment of a trustee or seeking to remove a trustee can be a	2 3 4	witness. MR. REED: My suggestion is we just take lunch. MR. MENDEL: Okay. MR. REED: Then whoever next can start up
2 3 4 5	 2005 Restated Trust? A. Not that I'm aware of. Q. I would bring to your attention on page 23 item No. 1, that an unsuccessful challenge to the appointment of a trustee or seeking to remove a trustee can be a violation of the QBD in terrorem clause? 	2 3 4 5	witness. MR. REED: My suggestion is we just take lunch. MR. MENDEL: Okay. MR. REED: Then whoever next can start up fresh.
2 3 4 5 6	 2005 Restated Trust? A. Not that I'm aware of. Q. I would bring to your attention on page 23 item No. 1, that an unsuccessful challenge to the appointment of a trustee or seeking to remove a trustee can be a violation of the QBD in terrorem clause? A. Yes, it would. 	2 3 4 5 6	witness. MR. REED: My suggestion is we just take lunch. MR. MENDEL: Okay. MR. REED: Then whoever next can start up fresh. (Luncheon recess.)
2 3 4 5 6 7	 2005 Restated Trust? A. Not that I'm aware of. Q. I would bring to your attention on page 23 item No. 1, that an unsuccessful challenge to the appointment of a trustee or seeking to remove a trustee can be a violation of the QBD in terrorem clause? 	2 3 4 5 6 7	witness. MR. REED: My suggestion is we just take lunch. MR. MENDEL: Okay. MR. REED: Then whoever next can start up fresh.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 2005 Restated Trust? A. Not that I'm aware of. Q. I would bring to your attention on page 23 item No. 1, that an unsuccessful challenge to the appointment of a trustee or seeking to remove a trustee can be a violation of the QBD in terrorem clause? A. Yes, it would. Q. And under paragraph 2, as long as the trustee 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	witness. MR. REED: My suggestion is we just take lunch. MR. MENDEL: Okay. MR. REED: Then whoever next can start up fresh. (Luncheon recess.) Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Ms. Freed, I wanted to get a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 2005 Restated Trust? A. Not that I'm aware of. Q. I would bring to your attention on page 23 item No. 1, that an unsuccessful challenge to the appointment of a trustee or seeking to remove a trustee can be a violation of the QBD in terrorem clause? A. Yes, it would. Q. And under paragraph 2, as long as the trustee acts in good faith, any sort of a challenge to the good 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	witness. MR. REED: My suggestion is we just take lunch. MR. MENDEL: Okay. MR. REED: Then whoever next can start up fresh. (Luncheon recess.) Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Ms. Freed, I wanted to get a clarification. On some of the questions that I asked, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 2005 Restated Trust? A. Not that I'm aware of. Q. I would bring to your attention on page 23 item No. 1, that an unsuccessful challenge to the appointment of a trustee or seeking to remove a trustee can be a violation of the QBD in terrorem clause? A. Yes, it would. Q. And under paragraph 2, as long as the trustee acts in good faith, any sort of a challenge to the good faith of a trustee can be a violation of the QBD 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	witness. MR. REED: My suggestion is we just take lunch. MR. MENDEL: Okay. MR. REED: Then whoever next can start up fresh. (Luncheon recess.) Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Ms. Freed, I wanted to get a clarification. On some of the questions that I asked, I think I phrased it in such a way as did Nelva Brunsting
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 2005 Restated Trust? A. Not that I'm aware of. Q. I would bring to your attention on page 23 item No. 1, that an unsuccessful challenge to the appointment of a trustee or seeking to remove a trustee can be a violation of the QBD in terrorem clause? A. Yes, it would. Q. And under paragraph 2, as long as the trustee acts in good faith, any sort of a challenge to the good faith of a trustee can be a violation of the QBD in terrorem clause? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	witness. MR. REED: My suggestion is we just take lunch. MR. MENDEL: Okay. MR. REED: Then whoever next can start up fresh. (Luncheon recess.) Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Ms. Freed, I wanted to get a clarification. On some of the questions that I asked, I think I phrased it in such a way as did Nelva Brunsting understand.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 2005 Restated Trust? A. Not that I'm aware of. Q. I would bring to your attention on page 23 item No. 1, that an unsuccessful challenge to the appointment of a trustee or seeking to remove a trustee can be a violation of the QBD in terrorem clause? A. Yes, it would. Q. And under paragraph 2, as long as the trustee acts in good faith, any sort of a challenge to the good faith of a trustee can be a violation of the QBD in terrorem clause? A. That's correct. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	witness. MR. REED: My suggestion is we just take lunch. MR. MENDEL: Okay. MR. REED: Then whoever next can start up fresh. (Luncheon recess.) Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Ms. Freed, I wanted to get a clarification. On some of the questions that I asked, I think I phrased it in such a way as did Nelva Brunsting understand. So short of her actually stating to you,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 2005 Restated Trust? A. Not that I'm aware of. Q. I would bring to your attention on page 23 item No. 1, that an unsuccessful challenge to the appointment of a trustee or seeking to remove a trustee can be a violation of the QBD in terrorem clause? A. Yes, it would. Q. And under paragraph 2, as long as the trustee acts in good faith, any sort of a challenge to the good faith of a trustee can be a violation of the QBD in terrorem clause? A. That's correct. Q. And then in paragraph 3, there is an objection 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	witness. MR. REED: My suggestion is we just take lunch. MR. MENDEL: Okay. MR. REED: Then whoever next can start up fresh. (Luncheon recess.) Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Ms. Freed, I wanted to get a clarification. On some of the questions that I asked, I think I phrased it in such a way as did Nelva Brunsting understand. So short of her actually stating to you, yes, I understand, what I ultimately intend is, based on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 2005 Restated Trust? A. Not that I'm aware of. Q. I would bring to your attention on page 23 item No. 1, that an unsuccessful challenge to the appointment of a trustee or seeking to remove a trustee can be a violation of the QBD in terrorem clause? A. Yes, it would. Q. And under paragraph 2, as long as the trustee acts in good faith, any sort of a challenge to the good faith of a trustee can be a violation of the QBD in terrorem clause? A. That's correct. Q. And then in paragraph 3, there is an objection to any construction or interpretation of this trust agreement or any amendment that is adopted or proposed in good faith by the trustee would be a violation of the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	witness. MR. REED: My suggestion is we just take lunch. MR. MENDEL: Okay. MR. REED: Then whoever next can start up fresh. (Luncheon recess.) Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Ms. Freed, I wanted to get a clarification. On some of the questions that I asked, I think I phrased it in such a way as did Nelva Brunsting understand. So short of her actually stating to you, yes, I understand, what I ultimately intend is, based on your interaction and based on your dealings with her, did you believe that she understood the nature of the discussions that you were having?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 2005 Restated Trust? A. Not that I'm aware of. Q. I would bring to your attention on page 23 item No. 1, that an unsuccessful challenge to the appointment of a trustee or seeking to remove a trustee can be a violation of the QBD in terrorem clause? A. Yes, it would. Q. And under paragraph 2, as long as the trustee acts in good faith, any sort of a challenge to the good faith of a trustee can be a violation of the QBD in terrorem clause? A. That's correct. Q. And then in paragraph 3, there is an objection to any construction or interpretation of this trust agreement or any amendment that is adopted or proposed in good faith by the trustee would be a violation of the in terrorem clause? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 witness. MR. REED: My suggestion is we just take lunch. MR. MENDEL: Okay. MR. REED: Then whoever next can start up fresh. (Luncheon recess.) Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Ms. Freed, I wanted to get a clarification. On some of the questions that I asked, I think I phrased it in such a way as did Nelva Brunsting understand. So short of her actually stating to you, yes, I understand, what I ultimately intend is, based on your interaction and based on your dealings with her, did you believe that she understood the nature of the discussions that you were having? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 2005 Restated Trust? A. Not that I'm aware of. Q. I would bring to your attention on page 23 item No. 1, that an unsuccessful challenge to the appointment of a trustee or seeking to remove a trustee can be a violation of the QBD in terrorem clause? A. Yes, it would. Q. And under paragraph 2, as long as the trustee acts in good faith, any sort of a challenge to the good faith of a trustee can be a violation of the QBD in terrorem clause? A. That's correct. Q. And then in paragraph 3, there is an objection to any construction or interpretation of this trust agreement or any amendment that is adopted or proposed in good faith by the trustee would be a violation of the in terrorem clause? A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 witness. MR. REED: My suggestion is we just take lunch. MR. MENDEL: Okay. MR. REED: Then whoever next can start up fresh. (Luncheon recess.) Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Ms. Freed, I wanted to get a clarification. On some of the questions that I asked, I think I phrased it in such a way as did Nelva Brunsting understand. So short of her actually stating to you, yes, I understand, what I ultimately intend is, based on your interaction and based on your dealings with her, did you believe that she understood the nature of the discussions that you were having? A. Yes. Q. That's all I've got.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 2005 Restated Trust? A. Not that I'm aware of. Q. I would bring to your attention on page 23 item No. 1, that an unsuccessful challenge to the appointment of a trustee or seeking to remove a trustee can be a violation of the QBD in terrorem clause? A. Yes, it would. Q. And under paragraph 2, as long as the trustee acts in good faith, any sort of a challenge to the good faith of a trustee can be a violation of the QBD in terrorem clause? A. That's correct. Q. And then in paragraph 3, there is an objection to any construction or interpretation of this trust agreement or any amendment that is adopted or proposed in good faith by the trustee would be a violation of the in terrorem clause? A. Yes. Q. And you would agree, would you not or if you 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 witness. MR. REED: My suggestion is we just take lunch. MR. MENDEL: Okay. MR. REED: Then whoever next can start up fresh. (Luncheon recess.) Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Ms. Freed, I wanted to get a clarification. On some of the questions that I asked, I think I phrased it in such a way as did Nelva Brunsting understand. So short of her actually stating to you, yes, I understand, what I ultimately intend is, based on your interaction and based on your dealings with her, did you believe that she understood the nature of the discussions that you were having? A. Yes. Q. That's all I've got. MR. MENDEL: Carole, she said it would be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 2005 Restated Trust? A. Not that I'm aware of. Q. I would bring to your attention on page 23 item No. 1, that an unsuccessful challenge to the appointment of a trustee or seeking to remove a trustee can be a violation of the QBD in terrorem clause? A. Yes, it would. Q. And under paragraph 2, as long as the trustee acts in good faith, any sort of a challenge to the good faith of a trustee can be a violation of the QBD in terrorem clause? A. That's correct. Q. And then in paragraph 3, there is an objection to any construction or interpretation of this trust agreement or any amendment that is adopted or proposed in good faith by the trustee would be a violation of the in terrorem clause? A. Yes. Q. And you would agree, would you not or if you disagree, tell me actions for declaratory judgment 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 witness. MR. REED: My suggestion is we just take lunch. MR. MENDEL: Okay. MR. REED: Then whoever next can start up fresh. (Luncheon recess.) Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Ms. Freed, I wanted to get a clarification. On some of the questions that I asked, I think I phrased it in such a way as did Nelva Brunsting understand. So short of her actually stating to you, yes, I understand, what I ultimately intend is, based on your interaction and based on your dealings with her, did you believe that she understood the nature of the discussions that you were having? A. Yes. Q. That's all I've got. MR. MENDEL: Carole, she said it would be better if we switched. So do you want to come sit over
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 2005 Restated Trust? A. Not that I'm aware of. Q. I would bring to your attention on page 23 item No. 1, that an unsuccessful challenge to the appointment of a trustee or seeking to remove a trustee can be a violation of the QBD in terrorem clause? A. Yes, it would. Q. And under paragraph 2, as long as the trustee acts in good faith, any sort of a challenge to the good faith of a trustee can be a violation of the QBD in terrorem clause? A. That's correct. Q. And then in paragraph 3, there is an objection to any construction or interpretation of this trust agreement or any amendment that is adopted or proposed in good faith by the trustee would be a violation of the in terrorem clause? A. Yes. Q. And you would agree, would you not or if you disagree, tell me actions for declaratory judgment seeking construction or construing the trust could be a 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 witness. MR. REED: My suggestion is we just take lunch. MR. MENDEL: Okay. MR. REED: Then whoever next can start up fresh. (Luncheon recess.) Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Ms. Freed, I wanted to get a clarification. On some of the questions that I asked, I think I phrased it in such a way as did Nelva Brunsting understand. So short of her actually stating to you, yes, I understand, what I ultimately intend is, based on your interaction and based on your dealings with her, did you believe that she understood the nature of the discussions that you were having? A. Yes. Q. That's all I've got. MR. MENDEL: Carole, she said it would be better if we switched. So do you want to come sit over here?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 2005 Restated Trust? A. Not that I'm aware of. Q. I would bring to your attention on page 23 item No. 1, that an unsuccessful challenge to the appointment of a trustee or seeking to remove a trustee can be a violation of the QBD in terrorem clause? A. Yes, it would. Q. And under paragraph 2, as long as the trustee acts in good faith, any sort of a challenge to the good faith of a trustee can be a violation of the QBD in terrorem clause? A. That's correct. Q. And then in paragraph 3, there is an objection to any construction or interpretation of this trust agreement or any amendment that is adopted or proposed in good faith by the trustee would be a violation of the in terrorem clause? A. Yes. Q. And you would agree, would you not or if you disagree, tell me actions for declaratory judgment seeking construction or construing the trust could be a violation of paragraph 3? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 witness. MR. REED: My suggestion is we just take lunch. MR. MENDEL: Okay. MR. REED: Then whoever next can start up fresh. (Luncheon recess.) Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Ms. Freed, I wanted to get a clarification. On some of the questions that I asked, I think I phrased it in such a way as did Nelva Brunsting understand. So short of her actually stating to you, yes, I understand, what I ultimately intend is, based on your interaction and based on your dealings with her, did you believe that she understood the nature of the discussions that you were having? A. Yes. Q. That's all I've got. MR. MENDEL: Carole, she said it would be better if we switched. So do you want to come sit over here? MS. CAROLE BRUNSTING: And I apologize in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 2005 Restated Trust? A. Not that I'm aware of. Q. I would bring to your attention on page 23 item No. 1, that an unsuccessful challenge to the appointment of a trustee or seeking to remove a trustee can be a violation of the QBD in terrorem clause? A. Yes, it would. Q. And under paragraph 2, as long as the trustee acts in good faith, any sort of a challenge to the good faith of a trustee can be a violation of the QBD in terrorem clause? A. That's correct. Q. And then in paragraph 3, there is an objection to any construction or interpretation of this trust agreement or any amendment that is adopted or proposed in good faith by the trustee would be a violation of the interrorem clause? A. Yes. Q. And you would agree, would you not or if you disagree, tell me actions for declaratory judgment seeking construction or construing the trust could be a violation of paragraph 3? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 witness. MR. REED: My suggestion is we just take lunch. MR. MENDEL: Okay. MR. REED: Then whoever next can start up fresh. (Luncheon recess.) Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Ms. Freed, I wanted to get a clarification. On some of the questions that I asked, I think I phrased it in such a way as did Nelva Brunsting understand. So short of her actually stating to you, yes, I understand, what I ultimately intend is, based on your interaction and based on your dealings with her, did you believe that she understood the nature of the discussions that you were having? A. Yes. Q. That's all I've got. MR. MENDEL: Carole, she said it would be better if we switched. So do you want to come sit over here? MS. CAROLE BRUNSTING: And I apologize in advance because I'm pro se. If I tend to ramble, I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 2005 Restated Trust? A. Not that I'm aware of. Q. I would bring to your attention on page 23 item No. 1, that an unsuccessful challenge to the appointment of a trustee or seeking to remove a trustee can be a violation of the QBD in terrorem clause? A. Yes, it would. Q. And under paragraph 2, as long as the trustee acts in good faith, any sort of a challenge to the good faith of a trustee can be a violation of the QBD in terrorem clause? A. That's correct. Q. And then in paragraph 3, there is an objection to any construction or interpretation of this trust agreement or any amendment that is adopted or proposed in good faith by the trustee would be a violation of the in terrorem clause? A. Yes. Q. And you would agree, would you not or if you disagree, tell me actions for declaratory judgment seeking construction or construing the trust could be a violation of paragraph 3? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. A. It could be. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 witness. MR. REED: My suggestion is we just take lunch. MR. MENDEL: Okay. MR. REED: Then whoever next can start up fresh. (Luncheon recess.) Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Ms. Freed, I wanted to get a clarification. On some of the questions that I asked, I think I phrased it in such a way as did Nelva Brunsting understand. So short of her actually stating to you, yes, I understand, what I ultimately intend is, based on your interaction and based on your dealings with her, did you believe that she understood the nature of the discussions that you were having? A. Yes. Q. That's all I've got. MR. MENDEL: Carole, she said it would be better if we switched. So do you want to come sit over here? MS. CAROLE BRUNSTING: And I apologize in advance because I'm pro se. If I tend to ramble, I'm trying to keep that I'll try not to, but I can't make
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 2005 Restated Trust? A. Not that I'm aware of. Q. I would bring to your attention on page 23 item No. 1, that an unsuccessful challenge to the appointment of a trustee or seeking to remove a trustee can be a violation of the QBD in terrorem clause? A. Yes, it would. Q. And under paragraph 2, as long as the trustee acts in good faith, any sort of a challenge to the good faith of a trustee can be a violation of the QBD in terrorem clause? A. That's correct. Q. And then in paragraph 3, there is an objection to any construction or interpretation of this trust agreement or any amendment that is adopted or proposed in good faith by the trustee would be a violation of the interrorem clause? A. Yes. Q. And you would agree, would you not or if you disagree, tell me actions for declaratory judgment seeking construction or construing the trust could be a violation of paragraph 3? MS. BAYLESS: Objection, form. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 witness. MR. REED: My suggestion is we just take lunch. MR. MENDEL: Okay. MR. REED: Then whoever next can start up fresh. (Luncheon recess.) Q. (By Mr. Mendel) Ms. Freed, I wanted to get a clarification. On some of the questions that I asked, I think I phrased it in such a way as did Nelva Brunsting understand. So short of her actually stating to you, yes, I understand, what I ultimately intend is, based on your interaction and based on your dealings with her, did you believe that she understood the nature of the discussions that you were having? A. Yes. Q. That's all I've got. MR. MENDEL: Carole, she said it would be better if we switched. So do you want to come sit over here? MS. CAROLE BRUNSTING: And I apologize in advance because I'm pro se. If I tend to ramble, I'm

27 (Pages 102 to 105)

	106		108
1	MS. BAYLESS: Speak up.	A. Yeah. My firm. jus	t for clarification, doesn't
2	EXAMINATION		just make recommendations to
3	QUESTIONS BY MS. CAROLE BRUNSTING:		ounts they should set up, and
4	Q. Just based on a couple of questions I had	then it's up to them to do i	
5	coming in here and then some of the things that we	-	et it up, then; because like
6	talked about this morning, there's just a couple of	I said, all we did was go to	
7	just a few questions that I would like to ask.	and that was it.	
8	The checking account that was set up for	Do you recall when	n you explained or
9	my mother once she stopped writing checks off of the	reached out to me to explain	
10	trust account, how did that come about?		lvement and how I was going
11	A. How did	to be involved with this acco	
12	Q. Who made that decision that it would be a good	A. I do not.	
13	idea to set up that checking account for my mother so	Q. Okay. Because it wa	as a conversation, I mean,
14	all the household expenses would go through that and she	where I was told about this a	
15	would no longer be writing checks out of the trust?	tended to never be involved	with anything having to do
16	A. As I recall, it was my recommendation that a	with money with this family	, I declined getting involved
17	checking account be set up so that your mom could still	with it in the beginning. An	d I told my mother that I
18	write checks to go get her hair done, to church, all	didn't think it was a very goo	od idea.
19	those things that she normally does, to pay her medical	After a week or so	, she called me and
20	bills because it was my understanding that you were the	Anita called me as well ar	nd said, Everything's going
21	agent under medical power of attorney. So you would	to be fine. It's all there we	on't be any problems.
22	accompany her to the doctor and you were here in Houston	And, yet, I'm being	sued over this.
23	and that needed to have something proper to do that.	So I just wanted to	kind of clarify how
24	Q. And then why was it set up as a right of	the decision of where the	recommendation came to set
25	survivor account? Who made that decision?	up this checking account.	
	107		109
1	A. It should have been a convenience account, was	A. The recommendat	ion, as I recall, was a
2	A. It should have been a convenience account, was the recommendation, with a payable-on-death to the	convenience account in N	ion, as I recall, was a lelva's name, with you as a
2 3	A. It should have been a convenience account, was the recommendation, with a payable-on-death to the trust.	convenience account in N convenience signer, with	ion, as I recall, was a lelva's name, with you as a a payable-on-death to the
2 3 4	A. It should have been a convenience account, was the recommendation, with a payable-on-death to the trust. Q. Okay.	convenience account in N convenience signer, with trust. That is our usual r	ion, as I recall, was a lelva's name, with you as a a payable-on-death to the recommendation.
2 3 4 5	 A. It should have been a convenience account, was the recommendation, with a payable-on-death to the trust. Q. Okay. A. That was the recommendation. 	convenience account in N convenience signer, with trust. That is our usual n Q. Well and the bala	ion, as I recall, was a lelva's name, with you as a a payable-on-death to the recommendation. nce of it did go back to
2 3 4 5 6	 A. It should have been a convenience account, was the recommendation, with a payable-on-death to the trust. Q. Okay. A. That was the recommendation. Q. Somehow it was set up as a right of survivor 	convenience account in N convenience signer, with trust. That is our usual n Q. Well and the bala the trust. I did not keep it.	ion, as I recall, was a lelva's name, with you as a a payable-on-death to the recommendation. nce of it did go back to
2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. It should have been a convenience account, was the recommendation, with a payable-on-death to the trust. Q. Okay. A. That was the recommendation. Q. Somehow it was set up as a right of survivor account. 	convenience account in N convenience signer, with trust. That is our usual r Q. Well and the bala the trust. I did not keep it. You mentioned	ion, as I recall, was a lelva's name, with you as a a payable-on-death to the recommendation. nce of it did go back to I think we talked about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. It should have been a convenience account, was the recommendation, with a payable-on-death to the trust. Q. Okay. A. That was the recommendation. Q. Somehow it was set up as a right of survivor account. A. In who? 	convenience account in N convenience signer, with trust. That is our usual n Q. Well and the bala the trust. I did not keep it. You mentioned once my mother passed av	ion, as I recall, was a lelva's name, with you as a a payable-on-death to the recommendation. nce of it did go back to I think we talked about way, that they had Amy and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. It should have been a convenience account, was the recommendation, with a payable-on-death to the trust. Q. Okay. A. That was the recommendation. Q. Somehow it was set up as a right of survivor account. A. In who? Q. When I closed it out at the bank and asked for 	convenience account in N convenience signer, with trust. That is our usual n Q. Well and the bala the trust. I did not keep it. You mentioned once my mother passed aw Anita had 15 months to set	ion, as I recall, was a lelva's name, with you as a a payable-on-death to the recommendation. nce of it did go back to I think we talked about way, that they had Amy and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. It should have been a convenience account, was the recommendation, with a payable-on-death to the trust. Q. Okay. A. That was the recommendation. Q. Somehow it was set up as a right of survivor account. A. In who? Q. When I closed it out at the bank and asked for the final check, she said, This is a right-of-survivor 	convenience account in N convenience signer, with trust. That is our usual n Q. Well and the bala the trust. I did not keep it. You mentioned once my mother passed av Anita had 15 months to see and things like that.	ion, as I recall, was a lelva's name, with you as a a payable-on-death to the recommendation. nce of it did go back to I think we talked about vay, that they had Amy and ttle the trust and the assets
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. It should have been a convenience account, was the recommendation, with a payable-on-death to the trust. Q. Okay. A. That was the recommendation. Q. Somehow it was set up as a right of survivor account. A. In who? Q. When I closed it out at the bank and asked for the final check, she said, This is a right-of-survivor account. You don't have to give this money back. 	 convenience account in N convenience signer, with trust. That is our usual n Q. Well and the bala the trust. I did not keep it. You mentioned once my mother passed aw Anita had 15 months to set and things like that. A. No. I don't believed 	ion, as I recall, was a lelva's name, with you as a a payable-on-death to the recommendation. nce of it did go back to I think we talked about way, that they had Amy and ttle the trust and the assets e that's what I said. I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. It should have been a convenience account, was the recommendation, with a payable-on-death to the trust. Q. Okay. A. That was the recommendation. Q. Somehow it was set up as a right of survivor account. A. In who? Q. When I closed it out at the bank and asked for the final check, she said, This is a right-of-survivor account. You don't have to give this money back. I mean, I did; but I had nothing to do 	 convenience account in N convenience signer, with trust. That is our usual n Q. Well and the bala the trust. I did not keep it. You mentioned once my mother passed av Anita had 15 months to set and things like that. A. No. I don't believe said that's a reasonable to 	ion, as I recall, was a lelva's name, with you as a a payable-on-death to the recommendation. nce of it did go back to I think we talked about way, that they had Amy and ttle the trust and the assets e that's what I said. I ime.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. It should have been a convenience account, was the recommendation, with a payable-on-death to the trust. Q. Okay. A. That was the recommendation. Q. Somehow it was set up as a right of survivor account. A. In who? Q. When I closed it out at the bank and asked for the final check, she said, This is a right-of-survivor account. You don't have to give this money back. I mean, I did; but I had nothing to do with I just took my mother to the bank and we signed 	 convenience account in N convenience signer, with trust. That is our usual n Q. Well and the bala the trust. I did not keep it. You mentioned once my mother passed av Anita had 15 months to see and things like that. A. No. I don't believe said that's a reasonable t Q. Oh, okay. Okay. E 	ion, as I recall, was a lelva's name, with you as a a payable-on-death to the recommendation. nce of it did go back to I think we talked about way, that they had Amy and ttle the trust and the assets e that's what I said. I ime. But within the first
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. It should have been a convenience account, was the recommendation, with a payable-on-death to the trust. Q. Okay. A. That was the recommendation. Q. Somehow it was set up as a right of survivor account. A. In who? Q. When I closed it out at the bank and asked for the final check, she said, This is a right-of-survivor account. I mean, I did; but I had nothing to do with I just took my mother to the bank and we signed some paperwork and that was my entire involvement in all 	 convenience account in N convenience signer, with trust. That is our usual n Q. Well and the bala the trust. I did not keep it. You mentioned once my mother passed av Anita had 15 months to set and things like that. A. No. I don't believe said that's a reasonable t Q. Oh, okay. Okay. E within a month of my moth 	ion, as I recall, was a lelva's name, with you as a a payable-on-death to the recommendation. nce of it did go back to I think we talked about way, that they had Amy and ttle the trust and the assets e that's what I said. I ime. But within the first ner passing away, they put the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. It should have been a convenience account, was the recommendation, with a payable-on-death to the trust. Q. Okay. A. That was the recommendation. Q. Somehow it was set up as a right of survivor account. A. In who? Q. When I closed it out at the bank and asked for the final check, she said, This is a right-of-survivor account. I mean, I did; but I had nothing to do with I just took my mother to the bank and we signed some paperwork and that was my entire involvement in all of this. 	 convenience account in N convenience signer, with trust. That is our usual n Q. Well and the bala the trust. I did not keep it. You mentioned once my mother passed av Anita had 15 months to set and things like that. A. No. I don't believe said that's a reasonable t Q. Oh, okay. Okay. E within a month of my moth house on the market. I wa 	ion, as I recall, was a lelva's name, with you as a a payable-on-death to the recommendation. nce of it did go back to I think we talked about way, that they had Amy and ttle the trust and the assets e that's what I said. I ime. But within the first ner passing away, they put the s wondering where that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. It should have been a convenience account, was the recommendation, with a payable-on-death to the trust. Q. Okay. A. That was the recommendation. Q. Somehow it was set up as a right of survivor account. A. In who? Q. When I closed it out at the bank and asked for the final check, she said, This is a right-of-survivor account. You don't have to give this money back. I mean, I did; but I had nothing to do with I just took my mother to the bank and we signed some paperwork and that was my entire involvement in all of this. A. I don't know how it ended up as a right-of- 	 convenience account in N convenience signer, with trust. That is our usual n Q. Well and the bala the trust. I did not keep it. You mentioned once my mother passed av Anita had 15 months to set and things like that. A. No. I don't believe said that's a reasonable t Q. Oh, okay. Okay. F within a month of my moth house on the market. I wa direction came from becau 	ion, as I recall, was a lelva's name, with you as a a payable-on-death to the recommendation. nce of it did go back to I think we talked about way, that they had Amy and ttle the trust and the assets e that's what I said. I ime. But within the first ner passing away, they put the s wondering where that use I was trying to convey to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. It should have been a convenience account, was the recommendation, with a payable-on-death to the trust. Q. Okay. A. That was the recommendation. Q. Somehow it was set up as a right of survivor account. A. In who? Q. When I closed it out at the bank and asked for the final check, she said, This is a right-of-survivor account. You don't have to give this money back. I mean, I did; but I had nothing to do with I just took my mother to the bank and we signed some paperwork and that was my entire involvement in all of this. A. I don't know how it ended up as a right-of-survivorship account. 	 convenience account in N convenience signer, with trust. That is our usual n Q. Well and the bala the trust. I did not keep it. You mentioned once my mother passed av Anita had 15 months to set and things like that. A. No. I don't believe said that's a reasonable t Q. Oh, okay. Okay. H within a month of my moth house on the market. I wa direction came from becau both of them that we really 	ion, as I recall, was a lelva's name, with you as a a payable-on-death to the recommendation. nce of it did go back to I think we talked about way, that they had Amy and ttle the trust and the assets e that's what I said. I ime. But within the first ner passing away, they put the s wondering where that use I was trying to convey to y needed to have a discussion
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. It should have been a convenience account, was the recommendation, with a payable-on-death to the trust. Q. Okay. A. That was the recommendation. Q. Somehow it was set up as a right of survivor account. A. In who? Q. When I closed it out at the bank and asked for the final check, she said, This is a right-of-survivor account. You don't have to give this money back. I mean, I did; but I had nothing to do with I just took my mother to the bank and we signed some paperwork and that was my entire involvement in all of this. A. I don't know how it ended up as a right-of-survivorship account. Q. I didn't have anything to do with setting the 	 convenience account in N convenience signer, with trust. That is our usual n Q. Well and the bala the trust. I did not keep it. You mentioned once my mother passed aw Anita had 15 months to set and things like that. A. No. I don't believe said that's a reasonable t Q. Oh, okay. Okay. F within a month of my moth house on the market. I wa direction came from becau both of them that we really 	ion, as I recall, was a lelva's name, with you as a a payable-on-death to the recommendation. nce of it did go back to I think we talked about vay, that they had Amy and ttle the trust and the assets e that's what I said. I ime. But within the first ner passing away, they put the s wondering where that use I was trying to convey to y needed to have a discussion igure out if maybe one of us
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. It should have been a convenience account, was the recommendation, with a payable-on-death to the trust. Q. Okay. A. That was the recommendation. Q. Somehow it was set up as a right of survivor account. A. In who? Q. When I closed it out at the bank and asked for the final check, she said, This is a right-of-survivor account. You don't have to give this money back. I mean, I did; but I had nothing to do with I just took my mother to the bank and we signed some paperwork and that was my entire involvement in all of this. A. I don't know how it ended up as a right-of-survivorship account. Q. I didn't have anything to do with setting the account up. That was all done, I'm assuming, by your 	 convenience account in N convenience signer, with trust. That is our usual n Q. Well and the bala the trust. I did not keep it. You mentioned once my mother passed av Anita had 15 months to set and things like that. A. No. I don't believe said that's a reasonable t Q. Oh, okay. Okay. F within a month of my moth house on the market. I wa direction came from becau both of them that we really amongst the five of us to f wanted the house in lieu of 	ion, as I recall, was a lelva's name, with you as a a payable-on-death to the recommendation. Ince of it did go back to I think we talked about way, that they had Amy and ttle the trust and the assets e that's what I said. I ime. But within the first her passing away, they put the s wondering where that ise I was trying to convey to y needed to have a discussion igure out if maybe one of us f something else because at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. It should have been a convenience account, was the recommendation, with a payable-on-death to the trust. Q. Okay. A. That was the recommendation. Q. Somehow it was set up as a right of survivor account. A. In who? Q. When I closed it out at the bank and asked for the final check, she said, This is a right-of-survivor account. You don't have to give this money back. I mean, I did; but I had nothing to do with I just took my mother to the bank and we signed some paperwork and that was my entire involvement in all of this. A. I don't know how it ended up as a right-of-survivorship account. Q. I didn't have anything to do with setting the account up. That was all done, I'm assuming, by your firm. 	 convenience account in N convenience signer, with trust. That is our usual n Q. Well and the bala the trust. I did not keep it. You mentioned once my mother passed av Anita had 15 months to set and things like that. A. No. I don't believe said that's a reasonable t Q. Oh, okay. Okay. E within a month of my moth house on the market. I was direction came from becau both of them that we really amongst the five of us to f wanted the house in lieu of that point no one was suing 	ion, as I recall, was a lelva's name, with you as a a payable-on-death to the recommendation. Ince of it did go back to I think we talked about way, that they had Amy and ttle the trust and the assets e that's what I said. I ime. But within the first ner passing away, they put the s wondering where that use I was trying to convey to y needed to have a discussion igure out if maybe one of us f something else because at g anybody.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. It should have been a convenience account, was the recommendation, with a payable-on-death to the trust. Q. Okay. A. That was the recommendation. Q. Somehow it was set up as a right of survivor account. A. In who? Q. When I closed it out at the bank and asked for the final check, she said, This is a right-of-survivor account. You don't have to give this money back. I mean, I did; but I had nothing to do with I just took my mother to the bank and we signed some paperwork and that was my entire involvement in all of this. A. I don't know how it ended up as a right-of-survivorship account. Q. I didn't have anything to do with setting the account up. That was all done, I'm assuming, by your firm. A. No. 	 convenience account in N convenience signer, with trust. That is our usual n Q. Well and the bala the trust. I did not keep it. You mentioned once my mother passed aw Anita had 15 months to set and things like that. A. No. I don't believe said that's a reasonable t Q. Oh, okay. Okay. H within a month of my moth house on the market. I was direction came from becaus both of them that we really amongst the five of us to f wanted the house in lieu of that was a dis 	ion, as I recall, was a lelva's name, with you as a a payable-on-death to the recommendation. Ince of it did go back to I think we talked about way, that they had Amy and ttle the trust and the assets e that's what I said. I ime. But within the first ner passing away, they put the s wondering where that ise I was trying to convey to y needed to have a discussion igure out if maybe one of us f something else because at g anybody. scussion that they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. It should have been a convenience account, was the recommendation, with a payable-on-death to the trust. Q. Okay. A. That was the recommendation. Q. Somehow it was set up as a right of survivor account. A. In who? Q. When I closed it out at the bank and asked for the final check, she said, This is a right-of-survivor account. You don't have to give this money back. I mean, I did; but I had nothing to do with I just took my mother to the bank and we signed some paperwork and that was my entire involvement in all of this. A. I don't know how it ended up as a right-of-survivorship account. Q. I didn't have anything to do with setting the account up. That was all done, I'm assuming, by your firm. A. No. Q. I just drove my mother to the bank, and she 	 convenience account in N convenience signer, with trust. That is our usual n Q. Well and the bala the trust. I did not keep it. You mentioned once my mother passed av Anita had 15 months to set and things like that. A. No. I don't believe said that's a reasonable t Q. Oh, okay. Okay. E within a month of my moth house on the market. I wa direction came from becau both of them that we really amongst the five of us to f wanted the house in lieu of that point no one was suing But that was a dis 	ion, as I recall, was a lelva's name, with you as a a payable-on-death to the recommendation. Ince of it did go back to I think we talked about way, that they had Amy and ttle the trust and the assets e that's what I said. I ime. But within the first ner passing away, they put the s wondering where that use I was trying to convey to y needed to have a discussion igure out if maybe one of us f something else because at g anybody. scussion that they I work 2 miles from that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. It should have been a convenience account, was the recommendation, with a payable-on-death to the trust. Q. Okay. A. That was the recommendation. Q. Somehow it was set up as a right of survivor account. A. In who? Q. When I closed it out at the bank and asked for the final check, she said, This is a right-of-survivor account. You don't have to give this money back. I mean, I did; but I had nothing to do with I just took my mother to the bank and we signed some paperwork and that was my entire involvement in all of this. A. I don't know how it ended up as a right-of-survivorship account. Q. I didn't have anything to do with setting the account up. That was all done, I'm assuming, by your firm. A. No. Q. I just drove my mother to the bank, and she signed actually I think they had to go out to the car 	 convenience account in N convenience signer, with trust. That is our usual n Q. Well and the bala the trust. I did not keep it. You mentioned once my mother passed av Anita had 15 months to set and things like that. A. No. I don't believe said that's a reasonable t Q. Oh, okay. Okay. F within a month of my moth house on the market. I was direction came from becaus both of them that we really amongst the five of us to f wanted the house in lieu of that point no one was suing But that was a dis refused to have. Because house, and it would have b 	ion, as I recall, was a lelva's name, with you as a a payable-on-death to the recommendation. nce of it did go back to I think we talked about way, that they had Amy and ttle the trust and the assets e that's what I said. I ime. But within the first ner passing away, they put the s wondering where that use I was trying to convey to y needed to have a discussion igure out if maybe one of us f something else because at g anybody. scussion that they I work 2 miles from that ween so convenient for me, had
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. It should have been a convenience account, was the recommendation, with a payable-on-death to the trust. Q. Okay. A. That was the recommendation. Q. Somehow it was set up as a right of survivor account. A. In who? Q. When I closed it out at the bank and asked for the final check, she said, This is a right-of-survivor account. You don't have to give this money back. I mean, I did; but I had nothing to do with I just took my mother to the bank and we signed some paperwork and that was my entire involvement in all of this. A. I don't know how it ended up as a right-of-survivorship account. Q. I didn't have anything to do with setting the account up. That was all done, I'm assuming, by your firm. A. No. Q. I just drove my mother to the bank, and she 	 convenience account in N convenience signer, with trust. That is our usual n Q. Well and the bala the trust. I did not keep it. You mentioned once my mother passed aw Anita had 15 months to set and things like that. A. No. I don't believe said that's a reasonable t Q. Oh, okay. Okay. E within a month of my moth house on the market. I wa direction came from becau both of them that we really amongst the five of us to f wanted the house in lieu of that point no one was suing But that was a dis refused to have. Because house, and it would have to I given up maybe part of n 	ion, as I recall, was a lelva's name, with you as a a payable-on-death to the recommendation. nce of it did go back to I think we talked about way, that they had Amy and ttle the trust and the assets e that's what I said. I ime. But within the first ner passing away, they put the s wondering where that use I was trying to convey to y needed to have a discussion igure out if maybe one of us f something else because at g anybody. scussion that they I work 2 miles from that ween so convenient for me, had

28 (Pages 106 to 109)

	110		112
1	put the house on the market and sold it.	1	mother knew her at the time, and she made a full
2	So that direction wouldn't have come from	2	recovery in six months.
3	you, then, to liquidate assets just as quickly as	3	I knew that Anita was extremely concerned
4	possible?	4	at that point about the trust and what was going to
5	A. I don't recall making any kind of	5	happen because my brother was sick and then my mother
6	recommendation as to liquidation of assets.	6	was sick. But the only thing I heard my mother talk
7	Q. The other note I made was I wasn't involved	7	about was the possibility of my mother maybe setting up
8	with anything having to do with the trust. I never had	8	a medical trust for my brother, and maybe she would be
9	any fiduciary responsibilities to the trust because I	9	the trustee until my brother recovered.
10	was never that wasn't my role. So I never got	10	So then when I saw where Anita was
11	involved with the finances of what was in the trust and	11	starting to make a lot of phone calls and things like
12	what was in the various accounts and things like that.	12	that I had lunch with my mother pretty much on a
13	But as we went into 2011 and I wasn't	13	regular basis because I only worked a few miles down the
14	aware of the gifting, necessarily the gifting going on	14	road, and I went over there most every day for lunch.
15	and things like that. But I remember Anita telling me	15	I just don't ever recall her having this
16	that my mother's side was running out of money. So I	16	sense of that my brother was going to that he was
17	had to reduce the salaries of the caregivers because	17	in any danger of passing away.
18	my mother had 24-hour caregivers. Then I picked up the	18	And just knowing my mother, my mother
19	Sundays where I was working for free just to help reduce	19	hated paperwork or making more of it. So I just
20	cost.	20	couldn't see where she would just jump into the trust
21	I guess that was a concern, that I was	21	and want to make so many changes. So I did have a
22	then when I found out about some of the gifting that	22	concern.
23	took place, could she not have converted some of the	23	And because Anita was so concerned about
24	stock into cash so that my mother could have continued	24	things with Carl and his life, red flags kept going up
25	to have more cash in the account, or she wasn't allowed	25	for me. So that's why I guess I was seeing some of the
	111		113
1		1	-
1 2	to sell the stock at that time?	1 2	other side of things that were going on that you may not
	to sell the stock at that time? A. There's no prohibition to selling stock, but I	1	other side of things that were going on that you may not have known anything about.
2	to sell the stock at that time?A. There's no prohibition to selling stock, but I was not involved with those decisions	2	other side of things that were going on that you may not have known anything about. And my mother tended to be somebody that
2 3	 to sell the stock at that time? A. There's no prohibition to selling stock, but I was not involved with those decisions Q. Oh, okay. 	2 3	other side of things that were going on that you may not have known anything about. And my mother tended to be somebody that hated conflict, and so she a lot of times would just
2 3 4	 to sell the stock at that time? A. There's no prohibition to selling stock, but I was not involved with those decisions Q. Oh, okay. A so I do not know. I can't speak to it. 	2 3 4	other side of things that were going on that you may not have known anything about. And my mother tended to be somebody that hated conflict, and so she a lot of times would just agree just to shut people up and try to go along with
2 3 4 5	 to sell the stock at that time? A. There's no prohibition to selling stock, but I was not involved with those decisions Q. Oh, okay. A so I do not know. I can't speak to it. Q. Because early on I had a lot of concerns 	2 3 4 5	other side of things that were going on that you may not have known anything about. And my mother tended to be somebody that hated conflict, and so she a lot of times would just agree just to shut people up and try to go along with things.
2 3 4 5 6	 to sell the stock at that time? A. There's no prohibition to selling stock, but I was not involved with those decisions Q. Oh, okay. A so I do not know. I can't speak to it. Q. Because early on I had a lot of concerns because when all of this happened my brother got sick 	2 3 4 5 6	other side of things that were going on that you may not have known anything about. And my mother tended to be somebody that hated conflict, and so she a lot of times would just agree just to shut people up and try to go along with things. But when it came to the gift that I got,
2 3 4 5 6 7	 to sell the stock at that time? A. There's no prohibition to selling stock, but I was not involved with those decisions Q. Oh, okay. A so I do not know. I can't speak to it. Q. Because early on I had a lot of concerns because when all of this happened my brother got sick and my mother got a cancer diagnosis almost the same 	2 3 4 5 6 7	other side of things that were going on that you may not have known anything about. And my mother tended to be somebody that hated conflict, and so she a lot of times would just agree just to shut people up and try to go along with things. But when it came to the gift that I got, it was something my mother spoke to me about because at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 to sell the stock at that time? A. There's no prohibition to selling stock, but I was not involved with those decisions Q. Oh, okay. A so I do not know. I can't speak to it. Q. Because early on I had a lot of concerns because when all of this happened my brother got sick and my mother got a cancer diagnosis almost the same week my mother was really focused on her the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	other side of things that were going on that you may not have known anything about. And my mother tended to be somebody that hated conflict, and so she a lot of times would just agree just to shut people up and try to go along with things. But when it came to the gift that I got, it was something my mother spoke to me about because at the time we were thinking that there was a possibility
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 to sell the stock at that time? A. There's no prohibition to selling stock, but I was not involved with those decisions Q. Oh, okay. A so I do not know. I can't speak to it. Q. Because early on I had a lot of concerns because when all of this happened my brother got sick and my mother got a cancer diagnosis almost the same week my mother was really focused on her the diagnosis of cancer. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	other side of things that were going on that you may not have known anything about. And my mother tended to be somebody that hated conflict, and so she a lot of times would just agree just to shut people up and try to go along with things. But when it came to the gift that I got, it was something my mother spoke to me about because at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 to sell the stock at that time? A. There's no prohibition to selling stock, but I was not involved with those decisions Q. Oh, okay. A so I do not know. I can't speak to it. Q. Because early on I had a lot of concerns because when all of this happened my brother got sick and my mother got a cancer diagnosis almost the same week my mother was really focused on her the diagnosis of cancer. When Carl got ill, my mother went to go 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	other side of things that were going on that you may not have known anything about. And my mother tended to be somebody that hated conflict, and so she a lot of times would just agree just to shut people up and try to go along with things. But when it came to the gift that I got, it was something my mother spoke to me about because at the time we were thinking that there was a possibility that my brother may come to live with me. So we thought we needed to make the house ready for a wheelchair,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 to sell the stock at that time? A. There's no prohibition to selling stock, but I was not involved with those decisions Q. Oh, okay. A so I do not know. I can't speak to it. Q. Because early on I had a lot of concerns because when all of this happened my brother got sick and my mother got a cancer diagnosis almost the same week my mother was really focused on her the diagnosis of cancer. When Carl got ill, my mother went to go see him. We all went to go see him in the hospital, and 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	other side of things that were going on that you may not have known anything about. And my mother tended to be somebody that hated conflict, and so she a lot of times would just agree just to shut people up and try to go along with things. But when it came to the gift that I got, it was something my mother spoke to me about because at the time we were thinking that there was a possibility that my brother may come to live with me. So we thought we needed to make the house ready for a wheelchair, things like that. What my mother talked about was just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 to sell the stock at that time? A. There's no prohibition to selling stock, but I was not involved with those decisions Q. Oh, okay. A so I do not know. I can't speak to it. Q. Because early on I had a lot of concerns because when all of this happened my brother got sick and my mother got a cancer diagnosis almost the same week my mother was really focused on her the diagnosis of cancer. When Carl got ill, my mother went to go 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	other side of things that were going on that you may not have known anything about. And my mother tended to be somebody that hated conflict, and so she a lot of times would just agree just to shut people up and try to go along with things. But when it came to the gift that I got, it was something my mother spoke to me about because at the time we were thinking that there was a possibility that my brother may come to live with me. So we thought we needed to make the house ready for a wheelchair,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 to sell the stock at that time? A. There's no prohibition to selling stock, but I was not involved with those decisions Q. Oh, okay. A so I do not know. I can't speak to it. Q. Because early on I had a lot of concerns because when all of this happened my brother got sick and my mother got a cancer diagnosis almost the same week my mother was really focused on her the diagnosis of cancer. When Carl got ill, my mother went to go see him. We all went to go see him in the hospital, and it appeared that he was going to recover just fine. They thought it was a stroke at the time. Unfortunately 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	other side of things that were going on that you may not have known anything about. And my mother tended to be somebody that hated conflict, and so she a lot of times would just agree just to shut people up and try to go along with things. But when it came to the gift that I got, it was something my mother spoke to me about because at the time we were thinking that there was a possibility that my brother may come to live with me. So we thought we needed to make the house ready for a wheelchair, things like that. What my mother talked about was just give me enough cash to where I could just make that happen quickly if the need arose.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 to sell the stock at that time? A. There's no prohibition to selling stock, but I was not involved with those decisions Q. Oh, okay. A so I do not know. I can't speak to it. Q. Because early on I had a lot of concerns because when all of this happened my brother got sick and my mother got a cancer diagnosis almost the same week my mother was really focused on her the diagnosis of cancer. When Carl got ill, my mother went to go see him. We all went to go see him in the hospital, and it appeared that he was going to recover just fine. They thought it was a stroke at the time. Unfortunately it did turn into encephalitis. But I just don't ever 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	other side of things that were going on that you may not have known anything about. And my mother tended to be somebody that hated conflict, and so she a lot of times would just agree just to shut people up and try to go along with things. But when it came to the gift that I got, it was something my mother spoke to me about because at the time we were thinking that there was a possibility that my brother may come to live with me. So we thought we needed to make the house ready for a wheelchair, things like that. What my mother talked about was just give me enough cash to where I could just make that happen quickly if the need arose. Well, time went by and Anita called me and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 to sell the stock at that time? A. There's no prohibition to selling stock, but I was not involved with those decisions Q. Oh, okay. A so I do not know. I can't speak to it. Q. Because early on I had a lot of concerns because when all of this happened my brother got sick and my mother got a cancer diagnosis almost the same week my mother was really focused on her the diagnosis of cancer. When Carl got ill, my mother went to go see him. We all went to go see him in the hospital, and it appeared that he was going to recover just fine. They thought it was a stroke at the time. Unfortunately it did turn into encephalitis. But I just don't ever recall my mother ever expressing concern that my brother 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	other side of things that were going on that you may not have known anything about. And my mother tended to be somebody that hated conflict, and so she a lot of times would just agree just to shut people up and try to go along with things. But when it came to the gift that I got, it was something my mother spoke to me about because at the time we were thinking that there was a possibility that my brother may come to live with me. So we thought we needed to make the house ready for a wheelchair, things like that. What my mother talked about was just give me enough cash to where I could just make that happen quickly if the need arose.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 to sell the stock at that time? A. There's no prohibition to selling stock, but I was not involved with those decisions Q. Oh, okay. A so I do not know. I can't speak to it. Q. Because early on I had a lot of concerns because when all of this happened my brother got sick and my mother got a cancer diagnosis almost the same week my mother was really focused on her the diagnosis of cancer. When Carl got ill, my mother went to go see him. We all went to go see him in the hospital, and it appeared that he was going to recover just fine. They thought it was a stroke at the time. Unfortunately it did turn into encephalitis. But I just don't ever 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	other side of things that were going on that you may not have known anything about. And my mother tended to be somebody that hated conflict, and so she a lot of times would just agree just to shut people up and try to go along with things. But when it came to the gift that I got, it was something my mother spoke to me about because at the time we were thinking that there was a possibility that my brother may come to live with me. So we thought we needed to make the house ready for a wheelchair, things like that. What my mother talked about was just give me enough cash to where I could just make that happen quickly if the need arose. Well, time went by and Anita called me and said that my mother was ready to make this gift. I was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 to sell the stock at that time? A. There's no prohibition to selling stock, but I was not involved with those decisions Q. Oh, okay. A so I do not know. I can't speak to it. Q. Because early on I had a lot of concerns because when all of this happened my brother got sick and my mother got a cancer diagnosis almost the same week my mother was really focused on her the diagnosis of cancer. When Carl got ill, my mother went to go see him. We all went to go see him in the hospital, and it appeared that he was going to recover just fine. They thought it was a stroke at the time. Unfortunately it did turn into encephalitis. But I just don't ever recall my mother ever expressing concern that my brother was in any danger of passing away. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	other side of things that were going on that you may not have known anything about. And my mother tended to be somebody that hated conflict, and so she a lot of times would just agree just to shut people up and try to go along with things. But when it came to the gift that I got, it was something my mother spoke to me about because at the time we were thinking that there was a possibility that my brother may come to live with me. So we thought we needed to make the house ready for a wheelchair, things like that. What my mother talked about was just give me enough cash to where I could just make that happen quickly if the need arose. Well, time went by and Anita called me and said that my mother was ready to make this gift. I was like okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 to sell the stock at that time? A. There's no prohibition to selling stock, but I was not involved with those decisions Q. Oh, okay. A so I do not know. I can't speak to it. Q. Because early on I had a lot of concerns because when all of this happened my brother got sick and my mother got a cancer diagnosis almost the same week my mother was really focused on her the diagnosis of cancer. When Carl got ill, my mother went to go see him. We all went to go see him in the hospital, and it appeared that he was going to recover just fine. They thought it was a stroke at the time. Unfortunately it did turn into encephalitis. But I just don't ever recall my mother ever expressing concern that my brother was in any danger of passing away. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	other side of things that were going on that you may not have known anything about. And my mother tended to be somebody that hated conflict, and so she a lot of times would just agree just to shut people up and try to go along with things. But when it came to the gift that I got, it was something my mother spoke to me about because at the time we were thinking that there was a possibility that my brother may come to live with me. So we thought we needed to make the house ready for a wheelchair, things like that. What my mother talked about was just give me enough cash to where I could just make that happen quickly if the need arose. Well, time went by and Anita called me and said that my mother was ready to make this gift. I was like okay. But I told her, I said, I need to make
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 to sell the stock at that time? A. There's no prohibition to selling stock, but I was not involved with those decisions Q. Oh, okay. A so I do not know. I can't speak to it. Q. Because early on I had a lot of concerns because when all of this happened my brother got sick and my mother got a cancer diagnosis almost the same week my mother was really focused on her the diagnosis of cancer. When Carl got ill, my mother went to go see him. We all went to go see him in the hospital, and it appeared that he was going to recover just fine. They thought it was a stroke at the time. Unfortunately it did turn into encephalitis. But I just don't ever recall my mother ever expressing concern that my brother was in any danger of passing away. I think there was maybe a 24-hour period where, because they didn't know what it was and things 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	other side of things that were going on that you may not have known anything about. And my mother tended to be somebody that hated conflict, and so she a lot of times would just agree just to shut people up and try to go along with things. But when it came to the gift that I got, it was something my mother spoke to me about because at the time we were thinking that there was a possibility that my brother may come to live with me. So we thought we needed to make the house ready for a wheelchair, things like that. What my mother talked about was just give me enough cash to where I could just make that happen quickly if the need arose. Well, time went by and Anita called me and said that my mother was ready to make this gift. I was like okay. But I told her, I said, I need to make sure every I is dotted, every T is crossed because I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 to sell the stock at that time? A. There's no prohibition to selling stock, but I was not involved with those decisions Q. Oh, okay. A so I do not know. I can't speak to it. Q. Because early on I had a lot of concerns because when all of this happened my brother got sick and my mother got a cancer diagnosis almost the same week my mother was really focused on her the diagnosis of cancer. When Carl got ill, my mother went to go see him. We all went to go see him in the hospital, and it appeared that he was going to recover just fine. They thought it was a stroke at the time. Unfortunately it did turn into encephalitis. But I just don't ever recall my mother ever expressing concern that my brother was in any danger of passing away. I think there was maybe a 24-hour period where, because they didn't know what it was and things like that, we thought that he could be in danger. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	other side of things that were going on that you may not have known anything about. And my mother tended to be somebody that hated conflict, and so she a lot of times would just agree just to shut people up and try to go along with things. But when it came to the gift that I got, it was something my mother spoke to me about because at the time we were thinking that there was a possibility that my brother may come to live with me. So we thought we needed to make the house ready for a wheelchair, things like that. What my mother talked about was just give me enough cash to where I could just make that happen quickly if the need arose. Well, time went by and Anita called me and said that my mother was ready to make this gift. I was like okay. But I told her, I said, I need to make sure every I is dotted, every T is crossed because I never want anybody to think that I got this under some
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 to sell the stock at that time? A. There's no prohibition to selling stock, but I was not involved with those decisions Q. Oh, okay. A so I do not know. I can't speak to it. Q. Because early on I had a lot of concerns because when all of this happened my brother got sick and my mother got a cancer diagnosis almost the same week my mother was really focused on her the diagnosis of cancer. When Carl got ill, my mother went to go see him. We all went to go see him in the hospital, and it appeared that he was going to recover just fine. They thought it was a stroke at the time. Unfortunately it did turn into encephalitis. But I just don't ever recall my mother ever expressing concern that my brother was in any danger of passing away. I think there was maybe a 24-hour period where, because they didn't know what it was and things like that, we thought that he could be in danger. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	other side of things that were going on that you may not have known anything about. And my mother tended to be somebody that hated conflict, and so she a lot of times would just agree just to shut people up and try to go along with things. But when it came to the gift that I got, it was something my mother spoke to me about because at the time we were thinking that there was a possibility that my brother may come to live with me. So we thought we needed to make the house ready for a wheelchair, things like that. What my mother talked about was just give me enough cash to where I could just make that happen quickly if the need arose. Well, time went by and Anita called me and said that my mother was ready to make this gift. I was like okay. But I told her, I said, I need to make sure every I is dotted, every T is crossed because I never want anybody to think that I got this under some kind of suspicious circumstances. I knew that at the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 to sell the stock at that time? A. There's no prohibition to selling stock, but I was not involved with those decisions Q. Oh, okay. A so I do not know. I can't speak to it. Q. Because early on I had a lot of concerns because when all of this happened my brother got sick and my mother got a cancer diagnosis almost the same week my mother was really focused on her the diagnosis of cancer. When Carl got ill, my mother went to go see him. We all went to go see him in the hospital, and it appeared that he was going to recover just fine. They thought it was a stroke at the time. Unfortunately it did turn into encephalitis. But I just don't ever recall my mother ever expressing concern that my brother was in any danger of passing away. I think there was maybe a 24-hour period where, because they didn't know what it was and things like that, we thought that he could be in danger. Because they hadn't diagnosed it at the time, so they didn't know what they were treating. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	other side of things that were going on that you may not have known anything about. And my mother tended to be somebody that hated conflict, and so she a lot of times would just agree just to shut people up and try to go along with things. But when it came to the gift that I got, it was something my mother spoke to me about because at the time we were thinking that there was a possibility that my brother may come to live with me. So we thought we needed to make the house ready for a wheelchair, things like that. What my mother talked about was just give me enough cash to where I could just make that happen quickly if the need arose. Well, time went by and Anita called me and said that my mother was ready to make this gift. I was like okay. But I told her, I said, I need to make sure every I is dotted, every T is crossed because I never want anybody to think that I got this under some kind of suspicious circumstances. I knew that at the time Anita seemed to be shopping for answers between you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 to sell the stock at that time? A. There's no prohibition to selling stock, but I was not involved with those decisions Q. Oh, okay. A so I do not know. I can't speak to it. Q. Because early on I had a lot of concerns because when all of this happened my brother got sick and my mother got a cancer diagnosis almost the same week my mother was really focused on her the diagnosis of cancer. When Carl got ill, my mother went to go see him. We all went to go see him in the hospital, and it appeared that he was going to recover just fine. They thought it was a stroke at the time. Unfortunately it did turn into encephalitis. But I just don't ever recall my mother ever expressing concern that my brother was in any danger of passing away. I. Think there was maybe a 24-hour period where, because they didn't know what it was and things like that, we thought that he could be in danger. Because they hadn't diagnosed it at the time, so they didn't know what they were treating. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	other side of things that were going on that you may not have known anything about. And my mother tended to be somebody that hated conflict, and so she a lot of times would just agree just to shut people up and try to go along with things. But when it came to the gift that I got, it was something my mother spoke to me about because at the time we were thinking that there was a possibility that my brother may come to live with me. So we thought we needed to make the house ready for a wheelchair, things like that. What my mother talked about was just give me enough cash to where I could just make that happen quickly if the need arose. Well, time went by and Anita called me and said that my mother was ready to make this gift. I was like okay. But I told her, I said, I need to make sure every I is dotted, every T is crossed because I never want anybody to think that I got this under some kind of suspicious circumstances. I knew that at the time Anita seemed to be shopping for answers between you and our cousin in Iowa to try and find out, is this

29 (Pages 110 to 113)

	114		116
1	a hundred percent for sure that what money I get, that	1	successful; and I don't really know what to do.
2	there's something in writing where my mother has said, I	2	A. Are you asking me a question?
3	am giving this to Carole for this intended purpose; and	3	MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form.
4	it's notarized and everything is fine. I said, I need	4	Q. (By Ms. Carole Brunsting) Well, I don't know.
5	to know that because I know how this family can be.	5	I've gotten five different answers.
6	She said, No, no, no, it's all taken care	6	MR. REED: What I would say is I don't
7	of. It's all fine, it's all fine.	7	think she can give you any advice on that
8	But our mother didn't have to say in	8	MS. CAROLE BRUNSTING: Oh, okay.
9	writing that she was okay with these gifts?	9	MR. REED: in this context. So I guess
10	MR. REED: Objection, form.	10	that would be the answer to what you're looking for.
11	MR. SPIELMAN: Form.	11	Q. (By Ms. Carole Brunsting) Well, anyway, but I
12	A. There is no requirement in the trust that	12	guess it was the two conversations that I had regarding
13	requires her to do that.	13	money with Anita of please do not get me involved with
14	Q. (By Ms. Carole Brunsting) Because then what I	14	something that is going to get me in trouble with the
15	found out also, that it came out of the decedent's	15	rest of the family.
16	trust I remember staying with my father because at	16	Because I never asked, What is everybody
17	the time, for some reason I remember that they would go	17	else getting? I never asked that, because it was none
18	to meetings with Vacek in Clear Lake City. I think that	18	of my business.
19	it was a different person, before you. I know that the	19	But the two things I got involved with I'm
20	person wasn't at the office where you were.	20	being sued for. So that's where I kind of thought that
21	My father was explaining to me that the	21	there would be something in writing saying that this
22	way he set it up was the decedent's trust, no one could	22	checking account that my mother set up would be this
23	take that money unless my mother ran out of money,	23	is how it came about or this was the intended purpose of
24	because should my mother marry again, he wanted to make	24	this gift. And then when it didn't happen, I never
25	sure that the farm was protected for his children and	25	spent it.
	115		117
1	115 that you couldn't pull assets out of there. And I	1	117 I just don't know what to do with this.
1 2		1 2	
	that you couldn't pull assets out of there. And I	1	I just don't know what to do with this.
2 3 4	that you couldn't pull assets out of there. And I remember my father clearly explaining all that to me. So when I found out that, number one, this wasn't cash; but, number two, it was stock out of my	2 3 4	I just don't know what to do with this. But also, too, it sounds like now there is nothing in writing or maybe it was never required that my mother I thought she had to fill something out or agree to make
2 3 4 5	that you couldn't pull assets out of there. And I remember my father clearly explaining all that to me. So when I found out that, number one, this wasn't cash; but, number two, it was stock out of my father's side, and I questioned it, and like, No, no.	2 3 4 5	I just don't know what to do with this. But also, too, it sounds like now there is nothing in writing or maybe it was never required that my mother I thought she had to fill something out or agree to make a distribution like that because she was no longer the
2 3 4 5 6	that you couldn't pull assets out of there. And I remember my father clearly explaining all that to me. So when I found out that, number one, this wasn't cash; but, number two, it was stock out of my father's side, and I questioned it, and like, No, no. That's fine, it's fine.	2 3 4 5 6	I just don't know what to do with this. But also, too, it sounds like now there is nothing in writing or maybe it was never required that my mother I thought she had to fill something out or agree to make a distribution like that because she was no longer the trustee. It was Anita that was. So I just assumed that
2 3 4 5 6 7	that you couldn't pull assets out of there. And I remember my father clearly explaining all that to me. So when I found out that, number one, this wasn't cash; but, number two, it was stock out of my father's side, and I questioned it, and like, No, no. That's fine, it's fine. The problem I was having with it	2 3 4 5 6 7	I just don't know what to do with this. But also, too, it sounds like now there is nothing in writing or maybe it was never required that my mother I thought she had to fill something out or agree to make a distribution like that because she was no longer the trustee. It was Anita that was. So I just assumed that my mother had to sign something and notarize it so I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that you couldn't pull assets out of there. And I remember my father clearly explaining all that to me. So when I found out that, number one, this wasn't cash; but, number two, it was stock out of my father's side, and I questioned it, and like, No, no. That's fine, it's fine. The problem I was having with it because I'm an accountant and I do a little bit is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	I just don't know what to do with this. But also, too, it sounds like now there is nothing in writing or maybe it was never required that my mother I thought she had to fill something out or agree to make a distribution like that because she was no longer the trustee. It was Anita that was. So I just assumed that my mother had to sign something and notarize it so I could show everybody that this is what she wanted. So I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that you couldn't pull assets out of there. And I remember my father clearly explaining all that to me. So when I found out that, number one, this wasn't cash; but, number two, it was stock out of my father's side, and I questioned it, and like, No, no. That's fine, it's fine. The problem I was having with it because I'm an accountant and I do a little bit is this stock generates dividends. So, number one, I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I just don't know what to do with this. But also, too, it sounds like now there is nothing in writing or maybe it was never required that my mother I thought she had to fill something out or agree to make a distribution like that because she was no longer the trustee. It was Anita that was. So I just assumed that my mother had to sign something and notarize it so I could show everybody that this is what she wanted. So I never had anything to back it up. So everybody decided
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that you couldn't pull assets out of there. And I remember my father clearly explaining all that to me. So when I found out that, number one, this wasn't cash; but, number two, it was stock out of my father's side, and I questioned it, and like, No, no. That's fine, it's fine. The problem I was having with it because I'm an accountant and I do a little bit is this stock generates dividends. So, number one, I thought it would be foolish to cash it. But, number	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	I just don't know what to do with this. But also, too, it sounds like now there is nothing in writing or maybe it was never required that my mother I thought she had to fill something out or agree to make a distribution like that because she was no longer the trustee. It was Anita that was. So I just assumed that my mother had to sign something and notarize it so I could show everybody that this is what she wanted. So I never had anything to back it up. So everybody decided that I was being bought off, and that's where it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that you couldn't pull assets out of there. And I remember my father clearly explaining all that to me. So when I found out that, number one, this wasn't cash; but, number two, it was stock out of my father's side, and I questioned it, and like, No, no. That's fine, it's fine. The problem I was having with it because I'm an accountant and I do a little bit is this stock generates dividends. So, number one, I thought it would be foolish to cash it. But, number two, I didn't want a tax hit. And, number three, at the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	I just don't know what to do with this. But also, too, it sounds like now there is nothing in writing or maybe it was never required that my mother I thought she had to fill something out or agree to make a distribution like that because she was no longer the trustee. It was Anita that was. So I just assumed that my mother had to sign something and notarize it so I could show everybody that this is what she wanted. So I never had anything to back it up. So everybody decided that I was being bought off, and that's where it couldn't be further from the truth.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	that you couldn't pull assets out of there. And I remember my father clearly explaining all that to me. So when I found out that, number one, this wasn't cash; but, number two, it was stock out of my father's side, and I questioned it, and like, No, no. That's fine, it's fine. The problem I was having with it because I'm an accountant and I do a little bit is this stock generates dividends. So, number one, I thought it would be foolish to cash it. But, number two, I didn't want a tax hit. And, number three, at the time, enough time had passed, and it wasn't given to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	I just don't know what to do with this. But also, too, it sounds like now there is nothing in writing or maybe it was never required that my mother I thought she had to fill something out or agree to make a distribution like that because she was no longer the trustee. It was Anita that was. So I just assumed that my mother had to sign something and notarize it so I could show everybody that this is what she wanted. So I never had anything to back it up. So everybody decided that I was being bought off, and that's where it couldn't be further from the truth. MR. REED: Form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that you couldn't pull assets out of there. And I remember my father clearly explaining all that to me. So when I found out that, number one, this wasn't cash; but, number two, it was stock out of my father's side, and I questioned it, and like, No, no. That's fine, it's fine. The problem I was having with it because I'm an accountant and I do a little bit is this stock generates dividends. So, number one, I thought it would be foolish to cash it. But, number two, I didn't want a tax hit. And, number three, at the time, enough time had passed, and it wasn't given to me I mean, the reason it was being given to me was no	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I just don't know what to do with this. But also, too, it sounds like now there is nothing in writing or maybe it was never required that my mother I thought she had to fill something out or agree to make a distribution like that because she was no longer the trustee. It was Anita that was. So I just assumed that my mother had to sign something and notarize it so I could show everybody that this is what she wanted. So I never had anything to back it up. So everybody decided that I was being bought off, and that's where it couldn't be further from the truth. MR. REED: Form. Q. (By Ms. Carole Brunsting) Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that you couldn't pull assets out of there. And I remember my father clearly explaining all that to me. So when I found out that, number one, this wasn't cash; but, number two, it was stock out of my father's side, and I questioned it, and like, No, no. That's fine, it's fine. The problem I was having with it because I'm an accountant and I do a little bit is this stock generates dividends. So, number one, I thought it would be foolish to cash it. But, number two, I didn't want a tax hit. And, number three, at the time, enough time had passed, and it wasn't given to me I mean, the reason it was being given to me was no longer for its intended purpose. So I never felt right	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	I just don't know what to do with this. But also, too, it sounds like now there is nothing in writing or maybe it was never required that my mother I thought she had to fill something out or agree to make a distribution like that because she was no longer the trustee. It was Anita that was. So I just assumed that my mother had to sign something and notarize it so I could show everybody that this is what she wanted. So I never had anything to back it up. So everybody decided that I was being bought off, and that's where it couldn't be further from the truth. MR. REED: Form. Q. (By Ms. Carole Brunsting) Okay. MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that you couldn't pull assets out of there. And I remember my father clearly explaining all that to me. So when I found out that, number one, this wasn't cash; but, number two, it was stock out of my father's side, and I questioned it, and like, No, no. That's fine, it's fine. The problem I was having with it because I'm an accountant and I do a little bit is this stock generates dividends. So, number one, I thought it would be foolish to cash it. But, number two, I didn't want a tax hit. And, number three, at the time, enough time had passed, and it wasn't given to me I mean, the reason it was being given to me was no longer for its intended purpose. So I never felt right about accepting it because I no longer needed to modify	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	I just don't know what to do with this. But also, too, it sounds like now there is nothing in writing or maybe it was never required that my mother I thought she had to fill something out or agree to make a distribution like that because she was no longer the trustee. It was Anita that was. So I just assumed that my mother had to sign something and notarize it so I could show everybody that this is what she wanted. So I never had anything to back it up. So everybody decided that I was being bought off, and that's where it couldn't be further from the truth. MR. REED: Form. Q. (By Ms. Carole Brunsting) Okay. MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. MS. CAROLE BRUNSTING: I'm pro se, so this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that you couldn't pull assets out of there. And I remember my father clearly explaining all that to me. So when I found out that, number one, this wasn't cash; but, number two, it was stock out of my father's side, and I questioned it, and like, No, no. That's fine, it's fine. The problem I was having with it because I'm an accountant and I do a little bit is this stock generates dividends. So, number one, I thought it would be foolish to cash it. But, number two, I didn't want a tax hit. And, number three, at the time, enough time had passed, and it wasn't given to me I mean, the reason it was being given to me was no longer for its intended purpose. So I never felt right about accepting it because I no longer needed to modify my house.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I just don't know what to do with this. But also, too, it sounds like now there is nothing in writing or maybe it was never required that my mother I thought she had to fill something out or agree to make a distribution like that because she was no longer the trustee. It was Anita that was. So I just assumed that my mother had to sign something and notarize it so I could show everybody that this is what she wanted. So I never had anything to back it up. So everybody decided that I was being bought off, and that's where it couldn't be further from the truth. MR. REED: Form. Q. (By Ms. Carole Brunsting) Okay. MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. MS. CAROLE BRUNSTING: I'm pro se, so this is what you get.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that you couldn't pull assets out of there. And I remember my father clearly explaining all that to me. So when I found out that, number one, this wasn't cash; but, number two, it was stock out of my father's side, and I questioned it, and like, No, no. That's fine, it's fine. The problem I was having with it because I'm an accountant and I do a little bit is this stock generates dividends. So, number one, I thought it would be foolish to cash it. But, number two, I didn't want a tax hit. And, number three, at the time, enough time had passed, and it wasn't given to me I mean, the reason it was being given to me was no longer for its intended purpose. So I never felt right about accepting it because I no longer needed to modify my house. So I kind of just ended up with it, and I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I just don't know what to do with this. But also, too, it sounds like now there is nothing in writing or maybe it was never required that my mother I thought she had to fill something out or agree to make a distribution like that because she was no longer the trustee. It was Anita that was. So I just assumed that my mother had to sign something and notarize it so I could show everybody that this is what she wanted. So I never had anything to back it up. So everybody decided that I was being bought off, and that's where it couldn't be further from the truth. MR. REED: Form. Q. (By Ms. Carole Brunsting) Okay. MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. MS. CAROLE BRUNSTING: I'm pro se, so this is what you get. MR. SPIELMAN: Move on.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 that you couldn't pull assets out of there. And I remember my father clearly explaining all that to me. So when I found out that, number one, this wasn't cash; but, number two, it was stock out of my father's side, and I questioned it, and like, No, no. That's fine, it's fine. The problem I was having with it because I'm an accountant and I do a little bit is this stock generates dividends. So, number one, I thought it would be foolish to cash it. But, number two, I didn't want a tax hit. And, number three, at the time, enough time had passed, and it wasn't given to me I mean, the reason it was being given to me was no longer for its intended purpose. So I never felt right about accepting it because I no longer needed to modify my house. So I kind of just ended up with it, and I still have it all. I have never cashed it in, ever. I 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I just don't know what to do with this. But also, too, it sounds like now there is nothing in writing or maybe it was never required that my mother I thought she had to fill something out or agree to make a distribution like that because she was no longer the trustee. It was Anita that was. So I just assumed that my mother had to sign something and notarize it so I could show everybody that this is what she wanted. So I never had anything to back it up. So everybody decided that I was being bought off, and that's where it couldn't be further from the truth. MR. REED: Form. Q. (By Ms. Carole Brunsting) Okay. MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. MS. CAROLE BRUNSTING: I'm pro se, so this is what you get. MR. SPIELMAN: Move on. Q. (By Ms. Carole Brunsting) Oh, the other thing,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 that you couldn't pull assets out of there. And I remember my father clearly explaining all that to me. So when I found out that, number one, this wasn't cash; but, number two, it was stock out of my father's side, and I questioned it, and like, No, no. That's fine, it's fine. The problem I was having with it because I'm an accountant and I do a little bit is this stock generates dividends. So, number one, I thought it would be foolish to cash it. But, number two, I didn't want a tax hit. And, number three, at the time, enough time had passed, and it wasn't given to me I mean, the reason it was being given to me was no longer for its intended purpose. So I never felt right about accepting it because I no longer needed to modify my house. So I kind of just ended up with it, and I still have it all. I have never cashed it in, ever. I kept trying to give it back, but I was told, No, no. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	I just don't know what to do with this. But also, too, it sounds like now there is nothing in writing or maybe it was never required that my mother I thought she had to fill something out or agree to make a distribution like that because she was no longer the trustee. It was Anita that was. So I just assumed that my mother had to sign something and notarize it so I could show everybody that this is what she wanted. So I never had anything to back it up. So everybody decided that I was being bought off, and that's where it couldn't be further from the truth. MR. REED: Form. Q. (By Ms. Carole Brunsting) Okay. MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. MS. CAROLE BRUNSTING: I'm pro se, so this is what you get. MR. SPIELMAN: Move on. Q. (By Ms. Carole Brunsting) Oh, the other thing, too, that was kind of a bit of a red flag when some of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that you couldn't pull assets out of there. And I remember my father clearly explaining all that to me. So when I found out that, number one, this wasn't cash; but, number two, it was stock out of my father's side, and I questioned it, and like, No, no. That's fine, it's fine. The problem I was having with it because I'm an accountant and I do a little bit is this stock generates dividends. So, number one, I thought it would be foolish to cash it. But, number two, I didn't want a tax hit. And, number three, at the time, enough time had passed, and it wasn't given to me I mean, the reason it was being given to me was no longer for its intended purpose. So I never felt right about accepting it because I no longer needed to modify my house. So I kind of just ended up with it, and I still have it all. I have never cashed it in, ever. I kept trying to give it back, but I was told, No, no. Just hang on to it, hang on to it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I just don't know what to do with this. But also, too, it sounds like now there is nothing in writing or maybe it was never required that my mother I thought she had to fill something out or agree to make a distribution like that because she was no longer the trustee. It was Anita that was. So I just assumed that my mother had to sign something and notarize it so I could show everybody that this is what she wanted. So I never had anything to back it up. So everybody decided that I was being bought off, and that's where it couldn't be further from the truth. MR. REED: Form. Q. (By Ms. Carole Brunsting) Okay. MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. MS. CAROLE BRUNSTING: I'm pro se, so this is what you get. MR. SPIELMAN: Move on. Q. (By Ms. Carole Brunsting) Oh, the other thing, too, that was kind of a bit of a red flag when some of these changes took place was, when my mother would talk
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 that you couldn't pull assets out of there. And I remember my father clearly explaining all that to me. So when I found out that, number one, this wasn't cash; but, number two, it was stock out of my father's side, and I questioned it, and like, No, no. That's fine, it's fine. The problem I was having with it because I'm an accountant and I do a little bit is this stock generates dividends. So, number one, I thought it would be foolish to cash it. But, number two, I didn't want a tax hit. And, number three, at the time, enough time had passed, and it wasn't given to me I mean, the reason it was being given to me was no longer for its intended purpose. So I never felt right about accepting it because I no longer needed to modify my house. So I kind of just ended up with it, and I still have it all. I have never cashed it in, ever. I kept trying to give it back, but I was told, No, no. Just hang on to it, hang on to it. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I just don't know what to do with this. But also, too, it sounds like now there is nothing in writing or maybe it was never required that my mother I thought she had to fill something out or agree to make a distribution like that because she was no longer the trustee. It was Anita that was. So I just assumed that my mother had to sign something and notarize it so I could show everybody that this is what she wanted. So I never had anything to back it up. So everybody decided that I was being bought off, and that's where it couldn't be further from the truth. MR. REED: Form. Q. (By Ms. Carole Brunsting) Okay. MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. MS. CAROLE BRUNSTING: I'm pro se, so this is what you get. MR. SPIELMAN: Move on. Q. (By Ms. Carole Brunsting) Oh, the other thing, too, that was kind of a bit of a red flag when some of these changes took place was, when my mother would talk about the trust, she would say, I don't care what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 that you couldn't pull assets out of there. And I remember my father clearly explaining all that to me. So when I found out that, number one, this wasn't cash; but, number two, it was stock out of my father's side, and I questioned it, and like, No, no. That's fine, it's fine. The problem I was having with it because I'm an accountant and I do a little bit is this stock generates dividends. So, number one, I thought it would be foolish to cash it. But, number two, I didn't want a tax hit. And, number three, at the time, enough time had passed, and it wasn't given to me I mean, the reason it was being given to me was no longer for its intended purpose. So I never felt right about accepting it because I no longer needed to modify my house. So I kind of just ended up with it, and I still have it all. I have never cashed it in, ever. I kept trying to give it back, but I was told, No, no. Just hang on to it, hang on to it. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I just don't know what to do with this. But also, too, it sounds like now there is nothing in writing or maybe it was never required that my mother I thought she had to fill something out or agree to make a distribution like that because she was no longer the trustee. It was Anita that was. So I just assumed that my mother had to sign something and notarize it so I could show everybody that this is what she wanted. So I never had anything to back it up. So everybody decided that I was being bought off, and that's where it couldn't be further from the truth. MR. REED: Form. Q. (By Ms. Carole Brunsting) Okay. MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. MS. CAROLE BRUNSTING: I'm pro se, so this is what you get. MR. SPIELMAN: Move on. Q. (By Ms. Carole Brunsting) Oh, the other thing, too, that was kind of a bit of a red flag when some of these changes took place was, when my mother would talk about the trust, she would say, I don't care what y'all can fight as much as you want, but Carl will
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 that you couldn't pull assets out of there. And I remember my father clearly explaining all that to me. So when I found out that, number one, this wasn't cash; but, number two, it was stock out of my father's side, and I questioned it, and like, No, no. That's fine, it's fine. The problem I was having with it because I'm an accountant and I do a little bit is this stock generates dividends. So, number one, I thought it would be foolish to cash it. But, number two, I didn't want a tax hit. And, number three, at the time, enough time had passed, and it wasn't given to me I mean, the reason it was being given to me was no longer for its intended purpose. So I never felt right about accepting it because I no longer needed to modify my house. So I kind of just ended up with it, and I still have it all. I have never cashed it in, ever. I kept trying to give it back, but I was told, No, no. Just hang on to it, hang on to it. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I just don't know what to do with this. But also, too, it sounds like now there is nothing in writing or maybe it was never required that my mother I thought she had to fill something out or agree to make a distribution like that because she was no longer the trustee. It was Anita that was. So I just assumed that my mother had to sign something and notarize it so I could show everybody that this is what she wanted. So I never had anything to back it up. So everybody decided that I was being bought off, and that's where it couldn't be further from the truth. MR. REED: Form. Q. (By Ms. Carole Brunsting) Okay. MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. MS. CAROLE BRUNSTING: I'm pro se, so this is what you get. MR. SPIELMAN: Move on. Q. (By Ms. Carole Brunsting) Oh, the other thing, too, that was kind of a bit of a red flag when some of these changes took place was, when my mother would talk about the trust, she would say, I don't care what y'all can fight as much as you want, but Carl will always be trustee. He's the only boy. Your dad and I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 that you couldn't pull assets out of there. And I remember my father clearly explaining all that to me. So when I found out that, number one, this wasn't cash; but, number two, it was stock out of my father's side, and I questioned it, and like, No, no. That's fine, it's fine. The problem I was having with it because I'm an accountant and I do a little bit is this stock generates dividends. So, number one, I thought it would be foolish to cash it. But, number two, I didn't want a tax hit. And, number three, at the time, enough time had passed, and it wasn't given to me I mean, the reason it was being given to me was no longer for its intended purpose. So I never felt right about accepting it because I no longer needed to modify my house. So I kind of just ended up with it, and I still have it all. I have never cashed it in, ever. I kept trying to give it back, but I was told, No, no. Just hang on to it, hang on to it. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I just don't know what to do with this. But also, too, it sounds like now there is nothing in writing or maybe it was never required that my mother I thought she had to fill something out or agree to make a distribution like that because she was no longer the trustee. It was Anita that was. So I just assumed that my mother had to sign something and notarize it so I could show everybody that this is what she wanted. So I never had anything to back it up. So everybody decided that I was being bought off, and that's where it couldn't be further from the truth. MR. REED: Form. Q. (By Ms. Carole Brunsting) Okay. MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. MS. CAROLE BRUNSTING: I'm pro se, so this is what you get. MR. SPIELMAN: Move on. Q. (By Ms. Carole Brunsting) Oh, the other thing, too, that was kind of a bit of a red flag when some of these changes took place was, when my mother would talk about the trust, she would say, I don't care what y'all can fight as much as you want, but Carl will

30 (Pages 114 to 117)

	118		120
1	So that was a bit of another red flag when	1	EXAMINATION
2	I asked my mother, I said, Why would you have removed	2	QUESTIONS BY MS. CANDACE CURTIS:
3	him?	3	Q. Okay. So I just want to kind of go over the
4	She said, Oh, no, don't worry. It's a	4	history of the trust from the very beginning just real
5	temporary thing. This is just temporary.	5	quickly.
6	So that's why I really got the impression	6	So in 1996 there was The Brunsting Family
7	maybe she didn't understand exactly what happened	7	Living Trust; and Anita was the first trustee, Carl the
8	because it was her understanding that he was going to go	8	second and Amy was third. The money was to be divided
9	back on there at some point, and she expected him to	9	five ways and given to the beneficiaries, not in trust.
10	fully recover.	10	And if those three ended up not being able to serve,
11	And also, I thought there was already a	11	then Frost Bank would have taken over that position.
12	safety net in place that even if Carl couldn't serve, it	12	I know because he told me that the reason
13	would just go to the next person; and then there was	13	he chose those three is because they were the youngest
14	already something in place. I just honestly don't know	14	and would probably be more likely to live longer than
15	that my mother would have thought through that hard or	15	the older kids.
16	put that much thought into this document because, like I	16	So we had the 1996 trust. At some point
17	said, she hated paperwork and hated all the	17	before 1999, Anita got divorced. And so my dad gave her
18	I mean, she the trust was really more	18	a hundred thousand dollars to pay her house off, and he
19	my dad's thing; it wasn't really hers. So that was	19	filed a lien against her house. I don't know what
20	another bit of a red flag, that my mother was adamant	20	happened to make him have to take the lien off; but he
21	about Carl always being the trustee. And she really	21	went to Mr. Vacek and said that if there is a debt that
22	didn't seem to be that concerned about his health at the	22	was forgiven by Anita Kay Riley if it was her debt
23	time.	23	that was forgiven, it would come out of her share of the
24	And also, too, I knew that there was a	24	trust.
25	question about Amy and Anita's own financial stability	25	So I have never seen the hundred thousand-
	119		121
1	119 at the time. So that was the other red flag. I'm an	1	121 dollar accounts receivable anywhere in the accounting.
1 2		1 2	
2 3	at the time. So that was the other red flag. I'm an accountant, so this is what I do for a living. So there's a lot of red flags for me here, and that was a		dollar accounts receivable anywhere in the accounting.
2 3 4	at the time. So that was the other red flag. I'm an accountant, so this is what I do for a living. So there's a lot of red flags for me here, and that was a red flag for me as well.	2 3 4	dollar accounts receivable anywhere in the accounting. We have my accounts receivable for \$20,000; and later on when Anita borrowed shares of stock, we have that accounts receivable.
2 3 4 5	at the time. So that was the other red flag. I'm an accountant, so this is what I do for a living. So there's a lot of red flags for me here, and that was a red flag for me as well. There wasn't anything I could do about it	2 3 4 5	dollar accounts receivable anywhere in the accounting. We have my accounts receivable for \$20,000; and later on when Anita borrowed shares of stock, we have that accounts receivable. But what happened to that hundred
2 3 4 5 6	at the time. So that was the other red flag. I'm an accountant, so this is what I do for a living. So there's a lot of red flags for me here, and that was a red flag for me as well. There wasn't anything I could do about it because I had no power to do anything about it. But	2 3 4 5 6	dollar accounts receivable anywhere in the accounting. We have my accounts receivable for \$20,000; and later on when Anita borrowed shares of stock, we have that accounts receivable. But what happened to that hundred thousand-dollar accounts receivable? Because it was a
2 3 4 5 6 7	at the time. So that was the other red flag. I'm an accountant, so this is what I do for a living. So there's a lot of red flags for me here, and that was a red flag for me as well. There wasn't anything I could do about it because I had no power to do anything about it. But there were red flags that I was really concerned about,	2 3 4 5 6 7	dollar accounts receivable anywhere in the accounting. We have my accounts receivable for \$20,000; and later on when Anita borrowed shares of stock, we have that accounts receivable. But what happened to that hundred thousand-dollar accounts receivable? Because it was a trust asset which should have transferred within the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	at the time. So that was the other red flag. I'm an accountant, so this is what I do for a living. So there's a lot of red flags for me here, and that was a red flag for me as well. There wasn't anything I could do about it because I had no power to do anything about it. But there were red flags that I was really concerned about, how all of a sudden we had all these large changes	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	dollar accounts receivable anywhere in the accounting. We have my accounts receivable for \$20,000; and later on when Anita borrowed shares of stock, we have that accounts receivable. But what happened to that hundred thousand-dollar accounts receivable? Because it was a trust asset which should have transferred within the trust when the trust was restated.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	at the time. So that was the other red flag. I'm an accountant, so this is what I do for a living. So there's a lot of red flags for me here, and that was a red flag for me as well. There wasn't anything I could do about it because I had no power to do anything about it. But there were red flags that I was really concerned about, how all of a sudden we had all these large changes taking place. And from the conversations I was having	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	dollar accounts receivable anywhere in the accounting. We have my accounts receivable for \$20,000; and later on when Anita borrowed shares of stock, we have that accounts receivable. But what happened to that hundred thousand-dollar accounts receivable? Because it was a trust asset which should have transferred within the trust when the trust was restated. MR. SPIELMAN: Form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	at the time. So that was the other red flag. I'm an accountant, so this is what I do for a living. So there's a lot of red flags for me here, and that was a red flag for me as well. There wasn't anything I could do about it because I had no power to do anything about it. But there were red flags that I was really concerned about, how all of a sudden we had all these large changes taking place. And from the conversations I was having with my mother, none of this ever really, really sat	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	dollar accounts receivable anywhere in the accounting. We have my accounts receivable for \$20,000; and later on when Anita borrowed shares of stock, we have that accounts receivable. But what happened to that hundred thousand-dollar accounts receivable? Because it was a trust asset which should have transferred within the trust when the trust was restated. MR. SPIELMAN: Form. MR. REED: Let me just ask you, What time
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	at the time. So that was the other red flag. I'm an accountant, so this is what I do for a living. So there's a lot of red flags for me here, and that was a red flag for me as well. There wasn't anything I could do about it because I had no power to do anything about it. But there were red flags that I was really concerned about, how all of a sudden we had all these large changes taking place. And from the conversations I was having with my mother, none of this ever really, really sat well with me. And as a result, now I'm part of two	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	dollar accounts receivable anywhere in the accounting. We have my accounts receivable for \$20,000; and later on when Anita borrowed shares of stock, we have that accounts receivable. But what happened to that hundred thousand-dollar accounts receivable? Because it was a trust asset which should have transferred within the trust when the trust was restated. MR. SPIELMAN: Form. MR. REED: Let me just ask you, What time period are you asking? I'm not familiar with it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	at the time. So that was the other red flag. I'm an accountant, so this is what I do for a living. So there's a lot of red flags for me here, and that was a red flag for me as well. There wasn't anything I could do about it because I had no power to do anything about it. But there were red flags that I was really concerned about, how all of a sudden we had all these large changes taking place. And from the conversations I was having with my mother, none of this ever really, really sat well with me. And as a result, now I'm part of two lawsuits.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	dollar accounts receivable anywhere in the accounting. We have my accounts receivable for \$20,000; and later on when Anita borrowed shares of stock, we have that accounts receivable. But what happened to that hundred thousand-dollar accounts receivable? Because it was a trust asset which should have transferred within the trust when the trust was restated. MR. SPIELMAN: Form. MR. REED: Let me just ask you, What time period are you asking? I'm not familiar with it. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Okay. So it was in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	at the time. So that was the other red flag. I'm an accountant, so this is what I do for a living. So there's a lot of red flags for me here, and that was a red flag for me as well. There wasn't anything I could do about it because I had no power to do anything about it. But there were red flags that I was really concerned about, how all of a sudden we had all these large changes taking place. And from the conversations I was having with my mother, none of this ever really, really sat well with me. And as a result, now I'm part of two lawsuits. MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	dollar accounts receivable anywhere in the accounting. We have my accounts receivable for \$20,000; and later on when Anita borrowed shares of stock, we have that accounts receivable. But what happened to that hundred thousand-dollar accounts receivable? Because it was a trust asset which should have transferred within the trust when the trust was restated. MR. SPIELMAN: Form. MR. REED: Let me just ask you, What time period are you asking? I'm not familiar with it. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Okay. So it was in 1999 that he gave her the money to pay her house off and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	at the time. So that was the other red flag. I'm an accountant, so this is what I do for a living. So there's a lot of red flags for me here, and that was a red flag for me as well. There wasn't anything I could do about it because I had no power to do anything about it. But there were red flags that I was really concerned about, how all of a sudden we had all these large changes taking place. And from the conversations I was having with my mother, none of this ever really, really sat well with me. And as a result, now I'm part of two lawsuits. MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. Q. (By Ms. Carole Brunsting) So that's it. I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	dollar accounts receivable anywhere in the accounting. We have my accounts receivable for \$20,000; and later on when Anita borrowed shares of stock, we have that accounts receivable. But what happened to that hundred thousand-dollar accounts receivable? Because it was a trust asset which should have transferred within the trust when the trust was restated. MR. SPIELMAN: Form. MR. REED: Let me just ask you, What time period are you asking? I'm not familiar with it. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Okay. So it was in 1999 that he gave her the money to pay her house off and filed the lien.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	at the time. So that was the other red flag. I'm an accountant, so this is what I do for a living. So there's a lot of red flags for me here, and that was a red flag for me as well. There wasn't anything I could do about it because I had no power to do anything about it. But there were red flags that I was really concerned about, how all of a sudden we had all these large changes taking place. And from the conversations I was having with my mother, none of this ever really, really sat well with me. And as a result, now I'm part of two lawsuits. MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. Q. (By Ms. Carole Brunsting) So that's it. I'm done.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	dollar accounts receivable anywhere in the accounting. We have my accounts receivable for \$20,000; and later on when Anita borrowed shares of stock, we have that accounts receivable. But what happened to that hundred thousand-dollar accounts receivable? Because it was a trust asset which should have transferred within the trust when the trust was restated. MR. SPIELMAN: Form. MR. REED: Let me just ask you, What time period are you asking? I'm not familiar with it. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Okay. So it was in 1999 that he gave her the money to pay her house off and filed the lien. MR. REED: Uh-huh.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	at the time. So that was the other red flag. Im an accountant, so this is what I do for a living. So there's a lot of red flags for me here, and that was a red flag for me as well. There wasn't anything I could do about it because I had no power to do anything about it. But there were red flags that I was really concerned about, how all of a sudden we had all these large changes taking place. And from the conversations I was having with my mother, none of this ever really, really sat well with me. And as a result, now I'm part of two lawsuits. MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. Q. (By Ms. Carole Brunsting) So that's it. I'm done. MR. REED: Can we take a 30-second break	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	dollar accounts receivable anywhere in the accounting. We have my accounts receivable for \$20,000; and later on when Anita borrowed shares of stock, we have that accounts receivable. But what happened to that hundred thousand-dollar accounts receivable? Because it was a trust asset which should have transferred within the trust when the trust was restated. MR. SPIELMAN: Form. MR. REED: Let me just ask you, What time period are you asking? I'm not familiar with it. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Okay. So it was in 1999 that he gave her the money to pay her house off and filed the lien. MR. REED: Uh-huh. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: And then it was in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	at the time. So that was the other red flag. Im an accountant, so this is what I do for a living. So there's a lot of red flags for me here, and that was a red flag for me as well. There wasn't anything I could do about it because I had no power to do anything about it. But there were red flags that I was really concerned about, how all of a sudden we had all these large changes taking place. And from the conversations I was having with my mother, none of this ever really, really sat well with me. And as a result, now I'm part of two lawsuits. MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. Q. (By Ms. Carole Brunsting) So that's it. I'm done. MR. REED: Can we take a 30-second break real quick?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	dollar accounts receivable anywhere in the accounting. We have my accounts receivable for \$20,000; and later on when Anita borrowed shares of stock, we have that accounts receivable. But what happened to that hundred thousand-dollar accounts receivable? Because it was a trust asset which should have transferred within the trust when the trust was restated. MR. SPIELMAN: Form. MR. REED: Let me just ask you, What time period are you asking? I'm not familiar with it. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Okay. So it was in 1999 that he gave her the money to pay her house off and filed the lien. MR. REED: Uh-huh. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: And then it was in MR. MENDEL: Was this in Victoria?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	at the time. So that was the other red flag. Im an accountant, so this is what I do for a living. So there's a lot of red flags for me here, and that was a red flag for me as well. There wasn't anything I could do about it because I had no power to do anything about it. But there were red flags that I was really concerned about, how all of a sudden we had all these large changes taking place. And from the conversations I was having with my mother, none of this ever really, really sat well with me. And as a result, now I'm part of two lawsuits. MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. Q. (By Ms. Carole Brunsting) So that's it. I'm done. MR. REED: Can we take a 30-second break real quick? MR. SPIELMAN: Sure.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	dollar accounts receivable anywhere in the accounting. We have my accounts receivable for \$20,000; and later on when Anita borrowed shares of stock, we have that accounts receivable. But what happened to that hundred thousand-dollar accounts receivable? Because it was a trust asset which should have transferred within the trust when the trust was restated. MR. SPIELMAN: Form. MR. REED: Let me just ask you, What time period are you asking? I'm not familiar with it. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Okay. So it was in 1999 that he gave her the money to pay her house off and filed the lien. MR. REED: Uh-huh. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: And then it was in MR. MENDEL: Was this in Victoria? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Yes, it was in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	at the time. So that was the other red flag. Im an accountant, so this is what I do for a living. So there's a lot of red flags for me here, and that was a red flag for me as well. There wasn't anything I could do about it because I had no power to do anything about it. But there were red flags that I was really concerned about, how all of a sudden we had all these large changes taking place. And from the conversations I was having with my mother, none of this ever really, really sat well with me. And as a result, now I'm part of two lawsuits. MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. Q. (By Ms. Carole Brunsting) So that's it. I'm done. MR. REED: Can we take a 30-second break real quick?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	dollar accounts receivable anywhere in the accounting. We have my accounts receivable for \$20,000; and later on when Anita borrowed shares of stock, we have that accounts receivable. But what happened to that hundred thousand-dollar accounts receivable? Because it was a trust asset which should have transferred within the trust when the trust was restated. MR. SPIELMAN: Form. MR. REED: Let me just ask you, What time period are you asking? I'm not familiar with it. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Okay. So it was in 1999 that he gave her the money to pay her house off and filed the lien. MR. REED: Uh-huh. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: And then it was in MR. MENDEL: Was this in Victoria? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Yes, it was in Victoria, Texas.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	at the time. So that was the other red flag. Im an accountant, so this is what I do for a living. So there's a lot of red flags for me here, and that was a red flag for me as well. There wasn't anything I could do about it because I had no power to do anything about it. But there were red flags that I was really concerned about, how all of a sudden we had all these large changes taking place. And from the conversations I was having with my mother, none of this ever really, really sat well with me. And as a result, now I'm part of two lawsuits. MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. Q. (By Ms. Carole Brunsting) So that's it. I'm done. MR. REED: Can we take a 30-second break real quick? MR. SPIELMAN: Sure.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	dollar accounts receivable anywhere in the accounting. We have my accounts receivable for \$20,000; and later on when Anita borrowed shares of stock, we have that accounts receivable. But what happened to that hundred thousand-dollar accounts receivable? Because it was a trust asset which should have transferred within the trust when the trust was restated. MR. SPIELMAN: Form. MR. REED: Let me just ask you, What time period are you asking? I'm not familiar with it. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Okay. So it was in 1999 that he gave her the money to pay her house off and filed the lien. MR. REED: Uh-huh. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: And then it was in MR. MENDEL: Was this in Victoria? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Yes, it was in Victoria, Texas. MR. REED: The reason I'm asking as you're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	at the time. So that was the other red flag. Im an accountant, so this is what I do for a living. So there's a lot of red flags for me here, and that was a red flag for me as well. There wasn't anything I could do about it because I had no power to do anything about it. But there were red flags that I was really concerned about, how all of a sudden we had all these large changes taking place. And from the conversations I was having with my mother, none of this ever really, really sat well with me. And as a result, now I'm part of two lawsuits. MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. Q. (By Ms. Carole Brunsting) So that's it. I'm done. MR. REED: Can we take a 30-second break real quick? MR. SPIELMAN: Sure.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	dollar accounts receivable anywhere in the accounting. We have my accounts receivable for \$20,000; and later on when Anita borrowed shares of stock, we have that accounts receivable. But what happened to that hundred thousand-dollar accounts receivable? Because it was a trust asset which should have transferred within the trust when the trust was restated. MR. SPIELMAN: Form. MR. REED: Let me just ask you, What time period are you asking? I'm not familiar with it. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Okay. So it was in 1999 that he gave her the money to pay her house off and filed the lien. MR. REED: Uh-huh. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: And then it was in MR. MENDEL: Was this in Victoria? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Yes, it was in Victoria, Texas. MR. REED: The reason I'm asking as you're looking is we were only asked to produce documents from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	at the time. So that was the other red flag. Im an accountant, so this is what I do for a living. So there's a lot of red flags for me here, and that was a red flag for me as well. There wasn't anything I could do about it because I had no power to do anything about it. But there were red flags that I was really concerned about, how all of a sudden we had all these large changes taking place. And from the conversations I was having with my mother, none of this ever really, really sat well with me. And as a result, now I'm part of two lawsuits. MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. Q. (By Ms. Carole Brunsting) So that's it. I'm done. MR. REED: Can we take a 30-second break real quick? MR. SPIELMAN: Sure.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	dollar accounts receivable anywhere in the accounting. We have my accounts receivable for \$20,000; and later on when Anita borrowed shares of stock, we have that accounts receivable. But what happened to that hundred thousand-dollar accounts receivable? Because it was a trust asset which should have transferred within the trust when the trust was restated. MR. SPIELMAN: Form. MR. REED: Let me just ask you, What time period are you asking? I'm not familiar with it. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Okay. So it was in 1999 that he gave her the money to pay her house off and filed the lien. MR. REED: Uh-huh. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: And then it was in MR. MENDEL: Was this in Victoria? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Yes, it was in Victoria, Texas. MR. REED: The reason I'm asking as you're looking is we were only asked to produce documents from a certain time period. So I'm just wondering if the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	at the time. So that was the other red flag. Im an accountant, so this is what I do for a living. So there's a lot of red flags for me here, and that was a red flag for me as well. There wasn't anything I could do about it because I had no power to do anything about it. But there were red flags that I was really concerned about, how all of a sudden we had all these large changes taking place. And from the conversations I was having with my mother, none of this ever really, really sat well with me. And as a result, now I'm part of two lawsuits. MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. Q. (By Ms. Carole Brunsting) So that's it. I'm done. MR. REED: Can we take a 30-second break real quick? MR. SPIELMAN: Sure.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	dollar accounts receivable anywhere in the accounting. We have my accounts receivable for \$20,000; and later on when Anita borrowed shares of stock, we have that accounts receivable. But what happened to that hundred thousand-dollar accounts receivable? Because it was a trust asset which should have transferred within the trust when the trust was restated. MR. SPIELMAN: Form. MR. REED: Let me just ask you, What time period are you asking? I'm not familiar with it. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Okay. So it was in 1999 that he gave her the money to pay her house off and filed the lien. MR. REED: Uh-huh. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: And then it was in MR. MENDEL: Was this in Victoria? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Yes, it was in Victoria, Texas. MR. REED: The reason I'm asking as you're looking is we were only asked to produce documents from a certain time period. So I'm just wondering if the documents we produced
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	at the time. So that was the other red flag. Im an accountant, so this is what I do for a living. So there's a lot of red flags for me here, and that was a red flag for me as well. There wasn't anything I could do about it because I had no power to do anything about it. But there were red flags that I was really concerned about, how all of a sudden we had all these large changes taking place. And from the conversations I was having with my mother, none of this ever really, really sat well with me. And as a result, now I'm part of two lawsuits. MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. Q. (By Ms. Carole Brunsting) So that's it. I'm done. MR. REED: Can we take a 30-second break real quick? MR. SPIELMAN: Sure.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	dollar accounts receivable anywhere in the accounting. We have my accounts receivable for \$20,000; and later on when Anita borrowed shares of stock, we have that accounts receivable. But what happened to that hundred thousand-dollar accounts receivable? Because it was a trust asset which should have transferred within the trust when the trust was restated. MR. SPIELMAN: Form. MR. REED: Let me just ask you, What time period are you asking? I'm not familiar with it. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Okay. So it was in 1999 that he gave her the money to pay her house off and filed the lien. MR. REED: Uh-huh. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: And then it was in MR. MENDEL: Was this in Victoria? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Yes, it was in Victoria, Texas. MR. REED: The reason I'm asking as you're looking is we were only asked to produce documents from a certain time period. So I'm just wondering if the

31 (Pages 118 to 121)

	122		124
1	MR. REED: Okay.	1	MR. SPIELMAN: I'm sorry. I know I'm just
2	MS. CANDACE CURTIS: to the trust.	2	as bad as everybody else now.
3	MR. REED: So you're asking why someone	3	Are you referencing the amendment that was
4	else hasn't produced documents?	4	made to the original trust document
5	MS. CANDACE CURTIS: No. I'm asking	5	MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Yes.
6	because this is an amendment to the trust that says if	6	MR. SPIELMAN: prior to the execution
7	the loan was forgiven, that it would come out of her	7	of the restatement?
8	trust share, that became at that point when it was	8	MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Yes, I am.
9	forgiven, that became an asset of the trust because it	9	MR. SPIELMAN: Does everybody now
10	was due back from her.	10	understand better what we're talking about?
11	So we move along in time and we come to	11	THE WITNESS: Yes. Thank you.
12	the 2005 restatement, and at that point they had to	12	Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) Okay. So now we're
13	gather what all the assets were. And that just	13	coming to the restatement, and that was done in 2005.
14	disappeared, and it was a valid accounts receivable of	14	So my only question really is why that
15	The Brunsting Family Living Trust.	15	wasn't continued to be carried as an asset
16	MR. REED: Yeah. And I appreciate that	16	MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form.
17	MR. SPIELMAN: Form. I'm not sure what's	17	Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) but it's a little
18	happening with questions and not questions, but just a	18	different.
19	bunch of "objection, forms."	19	Okay. So now we're at the 2005
20	MR. REED: I'm trying to understand what	20	restatement. And this was something that was supplied
21	the question is. I think the period you're saying is	21	that is not part of the trust; but this is the plan,
22	I think the answer is she's not going to be able to	22	this is the estate plan. And I don't have the one that
23	offer any testimony because she wasn't there until 2007.	23	came in the Vacek & Freed I don't have the number,
24	MS. CANDACE CURTIS: No, I understand	24	but it is in the Vacek & Freed production.
25	that. But when she came there in 2007, there were	25	MR. MENDEL: So for everyone, you're
	123		125
1	123	1	125
1	several accountings prepared. The first one was when my	1	saying "this," which when you read this record, nobody
2	several accountings prepared. The first one was when my dad passed away. So it should have been listed on the	2	saying "this," which when you read this record, nobody knows what that means. So why don't we give them this
2 3	several accountings prepared. The first one was when my dad passed away. So it should have been listed on the assets of the trust. And it was a Vacek product in	2 3	saying "this," which when you read this record, nobody knows what that means. So why don't we give them this number.
2 3 4	several accountings prepared. The first one was when my dad passed away. So it should have been listed on the assets of the trust. And it was a Vacek product in 1996. So I would think that would still be in the file,	2 3 4	saying "this," which when you read this record, nobody knows what that means. So why don't we give them this number. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Okay. That would be
2 3 4 5	several accountings prepared. The first one was when my dad passed away. So it should have been listed on the assets of the trust. And it was a Vacek product in 1996. So I would think that would still be in the file, in the amendment, because these amendments and these	2 3 4 5	saying "this," which when you read this record, nobody knows what that means. So why don't we give them this number. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Okay. That would be fine. I just don't know what the Vacek & Freed number
2 3 4 5 6	several accountings prepared. The first one was when my dad passed away. So it should have been listed on the assets of the trust. And it was a Vacek product in 1996. So I would think that would still be in the file, in the amendment, because these amendments and these trusts I got out of your production.	2 3 4 5 6	saying "this," which when you read this record, nobody knows what that means. So why don't we give them this number. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Okay. That would be fine. I just don't know what the Vacek & Freed number is.
2 3 4 5 6 7	several accountings prepared. The first one was when my dad passed away. So it should have been listed on the assets of the trust. And it was a Vacek product in 1996. So I would think that would still be in the file, in the amendment, because these amendments and these trusts I got out of your production. MR. REED: I'm with you, following you.	2 3 4 5 6 7	saying "this," which when you read this record, nobody knows what that means. So why don't we give them this number. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Okay. That would be fine. I just don't know what the Vacek & Freed number is. MR. MENDEL: So Ms. Curtis is making
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	several accountings prepared. The first one was when my dad passed away. So it should have been listed on the assets of the trust. And it was a Vacek product in 1996. So I would think that would still be in the file, in the amendment, because these amendments and these trusts I got out of your production. MR. REED: I'm with you, following you. MS. BAYLESS: Wait just a second. Can I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	saying "this," which when you read this record, nobody knows what that means. So why don't we give them this number. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Okay. That would be fine. I just don't know what the Vacek & Freed number is. MR. MENDEL: So Ms. Curtis is making reference to a document that's Bates-labeled Brunsting
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	several accountings prepared. The first one was when my dad passed away. So it should have been listed on the assets of the trust. And it was a Vacek product in 1996. So I would think that would still be in the file, in the amendment, because these amendments and these trusts I got out of your production. MR. REED: I'm with you, following you. MS. BAYLESS: Wait just a second. Can I ask what amendment we're talking about?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	saying "this," which when you read this record, nobody knows what that means. So why don't we give them this number. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Okay. That would be fine. I just don't know what the Vacek & Freed number is. MR. MENDEL: So Ms. Curtis is making reference to a document that's Bates-labeled Brunsting 000535.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	several accountings prepared. The first one was when my dad passed away. So it should have been listed on the assets of the trust. And it was a Vacek product in 1996. So I would think that would still be in the file, in the amendment, because these amendments and these trusts I got out of your production. MR. REED: I'm with you, following you. MS. BAYLESS: Wait just a second. Can I ask what amendment we're talking about? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: It's the first	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 saying "this," which when you read this record, nobody knows what that means. So why don't we give them this number. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Okay. That would be fine. I just don't know what the Vacek & Freed number is. MR. MENDEL: So Ms. Curtis is making reference to a document that's Bates-labeled Brunsting 000535. Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) Okay. And so when
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	several accountings prepared. The first one was when my dad passed away. So it should have been listed on the assets of the trust. And it was a Vacek product in 1996. So I would think that would still be in the file, in the amendment, because these amendments and these trusts I got out of your production. MR. REED: I'm with you, following you. MS. BAYLESS: Wait just a second. Can I ask what amendment we're talking about? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: It's the first amendment to the 1996 Brunsting Family Living Trust.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 saying "this," which when you read this record, nobody knows what that means. So why don't we give them this number. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Okay. That would be fine. I just don't know what the Vacek & Freed number is. MR. MENDEL: So Ms. Curtis is making reference to a document that's Bates-labeled Brunsting 000535. Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) Okay. And so when you're looking at Article III of the 2005 restatement
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	several accountings prepared. The first one was when my dad passed away. So it should have been listed on the assets of the trust. And it was a Vacek product in 1996. So I would think that would still be in the file, in the amendment, because these amendments and these trusts I got out of your production. MR. REED: I'm with you, following you. MS. BAYLESS: Wait just a second. Can I ask what amendment we're talking about? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: It's the first amendment to the 1996 Brunsting Family Living Trust. MS. BAYLESS: Does it have a number?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 saying "this," which when you read this record, nobody knows what that means. So why don't we give them this number. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Okay. That would be fine. I just don't know what the Vacek & Freed number is. MR. MENDEL: So Ms. Curtis is making reference to a document that's Bates-labeled Brunsting 000535. Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) Okay. And so when you're looking at Article III of the 2005 restatement which we've already gone over where section B says,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	several accountings prepared. The first one was when my dad passed away. So it should have been listed on the assets of the trust. And it was a Vacek product in 1996. So I would think that would still be in the file, in the amendment, because these amendments and these trusts I got out of your production. MR. REED: I'm with you, following you. MS. BAYLESS: Wait just a second. Can I ask what amendment we're talking about? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: It's the first amendment to the 1996 Brunsting Family Living Trust. MS. BAYLESS: Does it have a number? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: V&F 000808.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 saying "this," which when you read this record, nobody knows what that means. So why don't we give them this number. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Okay. That would be fine. I just don't know what the Vacek & Freed number is. MR. MENDEL: So Ms. Curtis is making reference to a document that's Bates-labeled Brunsting 000535. Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) Okay. And so when you're looking at Article III of the 2005 restatement which we've already gone over where section B says, "We May Amend Our Trust," it says it "may be amended by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	several accountings prepared. The first one was when my dad passed away. So it should have been listed on the assets of the trust. And it was a Vacek product in 1996. So I would think that would still be in the file, in the amendment, because these amendments and these trusts I got out of your production. MR. REED: I'm with you, following you. MS. BAYLESS: Wait just a second. Can I ask what amendment we're talking about? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: It's the first amendment to the 1996 Brunsting Family Living Trust. MS. BAYLESS: Does it have a number? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: V&F 000808. MR. MENDEL: Is there a date?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 saying "this," which when you read this record, nobody knows what that means. So why don't we give them this number. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Okay. That would be fine. I just don't know what the Vacek & Freed number is. MR. MENDEL: So Ms. Curtis is making reference to a document that's Bates-labeled Brunsting 000535. Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) Okay. And so when you're looking at Article III of the 2005 restatement which we've already gone over where section B says, "We May Amend Our Trust," it says it "may be amended by us in whole or in part in a writing signed by both of us
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	several accountings prepared. The first one was when my dad passed away. So it should have been listed on the assets of the trust. And it was a Vacek product in 1996. So I would think that would still be in the file, in the amendment, because these amendments and these trusts I got out of your production. MR. REED: I'm with you, following you. MS. BAYLESS: Wait just a second. Can I ask what amendment we're talking about? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: It's the first amendment to the 1996 Brunsting Family Living Trust. MS. BAYLESS: Does it have a number? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: V&F 000808. MR. MENDEL: Is there a date? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: April 30th, 1999.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 saying "this," which when you read this record, nobody knows what that means. So why don't we give them this number. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Okay. That would be fine. I just don't know what the Vacek & Freed number is. MR. MENDEL: So Ms. Curtis is making reference to a document that's Bates-labeled Brunsting 000535. Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) Okay. And so when you're looking at Article III of the 2005 restatement which we've already gone over where section B says, "We May Amend Our Trust," it says it "may be amended by us in whole or in part in a writing signed by both of us for so long as we both shall live. Except as to a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	several accountings prepared. The first one was when my dad passed away. So it should have been listed on the assets of the trust. And it was a Vacek product in 1996. So I would think that would still be in the file, in the amendment, because these amendments and these trusts I got out of your production. MR. REED: I'm with you, following you. MS. BAYLESS: Wait just a second. Can I ask what amendment we're talking about? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: It's the first amendment to the 1996 Brunsting Family Living Trust. MS. BAYLESS: Does it have a number? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: V&F 000808. MR. MENDEL: Is there a date? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: April 30th, 1999. MS. BAYLESS: Can you give me the number	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 saying "this," which when you read this record, nobody knows what that means. So why don't we give them this number. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Okay. That would be fine. I just don't know what the Vacek & Freed number is. MR. MENDEL: So Ms. Curtis is making reference to a document that's Bates-labeled Brunsting 000535. Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) Okay. And so when you're looking at Article III of the 2005 restatement which we've already gone over where section B says, "We May Amend Our Trust," it says it "may be amended by us in whole or in part in a writing signed by both of us for so long as we both shall live. Except as to a change of trust situs, when one of us dies, this trust
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	several accountings prepared. The first one was when my dad passed away. So it should have been listed on the assets of the trust. And it was a Vacek product in 1996. So I would think that would still be in the file, in the amendment, because these amendments and these trusts I got out of your production. MR. REED: I'm with you, following you. MS. BAYLESS: Wait just a second. Can I ask what amendment we're talking about? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: It's the first amendment to the 1996 Brunsting Family Living Trust. MS. BAYLESS: Does it have a number? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: V&F 000808. MR. MENDEL: Is there a date? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: April 30th, 1999. MS. BAYLESS: Can you give me the number one more time.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 saying "this," which when you read this record, nobody knows what that means. So why don't we give them this number. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Okay. That would be fine. I just don't know what the Vacek & Freed number is. MR. MENDEL: So Ms. Curtis is making reference to a document that's Bates-labeled Brunsting 000535. Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) Okay. And so when you're looking at Article III of the 2005 restatement which we've already gone over where section B says, "We May Amend Our Trust," it says it "may be amended by us in whole or in part in a writing signed by both of us for so long as we both shall live. Except as to a change of trust situs, when one of us dies, this trust shall not be subject to amendment except by a court of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	several accountings prepared. The first one was when my dad passed away. So it should have been listed on the assets of the trust. And it was a Vacek product in 1996. So I would think that would still be in the file, in the amendment, because these amendments and these trusts I got out of your production. MR. REED: I'm with you, following you. MS. BAYLESS: Wait just a second. Can I ask what amendment we're talking about? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: It's the first amendment to the 1996 Brunsting Family Living Trust. MS. BAYLESS: Does it have a number? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: V&F 000808. MR. MENDEL: Is there a date? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: April 30th, 1999. MS. BAYLESS: Can you give me the number one more time. MR. MENDEL: V&F 000808.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 saying "this," which when you read this record, nobody knows what that means. So why don't we give them this number. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Okay. That would be fine. I just don't know what the Vacek & Freed number is. MR. MENDEL: So Ms. Curtis is making reference to a document that's Bates-labeled Brunsting 000535. Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) Okay. And so when you're looking at Article III of the 2005 restatement which we've already gone over where section B says, "We May Amend Our Trust," it says it "may be amended by us in whole or in part in a writing signed by both of us for so long as we both shall live. Except as to a change of trust situs, when one of us dies, this trust shall not be subject to amendment except by a court of competent jurisdiction."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	several accountings prepared. The first one was when my dad passed away. So it should have been listed on the assets of the trust. And it was a Vacek product in 1996. So I would think that would still be in the file, in the amendment, because these amendments and these trusts I got out of your production. MR. REED: I'm with you, following you. MS. BAYLESS: Wait just a second. Can I ask what amendment we're talking about? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: It's the first amendment to the 1996 Brunsting Family Living Trust. MS. BAYLESS: Does it have a number? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: V&F 000808. MR. MENDEL: Is there a date? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: April 30th, 1999. MS. BAYLESS: Can you give me the number one more time. MR. MENDEL: V&F 000808. MS. BAYLESS: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 saying "this," which when you read this record, nobody knows what that means. So why don't we give them this number. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Okay. That would be fine. I just don't know what the Vacek & Freed number is. MR. MENDEL: So Ms. Curtis is making reference to a document that's Bates-labeled Brunsting 000535. Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) Okay. And so when you're looking at Article III of the 2005 restatement which we've already gone over where section B says, "We May Amend Our Trust," it says it "may be amended by us in whole or in part in a writing signed by both of us for so long as we both shall live. Except as to a change of trust situs, when one of us dies, this trust shall not be subject to amendment except by a court of competent jurisdiction."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	several accountings prepared. The first one was when my dad passed away. So it should have been listed on the assets of the trust. And it was a Vacek product in 1996. So I would think that would still be in the file, in the amendment, because these amendments and these trusts I got out of your production. MR. REED: I'm with you, following you. MS. BAYLESS: Wait just a second. Can I ask what amendment we're talking about? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: It's the first amendment to the 1996 Brunsting Family Living Trust. MS. BAYLESS: Does it have a number? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: V&F 000808. MR. MENDEL: Is there a date? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: April 30th, 1999. MS. BAYLESS: Can you give me the number one more time. MR. MENDEL: V&F 000808. MS. BAYLESS: Thank you. MR. REED: Okay. I don't know if we're	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 saying "this," which when you read this record, nobody knows what that means. So why don't we give them this number. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Okay. That would be fine. I just don't know what the Vacek & Freed number is. MR. MENDEL: So Ms. Curtis is making reference to a document that's Bates-labeled Brunsting 000535. Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) Okay. And so when you're looking at Article III of the 2005 restatement which we've already gone over where section B says, "We May Amend Our Trust," it says it "may be amended by us in whole or in part in a writing signed by both of us for so long as we both shall live. Except as to a change of trust situs, when one of us dies, this trust shall not be subject to amendment except by a court of competent jurisdiction." The second paragraph says, "Each of us may provide for a different disposition of our share in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	several accountings prepared. The first one was when my dad passed away. So it should have been listed on the assets of the trust. And it was a Vacek product in 1996. So I would think that would still be in the file, in the amendment, because these amendments and these trusts I got out of your production. MR. REED: I'm with you, following you. MS. BAYLESS: Wait just a second. Can I ask what amendment we're talking about? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: It's the first amendment to the 1996 Brunsting Family Living Trust. MS. BAYLESS: Does it have a number? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: V&F 000808. MR. MENDEL: Is there a date? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: April 30th, 1999. MS. BAYLESS: Can you give me the number one more time. MR. MENDEL: V&F 000808. MS. BAYLESS: Thank you. MR. REED: Okay. I don't know if we're following you. Go ahead.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 saying "this," which when you read this record, nobody knows what that means. So why don't we give them this number. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Okay. That would be fine. I just don't know what the Vacek & Freed number is. MR. MENDEL: So Ms. Curtis is making reference to a document that's Bates-labeled Brunsting 000535. Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) Okay. And so when you're looking at Article III of the 2005 restatement which we've already gone over where section B says, "We May Amend Our Trust," it says it "may be amended by us in whole or in part in a writing signed by both of us for so long as we both shall live. Except as to a change of trust situs, when one of us dies, this trust shall not be subject to amendment except by a court of competent jurisdiction." The second paragraph says, "Each of us may provide for a different disposition of our share in the trust by using a qualified beneficiary designation, as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	several accountings prepared. The first one was when my dad passed away. So it should have been listed on the assets of the trust. And it was a Vacek product in 1996. So I would think that would still be in the file, in the amendment, because these amendments and these trusts I got out of your production. MR. REED: I'm with you, following you. MS. BAYLESS: Wait just a second. Can I ask what amendment we're talking about? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: It's the first amendment to the 1996 Brunsting Family Living Trust. MS. BAYLESS: Does it have a number? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: V&F 000808. MR. MENDEL: Is there a date? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: April 30th, 1999. MS. BAYLESS: Can you give me the number one more time. MR. MENDEL: V&F 000808. MS. BAYLESS: Thank you. MR. REED: Okay. I don't know if we're following you. Go ahead. MR. MENDEL: April 30th, 1999 amendment.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 saying "this," which when you read this record, nobody knows what that means. So why don't we give them this number. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Okay. That would be fine. I just don't know what the Vacek & Freed number is. MR. MENDEL: So Ms. Curtis is making reference to a document that's Bates-labeled Brunsting 000535. Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) Okay. And so when you're looking at Article III of the 2005 restatement which we've already gone over where section B says, "We May Amend Our Trust," it says it "may be amended by us in whole or in part in a writing signed by both of us for so long as we both shall live. Except as to a change of trust situs, when one of us dies, this trust shall not be subject to amendment except by a court of competent jurisdiction." The second paragraph says, "Each of us may provide for a different disposition of our share in the trust by using a qualified beneficiary designation, as we define that term in this agreement, and the qualified
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	several accountings prepared. The first one was when my dad passed away. So it should have been listed on the assets of the trust. And it was a Vacek product in 1996. So I would think that would still be in the file, in the amendment, because these amendments and these trusts I got out of your production. MR. REED: I'm with you, following you. MS. BAYLESS: Wait just a second. Can I ask what amendment we're talking about? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: It's the first amendment to the 1996 Brunsting Family Living Trust. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: V&F 000808. MR. MENDEL: Is there a date? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: April 30th, 1999. MS. BAYLESS: Can you give me the number one more time. MR. MENDEL: V&F 000808. MS. BAYLESS: Thank you. MR. REED: Okay. I don't know if we're following you. Go ahead. MR. MENDEL: April 30th, 1999 amendment. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: It's part of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 saying "this," which when you read this record, nobody knows what that means. So why don't we give them this number. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Okay. That would be fine. I just don't know what the Vacek & Freed number is. MR. MENDEL: So Ms. Curtis is making reference to a document that's Bates-labeled Brunsting 000535. Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) Okay. And so when you're looking at Article III of the 2005 restatement which we've already gone over where section B says, "We May Amend Our Trust," it says it "may be amended by us in whole or in part in a writing signed by both of us for so long as we both shall live. Except as to a change of trust situs, when one of us dies, this trust shall not be subject to amendment except by a court of competent jurisdiction." The second paragraph says, "Each of us may provide for a different disposition of our share in the trust by using a qualified beneficiary designation, as we define that term in this agreement, and the qualified beneficiary designation will be considered an amendment
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	several accountings prepared. The first one was when my dad passed away. So it should have been listed on the assets of the trust. And it was a Vacek product in 1996. So I would think that would still be in the file, in the amendment, because these amendments and these trusts I got out of your production. MR. REED: I'm with you, following you. MS. BAYLESS: Wait just a second. Can I ask what amendment we're talking about? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: It's the first amendment to the 1996 Brunsting Family Living Trust. MS. BAYLESS: Does it have a number? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: V&F 000808. MR. MENDEL: Is there a date? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: April 30th, 1999. MS. BAYLESS: Can you give me the number one more time. MR. MENDEL: V&F 000808. MS. BAYLESS: Thank you. MR. REED: Okay. I don't know if we're following you. Go ahead. MR. MENDEL: April 30th, 1999 amendment.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 saying "this," which when you read this record, nobody knows what that means. So why don't we give them this number. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Okay. That would be fine. I just don't know what the Vacek & Freed number is. MR. MENDEL: So Ms. Curtis is making reference to a document that's Bates-labeled Brunsting 000535. Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) Okay. And so when you're looking at Article III of the 2005 restatement which we've already gone over where section B says, "We May Amend Our Trust," it says it "may be amended by us in whole or in part in a writing signed by both of us for so long as we both shall live. Except as to a change of trust situs, when one of us dies, this trust shall not be subject to amendment except by a court of competent jurisdiction." The second paragraph says, "Each of us may provide for a different disposition of our share in the trust by using a qualified beneficiary designation, as we define that term in this agreement, and the qualified

32 (Pages 122 to 125)

	126		128
1	Well, can you explain to me why this	1	MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Yes.
2	didn't have to be done before our father passed away?	2	MS. BAYLESS: Okay.
3	MR. REED: Objection, form.	3	(Discussion off the record.)
4	What didn't have to be done?	4	Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) Okay. So then the
5	MS. CANDACE CURTIS: The qualified	5	qualified beneficiary designation from June of 2010
6	beneficiary designation from June of 2010 and/or the	6	basically says any money that anybody gets from any
7	qualified beneficiary designation of August 2010.	7	trust comes out of their share. And that's what my
8	MR. REED: Form.	8	mother did when I needed \$20,000 and when Carole needed
9	A. So the reason why it didn't have to be done	9	\$20,000. She came to you, and you advised her what to
10	before your father died is because each person has the	10	do.
11	right to determine which, where, how, what form that	11	So that QBD, even though I still don't
12	disposition could take for their own or grant their	12	think applies to the decedent's trust, is a valid
13	spouse, even after they are deceased, a limited right to	13	document. So any money that anybody got after that date
14	do that, which your parents did.	14	comes out of their inheritance. Would you agree?
15	Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) There is the limited.	15	MR. SPIELMAN: Form.
16	A. Yes.	16	MR. REED: Form.
17	Q. That's in Article IX.	17	Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) Correct?
18	A. Yes.	18	A. If it was documented as such by your mother as
19	Q. That's the testamentary power, which doesn't	19	a distribution rather than a gift, then, yes, that would
20	occur until after someone dies.	20	be true.
21	A. Till after someone dies, correct.	21	Q. Okay. Do gifts have to be documented?
22	Q. But you can't she could only amend it as to	22	MS. BAYLESS: Hang on just a second. Are
23	her share alone. Okay?	23	we now talking about Exhibit 5?
24	A. No. I disagree.	24	THE WITNESS: Yes.
25	Q. Okay. That's fine. Because it's considered an	25	MR. MENDEL: 6.
1	127 amendment to that founder's share or interest alone. So	1	129 THE WITNESS: I believe she was referring
2	how does that mean that she can do that for the	2	to 5.
3	decedent's trust?	3	MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Yes, the June
4	A. Because she was granted a limited power of	4	qualified beneficiary
5	appointment over the decedent's trust assets. That is	5	MS. BAYLESS: Exhibit 5. Okay.
6	in Article X.	6	Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) And so she could also
7	Q. Article X. Okay. Then that answers my	7	do it to the decedent's share?
8	questions. Even though this little flow chart says that	8	MR. REED: "She" being Nelva?
9	she has complete control of all the assets and the right	9	MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Nelva.
10	to give away assets in A, which is her revocable	10	MR. REED: Form.
11	survivor's trust, she can't take anything more out of	11	A. What's the question?
12	the decedent's trust and give it away.	12	Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) That she could have
13	MR. SPIELMAN: Can you refer to it is	13	done a qualified beneficiary designation to other than
14	this the flow chart?	14	her share alone?
15	MS. CANDACE CURTIS: It's a spreadsheet.	15	A. Yes.
16	MR. REED: So at the very bottom. That's	16	Q. Okay. So then let's talk about accountings.
17	the one she was talking about earlier.	17	According to these in terrorem clauses, no matter what
18	MR. MENDEL: That's the one that ends in	18	happens, we can't argue with it.
19	535.	19	So when we've had to ask for accountings
20	MR. SPIELMAN: Oh, this is the 535. Okay.	20	every single time, what is a beneficiary supposed to do
21	MR. REED: Can I just see this real quick.	21	to protect their beneficial interest?
22			
	MR. SPIELMAN: Sorry.	22	MR. REED: Objection, form.
23	MS. BAYLESS: Wait just a second. When	23	MR. SPIELMAN: Form.
23 24	MS. BAYLESS: Wait just a second. When you just referred to that document, you were referring	23 24	MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. Are you asking for legal advice? Or is it a
23	MS. BAYLESS: Wait just a second. When	23	MR. SPIELMAN: Form.

33 (Pages 126 to 129)

	130	132
1	Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) You represented my	¹ Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) Okay. So would she
2	mother	² have written herself a check from the trust account
3	A. I did.	³ every month or however often she was to be paid trustee
4	Q in her estate planning. Okay? And so you	⁴ compensation?
5	also represented my sister Anita when she took over as	⁵ MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form.
6	trustee for my mother.	⁶ MR. REED: Objection, form.
7	So was Anita required to account to my	7 A. I do not know that. That is not something I
8	mother periodically, as the trust called for?	⁸ would be aware of or know.
9	A. Yes.	⁹ Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) Did you calculate what
10	MR. REED: Objection, form.	¹⁰ her trustee compensation would be?
11	Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) Did she?	¹¹ A. That is not something that I was hired to do.
12	MR. REED: Objection, form.	¹² MS. BAYLESS: I'm sorry.
13	A. I have no idea whether she did or did not.	¹³ Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) But you did it.
14	Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) Okay. Were you	¹⁴ MS. BAYLESS: Hang on. I didn't hear your
15	advising her how to do her fiduciary duties as a trustee	¹⁵ answer.
16	when she was your client at the same time as my mother	¹⁶ THE WITNESS: That was not something that
17	was?	¹⁷ I was hired to do, provide an accounting or write
18	MR. REED: Objection, form.	¹⁸ checks.
19	A. If she requested advice, advice was given.	¹⁹ Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) How did she determine
20	Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) If my mother or Anita	²⁰ that 2 percent of the trust assets were due for trustee
21	requested advice. Okay. So what advice was she	²¹ compensation?
22	requesting with all of these?	²² MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form.
23	A. I don't recall. I'm sorry. It's nine years	²³ MR. REED: Form.
24	ago.	²⁴ A. I do not know.
25	Q. Okay. Well, let's go in another direction,	²⁵ Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) Okay. Then we'll go
	131	133
1	then. So we're back to the accounting, and someone said	¹ back, I guess. So in August of 2010 there was a second
2	that she just totally drained the survivor's account. I	² QBD. Can someone have two of those?
3	forget what the terminology was.	3 A. Yes.
4	But we do have an accounting prepared by	⁴ Q. Okay. And so Anita called on July 20th for
5	Vacek & Freed; and it's got the December 2010 values of	⁵ Candace Freed, calling on behalf of Mom Nelva, and she
6	the Edward Jones account for Nelva Brunsting, which was	 ⁶ wanted you to give her a call. This is V&F 001197.
7	\$191,205.	7 Candace returned call to Nelva's daughter
8	MS. BAYLESS: Excuse me, but is this	 Anita, asking how she was doing.
9	numbered or something?	 She is feeling okay. "She has cancer on
10	MS. CANDACE CURTIS: This is also in the	10 the liver, but it's the lungs that she has issues with.
11	Vacek & Freed production, but that's not the copy that I	¹¹ Worse over, her brother Carl has encephalitis and is in
12	have.	¹² the hospital. Three weeks now. She is concerned for
13	MS. BAYLESS: Okay.	¹³ several reasons. Not sure what the outcome for the
14	Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) This other copy, the	¹⁴ brother is going to be or if he will recover. This may
15	March 30th, 2012, is V&F 000201, where that Edward Jones	¹⁵ be problematic in that they are not certain his wife
16	account has \$1.05. So between December of 2010 and	¹⁶ will not take off with the money or actually use it for
17	March 30th of 2012, the \$191,200 just flowed out of that	 ¹⁷ his care."
18	account; and we do know where some of it is.	¹⁸ "Comments from SIL" which I finally
19	Did Anita ask you how she was supposed to	¹⁹ figured out is sister-in-law "(Carl's wife) to
20	get her trustee compensation?	 ²⁰ Nelva." This is coming from Anita "was that she
21	MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form.	 wished she would go on and distribute Elmer's share of
22	MR. REED: Form.	the trust since Carl had said he wanted her to have
23	A. I believe that I don't recall a specific	 something; and then if Carl dies, then his daughter
24	conversation about it, but my usual response is what is	 would get it all."
25	reasonable and customary.	²⁵ "I suggested the following but that it

34 (Pages 130 to 133)

	134		136
1	needed to come from Nelva."	1	So it is a letter that came to Carole,
2	So this is where the QBD and the	2	myself, and it went to Carl via Bobbie Bayless.
3	certificates of trust and how Nelva can make unlimited	3	MS. BAYLESS: I'm sorry. What was the
4	gifts to Carl of doctors bills.	4	question that you didn't allow her to answer?
5	So I can't find anywhere in here where it	5	MR. REED: Whether it's a conflict for
6	came from Nelva, and there is no entry between	6	Mr. Matthews.
7	August 17th, 2010 and September 2nd of 2010, when she	7	MS. BAYLESS: Oh, okay.
8	came in to sign the documents on August 25th.	8	MR. SPIELMAN: Who, by the way, for the
9	So why was that not in here when	9	clarity of the record, has no connection to my law firm
10	everything else is in your notes?	10	Griffin & Matthews even though that
11	MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form.	11	MS. CANDACE CURTIS: It says Green.
12	MR. REED: Objection, form.	12	MR. SPIELMAN: Right. I just want to make
13	A. I don't know.	13	sure that there's a distinction being made between the
14	Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) Okay. Then since	14	letter you're referring to, which is Green & Matthews,
15	we're not getting anywhere with this stuff	15	and my law firm, who are attorneys of record for Amy
16	MR. REED: Objection, sidebar.	16	Brunsting, which is Griffin & Matthews.
17	MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Pardon me?	17	MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Okay.
18	MR. REED: I objected to your sidebar.	18	Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) So I guess you can't
19	MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Okay. Excuse me.	19	answer this question either. But how did Anita okay.
20	Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) So now, since I felt	20	Did you counsel Anita at all about her fiduciary duties
21	that my only course of remedy was to file suit after I	21	as a trustee?
22	had written the appropriate demand letters to my sisters	22	A. Of course.
23	to account and they hadn't, we have Bernard Matthews,	23	Q. Okay. And did you talk about self-dealing?
24	who was a staff attorney with Vacek & Freed,	24	A. I don't recall talking to her about that.
25	representing who represented Amy and Anita when they	25	Q. Did you talk about commingling funds?
	135		137
1	135 took over as trustees. Now we have him representing Amy	1	
1 2		1 2	137A. I believe that's in our engagement letter.Q. Okay. So when Anita determined that she was
	took over as trustees. Now we have him representing Amy		A. I believe that's in our engagement letter.
2	took over as trustees. Now we have him representing Amy and Anita under a Green & Matthews letterhead. Now, is this a conflict? MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form.	2	A. I believe that's in our engagement letter.Q. Okay. So when Anita determined that she was
2 3	took over as trustees. Now we have him representing Amy and Anita under a Green & Matthews letterhead. Now, is this a conflict? MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. MR. REED: Objection, form. I'm not going	2 3 4 5	A. I believe that's in our engagement letter. Q. Okay. So when Anita determined that she was due all of this money as trustee compensation, instead of writing a check to herself, which would have caused the accountant to have to send her a 1099 for trustee
2 3 4 5 6	took over as trustees. Now we have him representing Amy and Anita under a Green & Matthews letterhead. Now, is this a conflict? MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. MR. REED: Objection, form. I'm not going to allow her to answer that question.	2 3 4 5 6	A. I believe that's in our engagement letter. Q. Okay. So when Anita determined that she was due all of this money as trustee compensation, instead of writing a check to herself, which would have caused the accountant to have to send her a 1099 for trustee compensation, she just paid her personal credit card
2 3 4 5 6 7	took over as trustees. Now we have him representing Amy and Anita under a Green & Matthews letterhead. Now, is this a conflict? MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. MR. REED: Objection, form. I'm not going to allow her to answer that question. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7	A. I believe that's in our engagement letter. Q. Okay. So when Anita determined that she was due all of this money as trustee compensation, instead of writing a check to herself, which would have caused the accountant to have to send her a 1099 for trustee compensation, she just paid her personal credit card bills directly out of my mother's trust account.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	took over as trustees. Now we have him representing Amy and Anita under a Green & Matthews letterhead. Now, is this a conflict? MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. MR. REED: Objection, form. I'm not going to allow her to answer that question. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Okay. MR. SPIELMAN: What document was that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. I believe that's in our engagement letter. Q. Okay. So when Anita determined that she was due all of this money as trustee compensation, instead of writing a check to herself, which would have caused the accountant to have to send her a 1099 for trustee compensation, she just paid her personal credit card bills directly out of my mother's trust account. So that's why I was asking if you know if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	took over as trustees. Now we have him representing Amy and Anita under a Green & Matthews letterhead. Now, is this a conflict? MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. MR. REED: Objection, form. I'm not going to allow her to answer that question. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Okay. MR. SPIELMAN: What document was that? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: This is a law firm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. I believe that's in our engagement letter. Q. Okay. So when Anita determined that she was due all of this money as trustee compensation, instead of writing a check to herself, which would have caused the accountant to have to send her a 1099 for trustee compensation, she just paid her personal credit card bills directly out of my mother's trust account. So that's why I was asking if you know if she ever provided an accounting to Mother, because I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	took over as trustees. Now we have him representing Amy and Anita under a Green & Matthews letterhead. Now, is this a conflict? MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. MR. REED: Objection, form. I'm not going to allow her to answer that question. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Okay. MR. SPIELMAN: What document was that? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: This is a law firm copy of something. I don't know. It's not labeled.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. I believe that's in our engagement letter. Q. Okay. So when Anita determined that she was due all of this money as trustee compensation, instead of writing a check to herself, which would have caused the accountant to have to send her a 1099 for trustee compensation, she just paid her personal credit card bills directly out of my mother's trust account. So that's why I was asking if you know if she ever provided an accounting to Mother, because I don't think Mother would have agreed to that. But we'll
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	took over as trustees. Now we have him representing Amy and Anita under a Green & Matthews letterhead. Now, is this a conflict? MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. MR. REED: Objection, form. I'm not going to allow her to answer that question. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Okay. MR. SPIELMAN: What document was that? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: This is a law firm copy of something. I don't know. It's not labeled. MR. SPIELMAN: And you're reading from a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. I believe that's in our engagement letter. Q. Okay. So when Anita determined that she was due all of this money as trustee compensation, instead of writing a check to herself, which would have caused the accountant to have to send her a 1099 for trustee compensation, she just paid her personal credit card bills directly out of my mother's trust account. So that's why I was asking if you know if she ever provided an accounting to Mother, because I don't think Mother would have agreed to that. But we'll never know now. So when you're counseling somebody to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	took over as trustees. Now we have him representing Amy and Anita under a Green & Matthews letterhead. Now, is this a conflict? MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. MR. REED: Objection, form. I'm not going to allow her to answer that question. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Okay. MR. SPIELMAN: What document was that? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: This is a law firm copy of something. I don't know. It's not labeled. MR. SPIELMAN: And you're reading from a letterhead that says what and Matthews?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. I believe that's in our engagement letter. Q. Okay. So when Anita determined that she was due all of this money as trustee compensation, instead of writing a check to herself, which would have caused the accountant to have to send her a 1099 for trustee compensation, she just paid her personal credit card bills directly out of my mother's trust account. So that's why I was asking if you know if she ever provided an accounting to Mother, because I don't think Mother would have agreed to that. But we'll never know now. So when you're counseling somebody to be a trustee, you need to explain to them that that was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	took over as trustees. Now we have him representing Amy and Anita under a Green & Matthews letterhead. Now, is this a conflict? MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. MR. REED: Objection, form. I'm not going to allow her to answer that question. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Okay. MR. SPIELMAN: What document was that? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: This is a law firm copy of something. I don't know. It's not labeled. MR. SPIELMAN: And you're reading from a letterhead that says what and Matthews? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Green & Matthews.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. I believe that's in our engagement letter. Q. Okay. So when Anita determined that she was due all of this money as trustee compensation, instead of writing a check to herself, which would have caused the accountant to have to send her a 1099 for trustee compensation, she just paid her personal credit card bills directly out of my mother's trust account. So that's why I was asking if you know if she ever provided an accounting to Mother, because I don't think Mother would have agreed to that. But we'll never know now. So when you're counseling somebody to be a trustee, you need to explain to them that that was commingling, plain and simple.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	took over as trustees. Now we have him representing Amy and Anita under a Green & Matthews letterhead. Now, is this a conflict? MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. MR. REED: Objection, form. I'm not going to allow her to answer that question. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Okay. MR. SPIELMAN: What document was that? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: This is a law firm copy of something. I don't know. It's not labeled. MR. SPIELMAN: And you're reading from a letterhead that says what and Matthews? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Green & Matthews. MR. REED: What's that bottom number,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. I believe that's in our engagement letter. Q. Okay. So when Anita determined that she was due all of this money as trustee compensation, instead of writing a check to herself, which would have caused the accountant to have to send her a 1099 for trustee compensation, she just paid her personal credit card bills directly out of my mother's trust account. So that's why I was asking if you know if she ever provided an accounting to Mother, because I don't think Mother would have agreed to that. But we'll never know now. So when you're counseling somebody to be a trustee, you need to explain to them that that was commingling, plain and simple.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	took over as trustees. Now we have him representing Amy and Anita under a Green & Matthews letterhead. Now, is this a conflict? MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. MR. REED: Objection, form. I'm not going to allow her to answer that question. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Okay. MR. SPIELMAN: What document was that? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: This is a law firm copy of something. I don't know. It's not labeled. MR. SPIELMAN: And you're reading from a letterhead that says what and Matthews? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Green & Matthews. MR. REED: What's that bottom number, though?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. I believe that's in our engagement letter. Q. Okay. So when Anita determined that she was due all of this money as trustee compensation, instead of writing a check to herself, which would have caused the accountant to have to send her a 1099 for trustee compensation, she just paid her personal credit card bills directly out of my mother's trust account. So that's why I was asking if you know if she ever provided an accounting to Mother, because I don't think Mother would have agreed to that. But we'll never know now. So when you're counseling somebody to be a trustee, you need to explain to them that that was commingling, plain and simple. Then she wrote checks to pay off her son's car, and she wrote checks to pay off her daughter's car.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	took over as trustees. Now we have him representing Amy and Anita under a Green & Matthews letterhead. Now, is this a conflict? MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. MR. REED: Objection, form. I'm not going to allow her to answer that question. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Okay. MR. SPIELMAN: What document was that? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: This is a law firm copy of something. I don't know. It's not labeled. MR. SPIELMAN: And you're reading from a letterhead that says what and Matthews? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Green & Matthews. MR. REED: What's that bottom number, though? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: That's an exhibit	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. I believe that's in our engagement letter. Q. Okay. So when Anita determined that she was due all of this money as trustee compensation, instead of writing a check to herself, which would have caused the accountant to have to send her a 1099 for trustee compensation, she just paid her personal credit card bills directly out of my mother's trust account. So that's why I was asking if you know if she ever provided an accounting to Mother, because I don't think Mother would have agreed to that. But we'll never know now. So when you're counseling somebody to be a trustee, you need to explain to them that that was commingling, plain and simple. Then she wrote checks to pay off her son's car, and she wrote checks to pay off her daughter's car. This is all out of the survivor's trust account.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	took over as trustees. Now we have him representing Amy and Anita under a Green & Matthews letterhead. Now, is this a conflict? MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. MR. REED: Objection, form. Tm not going to allow her to answer that question. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Okay. MR. SPIELMAN: What document was that? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: This is a law firm copy of something. I don't know. It's not labeled. MR. SPIELMAN: And you're reading from a letterhead that says what and Matthews? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Green & Matthews. MR. REED: What's that bottom number, though? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: That's an exhibit that I had.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. I believe that's in our engagement letter. Q. Okay. So when Anita determined that she was due all of this money as trustee compensation, instead of writing a check to herself, which would have caused the accountant to have to send her a 1099 for trustee compensation, she just paid her personal credit card bills directly out of my mother's trust account. So that's why I was asking if you know if she ever provided an accounting to Mother, because I don't think Mother would have agreed to that. But we'll never know now. So when you're counseling somebody to be a trustee, you need to explain to them that that was commingling, plain and simple. Then she wrote checks to pay off her son's car, and she wrote checks to pay off her daughter's car. This is all out of the survivor's trust account.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	took over as trustees. Now we have him representing Amy and Anita under a Green & Matthews letterhead. Now, is this a conflict? MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. MR. REED: Objection, form. I'm not going to allow her to answer that question. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Okay. MR. SPIELMAN: What document was that? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: This is a law firm copy of something. I don't know. It's not labeled. MR. SPIELMAN: And you're reading from a letterhead that says what and Matthews? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Green & Matthews. MR. REED: What's that bottom number, though? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: That's an exhibit that I had. MR. REED: It's an April 5th, 2012 letter.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. I believe that's in our engagement letter. Q. Okay. So when Anita determined that she was due all of this money as trustee compensation, instead of writing a check to herself, which would have caused the accountant to have to send her a 1099 for trustee compensation, she just paid her personal credit card bills directly out of my mother's trust account. So that's why I was asking if you know if she ever provided an accounting to Mother, because I don't think Mother would have agreed to that. But we'll never know now. So when you're counseling somebody to be a trustee, you need to explain to them that that was commingling, plain and simple. Then she wrote checks to pay off her son's car, and she wrote checks to pay off her daughter's car. This is all out of the survivor's trust account. So I don't know if my mother knew about that or not.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	took over as trustees. Now we have him representing Amy and Anita under a Green & Matthews letterhead. Now, is this a conflict? MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. MR. REED: Objection, form. I'm not going to allow her to answer that question. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Okay. MR. SPIELMAN: What document was that? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: This is a law firm copy of something. I don't know. It's not labeled. MR. SPIELMAN: And you're reading from a letterhead that says what and Matthews? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Green & Matthews. MR. REED: What's that bottom number, though? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: That's an exhibit that I had. MR. REED: It's an April 5th, 2012 letter. At the bottom it says P12146 through 12147. I'm not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. I believe that's in our engagement letter. Q. Okay. So when Anita determined that she was due all of this money as trustee compensation, instead of writing a check to herself, which would have caused the accountant to have to send her a 1099 for trustee compensation, she just paid her personal credit card bills directly out of my mother's trust account. So that's why I was asking if you know if she ever provided an accounting to Mother, because I don't think Mother would have agreed to that. But we'll never know now. So when you're counseling somebody to be a trustee, you need to explain to them that that was commingling, plain and simple. Then she wrote checks to pay off her son's car, and she wrote checks to pay off her daughter's car. This is all out of the survivor's trust account. So I don't know if my mother knew about that or not. MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	took over as trustees. Now we have him representing Amy and Anita under a Green & Matthews letterhead. Now, is this a conflict? MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. MR. REED: Objection, form. I'm not going to allow her to answer that question. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Okay. MR. SPIELMAN: What document was that? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: This is a law firm copy of something. I don't know. It's not labeled. MR. SPIELMAN: And you're reading from a letterhead that says what and Matthews? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Green & Matthews. MR. REED: What's that bottom number, though? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: That's an exhibit that I had. MR. REED: It's an April 5th, 2012 letter. At the bottom it says P12146 through 12147. I'm not sure what that came from.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. I believe that's in our engagement letter. Q. Okay. So when Anita determined that she was due all of this money as trustee compensation, instead of writing a check to herself, which would have caused the accountant to have to send her a 1099 for trustee compensation, she just paid her personal credit card bills directly out of my mother's trust account. So that's why I was asking if you know if she ever provided an accounting to Mother, because I don't think Mother would have agreed to that. But we'll never know now. So when you're counseling somebody to be a trustee, you need to explain to them that that was commingling, plain and simple. Then she wrote checks to pay off her son's car, and she wrote checks to pay off her daughter's car. This is all out of the survivor's trust account. So I don't know if my mother knew about that or not. MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. MR. REED: There's no question.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	took over as trustees. Now we have him representing Amy and Anita under a Green & Matthews letterhead. Now, is this a conflict? MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. MR. REED: Objection, form. I'm not going to allow her to answer that question. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Okay. MR. SPIELMAN: What document was that? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: This is a law firm copy of something. I don't know. It's not labeled. MR. SPIELMAN: And you're reading from a letterhead that says what and Matthews? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Green & Matthews. MR. REED: What's that bottom number, though? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: That's an exhibit that I had. MR. REED: It's an April 5th, 2012 letter. At the bottom it says P12146 through 12147. I'm not sure what that came from. MR. SPIELMAN: Which case?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. I believe that's in our engagement letter. Q. Okay. So when Anita determined that she was due all of this money as trustee compensation, instead of writing a check to herself, which would have caused the accountant to have to send her a 1099 for trustee compensation, she just paid her personal credit card bills directly out of my mother's trust account. So that's why I was asking if you know if she ever provided an accounting to Mother, because I don't think Mother would have agreed to that. But we'll never know now. So when you're counseling somebody to be a trustee, you need to explain to them that that was commingling, plain and simple. Then she wrote checks to pay off her son's car, and she wrote checks to pay off her daughter's car. This is all out of the survivor's trust account. So I don't know if my mother knew about that or not. MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. MR. REED: There's no question. Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) So did she ever ask
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	took over as trustees. Now we have him representing Amy and Anita under a Green & Matthews letterhead. Now, is this a conflict? MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. MR. REED: Objection, form. I'm not going to allow her to answer that question. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Okay. MR. SPIELMAN: What document was that? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: This is a law firm copy of something. I don't know. It's not labeled. MR. SPIELMAN: And you're reading from a letterhead that says what and Matthews? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Green & Matthews. MR. REED: What's that bottom number, though? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: That's an exhibit that I had. MR. REED: It's an April 5th, 2012 letter. At the bottom it says P12146 through 12147. I'm not sure what that came from. MR. SPIELMAN: Which case? MR. REED: Yeah.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. I believe that's in our engagement letter. Q. Okay. So when Anita determined that she was due all of this money as trustee compensation, instead of writing a check to herself, which would have caused the accountant to have to send her a 1099 for trustee compensation, she just paid her personal credit card bills directly out of my mother's trust account. So that's why I was asking if you know if she ever provided an accounting to Mother, because I don't think Mother would have agreed to that. But we'll never know now. So when you're counseling somebody to be a trustee, you need to explain to them that that was commingling, plain and simple. Then she wrote checks to pay off her son's car, and she wrote checks to pay off her daughter's car. This is all out of the survivor's trust account. So I don't know if my mother knew about that or not. MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. MR. REED: There's no question.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	took over as trustees. Now we have him representing Amy and Anita under a Green & Matthews letterhead. Now, is this a conflict? MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. MR. REED: Objection, form. I'm not going to allow her to answer that question. MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Okay. MR. SPIELMAN: What document was that? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: This is a law firm copy of something. I don't know. It's not labeled. MR. SPIELMAN: And you're reading from a letterhead that says what and Matthews? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: Green & Matthews. MR. REED: What's that bottom number, though? MS. CANDACE CURTIS: That's an exhibit that I had. MR. REED: It's an April 5th, 2012 letter. At the bottom it says P12146 through 12147. I'm not sure what that came from. MR. SPIELMAN: Which case?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. I believe that's in our engagement letter. Q. Okay. So when Anita determined that she was due all of this money as trustee compensation, instead of writing a check to herself, which would have caused the accountant to have to send her a 1099 for trustee compensation, she just paid her personal credit card bills directly out of my mother's trust account. So that's why I was asking if you know if she ever provided an accounting to Mother, because I don't think Mother would have agreed to that. But we'll never know now. So when you're counseling somebody to be a trustee, you need to explain to them that that was commingling, plain and simple. Then she wrote checks to pay off her son's car, and she wrote checks to pay off her daughter's car. This is all out of the survivor's trust account. So I don't know if my mother knew about that or not. MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. MR. REED: There's no question. Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) So did she ever ask you if she could pay her credit card bills out of the

35 (Pages 134 to 137)

	138		140
1	A. I do not recall ever being asked that question.	1	A. I'm sure they did.
2	Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) But she did ask you a	2	Q. Okay. When you left the Vacek firm, what was
3	lot of questions about gifting.	3	the reason that you left?
4	MR. REED: Objection, form.	4	A. I went on to on my own.
5	Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) When my mother	5	Q. Just
6	resigned as trustee, was she allowed to continue	6	A. Private practice.
7	gifting?	7	Q. I mean, you just wanted to?
8	A. "She"?	8	A. Sure, uh-huh.
9	Q. My mother?	9	Q. Did you leave on friendly terms?
10	A. Yes.	10	A. Yeah.
11	Q. When she was no longer trustee, just a mere	11	Q. Do you still do any work with them, work on
12	beneficiary?	12	cases with them or anything?
13	MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form.	13	A. With them, no, because they are not in business
14	MR. REED: Objection, form.	14	any longer.
15	Q. (By Ms. Candace Curtis) Okay. I guess I'm	15	Q. Oh, they're not?
16	done.	16	A. No.
17	MR. REED: Who's next?	17	Q. When did that happen?
18	MS. BAYLESS: Let's go off the record for	18	A. I don't know. I've been gone since 2015,
19	a second.	19	so
20	(Recess taken.)	20	Q. Okay.
21		21	A. I don't know when they officially shut their
22		22	doors.
23		23	Q. When you left, did you know that they were
24		24	getting ready to shut their doors?
25		25	A. Did not.
	139		141
1	EXAMINATION	1	Q. Did they shut the doors
2	QUESTIONS BY MS. BAYLESS:	2	A. That was four years after.
3	Q. Ms. Freed, I'm Bobbie Bayless. I represent	3	Q. I'm sorry?
5	Carl Brunsting. Do you understand that?	5	A. It's been four years, so I
6	A. I do.	6	Q. Okay. So sometime after you left, they closed,
7	Q. Okay. I want to ask a couple of questions that	7	but you don't know when?
8	go back to earlier today. A. Uh-huh.	8	A. I don't know when their official date was, no. Q. Okay. Are you board-certified in estate
9	Q. What law school did you attend? I couldn't	9	planning and probate?
10	hear that. You may have answered it.	10	A. I am not.
11	A. St. Mary's.	11	Q. Have you ever taken that exam?
12	Q. Okay. And you graduated when?	12	A. I have,
13	A. 2003.	13	Q. When did you take that exam?
14	Q. How was it that you ended up being employed at	14	A. I don't recall. I think it may have been 2013
15	the Vacek firm? How did you meet them?	15	maybe.
16	A. I applied for an associate position.	16	Q. Okay. And do you have plans to take it again?
17	Q. Okay. And you said that you never were a	17	A. Currently, no.
18	partner there?	18	Q. So you only took it one time?
19	A. That's correct.	19	A. I sat for it one time; that's correct, yes.
20	Q. So why was your name in the firm name?	20	Q. In connection with the documents that were
21	A. It was just something that they did.	21	done now, you weren't there when the restatement was
22	Q. Okay.	22	done. You obviously weren't there when the first,
23	A. They changed names over the years. That was	23	original trust was done. So you didn't have anything to
24	just the way they did it.	24	do with either of those documents?
25	Q. Okay. Did they ask you to do that?	25	A. Correct.

36 (Pages 138 to 141)
	142		144
1	Q. In terms of there was an amendment done, I	1	next time a client calls in or comes in, we know what
2	believe, in did you have any occasion to be involved	2	was done the last time, who spoke with them and what
3	in an amendment of the trust prior to what we're calling	3	needed to be done.
4	the QBDs?	4	Q. When you say "the system," what particular
5	A. Yes.	5	software are you using?
6	MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. Which	6	A. It's called Act!
7	trust?	7	Q. A-c-t?
8	MS. BAYLESS: The restated trust.	8	A. A-c-t.
9	A. Yes.	9	Q. Is that like a lawyer management system, or
10	Q. (By Ms. Bayless) And do you recall what the	10	what is that?
11	nature of the amendment was that you did?	11	A. I would describe it as a database.
12	-	12	
13	A. May I look at it?	13	Q. Okay. And so the person making the entry
	Q. Sure, absolutely.		well, first of all, do you still use this system at your
14	MR. SPIELMAN: Exhibit 3 in the binder.	14	firm?
15	A. It appears it was to change successor trustees.	15	A. I do not.
16	Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. And that's Exhibit 3	16	Q. So you only used this at the Vacek firm?
17	in the binder, right?	17	A. This was specific to the law firm, yes.
18	A. Yes, that's correct.	18	Q. Did they use this system the entire time that
19	Q. So this would, I assume, have been your first	19	you were there?
20	involvement with this estate plan?	20	A. To the best of my knowledge, yes.
21	A. I was the notary. So that's most likely. It's	21	Q. So were you trained on how to use it?
22	not necessarily that I met with them. I just may have	22	A. Not I mean, yes, as you went along. There
23	notarized the document.	23	was no formal training, but yes.
24	Q. All right. One of the things that I'm going to	24	Q. And were you given instructions as to what was
25	want to go through with you some are your notes, what	25	to go into the notes or the history?
1 2	are called Notes/History.	1	A. I'm sure at some point we were.
	A. I don't have those.	2	Q. Okay. Well, looking, if you would, at
3	Q. Let me get you a set.	3	Exhibit 17 first, I think you have to I'm using it
4	(Exhibits 17 and 18 marked.)	4	this way because this is the way it was numbered when it
5	Q. (By Ms. Bayless) All right. So we have marked	5	was provided. But to find the beginning of this
6	as Exhibit 17 what is numbered at the bottom V&F 001176	6	document, you have to go to V&F 001183, I think.
7	through 1197. Does that look right?	7	A. Okay.
8	A. Those are the numbers on the bottom.	8	Q. So the entries on this page begin in 2003. So
9	Q. I'm sorry?	9	that's obviously before you were there.
10	A. Those are the numbers that appear at the	10	A. Yes.
11	bottom.	11	Q. Who maintained this database?
12	Q. Okay. And then we have marked as Exhibit 18	12	MR. REED: Object to form.
13	let's just go ahead and identify that the document	13	A. I don't know what you're asking.
14	that was just produced yesterday part of the	14	Q. (By Ms. Bayless) I'm not sure I do either.
15	documents that were produced yesterday, that begin with	15	If you well, this says that this
16	the numbers V&F 002168 and go through 2183. Is that	16	document, first of all, was created if you look at
17	correct?	17	the very bottom, it says it was created March 22nd,
		18	2012.
18	A. Yes, that's correct.	1	
	A. Yes, that's correct.O. So these are documents that your attorney	19	A. Okav.
18	Q. So these are documents that your attorney	19 20	A. Okay. O Now Lassume that means when it was printed?
18 19 20	Q. So these are documents that your attorney produced, and they say at the top that they are	20	Q. Now, I assume that means when it was printed?
18 19 20 21	Q. So these are documents that your attorney produced, and they say at the top that they are Notes/History.	20 21	Q. Now, I assume that means when it was printed?A. Probably. That would be my guess.
18 19 20 21 22	Q. So these are documents that your attorney produced, and they say at the top that they are Notes/History. Would you tell me exactly where these come	20 21 22	Q. Now, I assume that means when it was printed?A. Probably. That would be my guess.Q. Did everyone have access to the database at the
18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. So these are documents that your attorney produced, and they say at the top that they are Notes/History. Would you tell me exactly where these come from?	20 21 22 23	Q. Now, I assume that means when it was printed?A. Probably. That would be my guess.Q. Did everyone have access to the database at the office, everyone at the office?
18 19 20 21 22	Q. So these are documents that your attorney produced, and they say at the top that they are Notes/History. Would you tell me exactly where these come	20 21 22	Q. Now, I assume that means when it was printed?A. Probably. That would be my guess.Q. Did everyone have access to the database at the

37 (Pages 142 to 145)

	146		148
1	computers?	1	administration of the trust at that point?
2	A. It was on a server, uh-huh.	2	A. Well, you'd have to define "administration."
3	Q. So if you look at this page 1183 I'm going	3	Clients don't have me do their trusts when they're still
4	to just refer to them by the last numbers if that's	4	living. They administer their own trusts.
5	okay. If you look at 1183 and you see the 2-1-2003	5	Q. So you didn't do anything you didn't
6	entry. Then there's not another entry until 2005.	6	transfer property into the trust for them?
7	Do you see that?	7	A. No.
8	A. Uh-huh.	8	Q. Did you tell them how to do that?
9	Q. And it says on January 12th, 2005, they came in	9	A. Well, I'm sure they were told how to do that
10	to sign a restatement.	10	and did that on their own when they initially did the
11	So we're going to assume that that's the	11	trust.
12	restatement that is Exhibit 2.	12	Q. But it wouldn't have involved you?
13	A. Okay.	13	A. No. That would have been years before I was
14	Q. Do you think that's in all likelihood the case?	14	there.
15	A. Probably.	15	Q. Well, you said you were sure, but you're just
16 17	Q. Okay. Now, there aren't any entries in here	16 17	assuming that that's what happened?
17	about what was going to be in that document, in that	18	MR. REED: Objection, form.
19	restatement, Exhibit 2, right?	19	A. If it's in the trust's name, somebody
20	A. I don't see any.Q. And, to your knowledge, did anybody ever go in	20	transferred it into the name of the trust, their assets. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. So when you learned of
21	and take out entries after they were put in?	21	Elmer's dementia, it didn't change your relationship or
22	A. I don't believe so. I don't know why they	22	anything that you were involved in?
23	would.	23	A. No.
24	Q. Anybody wouldn't have that authority, that you	24	Q. You heard Carole earlier say that her mother
25	know of, right?	25	wasn't a paperwork person. Was that your experience in
	147		149
1	MR. REED: Objection, form.	1	dealing with her?
2	A. I don't know.	2	A. Yes.
3	Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. So then there's not	3	Q. Okay. So there was an amendment that you at
4	another appointment until 2007, and then there are just	4	least notarized; whether you prepared it or not, you
5	some entries about scheduling appointments.	5	notarized it in 2007?
6	Then on September 19th, 2007, there	6	A. Correct. I agree I notarized it. I don't know
7	appears to be an entry where Nelva called and talked	7	if I prepared it.
8	about Elmer's dementia.	8	Q. And then what is the next thing that you recall
9	So were you helping them at the time that	9	you did for these folks, for Nelva or Elmer?
10	Elmer developed dementia? Do you recall?	10	A. I don't recall. I'm sorry.
11	MR. SPIELMAN: Form.	11	Q. That's all right. I don't recall what I had
12	A. Yes.	12	for breakfast a couple days ago.
13	Q. (By Ms. Bayless) And how did you first learn	13	But if you remembered anything or if you
14 15	about that?	14 15	did anything, you don't remember at this point?A. Correct.
16	A. In meeting with Nelva.Q. And when you met with Nelva initially, did you	16	Q. Now, I notice over to the right on this
17	meet with her by yourself? Did you meet with her with	17	Notes/History that it has a name, typically, to the
18	Mr. or Ms. Vacek, or do you recall how any of that	18	right of an entry. And I assume that's who made the
19	happened?	19	entry?
20	A. I don't recall. I don't.	20	A. Typically, yes. But the system was limited.
21	Q. So at some point adjustments had to be made to	21	So I'm going to point out that a lot of times you will
22	how the trust was being administered because of Elmer's	22	see my initials, CLF, at the end of a paragraph.
23	dementia, correct?	23	Q. Okay.
24	A. I suppose that that was the case, yes.	24	A. And that lets me know I actually put that in.
25	Q. So were you not involved in any of the	25	Because they had plenty of employees that came and went

38 (Pages 146 to 149)

	150	152
1	over time. Due to the limitations on the system, you	¹ Q. Was anybody ever authorized to put in an entry
2	can only have so many names. So they would change	² about what you did?
3	somebody's name to mine, and then it would go back	³ A. Ever? I have no idea.
4	through the system and change it all the way back.	4 Q. Okay.
5	So I learned to put my initials at the end	5 A. Typically it did not happen, but I can't say
6	of everything very quickly, because when they added a	⁶ never.
7	new name, it caused the names on the right to be	⁷ Q. Okay. So pretty much whoever performed the
8	changed. It was just a limitation on the database	⁸ task put the entry in?
9	system.	9 A. Yes.
10	Q. You have completely lost me on that, though.	¹⁰ Q. To your knowledge, this situation that you
11	A. Sorry. Sorry I'm confusing	¹¹ talked about where somebody gets added to the system and
12	Q. It's a fine explanation. I just don't really	¹² then it messes the names up and the history of the
13	understand it.	¹³ system, there isn't anything that affects these notes
14	You said that if they put another name in,	¹⁴ about this particular case, that you know of, like that,
15	it would knock you off. Is that it basically?	¹⁵ is there?
16	A. No. When they wanted to add me when I started	¹⁶ A. I don't know because I didn't go through and
17	working there	¹⁷ match up the record manager with whether my initials
18	Q. Yes.	¹⁸ were at the end or not.
19	A the system only allowed six or seven names,	¹⁹ Q. Looking on page 1182 just because I'm still
20	as I recall, to be people who could be a record manager.	²⁰ trying to understand this database, looking at the
21	And that means that people that already entered things,	²¹ 6-30-2018 entry?
22	they had to be removed and I had to be added. And when	²² A. 6 what?
23	that happened, whoever I was replaced with, if they had	²³ Q. 6-30-2018, second entry up there. It says that
24	notes in there, it replaced me, my name, with the record	²⁴ Nelva called to schedule an appointments with CLF.
25	manager even though I wasn't even at the firm yet.	²⁵ I assume that's you?
	151	152
	151	153
1	Q. Okay.	A. That's correct.
2	A. So I learned very quickly to put my initials at	² Q. Once you started doing the work for them, were
3	the end of the paragraph so that I knew that it was	³ you the only person that really dealt with them?
4	actually something that I typed.	 A torney-wise, I mean. A With Ms Brunsting probably because she did
5	Q. Okay.	A. With Ms. Druhsting, probably because she ulu
6	A. You can usually tell I typed something because	⁶ not like to be shifted around between attorneys. Over
7	I'm a horrible typist, and I would misspell things all	 the years we had attorneys coming and going, and she was not a fan of that
8	the time.	
9	Q. So even if it says Candace Freed, it's better,	⁹ Q. Okay.
10	sounds like, to look at the end of the paragraph to see	¹⁰ A. So once she met you, she wanted to stay. So I
11	your initials to know for sure that came from you?	 would say typically, yes, that would be true. O Okay And it says after called to schedule
12	A. That's correct.	Q. Okuj. The it sujs after caned to schedule
13	Q. Now, would there have been entries that related	¹³ appointment with you
14	to you that someone else might have had responsibility	14 A. Uh-huh. 15 O 15 O
15	to put in?	Q. what is 4 appointment .
16	A. Oh, of course.	A. A number 4 is a type of appointment so that I
17	Q. Who would have put entries in for you?	¹⁷ know what I'm coming into.
18	A. Not for me. I put my own notes in.	¹⁸ Q. All right. And what type of appointment is
19 20	Q. Okay.	¹⁹ that?
20	A. But if somebody else had conversations with	A. A 4 would be a review appointment.
21	them, with any of the clients, or set up a meeting for	Q. How would we know what the various options are?
22	me, on my behalf, their names would appear there.	22 A. You wouldn't unless you worked there. 23 O. Okay.
23 24	Q. Okay. They would be putting in an entry about	
24 25	what they did?	in These were set before reven started working
25	A. Correct.	²⁵ there, and that was the way they did things.

39 (Pages 150 to 153)

	154		156
1	Q. Okay.	1	couple entries, but the bottom entry that is dated
2	A. Sorry.	2	April 3rd, 2009 indicates that it says, "CLF received
3	Q. So 4 was a review?	3	message from the AS that Nelva had called."
4	A. Uh-huh.	4	Now, what is the AS?
5	Q. "Set for T." I assume that's the day of the	5	A. Answering service.
6	week?	6	Q. Okay. I'm going to have to get up on my
7	A. I guess.	7	acronyms.
8	Q. "7-1 at 3:30." Then it's got "EM."	8	A. Well, it depends on who typed it and what day.
9	A. That's probably e-mailed to me, probably	9	Just saying.
10	e-mailing me, letting me know that I have an appointment	10	Q. Okay. And so this is informing you that Elmer
11	that day.	11	had passed away on April 1st, 2009.
12	Q. So e-mailed to you?	12	A. It appears to be true, yes.
13	A. Yeah.	13	Q. You probably don't have an independent
14	Q. So your initials there don't mean you put it	14	recollection, but you don't have any reason to believe
15	in.	15	that's not the date of his death, do you?
16	A. That's correct.	16	A. I do not.
17	Q. The e-mail was to you.	17	Q. So this looks like, even though you refer to
18	A. I can see the SK because my assistant learned	18	yourself, you said, "CLF received message"; you're the
19	very quickly also that she better put her initials at	19	one typing this entry
20	the bottom. Because look at the record manager says	20	A. Uh-huh.
21	Tanya Lyrock; and I see SK, which is Summer Kennan,	21	Q because it has your initials on there?
22	which was my assistant. So that's why.	22	A. Right. I believe that's fair to say.
23	Q. Okay. So this may be one of those instances	23	Q. Okay. All right. So after well, first,
24	where the name got changed?	24	before Elmer died, do you recall ever having any
25	A. Exactly.	25	conversation with Anita about any of these trust issues?
	155		157
1	Q. Who was Tanya Lyrock?	1	MR. SPIELMAN: Form.
2	A. A previous employee.	2	A. No, I do not.
3	Q. The name of your assistant was what?	3	Q. (By Ms. Bayless) And before Elmer died, do you
4	A. Her name was Summer Kennan; but she got married	4	remember having any conversations with Amy about any of
5	in the interim, so it was Summer Peoples.	5	the trust issues?
6	Q. How do you spell the K?	6	MR. SPIELMAN: Form.
7	A. K-e-n-n-a-n.	7	A. I do not.
8	Q. Once she married, it changed to Peoples?	8	Q. (By Ms. Bayless) After Elmer died, when was
9	A. And then you'll see SKP.	9	the first conversation that you recall having with Anita
10	Q. All right. Okay. So these entries around this	10	about trust issues?
11 12	time period were relating to the fact that Elmer was no	11 12	MR. REED: Form.
12	longer really able to handle the financial affairs. Is	12	A. I would imagine it was around the time that
13	that fair?	13	Carl fell ill.
14	A. Which date?	15	Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. And is it your
16	Q. Well, these dates in 2008 that we're looking at	16	recollection we'll go through some of these entries.
17	on page 1182.	17	I'm not trying to force you
18	A. It appears that way based off just what I'm	18	A. I understand. You're asking me to recall. I
19	reading in here. Q. All right. In the very first line there, it	19	can sit and read them to you.
20	says, "Nelva, Elmer and one son came in for Nelva to	20	Q. Yeah, yeah. Is it your recollection that the very first conversation you had with Anita about any of
20	says, Nerva, Eimer and one son came in for Nerva to sign the new COT."	20	this related to Carl's illness?
22	What does COT stand for?	21	A. Yes.
22	A. Certificate of trust.	23	A. res.Q. And when you had that first conversation with
24	Q. All right. So if you go over to page 1181,	23	Anita, was that how you learned that Carl had been ill,
	2. The fight. So is you go over to page 1101,	1	a man, was that now you realled that Call had been ill,
25	there is an entry at the very bottom. There are a	25	or had you already learned that from Nelva?

40 (Pages 154 to 157)

	158	160
1	A. I had known that already from Nelva.	¹ Q. Okay. Let's go back to this Notes/History and
2	Q. And what is your recollection of what Nelva	² looking at the page number that's 1179.
3	said about Carl's illness?	³ So starting with the 1-20-2010 entries,
4	A. My recollection was that he was very ill and	⁴ you see that somebody has put in "Merlin Case" I
5	she wasn't sure if he was going to make it. That's what	⁵ don't know. Is that
6	I recall.	⁶ A. The receptionist.
7	Q. Okay. And then do you know how I mean, did	⁷ Q has put in a notation that Nelva called for
8	she ask you to do anything at that point?	⁸ an appointment, called for you and wants to make an
9	A. Her concerns were he was listed on every single	⁹ appointment. Then she said she e-mailed this to you,
10	document; and she had her own health issues, and how do	¹⁰ and it says carbon copy who's the carbon copy to?
11	we resolve that if something happens to her	¹¹ Who is TS?
12	Q. All right.	¹² A. I don't recall unless that's time slips like
13	A while Carl is sick.	¹³ our billing software.
14	Q. Okay. And had you known before that call that	¹⁴ Q. Okay.
15	she was having health issues?	¹⁵ A. Although I don't know why she would.
16	A. No.	¹⁶ Q. Right.
17	Q. So you learned about both in the same	¹⁷ A. I don't know what that is.
18	conversation?	¹⁸ Q. Then it looks like that same day you called
19	A. I learned about it when she came to visit me.	¹⁹ her; and she was needing to know some information about
20	Q. And do you know how long it was after?	²⁰ the family trust, right? Do you see that in the next
21	A. I don't recall. I'm sorry.	²¹ entry above?
22	Q. Have you ever had a conversation with Drina,	²² A. Uh-huh.
23	Carl's wife?	²³ Q. So she was asking about the tax ID number for
24	A. Not that I recall.	²⁴ the family trust?
25	Q. Prior to the time that you had you had a	²⁵ A. Uh-huh.
	159	161
1		
1 2	159 conference call that we're going to talk about in some detail, I think October 25th, 2010. I know Carole was	
	conference call that we're going to talk about in some	¹ Q. And it says, "I told her for now to use her
2	conference call that we're going to talk about in some detail, I think October 25th, 2010. I know Carole was	1 Q. And it says, "I told her for now to use her 2 social."
2 3	conference call that we're going to talk about in some detail, I think October 25th, 2010. I know Carole was involved in that conference call, and I believe Candy	 Q. And it says, "I told her for now to use her social." A. Uh-huh.
2 3 4	conference call that we're going to talk about in some detail, I think October 25th, 2010. I know Carole was involved in that conference call, and I believe Candy was involved in that conference call.	1 Q. And it says, "I told her for now to use her 2 social." 3 A. Uh-huh. 4 Q. So there had been no tax ID number obtained for
2 3 4 5	conference call that we're going to talk about in some detail, I think October 25th, 2010. I know Carole was involved in that conference call, and I believe Candy was involved in that conference call. Prior to that conference call, had you had	 Q. And it says, "I told her for now to use her social." A. Uh-huh. Q. So there had been no tax ID number obtained for the family trust at that point?
2 3 4 5 6	conference call that we're going to talk about in some detail, I think October 25th, 2010. I know Carole was involved in that conference call, and I believe Candy was involved in that conference call. Prior to that conference call, had you had conversations with either one of them?	 Q. And it says, "I told her for now to use her social." A. Uh-huh. Q. So there had been no tax ID number obtained for the family trust at that point? A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7	conference call that we're going to talk about in some detail, I think October 25th, 2010. I know Carole was involved in that conference call, and I believe Candy was involved in that conference call. Prior to that conference call, had you had conversations with either one of them? A. Either Candy or Carole?	1 Q. And it says, "I told her for now to use her 2 social." 3 A. Uh-huh. 4 Q. So there had been no tax ID number obtained for 5 the family trust at that point? 6 A. No. 7 Q. What was your practice on when you would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 conference call that we're going to talk about in some detail, I think October 25th, 2010. I know Carole was involved in that conference call, and I believe Candy was involved in that conference call. Prior to that conference call, had you had conversations with either one of them? A. Either Candy or Carole? Q. Right. 	1 Q. And it says, "I told her for now to use her 2 social." 3 A. Uh-huh. 4 Q. So there had been no tax ID number obtained for 5 the family trust at that point? 6 A. No. 7 Q. What was your practice on when you would 8 normally obtain a tax ID number for a trust?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 conference call that we're going to talk about in some detail, I think October 25th, 2010. I know Carole was involved in that conference call, and I believe Candy was involved in that conference call. Prior to that conference call, had you had conversations with either one of them? A. Either Candy or Carole? Q. Right. A. Not that I recall. 	1 Q. And it says, "I told her for now to use her 2 social." 3 A. Uh-huh. 4 Q. So there had been no tax ID number obtained for 5 the family trust at that point? 6 A. No. 7 Q. What was your practice on when you would 8 normally obtain a tax ID number for a trust? 9 A. Typically on the first death, when the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 conference call that we're going to talk about in some detail, I think October 25th, 2010. I know Carole was involved in that conference call, and I believe Candy was involved in that conference call. Prior to that conference call, had you had conversations with either one of them? A. Either Candy or Carole? Q. Right. A. Not that I recall. Q. Going back again to the notes and history, what 	1 Q. And it says, "I told her for now to use her 2 social." 3 A. Uh-huh. 4 Q. So there had been no tax ID number obtained for 5 the family trust at that point? 6 A. No. 7 Q. What was your practice on when you would 8 normally obtain a tax ID number for a trust? 9 A. Typically on the first death, when the 10 decedent's trust was being funded, that would get a tax
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 conference call that we're going to talk about in some detail, I think October 25th, 2010. I know Carole was involved in that conference call, and I believe Candy was involved in that conference call. Prior to that conference call, had you had conversations with either one of them? A. Either Candy or Carole? Q. Right. A. Not that I recall. Q. Going back again to the notes and history, what was your practice in terms of what rose to the level of 	1 Q. And it says, "I told her for now to use her 2 social." 3 A. Uh-huh. 4 Q. So there had been no tax ID number obtained for 5 the family trust at that point? 6 A. No. 7 Q. What was your practice on when you would 8 normally obtain a tax ID number for a trust? 9 A. Typically on the first death, when the 10 decedent's trust was being funded, that would get a tax 11 ID number. The survivor's trust 12 Q. And until then 13 A would get the social.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 conference call that we're going to talk about in some detail, I think October 25th, 2010. I know Carole was involved in that conference call, and I believe Candy was involved in that conference call. Prior to that conference call, had you had conversations with either one of them? A. Either Candy or Carole? Q. Right. A. Not that I recall. Q. Going back again to the notes and history, what was your practice in terms of what rose to the level of being put in the notes and history? A. Put enough information in there so that if you had to pick it up two weeks later, a month later, a year 	1 Q. And it says, "I told her for now to use her 2 social." 3 A. Uh-huh. 4 Q. So there had been no tax ID number obtained for 5 the family trust at that point? 6 A. No. 7 Q. What was your practice on when you would 8 normally obtain a tax ID number for a trust? 9 A. Typically on the first death, when the 10 decedent's trust was being funded, that would get a tax 11 ID number. The survivor's trust 12 Q. And until then 13 A would get the social. 14 Q. So everything just passed through to their tax
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 conference call that we're going to talk about in some detail, I think October 25th, 2010. I know Carole was involved in that conference call, and I believe Candy was involved in that conference call. The prior to that conference call, had you had conversations with either one of them? A. Either Candy or Carole? Q. Right. A. Not that I recall. Q. Going back again to the notes and history, what was your practice in terms of what rose to the level of being put in the notes and history? A. Put enough information in there so that if you had to pick it up two weeks later, a month later, a year later, you would know what you did and where you were on 	1 Q. And it says, "I told her for now to use her 2 social." 3 A. Uh-huh. 4 Q. So there had been no tax ID number obtained for 5 the family trust at that point? 6 A. No. 7 Q. What was your practice on when you would 8 normally obtain a tax ID number for a trust? 9 A. Typically on the first death, when the 10 decedent's trust was being funded, that would get a tax 11 ID number. The survivor's trust 12 Q. And until then 13 A would get the social. 14 Q. So everything just passed through to their tax 15 return?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 conference call that we're going to talk about in some detail, I think October 25th, 2010. I know Carole was involved in that conference call, and I believe Candy was involved in that conference call, had you had conversations with either one of them? A. Either Candy or Carole? Q. Right. A. Not that I recall. Q. Going back again to the notes and history, what was your practice in terms of what rose to the level of being put in the notes and history? A. Put enough information in there so that if you had to pick it up two weeks later, a month later, a year later, you would know what you did and where you were on it and that somebody else could come pick it up behind 	1 Q. And it says, "I told her for now to use her 2 social." 3 A. Uh-huh. 4 Q. So there had been no tax ID number obtained for 5 the family trust at that point? 6 A. No. 7 Q. What was your practice on when you would 8 normally obtain a tax ID number for a trust? 9 A. Typically on the first death, when the 10 decedent's trust was being funded, that would get a tax 11 ID number. The survivor's trust 12 Q. And until then 13 A would get the social. 14 Q. So everything just passed through to their tax 15 return? 16 A. Uh-huh.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 conference call that we're going to talk about in some detail, I think October 25th, 2010. I know Carole was involved in that conference call, and I believe Candy was involved in that conference call. Trior to that conference call, had you had conversations with either one of them? A. Either Candy or Carole? Q. Right. A. Not that I recall. Q. Going back again to the notes and history, what was your practice in terms of what rose to the level of being put in the notes and history? A. Put enough information in there so that if you had to pick it up two weeks later, a month later, a year later, you would know what you did and where you were on it and that somebody else could come pick it up behind you and be able to assess where you were, what you did 	1 Q. And it says, "I told her for now to use her 2 social." 3 A. Uh-huh. 4 Q. So there had been no tax ID number obtained for 5 the family trust at that point? 6 A. No. 7 Q. What was your practice on when you would 8 normally obtain a tax ID number for a trust? 9 A. Typically on the first death, when the 10 decedent's trust was being funded, that would get a tax 11 ID number. The survivor's trust 12 Q. And until then 13 A would get the social. 14 Q. So everything just passed through to their tax 15 return? 16 A. Uh-huh. 17 Q. Correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 conference call that we're going to talk about in some detail, I think October 25th, 2010. I know Carole was involved in that conference call, and I believe Candy was involved in that conference call, had you had conversations with either one of them? A. Either Candy or Carole? Q. Right. A. Not that I recall. Q. Going back again to the notes and history, what was your practice in terms of what rose to the level of being put in the notes and history? A. Put enough information in there so that if you had to pick it up two weeks later, a month later, a year later, you would know what you did and where you were on it and that somebody else could come pick it up behind you and be able to assess where you were, what you did and where to go with it. 	1 Q. And it says, "I told her for now to use her 2 social." 3 A. Uh-huh. 4 Q. So there had been no tax ID number obtained for 5 the family trust at that point? 6 A. No. 7 Q. What was your practice on when you would 8 normally obtain a tax ID number for a trust? 9 A. Typically on the first death, when the 10 decedent's trust was being funded, that would get a tax 11 ID number. The survivor's trust 12 Q. And until then 13 A would get the social. 14 Q. So everything just passed through to their tax 15 return? 16 A. Uh-huh. 17 Q. Correct? 18 A. Right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 conference call that we're going to talk about in some detail, I think October 25th, 2010. I know Carole was involved in that conference call, and I believe Candy was involved in that conference call. The prior to that conference call, had you had conversations with either one of them? A. Either Candy or Carole? Q. Right. A. Not that I recall. Q. Going back again to the notes and history, what was your practice in terms of what rose to the level of being put in the notes and history? A. Put enough information in there so that if you had to pick it up two weeks later, a month later, a year later, you would know what you did and where you were on it and that somebody else could come pick it up behind you and be able to assess where you were, what you did and where to go with it. Q. And basically any involvement that you had with 	1 Q. And it says, "I told her for now to use her 2 social." 3 A. Uh-huh. 4 Q. So there had been no tax ID number obtained for 5 the family trust at that point? 6 A. No. 7 Q. What was your practice on when you would 8 normally obtain a tax ID number for a trust? 9 A. Typically on the first death, when the 10 decedent's trust was being funded, that would get a tax 11 ID number. The survivor's trust 12 Q. And until then 13 A would get the social. 14 Q. So everything just passed through to their tax 15 return? 16 A. Uh-huh. 17 Q. Correct? 18 A. Right. 19 Q. Okay. It says in that same entry "sending her
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 conference call that we're going to talk about in some detail, I think October 25th, 2010. I know Carole was involved in that conference call, and I believe Candy was involved in that conference call, had you had conversations with either one of them? A. Either Candy or Carole? Q. Right. A. Not that I recall. Q. Going back again to the notes and history, what was your practice in terms of what rose to the level of being put in the notes and history? A. Put enough information in there so that if you had to pick it up two weeks later, a month later, a year later, you would know what you did and where you were on it and that somebody else could come pick it up behind you and be able to assess where you were, what you did and where to go with it. Q. And basically any involvement that you had with a client or somebody related to that client, you put in? 	1 Q. And it says, "I told her for now to use her 2 social." 3 A. Uh-huh. 4 Q. So there had been no tax ID number obtained for 5 the family trust at that point? 6 A. No. 7 Q. What was your practice on when you would 8 normally obtain a tax ID number for a trust? 9 A. Typically on the first death, when the 10 decedent's trust was being funded, that would get a tax 11 ID number. The survivor's trust 12 Q. And until then 13 A would get the social. 14 Q. So everything just passed through to their tax 15 return? 16 A. Uh-huh. 17 Q. Correct? 18 A. Right. 19 Q. Okay. It says in that same entry "sending her 20 the AE."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 conference call that we're going to talk about in some detail, I think October 25th, 2010. I know Carole was involved in that conference call, and I believe Candy was involved in that conference call, had you had conversations with either one of them? A. Either Candy or Carole? Q. Right. A. Not that I recall. Q. Going back again to the notes and history, what was your practice in terms of what rose to the level of being put in the notes and history? A. Put enough information in there so that if you had to pick it up two weeks later, a month later, a year later, you would know what you did and where you were on it and that somebody else could come pick it up behind you and be able to assess where you were, what you did and where to go with it. Q. And basically any involvement that you had with a client or somebody related to that client, you put in? MR. SPIELMAN: Form. 	1 Q. And it says, "I told her for now to use her 2 social." 3 A. Uh-huh. 4 Q. So there had been no tax ID number obtained for 5 the family trust at that point? 6 A. No. 7 Q. What was your practice on when you would 8 normally obtain a tax ID number for a trust? 9 A. Typically on the first death, when the 10 decedent's trust was being funded, that would get a tax 11 ID number. The survivor's trust 12 Q. And until then 13 A would get the social. 14 Q. So everything just passed through to their tax 15 return? 16 A. Uh-huh. 17 Q. Correct? 18 A. Right. 19 Q. Okay. It says in that same entry "sending her 20 the AE." 21 A. Asset list.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 conference call that we're going to talk about in some detail, I think October 25th, 2010. I know Carole was involved in that conference call, and I believe Candy was involved in that conference call, had you had conversations with either one of them? A. Either Candy or Carole? Q. Right. A. Not that I recall. Q. Going back again to the notes and history, what was your practice in terms of what rose to the level of being put in the notes and history? A. Put enough information in there so that if you had to pick it up two weeks later, a month later, a year later, you would know what you did and where you were on it and that somebody else could come pick it up behind you and be able to assess where you were, what you did and where to go with it. Q. And basically any involvement that you had with a client or somebody related to that client, you put in? MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. Yes. Typically we'd write notes. 	1 Q. And it says, "I told her for now to use her 2 social." 3 A. Uh-huh. 4 Q. So there had been no tax ID number obtained for 5 the family trust at that point? 6 A. No. 7 Q. What was your practice on when you would 8 normally obtain a tax ID number for a trust? 9 A. Typically on the first death, when the 10 decedent's trust was being funded, that would get a tax 11 D number. The survivor's trust 12 Q. And until then 13 A would get the social. 14 Q. So everything just passed through to their tax 15 return? 16 A. Uh-huh. 17 Q. Correct? 18 A. Right. 19 Q. Okay. It says in that same entry "sending her 20 the AE." 21 A. Asset list. 22 Q. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 conference call that we're going to talk about in some detail, I think October 25th, 2010. I know Carole was involved in that conference call, and I believe Candy was involved in that conference call, had you had conversations with either one of them? A. Either Candy or Carole? Q. Right. A. Not that I recall. Q. Going back again to the notes and history, what was your practice in terms of what rose to the level of being put in the notes and history? A. Put enough information in there so that if you had to pick it up two weeks later, a month later, a year later, you would know what you did and where you were on it and that somebody else could come pick it up behind you and be able to assess where you were, what you did and where to go with it. Q. And basically any involvement that you had with a client or somebody related to that client, you put in? MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. Yes. Typically we'd write notes. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Did you typically do that 	1 Q. And it says, "I told her for now to use her 2 social." 3 A. Uh-huh. 4 Q. So there had been no tax ID number obtained for 5 the family trust at that point? 6 A. No. 7 Q. What was your practice on when you would 8 normally obtain a tax ID number for a trust? 9 A. Typically on the first death, when the 10 decedent's trust was being funded, that would get a tax 11 D number. The survivor's trust 12 Q. And until then 13 A would get the social. 14 Q. So everything just passed through to their tax 15 return? 16 A. Uh-huh. 17 Q. Correct? 18 A. Right. 19 Q. Okay. It says in that same entry "sending her 20 the AE." 21 A. Asset list. 22 Q. Okay. 23 A. It's assets of the estate.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 conference call that we're going to talk about in some detail, I think October 25th, 2010. I know Carole was involved in that conference call, and I believe Candy was involved in that conference call, had you had conversations with either one of them? A. Either Candy or Carole? Q. Right. A. Not that I recall. Q. Going back again to the notes and history, what was your practice in terms of what rose to the level of being put in the notes and history? A. Put enough information in there so that if you had to pick it up two weeks later, a month later, a year later, you would know what you did and where you were on it and that somebody else could come pick it up behind you and be able to assess where you were, what you did and where to go with it. Q. And basically any involvement that you had with a client or somebody related to that client, you put in? MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. Yes. Typically we'd write notes. 	1 Q. And it says, "I told her for now to use her 2 social." 3 A. Uh-huh. 4 Q. So there had been no tax ID number obtained for 5 the family trust at that point? 6 A. No. 7 Q. What was your practice on when you would 8 normally obtain a tax ID number for a trust? 9 A. Typically on the first death, when the 10 decedent's trust was being funded, that would get a tax 11 D number. The survivor's trust 12 Q. And until then 13 A would get the social. 14 Q. So everything just passed through to their tax 15 return? 16 A. Uh-huh. 17 Q. Correct? 18 A. Right. 19 Q. Okay. It says in that same entry "sending her 20 the AE." 21 A. Asset list. 22 Q. Okay.

41 (Pages 158 to 161)

	162	164
1	A. Uh-huh.	¹ Q. I think he was probably the person that had
2	Q. Now, if you didn't help with setting up or	² been doing the tax returns for Nelva. Do you know?
3	transferring these assets to the trust, how is it that	³ A. I believe, yes, that's what she indicated to
4	you would have the asset list?	4 me, that he prepared tax returns.
5	A. I have to rely on the client to provide the	⁵ Q. And so this entry where he says he's called
6	statements. They are told that when someone dies, to	⁶ you apparently, called for you because he's working with
7	bring in the statement for the month of death. I had a	 Nelva and has 1099s to issue to is that IT or LT?
8	tax clerk that that's all she did, was data entry. She	⁸ A. LT.
9	entered the account number, calculated the value on date	9 Q. What is LT? Is that living trust?
10	of death; and that's what went into the AE.	¹⁰ A. Living trust.
11	Q. So this is going to be an AE that had been	¹¹ Q. The next entry is where you called him back on
12	generated since Elmer died?	¹² the 25th of January, 2010; and you indicated at that
13	A. Correct.	¹³ point that the trust had not been funded.
14	Q. Based on information she had provided?	¹⁴ So by that, are you meaning the survivor
15	A. That's correct.	¹⁵ and the decedent's trust?
16	Q. So up until that point, up until she brought in	¹⁶ A. Most likely.
17	the statements, you didn't know what assets the trust	¹⁷ Q. And there was a formula established for what
18	had?	¹⁸ was supposed to go into each trust, right?
19	A. That's correct.	¹⁹ A. That's correct.
20	Q. Were you ever involved in tax returns prior to	20 Q. Did you work out that formula? I mean, did you
21	Elmer's death?	²¹ determine what was going to go into each trust?
22	A. Federal estate tax returns?	²² A. The client does.
23	Q. No. Income tax returns.	²³ Q. And do you help them with the formula? Well, I
24	A. For who?	²⁴ don't mean to talk generally. In terms of Nelva, did
25	Q. Elmer or Nelva.	²⁵ you help her make that calculation?
	163	165
1	163 A. No.	165 ¹ A. Yes.
1 2		
	A. No.	¹ A. Yes.
2	A. No.Q. So you just sent them off with the trust, but	 A. Yes. Q. So what part of that did you do?
2 3	A. No.Q. So you just sent them off with the trust, but they kept reporting everything on their individual	 A. Yes. Q. So what part of that did you do? A. What part of
2 3 4	A. No. Q. So you just sent them off with the trust, but they kept reporting everything on their individual returns?	 A. Yes. Q. So what part of that did you do? A. What part of Q. The process of funding the trust.
2 3 4 5	 A. No. Q. So you just sent them off with the trust, but they kept reporting everything on their individual returns? A. No. That's not correct. Q. What's correct? A. Are you asking if they reported it to me? 	 A. Yes. Q. So what part of that did you do? A. What part of Q. The process of funding the trust. A. So once we had all of the assets information
2 3 4 5 6	 A. No. Q. So you just sent them off with the trust, but they kept reporting everything on their individual returns? A. No. That's not correct. Q. What's correct? 	 A. Yes. Q. So what part of that did you do? A. What part of Q. The process of funding the trust. A. So once we had all of the assets information entered into our system, we determined, based on the language in the trust, how much was supposed to go in survivor's trust and decedent's trust; and we made that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. No. Q. So you just sent them off with the trust, but they kept reporting everything on their individual returns? A. No. That's not correct. Q. What's correct? A. Are you asking if they reported it to me? 	 A. Yes. Q. So what part of that did you do? A. What part of Q. The process of funding the trust. A. So once we had all of the assets information entered into our system, we determined, based on the language in the trust, how much was supposed to go in survivor's trust and decedent's trust; and we made that recommendation. This is the maximum amount that can go
2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. No. Q. So you just sent them off with the trust, but they kept reporting everything on their individual returns? A. No. That's not correct. Q. What's correct? A. Are you asking if they reported it to me? Q. No. I'm saying that's how they dealt with the IRS. MR. REED: Objection, form. 	1 A. Yes. 2 Q. So what part of that did you do? 3 A. What part of 4 Q. The process of funding the trust. 5 A. So once we had all of the assets information 6 entered into our system, we determined, based on the 7 language in the trust, how much was supposed to go in 8 survivor's trust and decedent's trust; and we made that 9 recommendation. This is the maximum amount that can go 10 into decedent's trust without running afoul of the IRS
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. No. Q. So you just sent them off with the trust, but they kept reporting everything on their individual returns? A. No. That's not correct. Q. What's correct? A. Are you asking if they reported it to me? Q. No. I'm saying that's how they dealt with the IRS. MR. REED: Objection, form. A. I don't know how they dealt with the IRS. 	1 A. Yes. 2 Q. So what part of that did you do? 3 A. What part of 4 Q. The process of funding the trust. 5 A. So once we had all of the assets information 6 entered into our system, we determined, based on the 7 language in the trust, how much was supposed to go in 8 survivor's trust and decedent's trust; and we made that 9 recommendation. This is the maximum amount that can go 10 into decedent's trust without running afoul of the IRS 11 rules. It could be underfunded, but it couldn't be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. No. Q. So you just sent them off with the trust, but they kept reporting everything on their individual returns? A. No. That's not correct. Q. What's correct? A. Are you asking if they reported it to me? Q. No. I'm saying that's how they dealt with the IRS. MR. REED: Objection, form. 	1 A. Yes. 2 Q. So what part of that did you do? 3 A. What part of 4 Q. The process of funding the trust. 5 A. So once we had all of the assets information 6 entered into our system, we determined, based on the 7 language in the trust, how much was supposed to go in 8 survivor's trust and decedent's trust; and we made that 9 recommendation. This is the maximum amount that can go 10 into decedent's trust without running afoul of the IRS 11 rules. It could be underfunded, but it couldn't be 12 overfunded.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. No. Q. So you just sent them off with the trust, but they kept reporting everything on their individual returns? A. No. That's not correct. Q. What's correct? A. Are you asking if they reported it to me? Q. No. I'm saying that's how they dealt with the IRS. MR. REED: Objection, form. A. I don't know how they dealt with the IRS. Everybody files their own tax return. I assume that that's what they do, but 	1 A. Yes. 2 Q. So what part of that did you do? 3 A. What part of 4 Q. The process of funding the trust. 5 A. So once we had all of the assets information 6 entered into our system, we determined, based on the 7 language in the trust, how much was supposed to go in 8 survivor's trust and decedent's trust; and we made that 9 recommendation. This is the maximum amount that can go 10 into decedent's trust without running afoul of the IRS 11 rules. It could be underfunded, but it couldn't be 12 overfunded. 13 She had fractional pick and choose aside
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. No. Q. So you just sent them off with the trust, but they kept reporting everything on their individual returns? A. No. That's not correct. Q. What's correct? A. Are you asking if they reported it to me? Q. No. I'm saying that's how they dealt with the IRS. MR. REED: Objection, form. A. I don't know how they dealt with the IRS. Everybody files their own tax return. I assume that that's what they do, but Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. Do you recall having 	1 A. Yes. 2 Q. So what part of that did you do? 3 A. What part of 4 Q. The process of funding the trust. 5 A. So once we had all of the assets information 6 entered into our system, we determined, based on the 7 language in the trust, how much was supposed to go in 8 survivor's trust and decedent's trust; and we made that 9 recommendation. This is the maximum amount that can go 10 into decedent's trust without running afoul of the IRS 11 rules. It could be underfunded, but it couldn't be 12 overfunded. 13 She had fractional pick and choose aside 14 from his, Elmer's separate property, which had to go in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. No. Q. So you just sent them off with the trust, but they kept reporting everything on their individual returns? A. No. That's not correct. Q. What's correct? A. Are you asking if they reported it to me? Q. No. I'm saying that's how they dealt with the IRS. MR. REED: Objection, form. A. I don't know how they dealt with the IRS. Everybody files their own tax return. I assume that that's what they do, but Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. Do you recall having any discussions with Nelva or Elmer about how they were 	1 A. Yes. 2 Q. So what part of that did you do? 3 A. What part of 4 Q. The process of funding the trust. 5 A. So once we had all of the assets information 6 entered into our system, we determined, based on the 7 language in the trust, how much was supposed to go in 8 survivor's trust and decedent's trust; and we made that 9 recommendation. This is the maximum amount that can go 10 into decedent's trust without running afoul of the IRS 11 rules. It could be underfunded, but it couldn't be 12 overfunded. 13 She had fractional pick and choose aside 14 from his, Elmer's separate property, which had to go in 15 the decedent's trust. She had fractional pick and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. No. Q. So you just sent them off with the trust, but they kept reporting everything on their individual returns? A. No. That's not correct. Q. What's correct? A. Are you asking if they reported it to me? Q. No. I'm saying that's how they dealt with the IRS. MR. REED: Objection, form. A. I don't know how they dealt with the IRS. Everybody files their own tax return. I assume that that's what they do, but Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. Do you recall having any discussions with Nelva or Elmer about how they were supposed to report income? 	1 A. Yes. 2 Q. So what part of that did you do? 3 A. What part of 4 Q. The process of funding the trust. 5 A. So once we had all of the assets information 6 entered into our system, we determined, based on the 7 language in the trust, how much was supposed to go in 8 survivor's trust and decedent's trust; and we made that 9 recommendation. This is the maximum amount that can go 10 into decedent's trust without running afoul of the IRS 11 rules. It could be underfunded, but it couldn't be 12 overfunded. 13 She had fractional pick and choose aside 14 from his, Elmer's separate property, which had to go in 15 the decedent's trust. She had fractional pick and 16 choose of community property assets that could go into
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. No. Q. So you just sent them off with the trust, but they kept reporting everything on their individual returns? A. No. That's not correct. Q. What's correct? A. Are you asking if they reported it to me? Q. No. I'm saying that's how they dealt with the IRS. MR. REED: Objection, form. A. I don't know how they dealt with the IRS. Everybody files their own tax return. I assume that that's what they do, but Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. Do you recall having any discussions with Nelva or Elmer about how they were supposed to report income? A. No. 	1 A. Yes. 2 Q. So what part of that did you do? 3 A. What part of 4 Q. The process of funding the trust. 5 A. So once we had all of the assets information 6 entered into our system, we determined, based on the 7 language in the trust, how much was supposed to go in 8 survivor's trust and decedent's trust; and we made that 9 recommendation. This is the maximum amount that can go 10 into decedent's trust without running afoul of the IRS 11 rules. It could be underfunded, but it couldn't be 12 overfunded. 13 She had fractional pick and choose aside 14 from his, Elmer's separate property, which had to go in 15 the decedent's trust. She had fractional pick and 16 choose of community property assets that could go into 17 either/or.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. No. Q. So you just sent them off with the trust, but they kept reporting everything on their individual returns? A. No. That's not correct. Q. What's correct? A. Are you asking if they reported it to me? Q. No. I'm saying that's how they dealt with the IRS. MR. REED: Objection, form. A. I don't know how they dealt with the IRS. Everybody files their own tax return. I assume that that's what they do, but Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. Do you recall having any discussions with Nelva or Elmer about how they were supposed to report income? A. No. Q. But as far as you're concerned, it didn't 	1 A. Yes. 2 Q. So what part of that did you do? 3 A. What part of 4 Q. The process of funding the trust. 5 A. So once we had all of the assets information 6 entered into our system, we determined, based on the 7 language in the trust, how much was supposed to go in 8 survivor's trust and decedent's trust; and we made that 9 recommendation. This is the maximum amount that can go 10 into decedent's trust without running afoul of the IRS 11 rules. It could be underfunded, but it couldn't be 12 overfunded. 13 She had fractional pick and choose aside 14 from his, Elmer's separate property, which had to go in 15 the decedent's trust. She had fractional pick and 16 choose of community property assets that could go into 17 either/or. 18 Q. When you say "fractional pick and choose," you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. No. Q. So you just sent them off with the trust, but they kept reporting everything on their individual returns? A. No. That's not correct. Q. What's correct? A. Are you asking if they reported it to me? Q. No. I'm saying that's how they dealt with the IRS. MR. REED: Objection, form. A. I don't know how they dealt with the IRS. Everybody files their own tax return. I assume that that's what they do, but Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. Do you recall having any discussions with Nelva or Elmer about how they were supposed to report income? A. No. Q. But as far as you're concerned, it didn't change once the trust was formed until somebody died? 	1 A. Yes. 2 Q. So what part of that did you do? 3 A. What part of 4 Q. The process of funding the trust. 5 A. So once we had all of the assets information 6 entered into our system, we determined, based on the 7 language in the trust, how much was supposed to go in 8 survivor's trust and decedent's trust; and we made that 9 recommendation. This is the maximum amount that can go 10 into decedent's trust without running afoul of the IRS 11 rules. It could be underfunded, but it couldn't be 12 overfunded. 13 She had fractional pick and choose aside 14 from his, Elmer's separate property, which had to go in 15 the decedent's trust. She had fractional pick and 16 choose of community property assets that could go into 17 either/or. 18 Q. When you say "fractional pick and choose," you 19 mean she could decide how she got to this allocation?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. No. Q. So you just sent them off with the trust, but they kept reporting everything on their individual returns? A. No. That's not correct. Q. What's correct? A. Are you asking if they reported it to me? Q. No. I'm saying that's how they dealt with the IRS. MR. REED: Objection, form. A. I don't know how they dealt with the IRS. Everybody files their own tax return. I assume that that's what they do, but Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. Do you recall having any discussions with Nelva or Elmer about how they were supposed to report income? A. No. Q. But as far as you're concerned, it didn't change once the trust was formed until somebody died? A. That's correct. 	1A. Yes.2Q. So what part of that did you do?3A. What part of4Q. The process of funding the trust.5A. So once we had all of the assets information6entered into our system, we determined, based on the7language in the trust, how much was supposed to go in8survivor's trust and decedent's trust; and we made that9recommendation. This is the maximum amount that can go10into decedent's trust without running afoul of the IRS11rules. It could be underfunded, but it couldn't be12overfunded.13She had fractional pick and choose aside14from his, Elmer's separate property, which had to go in15the decedent's trust. She had fractional pick and16choose of community property assets that could go into17either/or.18Q. When you say "fractional pick and choose," you19mean she could decide how she got to this allocation?20A. We had the number for her, but she could choose
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. No. Q. So you just sent them off with the trust, but they kept reporting everything on their individual returns? A. No. That's not correct. Q. What's correct? A. Are you asking if they reported it to me? Q. No. I'm saying that's how they dealt with the IRS. MR. REED: Objection, form. A. I don't know how they dealt with the IRS. Everybody files their own tax return. I assume that that's what they do, but Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. Do you recall having any discussions with Nelva or Elmer about how they were supposed to report income? A. No. Q. But as far as you're concerned, it didn't change once the trust was formed until somebody died? A. That's correct. Q. The next entry up, January 21st, 2010, refers 	1 A. Yes. 2 Q. So what part of that did you do? 3 A. What part of 4 Q. The process of funding the trust. 5 A. So once we had all of the assets information 6 entered into our system, we determined, based on the 1 language in the trust, how much was supposed to go in 8 survivor's trust and decedent's trust; and we made that 9 recommendation. This is the maximum amount that can go 10 into decedent's trust without running afoul of the IRS 11 rules. It could be underfunded, but it couldn't be 12 overfunded. 13 She had fractional pick and choose aside 14 from his, Elmer's separate property, which had to go in 15 the decedent's trust. She had fractional pick and 16 choose of community property assets that could go into 17 either/or. 18 Q. When you say "fractional pick and choose," you 19 mean she could decide how she got to this allocation? 20 A. We had the number for her, but she could choose 21 the assets that she wanted to make up that number.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. No. Q. So you just sent them off with the trust, but they kept reporting everything on their individual returns? A. No. That's not correct. Q. What's correct? A. Are you asking if they reported it to me? Q. No. I'm saying that's how they dealt with the IRS. MR. REED: Objection, form. A. I don't know how they dealt with the IRS. Everybody files their own tax return. I assume that that's what they do, but Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. Do you recall having any discussions with Nelva or Elmer about how they were supposed to report income? A. No. Q. But as far as you're concerned, it didn't change once the trust was formed until somebody died? A. That's correct. Q. The next entry up, January 21st, 2010, refers to Rich I'm not sure the name is spelled right; but I 	1 A. Yes. 2 Q. So what part of that did you do? 3 A. What part of 4 Q. The process of funding the trust. 5 A. So once we had all of the assets information 6 entered into our system, we determined, based on the 7 language in the trust, how much was supposed to go in 8 survivor's trust and decedent's trust; and we made that 9 recommendation. This is the maximum amount that can go 10 into decedent's trust without running afoul of the IRS 11 rules. It could be underfunded, but it couldn't be 12 overfunded. 13 She had fractional pick and choose aside 14 from his, Elmer's separate property, which had to go in 15 the decedent's trust. She had fractional pick and 16 choose of community property assets that could go into 17 either/or. 18 Q. When you say "fractional pick and choose," you 19 mean she could decide how she got to this allocation? 20 A. We had the number for her, but she could choose 21 the assets that she wanted to make up that number. 22 Q. So long
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. No. Q. So you just sent them off with the trust, but they kept reporting everything on their individual returns? A. No. That's not correct. Q. What's correct? A. Are you asking if they reported it to me? Q. No. I'm saying that's how they dealt with the IRS. MR. REED: Objection, form. A. I don't know how they dealt with the IRS. Everybody files their own tax return. I assume that that's what they do, but Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. Do you recall having any discussions with Nelva or Elmer about how they were supposed to report income? A. No. Q. But as far as you're concerned, it didn't change once the trust was formed until somebody died? A. That's correct. Q. The next entry up, January 21st, 2010, refers to Rich I'm not sure the name is spelled right; but I think you're talking about Rich Rikkers from Iowa. 	1 A. Yes. 2 Q. So what part of that did you do? 3 A. What part of 4 Q. The process of funding the trust. 5 A. So once we had all of the assets information 6 entered into our system, we determined, based on the 7 language in the trust, how much was supposed to go in 8 survivor's trust and decedent's trust; and we made that 9 recommendation. This is the maximum amount that can go 10 into decedent's trust without running afoul of the IRS 11 rules. It could be underfunded, but it couldn't be 12 overfunded. 13 She had fractional pick and choose aside 14 from his, Elmer's separate property, which had to go in 15 the decedent's trust. She had fractional pick and 16 choose of community property assets that could go into 17 either/or. 18 Q. When you say "fractional pick and choose," you 19 mean she could decide how she got to this allocation? 20 A. We had the number for her, but she could choose 21 the assets that she wanted to make up that number. 22 Q. So long
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. No. Q. So you just sent them off with the trust, but they kept reporting everything on their individual returns? A. No. That's not correct. Q. What's correct? A. Are you asking if they reported it to me? Q. No. I'm saying that's how they dealt with the IRS. MR. REED: Objection, form. A. I don't know how they dealt with the IRS. Everybody files their own tax return. I assume that that's what they do, but Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. Do you recall having any discussions with Nelva or Elmer about how they were supposed to report income? A. No. Q. But as far as you're concerned, it didn't change once the trust was formed until somebody died? A. That's correct. Q. The next entry up, January 21st, 2010, refers to Rich I'm not sure the name is spelled right; but I 	1 A. Yes. 2 Q. So what part of that did you do? 3 A. What part of 4 Q. The process of funding the trust. 5 A. So once we had all of the assets information 6 entered into our system, we determined, based on the 7 language in the trust, how much was supposed to go in 8 survivor's trust and decedent's trust; and we made that 9 recommendation. This is the maximum amount that can go 10 into decedent's trust without running afoul of the IRS 11 rules. It could be underfunded, but it couldn't be 12 overfunded. 13 She had fractional pick and choose aside 14 from his, Elmer's separate property, which had to go in 15 the decedent's trust. She had fractional pick and 16 choose of community property assets that could go into 17 either/or. 18 Q. When you say "fractional pick and choose," you 19 mean she could decide how she got to this allocation? 20 A. We had the number for her, but she could choose 21 the assets that she wanted to make up that number. 22 Q. So long

42 (Pages 162 to 165)

	166		168
1	Here's your asset list. Decide what you want to go	1	Q. Did she return copies to you so that you knew
2	where?	2	that was done, or that was left up to her?
3	A. Correct.	3	A. That was left up to her.
4	Q. And once you did that, was there anybody else	4	Q. Do you recall and you can consult these
5	involved helping Nelva at that point with this, that you	5	notes if you want to. Were there issues where she had
6	know of?	6	to get back with you and say she didn't really
7	A. No.	7	understand
8	O. Other than maybe this Rich Rikkers? I don't	8	A. Yes.
9	know. Do you know what his involvement was?	9	Q what she needed to do?
10	A. Actually in 2010 I don't know if she was I	10	A. I don't recall if she didn't understand or she
11	don't think anybody was helping her.	11	didn't want to; but, yes, she did come back.
12	Q. Okay.	12	Q. So you had more than one encounter of getting
13	A. I know after yeah. I don't know. I don't	13	these transfers done?
14	know if anybody was helping her.	14	A. Oh, yes.
15	Q. This is going to involve transfers of stock	15	Q. Okay. If you look on this page 1178, on
16	with medallion guarantees and all about the works.	16	3-12-2010 there was a call from Anita regarding parents'
17	Once you said, Here's your asset list and	17	trust. Do you see that?
18	here's the number that you're supposed to get to, figure	18	A. Uh-huh.
19	it out however you want to get there, then did you help	19	Q. And there's a life insurance policy in the I
20	her with the transfer instruments themselves?	20	assume LT is still living trust?
21	A. Yes.	20	A. Uh-huh. Yes. Sorry.
22	Q. And what was your involvement in that?	22	Q. It says, "In fact, that is the only thing in
23	A. We filled out as much of the paperwork as we	23	the trust. The kids have to sign a waiver each year,
24	could for her, based on what she indicated she wanted to	23	waiving their right to any funds. Her sister wants to
25	go in which trust, and put "sign here" stickies on them	25	take her share. Is this possible? Please call to
20	go in which i usi, and put sign here success on them	20	take her share. Is this possible? Thease can to
	167		169
1	167	1	169
1	and said, Let's sit down and sign them.	1	discuss."
2	and said, Let's sit down and sign them. Q. And how did you make a determination, backing	2	discuss." So this, again, is going to have been
2 3	and said, Let's sit down and sign them. Q. And how did you make a determination, backing up for a minute, to the division of assets among the	2 3	discuss." So this, again, is going to have been is this your assistant who took this call?
2 3 4	and said, Let's sit down and sign them. Q. And how did you make a determination, backing up for a minute, to the division of assets among the decedent's trust and the survivor's trust?	2 3 4	 discuss." So this, again, is going to have been is this your assistant who took this call? A. It looks like it was the receptionist because
2 3 4 5	and said, Let's sit down and sign them. Q. And how did you make a determination, backing up for a minute, to the division of assets among the decedent's trust and the survivor's trust? What did you do to determine what was	2 3 4 5	 discuss." So this, again, is going to have been is this your assistant who took this call? A. It looks like it was the receptionist because it says e-mailed, "EM to CLF."
2 3 4 5 6	and said, Let's sit down and sign them. Q. And how did you make a determination, backing up for a minute, to the division of assets among the decedent's trust and the survivor's trust? What did you do to determine what was separate property of Elmer's?	2 3 4 5 6	 discuss." So this, again, is going to have been is this your assistant who took this call? A. It looks like it was the receptionist because it says e-mailed, "EM to CLF." Q. That's a different trust, right?
2 3 4 5 6 7	 and said, Let's sit down and sign them. Q. And how did you make a determination, backing up for a minute, to the division of assets among the decedent's trust and the survivor's trust? What did you do to determine what was separate property of Elmer's? A. We had determined, well, where they lived, 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 discuss." So this, again, is going to have been is this your assistant who took this call? A. It looks like it was the receptionist because it says e-mailed, "EM to CLF." Q. That's a different trust, right? A. Yes. It's referring even though it says
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 and said, Let's sit down and sign them. Q. And how did you make a determination, backing up for a minute, to the division of assets among the decedent's trust and the survivor's trust? What did you do to determine what was separate property of Elmer's? A. We had determined, well, where they lived, community property estate. Presumption is everything's 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	discuss." So this, again, is going to have been is this your assistant who took this call? A. It looks like it was the receptionist because it says e-mailed, "EM to CLF." Q. That's a different trust, right? A. Yes. It's referring even though it says "LT" it's an ILIT, irrevocable life insurance trust.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 and said, Let's sit down and sign them. Q. And how did you make a determination, backing up for a minute, to the division of assets among the decedent's trust and the survivor's trust? What did you do to determine what was separate property of Elmer's? A. We had determined, well, where they lived, community property estate. Presumption is everything's community unless she advised otherwise or inception of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	discuss." So this, again, is going to have been is this your assistant who took this call? A. It looks like it was the receptionist because it says e-mailed, "EM to CLF." Q. That's a different trust, right? A. Yes. It's referring even though it says "LT" it's an ILIT, irrevocable life insurance trust. Q. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 and said, Let's sit down and sign them. Q. And how did you make a determination, backing up for a minute, to the division of assets among the decedent's trust and the survivor's trust? What did you do to determine what was separate property of Elmer's? A. We had determined, well, where they lived, community property estate. Presumption is everything's community unless she advised otherwise or inception of title. Iowa land was obvious. It came from Elmer's 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	discuss." So this, again, is going to have been is this your assistant who took this call? A. It looks like it was the receptionist because it says e-mailed, "EM to CLF." Q. That's a different trust, right? A. Yes. It's referring even though it says "LT" it's an ILIT, irrevocable life insurance trust. Q. Okay. A. But the receptionist wouldn't know that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 and said, Let's sit down and sign them. Q. And how did you make a determination, backing up for a minute, to the division of assets among the decedent's trust and the survivor's trust? What did you do to determine what was separate property of Elmer's? A. We had determined, well, where they lived, community property estate. Presumption is everything's community unless she advised otherwise or inception of title. Iowa land was obvious. It came from Elmer's side of the family, so it was separate property. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 discuss." So this, again, is going to have been is this your assistant who took this call? A. It looks like it was the receptionist because it says e-mailed, "EM to CLF." Q. That's a different trust, right? A. Yes. It's referring even though it says "LT" it's an ILIT, irrevocable life insurance trust. Q. Okay. A. But the receptionist wouldn't know that. Q. Yeah, sure. And maybe Anita didn't know that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 and said, Let's sit down and sign them. Q. And how did you make a determination, backing up for a minute, to the division of assets among the decedent's trust and the survivor's trust? What did you do to determine what was separate property of Elmer's? A. We had determined, well, where they lived, community property estate. Presumption is everything's community unless she advised otherwise or inception of title. Iowa land was obvious. It came from Elmer's side of the family, so it was separate property. Q. And do you recall whether any of the stocks 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 discuss." So this, again, is going to have been is this your assistant who took this call? A. It looks like it was the receptionist because it says e-mailed, "EM to CLF." Q. That's a different trust, right? A. Yes. It's referring even though it says "LT" it's an ILIT, irrevocable life insurance trust. Q. Okay. A. But the receptionist wouldn't know that. Q. Yeah, sure. And maybe Anita didn't know that either. But she might have called it the wrong trust.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 and said, Let's sit down and sign them. Q. And how did you make a determination, backing up for a minute, to the division of assets among the decedent's trust and the survivor's trust? What did you do to determine what was separate property of Elmer's? A. We had determined, well, where they lived, community property estate. Presumption is everything's community unless she advised otherwise or inception of title. Iowa land was obvious. It came from Elmer's side of the family, so it was separate property. Q. And do you recall whether any of the stocks were separate property? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	discuss." So this, again, is going to have been is this your assistant who took this call? A. It looks like it was the receptionist because it says e-mailed, "EM to CLF." Q. That's a different trust, right? A. Yes. It's referring even though it says "LT" it's an ILIT, irrevocable life insurance trust. Q. Okay. A. But the receptionist wouldn't know that. Q. Yeah, sure. And maybe Anita didn't know that either. But she might have called it the wrong trust. Who knows.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 and said, Let's sit down and sign them. Q. And how did you make a determination, backing up for a minute, to the division of assets among the decedent's trust and the survivor's trust? What did you do to determine what was separate property of Elmer's? A. We had determined, well, where they lived, community property estate. Presumption is everything's community unless she advised otherwise or inception of title. Iowa land was obvious. It came from Elmer's side of the family, so it was separate property. Q. And do you recall whether any of the stocks were separate property? A. I do not. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	discuss." So this, again, is going to have been is this your assistant who took this call? A. It looks like it was the receptionist because it says e-mailed, "EM to CLF." Q. That's a different trust, right? A. Yes. It's referring even though it says "LT" it's an ILIT, irrevocable life insurance trust. Q. Okay. A. But the receptionist wouldn't know that. Q. Yeah, sure. And maybe Anita didn't know that either. But she might have called it the wrong trust. Who knows. A. Uh-huh.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 and said, Let's sit down and sign them. Q. And how did you make a determination, backing up for a minute, to the division of assets among the decedent's trust and the survivor's trust? What did you do to determine what was separate property of Elmer's? A. We had determined, well, where they lived, community property estate. Presumption is everything's community unless she advised otherwise or inception of title. Iowa land was obvious. It came from Elmer's side of the family, so it was separate property. Q. And do you recall whether any of the stocks were separate property? A. I do not. Q. Could have been. You just don't recall? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	discuss." So this, again, is going to have been is this your assistant who took this call? A. It looks like it was the receptionist because it says e-mailed, "EM to CLF." Q. That's a different trust, right? A. Yes. It's referring even though it says "LT" it's an ILIT, irrevocable life insurance trust. Q. Okay. A. But the receptionist wouldn't know that either. But she might have called it the wrong trust. Who knows. A. Uh-huh. Q. The point is she was talking about a separate
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 and said, Let's sit down and sign them. Q. And how did you make a determination, backing up for a minute, to the division of assets among the decedent's trust and the survivor's trust? What did you do to determine what was separate property of Elmer's? A. We had determined, well, where they lived, community property estate. Presumption is everything's community unless she advised otherwise or inception of title. Iowa land was obvious. It came from Elmer's side of the family, so it was separate property. Q. And do you recall whether any of the stocks were separate property? A. I do not. Q. Could have been. You just don't recall? MR. SPIELMAN: Form. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	discuss." So this, again, is going to have been is this your assistant who took this call? A. It looks like it was the receptionist because it says e-mailed, "EM to CLF." Q. That's a different trust, right? A. Yes. It's referring even though it says "LT" it's an ILIT, irrevocable life insurance trust. Q. Okay. A. But the receptionist wouldn't know that. Q. Yeah, sure. And maybe Anita didn't know that either. But she might have called it the wrong trust. Who knows. A. Uh-huh. Q. The point is she was talking about a separate trust with life insurance. Do you know which sister she
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 and said, Let's sit down and sign them. Q. And how did you make a determination, backing up for a minute, to the division of assets among the decedent's trust and the survivor's trust? What did you do to determine what was separate property of Elmer's? A. We had determined, well, where they lived, community property estate. Presumption is everything's community unless she advised otherwise or inception of title. Iowa land was obvious. It came from Elmer's side of the family, so it was separate property. Q. And do you recall whether any of the stocks were separate property? A. I do not. Q. Could have been. You just don't recall? MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. Maybe they were; maybe they weren't. I don't 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 discuss." So this, again, is going to have been is this your assistant who took this call? A. It looks like it was the receptionist because it says e-mailed, "EM to CLF." Q. That's a different trust, right? A. Yes. It's referring even though it says "LT" it's an ILIT, irrevocable life insurance trust. Q. Okay. A. But the receptionist wouldn't know that. Q. Yeah, sure. And maybe Anita didn't know that either. But she might have called it the wrong trust. Who knows. A. Uh-huh. Q. The point is she was talking about a separate trust with life insurance. Do you know which sister she was talking about that wanted to take her share?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 and said, Let's sit down and sign them. Q. And how did you make a determination, backing up for a minute, to the division of assets among the decedent's trust and the survivor's trust? What did you do to determine what was separate property of Elmer's? A. We had determined, well, where they lived, community property estate. Presumption is everything's community unless she advised otherwise or inception of title. Iowa land was obvious. It came from Elmer's side of the family, so it was separate property. Q. And do you recall whether any of the stocks were separate property? A. I do not. Q. Could have been. You just don't recall? MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. Maybe they were; maybe they weren't. I don't know. They were married for a long time. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 discuss." So this, again, is going to have been is this your assistant who took this call? A. It looks like it was the receptionist because it says e-mailed, "EM to CLF." Q. That's a different trust, right? A. Yes. It's referring even though it says "LT" it's an ILIT, irrevocable life insurance trust. Q. Okay. A. But the receptionist wouldn't know that. Q. Yeah, sure. And maybe Anita didn't know that either. But she might have called it the wrong trust. Who knows. A. Uh-huh. Q. The point is she was talking about a separate trust with life insurance. Do you know which sister she was talking about that wanted to take her share? A. I do.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 and said, Let's sit down and sign them. Q. And how did you make a determination, backing up for a minute, to the division of assets among the decedent's trust and the survivor's trust? What did you do to determine what was separate property of Elmer's? A. We had determined, well, where they lived, community property estate. Presumption is everything's community unless she advised otherwise or inception of title. Iowa land was obvious. It came from Elmer's side of the family, so it was separate property. Q. And do you recall whether any of the stocks were separate property? A. I do not. Q. Could have been. You just don't recall? MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. Maybe they were; maybe they weren't. I don't know. They were married for a long time. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. So once these 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 discuss." So this, again, is going to have been is this your assistant who took this call? A. It looks like it was the receptionist because it says e-mailed, "EM to CLF." Q. That's a different trust, right? A. Yes. It's referring even though it says "LT" it's an ILIT, irrevocable life insurance trust. Q. Okay. A. But the receptionist wouldn't know that. Q. Yeah, sure. And maybe Anita didn't know that either. But she might have called it the wrong trust. Who knows. A. Uh-huh. Q. The point is she was talking about a separate trust with life insurance. Do you know which sister she was talking about that wanted to take her share? A. Ido. Q. Which sister?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 and said, Let's sit down and sign them. Q. And how did you make a determination, backing up for a minute, to the division of assets among the decedent's trust and the survivor's trust? What did you do to determine what was separate property of Elmer's? A. We had determined, well, where they lived, community property estate. Presumption is everything's community unless she advised otherwise or inception of title. Iowa land was obvious. It came from Elmer's side of the family, so it was separate property. Q. And do you recall whether any of the stocks were separate property? A. I do not. Q. Could have been. You just don't recall? MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. Maybe they were; maybe they weren't. I don't know. They were married for a long time. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. So once these documents were prepared to transfer stocks for sure, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 discuss." So this, again, is going to have been is this your assistant who took this call? A. It looks like it was the receptionist because it says e-mailed, "EM to CLF." Q. That's a different trust, right? A. Yes. It's referring even though it says "LT" it's an ILIT, irrevocable life insurance trust. Q. Okay. A. But the receptionist wouldn't know that. Q. Yeah, sure. And maybe Anita didn't know that either. But she might have called it the wrong trust. Who knows. A. Uh-huh. Q. The point is she was talking about a separate trust with life insurance. Do you know which sister she was talking about that wanted to take her share? A. Ido. Q. Which sister? A. Candy.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 and said, Let's sit down and sign them. Q. And how did you make a determination, backing up for a minute, to the division of assets among the decedent's trust and the survivor's trust? What did you do to determine what was separate property of Elmer's? A. We had determined, well, where they lived, community property estate. Presumption is everything's community unless she advised otherwise or inception of title. Iowa land was obvious. It came from Elmer's side of the family, so it was separate property. Q. And do you recall whether any of the stocks were separate property? A. I do not. Q. Could have been. You just don't recall? MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. Maybe they were; maybe they weren't. I don't know. They were married for a long time. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. So once these documents were prepared to transfer stocks for sure, which would have involved going to the bank and getting 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	discuss." So this, again, is going to have been is this your assistant who took this call? A. It looks like it was the receptionist because it says e-mailed, "EM to CLF." Q. That's a different trust, right? A. Yes. It's referring even though it says "LT" it's an ILIT, irrevocable life insurance trust. Q. Okay. A. But the receptionist wouldn't know that. Q. Yeah, sure. And maybe Anita didn't know that either. But she might have called it the wrong trust. Who knows. A. Uh-huh. Q. The point is she was talking about a separate trust with life insurance. Do you know which sister she was talking about that wanted to take her share? A. Ido. Q. Which sister? A. Candy. Q. Okay. So ultimately you talked with her about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 and said, Let's sit down and sign them. Q. And how did you make a determination, backing up for a minute, to the division of assets among the decedent's trust and the survivor's trust? What did you do to determine what was separate property of Elmer's? A. We had determined, well, where they lived, community property estate. Presumption is everything's community unless she advised otherwise or inception of title. Iowa land was obvious. It came from Elmer's side of the family, so it was separate property. Q. And do you recall whether any of the stocks were separate property? A. I do not. Q. Could have been. You just don't recall? MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. Maybe they were; maybe they weren't. I don't know. They were married for a long time. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. So once these documents were prepared to transfer stocks for sure, which would have involved going to the bank and getting the medallion guarantee, you didn't go with her to do 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	discuss." So this, again, is going to have been is this your assistant who took this call? A. It looks like it was the receptionist because it says e-mailed, "EM to CLF." Q. That's a different trust, right? A. Yes. It's referring even though it says "LT" it's an ILIT, irrevocable life insurance trust. Q. Okay. A. But the receptionist wouldn't know that either. But she might have called it the wrong trust. Who knows. A. Uh-huh. Q. The point is she was talking about a separate trust with life insurance. Do you know which sister she was talking about that wanted to take her share? A. Ido. Q. Which sister? A. Candy. Q. Okay. So ultimately you talked with her about it, Anita about it?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 and said, Let's sit down and sign them. Q. And how did you make a determination, backing up for a minute, to the division of assets among the decedent's trust and the survivor's trust? What did you do to determine what was separate property of Elmer's? A. We had determined, well, where they lived, community property estate. Presumption is everything's community unless she advised otherwise or inception of title. Iowa land was obvious. It came from Elmer's side of the family, so it was separate property. Q. And do you recall whether any of the stocks were separate property? A. I do not. Q. Could have been. You just don't recall? MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. Maybe they were; maybe they weren't. I don't know. They were married for a long time. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. So once these documents were prepared to transfer stocks for sure, which would have involved going to the bank and getting the medallion guarantee, you didn't go with her to do any of that. You just gave her the documents and left 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	discuss." So this, again, is going to have been is this your assistant who took this call? A. It looks like it was the receptionist because it says e-mailed, "EM to CLF." Q. That's a different trust, right? A. Yes. It's referring even though it says "LT" it's an ILIT, irrevocable life insurance trust. Q. Okay. A. But the receptionist wouldn't know that. Q. Yeah, sure. And maybe Anita didn't know that either. But she might have called it the wrong trust. Who knows. A. Uh-huh. Q. The point is she was talking about a separate trust with life insurance. Do you know which sister she was talking about that wanted to take her share? A. Ido. Q. Which sister? A. Candy. Q. Okay. So ultimately you talked with her about it, Anita about it? A. Yes. Anita was the trustee of that trust.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 and said, Let's sit down and sign them. Q. And how did you make a determination, backing up for a minute, to the division of assets among the decedent's trust and the survivor's trust? What did you do to determine what was separate property of Elmer's? A. We had determined, well, where they lived, community property estate. Presumption is everything's community unless she advised otherwise or inception of title. Iowa land was obvious. It came from Elmer's side of the family, so it was separate property. Q. And do you recall whether any of the stocks were separate property? A. I do not. Q. Could have been. You just don't recall? MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. Maybe they were; maybe they weren't. I don't know. They were married for a long time. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. So once these documents were prepared to transfer stocks for sure, which would have involved going to the bank and getting the medallion guarantee, you didn't go with her to do 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	discuss." So this, again, is going to have been is this your assistant who took this call? A. It looks like it was the receptionist because it says e-mailed, "EM to CLF." Q. That's a different trust, right? A. Yes. It's referring even though it says "LT" it's an ILIT, irrevocable life insurance trust. Q. Okay. A. But the receptionist wouldn't know that either. But she might have called it the wrong trust. Who knows. A. Uh-huh. Q. The point is she was talking about a separate trust with life insurance. Do you know which sister she was talking about that wanted to take her share? A. Ido. Q. Which sister? A. Candy. Q. Okay. So ultimately you talked with her about it, Anita about it?

43 (Pages 166 to 169)

	170		172
1	A. I don't recall.	1	Q. Or modification-wise?
2	Q. I could have missed it because I'm capable of	2	A. Well, sure. If someone was a long-term client
3	missing something, but I don't think there's an entry in	3	and they came in for a modification and amendment, sure.
4	here about your conversation with her. Is there?	4	I would certainly help amend.
5	A. No. I see the next entry says I left or a	5	Q. Okay. And that's kind of what you did in this
6	message was left that I "CLF," that's me, "deferred	6	case, is you helped them amend in 2010?
7	this question to AEV," which was Al Vacek, which was my	7	MR. SPIELMAN: Form.
8	boss, "and that he will advise her of response."	8	Q. (By Ms. Bayless) In June and then in
9	Q. And why did you feel the need to do that?	9	August 2010?
10	A. I don't know. I don't know.	10	MR. SPIELMAN: Form.
11	Q. Had you had any involvement with the life	11	Q. (By Ms. Bayless) I mean, you were involved in
12	insurance trust?	12	that?
13	A. Not that one in particular, no.	13	A. In the qualified beneficiary designation?
14	Q. How many trusts would you say you have	14	Q. Right.
15	prepared?	15	A. Yes.
16	MR. REED: Object to form.	16	Q. Okay. So that's an example of maybe you were
17	A. I couldn't tell you.	17	helping her because you were administering
18	Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Do you have any rough guess	18	A. His estate.
19	of how many of the types of trusts that the Brunsting	19	Q his estate or his trust or whatever?
20	had how many you prepared while you were at Vacek's	20	A. Uh-huh.
21	firm?	21	Q. But you were involved in that, and you didn't
22	A. I don't know.	22	send that to Al Vacek?
23	Q. I'm assuming that you were working from his	23	A. No.
24	form. Is that right?	24	Q. So was there a criteria for what you did versus
25	A. Are you asking me a question? You're making an	25	what Al Vacek did?
	171		173
1	assumption, and then you're asking me.	1	A. Al did estate planning. I was in charge of
2	Q. Well, it's sort of a combination of both.	2	estate administration.
3	While you were at his firm let me ask you this way:	3	Q. So if somebody needed a new document
4	When you went to work at his firm, you used his trust	4	A. They would probably go to Mr. Vacek.
5	form, right?	5	Q. But in this case that's not what happened?
6	A. I guess, yes.	6	MR. SPIELMAN: Form.
7	Q. You didn't	7	A. In this case it was associated with the
8	A. I didn't make any forms myself.	8	administration of the trust. So if you're talking about
9	Q. Okay. You hadn't developed a trust form of	9	the qualified beneficiary designation, that is not
10	your own?	10	something that Mr. Vacek would have done. It would be
11	A. No, I have not.	11	done after someone had died and, therefore, it would be
12	Q. And while you were working there, you continued	12	under mine.
13	to use basically a form that was developed at that firm,	13	Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. So you never had a
14	right?	14	situation that you know of where somebody wanted to do a
15	A. I mostly did trust administration and not trust	15	qualified beneficiary designation while both spouses
16	estate planning, so	16	were still alive?
17	Q. Okay.	17	A. It would be unnecessary because they could
18	A. Once somebody either died usually is when I	18	easily amend the entire trust or parts of it because
19	would get involved.	19	they're both alive.
20	Q. Okay. So in terms of the form itself, the	20	Q. Okay. So what did Susan Vacek do?
21	trust form itself, you didn't have that much involvement	21	A. Train me.
22	with the trust form itself?	22	Q. So did she do administration, or did she do
23	A. Development-wise?	23	estate planning?
24	O Dista	24	A. She did administration.
25	Q. Right. A. No.	25	Q. So the planning was pretty much Al Vacek's

44 (Pages 170 to 173)

Г

	174	176
1	bailiwick and the administration was Susan's? Is	¹ And they can have the principal for their
2	that	² health, education, maintenance and support, that it's
3	A. That's correct.	³ easiest to put things on a sweep from the decedent's
4	Q. Okay. I didn't notice any entries in this	⁴ trust account into the survivor's trust so it's fluid
5	document from Al Vacek.	⁵ and easy and they don't have to deal with paperwork.
6	A. Yes. That's true.	⁶ And that the trust would have to file a
7	Q. So he wasn't prone to putting in notes?	⁷ tax return, its own separate 1041, for the income,
8	MR. SPIELMAN: Form.	⁸ showing that it was passed over to the survivor's trust
9	MR. REED: Objection, form.	⁹ so that it pays the least amount of income tax.
10	If you know.	¹⁰ Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. At this point I'm
11	A. I don't know what his	¹¹ assuming, since you haven't funded things, there's
12	Q. (By Ms. Bayless) So we can't, from looking at	¹² probably not even a separate decedent's trust account
13	this, know who he might have met with among this family	¹³ and survivor's trust account.
14	group, right?	¹⁴ MR. SPIELMAN: Form.
15	A. No.	¹⁵ A. No. There typically would not be during
16	Q. Okay. Looking at the entry on 1-25-10	¹⁶ administration.
17	A. What page is that?	¹⁷ Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. So this idea that
18	Q. 1179. So looking at that entry, which is where	¹⁸ and this is an IRS-mandated thing, right, that all this
19	you returned the call, now, this doesn't have your	¹⁹ income has to go out to her to get the deduction?
20	initials at the end.	²⁰ A. Yes.
21	A. I don't know. There's two entries for 1-25-10.	²¹ Q. Okay. So this is something did you give her
22	Which one are you talking about?	the logistics of how to set that up?
23	Q. The second one.	²³ A. Yes.
24	MR. SPIELMAN: Which one is the second	Q. So you told her she needed a separate account
25	one?	²⁵ for each trust, and then she needed to pay all of the
	175	177
1		
1 2	175 MS. BAYLESS: The second one from the top. A. 11:54 a.m.?	¹ income from the decedent's trust to her own account?
	MS. BAYLESS: The second one from the top. A. 11:54 a.m.?	 income from the decedent's trust to her own account? A. Yes, that's correct.
2	MS. BAYLESS: The second one from the top.	 income from the decedent's trust to her own account? A. Yes, that's correct.
2 3	MS. BAYLESS: The second one from the top.A. 11:54 a.m.?Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Yes, 11:54 a.m. Sorry.	 income from the decedent's trust to her own account? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. And it doesn't work to pay it to the survivor's
2 3 4	MS. BAYLESS: The second one from the top.A. 11:54 a.m.?Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Yes, 11:54 a.m. Sorry. The one that has your name out to the	 income from the decedent's trust to her own account? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. And it doesn't work to pay it to the survivor's account, right? It has to go to her?
2 3 4 5	MS. BAYLESS: The second one from the top. A. 11:54 a.m.? Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Yes, 11:54 a.m. Sorry. The one that has your name out to the right, do you think you put this entry in?	 income from the decedent's trust to her own account? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. And it doesn't work to pay it to the survivor's account, right? It has to go to her? A. No. The survivor's trust is her.
2 3 4 5 6	MS. BAYLESS: The second one from the top. A. 11:54 a.m.? Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Yes, 11:54 a.m. Sorry. The one that has your name out to the right, do you think you put this entry in? A. Yes.	 income from the decedent's trust to her own account? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. And it doesn't work to pay it to the survivor's account, right? It has to go to her? A. No. The survivor's trust is her. Q. Okay. So it was enough if she made all the
2 3 4 5 6 7	 MS. BAYLESS: The second one from the top. A. 11:54 a.m.? Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Yes, 11:54 a.m. Sorry. The one that has your name out to the right, do you think you put this entry in? A. Yes. Q. Even though it doesn't have your initials? 	 income from the decedent's trust to her own account? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. And it doesn't work to pay it to the survivor's account, right? It has to go to her? A. No. The survivor's trust is her. Q. Okay. So it was enough if she made all the payments from the decedent's trust into the survivor's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 MS. BAYLESS: The second one from the top. A. 11:54 a.m.? Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Yes, 11:54 a.m. Sorry. The one that has your name out to the right, do you think you put this entry in? A. Yes. Q. Even though it doesn't have your initials? A. It looks like something I would type. 	 income from the decedent's trust to her own account? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. And it doesn't work to pay it to the survivor's account, right? It has to go to her? A. No. The survivor's trust is her. Q. Okay. So it was enough if she made all the payments from the decedent's trust into the survivor's trust account?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 MS. BAYLESS: The second one from the top. A. 11:54 a.m.? Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Yes, 11:54 a.m. Sorry. The one that has your name out to the right, do you think you put this entry in? A. Yes. Q. Even though it doesn't have your initials? A. It looks like something I would type. Q. Okay. 	 income from the decedent's trust to her own account? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. And it doesn't work to pay it to the survivor's account, right? It has to go to her? A. No. The survivor's trust is her. Q. Okay. So it was enough if she made all the payments from the decedent's trust into the survivor's trust account? A. That's correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 MS. BAYLESS: The second one from the top. A. 11:54 a.m.? Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Yes, 11:54 a.m. Sorry. The one that has your name out to the right, do you think you put this entry in? A. Yes. Q. Even though it doesn't have your initials? A. It looks like something I would type. Q. Okay. A. Although there's no misspellings, so I'm not 	 income from the decedent's trust to her own account? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. And it doesn't work to pay it to the survivor's account, right? It has to go to her? A. No. The survivor's trust is her. Q. Okay. So it was enough if she made all the payments from the decedent's trust into the survivor's trust account? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. These are, I would say, kind of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 MS. BAYLESS: The second one from the top. A. 11:54 a.m.? Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Yes, 11:54 a.m. Sorry. The one that has your name out to the right, do you think you put this entry in? A. Yes. Q. Even though it doesn't have your initials? A. It looks like something I would type. Q. Okay. A. Although there's no misspellings, so I'm not sure. Q. You say in here that "the land was Elmer's and, therefore, would likely be allocated to his decedent's 	 income from the decedent's trust to her own account? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. And it doesn't work to pay it to the survivor's account, right? It has to go to her? A. No. The survivor's trust is her. Q. Okay. So it was enough if she made all the payments from the decedent's trust into the survivor's trust account? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. These are, I would say, kind of intricate types of procedures to set up. Did you ever
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 MS. BAYLESS: The second one from the top. A. 11:54 a.m.? Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Yes, 11:54 a.m. Sorry. The one that has your name out to the right, do you think you put this entry in? A. Yes. Q. Even though it doesn't have your initials? A. It looks like something I would type. Q. Okay. A. Although there's no misspellings, so I'm not sure. Q. You say in here that "the land was Elmer's and, 	 income from the decedent's trust to her own account? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. And it doesn't work to pay it to the survivor's account, right? It has to go to her? A. No. The survivor's trust is her. Q. Okay. So it was enough if she made all the payments from the decedent's trust into the survivor's trust account? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. These are, I would say, kind of intricate types of procedures to set up. Did you ever have any feeling that Nelva didn't understand what you were telling her? MR. SPIELMAN: Form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 MS. BAYLESS: The second one from the top. A. 11:54 a.m.? Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Yes, 11:54 a.m. Sorry. The one that has your name out to the right, do you think you put this entry in? A. Yes. Q. Even though it doesn't have your initials? A. It looks like something I would type. Q. Okay. A. Although there's no misspellings, so I'm not sure. Q. You say in here that "the land was Elmer's and, therefore, would likely be allocated to his decedent's 	 income from the decedent's trust to her own account? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. And it doesn't work to pay it to the survivor's account, right? It has to go to her? A. No. The survivor's trust is her. Q. Okay. So it was enough if she made all the payments from the decedent's trust into the survivor's trust account? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. These are, I would say, kind of intricate types of procedures to set up. Did you ever have any feeling that Nelva didn't understand what you were telling her? MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. At the time that it was given?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 MS. BAYLESS: The second one from the top. A. 11:54 a.m.? Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Yes, 11:54 a.m. Sorry. The one that has your name out to the right, do you think you put this entry in? A. Yes. Q. Even though it doesn't have your initials? A. It looks like something I would type. Q. Okay. A. Although there's no misspellings, so I'm not sure. Q. You say in here that "the land was Elmer's and, therefore, would likely be allocated to his decedent's trust but that all income is required to be pushed out to her." 	 income from the decedent's trust to her own account? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. And it doesn't work to pay it to the survivor's account, right? It has to go to her? A. No. The survivor's trust is her. Q. Okay. So it was enough if she made all the payments from the decedent's trust into the survivor's trust account? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. These are, I would say, kind of intricate types of procedures to set up. Did you ever have any feeling that Nelva didn't understand what you were telling her? MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. At the time that it was given? Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 MS. BAYLESS: The second one from the top. A. 11:54 a.m.? Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Yes, 11:54 a.m. Sorry. The one that has your name out to the right, do you think you put this entry in? A. Yes. Q. Even though it doesn't have your initials? A. It looks like something I would type. Q. Okay. A. Although there's no misspellings, so I'm not sure. Q. You say in here that "the land was Elmer's and, therefore, would likely be allocated to his decedent's trust but that all income is required to be pushed out to her." So explain what you I assume you explained this to Nelva? 	 income from the decedent's trust to her own account? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. And it doesn't work to pay it to the survivor's account, right? It has to go to her? A. No. The survivor's trust is her. Q. Okay. So it was enough if she made all the payments from the decedent's trust into the survivor's trust account? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. These are, I would say, kind of intricate types of procedures to set up. Did you ever have any feeling that Nelva didn't understand what you were telling her? MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. At the time that it was given? Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Yes. A. No, I did not have that feeling that she did
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 MS. BAYLESS: The second one from the top. A. 11:54 a.m.? Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Yes, 11:54 a.m. Sorry. The one that has your name out to the right, do you think you put this entry in? A. Yes. Q. Even though it doesn't have your initials? A. It looks like something I would type. Q. Okay. A. Although there's no misspellings, so I'm not sure. Q. You say in here that "the land was Elmer's and, therefore, would likely be allocated to his decedent's trust but that all income is required to be pushed out to her." So explain what you I assume you explained this to Nelva? A. Yes. That's a good assumption. 	 income from the decedent's trust to her own account? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. And it doesn't work to pay it to the survivor's account, right? It has to go to her? A. No. The survivor's trust is her. Q. Okay. So it was enough if she made all the payments from the decedent's trust into the survivor's trust account? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. These are, I would say, kind of intricate types of procedures to set up. Did you ever have any feeling that Nelva didn't understand what you were telling her? MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. At the time that it was given? Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Yes. A. No, I did not have that feeling that she did not understand.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. BAYLESS: The second one from the top. A. 11:54 a.m.? Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Yes, 11:54 a.m. Sorry. The one that has your name out to the right, do you think you put this entry in? A. Yes. Q. Even though it doesn't have your initials? A. It looks like something I would type. Q. Okay. A. Although there's no misspellings, so I'm not sure. Q. You say in here that "the land was Elmer's and, therefore, would likely be allocated to his decedent's trust but that all income is required to be pushed out to her." So explain what you I assume you explained this to Nelva? A. Yes. That's a good assumption. Q. Okay. Explain, as best you recall, what you	 income from the decedent's trust to her own account? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. And it doesn't work to pay it to the survivor's account, right? It has to go to her? A. No. The survivor's trust is her. Q. Okay. So it was enough if she made all the payments from the decedent's trust into the survivor's trust account? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. These are, I would say, kind of intricate types of procedures to set up. Did you ever have any feeling that Nelva didn't understand what you were telling her? MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. At the time that it was given? Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Yes. A. No, I did not have that feeling that she did not understand. Q. And from the interaction that you had with her
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 MS. BAYLESS: The second one from the top. A. 11:54 a.m.? Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Yes, 11:54 a.m. Sorry. The one that has your name out to the right, do you think you put this entry in? A. Yes. Q. Even though it doesn't have your initials? A. It looks like something I would type. Q. Okay. A. Although there's no misspellings, so I'm not sure. Q. You say in here that "the land was Elmer's and, therefore, would likely be allocated to his decedent's trust but that all income is required to be pushed out to her." So explain what you I assume you explained this to Nelva? A. Yes. That's a good assumption. Q. Okay. Explain, as best you recall, what you would have told her about how that would work. 	 income from the decedent's trust to her own account? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. And it doesn't work to pay it to the survivor's account, right? It has to go to her? A. No. The survivor's trust is her. Q. Okay. So it was enough if she made all the payments from the decedent's trust into the survivor's trust account? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. These are, I would say, kind of intricate types of procedures to set up. Did you ever have any feeling that Nelva didn't understand what you were telling her? MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. At the time that it was given? Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Yes. A. No, I did not have that feeling that she did not understand. Q. And from the interaction that you had with her after you sent her off with a set of instructions you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 MS. BAYLESS: The second one from the top. A. 11:54 a.m.? Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Yes, 11:54 a.m. Sorry. The one that has your name out to the right, do you think you put this entry in? A. Yes. Q. Even though it doesn't have your initials? A. It looks like something I would type. Q. Okay. A. Although there's no misspellings, so I'm not sure. Q. You say in here that "the land was Elmer's and, therefore, would likely be allocated to his decedent's trust but that all income is required to be pushed out to her." So explain what you I assume you explained this to Nelva? A. Yes. That's a good assumption. Q. Okay. Explain, as best you recall, what you would have told her about how that would work. MR. REED: Objection, form. 	 income from the decedent's trust to her own account? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. And it doesn't work to pay it to the survivor's account, right? It has to go to her? A. No. The survivor's trust is her. Q. Okay. So it was enough if she made all the payments from the decedent's trust into the survivor's trust account? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. These are, I would say, kind of intricate types of procedures to set up. Did you ever have any feeling that Nelva didn't understand what you were telling her? MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. At the time that it was given? Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Yes. A. No, I did not have that feeling that she did not understand. Q. And from the interaction that you had with her after you sent her off with a set of instructions you said you'd talk to her some other time was it your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 MS. BAYLESS: The second one from the top. A. 11:54 a.m.? Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Yes, 11:54 a.m. Sorry. The one that has your name out to the right, do you think you put this entry in? A. Yes. Q. Even though it doesn't have your initials? A. It looks like something I would type. Q. Okay. A. Although there's no misspellings, so I'm not sure. Q. You say in here that "the land was Elmer's and, therefore, would likely be allocated to his decedent's trust but that all income is required to be pushed out to her." So explain what you I assume you explained this to Nelva? A. Yes. That's a good assumption. Q. Okay. Explain, as best you recall, what you would have told her about how that would work. MR. REED: Objection, form. A. I typically will tell the client that the trust 	 income from the decedent's trust to her own account? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. And it doesn't work to pay it to the survivor's account, right? It has to go to her? A. No. The survivor's trust is her. Q. Okay. So it was enough if she made all the payments from the decedent's trust into the survivor's trust account? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. These are, I would say, kind of intricate types of procedures to set up. Did you ever have any feeling that Nelva didn't understand what you were telling her? MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. At the time that it was given? Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Yes. A. No, I did not have that feeling that she did not understand. Q. And from the interaction that you had with her after you sent her off with a set of instructions you said you'd talk to her some other time was it your view that she was accomplishing these things that you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 MS. BAYLESS: The second one from the top. A. 11:54 a.m.? Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Yes, 11:54 a.m. Sorry. The one that has your name out to the right, do you think you put this entry in? A. Yes. Q. Even though it doesn't have your initials? A. It looks like something I would type. Q. Okay. A. Although there's no misspellings, so I'm not sure. Q. You say in here that "the land was Elmer's and, therefore, would likely be allocated to his decedent's trust but that all income is required to be pushed out to her." So explain what you I assume you explained this to Nelva? A. Yes. That's a good assumption. Q. Okay. Explain, as best you recall, what you would have told her about how that would work. MR. REED: Objection, form. A. I typically will tell the client that the trust income is mandatory to them because it's a credit 	 income from the decedent's trust to her own account? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. And it doesn't work to pay it to the survivor's account, right? It has to go to her? A. No. The survivor's trust is her. Q. Okay. So it was enough if she made all the payments from the decedent's trust into the survivor's trust account? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. These are, I would say, kind of intricate types of procedures to set up. Did you ever have any feeling that Nelva didn't understand what you were telling her? M. SPIELMAN: Form. A. At the time that it was given? Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Yes. A. No, I did not have that feeling that she did not understand. Q. And from the interaction that you had with her after you sent her off with a set of instructions – you said you'd talk to her some other time – was it your view that she was accomplishing these things that you had set her off to accomplish?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 MS. BAYLESS: The second one from the top. A. 11:54 a.m.? Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Yes, 11:54 a.m. Sorry. The one that has your name out to the right, do you think you put this entry in? A. Yes. Q. Even though it doesn't have your initials? A. It looks like something I would type. Q. Okay. A. Although there's no misspellings, so I'm not sure. Q. You say in here that "the land was Elmer's and, therefore, would likely be allocated to his decedent's trust but that all income is required to be pushed out to her." So explain what you I assume you explained this to Nelva? A. Yes. That's a good assumption. Q. Okay. Explain, as best you recall, what you would have told her about how that would work. MR. REED: Objection, form. A. I typically will tell the client that the trust 	 income from the decedent's trust to her own account? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. And it doesn't work to pay it to the survivor's account, right? It has to go to her? A. No. The survivor's trust is her. Q. Okay. So it was enough if she made all the payments from the decedent's trust into the survivor's trust account? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. These are, I would say, kind of intricate types of procedures to set up. Did you ever have any feeling that Nelva didn't understand what you were telling her? MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. At the time that it was given? Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Yes. A. No, I did not have that feeling that she did not understand. Q. And from the interaction that you had with her after you sent her off with a set of instructions you said you'd talk to her some other time was it your view that she was accomplishing these things that you

45 (Pages 174 to 177)

	178	180
1	done?	¹ A. What interaction are you talking about? On
2	A. There were some stock transfers that still	² 2-24 ?
3	hadn't been done.	³ Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Well, any of these meetings
4	Q. All right. Do you know why that was?	⁴ that you're having since Elmer died.
5	A. No.	⁵ A. Of course.
6	Q. But the transfer documents themselves you had	⁶ Q. Tell me, if you can recall, what she expressed
7	prepared and just given them to her?	⁷ to you about her children.
8	A. Yes.	⁸ MR. SPIELMAN: Form.
9	Q. And she was going to send them to the transfer	9 Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Let's take it child by child.
10	agent, or was she going to bring them back to you to go	¹⁰ A. Okay.
11	to the transfer agent?	¹¹ Q. Did she say anything to you about Carl?
12	A. No. The client is responsible for getting	¹² MR. SPIELMAN: Form.
13	those to the transfer agent.	¹³ A. Carl actually came into my office with her one
14	Q. Okay. So once the papers go out of your door,	¹⁴ time.
15	they're gone?	¹⁵ Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay.
16	A. (Witness nods head affirmatively.)	¹⁶ A. So I had already met Carl.
17	Q. Did you notice a decline in Nelva's health	¹⁷ Q. I'm sorry?
18	after Elmer died?	¹⁸ A. I had already met Carl.
19	MR. SPIELMAN: Form.	¹⁹ Q. Okay. But in your conversations with her when
20	A. No.	²⁰ Carl wasn't there, did she comment one way or the other
21	Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Or her activities?	²¹ about Carl?
22	A. No.	²² A. Not particularly.
23	Q. Okay. So you didn't have any sense that there	²³ Q. Okay. How about Candy?
24	might have been any issues with her mental capacity. Is	²⁴ A. Yes.
25	that right?	²⁵ Q. What did she say about Candy?
	179	181
1	MR. SPIELMAN: Form.	¹ A. That she had gone to California and basically
2	MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. No.	1 A. That she had gone to California and basically 2 married someone, and he left her high and dry. And
2 3	MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. No. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. Look on page 1178.	 A. That she had gone to California and basically married someone, and he left her high and dry. And that's about all I knew.
2 3 4	 MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. No. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. Look on page 1178. A. (Witness complies.) 	 A. That she had gone to California and basically married someone, and he left her high and dry. And that's about all I knew. Q. Did she talk about concerns for her finances,
2 3 4 5	 MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. No. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. Look on page 1178. A. (Witness complies.) Q. There's an entry on 2-24; and it says "CLF," 	 A. That she had gone to California and basically married someone, and he left her high and dry. And that's about all I knew. Q. Did she talk about concerns for her finances, for Candy's finances?
2 3 4 5 6	 MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. No. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. Look on page 1178. A. (Witness complies.) Q. There's an entry on 2-24; and it says "CLF," which is you, "had 5/3 with Ms. Brunsting." 	 A. That she had gone to California and basically married someone, and he left her high and dry. And that's about all I knew. Q. Did she talk about concerns for her finances, for Candy's finances? A. Of course.
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. No. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. Look on page 1178. A. (Witness complies.) Q. There's an entry on 2-24; and it says "CLF," which is you, "had 5/3 with Ms. Brunsting." What does 5/3 mean?	 A. That she had gone to California and basically married someone, and he left her high and dry. And that's about all I knew. Q. Did she talk about concerns for her finances, for Candy's finances? A. Of course. Q. And did she give you any indication of whether
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. No. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. Look on page 1178. A. (Witness complies.) Q. There's an entry on 2-24; and it says "CLF," which is you, "had 5/3 with Ms. Brunsting." What does 5/3 mean? A. So a 5/3 is a type of meeting that after we've 	 A. That she had gone to California and basically married someone, and he left her high and dry. And that's about all I knew. Q. Did she talk about concerns for her finances, for Candy's finances? A. Of course. Q. And did she give you any indication of whether she had been helping with Candy's finances?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. No. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. Look on page 1178. A. (Witness complies.) Q. There's an entry on 2-24; and it says "CLF," which is you, "had 5/3 with Ms. Brunsting." What does 5/3 mean? A. So a 5/3 is a type of meeting that after we've allocated all of gotten the magic number that can	 A. That she had gone to California and basically married someone, and he left her high and dry. And that's about all I knew. Q. Did she talk about concerns for her finances, for Candy's finances? A. Of course. Q. And did she give you any indication of whether she had been helping with Candy's finances? A. Ms. Brunsting indicated she helped multiple
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. No. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. Look on page 1178. A. (Witness complies.) Q. There's an entry on 2-24; and it says "CLF," which is you, "had 5/3 with Ms. Brunsting." What does 5/3 mean? A. So a 5/3 is a type of meeting that after we've allocated all of gotten the magic number that can possibly go into decedent's trust and the client has	 A. That she had gone to California and basically married someone, and he left her high and dry. And that's about all I knew. Q. Did she talk about concerns for her finances, for Candy's finances? A. Of course. Q. And did she give you any indication of whether she had been helping with Candy's finances? A. Ms. Brunsting indicated she helped multiple children with their finances over time.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. No. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. Look on page 1178. A. (Witness complies.) Q. There's an entry on 2-24; and it says "CLF," which is you, "had 5/3 with Ms. Brunsting." What does 5/3 mean? A. So a 5/3 is a type of meeting that after we've allocated all of gotten the magic number that can possibly go into decedent's trust and the client has chosen which assets they want to go in the decedent's	1 A. That she had gone to California and basically 2 married someone, and he left her high and dry. And 3 that's about all I knew. 4 Q. Did she talk about concerns for her finances, 5 for Candy's finances? 6 A. Of course. 7 Q. And did she give you any indication of whether 8 she had been helping with Candy's finances? 9 A. Ms. Brunsting indicated she helped multiple 10 children with their finances over time. 11 Q. Okay. Candy being one of them?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. No. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. Look on page 1178. A. (Witness complies.) Q. There's an entry on 2-24; and it says "CLF," which is you, "had 5/3 with Ms. Brunsting." What does 5/3 mean? A. So a 5/3 is a type of meeting that after we've allocated all of gotten the magic number that can possibly go into decedent's trust and the client has chosen which assets they want to go in the decedent's trust and which the survivor's trust, then between the	1 A. That she had gone to California and basically 2 married someone, and he left her high and dry. And 3 that's about all I knew. 4 Q. Did she talk about concerns for her finances, 5 for Candy's finances? 6 A. Of course. 7 Q. And did she give you any indication of whether 8 she had been helping with Candy's finances? 9 A. Ms. Brunsting indicated she helped multiple 10 children with their finances over time. 11 Q. Okay. Candy being one of them? 12 A. Sure.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. No. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. Look on page 1178. A. (Witness complies.) Q. There's an entry on 2-24; and it says "CLF," which is you, "had 5/3 with Ms. Brunsting." What does 5/3 mean? A. So a 5/3 is a type of meeting that after we've allocated all of gotten the magic number that can possibly go into decedent's trust and the client has chosen which assets they want to go in the decedent's trust and which the survivor's trust, then between the 5/2 and the 5/3 is when we prepare all the documents.	1 A. That she had gone to California and basically 2 married someone, and he left her high and dry. And 3 that's about all I knew. 4 Q. Did she talk about concerns for her finances, 5 for Candy's finances? 6 A. Of course. 7 Q. And did she give you any indication of whether 8 she had been helping with Candy's finances? 9 A. Ms. Brunsting indicated she helped multiple 10 children with their finances over time. 11 Q. Okay. Candy being one of them? 12 A. Sure. 13 Q. Did she talk about any financial help to Carl?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. No. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. Look on page 1178. A. (Witness complies.) Q. There's an entry on 2-24; and it says "CLF," which is you, "had 5/3 with Ms. Brunsting." What does 5/3 mean? A. So a 5/3 is a type of meeting that after we've allocated all of gotten the magic number that can possibly go into decedent's trust and the client has chosen which assets they want to go in the decedent's trust and which the survivor's trust, then between the 5/2 and the 5/3 is when we prepare all the documents. If the client requests our assistance with	1 A. That she had gone to California and basically 2 married someone, and he left her high and dry. And 3 that's about all I knew. 4 Q. Did she talk about concerns for her finances, 5 for Candy's finances? 6 A. Of course. 7 Q. And did she give you any indication of whether 8 she had been helping with Candy's finances? 9 A. Ms. Brunsting indicated she helped multiple 10 children with their finances over time. 11 Q. Okay. Candy being one of them? 12 A. Sure. 13 Q. Did she talk about any financial help to Carl? 14 A. Not that I recall.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. No. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. Look on page 1178. A. (Witness complies.) Q. There's an entry on 2-24; and it says "CLF," which is you, "had 5/3 with Ms. Brunsting." What does 5/3 mean? A. So a 5/3 is a type of meeting that after we've allocated all of gotten the magic number that can possibly go into decedent's trust and the client has chosen which assets they want to go in the decedent's trust and which the survivor's trust, then between the 5/2 and the 5/3 is when we prepare all the documents. If the client requests our assistance with it, we would contact brokers and get the forms and help 	1 A. That she had gone to California and basically 2 married someone, and he left her high and dry. And 3 that's about all I knew. 4 Q. Did she talk about concerns for her finances, 5 for Candy's finances? 6 A. Of course. 7 Q. And did she give you any indication of whether 8 she had been helping with Candy's finances? 9 A. Ms. Brunsting indicated she helped multiple 10 children with their finances over time. 11 Q. Okay. Candy being one of them? 12 A. Sure. 13 Q. Did she talk about any financial help to Carl? 14 A. Not that I recall. 15 Q. How about Carole?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. No. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. Look on page 1178. A. (Witness complies.) Q. There's an entry on 2-24; and it says "CLF," which is you, "had 5/3 with Ms. Brunsting." What does 5/3 mean? A. So a 5/3 is a type of meeting that after we've allocated all of gotten the magic number that can possibly go into decedent's trust and the client has chosen which assets they want to go in the decedent's trust and which the survivor's trust, then between the 5/2 and the 5/3 is when we prepare all the documents. If the client requests our assistance with it, we would contact brokers and get the forms and help them fill them out so that they would be ready at 5/3	1 A. That she had gone to California and basically 2 married someone, and he left her high and dry. And 3 that's about all I knew. 4 Q. Did she talk about concerns for her finances, 5 for Candy's finances? 6 A. Of course. 7 Q. And did she give you any indication of whether 8 she had been helping with Candy's finances? 9 A. Ms. Brunsting indicated she helped multiple 10 children with their finances over time. 11 Q. Okay. Candy being one of them? 12 A. Sure. 13 Q. Did she talk about any financial help to Carl? 14 A. Not that I recall. 15 Q. How about Carole? 16 A. Probably, if I recall right this is so long
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. No. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. Look on page 1178. A. (Witness complies.) Q. There's an entry on 2-24; and it says "CLF," which is you, "had 5/3 with Ms. Brunsting." What does 5/3 mean? A. So a 5/3 is a type of meeting that after we've allocated all of gotten the magic number that can possibly go into decedent's trust and the client has chosen which assets they want to go in the decedent's trust and which the survivor's trust, then between the 5/2 and the 5/3 is when we prepare all the documents. If the client requests our assistance with it, we would contact brokers and get the forms and help them fill them out so that they would be ready at 5/3 for them to sign in order to effectuate those transfers	1 A. That she had gone to California and basically 2 married someone, and he left her high and dry. And 3 that's about all I knew. 4 Q. Did she talk about concerns for her finances, 5 for Candy's finances? 6 A. Of course. 7 Q. And did she give you any indication of whether 8 she had been helping with Candy's finances? 9 A. Ms. Brunsting indicated she helped multiple 10 children with their finances over time. 11 Q. Okay. Candy being one of them? 12 A. Sure. 13 Q. Did she talk about any financial help to Carl? 14 A. Not that I recall. 15 Q. How about Carole? 16 A. Probably, if I recall right this is so long 17 ago. I want to say maybe because Carole may have been
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. No. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. Look on page 1178. A. (Witness complies.) Q. There's an entry on 2-24; and it says "CLF," which is you, "had 5/3 with Ms. Brunsting." What does 5/3 mean? A. So a 5/3 is a type of meeting that after we've allocated all of gotten the magic number that can possibly go into decedent's trust and the client has chosen which assets they want to go in the decedent's trust and which the survivor's trust, then between the 5/2 and the 5/3 is when we prepare all the documents. If the client requests our assistance with it, we would contact brokers and get the forms and help them fill them out so that they would be ready at 5/3 for them to sign in order to effectuate those transfers and walk out with those documents to deliver.	1 A. That she had gone to California and basically 2 married someone, and he left her high and dry. And 3 that's about all I knew. 4 Q. Did she talk about concerns for her finances, 5 for Candy's finances? 6 A. Of course. 7 Q. And did she give you any indication of whether 8 she had been helping with Candy's finances? 9 A. Ms. Brunsting indicated she helped multiple 10 children with their finances over time. 11 Q. Okay. Candy being one of them? 12 A. Sure. 13 Q. Did she talk about any financial help to Carl? 14 A. Not that I recall. 15 Q. How about Carole? 16 A. Probably, if I recall right this is so long 17 ago. I want to say maybe because Carole may have been 18 helping out when dad was kind of falling ill
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. No. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. Look on page 1178. A. (Witness complies.) Q. There's an entry on 2-24; and it says "CLF," which is you, "had 5/3 with Ms. Brunsting." What does 5/3 mean? A. So a 5/3 is a type of meeting that after we've allocated all of gotten the magic number that can possibly go into decedent's trust and the client has chosen which assets they want to go in the decedent's trust and which the survivor's trust, then between the 5/2 and the 5/3 is when we prepare all the documents. If the client requests our assistance with it, we would contact brokers and get the forms and help them fill them out so that they would be ready at 5/3 for them to sign in order to effectuate those transfers and walk out with those documents to deliver. Q. And that's what you did in this case?	1 A. That she had gone to California and basically 2 married someone, and he left her high and dry. And 3 that's about all I knew. 4 Q. Did she talk about concerns for her finances, 5 for Candy's finances? 6 A. Of course. 7 Q. And did she give you any indication of whether 8 she had been helping with Candy's finances? 9 A. Ms. Brunsting indicated she helped multiple 10 children with their finances over time. 11 Q. Okay. Candy being one of them? 12 A. Sure. 13 Q. Did she talk about any financial help to Carl? 14 A. Not that I recall. 15 Q. How about Carole? 16 A. Probably, if I recall right this is so long 17 ago. I want to say maybe because Carole may have been 18 helping out when dad was kind of falling ill 19 dementia-wise, that Carole was very helpful during that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. No. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. Look on page 1178. A. (Witness complies.) Q. There's an entry on 2-24; and it says "CLF," which is you, "had 5/3 with Ms. Brunsting." What does 5/3 mean? A. So a 5/3 is a type of meeting that after we've allocated all of gotten the magic number that can possibly go into decedent's trust and the client has chosen which assets they want to go in the decedent's trust and which the survivor's trust, then between the 5/2 and the 5/3 is when we prepare all the documents. If the client requests our assistance with it, we would contact brokers and get the forms and help them fill them out so that they would be ready at 5/3 for them to sign in order to effectuate those transfers and walk out with those documents to deliver. Q. And that's what you did in this case? A. Correct. 	1 A. That she had gone to California and basically 2 married someone, and he left her high and dry. And 3 that's about all I knew. 4 Q. Did she talk about concerns for her finances, 5 for Candy's finances? 6 A. Of course. 7 Q. And did she give you any indication of whether 8 she had been helping with Candy's finances? 9 A. Ms. Brunsting indicated she helped multiple 10 children with their finances over time. 11 Q. Okay. Candy being one of them? 12 A. Sure. 13 Q. Did she talk about any financial help to Carl? 14 A. Not that I recall. 15 Q. How about Carole? 16 A. Probably, if I recall right this is so long 17 ago. I want to say maybe because Carole may have been 18 helping out when dad was kind of falling ill 19 dementia-wise, that Carole was very helpful during that 20 time, wanting to compensate her daughter for helping
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. No. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. Look on page 1178. A. (Witness complies.) Q. There's an entry on 2-24; and it says "CLF," which is you, "had 5/3 with Ms. Brunsting." What does 5/3 mean? A. So a 5/3 is a type of meeting that after we've allocated all of gotten the magic number that can possibly go into decedent's trust and the client has chosen which assets they want to go in the decedent's trust and which the survivor's trust, then between the 5/2 and the 5/3 is when we prepare all the documents. If the client requests our assistance with it, we would contact brokers and get the forms and help them fill them out so that they would be ready at 5/3 for them to sign in order to effectuate those transfers and walk out with those documents to deliver. Q. And that's what you did in this case? A. Correct. Q. In talking about these transfers and the 	1 A. That she had gone to California and basically 2 married someone, and he left her high and dry. And 3 that's about all I knew. 4 Q. Did she talk about concerns for her finances, 5 for Candy's finances? 6 A. Of course. 7 Q. And did she give you any indication of whether 8 she had been helping with Candy's finances? 9 A. Ms. Brunsting indicated she helped multiple 10 children with their finances over time. 11 Q. Okay. Candy being one of them? 12 A. Sure. 13 Q. Did she talk about any financial help to Carl? 14 A. Not that I recall. 15 Q. How about Carole? 16 A. Probably, if I recall right this is so long 17 ago. I want to say maybe because Carole may have been 18 helping out when dad was kind of falling ill 19 dementia-wise, that Carole was very helpful during that 20 time, wanting to compensate her daughter for helping 21 her.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. No. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. Look on page 1178. A. (Witness complies.) Q. There's an entry on 2-24; and it says "CLF," which is you, "had 5/3 with Ms. Brunsting." What does 5/3 mean? A. So a 5/3 is a type of meeting that after we've allocated all of gotten the magic number that can possibly go into decedent's trust and the client has chosen which assets they want to go in the decedent's trust and which the survivor's trust, then between the 5/2 and the 5/3 is when we prepare all the documents. If the client requests our assistance with it, we would contact brokers and get the forms and help them fill them out so that they would be ready at 5/3 for them to sign in order to effectuate those transfers and walk out with those documents to deliver. Q. And that's what you did in this case? A. Correct. Q. In talking about these transfers and the interaction that you had with Nelva during that time 	1 A. That she had gone to California and basically 2 married someone, and he left her high and dry. And 3 that's about all I knew. 4 Q. Did she talk about concerns for her finances, 5 for Candy's finances? 6 A. Of course. 7 Q. And did she give you any indication of whether 8 she had been helping with Candy's finances? 9 A. Ms. Brunsting indicated she helped multiple 10 children with their finances over time. 11 Q. Okay. Candy being one of them? 12 A. Sure. 13 Q. Did she talk about any financial help to Carl? 14 A. Not that I recall. 15 Q. How about Carole? 16 A. Probably, if I recall right this is so long 17 ago. I want to say maybe because Carole may have been 18 helping out when dad was kind of falling ill 19 dementia-wise, that Carole was very helpful during that 20 Q. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. No. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. Look on page 1178. A. (Witness complies.) Q. There's an entry on 2-24; and it says "CLF," which is you, "had 5/3 with Ms. Brunsting." What does 5/3 mean? A. So a 5/3 is a type of meeting that after we've allocated all of gotten the magic number that can possibly go into decedent's trust and the client has chosen which assets they want to go in the decedent's trust and which the survivor's trust, then between the 5/2 and the 5/3 is when we prepare all the documents. If the client requests our assistance with it, we would contact brokers and get the forms and help them fill them out so that they would be ready at 5/3 for them to sign in order to effectuate those transfers and walk out with those documents to deliver. Q. And that's what you did in this case? A. Correct. Q. In talking about these transfers and the interaction that you had with Nelva during that time period, did she ever say anything to you about her 	1 A. That she had gone to California and basically 2 married someone, and he left her high and dry. And 3 that's about all I knew. 4 Q. Did she talk about concerns for her finances, 5 for Candy's finances? 6 A. Of course. 7 Q. And did she give you any indication of whether 8 she had been helping with Candy's finances? 9 A. Ms. Brunsting indicated she helped multiple 10 children with their finances over time. 11 Q. Okay. Candy being one of them? 12 A. Sure. 13 Q. Did she talk about any financial help to Carl? 14 A. Not that I recall. 15 Q. How about Carole? 16 A. Probably, if I recall right this is so long 17 ago. I want to say maybe because Carole may have been 18 helping out when dad was kind of falling ill 19 dementia-wise, that Carole was very helpful during that 20 Q. Okay. 21 Q. Okay. 22 Q. Okay. 23 A. Instead of her being able to go out and get a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. No. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. Look on page 1178. A. (Witness complies.) Q. There's an entry on 2-24; and it says "CLF," which is you, "had 5/3 with Ms. Brunsting." What does 5/3 mean? A. So a 5/3 is a type of meeting that after we've allocated all of gotten the magic number that can possibly go into decedent's trust and the client has chosen which assets they want to go in the decedent's trust and which the survivor's trust, then between the 5/2 and the 5/3 is when we prepare all the documents. If the client requests our assistance with it, we would contact brokers and get the forms and help them fill them out so that they would be ready at 5/3 for them to sign in order to effectuate those transfers and walk out with those documents to deliver. Q. And that's what you did in this case? A. Correct. Q. In talking about these transfers and the interaction that you had with Nelva during that time 	1 A. That she had gone to California and basically 2 married someone, and he left her high and dry. And 3 that's about all I knew. 4 Q. Did she talk about concerns for her finances, 5 for Candy's finances? 6 A. Of course. 7 Q. And did she give you any indication of whether 8 she had been helping with Candy's finances? 9 A. Ms. Brunsting indicated she helped multiple 10 children with their finances over time. 11 Q. Okay. Candy being one of them? 12 A. Sure. 13 Q. Did she talk about any financial help to Carl? 14 A. Not that I recall. 15 Q. How about Carole? 16 A. Probably, if I recall right this is so long 17 ago. I want to say maybe because Carole may have been 18 helping out when dad was kind of falling ill 19 dementia-wise, that Carole was very helpful during that 20 Q. Okay.

46 (Pages 178 to 181)

	182	184
1	volunteering at the church. She liked to do that, and	¹ A. Irrevocable life insurance trust with a
2	it gave her an option to get out of the house.	² second-to-die policy.
3	Q. Okay.	³ Q. Did you sense that there was any disagreement
4	A. So I do recall that.	⁴ between Anita and Amy about the farm in Iowa, what
5	Q. Okay. And how about Anita? Did she say	⁵ needed to be done with that; or were they just asking
6	anything about Anita's finances?	⁶ for options?
7	A. No. I really didn't hear much about Carl,	7 MR. REED: Object to form.
8	Anita or Amy, for that matter, yeah.	⁸ A. I didn't sense any disagreement.
9	Q. Okay.	⁹ Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Did you at any time during
10	A. She was rather private unless it was relevant	¹⁰ your dealings with Amy and Anita sense any disagreement
11	to what we were talking about at that moment.	¹¹ between them?
12	Q. Okay. And I assume that things that she might	¹² MR. SPIELMAN: Form.
13	have said to you in a meeting or on a phone	¹³ A. No. They were told explicitly that if they
14	conversation, if they didn't relate to what you were	¹⁴ disagreed, I could represent no one; and it's in the
15	doing, they don't show up in this.	¹⁵ agreement they both signed.
16	A. That's correct.	¹⁶ Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. Look at page 1177.
17	Q. Yeah. Did you ever have any conversations	¹⁷ There's an entry at the very bottom. Now we're into
18	about the Iowa farm and what the plans were for that	¹⁸ April of 2010, and it says you discussed this with SSV.
19	after Elmer died?	¹⁹ Is that Susan Vacek?
20	A. With Nelva?	²⁰ A. Yes.
21	Q. Yes.	²¹ Q. "There is not trust protector in this trust,
22	A. I don't recall having any conversations about	²² although Mrs. B can have some flexibility with the way
23	what would happen to it. It created income. So I don't	²³ the kids get the trust assets and then add QBD with
24	recall any specific conversations about what would	²⁴ PATs."
25	happen to it.	²⁵ A. Uh-huh.
	183	185
	183	185
1	Q. Do you recall any conversations about it with	¹ Q. So there are a lot of acronyms in there.
2	Q. Do you recall any conversations about it with any of the children?	 Q. So there are a lot of acronyms in there. A. Sure.
2 3	Q. Do you recall any conversations about it with any of the children?A. At any given time?	 Q. So there are a lot of acronyms in there. A. Sure. Q. Tell me what that entry basically is saying.
2 3 4	Q. Do you recall any conversations about it with any of the children?A. At any given time?Q. Right.	 Q. So there are a lot of acronyms in there. A. Sure. Q. Tell me what that entry basically is saying. A. So when Elmer and Ms. Brunsting did their
2 3 4 5	 Q. Do you recall any conversations about it with any of the children? A. At any given time? Q. Right. A. I believe there was discussion about what would 	 Q. So there are a lot of acronyms in there. A. Sure. Q. Tell me what that entry basically is saying. A. So when Elmer and Ms. Brunsting did their restatement, it was before we had language regarding
2 3 4 5 6	 Q. Do you recall any conversations about it with any of the children? A. At any given time? Q. Right. A. I believe there was discussion about what would happen with the farm after Nelva passed away. 	 Q. So there are a lot of acronyms in there. A. Sure. Q. Tell me what that entry basically is saying. A. So when Elmer and Ms. Brunsting did their restatement, it was before we had language regarding trust protectors.
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. Do you recall any conversations about it with any of the children? A. At any given time? Q. Right. A. I believe there was discussion about what would happen with the farm after Nelva passed away. Q. And who did you discuss that with? 	1Q. So there are a lot of acronyms in there.2A. Sure.3Q. Tell me what that entry basically is saying.4A. So when Elmer and Ms. Brunsting did their5restatement, it was before we had language regarding6trust protectors.7The concern for Carl and others were that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. Do you recall any conversations about it with any of the children? A. At any given time? Q. Right. A. I believe there was discussion about what would happen with the farm after Nelva passed away. Q. And who did you discuss that with? A. I believe it was the co-trustees, Anita and 	1Q. So there are a lot of acronyms in there.2A. Sure.3Q. Tell me what that entry basically is saying.4A. So when Elmer and Ms. Brunsting did their5restatement, it was before we had language regarding6trust protectors.7The concern for Carl and others were that8if they should get in a lawsuit and they're in charge of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Do you recall any conversations about it with any of the children? A. At any given time? Q. Right. A. I believe there was discussion about what would happen with the farm after Nelva passed away. Q. And who did you discuss that with? A. I believe it was the co-trustees, Anita and Amy. 	1Q. So there are a lot of acronyms in there.2A. Sure.3Q. Tell me what that entry basically is saying.4A. So when Elmer and Ms. Brunsting did their5restatement, it was before we had language regarding6trust protectors.7The concern for Carl and others were that8if they should get in a lawsuit and they're in charge of9their own trust, that the trust could not be secured for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. Do you recall any conversations about it with any of the children? A. At any given time? Q. Right. A. I believe there was discussion about what would happen with the farm after Nelva passed away. Q. And who did you discuss that with? A. I believe it was the co-trustees, Anita and Amy. Q. And do you remember why the conversation came 	1Q. So there are a lot of acronyms in there.2A. Sure.3Q. Tell me what that entry basically is saying.4A. So when Elmer and Ms. Brunsting did their5restatement, it was before we had language regarding6trust protectors.7The concern for Carl and others were that8if they should get in a lawsuit and they're in charge of9their own trust, that the trust could not be secured for10them so that they to protect it, asset protection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. Do you recall any conversations about it with any of the children? A. At any given time? Q. Right. A. I believe there was discussion about what would happen with the farm after Nelva passed away. Q. And who did you discuss that with? A. I believe it was the co-trustees, Anita and Amy. Q. And do you remember why the conversation came up? 	1Q. So there are a lot of acronyms in there.2A. Sure.3Q. Tell me what that entry basically is saying.4A. So when Elmer and Ms. Brunsting did their5restatement, it was before we had language regarding6trust protectors.7The concern for Carl and others were that8if they should get in a lawsuit and they're in charge of9their own trust, that the trust could not be secured for10them so that they to protect it, asset protection.11Q. Okay. Well, 4-1 of 2010 was before Carl was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. Do you recall any conversations about it with any of the children? A. At any given time? Q. Right. A. I believe there was discussion about what would happen with the farm after Nelva passed away. Q. And who did you discuss that with? A. I believe it was the co-trustees, Anita and Amy. Q. And do you remember why the conversation came up? A. Probably I don't recall exactly, but most 	1Q. So there are a lot of acronyms in there.2A. Sure.3Q. Tell me what that entry basically is saying.4A. So when Elmer and Ms. Brunsting did their5restatement, it was before we had language regarding6trust protectors.7The concern for Carl and others were that8if they should get in a lawsuit and they're in charge of9their own trust, that the trust could not be secured for10them so that they to protect it, asset protection.11Q. Okay. Well, 4-1 of 2010 was before Carl was12sick.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Do you recall any conversations about it with any of the children? A. At any given time? Q. Right. A. I believe there was discussion about what would happen with the farm after Nelva passed away. Q. And who did you discuss that with? A. I believe it was the co-trustees, Anita and Amy. Q. And do you remember why the conversation came up? A. Probably I don't recall exactly, but most likely because of the illiquidity of the asset itself 	1Q. So there are a lot of acronyms in there.2A. Sure.3Q. Tell me what that entry basically is saying.4A. So when Elmer and Ms. Brunsting did their5restatement, it was before we had language regarding6trust protectors.7The concern for Carl and others were that8if they should get in a lawsuit and they're in charge of9their own trust, that the trust could not be secured for10them so that they to protect it, asset protection.11Q. Okay. Well, 4-1 of 2010 was before Carl was12sick.13A. Well, Carl, Amy
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. Do you recall any conversations about it with any of the children? A. At any given time? Q. Right. A. I believe there was discussion about what would happen with the farm after Nelva passed away. Q. And who did you discuss that with? A. I believe it was the co-trustees, Anita and Amy. Q. And do you remember why the conversation came up? A. Probably I don't recall exactly, but most likely because of the illiquidity of the asset itself and being that it was family property, what are the 	1 Q. So there are a lot of acronyms in there. 2 A. Sure. 3 Q. Tell me what that entry basically is saying. 4 A. So when Elmer and Ms. Brunsting did their 5 restatement, it was before we had language regarding 6 trust protectors. 7 The concern for Carl and others were that 8 if they should get in a lawsuit and they're in charge of 9 their own trust, that the trust could not be secured for 10 them so that they to protect it, asset protection. 11 Q. Okay. Well, 4-1 of 2010 was before Carl was 12 sick. 13 A. Well, Carl, Amy 14 Q. Anybody?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. Do you recall any conversations about it with any of the children? A. At any given time? Q. Right. A. I believe there was discussion about what would happen with the farm after Nelva passed away. Q. And who did you discuss that with? A. I believe it was the co-trustees, Anita and Amy. Q. And do you remember why the conversation came up? A. Probably I don't recall exactly, but most likely because of the illiquidity of the asset itself and being that it was family property, what are the options with regard to how to divvy it up. Do we split 	1 Q. So there are a lot of acronyms in there. 2 A. Sure. 3 Q. Tell me what that entry basically is saying. 4 A. So when Elmer and Ms. Brunsting did their 5 restatement, it was before we had language regarding 6 trust protectors. 7 The concern for Carl and others were that 8 if they should get in a lawsuit and they're in charge of 9 their own trust, that the trust could not be secured for 10 them so that they to protect it, asset protection. 11 Q. Okay. Well, 4-1 of 2010 was before Carl was 12 sick. 13 A. Well, Carl, Amy 14 Q. Anybody? 15 A. My of the kids.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. Do you recall any conversations about it with any of the children? A. At any given time? Q. Right. A. I believe there was discussion about what would happen with the farm after Nelva passed away. Q. And who did you discuss that with? A. I believe it was the co-trustees, Anita and Amy. Q. And do you remember why the conversation came up? A. Probably I don't recall exactly, but most likely because of the illiquidity of the asset itself and being that it was family property, what are the options with regard to how to divvy it up. Do we split it, do we sell it and split the proceeds? Do you have 	1 Q. So there are a lot of acronyms in there. 2 A. Sure. 3 Q. Tell me what that entry basically is saying. 4 A. So when Elmer and Ms. Brunsting did their 5 restatement, it was before we had language regarding 6 trust protectors. 7 The concern for Carl and others were that 8 if they should get in a lawsuit and they're in charge of 9 their own trust, that the trust could not be secured for 10 them so that they to protect it, asset protection. 11 Q. Okay. Well, 4-1 of 2010 was before Carl was 12 sick. 13 A. Well, Carl, Amy 14 Q. Anybody? 15 A. Any of the kids. 16 Q. Okay. And so this had come up because Nelva
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. Do you recall any conversations about it with any of the children? A. At any given time? Q. Right. A. I believe there was discussion about what would happen with the farm after Nelva passed away. Q. And who did you discuss that with? A. I believe it was the co-trustees, Anita and Amy. Q. And do you remember why the conversation came up? A. Probably I don't recall exactly, but most likely because of the illiquidity of the asset itself and being that it was family property, what are the options with regard to how to divvy it up. Do we split it, do we sell it and split the proceeds? Do you have the option to buy to buy each other out in lieu of 	1 Q. So there are a lot of acronyms in there. 2 A. Sure. 3 Q. Tell me what that entry basically is saying. 4 A. So when Elmer and Ms. Brunsting did their 5 restatement, it was before we had language regarding 6 trust protectors. 7 The concern for Carl and others were that 8 if they should get in a lawsuit and they're in charge of 9 their own trust, that the trust could not be secured for 10 them so that they to protect it, asset protection. 11 Q. Okay. Well, 4-1 of 2010 was before Carl was 12 sick. 13 A. Well, Carl, Amy 14 Q. Anybody? 15 A. Any of the kids. 16 Q. Okay. And so this had come up because Nelva 17 had asked this question? Or why had this come up?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Do you recall any conversations about it with any of the children? A. At any given time? Q. Right. A. I believe there was discussion about what would happen with the farm after Nelva passed away. Q. And who did you discuss that with? A. I believe it was the co-trustees, Anita and Amy. Q. And do you remember why the conversation came up? A. Probably I don't recall exactly, but most likely because of the illiquidity of the asset itself and being that it was family property, what are the options with regard to how to divvy it up. Do we split it, do we sell it and split the proceeds? Do you have the option to buy to buy each other out in lieu of using other assets? 	1 Q. So there are a lot of acronyms in there. 2 A. Sure. 3 Q. Tell me what that entry basically is saying. 4 A. So when Elmer and Ms. Brunsting did their 5 restatement, it was before we had language regarding 6 trust protectors. 7 The concern for Carl and others were that 8 if they should get in a lawsuit and they're in charge of 9 their own trust, that the trust could not be secured for 10 them so that they to protect it, asset protection. 11 Q. Okay. Well, 4-1 of 2010 was before Carl was 12 sick. 13 A. Well, Carl, Amy 14 Q. Anybody? 15 A. Any of the kids. 16 Q. Okay. And so this had come up because Nelva 17 had asked this question? Or why had this come up? 18 A. I don't know. I'd have to look at the entries
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. Do you recall any conversations about it with any of the children? A. At any given time? Q. Right. A. I believe there was discussion about what would happen with the farm after Nelva passed away. Q. And who did you discuss that with? A. I believe it was the co-trustees, Anita and Amy. Q. And do you remember why the conversation came up? A. Probably I don't recall exactly, but most likely because of the illiquidity of the asset itself and being that it was family property, what are the options with regard to how to divvy it up. Do we split it, do we sell it and split the proceeds? Do you have the option to buy to buy each other out in lieu of using other assets? 	1 Q. So there are a lot of acronyms in there. 2 A. Sure. 3 Q. Tell me what that entry basically is saying. 4 A. So when Elmer and Ms. Brunsting did their 5 restatement, it was before we had language regarding 6 trust protectors. 7 The concern for Carl and others were that 8 if they should get in a lawsuit and they're in charge of 9 their own trust, that the trust could not be secured for 10 them so that they to protect it, asset protection. 11 Q. Okay. Well, 4-1 of 2010 was before Carl was 12 sick. 13 A. Well, Carl, Amy 14 Q. Anybody? 15 A. Any of the kids. 16 Q. Okay. And so this had come up because Nelva 17 had asked this question? Or why had this come up? 18 A. I don't know. I'd have to look at the entries 19 before that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. Do you recall any conversations about it with any of the children? A. At any given time? Q. Right. A. I believe there was discussion about what would happen with the farm after Nelva passed away. Q. And who did you discuss that with? A. I believe it was the co-trustees, Anita and Amy. Q. And do you remember why the conversation came up? A. Probably I don't recall exactly, but most likely because of the illiquidity of the asset itself and being that it was family property, what are the options with regard to how to divvy it up. Do we split it, do we sell it and split the proceeds? Do you have the option to buy to buy each other out in lieu of using other assets? There was an ILIT that created some cash that was initially set up to pay estate tax. Since 	1Q. So there are a lot of acronyms in there.2A. Sure.3Q. Tell me what that entry basically is saying.4A. So when Elmer and Ms. Brunsting did their5restatement, it was before we had language regarding6trust protectors.7The concern for Carl and others were that8if they should get in a lawsuit and they're in charge of9their own trust, that the trust could not be secured for10them so that they to protect it, asset protection.11Q. Okay. Well, 4-1 of 2010 was before Carl was12sick.13A. Well, Carl, Amy14Q. Anybody?15A. Any of the kids.16Q. Okay. And so this had come up because Nelva17had asked this question? Or why had this come up?18A. I don't know. I'd have to look at the entries19before that.20Q. Okay. Well, let's actually go back to the page
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Do you recall any conversations about it with any of the children? A. At any given time? Q. Right. A. I believe there was discussion about what would happen with the farm after Nelva passed away. Q. And who did you discuss that with? A. I believe it was the co-trustees, Anita and Amy. Q. And do you remember why the conversation came up? A. Probably I don't recall exactly, but most likely because of the illiquidity of the asset itself and being that it was family property, what are the options with regard to how to divvy it up. Do we split it, do we sell it and split the proceeds? Do you have the option to buy to buy each other out in lieu of using other assets? There was an ILIT that created some cash that was initially set up to pay estate tax. Since there was none, maybe some of those funds could be used 	1Q. So there are a lot of acronyms in there.2A. Sure.3Q. Tell me what that entry basically is saying.4A. So when Elmer and Ms. Brunsting did their5restatement, it was before we had language regarding6trust protectors.7The concern for Carl and others were that8if they should get in a lawsuit and they're in charge of9their own trust, that the trust could not be secured for10them so that they to protect it, asset protection.11Q. Okay. Well, 4-1 of 2010 was before Carl was12sick.13A. Well, Carl, Amy14Q. Anybody?15A. Any of the kids.16Q. Okay. And so this had come up because Nelva17had asked this question? Or why had this come up?18A. I don't know. I'd have to look at the entries19before that.20Q. Okay. Well, let's actually go back to the page21before 1178. Maybe this will help.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Do you recall any conversations about it with any of the children? A. At any given time? Q. Right. A. I believe there was discussion about what would happen with the farm after Nelva passed away. Q. And who did you discuss that with? A. I believe it was the co-trustees, Anita and Amy. Q. And do you remember why the conversation came up? A. Probably I don't recall exactly, but most likely because of the illiquidity of the asset itself and being that it was family property, what are the options with regard to how to divvy it up. Do we split it, do we sell it and split the proceeds? Do you have the option to buy to buy each other out in lieu of using other assets? There was an ILIT that created some cash that was initially set up to pay estate tax. Since there was none, maybe some of those funds could be used to buy each other out. I mean, just options with regard 	1 Q. So there are a lot of acronyms in there. 2 A. Sure. 3 Q. Tell me what that entry basically is saying. 4 A. So when Elmer and Ms. Brunsting did their 5 restatement, it was before we had language regarding 6 trust protectors. 7 The concern for Carl and others were that 8 if they should get in a lawsuit and they're in charge of 9 their own trust, that the trust could not be secured for 10 them so that they to protect it, asset protection. 11 Q. Okay. Well, 4-1 of 2010 was before Carl was 12 sick. 13 A. Well, Carl, Amy 14 Q. Anybody? 15 A. Any of the kids. 16 Q. Okay. And so this had come up because Nelva 17 had asked this question? Or why had this come up? 18 A. I don't know. I'd have to look at the entries 19 before that. 20 Q. Okay. Well, let's actually go back to the page 21 before this will help. 22 Look at the entry on 3-24. It indicates
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Do you recall any conversations about it with any of the children? A. At any given time? Q. Right. A. I believe there was discussion about what would happen with the farm after Nelva passed away. Q. And who did you discuss that with? A. I believe it was the co-trustees, Anita and Amy. Q. And do you remember why the conversation came up? A. Probably I don't recall exactly, but most likely because of the illiquidity of the asset itself and being that it was family property, what are the options with regard to how to divvy it up. Do we split it, do we sell it and split the proceeds? Do you have the option to buy to buy each other out in lieu of using other assets? There was an ILIT that created some cash that was initially set up to pay estate tax. Since there was none, maybe some of those funds could be used to buy each other out. I mean, just options with regard to that. 	1 Q. So there are a lot of acronyms in there. 2 A. Sure. 3 Q. Tell me what that entry basically is saying. 4 A. So when Elmer and Ms. Brunsting did their 5 restatement, it was before we had language regarding 6 trust protectors. 7 The concern for Carl and others were that 8 if they should get in a lawsuit and they're in charge of 9 their own trust, that the trust could not be secured for 10 them so that they to protect it, asset protection. 11 Q. Okay. Well, 4-1 of 2010 was before Carl was 12 sick. 13 A. Well, Carl, Amy 14 Q. Anybody? 15 A. Any of the kids. 16 Q. Okay. And so this had come up because Nelva 17 had asked this question? Or why had this come up? 18 A. I don't know. I'd have to look at the entries 19 before that. 20 Q. Okay. Well, let's actually go back to the page 21 before that. 22 Look at the entry on 3-24. It indicates 23 that you talked to Nelva and advised her that Anita was <
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Do you recall any conversations about it with any of the children? A. At any given time? Q. Right. A. I believe there was discussion about what would happen with the farm after Nelva passed away. Q. And who did you discuss that with? A. I believe it was the co-trustees, Anita and Amy. Q. And do you remember why the conversation came up? A. Probably I don't recall exactly, but most likely because of the illiquidity of the asset itself and being that it was family property, what are the options with regard to how to divvy it up. Do we split it, do we sell it and split the proceeds? Do you have the option to buy to buy each other out in lieu of using other assets? There was an ILIT that created some cash that was initially set up to pay estate tax. Since there was none, maybe some of those funds could be used to buy each other out. I mean, just options with regard 	1 Q. So there are a lot of acronyms in there. 2 A. Sure. 3 Q. Tell me what that entry basically is saying. 4 A. So when Elmer and Ms. Brunsting did their 5 restatement, it was before we had language regarding 6 trust protectors. 7 The concern for Carl and others were that 8 if they should get in a lawsuit and they're in charge of 9 their own trust, that the trust could not be secured for 10 them so that they to protect it, asset protection. 11 Q. Okay. Well, 4-1 of 2010 was before Carl was 12 sick. 13 A. Well, Carl, Amy 14 Q. Anybody? 15 A. Any of the kids. 16 Q. Okay. And so this had come up because Nelva 17 had asked this question? Or why had this come up? 18 A. I don't know. I'd have to look at the entries 19 before that. 20 Q. Okay. Well, let's actually go back to the page 21 before this will help. 22 Look at the entry on 3-24. It indicates

47 (Pages 182 to 185)

	186		188
1	So that's what you were saying before?	1	I mean, there's a whole litany of reasons
2	A. That Candace, yes.	² why a t	rust protector would
3	Q. That does get confusing.	-	and so who directs the trust protector in what
4	So this is the thing we talked about		upposed to be doing?
5	earlier, that Anita had called saying that her sister	5	MR. REED: Form.
6	Candy wanted to take her distribution?	6 A. I	don't know. They're a third party. So no
7	A. Correct.		ly directs them.
8	Q. And I assume that this life insurance trust had		By Ms. Bayless) So they're not at the whim of
9	insurance for both Nelva and Elmer. Is that your		or or the trustee?
10	recollection?	10 A. N	lo.
11	A. It was a second-to-die policy.	¹¹ Q. T	hey're a completely different beast?
12	Q. What does that mean?		Completely autonomous.
13	A. So that means you're insuring both lives, but		You indicate on here that there is it says
14	it doesn't actually pay out until the second one dies.	¹⁴ "is not,"	but I assume you mean "is no trust protector."
15	Q. Okay. So at the time of Elmer's death, there	¹⁵ A. U	h-huh.
16	was no life insurance distribution going into the trust?	16 Q. S	o were you contemplating at that point
17	A. Correct.	¹⁷ impleme	enting some type of a trust protector? Why is
18	Q. So what distribution was Candy seeking? Do you	¹⁸ that eve	n coming up in your conversation?
19	know?	¹⁹ A. I	would have to look at the trust as it was
20	A. So in order to pay the life insurance premiums,	²⁰ restated	l, but I believe at that time there was no trust
21	Nelva had to gift to the trustee of that trust, and the	²¹ protect	or in there. So if someone is in charge of their
22	trustee would deposit those funds in the irrevocable	²² own tru	st share and gets sued, there's no one to lock it
23	life insurance trust account.	²³ down fo	or them. They can be compelled to pay it out.
24	There was a 30-day right to receive the	24	So when you see situations where people
25	gift, their portion of the gift, the beneficiary. And	²⁵ are nee	ding money or being sued or they're at risk for
	105		100
1	187 after that 30 days had run and what we call a Crummey		189 ed or they may need supplemental needs at some
2	after that 30 days had run and what we call a Crummey letter was signed waiving the right to that, then the	² point, yo	ed or they may need supplemental needs at some u want to have that person there to be able to
2 3	after that 30 days had run and what we call a Crummey letter was signed waiving the right to that, then the proceeds were the gift was used to pay the premium on	 ² point, yo ³ flip it int 	ed or they may need supplemental needs at some ou want to have that person there to be able to to a supplemental needs trust or lock it down.
2 3 4	after that 30 days had run and what we call a Crummey letter was signed waiving the right to that, then the proceeds were the gift was used to pay the premium on the life insurance policy. If you don't pay the	 2 point, yo 3 flip it int 4 Q. A 	ed or they may need supplemental needs at some ou want to have that person there to be able to to a supplemental needs trust or lock it down. Ind so as far as you know at this point, the
2 3 4 5	after that 30 days had run and what we call a Crummey letter was signed waiving the right to that, then the proceeds were the gift was used to pay the premium on the life insurance policy. If you don't pay the premium, you lose it.	 2 point, yo 3 flip it int 4 Q. A 5 only issue 	ed or they may need supplemental needs at some ou want to have that person there to be able to to a supplemental needs trust or lock it down. Ind so as far as you know at this point, the e was whether Candy would take a distribution
2 3 4 5 6	after that 30 days had run and what we call a Crummey letter was signed waiving the right to that, then the proceeds were the gift was used to pay the premium on the life insurance policy. If you don't pay the premium, you lose it. Q. Okay. And so Candy was asking to be able to	 2 point, yo 3 flip it int 4 Q. A 5 only issu 6 from the 	ed or they may need supplemental needs at some ou want to have that person there to be able to to a supplemental needs trust or lock it down. Ind so as far as you know at this point, the e was whether Candy would take a distribution life insurance trust, right?
2 3 4 5 6 7	after that 30 days had run and what we call a Crummey letter was signed waiving the right to that, then the proceeds were the gift was used to pay the premium on the life insurance policy. If you don't pay the premium, you lose it. Q. Okay. And so Candy was asking to be able to get her part of the what was getting paid in to pay	 2 point, yc 3 flip it imi 4 Q. A 5 only issu 6 from the 7 	ed or they may need supplemental needs at some ou want to have that person there to be able to to a supplemental needs trust or lock it down. Ind so as far as you know at this point, the e was whether Candy would take a distribution life insurance trust, right? MR. REED: Form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	after that 30 days had run and what we call a Crummey letter was signed waiving the right to that, then the proceeds were the gift was used to pay the premium on the life insurance policy. If you don't pay the premium, you lose it. Q. Okay. And so Candy was asking to be able to get her part of the what was getting paid in to pay the premium?	 2 point, yc 3 flip it int 4 Q. A 5 only issu 6 from the 7 8 A. I 	ed or they may need supplemental needs at some ou want to have that person there to be able to to a supplemental needs trust or lock it down. Ind so as far as you know at this point, the e was whether Candy would take a distribution life insurance trust, right? MR. REED: Form. guess. I don't know. I'd have to read the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	after that 30 days had run and what we call a Crummey letter was signed waiving the right to that, then the proceeds were the gift was used to pay the premium on the life insurance policy. If you don't pay the premium, you lose it. Q. Okay. And so Candy was asking to be able to get her part of the what was getting paid in to pay the premium? A. Premium payment, yes.	 2 point, yo 3 flip it int 4 Q. A 5 only issu 6 from the 7 8 A. I 9 previous 	ed or they may need supplemental needs at some ou want to have that person there to be able to to a supplemental needs trust or lock it down. Ind so as far as you know at this point, the e was whether Candy would take a distribution life insurance trust, right? MR. REED: Form. guess. I don't know. I'd have to read the notes going back.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 after that 30 days had run and what we call a Crummey letter was signed waiving the right to that, then the proceeds were the gift was used to pay the premium on the life insurance policy. If you don't pay the premium, you lose it. Q. Okay. And so Candy was asking to be able to get her part of the what was getting paid in to pay the premium? A. Premium payment, yes. Q. Okay. And then in this entry you're basically 	 2 point, yo 3 flip it int 4 Q. A 5 only issu 6 from the 7 8 A. I 9 previous 10 Q. (F 	ed or they may need supplemental needs at some ou want to have that person there to be able to to a supplemental needs trust or lock it down. Ind so as far as you know at this point, the e was whether Candy would take a distribution life insurance trust, right? MR. REED: Form. guess. I don't know. I'd have to read the motes going back. By Ms. Bayless) Okay. Well, let's take a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 after that 30 days had run and what we call a Crummey letter was signed waiving the right to that, then the proceeds were the gift was used to pay the premium on the life insurance policy. If you don't pay the premium, you lose it. Q. Okay. And so Candy was asking to be able to get her part of the what was getting paid in to pay the premium? A. Premium payment, yes. Q. Okay. And then in this entry you're basically saying that you told her that that was not a good idea 	 2 point, yc 3 flip it im 4 Q. A 5 only issu 6 from the 7 8 A. I 9 previous 10 Q. (F 	ed or they may need supplemental needs at some ou want to have that person there to be able to to a supplemental needs trust or lock it down. Ind so as far as you know at this point, the e was whether Candy would take a distribution life insurance trust, right? MR. REED: Form. guess. I don't know. I'd have to read the motes going back. By Ms. Bayless) Okay. Well, let's take a MS. BAYLESS: Can we take a short break?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	after that 30 days had run and what we call a Crummey letter was signed waiving the right to that, then the proceeds were the gift was used to pay the premium on the life insurance policy. If you don't pay the premium, you lose it. Q. Okay. And so Candy was asking to be able to get her part of the what was getting paid in to pay the premium? A. Premium payment, yes. Q. Okay. And then in this entry you're basically saying that you told her that that was not a good idea and that she should just loan her money?	 2 point, yc 3 flip it int 4 Q. A 5 only issu 6 from the 7 8 A. I 9 previous 10 Q. (E 11 12 	ed or they may need supplemental needs at some or want to have that person there to be able to to a supplemental needs trust or lock it down. Ind so as far as you know at this point, the e was whether Candy would take a distribution life insurance trust, right? MR. REED: Form. guess. I don't know. I'd have to read the motes going back. By Ms. Bayless) Okay. Well, let's take a MS. BAYLESS: Can we take a short break? MR. MENDEL: Sure.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 after that 30 days had run and what we call a Crummey letter was signed waiving the right to that, then the proceeds were the gift was used to pay the premium on the life insurance policy. If you don't pay the premium, you lose it. Q. Okay. And so Candy was asking to be able to get her part of the what was getting paid in to pay the premium? A. Premium payment, yes. Q. Okay. And then in this entry you're basically saying that you told her that that was not a good idea and that she should just loan her money? A. Yes. 	2 point, yc 3 flip it im 4 Q. A 5 only issu 6 from the 7 8 8 A. I 9 previous 10 Q. (E 11 12 13 Q. (E	ed or they may need supplemental needs at some on want to have that person there to be able to to a supplemental needs trust or lock it down. Ind so as far as you know at this point, the e was whether Candy would take a distribution life insurance trust, right? MR. REED: Form. guess. I don't know. I'd have to read the e notes going back. By Ms. Bayless) Okay. Well, let's take a MS. BAYLESS: Can we take a short break? MR. MENDEL: Sure. By Ms. Bayless) Would you mind doing that,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 after that 30 days had run and what we call a Crummey letter was signed waiving the right to that, then the proceeds were the gift was used to pay the premium on the life insurance policy. If you don't pay the premium, you lose it. Q. Okay. And so Candy was asking to be able to get her part of the what was getting paid in to pay the premium? A. Premium payment, yes. Q. Okay. And then in this entry you're basically saying that you told her that that was not a good idea and that she should just loan her money? A. Yes. Q. Tell me what your understanding is of the role 	2 point, yc 3 flip it imit 4 Q. A 5 only issu 6 from the 7 7 8 A. I 9 previous 10 Q. (F 11 12 13 Q. (F 14 just look	ed or they may need supplemental needs at some on want to have that person there to be able to to a supplemental needs trust or lock it down. Ind so as far as you know at this point, the e was whether Candy would take a distribution life insurance trust, right? MR. REED: Form. guess. I don't know. I'd have to read the motes going back. By Ms. Bayless) Okay. Well, let's take a MS. BAYLESS: Can we take a short break? MR. MENDEL: Sure. By Ms. Bayless) Would you mind doing that, and see? Because, I mean, I may have missed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 after that 30 days had run and what we call a Crummey letter was signed waiving the right to that, then the proceeds were the gift was used to pay the premium on the life insurance policy. If you don't pay the premium, you lose it. Q. Okay. And so Candy was asking to be able to get her part of the what was getting paid in to pay the premium? A. Premium payment, yes. Q. Okay. And then in this entry you're basically saying that you told her that that was not a good idea and that she should just loan her money? A. Yes. Q. Tell me what your understanding is of the role of a trust protector. 	 2 point, yc 3 flip it int 4 Q. A 5 only issu 6 from the 7 8 A. I 9 previous 10 Q. (F 11 12 13 Q. (F 14 just look 15 somethin 	ed or they may need supplemental needs at some on want to have that person there to be able to to a supplemental needs trust or lock it down. Ind so as far as you know at this point, the e was whether Candy would take a distribution life insurance trust, right? MR. REED: Form. guess. I don't know. I'd have to read the notes going back. By Ms. Bayless) Okay. Well, let's take a MS. BAYLESS: Can we take a short break? MR. MENDEL: Sure. By Ms. Bayless) Would you mind doing that, and see? Because, I mean, I may have missed g, but I didn't see
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 after that 30 days had run and what we call a Crummey letter was signed waiving the right to that, then the proceeds were the gift was used to pay the premium on the life insurance policy. If you don't pay the premium, you lose it. Q. Okay. And so Candy was asking to be able to get her part of the what was getting paid in to pay the premium? A. Premium payment, yes. Q. Okay. And then in this entry you're basically saying that you told her that that was not a good idea and that she should just loan her money? A. Yes. Q. Tell me what your understanding is of the role of a trust protector. A. A trust protector is there to pretty much do 	2 point, yc 3 flip it imit 4 Q. A 5 only issu 6 from the 7 7 8 A. I 9 previous 10 Q. (F 11 12 13 Q. (F 14 just look	ed or they may need supplemental needs at some ou want to have that person there to be able to to a supplemental needs trust or lock it down. Ind so as far as you know at this point, the e was whether Candy would take a distribution life insurance trust, right? MR. REED: Form. guess. I don't know. I'd have to read the notes going back. By Ms. Bayless) Okay. Well, let's take a MS. BAYLESS: Can we take a short break? MR. MENDEL: Sure. By Ms. Bayless) Would you mind doing that, and see? Because, I mean, I may have missed g, but I didn't see ure.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 after that 30 days had run and what we call a Crummey letter was signed waiving the right to that, then the proceeds were the gift was used to pay the premium on the life insurance policy. If you don't pay the premium, you lose it. Q. Okay. And so Candy was asking to be able to get her part of the what was getting paid in to pay the premium? A. Premium payment, yes. Q. Okay. And then in this entry you're basically saying that you told her that that was not a good idea and that she should just loan her money? A. Yes. Q. Tell me what your understanding is of the role of a trust protector. A. A trust protector is there to pretty much do exactly what you would think, and that is to lock down a 	2 point, yc 3 flip it imit 4 Q. A 5 only issu 6 from the 7	ed or they may need supplemental needs at some ou want to have that person there to be able to to a supplemental needs trust or lock it down. Ind so as far as you know at this point, the e was whether Candy would take a distribution life insurance trust, right? MR. REED: Form. guess. I don't know. I'd have to read the motes going back. By Ms. Bayless) Okay. Well, let's take a MS. BAYLESS: Can we take a short break? MR. MENDEL: Sure. By Ms. Bayless) Would you mind doing that, and see? Because, I mean, I may have missed g, but I didn't see mre. MR. MENDEL: Ten minutes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 after that 30 days had run and what we call a Crummey letter was signed waiving the right to that, then the proceeds were the gift was used to pay the premium on the life insurance policy. If you don't pay the premium, you lose it. Q. Okay. And so Candy was asking to be able to get her part of the what was getting paid in to pay the premium? A. Premium payment, yes. Q. Okay. And then in this entry you're basically saying that you told her that that was not a good idea and that she should just loan her money? A. Yes. Q. Tell me what your understanding is of the role of a trust protector. A. A trust protector is there to pretty much do exactly what you would think, and that is to lock down a trust in the event that the beneficiary or trustee is 	2 point, yc 3 flip it imit 4 Q. A 5 only issu 6 from the 7 A. I 9 previous 10 Q. (F 11 12 13 Q. (F 14 just look 15 somethin 16 A. St 17 18	ed or they may need supplemental needs at some ou want to have that person there to be able to to a supplemental needs trust or lock it down. Ind so as far as you know at this point, the e was whether Candy would take a distribution life insurance trust, right? MR. REED: Form. guess. I don't know. I'd have to read the motes going back. By Ms. Bayless) Okay. Well, let's take a MS. BAYLESS: Can we take a short break? MR. MENDEL: Sure. By Ms. Bayless) Would you mind doing that, and see? Because, I mean, I may have missed g, but I didn't see mre. MR. MENDEL: Ten minutes. (Recess taken.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 after that 30 days had run and what we call a Crummey letter was signed waiving the right to that, then the proceeds were the gift was used to pay the premium on the life insurance policy. If you don't pay the premium, you lose it. Q. Okay. And so Candy was asking to be able to get her part of the what was getting paid in to pay the premium? A. Premium payment, yes. Q. Okay. And then in this entry you're basically saying that you told her that that was not a good idea and that she should just loan her money? A. Yes. Q. Tell me what your understanding is of the role of a trust protector. A. A trust protector is there to pretty much do exactly what you would think, and that is to lock down a trust in the event that the beneficiary or trustee is compelled to pay out due to a judicial requirement in a 	2 point, yc 3 flip it imit 4 Q. A 5 only issu 6 from the 7 A. I 9 previous 10 Q. (F 11 12 13 Q. (F 14 just look 15 somethin 16 A. St 17 18	ed or they may need supplemental needs at some ou want to have that person there to be able to to a supplemental needs trust or lock it down. Ind so as far as you know at this point, the e was whether Candy would take a distribution life insurance trust, right? MR. REED: Form. guess. I don't know. I'd have to read the motes going back. By Ms. Bayless) Okay. Well, let's take a MS. BAYLESS: Can we take a short break? MR. MENDEL: Sure. By Ms. Bayless) Would you mind doing that, and see? Because, I mean, I may have missed g, but I didn't see mre. MR. MENDEL: Ten minutes. (Recess taken.) By Ms. Bayless) All right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 after that 30 days had run and what we call a Crummey letter was signed waiving the right to that, then the proceeds were the gift was used to pay the premium on the life insurance policy. If you don't pay the premium, you lose it. Q. Okay. And so Candy was asking to be able to get her part of the what was getting paid in to pay the premium? A. Premium payment, yes. Q. Okay. And then in this entry you're basically saying that you told her that that was not a good idea and that she should just loan her money? A. Yes. Q. Tell me what your understanding is of the role of a trust protector. A. A trust protector is there to pretty much do exactly what you would think, and that is to lock down a trust in the event that the beneficiary or trustee is compelled to pay out due to a judicial requirement in a litigation situation; to modify it for tax purposes 	2 point, yc 3 flip it im 4 Q. A 5 only issu 6 from the 7 8 8 A. I 9 previous 10 Q. (F 11 12 13 Q. (F 14 just look 15 somethin 16 A. So 17 18 19 Q. (F 20 Q. (F	ed or they may need supplemental needs at some on want to have that person there to be able to to a supplemental needs trust or lock it down. Ind so as far as you know at this point, the e was whether Candy would take a distribution life insurance trust, right? MR. REED: Form. guess. I don't know. I'd have to read the motes going back. By Ms. Bayless) Okay. Well, let's take a MS. BAYLESS: Can we take a short break? MR. MENDEL: Sure. By Ms. Bayless) Would you mind doing that, and see? Because, I mean, I may have missed g, but I didn't see Ire. MR. MENDEL: Ten minutes. (Recess taken.) By Ms. Bayless) All right. (The record was read as requested.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 after that 30 days had run and what we call a Crummey letter was signed waiving the right to that, then the proceeds were the gift was used to pay the premium on the life insurance policy. If you don't pay the premium, you lose it. Q. Okay. And so Candy was asking to be able to get her part of the what was getting paid in to pay the premium? A. Premium payment, yes. Q. Okay. And then in this entry you're basically saying that you told her that that was not a good idea and that she should just loan her money? A. Yes. Q. Tell me what your understanding is of the role of a trust protector. A. A trust protector is there to pretty much do exactly what you would think, and that is to lock down a trust in the event that the beneficiary or trustee is compelled to pay out due to a judicial requirement in a litigation situation; to modify it for tax purposes because it's now irrevocable, and the trustee is locked 	2 point, yc 3 flip it im 4 Q. A 5 only issu 6 from the 7 8 A. I 9 previous 10 Q. (H 11 12 13 Q. (H 14 just look 15 somethin 16 A. St 17 18 19 Q. (H 20 Q. (H	ed or they may need supplemental needs at some on want to have that person there to be able to to a supplemental needs trust or lock it down. Ind so as far as you know at this point, the e was whether Candy would take a distribution life insurance trust, right? MR. REED: Form. guess. I don't know. I'd have to read the motes going back. By Ms. Bayless) Okay. Well, let's take a MS. BAYLESS: Can we take a short break? MR. MENDEL: Sure. By Ms. Bayless) Would you mind doing that, and see? Because, I mean, I may have missed g, but I didn't see mre. MR. MENDEL: Ten minutes. (Recess taken.) By Ms. Bayless) All right. (The record was read as requested.) By Ms. Bayless) So have you had an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 after that 30 days had run and what we call a Crummey letter was signed waiving the right to that, then the proceeds were the gift was used to pay the premium on the life insurance policy. If you don't pay the premium, you lose it. Q. Okay. And so Candy was asking to be able to get her part of the what was getting paid in to pay the premium? A. Premium payment, yes. Q. Okay. And then in this entry you're basically saying that you told her that that was not a good idea and that she should just loan her money? A. Yes. Q. Tell me what your understanding is of the role of a trust protector. A. A trust protector is there to pretty much do exactly what you would think, and that is to lock down a trust in the event that the beneficiary or trustee is compelled to pay out due to a judicial requirement in a litigation situation; to modify it for tax purposes because it's now irrevocable, and the trustee is locked into a tax situation that was not anticipated by the 	2 point, yc 3 flip it im 4 Q. A 5 only issu 6 from the 7 8 A. I 9 previous 10 Q. (F 11 12 13 Q. (F 14 just look 15 somethin 16 A. Su 17 18 19 Q. (F 20 Q. (F 21 Q. (F 22 opportum	ed or they may need supplemental needs at some on want to have that person there to be able to to a supplemental needs trust or lock it down. Ind so as far as you know at this point, the e was whether Candy would take a distribution life insurance trust, right? MR. REED: Form. guess. I don't know. I'd have to read the motes going back. By Ms. Bayless) Okay. Well, let's take a MS. BAYLESS: Can we take a short break? MR. MENDEL: Sure. By Ms. Bayless) Would you mind doing that, and see? Because, I mean, I may have missed g, but I didn't see me. MR. MENDEL: Ten minutes. (Recess taken.) By Ms. Bayless) All right. (The record was read as requested.) By Ms. Bayless) So have you had an ity to look at whatever you needed to look at to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 after that 30 days had run and what we call a Crummey letter was signed waiving the right to that, then the proceeds were the gift was used to pay the premium on the life insurance policy. If you don't pay the premium, you lose it. Q. Okay. And so Candy was asking to be able to get her part of the what was getting paid in to pay the premium? A. Premium payment, yes. Q. Okay. And then in this entry you're basically saying that you told her that that was not a good idea and that she should just loan her money? A. Yes. Q. Tell me what your understanding is of the role of a trust protector. A. A trust protector is there to pretty much do exactly what you would think, and that is to lock down a trust in the event that the beneficiary or trustee is compelled to pay out due to a judicial requirement in a litigation situation; to modify it for tax purposes because it's now irrevocable, and the trustee is locked 	2 point, yc 3 flip it im 4 Q. A 5 only issu 6 from the 7 7 8 A. I 9 previous 10 Q. (F) 11 12 13 Q. (F) 14 just look 15 somethin 16 A. So 17 18 19 Q. (F) 21 Q. (F) 22 opportune 23 see what	ed or they may need supplemental needs at some on want to have that person there to be able to to a supplemental needs trust or lock it down. Ind so as far as you know at this point, the e was whether Candy would take a distribution life insurance trust, right? MR. REED: Form. guess. I don't know. I'd have to read the motes going back. By Ms. Bayless) Okay. Well, let's take a MS. BAYLESS: Can we take a short break? MR. MENDEL: Sure. By Ms. Bayless) Would you mind doing that, and see? Because, I mean, I may have missed g, but I didn't see mre. MR. MENDEL: Ten minutes. (Recess taken.) By Ms. Bayless) All right. (The record was read as requested.) By Ms. Bayless) So have you had an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 after that 30 days had run and what we call a Crummey letter was signed waiving the right to that, then the proceeds were the gift was used to pay the premium on the life insurance policy. If you don't pay the premium, you lose it. Q. Okay. And so Candy was asking to be able to get her part of the what was getting paid in to pay the premium? A. Premium payment, yes. Q. Okay. And then in this entry you're basically saying that you told her that that was not a good idea and that she should just loan her money? A. Yes. Q. Tell me what your understanding is of the role of a trust protector. A. A trust protector is there to pretty much do exactly what you would think, and that is to lock down a trust in the event that the beneficiary or trustee is compelled to pay out due to a judicial requirement in a litigation situation; to modify it for tax purposes because it's now irrevocable, and the trustee is locked into a tax situation that was not anticipated by the grantors, the settlors before they died; to modify it in 	2 point, yc 3 flip it imit 4 Q. A 5 only issu 6 from the 7 - 8 A. I 9 previous 10 Q. (F 11 - 12 - 13 Q. (F 14 just look 15 somethin 16 A. Somethin 17 - 18 - 19 Q. (F 21 Q. (F 22 opportun 23 see what 24 A. I	ed or they may need supplemental needs at some on want to have that person there to be able to to a supplemental needs trust or lock it down. Ind so as far as you know at this point, the e was whether Candy would take a distribution life insurance trust, right? MR. REED: Form. guess. I don't know. I'd have to read the notes going back. By Ms. Bayless) Okay. Well, let's take a MS. BAYLESS: Can we take a short break? MR. MENDEL: Sure. By Ms. Bayless) Would you mind doing that, and see? Because, I mean, I may have missed g, but I didn't see IFE. MR. MENDEL: Ten minutes. (Recess taken.) By Ms. Bayless) All right. (The record was read as requested.) By Ms. Bayless) So have you had an ity to look at whatever you needed to look at to you could remember about this?

48 (Pages 186 to 189)

	190	192
1	However, I did look at the trust agreement.	¹ attorney; it doesn't have to be a corporate trustee.
2	Q. Okay.	² They just need to be some arbitrary third party that has
3	A. And that is what I believe would be the way	³ no stake, no skin in the game.
4	that the trust was drafted when it was restated, it	4 Q. All right. So looking at this on page 1177,
5	appears that it stays in trust for the beneficiaries.	⁵ this entry at the very bottom.
6	Q. Okay.	6 A. Uh-huh.
7	A. But that's the reason why they had co-trustees,	7 Q. You say there's not a trust protector in this
8	because without co-trustees over the trust, the	⁸ trust, "although Mrs. B can have some flexibility with
9	beneficiary trust, there would be no asset protection in	⁹ the way the kids get the trust assets and then add QBD
10	these trusts. So the beneficiary would have to ask the	¹⁰ with PATs." So what does that mean?
11	co-trustees in order to get a distribution.	11 A. So it means that she has the ability to do a
12	So what I was starting to see was people	¹² qualified beneficiary designation and treat one child
13	wanting money, and they were going to have to ask other	 differently than the other if she feels the need is
14	siblings for the money. So a trust protector would add	 ¹⁴ appropriate at any given time, based on that child's
15	protection but allow them to be autonomous from each	 ¹⁵ needs at that given time.
16	other and allow them to be invested differently rather	¹⁶ Q. All right. And it says and then add so
17	than pooling their funds and having to rely on each	¹⁷ let's break it down. It says she can have some
18	other to get permission to make distributions.	¹⁸ flexibility
19	Q. Now, how would a trust protector do that?	¹⁹ A. Uh-huh.
20	A. So a trust protector is fairly new in trust	20 Q with the way the kids get the trust assets.
21	law. And the way you achieved asset protection before	21 A. Right.
22	was you had co-trustees so that nobody could do anything	22 Q. What is that talking about?
23	without the consent of the other, which meant people had	 ²³ A. Well, I don't recall exactly what my thoughts
24	to agree; whereas, a trust protector being there would	 were at that moment. But by adding personal asset
25	allow the beneficiary to be in charge of their own	 were at that moment. But by adding personal asset trusts for beneficiary, it creates autonomy for them so
	andw the beneficiary to be in charge of their own	- unsistor benchciary, it creates autonomy for them so
	191	193
1	trust. But if there was a problem that arose, he or she	¹ that if one wants to invest in a llama farm and the
2	or it was a mechanism to step in and lock down the trust	² other one doesn't, they're not married at the hip and
3	so that it could be asset-protected for the beneficiary	³ they don't have to fight over how things are going to be
4	should a need arise later on down the road that was	⁴ invested or who's going to get a distribution and who's
5	unanticipated.	⁵ not. If they've all got their own little pot, then it's
6	Q. Are we talking about this in connection with	⁶ easy.
7	the restated trust?	⁷ Q. Okay.
8	A. Yes.	⁸ A. It's easy to account; it's easy to manage.
9	Q. That's what you were talking about on	⁹ Q. And is this something that you anticipated
10	April 1st, in this entry on April 1st?	¹⁰ could be implemented before her death or at her death?
11	A. Oh, I can't I don't recall why.	¹¹ A. It would only a qualified beneficiary
12	Q. Okay.	¹² designation only takes effect after someone is dead.
13	A. What that prompted me. But when you're looking	¹³ Q. Okay. So this would be
14	at the agreement as a whole and you are making changes,	¹⁴ A. Only after she's gone.
15	it's just natural practice for me as an attorney to look	15 Q for her future?
16	at the documents as they are. Is there anything that	¹⁶ A. No. It was for the kids' future.
17	you can do to tweak them to make them better or more	¹⁷ Q. I mean in her future. She wouldn't be around
18	efficient for what the client needs.	18 to deal with it.
19	Q. Okay. Are there people who serve in this role	¹⁹ A. Correct.
20	as trust protector kind of like you'd have a corporate	²⁰ Q. Okay. Then the next entry is on the 20th. Do
21	trustee? Are there corporate trust protectors?	²¹ you recall whether you had a let me back up, ask you
22	A. Sure.	22 one question at a time.
23	Q. Who are some of these trust protectors?	²³ Do you recall whether Susan Vacek thought
24	A. Well, it could be anyone that is a third party	²⁴ this was a good idea or what the outcome of your
25	that would agree to do so. It doesn't have to be an	²⁵ conversation with her was?

49 (Pages 190 to 193)

	194	196
1	A. I don't.	¹ Q. Okay. So then if you go up to the 23rd, you
2	Q. And it doesn't look like there's at least	² met with her on April 23rd; and she was having some
3	not a recorded entry where you had a conversation with	³ health issues by that time and needed help with the
4	Nelva about it, right?	4 funding, right?
5	A. Not that I documented. That doesn't mean that	⁵ A. Correct.
6	I didn't have the conversation with her. It's just I	⁶ Q. So before you had indicated that you first
7	didn't feel the need to be documented.	 ⁷ heard about her health issues when you heard about
8	This was something the personal asset	⁸ Carl's health issues. But this seems to indicate you
9	trust and the trust protector was something that you	⁹ knew about that earlier, right?
10	would see across the firm as people came in, that if	¹⁰ A. Depends on what health issues are. Cancer I
11	they had pooled trusts for their beneficiaries, it was	11 did not hear about until closer to Carl's issues.
12	something that we discuss with everyone just to give	¹² Q. Okay. Do you know what kind of health issues
13	them the opportunity. If they wanted to make that	¹³ this is talking about?
14	change, they could.	¹⁴ A. I do not.
15	Q. Okay. So it wasn't something that you were	¹⁵ Q. All right. And then if you go up to May 4th,
16	moving forward and implementing at that point?	¹⁶ the entry at 1:56 p.m. This is an entry actually from
17	A. No.	¹⁷ Summer. So she was
18	Q. Or you would have probably put an entry in	 A. That appears to be so, yes.
19	about it?	¹⁹ Q. Was she a legal assistant?
20	A. Correct.	20 A. Yes.
21	Q. Okay. Then if you go up to the 21st, the	²¹ Q. And it says, "I noticed that the Chevron
22	bottom entry on the 21st, the one that's at 10:53?	²² Corporation funding package to be mailed to BNY Mellon
23	A. Uh-huh.	 ²³ Services was altered (DT EIN)" I assume that means
24	Q. It says that "Nelva called again and spoke with	 decedent's trust employee identification number?
25	Connie." Is that the receptionist?	25 A. Uh-huh.
		1
	195	197
1	A. That would actually be my mother.	197 ¹ Q "was crossed out and Nelva's Social Security
1 2		
	A. That would actually be my mother.	¹ Q "was crossed out and Nelva's Social Security
2	A. That would actually be my mother.Q. Your mother?	1 Q "was crossed out and Nelva's Social Security 2 number was written in."
2 3	A. That would actually be my mother.Q. Your mother?A. Yes. My mother worked there for a short time,	1 Q "was crossed out and Nelva's Social Security 2 number was written in." 3 And then you say you apparently she
2 3 4	A. That would actually be my mother.Q. Your mother?A. Yes. My mother worked there for a short time, filling in.	1 Q "was crossed out and Nelva's Social Security 2 number was written in." 3 And then you say you apparently she 4 asked you, and you said you didn't do that.
2 3 4 5	 A. That would actually be my mother. Q. Your mother? A. Yes. My mother worked there for a short time, filling in. Q. Okay. What did she do? 	1 Q "was crossed out and Nelva's Social Security 2 number was written in." 3 And then you say you apparently she 4 asked you, and you said you didn't do that. 5 A. Uh-huh.
2 3 4 5 6	 A. That would actually be my mother. Q. Your mother? A. Yes. My mother worked there for a short time, filling in. Q. Okay. What did she do? A. Took phone calls. 	1 Q "was crossed out and Nelva's Social Security 2 number was written in." 3 And then you say you apparently she 4 asked you, and you said you didn't do that. 5 A. Uh-huh. 6 Q. Okay. So then when she calls Nelva, she says
2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. That would actually be my mother. Q. Your mother? A. Yes. My mother worked there for a short time, filling in. Q. Okay. What did she do? A. Took phone calls. Q. Okay. And then it says that you took the call? 	1 Q "was crossed out and Nelva's Social Security 2 number was written in." 3 And then you say you apparently she 4 asked you, and you said you didn't do that. 5 A. Uh-huh. 6 Q. Okay. So then when she calls Nelva, she says 7 in her entry here that she "called Nelva, and she said 8 that she only signed the papers and didn't change 9 anything. The girl at the bank that stamped the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. That would actually be my mother. Q. Your mother? A. Yes. My mother worked there for a short time, filling in. Q. Okay. What did she do? A. Took phone calls. Q. Okay. And then it says that you took the call? A. Correct. 	1 Q "was crossed out and Nelva's Social Security 2 number was written in." 3 And then you say you apparently she 4 asked you, and you said you didn't do that. 5 A. Uh-huh. 6 Q. Okay. So then when she calls Nelva, she says 7 in her entry here that she "called Nelva, and she said 8 that she only signed the papers and didn't change
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. That would actually be my mother. Q. Your mother? A. Yes. My mother worked there for a short time, filling in. Q. Okay. What did she do? A. Took phone calls. Q. Okay. And then it says that you took the call? A. Correct. Q. And that she's having a difficult time and was 	1 Q "was crossed out and Nelva's Social Security 2 number was written in." 3 And then you say you apparently she 4 asked you, and you said you didn't do that. 5 A. Uh-huh. 6 Q. Okay. So then when she calls Nelva, she says 7 in her entry here that she "called Nelva, and she said 8 that she only signed the papers and didn't change 9 anything. The girl at the bank that stamped the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. That would actually be my mother. Q. Your mother? A. Yes. My mother worked there for a short time, filling in. Q. Okay. What did she do? A. Took phone calls. Q. Okay. And then it says that you took the call? A. Correct. Q. And that she's having a difficult time and was having you go over each packet prepared for her re: the 	1 Q "was crossed out and Nelva's Social Security 2 number was written in." 3 And then you say you apparently she 4 asked you, and you said you didn't do that. 5 A. Uh-huh. 6 Q. Okay. So then when she calls Nelva, she says 7 in her entry here that she "called Nelva, and she said 8 that she only signed the papers and didn't change 9 anything. The girl at the bank that stamped the 10 medallion guarantee must have done that."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. That would actually be my mother. Q. Your mother? A. Yes. My mother worked there for a short time, filling in. Q. Okay. What did she do? A. Took phone calls. Q. Okay. And then it says that you took the call? A. Correct. Q. And that she's having a difficult time and was having you go over each packet prepared for her re: the funding? 	1 Q "was crossed out and Nelva's Social Security 2 number was written in." 3 And then you say you apparently she 4 asked you, and you said you didn't do that. 5 A. Uh-huh. 6 Q. Okay. So then when she calls Nelva, she says 7 in her entry here that she "called Nelva, and she said 8 that she only signed the papers and didn't change 9 anything. The girl at the bank that stamped the 10 medallion guarantee must have done that." 11 And that seems unlikely, doesn't it?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. That would actually be my mother. Q. Your mother? A. Yes. My mother worked there for a short time, filling in. Q. Okay. What did she do? A. Took phone calls. Q. Okay. And then it says that you took the call? A. Correct. Q. And that she's having a difficult time and was having you go over each packet prepared for her re: the funding? A. Right. 	1 Q "was crossed out and Nelva's Social Security 2 number was written in." 3 And then you say you apparently she 4 asked you, and you said you didn't do that. 5 A. Uh-huh. 6 Q. Okay. So then when she calls Nelva, she says 7 in her entry here that she "called Nelva, and she said 8 that she only signed the papers and didn't change 9 anything. The girl at the bank that stamped the 10 medallion guarantee must have done that." 11 And that seems unlikely, doesn't it? 12 MR. REED: Form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. That would actually be my mother. Q. Your mother? A. Yes. My mother worked there for a short time, filling in. Q. Okay. What did she do? A. Took phone calls. Q. Okay. And then it says that you took the call? A. Correct. Q. And that she's having a difficult time and was having you go over each packet prepared for her re: the funding? A. Right. Q. "She seemed a little out of sorts and said she 	1 Q "was crossed out and Nelva's Social Security 2 number was written in." 3 And then you say you apparently she 4 asked you, and you said you didn't do that. 5 A. Uh-huh. 6 Q. Okay. So then when she calls Nelva, she says 7 in her entry here that she "called Nelva, and she said 8 that she only signed the papers and didn't change 9 anything. The girl at the bank that stamped the 10 medallion guarantee must have done that." 11 And that seems unlikely, doesn't it? 12 MR. REED: Form. 13 A. No. Nothing surprises me actually.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. That would actually be my mother. Q. Your mother? A. Yes. My mother worked there for a short time, filling in. Q. Okay. What did she do? A. Took phone calls. Q. Okay. And then it says that you took the call? A. Correct. Q. And that she's having a difficult time and was having you go over each packet prepared for her re: the funding? A. Right. Q. "She seemed a little out of sorts and said she wished she had not even done all this." 	1 Q "was crossed out and Nelva's Social Security 2 number was written in." 3 And then you say you apparently she 4 asked you, and you said you didn't do that. 5 A. Uh-huh. 6 Q. Okay. So then when she calls Nelva, she says 7 in her entry here that she "called Nelva, and she said 8 that she only signed the papers and didn't change 9 anything. The girl at the bank that stamped the 10 medallion guarantee must have done that." 11 And that seems unlikely, doesn't it? 12 MR. REED: Form. 13 A. No. Nothing surprises me actually. 14 Q. (By Ms. Bayless) At a bank?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. That would actually be my mother. Q. Your mother? A. Yes. My mother worked there for a short time, filling in. Q. Okay. What did she do? A. Took phone calls. Q. Okay. And then it says that you took the call? A. Correct. Q. And that she's having a difficult time and was having you go over each packet prepared for her re: the funding? A. Right. Q. "She seemed a little out of sorts and said she wished she had not even done all this." A. Yes. Q. So earlier you had talked about that you just gave her the packets, and she went off and did 	1 Q "was crossed out and Nelva's Social Security 2 number was written in." 3 And then you say you apparently she 4 asked you, and you said you didn't do that. 5 A. Uh-huh. 6 Q. Okay. So then when she calls Nelva, she says 7 in her entry here that she "called Nelva, and she said 8 that she only signed the papers and didn't change 9 anything. The girl at the bank that stamped the 10 medallion guarantee must have done that." 11 And that seems unlikely, doesn't it? 12 MR. REED: Form. 13 A. No. Nothing surprises me actually. 14 Q. (By Ms. Bayless) At a bank? 15 A. At a bank.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. That would actually be my mother. Q. Your mother? A. Yes. My mother worked there for a short time, filling in. Q. Okay. What did she do? A. Took phone calls. Q. Okay. And then it says that you took the call? A. Correct. Q. And that she's having a difficult time and was having you go over each packet prepared for her re: the funding? A. Right. Q. "She seemed a little out of sorts and said she wished she had not even done all this." A. Yes. Q. So earlier you had talked about that you just 	1 Q "was crossed out and Nelva's Social Security 2 number was written in." 3 And then you say you apparently she 4 asked you, and you said you didn't do that. 5 A. Uh-huh. 6 Q. Okay. So then when she calls Nelva, she says 7 in her entry here that she "called Nelva, and she said 8 that she only signed the papers and didn't change 9 anything. The girl at the bank that stamped the 10 medallion guarantee must have done that." 11 And that seems unlikely, doesn't it? 12 MR. REED: Form. 13 A. No. Nothing surprises me actually. 14 Q. (By Ms. Bayless) At a bank? 15 A. At a bank. 16 Q. How would they know her Social Security number? 17 MR. REED: Form. 18 A. She would have had to tell them. But I could
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. That would actually be my mother. Q. Your mother? A. Yes. My mother worked there for a short time, filling in. Q. Okay. What did she do? A. Took phone calls. Q. Okay. And then it says that you took the call? A. Correct. Q. And that she's having a difficult time and was having you go over each packet prepared for her re: the funding? A. Right. Q. "She seemed a little out of sorts and said she wished she had not even done all this." A. Yes. Q. So earlier you had talked about that you just gave her the packets, and she went off and did 	1 Q "was crossed out and Nelva's Social Security 2 number was written in." 3 And then you say you apparently she 4 asked you, and you said you didn't do that. 5 A. Uh-huh. 6 Q. Okay. So then when she calls Nelva, she says 7 in her entry here that she "called Nelva, and she said 8 that she only signed the papers and didn't change 9 anything. The girl at the bank that stamped the 10 medallion guarantee must have done that." 11 And that seems unlikely, doesn't it? 12 MR. REED: Form. 13 A. No. Nothing surprises me actually. 14 Q. (By Ms. Bayless) At a bank? 15 A. At a bank. 16 Q. How would they know her Social Security number? 17 MR. REED: Form. 18 A. She would have had to tell them. But I could 19 see somebody telling her, Oh, you don't need that number
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. That would actually be my mother. Q. Your mother? A. Yes. My mother worked there for a short time, filling in. Q. Okay. What did she do? A. Took phone calls. Q. Okay. And then it says that you took the call? A. Correct. Q. And that she's having a difficult time and was having you go over each packet prepared for her re: the funding? A. Right. Q. "She seemed a little out of sorts and said she wished she had not even done all this." A. Yes. Q. So earlier you had talked about that you just gave her the packets, and she went off and did everything; but that's not really how that worked in 	1 Q "was crossed out and Nelva's Social Security 2 number was written in." 3 And then you say you apparently she 4 asked you, and you said you didn't do that. 5 A. Uh-huh. 6 Q. Okay. So then when she calls Nelva, she says 7 in her entry here that she "called Nelva, and she said 8 that she only signed the papers and didn't change 9 anything. The girl at the bank that stamped the 10 medallion guarantee must have done that." 11 And that seems unlikely, doesn't it? 12 MR. REED: Form. 13 A. No. Nothing surprises me actually. 14 Q. (By Ms. Bayless) At a bank? 15 A. At a bank. 16 Q. How would they know her Social Security number? 17 MR. REED: Form. 18 A. She would have had to tell them. But I could
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. That would actually be my mother. Q. Your mother? A. Yes. My mother worked there for a short time, filling in. Q. Okay. What did she do? A. Took phone calls. Q. Okay. And then it says that you took the call? A. Correct. Q. And that she's having a difficult time and was having you go over each packet prepared for her re: the funding? A. Right. Q. "She seemed a little out of sorts and said she wished she had not even done all this." A. Yes. Q. So earlier you had talked about that you just gave her the packets, and she went off and did everything; but that's not really how that worked in this case, is it? 	1 Q "was crossed out and Nelva's Social Security 2 number was written in." 3 And then you say you apparently she 4 asked you, and you said you didn't do that. 5 A. Uh-huh. 6 Q. Okay. So then when she calls Nelva, she says 7 in her entry here that she "called Nelva, and she said 8 that she only signed the papers and didn't change 9 anything. The girl at the bank that stamped the 10 medallion guarantee must have done that." 11 And that seems unlikely, doesn't it? 12 MR. REED: Form. 13 A. No. Nothing surprises me actually. 14 Q. (By Ms. Bayless) At a bank? 15 A. At a bank. 16 Q. How would they know her Social Security number? 17 MR. REED: Form. 18 A. She would have had to tell them. But I could 19 see somebody telling her, Oh, you don't need that number
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. That would actually be my mother. Q. Your mother? A. Yes. My mother worked there for a short time, filling in. Q. Okay. What did she do? A. Took phone calls. Q. Okay. And then it says that you took the call? A. Correct. Q. And that she's having a difficult time and was having you go over each packet prepared for her re: the funding? A. Right. Q. "She seemed a little out of sorts and said she wished she had not even done all this." A. Yes. Q. So earlier you had talked about that you just gave her the packets, and she went off and did everything; but that's not really how that worked in this case, is it? A. Correct. 	1 Q "was crossed out and Nelva's Social Security 2 number was written in." 3 And then you say you apparently she 4 asked you, and you said you didn't do that. 5 A. Uh-huh. 6 Q. Okay. So then when she calls Nelva, she says 7 in her entry here that she "called Nelva, and she said 8 that she only signed the papers and didn't change 9 anything. The girl at the bank that stamped the 10 medallion guarantee must have done that." 11 And that seems unlikely, doesn't it? 12 MR. REED: Form. 13 A. No. Nothing surprises me actually. 14 Q. (By Ms. Bayless) At a bank? 15 A. At a bank. 16 Q. How would they know her Social Security number? 17 MR. REED: Form. 18 A. She would have had to tell them. But I could 19 see somebody telling her, Oh, you don't need that number 20 on here. You need to put your Social. 21 Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. 22 A. I've had plenty of financial advisors try to be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. That would actually be my mother. Q. Your mother? A. Yes. My mother worked there for a short time, filling in. Q. Okay. What did she do? A. Took phone calls. Q. Okay. And then it says that you took the call? A. Correct. Q. And that she's having a difficult time and was having you go over each packet prepared for her re: the funding? A. Right. Q. So earlier you had talked about that you just gave her the packets, and she went off and did everything; but that's not really how that worked in this case, is it? A. Correct. Q. And so you told her you'd help her, but you'd 	1 Q "was crossed out and Nelva's Social Security 2 number was written in." 3 And then you say you apparently she 4 asked you, and you said you didn't do that. 5 A. Uh-huh. 6 Q. Okay. So then when she calls Nelva, she says 7 in her entry here that she "called Nelva, and she said 8 that she only signed the papers and didn't change 9 anything. The girl at the bank that stamped the 10 medallion guarantee must have done that." 11 And that seems unlikely, doesn't it? 12 MR. REED: Form. 13 A. No. Nothing surprises me actually. 14 Q. (By Ms. Bayless) At a bank? 15 A. At a bank. 16 Q. How would they know her Social Security number? 17 MR. REED: Form. 18 A. She would have had to tell them. But I could 19 see somebody telling her, Oh, you don't need that number 20 on here. You need to put your Social. 21 Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. 22 A. I've had plenty of financial advisors try to be 23 tax people and lawyers.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. That would actually be my mother. Q. Your mother? A. Yes. My mother worked there for a short time, filling in. Q. Okay. What did she do? A. Took phone calls. Q. Okay. And then it says that you took the call? A. Correct. Q. And that she's having a difficult time and was having you go over each packet prepared for her re: the funding? A. Right. Q. So earlier you had talked about that you just gave her the packets, and she went off and did everything; but that's not really how that worked in this case, is it? A. Correct. Q. And so you told her you'd help her, but you'd have to charge her for that? 	1 Q "was crossed out and Nelva's Social Security 2 number was written in." 3 And then you say you apparently she 4 asked you, and you said you didn't do that. 5 A. Uh-huh. 6 Q. Okay. So then when she calls Nelva, she says 7 in her entry here that she "called Nelva, and she said 8 that she only signed the papers and didn't change 9 anything. The girl at the bank that stamped the 10 medallion guarantee must have done that." 11 And that seems unlikely, doesn't it? 12 MR. REED: Form. 13 A. No. Nothing surprises me actually. 14 Q. (By Ms. Bayless) At a bank? 15 A. At a bank. 16 Q. How would they know her Social Security number? 17 MR. REED: Form. 18 A. She would have had to tell them. But I could 19 see somebody telling her, Oh, you don't need that number 20 on here. You need to put your Social. 21 Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. 22 A. I've had plenty of financial advisors try to be

50 (Pages 194 to 197)

	198		200
1	Q. Okay. So she told her that she was going to	1	trust, right?
2	change it back to the EIN number for the trust "by	2	A. Well, the opinion letter was based on whether
3	crossing through the handwritten Social Security number	3	or not an irrevocable trust could own cropland in Iowa.
4	and writing in the DT EIN again." See that?	4	So, yes, that was okay.
5	A. Okay.	5	I can't speak to whether or not it got
6	Q. And then she tells her the papers may get	6	funded. I don't recall. A deed would have had to have
7	bounced back for her to sign them again, that they'll	7	been prepared to get it in there.
8	see what Mellon did.	8	Q. But you guys did the deeds
9	A. Yes. Because you can't have white-out and you	9	A. No.
10	can't have anything changes like that. They get real	10	Q or deed. You did not do the deed?
11	sticky.	11	A. We are not licensed to practice in Iowa. We
12	Q. Do you know what happened with this?	12	couldn't do an Iowa deed.
13	A. I would imagine that if it got bounced back,	13	Q. Oh, okay. You had somebody in Iowa do the
14	there would be another entry because we were pretty good	14	deeds?
15	about doing that.	15	A. We most likely would have, yes.
16	Q. So at this point, at least by late April of	16	Q. I think U.S. Deeds or something I saw in here.
17	2010 and this is about a year after Elmer has died,	17	A. Could be.
18	right, because he died April 1st of 2009. Does that	18	Q. Okay. So looking at May 17th, it says, Darlene
19	sound right?	19	from the brokerage firm had called.
20	A. I'll have to take your word for it. I don't	20	This is an entry that you made. What
21	recall.	21	brokerage firm are we talking about? Do you know?
22	Q. Well, sometime in 2009.	22	A. I don't recall, but I'm guessing it was Edward
23	MS. CAROLE BRUNSTING: That's right.	23	Jones because that's where Ms. Brunsting had a lot of
24	MS. BAYLESS: April 1st? Okay.	24	her stuff.
25	Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. So at least by this	25	Q. Okay. So they called and asked some questions
	199		201
1	time you had become pretty hands-on in transferring	1	about the setup for the decedent's trust?
2	these helping her transfer these stocks into the two	2	A. Correct.
3	trusts, right?	3	Q. It says "CLF" that's you?
4	A. I and the staff, yes.	4	A. Me.
5	Q. The Vacek firm?	5	Q "answered her and reminded her that ST was
6	A. Uh-huh.	6	the beneficiary of all the income and dividends."
7	Q. And I'm assuming I think you said Susan	7	What is ST? Survivor's trust?
8	Vacek did administration. Right?	8	A. Survivor's trust.
9	A. Uh-huh.	9	Q. Okay. She said she would see if that would be
10	Q. But I'm assuming that if something came in from	10	able to if she would be able to be set up if that
11	Nelva, it first went to you; and then if you wanted to	11	would be able to be set up. Okay.
12	bring Susan into the loop, you did.	12	So what was the problem in that setup? Do
13	A. Of course.	13	you recall?
14	Q. So you were pretty much the first person that	14	A. Ms. Brunsting did not like paperwork. She did
15	they went to, right?	15	not want to deal with paperwork. So I requested Edward
16	A. Yes. At Ms. Brunsting's request, yes.	16	Jones set up sweep accounts either monthly or quarterly,
17	Q. Okay. Looking at the May 17th entry let me	17	that any dividends and income that were payable in the
18	back up for just a second. On the Iowa property there	18	decedent's trust be swept into her survivor's trust
19	was some kind of an issue about the transfer, about who	19	account at Edward Jones so that the funds were moved
20	could be an owner?	20	over and she didn't have to worry about it at the end of
21	A. Correct.	21	the year, trying to reconcile and get it out of the
22	Q. But you got that worked out?	22	decedent's trust, to ensure that the decedent's trust
23	A. Yes. We got an opinion from Iowa counsel.	23	did not pay the higher income tax rate on that money.
24	8		
24	Q. So there isn't any question in your mind that	24	Q. And did that eventually get set up, do you

51 (Pages 198 to 201)

	202	204
1	A. Uh-huh.	¹ A. Not that I recall.
2	Q. So as far as you know, was that the case up	² Q. Okay. So do you know what this conversation
3	through Nelva's death?	³ was about? Do you have any recollection of that?
4	A. It should have been. But once a client leaves	4 A. Only from what I read. It says regarding the
5	my office, if they change things, that would not be	⁵ decedent's trust account.
6	anything that I would know about.	⁶ Q. Right.
7	Q. Okay. But the last you heard of it, that is	7 A. Dividends and interest and how they're to be
8	how it was done?	⁸ deposited.
9	A. That's how it was supposed to be done, yes.	⁹ Q. You think that's what it was?
10	Q. So any income that came into the decedent's	¹⁰ A. That's what it says. So it says, "Is she
11	trust was swept into the survivor's trust?	¹¹ unable to take principal? Is she required to take
12	A. That's what was requested, yes.	¹² dividends?"
13	Q. Okay. Looking at page 1176, on May 19th of	¹³ Q. Okay. We're looking at a different entry, I
14	2010, near the bottom. It's the 5:11 p.m. entry. It	¹⁴ think.
15	just says "Going to oncologist. They found spot on her	¹⁵ A. Well, it's the same day, just 4:01 p.m.
16	liver. She said she would be out of pocket but that	¹⁶ Q. All right. So this, you think, was still part
17 18	she agreed to having an opinion letter done by the	$\begin{array}{c} 17 \text{of the setup?} \\ 18 \textbf{A} \textbf{I}\mathbf{b}_{-}\mathbf{b}\mathbf{u}\mathbf{b} \end{array}$
18	attorney and to send her whatever she needs to sign."	A. Un-hun.
20	It doesn't have a name in there, but I	 Q. And based on what you said earlier, it was dividends and interest?
20	assume you were talking directly with Nelva?	
22	A. Yes. That would be my assumption as well.Q. And she's talking to you about the opinion	²¹ A. Uh-huh. ²² Q. All right.
23	letter, meaning the attorney in Iowa?	 23 A. Ordinary interest and dividends.
24	A. Correct.	24 Q. Okay. Ordinary interest as opposed to what
25	Q. So at least at this point you knew she was	²⁵ kind of interest?
	203	205
1	having cancer issues, right?	¹ A. Or ordinary income. Sorry.
2	having cancer issues, right? A. Yes. I mean, I assume by "oncologist," that	1 A. Or ordinary income. Sorry. 2 Q. I thought maybe there was a new kind of
2 3	having cancer issues, right?A. Yes. I mean, I assume by "oncologist," that that's what that meant.	 A. Or ordinary income. Sorry. Q. I thought maybe there was a new kind of interest I didn't know about.
2 3 4	 having cancer issues, right? A. Yes. I mean, I assume by "oncologist," that that's what that meant. Q. Well, and "spot on her liver." 	 A. Or ordinary income. Sorry. Q. I thought maybe there was a new kind of interest I didn't know about. All right. Then on June 3rd there's an
2 3 4 5	 having cancer issues, right? A. Yes. I mean, I assume by "oncologist," that that's what that meant. Q. Well, and "spot on her liver." Did she say why she was going to be out of 	 A. Or ordinary income. Sorry. Q. I thought maybe there was a new kind of interest I didn't know about. All right. Then on June 3rd there's an entry where Summer Peoples has called Nelva to schedule
2 3 4 5 6	 having cancer issues, right? A. Yes. I mean, I assume by "oncologist," that that's what that meant. Q. Well, and "spot on her liver." Did she say why she was going to be out of pocket? Was that for medical treatment? 	 A. Or ordinary income. Sorry. Q. I thought maybe there was a new kind of interest I didn't know about. All right. Then on June 3rd there's an entry where Summer Peoples has called Nelva to schedule 5/3 and then in parentheses it says F.
2 3 4 5 6 7	 having cancer issues, right? A. Yes. I mean, I assume by "oncologist," that that's what that meant. Q. Well, and "spot on her liver." Did she say why she was going to be out of pocket? Was that for medical treatment? A. I assume so. I don't recall. 	1 A. Or ordinary income. Sorry. 2 Q. I thought maybe there was a new kind of 3 interest I didn't know about. 4 All right. Then on June 3rd there's an 5 entry where Summer Peoples has called Nelva to schedule 6 5/3 and then in parentheses it says F. 7 A. Uh-huh.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 having cancer issues, right? A. Yes. I mean, I assume by "oncologist," that that's what that meant. Q. Well, and "spot on her liver." Did she say why she was going to be out of pocket? Was that for medical treatment? A. I assume so. I don't recall. Q. On 5-27-2010 there is an entry, "Merlin Case." 	1 A. Or ordinary income. Sorry. 2 Q. I thought maybe there was a new kind of 3 interest I didn't know about. 4 All right. Then on June 3rd there's an 5 entry where Summer Peoples has called Nelva to schedule 6 5/3 and then in parentheses it says F. 7 A. Uh-huh. 8 Q. Is that different than just a regular 5/3?
2 3 4 5 6 7	 having cancer issues, right? A. Yes. I mean, I assume by "oncologist," that that's what that meant. Q. Well, and "spot on her liver." Did she say why she was going to be out of pocket? Was that for medical treatment? A. I assume so. I don't recall. Q. On 5-27-2010 there is an entry, "Merlin Case." Who is Merlin Case? 	1A. Or ordinary income. Sorry.2Q. I thought maybe there was a new kind of3interest I didn't know about.4All right. Then on June 3rd there's an5entry where Summer Peoples has called Nelva to schedule65/3 and then in parentheses it says F.7A. Uh-huh.8Q. Is that different than just a regular 5/3?9A. It means it was the fifth time I had met with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 having cancer issues, right? A. Yes. I mean, I assume by "oncologist," that that's what that meant. Q. Well, and "spot on her liver." Did she say why she was going to be out of pocket? Was that for medical treatment? A. I assume so. I don't recall. Q. On 5-27-2010 there is an entry, "Merlin Case." Who is Merlin Case? A. She's a receptionist. 	1 A. Or ordinary income. Sorry. 2 Q. I thought maybe there was a new kind of 3 interest I didn't know about. 4 All right. Then on June 3rd there's an 5 entry where Summer Peoples has called Nelva to schedule 6 5/3 and then in parentheses it says F. 7 A. Uh-huh. 8 Q. Is that different than just a regular 5/3? 9 A. It means it was the fifth time I had met with 10 Ms. Brunsting regarding the funding.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 having cancer issues, right? A. Yes. I mean, I assume by "oncologist," that that's what that meant. Q. Well, and "spot on her liver." Did she say why she was going to be out of pocket? Was that for medical treatment? A. I assume so. I don't recall. Q. On 5-27-2010 there is an entry, "Merlin Case." Who is Merlin Case? A. She's a receptionist. Q. It said, Nelva called to give us permission to 	1 A. Or ordinary income. Sorry. 2 Q. I thought maybe there was a new kind of 3 interest I didn't know about. 4 All right. Then on June 3rd there's an 5 entry where Summer Peoples has called Nelva to schedule 6 5/3 and then in parentheses it says F. 7 A. Uh-huh. 8 Q. Is that different than just a regular 5/3? 9 A. It means it was the fifth time I had met with 10 Ms. Brunsting regarding the funding. 11 Q. Okay. So 5/3 in this instance I thought you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 having cancer issues, right? A. Yes. I mean, I assume by "oncologist," that that's what that meant. Q. Well, and "spot on her liver." Did she say why she was going to be out of pocket? Was that for medical treatment? A. I assume so. I don't recall. Q. On 5-27-2010 there is an entry, "Merlin Case." Who is Merlin Case? A. She's a receptionist. Q. It said, Nelva called to give us permission to speak with her broker, Doug Williams, who had called 	1 A. Or ordinary income. Sorry. 2 Q. I thought maybe there was a new kind of 3 interest I didn't know about. 4 All right. Then on June 3rd there's an 5 entry where Summer Peoples has called Nelva to schedule 6 5/3 and then in parentheses it says F. 7 A. Uh-huh. 8 Q. Is that different than just a regular 5/3? 9 A. It means it was the fifth time I had met with 10 Ms. Brunsting regarding the funding. 11 Q. Okay. So 5/3 in this instance I thought you 12 said well, tell me again what 5/3 is.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 having cancer issues, right? A. Yes. I mean, I assume by "oncologist," that that's what that meant. Q. Well, and "spot on her liver." Did she say why she was going to be out of pocket? Was that for medical treatment? A. I assume so. I don't recall. Q. On 5-27-2010 there is an entry, "Merlin Case." Who is Merlin Case? A. She's a receptionist. Q. It said, Nelva called to give us permission to speak with her broker, Doug Williams, who had called earlier and left a voice message with his number 	1 A. Or ordinary income. Sorry. 2 Q. I thought maybe there was a new kind of 3 interest I didn't know about. 4 All right. Then on June 3rd there's an 5 entry where Summer Peoples has called Nelva to schedule 6 5/3 and then in parentheses it says F. 7 A. Uh-huh. 8 Q. Is that different than just a regular 5/3? 9 A. It means it was the fifth time I had met with 10 Ms. Brunsting regarding the funding. 11 Q. Okay. So 5/3 in this instance I thought you 12 said well, tell me again what 5/3 is. 13 A. So 5/3 is the signing of all the funding
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 having cancer issues, right? A. Yes. I mean, I assume by "oncologist," that that's what that meant. Q. Well, and "spot on her liver." Did she say why she was going to be out of pocket? Was that for medical treatment? A. I assume so. I don't recall. Q. On 5-27-2010 there is an entry, "Merlin Case." Who is Merlin Case? A. She's a receptionist. Q. It said, Nelva called to give us permission to speak with her broker, Doug Williams, who had called earlier and left a voice message with his number regarding her trust. 	1 A. Or ordinary income. Sorry. 2 Q. I thought maybe there was a new kind of 3 interest I didn't know about. 4 All right. Then on June 3rd there's an 5 entry where Summer Peoples has called Nelva to schedule 6 5/3 and then in parentheses it says F. 7 A. Uh-huh. 8 Q. Is that different than just a regular 5/3? 9 A. It means it was the fifth time I had met with 10 Ms. Brunsting regarding the funding. 11 Q. Okay. So 5/3 in this instance I thought you 12 said well, tell me again what 5/3 is. 13 A. So 5/3 is the signing of all the funding 14 documents, and they leave with them.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 having cancer issues, right? A. Yes. I mean, I assume by "oncologist," that that's what that meant. Q. Well, and "spot on her liver." Did she say why she was going to be out of pocket? Was that for medical treatment? A. I assume so. I don't recall. Q. On 5-27-2010 there is an entry, "Merlin Case." Who is Merlin Case? A. She's a receptionist. Q. It said, Nelva called to give us permission to speak with her broker, Doug Williams, who had called earlier and left a voice message with his number 	1 A. Or ordinary income. Sorry. 2 Q. I thought maybe there was a new kind of 3 interest I didn't know about. 4 All right. Then on June 3rd there's an 5 entry where Summer Peoples has called Nelva to schedule 6 5/3 and then in parentheses it says F. 7 A. Uh-huh. 8 Q. Is that different than just a regular 5/3? 9 A. It means it was the fifth time I had met with 10 Ms. Brunsting regarding the funding. 11 Q. Okay. So 5/3 in this instance I thought you 12 said well, tell me again what 5/3 is. 13 A. So 5/3 is the signing of all the funding 14 documents, and they leave with them.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 having cancer issues, right? A. Yes. I mean, I assume by "oncologist," that that's what that meant. Q. Well, and "spot on her liver." Did she say why she was going to be out of pocket? Was that for medical treatment? A. I assume so. I don't recall. Q. On 5-27-2010 there is an entry, "Merlin Case." Who is Merlin Case? A. She's a receptionist. Q. It said, Nelva called to give us permission to speak with her broker, Doug Williams, who had called earlier and left a voice message with his number regarding her trust. A. Okay. 	1 A. Or ordinary income. Sorry. 2 Q. I thought maybe there was a new kind of 3 interest I didn't know about. 4 All right. Then on June 3rd there's an 5 entry where Summer Peoples has called Nelva to schedule 6 5/3 and then in parentheses it says F. 7 A. Uh-huh. 8 Q. Is that different than just a regular 5/3? 9 A. It means it was the fifth time I had met with 10 Ms. Brunsting regarding the funding. 11 Q. Okay. So 5/3 in this instance I thought you 12 said well, tell me again what 5/3 is. 13 A. So 5/3 is the signing of all the funding 14 documents, and they leave with them. 15 Q. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 having cancer issues, right? A. Yes. I mean, I assume by "oncologist," that that's what that meant. Q. Well, and "spot on her liver." Did she say why she was going to be out of pocket? Was that for medical treatment? A. I assume so. I don't recall. Q. On 5-27-2010 there is an entry, "Merlin Case." Who is Merlin Case? A. She's a receptionist. Q. It said, Nelva called to give us permission to speak with her broker, Doug Williams, who had called earlier and left a voice message with his number regarding her trust. A. Okay. Q. Apparently sent an e-mail to you and carbon 	1 A. Or ordinary income. Sorry. 2 Q. I thought maybe there was a new kind of 3 interest I didn't know about. 4 All right. Then on June 3rd there's an 5 entry where Summer Peoples has called Nelva to schedule 6 5/3 and then in parentheses it says F. 7 A. Uh-huh. 8 Q. Is that different than just a regular 5/3? 9 A. It means it was the fifth time I had met with 10 Ms. Brunsting regarding the funding. 11 Q. Okay. So 5/3 in this instance I thought you 12 said well, tell me again what 5/3 is. 13 A. So 5/3 is the signing of all the funding 14 documents, and they leave with them. 15 Q. Okay. 16 A. If they call me back and need another meeting,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 having cancer issues, right? A. Yes. I mean, I assume by "oncologist," that that's what that meant. Q. Well, and "spot on her liver." Did she say why she was going to be out of pocket? Was that for medical treatment? A. I assume so. I don't recall. Q. On 5-27-2010 there is an entry, "Merlin Case." Who is Merlin Case? A. She's a receptionist. Q. It said, Nelva called to give us permission to speak with her broker, Doug Williams, who had called earlier and left a voice message with his number regarding her trust. A. Okay. Q. Apparently sent an e-mail to you and carbon copy, I guess, to your assistant, Summer Peoples? 	1 A. Or ordinary income. Sorry. 2 Q. I thought maybe there was a new kind of 3 interest I didn't know about. 4 All right. Then on June 3rd there's an 5 entry where Summer Peoples has called Nelva to schedule 6 5/3 and then in parentheses it says F. 7 A. Uh-huh. 8 Q. Is that different than just a regular 5/3? 9 A. It means it was the fifth time I had met with 10 Ms. Brunsting regarding the funding. 11 Q. Okay. So 5/3 in this instance I thought you 12 said well, tell me again what 5/3 is. 13 A. So 5/3 is the signing of all the funding 14 documents, and they leave with them. 15 Q. Okay. 16 A. If they call me back and need another meeting, 17 then it will show up as a 5/3B. I know I've already met
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 having cancer issues, right? A. Yes. I mean, I assume by "oncologist," that that's what that meant. Q. Well, and "spot on her liver." Did she say why she was going to be out of pocket? Was that for medical treatment? A. I assume so. I don't recall. Q. On 5-27-2010 there is an entry, "Merlin Case." Who is Merlin Case? A. She's a receptionist. Q. It said, Nelva called to give us permission to speak with her broker, Doug Williams, who had called earlier and left a voice message with his number regarding her trust. A. Okay. Q. Apparently sent an e-mail to you and carbon copy, I guess, to your assistant, Summer Peoples? A. Correct. 	1 A. Or ordinary income. Sorry. 2 Q. I thought maybe there was a new kind of 3 interest I didn't know about. 4 All right. Then on June 3rd there's an 5 entry where Summer Peoples has called Nelva to schedule 6 5/3 and then in parentheses it says F. 7 A. Uh-huh. 8 Q. Is that different than just a regular 5/3? 9 A. It means it was the fifth time I had met with 10 Ms. Brunsting regarding the funding. 11 Q. Okay. So 5/3 in this instance I thought you 12 said well, tell me again what 5/3 is. 13 A. So 5/3 is the signing of all the funding 14 documents, and they leave with them. 15 Q. Okay. 16 A. If they call me back and need another meeting, 17 then it will show up as a 5/3B. I know I've already met 18 with them, so I've got to go back and look at my notes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 having cancer issues, right? A. Yes. I mean, I assume by "oncologist," that that's what that meant. Q. Well, and "spot on her liver." Did she say why she was going to be out of pocket? Was that for medical treatment? A. I assume so. I don't recall. Q. On 5-27-2010 there is an entry, "Merlin Case." Who is Merlin Case? A. She's a receptionist. Q. It said, Nelva called to give us permission to speak with her broker, Doug Williams, who had called earlier and left a voice message with his number regarding her trust. A. Okay. Q. Apparently sent an e-mail to you and carbon copy, I guess, to your assistant, Summer Peoples? A. Correct. Q. Did you have occasion to speak with Doug 	1 A. Or ordinary income. Sorry. 2 Q. I thought maybe there was a new kind of 3 interest I didn't know about. 4 All right. Then on June 3rd there's an 5 entry where Summer Peoples has called Nelva to schedule 6 5/3 and then in parentheses it says F. 7 A. Uh-huh. 8 Q. Is that different than just a regular 5/3? 9 A. It means it was the fifth time I had met with 10 Ms. Brunsting regarding the funding. 11 Q. Okay. So 5/3 in this instance I thought you 12 said well, tell me again what 5/3 is. 13 A. So 5/3 is the signing of all the funding 14 documents, and they leave with them. 15 Q. Okay. 16 A. If they call me back and need another meeting, 17 then it will show up as a 5/3B. I know I've already met 18 with them, so I've got to go back and look at my notes. 19 So we go C, D, E, F. We'll go all the way through the 20 Alphabet. 21 Q. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 having cancer issues, right? A. Yes. I mean, I assume by "oncologist," that that's what that meant. Q. Well, and "spot on her liver." Did she say why she was going to be out of pocket? Was that for medical treatment? A. I assume so. I don't recall. Q. On 5-27-2010 there is an entry, "Merlin Case." Who is Merlin Case? A. She's a receptionist. Q. It said, Nelva called to give us permission to speak with her broker, Doug Williams, who had called earlier and left a voice message with his number regarding her trust. A. Okay. Q. Apparently sent an e-mail to you and carbon copy, I guess, to your assistant, Summer Peoples? A. Correct. Q. Did you have occasion to speak with Doug Williams about his concerns about Ms. Brunsting's health or activity on her accounts? A. No. 	1 A. Or ordinary income. Sorry. 2 Q. I thought maybe there was a new kind of 3 interest I didn't know about. 4 All right. Then on June 3rd there's an 5 entry where Summer Peoples has called Nelva to schedule 6 5/3 and then in parentheses it says F. 7 A. Uh-huh. 8 Q. Is that different than just a regular 5/3? 9 A. It means it was the fifth time I had met with 10 Ms. Brunsting regarding the funding. 11 Q. Okay. So 5/3 in this instance I thought you 12 said well, tell me again what 5/3 is. 13 A. So 5/3 is the signing of all the funding 14 documents, and they leave with them. 15 Q. Okay. 16 A. If they call me back and need another meeting, 17 then it will show up as a 5/3B. I know I've already met 18 with them, so I've got to go back and look at my notes. 19 So we go C, D, E, F. We'll go all the way through the 20 Okay. 21 Q. Okay. 22 A. And that's the fifth time I met with her
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 having cancer issues, right? A. Yes. I mean, I assume by "oncologist," that that's what that meant. Q. Well, and "spot on her liver." Did she say why she was going to be out of pocket? Was that for medical treatment? A. I assume so. I don't recall. Q. On 5-27-2010 there is an entry, "Merlin Case." Who is Merlin Case? A. She's a receptionist. Q. It said, Nelva called to give us permission to speak with her broker, Doug Williams, who had called earlier and left a voice message with his number regarding her trust. A. Okay. Q. Apparently sent an e-mail to you and carbon copy, I guess, to your assistant, Summer Peoples? A. Correct. Q. Did you have occasion to speak with Doug Williams about his concerns about Ms. Brunsting's health or activity on her accounts? A. No. MR. SPIELMAN: Form. 	1 A. Or ordinary income. Sorry. 2 Q. I thought maybe there was a new kind of 3 interest I didn't know about. 4 All right. Then on June 3rd there's an 5 entry where Summer Peoples has called Nelva to schedule 6 5/3 and then in parentheses it says F. 7 A. Uh-huh. 8 Q. Is that different than just a regular 5/3? 9 A. It means it was the fifth time I had met with 10 Ms. Brunsting regarding the funding. 11 Q. Okay. So 5/3 in this instance I thought you 12 said well, tell me again what 5/3 is. 13 A. So 5/3 is the signing of all the funding 14 documents, and they leave with them. 15 Q. Okay. 16 A. If they call me back and need another meeting, 17 then it will show up as a 5/3B. I know I've already met 18 with them, so I've got to go back and look at my notes. 19 So we go C, D, E, F. We'll go all the way through the 20 Okay. 21 Q. Okay. 22 A. And that's the fifth time I met with her 23 regarding funding.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 having cancer issues, right? A. Yes. I mean, I assume by "oncologist," that that's what that meant. Q. Well, and "spot on her liver." Did she say why she was going to be out of pocket? Was that for medical treatment? A. I assume so. I don't recall. Q. On 5-27-2010 there is an entry, "Merlin Case." Who is Merlin Case? A. She's a receptionist. Q. It said, Nelva called to give us permission to speak with her broker, Doug Williams, who had called earlier and left a voice message with his number regarding her trust. A. Okay. Q. Apparently sent an e-mail to you and carbon copy, I guess, to your assistant, Summer Peoples? A. Correct. Q. Did you have occasion to speak with Doug Williams about his concerns about Ms. Brunsting's health or activity on her accounts? A. No. 	1 A. Or ordinary income. Sorry. 2 Q. I thought maybe there was a new kind of 3 interest I didn't know about. 4 All right. Then on June 3rd there's an 5 entry where Summer Peoples has called Nelva to schedule 6 5/3 and then in parentheses it says F. 7 A. Uh-huh. 8 Q. Is that different than just a regular 5/3? 9 A. It means it was the fifth time I had met with 10 Ms. Brunsting regarding the funding. 11 Q. Okay. So 5/3 in this instance I thought you 12 said well, tell me again what 5/3 is. 13 A. So 5/3 is the signing of all the funding 14 documents, and they leave with them. 15 Q. Okay. 16 A. If they call me back and need another meeting, 17 then it will show up as a 5/3B. I know I've already met 18 with them, so I've got to go back and look at my notes. 19 So we go C, D, E, F. We'll go all the way through the 20 Okay. 21 Q. Okay. 22 A. And that's the fifth time I met with her

52 (Pages 202 to 205)

r		1	
	206	20	08
1	MR. REED: Form.	¹ recollection that she drove herself there that day?	
2	A. I mean, it depends on the client. It depends	² A. I do.	
3	on their age; it depends on their health; it depends on	³ Q. Did you guys talk about it?	
4	the assets and the types. So I can't say it was or	4 A. No.	
5	wasn't. It was what it was.	⁵ Q. Had you had any discussion at that point about	
6	Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. So the meeting was set	⁶ her needing to not drive?	
7	up for the 8th.	7 A. No.	
8	A. Uh-huh.	⁸ Q. Do you know what her age was by this time?	
9	Q. And you have an entry that you did on the 8th	⁹ A. No.	
10	that says you visited with Nelva today?	Q. Okay. There's another entry, on June 10th, of	
11	A. Uh-huh.	¹¹ a conversation with Doug Williams at Edward Jones. Th	his
12	Q. "She has an appointment with her oncologist on	¹² looks like he talked to Susan Vacek. It says he called	
13 14	Thursday, and she did indicate that she was not a	¹³ for Susan Vacek.	
14	candidate for chemo in that her lungs were not strong	A. Ul-hull.	
15	enough. Not sure what course of treatment she will	Q. Requestion - left message. Is there any	
17	have, and they will go over that on Thursday. She said	reason why ne would be canning busan about this.	
18	that she was concerned about Candy, her daughter in California. Candy was adopted by them as a child. She	 MR. REED: Object to form. A. I have no idea. 	
19	went off to college in California and met a young man	¹⁹ Q. (By Ms. Bayless) All right. So you returned	
20	and married him. They both dropped out of college, and	²⁰ the call. Is that because Susan told you to return the	
21	she has been there ever since. The man has now run out	21 call?	
22	on her, and she has problems making ends meet. She	22 A. I have no idea.	
23	would like to make an early distribution to Candy in the	²³ Q. Okay. So you returned the call, and you're	
24	amount." And then it doesn't have an amount.	²⁴ telling him that the income is mandatory in the	
25	A. I don't recall what that was.	²⁵ decedent's trust?	
	207	20	09
1			09
1 2	Q. Okay.	¹ A. Uh-huh.	09
		1 A. Uh-huh. 2 Q. "(includes interest and dividends) and	09
2	Q. Okay.A. It looks like it drops off, too.	1 A. Uh-huh. 2 Q. "(includes interest and dividends) and	
2 3	Q. Okay.A. It looks like it drops off, too.Q. Yeah. Didn't finish that sentence. Okay.	 A. Uh-huh. Q. "(includes interest and dividends) and principal for HEMS." What's "HEMS"? 	
2 3 4	 Q. Okay. A. It looks like it drops off, too. Q. Yeah. Didn't finish that sentence. Okay. So she talks about at this time that she 	 A. Uh-huh. Q. "(includes interest and dividends) and principal for HEMS." What's "HEMS"? A. Health, education, maintenance and support 	
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. Okay. A. It looks like it drops off, too. Q. Yeah. Didn't finish that sentence. Okay. So she talks about at this time that she was having a hard time breathing. Did you notice by 	 A. Uh-huh. Q. "(includes interest and dividends) and principal for HEMS." What's "HEMS"? A. Health, education, maintenance and support Q. So that was the standard set forth in the trust, right 7 A. Correct. 	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. Okay. A. It looks like it drops off, too. Q. Yeah. Didn't finish that sentence. Okay. So she talks about at this time that she was having a hard time breathing. Did you notice by this now, this is before Carl is sick, right? 	 A. Uh-huh. Q. "(includes interest and dividends) and principal for HEMS." What's "HEMS"? A. Health, education, maintenance and support Q. So that was the standard set forth in the trust, right 7 A. Correct. 8 Q for a distribution? 	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Okay. A. It looks like it drops off, too. Q. Yeah. Didn't finish that sentence. Okay. So she talks about at this time that she was having a hard time breathing. Did you notice by this now, this is before Carl is sick, right? MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) So by this time, had you noticed a deterioration in her health, or were you just 	1 A. Uh-huh. 2 Q. "(includes interest and dividends) and 3 principal for HEMS." What's "HEMS"? 4 A. Health, education, maintenance and support 5 Q. So that was the standard set forth in the 6 trust, right 7 A. Correct. 8 Q for a distribution? 9 Now, earlier you talked about that the	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. Okay. A. It looks like it drops off, too. Q. Yeah. Didn't finish that sentence. Okay. So she talks about at this time that she was having a hard time breathing. Did you notice by this now, this is before Carl is sick, right? MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) So by this time, had you noticed a deterioration in her health, or were you just hearing the story and you couldn't tell any difference? 	1 A. Uh-huh. 2 Q. "(includes interest and dividends) and 3 principal for HEMS." What's "HEMS"? 4 A. Health, education, maintenance and support 5 Q. So that was the standard set forth in the 6 trust, right 7 A. Correct. 8 Q for a distribution? 9 Now, earlier you talked about that the 10 trustee could make a distribution without taking it	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. Okay. A. It looks like it drops off, too. Q. Yeah. Didn't finish that sentence. Okay. So she talks about at this time that she was having a hard time breathing. Did you notice by this now, this is before Carl is sick, right? MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) So by this time, had you noticed a deterioration in her health, or were you just hearing the story and you couldn't tell any difference? MR. REED: Form. 	1 A. Uh-huh. 2 Q. "(includes interest and dividends) and 3 principal for HEMS." What's "HEMS"? 4 A. Health, education, maintenance and support 5 Q. So that was the standard set forth in the 6 trust, right 7 A. Correct. 8 Q for a distribution? 9 Now, earlier you talked about that the 10 trustee could make a distribution without taking it 11 at least this was my impression of your testimony	rt.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. Okay. A. It looks like it drops off, too. Q. Yeah. Didn't finish that sentence. Okay. So she talks about at this time that she was having a hard time breathing. Did you notice by this now, this is before Carl is sick, right? MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) So by this time, had you noticed a deterioration in her health, or were you just hearing the story and you couldn't tell any difference? MR. REED: Form. A. Just hearing it, and she drove herself to the 	1 A. Uh-huh. 2 Q. "(includes interest and dividends) and 3 principal for HEMS." What's "HEMS"? 4 A. Health, education, maintenance and support 5 Q. So that was the standard set forth in the 6 trust, right 7 A. Correct. 8 Q for a distribution? 9 Now, earlier you talked about that the 10 trustee could make a distribution without taking it 11 at least this was my impression of your testimony 12 without taking into consideration the standard require	rt.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Okay. A. It looks like it drops off, too. Q. Yeah. Didn't finish that sentence. Okay. So she talks about at this time that she was having a hard time breathing. Did you notice by this now, this is before Carl is sick, right? MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) So by this time, had you noticed a deterioration in her health, or were you just hearing the story and you couldn't tell any difference? MR. REED: Form. A. Just hearing it, and she drove herself to the office that day. So she was by herself. 	1 A. Uh-huh. 2 Q. "(includes interest and dividends) and 3 principal for HEMS." What's "HEMS"? 4 A. Health, education, maintenance and support 5 Q. So that was the standard set forth in the 6 trust, right 7 A. Correct. 8 Q for a distribution? 9 Now, earlier you talked about that the 10 trustee could make a distribution without taking it 11 at least this was my impression of your testimony 12 without taking into consideration the standard require 13 by the trust.	rt.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. Okay. A. It looks like it drops off, too. Q. Yeah. Didn't finish that sentence. Okay. So she talks about at this time that she was having a hard time breathing. Did you notice by this now, this is before Carl is sick, right? MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) So by this time, had you noticed a deterioration in her health, or were you just hearing the story and you couldn't tell any difference? MR. REED: Form. A. Just hearing it, and she drove herself to the office that day. So she was by herself. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. And up until this 	1 A. Uh-huh. 2 Q. "(includes interest and dividends) and 3 principal for HEMS." What's "HEMS"? 4 A. Health, education, maintenance and support 5 Q. So that was the standard set forth in the 6 trust, right 7 A. Correct. 8 Q for a distribution? 9 Now, earlier you talked about that the 10 trustee could make a distribution without taking it 11 at least this was my impression of your testimony 12 without taking into consideration the standard require 13 by the trust. 14 Is that what you meant to say?	rt.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. Okay. A. It looks like it drops off, too. Q. Yeah. Didn't finish that sentence. Okay. So she talks about at this time that she was having a hard time breathing. Did you notice by this now, this is before Carl is sick, right? MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) So by this time, had you noticed a deterioration in her health, or were you just hearing the story and you couldn't tell any difference? MR. REED: Form. A. Just hearing it, and she drove herself to the office that day. So she was by herself. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. And up until this point, there aren't any indications that anybody else 	1 A. Uh-huh. 2 Q. "(includes interest and dividends) and 3 principal for HEMS." What's "HEMS"? 4 A. Health, education, maintenance and support 5 Q. So that was the standard set forth in the 6 trust, right 7 A. Correct. 8 Q for a distribution? 9 Now, earlier you talked about that the 10 trustee could make a distribution without taking it 11 at least this was my impression of your testimony 12 without taking into consideration the standard require 13 by the trust. 14 Is that what you meant to say? 15 MR. REED: Form.	rt.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. Okay. A. It looks like it drops off, too. Q. Yeah. Didn't finish that sentence. Okay. So she talks about at this time that she was having a hard time breathing. Did you notice by this now, this is before Carl is sick, right? MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) So by this time, had you noticed a deterioration in her health, or were you just hearing the story and you couldn't tell any difference? MR. REED: Form. A. Just hearing it, and she drove herself to the office that day. So she was by herself. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. And up until this point, there aren't any indications that anybody else had brought her to the office. But you wouldn't 	1 A. Uh-huh. 2 Q. "(includes interest and dividends) and 3 principal for HEMS." What's "HEMS"? 4 A. Health, education, maintenance and support 5 Q. So that was the standard set forth in the 6 trust, right 7 A. Correct. 8 Q for a distribution? 9 Now, earlier you talked about that the 10 trustee could make a distribution without taking it 11 at least this was my impression of your testimony 12 without taking into consideration the standard require 13 by the trust. 14 Is that what you meant to say? 15 MR. REED: Form. 16 A. If that's what was stated, then, no, that was	rt.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. Okay. A. It looks like it drops off, too. Q. Yeah. Didn't finish that sentence. Okay. So she talks about at this time that she was having a hard time breathing. Did you notice by this now, this is before Carl is sick, right? MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) So by this time, had you noticed a deterioration in her health, or were you just hearing the story and you couldn't tell any difference? MR. REED: Form. A. Just hearing it, and she drove herself to the office that day. So she was by herself. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. And up until this point, there aren't any indications that anybody else had brought her to the office. But you wouldn't 	1 A. Uh-huh. 2 Q. "(includes interest and dividends) and 3 principal for HEMS." What's "HEMS"? 4 A. Health, education, maintenance and support 5 Q. So that was the standard set forth in the 6 trust, right 7 A. Correct. 8 Q for a distribution? 9 Now, earlier you talked about that the 10 trustee could make a distribution without taking it 11 at least this was my impression of your testimony 12 without taking into consideration the standard require 13 by the trust. 14 Is that what you meant to say? 15 MR. REED: Form. 16 A. If that's what was stated, then, no, that was 17 not my intent.	rt.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. Okay. A. It looks like it drops off, too. Q. Yeah. Didn't finish that sentence. Okay. So she talks about at this time that she was having a hard time breathing. Did you notice by this now, this is before Carl is sick, right? MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) So by this time, had you noticed a deterioration in her health, or were you just hearing the story and you couldn't tell any difference? MR. REED: Form. A. Just hearing it, and she drove herself to the office that day. So she was by herself. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. And up until this point, there aren't any indications that anybody else had brought her to the office. But you wouldn't necessarily meet with somebody that brought her to the office, right? 	1 A. Uh-huh. 2 Q. "(includes interest and dividends) and 3 principal for HEMS." What's "HEMS"? 4 A. Health, education, maintenance and support 5 Q. So that was the standard set forth in the 6 trust, right 7 A. Correct. 8 Q for a distribution? 9 Now, earlier you talked about that the 10 trustee could make a distribution without taking it 11 at least this was my impression of your testimony 12 without taking into consideration the standard require 13 by the trust. 14 Is that what you meant to say? 15 MR. REED: Form. 16 A. If that's what was stated, then, no, that was 17 Not my intent. 18 Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. Tell me how the	rt.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Okay. A. It looks like it drops off, too. Q. Yeah. Didn't finish that sentence. Okay. So she talks about at this time that she was having a hard time breathing. Did you notice by this now, this is before Carl is sick, right? MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) So by this time, had you noticed a deterioration in her health, or were you just hearing the story and you couldn't tell any difference? MR. REED: Form. A. Just hearing it, and she drove herself to the office that day. So she was by herself. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. And up until this point, there aren't any indications that anybody else had brought her to the office. But you wouldn't necessarily meet with somebody that brought her to the office, right? A. No. But our office is small enough that if 	1 A. Uh-huh. 2 Q. "(includes interest and dividends) and 3 principal for HEMS." What's "HEMS"? 4 A. Health, education, maintenance and support 5 Q. So that was the standard set forth in the 6 trust, right 7 A. Correct. 8 Q for a distribution? 9 Now, earlier you talked about that the 10 trustee could make a distribution without taking it 11 at least this was my impression of your testimony 12 without taking into consideration the standard require 13 by the trust. 14 Is that what you meant to say? 15 MR. REED: Form. 16 A. If that's what was stated, then, no, that was 17 My Ms. Bayless) Okay. Tell me how the 19 standard works in this health, education, maintenance	rt.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. Okay. A. It looks like it drops off, too. Q. Yeah. Didn't finish that sentence. Okay. So she talks about at this time that she was having a hard time breathing. Did you notice by this now, this is before Carl is sick, right? MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) So by this time, had you noticed a deterioration in her health, or were you just hearing the story and you couldn't tell any difference? MR. REED: Form. A. Just hearing it, and she drove herself to the office that day. So she was by herself. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. And up until this point, there aren't any indications that anybody else had brought her to the office. But you wouldn't necessarily meet with somebody that brought her to the office, right? A. No. But our office is small enough that if somebody brought another person in, they were usually in 	1 A. Uh-huh. 2 Q. "(includes interest and dividends) and 3 principal for HEMS." What's "HEMS"? 4 A. Health, education, maintenance and support 5 Q. So that was the standard set forth in the 6 trust, right 7 A. Correct. 8 Q for a distribution? 9 Now, earlier you talked about that the 10 trustee could make a distribution without taking it 11 at least this was my impression of your testimony 12 without taking into consideration the standard require 13 by the trust. 14 Is that what you meant to say? 15 MR. REED: Form. 16 A. If that's what was stated, then, no, that was 17 D. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. Tell me how the 19 standard works in this health, education, maintenance 20 support. How is that supposed to work in a trust like	rt.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. Okay. A. It looks like it drops off, too. Q. Yeah. Didn't finish that sentence. Okay. So she talks about at this time that she was having a hard time breathing. Did you notice by this now, this is before Carl is sick, right? MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) So by this time, had you noticed a deterioration in her health, or were you just hearing the story and you couldn't tell any difference? MR. REED: Form. A. Just hearing it, and she drove herself to the office that day. So she was by herself. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. And up until this point, there aren't any indications that anybody else had brought her to the office. But you wouldn't necessarily meet with somebody that brought her to the office, right? A. No. But our office is small enough that if 	1 A. Uh-huh. 2 Q. "(includes interest and dividends) and 3 principal for HEMS." What's "HEMS"? 4 A. Health, education, maintenance and support 5 Q. So that was the standard set forth in the 6 trust, right 7 A. Correct. 8 Q for a distribution? 9 Now, earlier you talked about that the 10 trustee could make a distribution without taking it 11 at least this was my impression of your testimony 12 without taking into consideration the standard require 13 by the trust. 14 Is that what you meant to say? 15 MR. REED: Form. 16 A. If that's what was stated, then, no, that was 19 standard works in this health, education, maintenance 20 support. How is that supposed to work in a trust like 21 the Brunsting trust?	rt.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Okay. A. It looks like it drops off, too. Q. Yeah. Didn't finish that sentence. Okay. So she talks about at this time that she was having a hard time breathing. Did you notice by this now, this is before Carl is sick, right? MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) So by this time, had you noticed a deterioration in her health, or were you just hearing the story and you couldn't tell any difference? MR. REED: Form. A. Just hearing it, and she drove herself to the office that day. So she was by herself. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. And up until this point, there aren't any indications that anybody else had brought her to the office. But you wouldn't necessarily meet with somebody that brought her to the office, right? A. No. But our office is small enough that if somebody brought another person in, they were usually in our space, fishbowl of a reception area. 	1 A. Uh-huh. 2 Q. "(includes interest and dividends) and 3 principal for HEMS." What's "HEMS"? 4 A. Health, education, maintenance and support 5 Q. So that was the standard set forth in the 6 trust, right 7 A. Correct. 8 Q for a distribution? 9 Now, earlier you talked about that the 10 trustee could make a distribution without taking it 11 at least this was my impression of your testimony 12 without taking into consideration the standard require 13 by the trust. 14 Is that what you meant to say? 15 MR. REED: Form. 16 A. If that's what was stated, then, no, that was 19 standard works in this health, education, maintenance 20 support. How is that supposed to work in a trust like 21 the Brunsting trust? 22 A. For which trust?	rt.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Okay. A. It looks like it drops off, too. Q. Yeah. Didn't finish that sentence. Okay. So she talks about at this time that she was having a hard time breathing. Did you notice by this now, this is before Carl is sick, right? MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) So by this time, had you noticed a deterioration in her health, or were you just hearing the story and you couldn't tell any difference? MR. REED: Form. A. Just hearing it, and she drove herself to the office that day. So she was by herself. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. And up until this point, there aren't any indications that anybody else had brought her to the office. But you wouldn't necessarily meet with somebody that brought her to the office, right? A. No. But our office is small enough that if somebody brought another person in, they were usually in our space, fishbowl of a reception area. Q. So you think it would have been noted in your 	1 A. Uh-huh. 2 Q. "(includes interest and dividends) and 3 principal for HEMS." What's "HEMS"? 4 A. Health, education, maintenance and support 5 Q. So that was the standard set forth in the 6 trust, right 7 A. Correct. 8 Q for a distribution? 9 Now, earlier you talked about that the 10 trustee could make a distribution without taking it 11 at least this was my impression of your testimony 12 without taking into consideration the standard require 13 by the trust. 14 Is that what you meant to say? 15 MR. REED: Form. 16 A. If that's what was stated, then, no, that was 17 D. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. Tell me how the 18 Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. Tell me how the 19 standard works in this health, education, maintenance 20 support. How is that supposed to work in a trust like 21 the Brunsting trust? 22 A. For which trust?	rt.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Okay. A. It looks like it drops off, too. Q. Yeah. Didn't finish that sentence. Okay. So she talks about at this time that she was having a hard time breathing. Did you notice by this - now, this is before Carl is sick, right? MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) So by this time, had you noticed a deterioration in her health, or were you just hearing the story and you couldn't tell any difference? MR. REED: Form. A. Just hearing it, and she drove herself to the office that day. So she was by herself. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. And up until this point, there aren't any indications that anybody else had brought her to the office. But you wouldn't necessarily meet with somebody that brought her to the office, right? A. No. But our office is small enough that if somebody brought another person in, they were usually in our space, fishbowl of a reception area. Q. So you think it would have been noted in your notes? 	1 A. Uh-huh. 2 Q. "(includes interest and dividends) and 3 principal for HEMS." What's "HEMS"? 4 A. Health, education, maintenance and support 5 Q. So that was the standard set forth in the 6 trust, right 7 A. Correct. 8 Q for a distribution? 9 Now, earlier you talked about that the 10 trustee could make a distribution without taking it 11 at least this was my impression of your testimony 12 without taking into consideration the standard require 13 by the trust. 14 Is that what you meant to say? 15 MR. REED: Form. 16 A. If that's what was stated, then, no, that was 17 My intent. 18 Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. Tell me how the 19 standard works in this health, education, maintenance 20 standard works in this health, education, maintenance 21 A. For which trust? 22 A. For which trust? 23 Q. Well, let's start with the restated trust. How	rt. .d

53 (Pages 206 to 209)

	210		212
1	in and take things out because they're both living. So	1	about Candy
2	there is no standard.	2	A. Correct.
3	Q. Then where would it come up in the Brunsting	3	Q and her financial needs, and that's what
4	trust?	4	that dealt with, that QBD?
5	A. So in the decedent's trust it would be income	5	A. That's most likely correct.
6	mandatory to the spouse, principal for health, education	6	Q. So they're sort of tied in.
7	maintenance and support.	7	But in terms of what was supposed to go in
8	Survivor's trust, she can freely put	8	it or any of that, we don't have any notes here that
9	things in, take things out. There is no standard.	9	related to that meeting?
10	Q. And the health, education, maintenance and	10	A. And typically you wouldn't. I don't typically
11	support means basically her health, her education, her	11	make notes of everything that I'm going to put into a
12	maintenance, her support, whatever she needs to support	12	document unless it's something that is specific that
13	her household?	13	sticks out.
14	A. Uh-huh.	14	Q. Okay. I thought the purpose of the notes was
15	Q. And support, is that different from	15	so that if you came back a week later, a month later,
16	maintenance?	16	you knew what you had last done or what you were
17	A. It could be.	17	supposed to
18	Q. Okay.	18	A. Yeah. But there are other ways of doing that
19	A. Maintenance is getting your hair done.	19	as well.
20	Maintenance is getting your nails done probably. Just	20	Q. Okay.
21	depends on what the standard of living is that you're	21	A. Jotting it down on a piece of paper as soon as
22	accustomed to.	22	I get out of a meeting and handing it to my assistant,
23 24	Q. Okay. And so earlier when you testified, you	23 24	saying, Draft this, is perfectly fine for me recalling.
24 25	were not meaning to say that that standard could just be	24	Q. Okay. So you don't have any independent
25	ignored?	25	recollection that prior to June 8th, you were
	211		213
		1	213
1		1	-
1 2	MR. REED: Form.	1 2	contemplating doing this A. Huh-uh.
	MR. REED: Form. A. In the decedent's trust?	1	contemplating doing this A. Huh-uh.
2	MR. REED: Form.	2	contemplating doing this A. Huh-uh. Q June 15th? Okay.
2 3	MR. REED: Form. A. In the decedent's trust? Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Yes. Let's talk about the decedent's trust.	2 3	contemplating doing this A. Huh-uh.
2 3 4	MR. REED: Form. A. In the decedent's trust? Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Yes. Let's talk about the	2 3 4	 contemplating doing this A. Huh-uh. Q June 15th? Okay. Prior to the time that you had this
2 3 4 5	MR. REED: Form. A. In the decedent's trust? Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Yes. Let's talk about the decedent's trust. A. No, it could not.	2 3 4 5	 contemplating doing this A. Huh-uh. Q June 15th? Okay. Prior to the time that you had this meeting on June 8th with Nelva, did she understand do
2 3 4 5 6	MR. REED: Form. A. In the decedent's trust? Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Yes. Let's talk about the decedent's trust. A. No, it could not. Q. And once Nelva was no longer the trustee, the	2 3 4 5 6	contemplating doing this A. Huh-uh. Q June 15th? Okay. Prior to the time that you had this meeting on June 8th with Nelva, did she understand do you believe, based on your conversation with her, that
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. REED: Form. A. In the decedent's trust? Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Yes. Let's talk about the decedent's trust. A. No, it could not. Q. And once Nelva was no longer the trustee, the person who was responsible for seeing that that standard	2 3 4 5 6 7	contemplating doing this A. Huh-uh. Q June 15th? Okay. Prior to the time that you had this meeting on June 8th with Nelva, did she understand do you believe, based on your conversation with her, that she understood what an advance was as opposed to just a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. REED: Form. A. In the decedent's trust? Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Yes. Let's talk about the decedent's trust. A. No, it could not. Q. And once Nelva was no longer the trustee, the person who was responsible for seeing that that standard was applied was Anita?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 contemplating doing this A. Huh-uh. Q June 15th? Okay. Prior to the time that you had this meeting on June 8th with Nelva, did she understand do you believe, based on your conversation with her, that she understood what an advance was as opposed to just a gift?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. REED: Form. A. In the decedent's trust? Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Yes. Let's talk about the decedent's trust. A. No, it could not. Q. And once Nelva was no longer the trustee, the person who was responsible for seeing that that standard was applied was Anita? A. Whoever the successor trustee is; yes, that's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 contemplating doing this A. Huh-uh. Q June 15th? Okay. Prior to the time that you had this meeting on June 8th with Nelva, did she understand do you believe, based on your conversation with her, that she understood what an advance was as opposed to just a gift? A. Yes, I believe she did.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. REED: Form. A. In the decedent's trust? Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Yes. Let's talk about the decedent's trust. A. No, it could not. Q. And once Nelva was no longer the trustee, the person who was responsible for seeing that that standard was applied was Anita? A. Whoever the successor trustee is; yes, that's correct	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 contemplating doing this A. Huh-uh. Q June 15th? Okay. Prior to the time that you had this meeting on June 8th with Nelva, did she understand do you believe, based on your conversation with her, that she understood what an advance was as opposed to just a gift? A. Yes, I believe she did. Q. So did she come in to you asking for that kind
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. REED: Form. A. In the decedent's trust? Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Yes. Let's talk about the decedent's trust. A. No, it could not. Q. And once Nelva was no longer the trustee, the person who was responsible for seeing that that standard was applied was Anita? A. Whoever the successor trustee is; yes, that's correct Q. First, Anita well, I guess Anita was the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 contemplating doing this A. Huh-uh. Q June 15th? Okay. Prior to the time that you had this meeting on June 8th with Nelva, did she understand do you believe, based on your conversation with her, that she understood what an advance was as opposed to just a gift? A. Yes, I believe she did. Q. So did she come in to you asking for that kind of a mechanism to be set up?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. REED: Form. A. In the decedent's trust? Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Yes. Let's talk about the decedent's trust. A. No, it could not. Q. And once Nelva was no longer the trustee, the person who was responsible for seeing that that standard was applied was Anita? A. Whoever the successor trustee is; yes, that's correct Q. First, Anita well, I guess Anita was the only successor trustee until Nelva died. Right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 contemplating doing this A. Huh-uh. Q June 15th? Okay. Prior to the time that you had this meeting on June 8th with Nelva, did she understand do you believe, based on your conversation with her, that she understood what an advance was as opposed to just a gift? A. Yes, I believe she did. Q. So did she come in to you asking for that kind of a mechanism to be set up? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. REED: Form. A. In the decedent's trust? Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Yes. Let's talk about the decedent's trust. A. No, it could not. Q. And once Nelva was no longer the trustee, the person who was responsible for seeing that that standard was applied was Anita? A. Whoever the successor trustee is; yes, that's correct Q. First, Anita well, I guess Anita was the only successor trustee until Nelva died. Right? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. So do you know I didn't see anything in here and, again, I could have missed it. But I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 contemplating doing this A. Huh-uh. Q June 15th? Okay. Prior to the time that you had this meeting on June 8th with Nelva, did she understand do you believe, based on your conversation with her, that she understood what an advance was as opposed to just a gift? A. Yes, I believe she did. Q. So did she come in to you asking for that kind of a mechanism to be set up? A. Yes. Q. All right. So then there's a call from Nelva saying that she saw you last Tuesday this ties us back in to June 8th "and thinks that she's supposed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 MR. REED: Form. A. In the decedent's trust? Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Yes. Let's talk about the decedent's trust. A. No, it could not. Q. And once Nelva was no longer the trustee, the person who was responsible for seeing that that standard was applied was Anita? A. Whoever the successor trustee is; yes, that's correct Q. First, Anita well, I guess Anita was the only successor trustee until Nelva died. Right? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. So do you know I didn't see anything in here and, again, I could have missed it. But I didn't see anything in here that talked about when you 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 contemplating doing this A. Huh-uh. Q June 15th? Okay. Prior to the time that you had this meeting on June 8th with Nelva, did she understand do you believe, based on your conversation with her, that she understood what an advance was as opposed to just a gift? A. Yes, I believe she did. Q. So did she come in to you asking for that kind of a mechanism to be set up? A. Yes. Q. All right. So then there's a call from Nelva saying that she saw you last Tuesday this ties us back in to June 8th "and thinks that she's supposed to come in and sign some papers." Then it looks like
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 MR. REED: Form. A. In the decedent's trust? Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Yes. Let's talk about the decedent's trust. A. No, it could not. Q. And once Nelva was no longer the trustee, the person who was responsible for seeing that that standard was applied was Anita? A. Whoever the successor trustee is; yes, that's correct Q. First, Anita well, I guess Anita was the only successor trustee until Nelva died. Right? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. So do you know I didn't see anything in here and, again, I could have missed it. But I didn't see anything in here that talked about when you were first contacted about drafting the 6-15-2010 QBD, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 contemplating doing this A. Huh-uh. Q June 15th? Okay. Prior to the time that you had this meeting on June 8th with Nelva, did she understand do you believe, based on your conversation with her, that she understood what an advance was as opposed to just a gift? A. Yes, I believe she did. Q. So did she come in to you asking for that kind of a mechanism to be set up? A. Yes. Q. All right. So then there's a call from Nelva saying that she saw you last Tuesday this ties us back in to June 8th "and thinks that she's supposed to come in and sign some papers." Then it looks like Summer returned that call and said that the signing was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 MR. REED: Form. A. In the decedent's trust? Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Yes. Let's talk about the decedent's trust. A. No, it could not. Q. And once Nelva was no longer the trustee, the person who was responsible for seeing that that standard was applied was Anita? A. Whoever the successor trustee is; yes, that's correct Q. First, Anita well, I guess Anita was the only successor trustee until Nelva died. Right? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. So do you know I didn't see anything in here and, again, I could have missed it. But I didn't see anything in here that talked about when you were first contacted about drafting the 6-15-2010 QBD, which is, I believe, Exhibit 5. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 contemplating doing this A. Huh-uh. Q June 15th? Okay. Prior to the time that you had this meeting on June 8th with Nelva, did she understand do you believe, based on your conversation with her, that she understood what an advance was as opposed to just a gift? A. Yes, I believe she did. Q. So did she come in to you asking for that kind of a mechanism to be set up? A. Yes. Q. All right. So then there's a call from Nelva saying that she saw you last Tuesday this ties us back in to June 8th "and thinks that she's supposed to come in and sign some papers." Then it looks like Summer returned that call and said that the signing was to be tomorrow. Is that what TMRW is?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. REED: Form. A. In the decedent's trust? Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Yes. Let's talk about the decedent's trust. A. No, it could not. Q. And once Nelva was no longer the trustee, the person who was responsible for seeing that that standard was applied was Anita? A. Whoever the successor trustee is; yes, that's correct Q. First, Anita well, I guess Anita was the only successor trustee until Nelva died. Right? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. So do you know I didn't see anything in here and, again, I could have missed it. But I didn't see anything in here that talked about when you were first contacted about drafting the 6-15-2010 QBD, which is, I believe, Exhibit 5. So can you tell from these notes?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 contemplating doing this A. Huh-uh. Q June 15th? Okay. Prior to the time that you had this meeting on June 8th with Nelva, did she understand do you believe, based on your conversation with her, that she understood what an advance was as opposed to just a gift? A. Yes, I believe she did. Q. So did she come in to you asking for that kind of a mechanism to be set up? A. Yes. Q. All right. So then there's a call from Nelva saying that she saw you last Tuesday this ties us back in to June 8th "and thinks that she's supposed to come in and sign some papers." Then it looks like Summer returned that call and said that the signing was to be tomorrow. Is that what TMRW is? A. I guess.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 MR. REED: Form. A. In the decedent's trust? Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Yes. Let's talk about the decedent's trust. A. No, it could not. Q. And once Nelva was no longer the trustee, the person who was responsible for seeing that that standard was applied was Anita? A. Whoever the successor trustee is; yes, that's correct Q. First, Anita well, I guess Anita was the only successor trustee until Nelva died. Right? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. So do you know I didn't see anything in here and, again, I could have missed it. But I didn't see anything in here that talked about when you were first contacted about drafting the 6-15-2010 QBD, which is, I believe, Exhibit 5. So can you tell from these notes? A. No, I can't, other than I reviewed it after. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 contemplating doing this A. Huh-uh. Q June 15th? Okay. Prior to the time that you had this meeting on June 8th with Nelva, did she understand do you believe, based on your conversation with her, that she understood what an advance was as opposed to just a gift? A. Yes, I believe she did. Q. So did she come in to you asking for that kind of a mechanism to be set up? A. Yes. Q. All right. So then there's a call from Nelva saying that she saw you last Tuesday this ties us back in to June 8th "and thinks that she's supposed to come in and sign some papers." Then it looks like Summer returned that call and said that the signing was to be tomorrow. Is that what TMRW is? A. I guess. Q. Okay. So at that point on June 8th I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 MR. REED: Form. A. In the decedent's trust? Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Yes. Let's talk about the decedent's trust. A. No, it could not. Q. And once Nelva was no longer the trustee, the person who was responsible for seeing that that standard was applied was Anita? A. Whoever the successor trustee is; yes, that's correct Q. First, Anita well, I guess Anita was the only successor trustee until Nelva died. Right? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. So do you know I didn't see anything in here and, again, I could have missed it. But I didn't see anything in here that talked about when you were first contacted about drafting the 6-15-2010 QBD, which is, I believe, Exhibit 5. So can you tell from these notes? A. No, I can't, other than I reviewed it after. So I can only surmise because I don't recall that when 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 contemplating doing this A. Huh-uh. Q June 15th? Okay. Prior to the time that you had this meeting on June 8th with Nelva, did she understand do you believe, based on your conversation with her, that she understood what an advance was as opposed to just a gift? A. Yes, I believe she did. Q. So did she come in to you asking for that kind of a mechanism to be set up? A. Yes. Q. All right. So then there's a call from Nelva saying that she saw you last Tuesday this ties us back in to June 8th "and thinks that she's supposed to come in and sign some papers." Then it looks like Summer returned that call and said that the signing was to be tomorrow. Is that what TMRW is? A. I guess. Q. Okay. So at that point on June 8th I'm sorry, June 15th, when that was signed and there's no
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 MR. REED: Form. A. In the decedent's trust? Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Yes. Let's talk about the decedent's trust. A. No, it could not. Q. And once Nelva was no longer the trustee, the person who was responsible for seeing that that standard was applied was Anita? A. Whoever the successor trustee is; yes, that's correct Q. First, Anita well, I guess Anita was the only successor trustee until Nelva died. Right? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. So do you know I didn't see anything in here and, again, I could have missed it. But I didn't see anything in here that talked about when you were first contacted about drafting the 6-15-2010 QBD, which is, I believe, Exhibit 5. So can you tell from these notes? A. No, I can't, other than I reviewed it after. So I can only surmise because I don't recall that when she came in on the 8th, we discussed it. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 contemplating doing this A. Huh-uh. Q June 15th? Okay. Prior to the time that you had this meeting on June 8th with Nelva, did she understand do you believe, based on your conversation with her, that she understood what an advance was as opposed to just a gift? A. Yes, I believe she did. Q. So did she come in to you asking for that kind of a mechanism to be set up? A. Yes. Q. All right. So then there's a call from Nelva saying that she saw you last Tuesday this ties us back in to June 8th "and thinks that she's supposed to come in and sign some papers." Then it looks like Summer returned that call and said that the signing was to be tomorrow. Is that what TMRW is? A. I guess. Q. Okay. So at that point on June 8th I'm sorry, June 15th, when that was signed and there's no entry in here that she came in and signed it, but we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 MR. REED: Form. A. In the decedent's trust? Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Yes. Let's talk about the decedent's trust. A. No, it could not. Q. And once Nelva was no longer the trustee, the person who was responsible for seeing that that standard was applied was Anita? A. Whoever the successor trustee is; yes, that's correct Q. First, Anita well, I guess Anita was the only successor trustee until Nelva died. Right? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. So do you know I didn't see anything in here and, again, I could have missed it. But I didn't see anything in here that talked about when you were first contacted about drafting the 6-15-2010 QBD, which is, I believe, Exhibit 5. So can you tell from these notes? A. No, I can't, other than I reviewed it after. So I can only surmise because I don't recall that when she came in on the 8th, we discussed it. Q. Okay. On June 8th? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 contemplating doing this A. Huh-uh. Q June 15th? Okay. Prior to the time that you had this meeting on June 8th with Nelva, did she understand do you believe, based on your conversation with her, that she understood what an advance was as opposed to just a gift? A. Yes, I believe she did. Q. So did she come in to you asking for that kind of a mechanism to be set up? A. Yes. Q. All right. So then there's a call from Nelva saying that she saw you last Tuesday this ties us back in to June 8th "and thinks that she's supposed to come in and sign some papers." Then it looks like Summer returned that call and said that the signing was to be tomorrow. Is that what TMRW is? A. I guess. Q. Okay. So at that point on June 8th I'm sorry, June 15th, when that was signed and there's no entry in here that she came in and signed it, but we know that she signed it on June 15th?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 MR. REED: Form. A. In the decedent's trust? Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Yes. Let's talk about the decedent's trust. A. No, it could not. Q. And once Nelva was no longer the trustee, the person who was responsible for seeing that that standard was applied was Anita? A. Whoever the successor trustee is; yes, that's correct Q. First, Anita well, I guess Anita was the only successor trustee until Nelva died. Right? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. So do you know I didn't see anything in here and, again, I could have missed it. But I didn't see anything in here that talked about when you were first contacted about drafting the 6-15-2010 QBD, which is, I believe, Exhibit 5. So can you tell from these notes? A. No, I can't, other than I reviewed it after. So I can only surmise because I don't recall that when she came in on the 8th, we discussed it. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 contemplating doing this A. Huh-uh. Q June 15th? Okay. Prior to the time that you had this meeting on June 8th with Nelva, did she understand do you believe, based on your conversation with her, that she understood what an advance was as opposed to just a gift? A. Yes, I believe she did. Q. So did she come in to you asking for that kind of a mechanism to be set up? A. Yes. Q. All right. So then there's a call from Nelva saying that she saw you last Tuesday this ties us back in to June 8th "and thinks that she's supposed to come in and sign some papers." Then it looks like Summer returned that call and said that the signing was to be tomorrow. Is that what TMRW is? A. I guess. Q. Okay. So at that point on June 8th I'm sorry, June 15th, when that was signed and there's no entry in here that she came in and signed it, but we

54 (Pages 210 to 213)

	214	216
1	there was going to be any other QBD, right?	¹ Ms. Brunsting, that that is how it will be treated.
2	A. I don't recall.	² Q. Okay. So
3	Q. Okay.	³ A. This would have been specific to the actual
4	A. It may have been discussed; it may not have. I	4 payment and how much.
5	don't recall.	⁵ Q. Okay. And it's basically what you wanted
6	Q. Well, it wasn't discussed until Carl got sick,	⁶ how you wanted her to document it, so that it would
7	was it?	⁷ trigger the provisions of the June 15th QBD?
8	MR. REED: Object to form.	⁸ A. That's correct.
9	A. I don't recall.	⁹ Q. Okay. And that was not the practice, that you
10	Q. (By Ms. Bayless) So you think it might have	¹⁰ know of, before that, was it?
11	been before then?	¹¹ A. For Ms. Brunsting?
12	MR. REED: Objection, form.	¹² Q. Yes.
13	A. Based on the entry that's in here, I think it	¹³ A. I don't know what her practice was. I can only
14	was already being discussed.	¹⁴ recommend based on what she's given to me at that
15	Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. The entry	¹⁵ time, that this is how I recommend you do it.
16	A. Because the one that said the PATs in the trust	16 Q. Okay. Now, was it your practice at the Vacek
17	protector, and that didn't have anything to do, I guess,	¹⁷ firm to do a new fee agreement each time you did a task?
18	with Carl, per se, just amending the trust to provide	¹⁸ MR. REED: Objection, form.
19	flexibility for the beneficiaries down the road.	¹⁹ A. No.
20	Q. So it was already, in your mind, in the works?	20 Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. How did you because
21	A. Yeah, probably so.	²¹ I notice that there are some instances in which in
22 23	Q. Had you talked about it with Nelva at that	the documents that you produced where it talks about you peeded to get a fee agreement and a retainer for a
23	point? A. Probably.	 needed to get a fee agreement and a retainer for a specific task.
25	Q. All right. Now, I'm sorry to have to do this	²⁵ So how did you determine whether it
	Q. Thirlight. Now, thir sorry to have to do this	So now and you determine whether it
	215	217
1	215 to you, but the next page datewise, you have to go to	217 ¹ required a new fee agreement?
1 2		
	to you, but the next page datewise, you have to go to	 required a new fee agreement? A. It depended on the client. If they were a long-term client that I knew I didn't have to worry
2 3 4	to you, but the next page datewise, you have to go to the very back, and it's page 1197. So it picks up at the bottom with June 25th. A. Uh-huh.	 required a new fee agreement? A. It depended on the client. If they were a long-term client that I knew I didn't have to worry about chasing after payment, if they called me and asked
2 3 4 5	 to you, but the next page datewise, you have to go to the very back, and it's page 1197. So it picks up at the bottom with June 25th. A. Uh-huh. Q. And then on July 1st, at 12:07 there's an entry 	 required a new fee agreement? A. It depended on the client. If they were a long-term client that I knew I didn't have to worry about chasing after payment, if they called me and asked me to do a document, I did not do a new fee agreement.
2 3 4 5 6	 to you, but the next page datewise, you have to go to the very back, and it's page 1197. So it picks up at the bottom with June 25th. A. Uh-huh. Q. And then on July 1st, at 12:07 there's an entry from Summer that says "received Vacek & Freed copy of 	 required a new fee agreement? A. It depended on the client. If they were a long-term client that I knew I didn't have to worry about chasing after payment, if they called me and asked me to do a document, I did not do a new fee agreement. They would just come in and sign it, and we'd give them
2 3 4 5 6 7	 to you, but the next page datewise, you have to go to the very back, and it's page 1197. So it picks up at the bottom with June 25th. A. Uh-huh. Q. And then on July 1st, at 12:07 there's an entry from Summer that says "received Vacek & Freed copy of signed receipt and distribution from Candace Louise 	 required a new fee agreement? A. It depended on the client. If they were a long-term client that I knew I didn't have to worry about chasing after payment, if they called me and asked me to do a document, I did not do a new fee agreement. They would just come in and sign it, and we'd give them an invoice at that time.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	to you, but the next page datewise, you have to go to the very back, and it's page 1197. So it picks up at the bottom with June 25th. A. Uh-huh. Q. And then on July 1st, at 12:07 there's an entry from Summer that says "received Vacek & Freed copy of signed receipt and distribution from Candace Louise Curtis. Filed in file."	 required a new fee agreement? A. It depended on the client. If they were a long-term client that I knew I didn't have to worry about chasing after payment, if they called me and asked me to do a document, I did not do a new fee agreement. They would just come in and sign it, and we'd give them an invoice at that time. If we were being engaged by a separate
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	to you, but the next page datewise, you have to go to the very back, and it's page 1197. So it picks up at the bottom with June 25th. A. Uh-huh. Q. And then on July 1st, at 12:07 there's an entry from Summer that says "received Vacek & Freed copy of signed receipt and distribution from Candace Louise Curtis. Filed in file." So this is going to be documentation	 required a new fee agreement? A. It depended on the client. If they were a long-term client that I knew I didn't have to worry about chasing after payment, if they called me and asked me to do a document, I did not do a new fee agreement. They would just come in and sign it, and we'd give them an invoice at that time. If we were being engaged by a separate trustee for a task, then we did a new engagement.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	to you, but the next page datewise, you have to go to the very back, and it's page 1197. So it picks up at the bottom with June 25th. A. Uh-huh. Q. And then on July 1st, at 12:07 there's an entry from Summer that says "received Vacek & Freed copy of signed receipt and distribution from Candace Louise Curtis. Filed in file." So this is going to be documentation pursuant to the June 15th QBD?	 required a new fee agreement? A. It depended on the client. If they were a long-term client that I knew I didn't have to worry about chasing after payment, if they called me and asked me to do a document, I did not do a new fee agreement. They would just come in and sign it, and we'd give them an invoice at that time. If we were being engaged by a separate trustee for a task, then we did a new engagement. Q. So is it your recollection that you did not do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	to you, but the next page datewise, you have to go to the very back, and it's page 1197. So it picks up at the bottom with June 25th. A. Uh-huh. Q. And then on July 1st, at 12:07 there's an entry from Summer that says "received Vacek & Freed copy of signed receipt and distribution from Candace Louise Curtis. Filed in file." So this is going to be documentation pursuant to the June 15th QBD? A. No.	1required a new fee agreement?2A. It depended on the client. If they were a3long-term client that I knew I didn't have to worry4about chasing after payment, if they called me and asked5me to do a document, I did not do a new fee agreement.6They would just come in and sign it, and we'd give them7an invoice at that time.8If we were being engaged by a separate9trustee for a task, then we did a new engagement.10Q. So is it your recollection that you did not do11a bunch of new fee agreements for Nelva for these tasks
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	to you, but the next page datewise, you have to go to the very back, and it's page 1197. So it picks up at the bottom with June 25th. A. Uh-huh. Q. And then on July 1st, at 12:07 there's an entry from Summer that says "received Vacek & Freed copy of signed receipt and distribution from Candace Louise Curtis. Filed in file." So this is going to be documentation pursuant to the June 15th QBD? A. No. Q. Okay. What is this?	1required a new fee agreement?2A. It depended on the client. If they were a3long-term client that I knew I didn't have to worry4about chasing after payment, if they called me and asked5me to do a document, I did not do a new fee agreement.6They would just come in and sign it, and we'd give them7an invoice at that time.8If we were being engaged by a separate9trustee for a task, then we did a new engagement.10Q. So is it your recollection that you did not do11a bunch of new fee agreements for Nelva for these tasks12that you were performing?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	to you, but the next page datewise, you have to go to the very back, and it's page 1197. So it picks up at the bottom with June 25th. A. Uh-huh. Q. And then on July 1st, at 12:07 there's an entry from Summer that says "received Vacek & Freed copy of signed receipt and distribution from Candace Louise Curtis. Filed in file." So this is going to be documentation pursuant to the June 15th QBD? A. No. Q. Okay. What is this? A. I believe that would have been if Ms. Brunsting	1required a new fee agreement?2A. It depended on the client. If they were a3long-term client that I knew I didn't have to worry4about chasing after payment, if they called me and asked5me to do a document, I did not do a new fee agreement.6They would just come in and sign it, and we'd give them7an invoice at that time.8If we were being engaged by a separate9trustee for a task, then we did a new engagement.10Q. So is it your recollection that you did not do11a bunch of new fee agreements for Nelva for these tasks12A. It would not have been my normal practice to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 to you, but the next page datewise, you have to go to the very back, and it's page 1197. So it picks up at the bottom with June 25th. A. Uh-huh. Q. And then on July 1st, at 12:07 there's an entry from Summer that says "received Vacek & Freed copy of signed receipt and distribution from Candace Louise Curtis. Filed in file." So this is going to be documentation pursuant to the June 15th QBD? A. No. Q. Okay. What is this? A. I believe that would have been if Ms. Brunsting made a \$20,000 or whatever it was payment, that my 	1required a new fee agreement?2A. It depended on the client. If they were a3long-term client that I knew I didn't have to worry4about chasing after payment, if they called me and asked5me to do a document, I did not do a new fee agreement.6They would just come in and sign it, and we'd give them7an invoice at that time.8If we were being engaged by a separate9trustee for a task, then we did a new engagement.10Q. So is it your recollection that you did not do11a bunch of new fee agreements for Nelva for these tasks12that you were performing?13A. It would not have been my normal practice to14have done that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	to you, but the next page datewise, you have to go to the very back, and it's page 1197. So it picks up at the bottom with June 25th. A. Uh-huh. Q. And then on July 1st, at 12:07 there's an entry from Summer that says "received Vacek & Freed copy of signed receipt and distribution from Candace Louise Curtis. Filed in file." So this is going to be documentation pursuant to the June 15th QBD? A. No. Q. Okay. What is this? A. I believe that would have been if Ms. Brunsting made a \$20,000 or whatever it was payment, that my recommendation always to clients is, if you're going to	1required a new fee agreement?2A. It depended on the client. If they were a3long-term client that I knew I didn't have to worry4about chasing after payment, if they called me and asked5me to do a document, I did not do a new fee agreement.6They would just come in and sign it, and we'd give them7an invoice at that time.8If we were being engaged by a separate9trustee for a task, then we did a new engagement.10Q. So is it your recollection that you did not do11a bunch of new fee agreements for Nelva for these tasks12that you were performing?13A. It would not have been my normal practice to14have done that.15Q. So you didn't do one for like when you started
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	to you, but the next page datewise, you have to go to the very back, and it's page 1197. So it picks up at the bottom with June 25th. A. Uh-huh. Q. And then on July 1st, at 12:07 there's an entry from Summer that says "received Vacek & Freed copy of signed receipt and distribution from Candace Louise Curtis. Filed in file." So this is going to be documentation pursuant to the June 15th QBD? A. No. Q. Okay. What is this? A. I believe that would have been if Ms. Brunsting made a \$20,000 or whatever it was payment, that my recommendation always to clients is, if you're going to be advancing a distribution as opposed to making a gift,	1 required a new fee agreement? 2 A. It depended on the client. If they were a 3 long-term client that I knew I didn't have to worry 4 about chasing after payment, if they called me and asked 5 me to do a document, I did not do a new fee agreement. 6 They would just come in and sign it, and we'd give them 7 an invoice at that time. 8 If we were being engaged by a separate 9 trustee for a task, then we did a new engagement. 10 Q. So is it your recollection that you did not do 11 a bunch of new fee agreements for Nelva for these tasks 12 that you were performing? 13 A. It would not have been my normal practice to 14 have done that. 15 Q. So you didn't do one for like when you started 16 helping her with the funding of the trust?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	to you, but the next page datewise, you have to go to the very back, and it's page 1197. So it picks up at the bottom with June 25th. A. Uh-huh. Q. And then on July 1st, at 12:07 there's an entry from Summer that says "received Vacek & Freed copy of signed receipt and distribution from Candace Louise Curtis. Filed in file." So this is going to be documentation pursuant to the June 15th QBD? A. No. Q. Okay. What is this? A. I believe that would have been if Ms. Brunsting made a \$20,000 or whatever it was payment, that my recommendation always to clients is, if you're going to be advancing a distribution as opposed to making a gift, you have the kids sign off on it, agreeing that they	1required a new fee agreement?2A. It depended on the client. If they were a3long-term client that I knew I didn't have to worry4about chasing after payment, if they called me and asked5me to do a document, I did not do a new fee agreement.6They would just come in and sign it, and we'd give them7an invoice at that time.8If we were being engaged by a separate9trustee for a task, then we did a new engagement.10Q. So is it your recollection that you did not do11a bunch of new fee agreements for Nelva for these tasks12that you were performing?13A. It would not have been my normal practice to14have done that.15Q. So you didn't do one for like when you started16helping her with the funding of the trust?17A. We did one at administration, at the very
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	to you, but the next page datewise, you have to go to the very back, and it's page 1197. So it picks up at the bottom with June 25th. A. Uh-huh. Q. And then on July 1st, at 12:07 there's an entry from Summer that says "received Vacek & Freed copy of signed receipt and distribution from Candace Louise Curtis. Filed in file." So this is going to be documentation pursuant to the June 15th QBD? A. No. Q. Okay. What is this? A. I believe that would have been if Ms. Brunsting made a \$20,000 or whatever it was payment, that my recommendation always to clients is, if you're going to be advancing a distribution as opposed to making a gift, you have the kids sign off on it, agreeing that they acknowledge that it's an advance of their share and not	1required a new fee agreement?2A. It depended on the client. If they were a3long-term client that I knew I didn't have to worry4about chasing after payment, if they called me and asked5me to do a document, I did not do a new fee agreement.6They would just come in and sign it, and we'd give them7an invoice at that time.8If we were being engaged by a separate9trustee for a task, then we did a new engagement.10Q. So is it your recollection that you did not do11a bunch of new fee agreements for Nelva for these tasks12that you were performing?13A. It would not have been my normal practice to14have done that.15Q. So you didn't do one for like when you started16helping her with the funding of the trust?17A. We did one at administration, at the very18beginning; and that was the agreement based on the fact
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	to you, but the next page datewise, you have to go to the very back, and it's page 1197. So it picks up at the bottom with June 25th. A. Uh-huh. Q. And then on July 1st, at 12:07 there's an entry from Summer that says "received Vacek & Freed copy of signed receipt and distribution from Candace Louise Curtis. Filed in file." So this is going to be documentation pursuant to the June 15th QBD? A. No. Q. Okay. What is this? A. I believe that would have been if Ms. Brunsting made a \$20,000 or whatever it was payment, that my recommendation always to clients is, if you're going to be advancing a distribution as opposed to making a gift, you have the kids sign off on it, agreeing that they	1required a new fee agreement?2A. It depended on the client. If they were a3long-term client that I knew I didn't have to worry4about chasing after payment, if they called me and asked5me to do a document, I did not do a new fee agreement.6They would just come in and sign it, and we'd give them7an invoice at that time.8If we were being engaged by a separate9trustee for a task, then we did a new engagement.10Q. So is it your recollection that you did not do11a bunch of new fee agreements for Nelva for these tasks12that you were performing?13A. It would not have been my normal practice to14helping her with the funding of the trust?15Q. So you didn't do one for like when you started16helping is and that was the agreement based on the fact19that somebody had died, and we were going to assist
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	to you, but the next page datewise, you have to go to the very back, and it's page 1197. So it picks up at the bottom with June 25th. A. Uh-huh. Q. And then on July 1st, at 12:07 there's an entry from Summer that says "received Vacek & Freed copy of signed receipt and distribution from Candace Louise Curtis. Filed in file." So this is going to be documentation pursuant to the June 15th QBD? A. No. Q. Okay. What is this? A. I believe that would have been if Ms. Brunsting made a \$20,000 or whatever it was payment, that my recommendation always to clients is, if you're going to be advancing a distribution as opposed to making a gift, you have the kids sign off on it, agreeing that they acknowledge that it's an advance of their share and not just a gift.	1required a new fee agreement?2A. It depended on the client. If they were a3long-term client that I knew I didn't have to worry4about chasing after payment, if they called me and asked5me to do a document, I did not do a new fee agreement.6They would just come in and sign it, and we'd give them7an invoice at that time.8If we were being engaged by a separate9trustee for a task, then we did a new engagement.10Q. So is it your recollection that you did not do11a bunch of new fee agreements for Nelva for these tasks12that you were performing?13A. It would not have been my normal practice to14have done that.15Q. So you didn't do one for like when you started16helping her with the funding of the trust?17A. We did one at administration, at the very18beginning; and that was the agreement based on the fact19that somebody had died, and we were going to assist
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 to you, but the next page datewise, you have to go to the very back, and it's page 1197. So it picks up at the bottom with June 25th. A. Uh-huh. Q. And then on July 1st, at 12:07 there's an entry from Summer that says "received Vacek & Freed copy of signed receipt and distribution from Candace Louise Curtis. Filed in file." So this is going to be documentation pursuant to the June 15th QBD? A. No. Q. Okay. What is this? A. I believe that would have been if Ms. Brunsting made a \$20,000 or whatever it was payment, that my recommendation always to clients is, if you're going to be advancing a distribution as opposed to making a gift, you have the kids sign off on it, agreeing that they acknowledge that it's an advance of their share and not just a gift. Q. Okay. 	1required a new fee agreement?2A. It depended on the client. If they were a3long-term client that I knew I didn't have to worry4about chasing after payment, if they called me and asked5me to do a document, I did not do a new fee agreement.6They would just come in and sign it, and we'd give them7an invoice at that time.8If we were being engaged by a separate9trustee for a task, then we did a new engagement.10Q. So is it your recollection that you did not do11a bunch of new fee agreements for Nelva for these tasks12that you were performing?13A. It would not have been my normal practice to14have done that.15Q. So you didn't do one for like when you started16helping her with the funding of the trust?17A. We did one at administration, at the very18beginning; and that was the agreement based on the fact19that somebody had died, and we were going to assist20funding the subtrusts. A new agreement is always done
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 to you, but the next page datewise, you have to go to the very back, and it's page 1197. So it picks up at the bottom with June 25th. A. Uh-huh. Q. And then on July 1st, at 12:07 there's an entry from Summer that says "received Vacek & Freed copy of signed receipt and distribution from Candace Louise Curtis. Filed in file." So this is going to be documentation pursuant to the June 15th QBD? A. No. Q. Okay. What is this? A. I believe that would have been if Ms. Brunsting made a \$20,000 or whatever it was payment, that my recommendation always to clients is, if you're going to be advancing a distribution as opposed to making a gift, you have the kids sign off on it, agreeing that they acknowledge that it's an advance of their share and not just a gift. Q. Okay. A. So that everybody knows what's going on. 	1required a new fee agreement?2A. It depended on the client. If they were a3long-term client that I knew I didn't have to worry4about chasing after payment, if they called me and asked5me to do a document, I did not do a new fee agreement.6They would just come in and sign it, and we'd give them7an invoice at that time.8If we were being engaged by a separate9trustee for a task, then we did a new engagement.10Q. So is it your recollection that you did not do11a bunch of new fee agreements for Nelva for these tasks12that you were performing?13A. It would not have been my normal practice to14have done that.15Q. So you didn't do one for like when you started16helping her with the funding of the trust?17A. We did one at administration, at the very18beginning; and that was the agreement based on the fact19that somebody had died, and we were going to assist20funding the subtrusts. A new agreement is always done21at that time.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 to you, but the next page datewise, you have to go to the very back, and it's page 1197. So it picks up at the bottom with June 25th. A. Uh-huh. Q. And then on July 1st, at 12:07 there's an entry from Summer that says "received Vacek & Freed copy of signed receipt and distribution from Candace Louise Curtis. Filed in file." So this is going to be documentation pursuant to the June 15th QBD? A. No. Q. Okay. What is this? A. I believe that would have been if Ms. Brunsting made a \$20,000 or whatever it was payment, that my recommendation always to clients is, if you're going to be advancing a distribution as opposed to making a gift, you have the kids sign off on it, agreeing that they acknowledge that it's an advance of their share and not just a gift. Q. Okay. But isn't that what the June 15th QBD 	1 required a new fee agreement? 2 A. It depended on the client. If they were a 3 long-term client that I knew I didn't have to worry 4 about chasing after payment, if they called me and asked 5 me to do a document, I did not do a new fee agreement. 6 They would just come in and sign it, and we'd give them 7 an invoice at that time. 8 If we were being engaged by a separate 9 trustee for a task, then we did a new engagement. 10 Q. So is it your recollection that you did not do 11 a bunch of new fee agreements for Nelva for these tasks 12 that you were performing? 13 A. It would not have been my normal practice to 14 have done that. 15 Q. So you didn't do one for like when you started 16 helping her with the funding of the trust? 17 A. We did one at administration, at the very 18 beginning; and that was the agreement based on the fact 19 that somebody had died, and we were going to assist 20 Q. Okay.

55 (Pages 214 to 217)

	218	220
1	you just did it; you didn't do another	¹ question. That's why I was asking the question.
2	A. That's correct. The fee agreement we had in	² Q. (By Ms. Bayless) I'm not trying to trick you.
3	place was still effective.	³ It's easier to just show you the agreements.
4	Q. And when you did one of these QBDs, did you do	4 A. That's fine. I would prefer that.
5	a new fee agreement for those?	⁵ Q. Okay. Now, is it your testimony that I just
6	A. No.	⁶ want to be sure I heard you right about this that
7	Q. Not with Nelva, anyway, you're saying?	7 prior to this we're talking now about, say, the
8	A. No.	⁸ June 15th QBD time or early July.
9	Q. Okay. Then when you began representing Anita	⁹ But before Carl was sick, before he
10	as the successor trustee, you did a new fee agreement	¹⁰ contracted his encephalitis, you didn't have
11	with her, right?	¹¹ communications with Anita on any kind of a regular basis
12	A. Yes. I believe that's correct.	¹² about the trusts?
13	Q. And at that point was it contemplated that	¹³ A. Not that I'm aware of, because most of my
14	there would be a co-trustee arrangement at any point?	¹⁴ conversations are documented.
15	A. Yes.	¹⁵ Q. So the only thing you think that you had talked
16	Q. What was contemplated about that?	¹⁶ with her about by that time was the life insurance
17	A. The trust said that Anita and Amy were	17 trust?
18	co-trustees if Nelva resigned at that time.	¹⁸ A. The one in which she was the trustee, yes.
19	Q. All right. So initially Anita was the sole	¹⁹ Q. Did you have a separate fee agreement with her
20	successor trustee?	²⁰ for that?
21	A. No.	²¹ A. I just needed permission from Ms. Brunsting to
22	Q. Okay. She was a co-trustee?	²² have conversation with her, that's all. So I didn't
23	A. She was always a co-trustee.	²³ have a fee agreement with her.
24	Q. Okay. So Nelva had been the sole trustee,	²⁴ Q. So you didn't bill that trust?
25	right	²⁵ A. Probably not.
	219	221
1	219 A. Yes.	221 ¹ Q. And I guess Nelva gave you permission to talk
1 2		
	A. Yes.	¹ Q. And I guess Nelva gave you permission to talk
2	A. Yes.Q until she resigned?	1 Q. And I guess Nelva gave you permission to talk 2 with her?
2 3	A. Yes.Q until she resigned?A. Correct. That's my recollection.	 Q. And I guess Nelva gave you permission to talk with her? A. Yes.
2 3 4	 A. Yes. Q until she resigned? A. Correct. That's my recollection. Q. And so you had a fee agreement with Amy as 	 Q. And I guess Nelva gave you permission to talk with her? A. Yes. Q. Did you have to ask that permission every time
2 3 4 5	 A. Yes. Q until she resigned? A. Correct. That's my recollection. Q. And so you had a fee agreement with Amy as well, right? MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. A. It would have been as co-trustees. 	 Q. And I guess Nelva gave you permission to talk with her? A. Yes. Q. Did you have to ask that permission every time you talked with her, or you
2 3 4 5 6	 A. Yes. Q until she resigned? A. Correct. That's my recollection. Q. And so you had a fee agreement with Amy as well, right? MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. 	 Q. And I guess Nelva gave you permission to talk with her? A. Yes. Q. Did you have to ask that permission every time you talked with her, or you A. No. She had a power of attorney that allowed
2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. Yes. Q until she resigned? A. Correct. That's my recollection. Q. And so you had a fee agreement with Amy as well, right? MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. A. It would have been as co-trustees. 	 Q. And I guess Nelva gave you permission to talk with her? A. Yes. Q. Did you have to ask that permission every time you talked with her, or you A. No. She had a power of attorney that allowed me to talk. But just as a matter of practice, we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. Yes. Q until she resigned? A. Correct. That's my recollection. Q. And so you had a fee agreement with Amy as well, right? MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. A. It would have been as co-trustees. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) So you had one fee agreement 	1 Q. And I guess Nelva gave you permission to talk 2 with her? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. Did you have to ask that permission every time 5 you talked with her, or you 6 A. No. She had a power of attorney that allowed 7 me to talk. But just as a matter of practice, we 8 would like talking to the broker or whatever, unless
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Yes. Q until she resigned? A. Correct. That's my recollection. Q. And so you had a fee agreement with Amy as well, right? MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. A. It would have been as co-trustees. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) So you had one fee agreement with Anita and Amy? 	1 Q. And I guess Nelva gave you permission to talk 2 with her? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. Did you have to ask that permission every time 5 you talked with her, or you 6 A. No. She had a power of attorney that allowed 7 me to talk. But just as a matter of practice, we 8 would like talking to the broker or whatever, unless 9 I had it written down in my file that I had permission
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. Yes. Q until she resigned? A. Correct. That's my recollection. Q. And so you had a fee agreement with Amy as well, right? MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. A. It would have been as co-trustees. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) So you had one fee agreement with Anita and Amy? MR. REED: Form. 	1 Q. And I guess Nelva gave you permission to talk 2 with her? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. Did you have to ask that permission every time 5 you talked with her, or you 6 A. No. She had a power of attorney that allowed 7 me to talk. But just as a matter of practice, we 8 would like talking to the broker or whatever, unless 9 I had it written down in my file that I had permission 10 to talk to the CPA or from the client, we just made it a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. Yes. Q until she resigned? A. Correct. That's my recollection. Q. And so you had a fee agreement with Amy as well, right? MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. A. It would have been as co-trustees. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) So you had one fee agreement with Anita and Amy? MR. REED: Form. MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. 	1 Q. And I guess Nelva gave you permission to talk 2 with her? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. Did you have to ask that permission every time 5 you talked with her, or you 6 A. No. She had a power of attorney that allowed 7 me to talk. But just as a matter of practice, we 8 would like talking to the broker or whatever, unless 9 I had it written down in my file that I had permission 10 to talk to the CPA or from the client, we just made it a 11 practice to call the client and ask.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. Yes. Q until she resigned? A. Correct. That's my recollection. Q. And so you had a fee agreement with Amy as well, right? MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. A. It would have been as co-trustees. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) So you had one fee agreement with Anita and Amy? MR. REED: Form. MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. A. That should have been the yes. 	1 Q. And I guess Nelva gave you permission to talk 2 with her? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. Did you have to ask that permission every time 5 you talked with her, or you 6 A. No. She had a power of attorney that allowed 7 me to talk. But just as a matter of practice, we 8 would like talking to the broker or whatever, unless 9 I had it written down in my file that I had permission 10 to talk to the CPA or from the client, we just made it a 11 practice to call the client and ask. 12 Q. And this power of attorney that Anita had had,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. Yes. Q until she resigned? A. Correct. That's my recollection. Q. And so you had a fee agreement with Amy as well, right? MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. A. It would have been as co-trustees. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) So you had one fee agreement with Anita and Amy? MR. REED: Form. MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. A. That should have been the yes. MR. SPIELMAN: When are you asking? I 	1 Q. And I guess Nelva gave you permission to talk 2 with her? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. Did you have to ask that permission every time 5 you talked with her, or you 6 A. No. She had a power of attorney that allowed 7 me to talk. But just as a matter of practice, we 8 would like talking to the broker or whatever, unless 9 I had it written down in my file that I had permission 10 to talk to the CPA or from the client, we just made it a 11 practice to call the client and ask. 12 Q. And this power of attorney that Anita had had, 13 had she ever used that for any purpose that you know of?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. Yes. Q until she resigned? A. Correct. That's my recollection. Q. And so you had a fee agreement with Amy as well, right? MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. A. It would have been as co-trustees. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) So you had one fee agreement with Anita and Amy? MR. REED: Form. MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. A. That should have been the yes. MR. SPIELMAN: When are you asking? I mean, in the production there is a fee agreement between 	1 Q. And I guess Nelva gave you permission to talk 2 with her? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. Did you have to ask that permission every time 5 you talked with her, or you 6 A. No. She had a power of attorney that allowed 7 me to talk. But just as a matter of practice, we 8 would like talking to the broker or whatever, unless 9 I had it written down in my file that I had permission 10 to talk to the CPA or from the client, we just made it a 11 practice to call the client and ask. 12 Q. And this power of attorney that Anita had had, 13 had she ever used that for any purpose that you know of? 14 A. I don't recall.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. Yes. Q until she resigned? A. Correct. That's my recollection. Q. And so you had a fee agreement with Amy as well, right? MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. A. It would have been as co-trustees. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) So you had one fee agreement with Anita and Amy? MR. REED: Form. MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. A. That should have been the yes. MR. SPIELMAN: When are you asking? I mean, in the production there is a fee agreement between the law firm and Anita for a period of time. 	1 Q. And I guess Nelva gave you permission to talk 2 with her? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. Did you have to ask that permission every time 5 you talked with her, or you 6 A. No. She had a power of attorney that allowed 7 me to talk. But just as a matter of practice, we 8 would like talking to the broker or whatever, unless 9 I had it written down in my file that I had permission 10 to talk to the CPA or from the client, we just made it a 11 practice to call the client and ask. 12 Q. And this power of attorney that Anita had had, 13 had she ever used that for any purpose that you know of? 14 A. I don't recall. 15 Q. She hadn't talked with you about using it?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. Yes. Q until she resigned? A. Correct. That's my recollection. Q. And so you had a fee agreement with Amy as well, right? MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. A. It would have been as co-trustees. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) So you had one fee agreement with Anita and Amy? MR. REED: Form. MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. A. That should have been the yes. MR. SPIELMAN: When are you asking? I mean, in the production there is a fee agreement between the law firm and Anita for a period of time. MR. MENDEL: Right. 	1 Q. And I guess Nelva gave you permission to talk 2 with her? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. Did you have to ask that permission every time 5 you talked with her, or you 6 A. No. She had a power of attorney that allowed 7 me to talk. But just as a matter of practice, we 8 would like talking to the broker or whatever, unless 9 I had it written down in my file that I had permission 10 to talk to the CPA or from the client, we just made it a 11 practice to call the client and ask. 12 Q. And this power of attorney that Anita had had, 13 had she ever used that for any purpose that you know of? 14 A. I don't recall. 15 Q. She hadn't talked with you about using it? 16 A. Not to my knowledge.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. Yes. Q until she resigned? A. Correct. That's my recollection. Q. And so you had a fee agreement with Amy as well, right? MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. A. It would have been as co-trustees. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) So you had one fee agreement with Anita and Amy? MR. REED: Form. MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. A. That should have been the yes. MR. SPIELMAN: When are you asking? I mean, in the production there is a fee agreement between the law firm and Anita for a period of time. MR. MENDEL: Right. MR. SPIELMAN: And then after Nelva's 	1 Q. And I guess Nelva gave you permission to talk 2 with her? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. Did you have to ask that permission every time 5 you talked with her, or you 6 A. No. She had a power of attorney that allowed 7 me to talk. But just as a matter of practice, we 8 would like talking to the broker or whatever, unless 9 I had it written down in my file that I had permission 10 to talk to the CPA or from the client, we just made it a 11 practice to call the client and ask. 12 Q. And this power of attorney that Anita had had, 13 had she ever used that for any purpose that you know of? 14 A. I don't recall. 15 Q. She hadn't talked with you about using it? 16 A. Not to my knowledge. 17 Q. Okay. All right. So look on July 20th.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Yes. Q until she resigned? A. Correct. That's my recollection. Q. And so you had a fee agreement with Amy as well, right? MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. A. It would have been as co-trustees. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) So you had one fee agreement with Anita and Amy? MR. REED: Form. MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. A. That should have been the yes. MR. SPIELMAN: When are you asking? I mean, in the production there is a fee agreement between the law firm and Anita for a period of time. MR. MENDEL: Right. MR. SPIELMAN: And then after Nelva's death there is a fee agreement between the firm and Amy 	1 Q. And I guess Nelva gave you permission to talk 2 with her? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. Did you have to ask that permission every time 5 you talked with her, or you 6 A. No. She had a power of attorney that allowed 7 me to talk. But just as a matter of practice, we 8 would like talking to the broker or whatever, unless 9 I had it written down in my file that I had permission 10 to talk to the CPA or from the client, we just made it a 11 practice to call the client and ask. 12 Q. And this power of attorney that Anita had had, 13 had she ever used that for any purpose that you know of? 14 A. I don't recall. 15 Q. She hadn't talked with you about using it? 16 A. Not to my knowledge. 17 Q. Okay. All right. So look on July 20th. 18 A. What page?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. Yes. Q until she resigned? A. Correct. That's my recollection. Q. And so you had a fee agreement with Amy as well, right? MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. A. It would have been as co-trustees. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) So you had one fee agreement with Anita and Amy? MR. REED: Form. MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. A. That should have been the yes. MR. SPIELMAN: When are you asking? I mean, in the production there is a fee agreement between the law firm and Anita for a period of time. MR. MENDEL: Right. MR. SPIELMAN: And then after Nelva's death there is a fee agreement between the firm and Amy and Anita as co-trustees. 	1 Q. And I guess Nelva gave you permission to talk 2 with her? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. Did you have to ask that permission every time 5 you talked with her, or you 6 A. No. She had a power of attorney that allowed 7 me to talk. But just as a matter of practice, we 8 would like talking to the broker or whatever, unless 9 I had it written down in my file that I had permission 10 to talk to the CPA or from the client, we just made it a 11 practice to call the client and ask. 12 Q. And this power of attorney that Anita had had, 13 had she ever used that for any purpose that you know of? 14 A. I don't recall. 15 Q. She hadn't talked with you about using it? 16 A. Not to my knowledge. 17 Q. Okay. All right. So look on July 20th. 18 A. What page? 19 Q. I'm sorry. 1197. We're now working from the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. Yes. Q until she resigned? A. Correct. That's my recollection. Q. And so you had a fee agreement with Amy as well, right? MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. A. It would have been as co-trustees. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) So you had one fee agreement with Anita and Amy? MR. REED: Form. MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. A. That should have been the yes. MR. SPIELMAN: When are you asking? I mean, in the production there is a fee agreement between the law firm and Anita for a period of time. MR. MENDEL: Right. MR. SPIELMAN: And then after Nelva's death there is a fee agreement between the firm and Amy and Anita as co-trustees. 	1 Q. And I guess Nelva gave you permission to talk 2 with her? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. Did you have to ask that permission every time 5 you talked with her, or you 6 A. No. She had a power of attorney that allowed 7 me to talk. But just as a matter of practice, we 8 would like talking to the broker or whatever, unless 9 I had it written down in my file that I had permission 10 to talk to the CPA or from the client, we just made it a 11 practice to call the client and ask. 12 Q. And this power of attorney that Anita had had, 13 had she ever used that for any purpose that you know of? 14 A. I don't recall. 15 Q. She hadn't talked with you about using it? 16 A. Not to my knowledge. 17 Q. Okay. All right. So look on July 20th. 18 A. What page? 19 Q. I'm sorry. 1197. We're now working from the 20 back forward.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. Yes. Q until she resigned? A. Correct. That's my recollection. Q. And so you had a fee agreement with Amy as well, right? MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. A. It would have been as co-trustees. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) So you had one fee agreement with Anita and Amy? MR. REED: Form. MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. A. That should have been the yes. MR. SPIELMAN: When are you asking? I mean, in the production there is a fee agreement between the law firm and Anita for a period of time. MR. MENDEL: Right. MR. SPIELMAN: And then after Nelva's death there is a fee agreement between the firm and Amy and Anita as co-trustees. MR. MENDEL: Right. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) We'll just go over the fee 	1 Q. And I guess Nelva gave you permission to talk 2 with her? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. Did you have to ask that permission every time 5 you talked with her, or you 6 A. No. She had a power of attorney that allowed 7 me to talk. But just as a matter of practice, we 8 would like talking to the broker or whatever, unless 9 I had it written down in my file that I had permission 10 to talk to the CPA or from the client, we just made it a 11 practice to call the client and ask. 12 Q. And this power of attorney that Anita had had, 13 had she ever used that for any purpose that you know of? 14 A. I don't recall. 15 Q. She hadn't talked with you about using it? 16 A. Not to my knowledge. 17 Q. Okay. All right. So look on July 20th. 18 A. What page? 19 Q. I'm sorry. 1197. We're now working from the 20 back forward. 21 A. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Yes. Q until she resigned? A. Correct. That's my recollection. Q. And so you had a fee agreement with Amy as well, right? MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. A. It would have been as co-trustees. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) So you had one fee agreement with Anita and Amy? MR. REED: Form. MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. A. That should have been the yes. MR. SPIELMAN: When are you asking? I mean, in the production there is a fee agreement between the law firm and Anita for a period of time. MR. MENDEL: Right. MR. SPIELMAN: And then after Nelva's death there is a fee agreement between the firm and Amy and Anita as co-trustees. MR. MENDEL: Right. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) We'll just go over the fee agreements at some point. 	1 Q. And I guess Nelva gave you permission to talk 2 with her? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. Did you have to ask that permission every time 5 you talked with her, or you 6 A. No. She had a power of attorney that allowed 7 me to talk. But just as a matter of practice, we 8 would like talking to the broker or whatever, unless 9 I had it written down in my file that I had permission 10 to talk to the CPA or from the client, we just made it a 11 practice to call the client and ask. 12 Q. And this power of attorney that Anita had had, 13 had she ever used that for any purpose that you know of? 14 A. I don't recall. 15 Q. She hadn't talked with you about using it? 16 A. Not to my knowledge. 17 Q. Okay. All right. So look on July 20th. 18 A. What page? 19 Q. I'm sorry. 1197. We're now working from the 20 back forward. 21 A. Okay. 22 Q. So on July 20th at 11:58, it says that Anita
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Yes. Q until she resigned? A. Correct. That's my recollection. Q. And so you had a fee agreement with Amy as well, right? MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. A. It would have been as co-trustees. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) So you had one fee agreement with Anita and Amy? MR. REED: Form. MR. SPIELMAN: Objection, form. A. That should have been the yes. MR. SPIELMAN: When are you asking? I mean, in the production there is a fee agreement between the law firm and Anita for a period of time. MR. MENDEL: Right. MR. SPIELMAN: And then after Nelva's death there is a fee agreement between the firm and Amy and Anita as co-trustees. MR. MENDEL: Right. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) We'll just go over the fee agreements at some point. A. Okay. 	1 Q. And I guess Nelva gave you permission to talk 2 with her? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. Did you have to ask that permission every time 5 you talked with her, or you 6 A. No. She had a power of attorney that allowed 7 me to talk. But just as a matter of practice, we 8 would like talking to the broker or whatever, unless 9 I had it written down in my file that I had permission 10 to talk to the CPA or from the client, we just made it a 11 practice to call the client and ask. 12 Q. And this power of attorney that Anita had had, 13 had she ever used that for any purpose that you know of? 14 A. I don't recall. 15 Q. She hadn't talked with you about using it? 16 A. Not to my knowledge. 17 Q. Okay. All right. So look on July 20th. 18 A. What page? 19 Q. I'm sorry. 1197. We're now working from the 10 back forward. 21 A. Okay. 22 Q. So on July 20th at 11:58, it says that Anita 23 called for you on behalf of her mother, Ne

56 (Pages 218 to 221)

	222		224
1	calling her back, right?	1 Q. Ar	d then the other issues are that he was the
2	A. That does appear, yes.	² first agen	t under the power of attorney and that he's on
3	Q. So at this point we have reached the stage	³ the medic	al power of attorney for Nelva and that he's a
4	where Carl is ill. You didn't know that until you had	4 co-trustee	with Anita.
5	this conversation with Anita. Is that right?	5	So just from hearing that information, did
6	MR. REED: Object to form.	6 you respo	nd, that you recall, to Anita?
7	A. I don't recall.	7	MR. REED: Objection, form.
8	Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. All right. So,	⁸ A. It	says what my response was right here.
9	anyway, it says you returned the call to Nelva's	9 Q. (B	y Ms. Bayless) Okay. Well, let's look at
10	daughter Anita and asked how she was doing.	¹⁰ it. So ski	p well, first there's an interim paragraph
11	"She" means Nelva?	11 that says	I assume SIL is sister-in-law, "comments
12	A. Of course, yes.	¹² from"	
13	Q. And she, apparently Anita, reported that "she	¹³ A. Pr	obably.
14	is feeling okay. She has cancer on the liver, but it's	¹⁴ Q. Be	cause it says Carl's wife in parentheses.
15	the lungs that she has issues with that keep her	¹⁵ A. Uh	n-huh.
16	treatment of the liver cancer from being able to handle	¹⁶ Q 1	to Nelva was that she wished she would go on
17	the treatments."	¹⁷ and subst	itute.
18	Do you recall Nelva coming into your	¹⁸ A. Th	at's probably ''distribute.'' It's my typing.
19	office and having any breathing issues that you could		cay. "Distribute Elmer's share of the trust
20	observe?		I had said he wanted her to have something; and
21	MR. REED: Form.		es, then his daughter would get it all."
22	A. At any time?		Now, this is what Anita told you that she
23	Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Well, let's talk about up		g that Nelva told her that Drina said, Carl's
24	through this period.	²⁴ wife?	
25	A. Because I don't recall what time frame it was.	25 A. Ig	uess so.
	223		225
1	223 There was a time where I recall her having an oxygen	¹ Q. So	225 none of this is coming to you directly from
1 2		Q: 50	
	There was a time where I recall her having an oxygen	 Nelva, firs 	none of this is coming to you directly from
2	There was a time where I recall her having an oxygen tank.	 ² Nelva, firs ³ A. No ⁴ Q. Ok 	none of this is coming to you directly from t of all, right? t in this conversation. ay. And none of it is coming to you from
2 3	 There was a time where I recall her having an oxygen tank. Q. Okay. A. But I don't remember what time frame that was. Q. Okay. And then she talks about it says 	 2 Nelva, firs 3 A. No 4 Q. Ok 5 Carl's wife 	none of this is coming to you directly from t of all, right? t in this conversation.
2 3 4 5 6	 There was a time where I recall her having an oxygen tank. Q. Okay. A. But I don't remember what time frame that was. Q. Okay. And then she talks about it says "worse over." I'm not sure what that means, but "worse 	 ² Nelva, firs ³ A. No ⁴ Q. Ok ⁵ Carl's wife ⁶ A. No 	none of this is coming to you directly from t of all, right? t in this conversation. ay. And none of it is coming to you from e. You didn't hear from her, right?
2 3 4 5 6 7	 There was a time where I recall her having an oxygen tank. Q. Okay. A. But I don't remember what time frame that was. Q. Okay. And then she talks about it says "worse over." I'm not sure what that means, but "worse over, her brother Carl has encephalitis and is in the 	 2 Nelva, firs 3 A. No 4 Q. Ok 5 Carl's wife 6 A. No 7 Q. Ha 	none of this is coming to you directly from t of all, right? t in this conversation. ay. And none of it is coming to you from e. You didn't hear from her, right? we you ever had any conversation with Drina?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 There was a time where I recall her having an oxygen tank. Q. Okay. A. But I don't remember what time frame that was. Q. Okay. And then she talks about it says "worse over." I'm not sure what that means, but "worse over, her brother Carl has encephalitis and is in the hospital. Three weeks now." 	 Nelva, firs A. No Q. Ok Carl's wife A. No Q. Ha A. No 	none of this is coming to you directly from t of all, right? t in this conversation. ay. And none of it is coming to you from e. You didn't hear from her, right? we you ever had any conversation with Drina? t that I recall.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	There was a time where I recall her having an oxygen tank. Q. Okay. A. But I don't remember what time frame that was. Q. Okay. And then she talks about it says "worse over." I'm not sure what that means, but "worse over, her brother Carl has encephalitis and is in the hospital. Three weeks now." And then she talks about concern. She	 2 Nelva, firs 3 A. No 4 Q. Ok 5 Carl's wife 6 A. No 7 Q. Ha 8 A. No 9 Q. Ok 	none of this is coming to you directly from t of all, right? t in this conversation. ay. And none of it is coming to you from e. You didn't hear from her, right? we you ever had any conversation with Drina? t that I recall. ay. So then your suggestion you said, "I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	There was a time where I recall her having an oxygen tank. Q. Okay. A. But I don't remember what time frame that was. Q. Okay. And then she talks about it says "worse over." I'm not sure what that means, but "worse over, her brother Carl has encephalitis and is in the hospital. Three weeks now." And then she talks about concern. She says she is concerned for several reasons.	 Nelva, firs A. No Q. Ok Carl's wife A. No Carl's wife A. No Q. Ha A. No Q. Ok suggested 	none of this is coming to you directly from t of all, right? t in this conversation. ay. And none of it is coming to you from e. You didn't hear from her, right? ve you ever had any conversation with Drina? t that I recall. ay. So then your suggestion you said, "I the following but that it" would be "but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	There was a time where I recall her having an oxygen tank. Q. Okay. A. But I don't remember what time frame that was. Q. Okay. And then she talks about it says "worse over." I'm not sure what that means, but "worse over, her brother Carl has encephalitis and is in the hospital. Three weeks now." And then she talks about concern. She says she is concerned for several reasons. Is "she" Nelva, or is "she" Anita?	 Nelva, firs A. No Q. Ok Carl's wife A. No Carl's wife A. No Q. Ok Q. Ok suggested that it need 	none of this is coming to you directly from t of all, right? t in this conversation. ay. And none of it is coming to you from e. You didn't hear from her, right? • • • ve you ever had any conversation with Drina? t that I recall. ay. So then your suggestion you said, "I the following but that it" would be "but led to come from Nelva."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	There was a time where I recall her having an oxygen tank. Q. Okay. A. But I don't remember what time frame that was. Q. Okay. And then she talks about it says "worse over." I'm not sure what that means, but "worse over, her brother Carl has encephalitis and is in the hospital. Three weeks now." And then she talks about concern. She says she is concerned for several reasons. Is "she" Nelva, or is "she" Anita? A. I don't recall. It's difficult to say based on	 Nelva, firs A. No Q. Ok Carl's wife A. No Carl's wife A. No Q. Ha A. No Q. Ok suggested that it need A. Ok 	none of this is coming to you directly from t of all, right? t in this conversation. ay. And none of it is coming to you from e. You didn't hear from her, right? ve you ever had any conversation with Drina? t that I recall. ay. So then your suggestion you said, "I the following but that it" would be "but led to come from Nelva." ay. Sorry. Go ahead.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	There was a time where I recall her having an oxygen tank. Q. Okay. A. But I don't remember what time frame that was. Q. Okay. And then she talks about it says "worse over." I'm not sure what that means, but "worse over, her brother Carl has encephalitis and is in the hospital. Three weeks now." And then she talks about concern. She says she is concerned for several reasons. Is "she" Nelva, or is "she" Anita? A. I don't recall. It's difficult to say based on how it's typed.	 Nelva, firs A. No Q. Ok Carl's wife A. No Carl's wife A. No Q. Ha A. No Q. Ok suggested that it need that it need A. Ok Q. Yo 	none of this is coming to you directly from t of all, right? t in this conversation. ay. And none of it is coming to you from e. You didn't hear from her, right? we you ever had any conversation with Drina? t that I recall. ay. So then your suggestion you said, "I the following but that it" would be "but led to come from Nelva." ay. Sorry. Go ahead. u probably know what I was going to ask you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 There was a time where I recall her having an oxygen tank. Q. Okay. A. But I don't remember what time frame that was. Q. Okay. And then she talks about it says "worse over." I'm not sure what that means, but "worse over, her brother Carl has encephalitis and is in the hospital. Three weeks now." And then she talks about concern. She says she is concerned for several reasons. Is "she" Nelva, or is "she" Anita? A. I don't recall. It's difficult to say based on how it's typed. Q. Okay. So first concern is what the outcome for 	 Nelva, firs A. No Q. Ok Carl's wife A. No Carl's wife A. No Q. Ha A. No Q. Ok suggested that it neec A. Ok Q. Yo Q. Yo A. Th 	none of this is coming to you directly from t of all, right? t in this conversation. ay. And none of it is coming to you from e. You didn't hear from her, right? we you ever had any conversation with Drina? t that I recall. ay. So then your suggestion you said, "I the following but that it" would be "but led to come from Nelva." ay. Sorry. Go ahead. u probably know what I was going to ask you. at's okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 There was a time where I recall her having an oxygen tank. Q. Okay. A. But I don't remember what time frame that was. Q. Okay. And then she talks about it says "worse over." I'm not sure what that means, but "worse over, her brother Carl has encephalitis and is in the hospital. Three weeks now." And then she talks about concern. She says she is concerned for several reasons. Is "she" Nelva, or is "she" Anita? A. I don't recall. It's difficult to say based on how it's typed. Q. Okay. So first concern is what the outcome for the brother is going to be or if he will recover. And 	 2 Nelva, firs 3 A. No 4 Q. Ok 5 Carl's wife 6 A. No 7 Q. Ha 8 A. No 9 Q. Ok 10 suggested 11 that it need 12 A. Ok 13 Q. Yo 14 A. Th 15 Q. So 	none of this is coming to you directly from t of all, right? t in this conversation. ay. And none of it is coming to you from e. You didn't hear from her, right? ve you ever had any conversation with Drina? t that I recall. ay. So then your suggestion you said, "I the following but that it" would be "but led to come from Nelva." ay. Sorry. Go ahead. u probably know what I was going to ask you. at's okay. why did you feel the need to tell her that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 There was a time where I recall her having an oxygen tank. Q. Okay. A. But I don't remember what time frame that was. Q. Okay. And then she talks about it says "worse over." I'm not sure what that means, but "worse over, her brother Carl has encephalitis and is in the hospital. Three weeks now." And then she talks about concern. She says she is concerned for several reasons. Is "she" Nelva, or is "she" Anita? A. I don't recall. It's difficult to say based on how it's typed. Q. Okay. So first concern is what the outcome for the brother is going to be or if he will recover. And then she talks about that being a problem because 	 2 Nelva, firs 3 A. No 4 Q. Ok 5 Carl's wife 6 A. No 7 Q. Ha 8 A. No 9 Q. Ok 10 suggested 11 that it need 12 A. Ok 13 Q. Yo 14 A. Th 15 Q. So 16 it needed to 	none of this is coming to you directly from t of all, right? t in this conversation. ay. And none of it is coming to you from e. You didn't hear from her, right? ve you ever had any conversation with Drina? t that I recall. ay. So then your suggestion you said, "I the following but that it" would be "but led to come from Nelva." ay. Sorry. Go ahead. u probably know what I was going to ask you. at's okay. why did you feel the need to tell her that o come from Nelva?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 There was a time where I recall her having an oxygen tank. Q. Okay. A. But I don't remember what time frame that was. Q. Okay. And then she talks about it says "worse over." I'm not sure what that means, but "worse over, her brother Carl has encephalitis and is in the hospital. Three weeks now." And then she talks about concern. She says she is concerned for several reasons. Is "she" Nelva, or is "she" Anita? A. I don't recall. It's difficult to say based on how it's typed. Q. Okay. So first concern is what the outcome for the brother is going to be or if he will recover. And then she talks about that being a problem because they're not certain his wife will not take off with the 	2 Nelva, firs 3 A. No 4 Q. Ok 5 Carl's wife 6 A. No 7 Q. Ha 8 A. No 9 Q. Ok 10 suggested 11 that it need 12 A. Ok 13 Q. Yo 14 A. Th 15 Q. So 16 it needed t 17 A. We	none of this is coming to you directly from t of all, right? t in this conversation. ay. And none of it is coming to you from e. You didn't hear from her, right? we you ever had any conversation with Drina? t that I recall. ay. So then your suggestion you said, "I the following but that it" would be "but led to come from Nelva." ay. Sorry. Go ahead. u probably know what I was going to ask you. at's okay. why did you feel the need to tell her that o come from Nelva? all, no. That Nelva had to make the changes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 There was a time where I recall her having an oxygen tank. Q. Okay. A. But I don't remember what time frame that was. Q. Okay. And then she talks about it says "worse over." I'm not sure what that means, but "worse over, her brother Carl has encephalitis and is in the hospital. Three weeks now." And then she talks about concern. She says she is concerned for several reasons. Is "she" Nelva, or is "she" Anita? A. I don't recall. It's difficult to say based on how it's typed. Q. Okay. So first concern is what the outcome for the brother is going to be or if he will recover. And then she talks about that being a problem because they're not certain his wife will not take off with the money and actually use it for his or actually use it 	2 Nelva, firs 3 A. No 4 Q. Ok 5 Carl's wife 6 A. No 7 Q. Ha 8 A. No 9 Q. Ok 10 suggested 11 that it need 12 A. Ok 13 Q. Yo 14 A. Th 15 Q. So 16 it needed t 17 A. We 18 That no o	none of this is coming to you directly from t of all, right? t in this conversation. ay. And none of it is coming to you from e. You didn't hear from her, right? we you ever had any conversation with Drina? t that I recall. ay. So then your suggestion you said, "I the following but that it" would be "but led to come from Nelva." ay. Sorry. Go ahead. u probably know what I was going to ask you. at's okay. why did you feel the need to tell her that o come from Nelva? ell, no. That Nelva had to make the changes. ne else could effectively change anything other
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 There was a time where I recall her having an oxygen tank. Q. Okay. A. But I don't remember what time frame that was. Q. Okay. And then she talks about it says "worse over." I'm not sure what that means, but "worse over, her brother Carl has encephalitis and is in the hospital. Three weeks now." And then she talks about concern. She says she is concerned for several reasons. Is "she" Nelva, or is "she" Anita? A. I don't recall. It's difficult to say based on how it's typed. Q. Okay. So first concern is what the outcome for the brother is going to be or if he will recover. And then she talks about that being a problem because they're not certain his wife will not take off with the money and actually use it for his or actually use it for his care. 	 Nelva, firs A. No Q. Ok Carl's wife A. No Carl's wife A. No Q. Ok Q. Ok Q. Ok suggested that it need that it needed ti Q. So it needed ti A. We That no o than Nelv 	none of this is coming to you directly from t of all, right? t in this conversation. ay. And none of it is coming to you from e. You didn't hear from her, right? we you ever had any conversation with Drina? t that I recall. ay. So then your suggestion you said, "I the following but that it" would be "but led to come from Nelva." ay. Sorry. Go ahead. u probably know what I was going to ask you. at's okay. why did you feel the need to tell her that o come from Nelva? ell, no. That Nelva had to make the changes. ne else could effectively change anything other a.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 There was a time where I recall her having an oxygen tank. Q. Okay. A. But I don't remember what time frame that was. Q. Okay. And then she talks about it says "worse over." I'm not sure what that means, but "worse over, her brother Carl has encephalitis and is in the hospital. Three weeks now." And then she talks about concern. She says she is concerned for several reasons. Is "she" Nelva, or is "she" Anita? A. I don't recall. It's difficult to say based on the brother is going to be or if he will recover. And then she talks about that being a problem because they're not certain his wife will not take off with the money and actually use it for his or actually use it for his care. 	 Nelva, firs A. No Q. Ok Carl's wife A. No Carl's wife A. No Q. Ok Q. Ha A. No Q. Ok suggested that it need that it need Q. Yo A. Ok Q. Yo A. Th Q. So it needed t That no o than Nelv Q. An 	none of this is coming to you directly from t of all, right? t in this conversation. ay. And none of it is coming to you from e. You didn't hear from her, right? • • • we you ever had any conversation with Drina? t that I recall. ay. So then your suggestion you said, "I the following but that it" would be "but led to come from Nelva." ay. Sorry. Go ahead. u probably know what I was going to ask you. at's okay. why did you feel the need to tell her that o come from Nelva? ell, no. That Nelva had to make the changes. ne else could effectively change anything other a. d was Anita giving you the impression that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 There was a time where I recall her having an oxygen tank. Q. Okay. A. But I don't remember what time frame that was. Q. Okay. And then she talks about it says "worse over." I'm not sure what that means, but "worse over, her brother Carl has encephalitis and is in the hospital. Three weeks now." And then she talks about concern. She says she is concerned for several reasons. Is "she" Nelva, or is "she" Anita? A. I don't recall. It's difficult to say based on how it's typed. Q. Okay. So first concern is what the outcome for the brother is going to be or if he will recover. And then she talks about take off with the money and actually use it for his or actually use it for his care. So what you're saying there I'm not sure from the way it's typed, but I assume what you're 	 Nelva, firs A. No Q. Ok Carl's wife A. No Q. Ok Carl's wife A. No Q. Ha A. No Q. Ok suggested that it need that it need Q. Yo A. Ok Q. Yo A. Th Q. So it needed t That no o than Nelv Q. An she though 	none of this is coming to you directly from t of all, right? t in this conversation. ay. And none of it is coming to you from e. You didn't hear from her, right? • • • we you ever had any conversation with Drina? t that I recall. ay. So then your suggestion you said, "I the following but that it" would be "but led to come from Nelva." ay. Sorry. Go ahead. u probably know what I was going to ask you. at's okay. why did you feel the need to tell her that o come from Nelva? ell, no. That Nelva had to make the changes. ne else could effectively change anything other a. d was Anita giving you the impression that tt she could make the change?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 There was a time where I recall her having an oxygen tank. Q. Okay. A. But I don't remember what time frame that was. Q. Okay. And then she talks about it says "worse over." I'm not sure what that means, but "worse over, her brother Carl has encephalitis and is in the hospital. Three weeks now." And then she talks about concern. She says she is concerned for several reasons. Is "she" Nelva, or is "she" Anita? A. I don't recall. It's difficult to say based on how it's typed. Q. Okay. So first concern is what the outcome for the brother is going to be or if he will recover. And then she talks about that being a problem because they're not certain his wife will not take off with the money and actually use it for his or actually use it for his care. So what you're saying there I'm not sure from the way it's typed, but I assume what you're saying there is she expressed concern that they didn't 	2 Nelva, firs 3 A. No 4 Q. Ok 5 Carl's wife 6 A. No 7 Q. Ha 8 A. No 9 Q. Ok 10 suggested 11 that it need 12 A. Ok 13 Q. Yo 14 A. Th 15 Q. So 16 it needed t 17 A. We 18 That no o 19 than Nelv 20 Q. Am 21 she thougt 22 A. No	none of this is coming to you directly from t of all, right? t in this conversation. ay. And none of it is coming to you from e. You didn't hear from her, right? ve you ever had any conversation with Drina? t that I recall. ay. So then your suggestion you said, "I the following but that it" would be "but led to come from Nelva." ay. Sorry. Go ahead. u probably know what I was going to ask you. at's okay. why did you feel the need to tell her that o come from Nelva? cll, no. That Nelva had to make the changes. ne else could effectively change anything other a. d was Anita giving you the impression that at she could make the change? . It's just this would be something that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 There was a time where I recall her having an oxygen tank. Q. Okay. A. But I don't remember what time frame that was. Q. Okay. And then she talks about it says "worse over." I'm not sure what that means, but "worse over, her brother Carl has encephalitis and is in the hospital. Three weeks now." And then she talks about concern. She says she is concerned for several reasons. Is "she" Nelva, or is "she" Anita? A. I don't recall. It's difficult to say based on how it's typed. Q. Okay. So first concern is what the outcome for the brother is going to be or if he will recover. And then she talks about that being a problem because they're not certain his wife will not take off with the money and actually use it for his or actually use it for his care. So what you're saying there I'm not sure from the way it's typed, but I assume what you're saying there is she expressed concern that they didn't want Carl's wife to have access it says "the money." 	2 Nelva, firs 3 A. No 4 Q. Ok 5 Carl's wife 6 A. No 7 Q. Ha 8 A. No 9 Q. Ok 10 suggested 11 that it need 12 A. Ok 13 Q. Yo 14 A. Th 15 Q. So 16 it needed t 17 A. We 18 That no o 19 than Nelv 20 Q. Am 21 she though 22 A. No 23 Nelva word	none of this is coming to you directly from t of all, right? t in this conversation. ay. And none of it is coming to you from e. You didn't hear from her, right? ve you ever had any conversation with Drina? t that I recall. ay. So then your suggestion you said, "I the following but that it" would be "but led to come from Nelva." ay. Sorry. Go ahead. u probably know what I was going to ask you. at's okay. why did you feel the need to tell her that o come from Nelva? bl, no. That Nelva had to make the changes. ne else could effectively change anything other a. d was Anita giving you the impression that tt she could make the change? . It's just this would be something that ald have to do on her own.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 There was a time where I recall her having an oxygen tank. Q. Okay. A. But I don't remember what time frame that was. Q. Okay. And then she talks about it says "worse over." I'm not sure what that means, but "worse over, her brother Carl has encephalitis and is in the hospital. Three weeks now." And then she talks about concern. She says she is concerned for several reasons. Is "she" Nelva, or is "she" Anita? A. I don't recall. It's difficult to say based on how it's typed. Q. Okay. So first concern is what the outcome for the brother is going to be or if he will recover. And then she talks about that being a problem because they're not certain his wife will not take off with the money and actually use it for his or actually use it for his care. So what you're saying there I'm not sure from the way it's typed, but I assume what you're saying there is she expressed concern that they didn't 	2 Nelva, firs 3 A. No 4 Q. Ok 5 Carl's wife 6 A. No 7 Q. Ha 8 A. No 9 Q. Ok 10 suggested 11 that it need 12 A. Ok 13 Q. Yo 14 A. Th 15 Q. So 16 it needed t 17 A. We 18 That no o 19 than Nelv 20 Q. An 21 she though 22 A. No 23 Nelva wor 24 Q. Ok	none of this is coming to you directly from t of all, right? t in this conversation. ay. And none of it is coming to you from e. You didn't hear from her, right? ve you ever had any conversation with Drina? t that I recall. ay. So then your suggestion you said, "I the following but that it" would be "but led to come from Nelva." ay. Sorry. Go ahead. u probably know what I was going to ask you. at's okay. why did you feel the need to tell her that o come from Nelva? cll, no. That Nelva had to make the changes. ne else could effectively change anything other a. d was Anita giving you the impression that at she could make the change? . It's just this would be something that

57 (Pages 222 to 225)

	226		228
1	Anita."	1	Q. Flip Carl's trust into a supplemental needs
2	A. Yes.	2	trust and have the co-trustees have the right to name
3	Q. Now, wasn't there already a mechanism in the	3	their own successor.
4	trust if one of the co-trustees couldn't serve?	4	A. Carl didn't have a trust because Nelva is still
5	A. Maybe. It depends on how it was worded. I	5	alive.
6	don't recall.	6	Q. Right.
7	Q. Okay. And when you answered this question, you	7	A. So I guess the answer to your question would
8	didn't go look at it first?	8	be, no, Nelva couldn't do that because there was no
9	A. No, no.	9	trust for Carl.
10	Q. You were just pointing out that that's	10	Q. There couldn't be one set up?
11	something that could be dealt with?	11	A. Well, that is a totally different I mean, I
12	A. Correct.	12	suppose she could do one, but that was not the
13	Q. All right. And then, No. 2, you say, "PAT QBD	13	discussion.
14	so the co-trustee can flip Carl's trust into a	14	Q. Okay. And since Carl had these issues now,
15	supplemental needs trust, have the co-trustees have the	15	rather than when Nelva dies, wouldn't it make sense to
16	right to name their own successor trustee of Carl's	16	be looking at some kind of a trust arrangement at the
17	trust should he fully recover."	17	present, I mean on this date as opposed to what was
18	A. Correct.	18	going to happen when Nelva died?
19	Q. Explain that to me.	19	A. I'm sorry. For clarification purposes, are you
20	A. So if it's not the way the trust is drafted but	20	asking me should Nelva have set up a trust for her son,
21	the way the QBD was done, a trust protector was added in	21	who was sick?
22	that allows the trustee of that trust to flip it into a	22	Q. I'm asking you if that was discussed.
23 24	supplemental needs so that Carl can qualify for	23 24	A. No.
24	government benefits and not be required to spend down	24	Q. And it was not discussed because Anita wasn't
25	the trust. But if he makes a full recovery, the right	25	trying to go there, right?
	227		229
1	227 for them to name their own successor, they could turn	1	229 MR. REED: Objection, form.
1 2		1 2	
	for them to name their own successor, they could turn	1	MR. REED: Objection, form.
2	for them to name their own successor, they could turn around and name Carl as his own trustee again. Q. So when you say the co-trustee can flip Carl's trust into a supplemental needs trust, that is some kind	2	MR. REED: Objection, form. MR. SPIELMAN: Form.
2 3 4 5	for them to name their own successor, they could turn around and name Carl as his own trustee again. Q. So when you say the co-trustee can flip Carl's trust into a supplemental needs trust, that is some kind of a change that would be provided you were	2 3 4 5	MR. REED: Objection, form.MR. SPIELMAN: Form.A. I have no idea where she was trying to go. It
2 3 4 5 6	for them to name their own successor, they could turn around and name Carl as his own trustee again. Q. So when you say the co-trustee can flip Carl's trust into a supplemental needs trust, that is some kind	2 3 4 5 6	MR. REED: Objection, form. MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. I have no idea where she was trying to go. It just was not discussed, or at least I didn't document it as such. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. So the issues that you
2 3 4 5 6 7	for them to name their own successor, they could turn around and name Carl as his own trustee again. Q. So when you say the co-trustee can flip Carl's trust into a supplemental needs trust, that is some kind of a change that would be provided you were suggesting to provide to when you say the co-trustee	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. REED: Objection, form. MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. I have no idea where she was trying to go. It just was not discussed, or at least I didn't document it as such. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. So the issues that you were dealing with in your suggestions were issues that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 for them to name their own successor, they could turn around and name Carl as his own trustee again. Q. So when you say the co-trustee can flip Carl's trust into a supplemental needs trust, that is some kind of a change that would be provided you were suggesting to provide to when you say the co-trustee A. Uh-huh. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. REED: Objection, form. MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. I have no idea where she was trying to go. It just was not discussed, or at least I didn't document it as such. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. So the issues that you were dealing with in your suggestions were issues that would happen sometime in the future?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 for them to name their own successor, they could turn around and name Carl as his own trustee again. Q. So when you say the co-trustee can flip Carl's trust into a supplemental needs trust, that is some kind of a change that would be provided you were suggesting to provide to when you say the co-trustee A. Uh-huh. Q you're meaning somebody who would serve with 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. REED: Objection, form. MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. I have no idea where she was trying to go. It just was not discussed, or at least I didn't document it as such. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. So the issues that you were dealing with in your suggestions were issues that would happen sometime in the future? A. That's correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	for them to name their own successor, they could turn around and name Carl as his own trustee again. Q. So when you say the co-trustee can flip Carl's trust into a supplemental needs trust, that is some kind of a change that would be provided you were suggesting to provide to when you say the co-trustee A. Uh-huh. Q you're meaning somebody who would serve with Anita? Are you talking about Anita?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. REED: Objection, form. MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. I have no idea where she was trying to go. It just was not discussed, or at least I didn't document it as such. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. So the issues that you were dealing with in your suggestions were issues that would happen sometime in the future? A. That's correct. Q. All right. And you just didn't talk about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 for them to name their own successor, they could turn around and name Carl as his own trustee again. Q. So when you say the co-trustee can flip Carl's trust into a supplemental needs trust, that is some kind of a change that would be provided you were suggesting to provide to when you say the co-trustee A. Uh-huh. Q you're meaning somebody who would serve with Anita? Are you talking about Anita? A. Or whoever was the co-trustee. It didn't 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. REED: Objection, form. MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. I have no idea where she was trying to go. It just was not discussed, or at least I didn't document it as such. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. So the issues that you were dealing with in your suggestions were issues that would happen sometime in the future? A. That's correct. Q. All right. And you just didn't talk about anything that could be done at the moment?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 for them to name their own successor, they could turn around and name Carl as his own trustee again. Q. So when you say the co-trustee can flip Carl's trust into a supplemental needs trust, that is some kind of a change that would be provided you were suggesting to provide to when you say the co-trustee A. Uh-huh. Q you're meaning somebody who would serve with Anita? Are you talking about Anita? A. Or whoever was the co-trustee. It didn't matter who the co-trustee was. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. REED: Objection, form. MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. I have no idea where she was trying to go. It just was not discussed, or at least I didn't document it as such. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. So the issues that you were dealing with in your suggestions were issues that would happen sometime in the future? A. That's correct. Q. All right. And you just didn't talk about anything that could be done at the moment? A. That's correct, not that I recall.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 for them to name their own successor, they could turn around and name Carl as his own trustee again. Q. So when you say the co-trustee can flip Carl's trust into a supplemental needs trust, that is some kind of a change that would be provided you were suggesting to provide to when you say the co-trustee A. Uh-huh. Q you're meaning somebody who would serve with Anita? Are you talking about Anita? A. Or whoever was the co-trustee. It didn't matter who the co-trustee was. Q. At this point were you talking about something 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. REED: Objection, form. MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. I have no idea where she was trying to go. It just was not discussed, or at least I didn't document it as such. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. So the issues that you were dealing with in your suggestions were issues that would happen sometime in the future? A. That's correct. Q. All right. And you just didn't talk about anything that could be done at the moment? A. That's correct, not that I recall. Q. Okay. Then in No. 3 you did say that "Nelva
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 for them to name their own successor, they could turn around and name Carl as his own trustee again. Q. So when you say the co-trustee can flip Carl's trust into a supplemental needs trust, that is some kind of a change that would be provided you were suggesting to provide to when you say the co-trustee A. Uh-huh. Q you're meaning somebody who would serve with Anita? Are you talking about Anita? A. Or whoever was the co-trustee. It didn't matter who the co-trustee was. Q. At this point were you talking about something that would only take effect on Nelva's death? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. REED: Objection, form. MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. I have no idea where she was trying to go. It just was not discussed, or at least I didn't document it as such. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. So the issues that you were dealing with in your suggestions were issues that would happen sometime in the future? A. That's correct. Q. All right. And you just didn't talk about anything that could be done at the moment? A. That's correct, not that I recall. Q. Okay. Then in No. 3 you did say that "Nelva can make unlimited gifts to Carl of doctor bills paid
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 for them to name their own successor, they could turn around and name Carl as his own trustee again. Q. So when you say the co-trustee can flip Carl's trust into a supplemental needs trust, that is some kind of a change that would be provided you were suggesting to provide to when you say the co-trustee A. Uh-huh. Q you're meaning somebody who would serve with Anita? Are you talking about Anita? A. Or whoever was the co-trustee. It didn't matter who the co-trustee was. Q. At this point were you talking about something that would only take effect on Nelva's death? A. Correct. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. REED: Objection, form. MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. I have no idea where she was trying to go. It just was not discussed, or at least I didn't document it as such. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. So the issues that you were dealing with in your suggestions were issues that would happen sometime in the future? A. That's correct. Q. All right. And you just didn't talk about anything that could be done at the moment? A. That's correct, not that I recall. Q. Okay. Then in No. 3 you did say that "Nelva can make unlimited gifts to Carl of doctor bills paid directly to the provider doctor or hospital gift
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 for them to name their own successor, they could turn around and name Carl as his own trustee again. Q. So when you say the co-trustee can flip Carl's trust into a supplemental needs trust, that is some kind of a change that would be provided you were suggesting to provide to when you say the co-trustee A. Uh-huh. Q you're meaning somebody who would serve with Anita? Are you talking about Anita? A. Or whoever was the co-trustee. It didn't matter who the co-trustee was. Q. At this point were you talking about something that would only take effect on Nelva's death? A. Correct. Q. So it couldn't be Nelva. It would have to be 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. REED: Objection, form. MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. I have no idea where she was trying to go. It just was not discussed, or at least I didn't document it as such. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. So the issues that you were dealing with in your suggestions were issues that would happen sometime in the future? A. That's correct. Q. All right. And you just didn't talk about anything that could be done at the moment? A. That's correct, not that I recall. Q. Okay. Then in No. 3 you did say that "Nelva can make unlimited gifts to Carl of doctor bills paid directly to the provider doctor or hospital gift tax-free"?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 for them to name their own successor, they could turn around and name Carl as his own trustee again. Q. So when you say the co-trustee can flip Carl's trust into a supplemental needs trust, that is some kind of a change that would be provided you were suggesting to provide to when you say the co-trustee A. Uh-huh. Q you're meaning somebody who would serve with Anita? Are you talking about Anita? A. Or whoever was the co-trustee. It didn't matter who the co-trustee was. Q. At this point were you talking about something that would only take effect on Nelva's death? A. Correct. Q. So it couldn't be Nelva. It would have to be whoever was supposed to become the trustee after her 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. REED: Objection, form. MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. I have no idea where she was trying to go. It just was not discussed, or at least I didn't document it as such. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. So the issues that you were dealing with in your suggestions were issues that would happen sometime in the future? A. That's correct. Q. All right. And you just didn't talk about anything that could be done at the moment? A. That's correct, not that I recall. Q. Okay. Then in No. 3 you did say that "Nelva can make unlimited gifts to Carl of doctor bills paid directly to the provider doctor or hospital gift tax-free"? A. Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 for them to name their own successor, they could turn around and name Carl as his own trustee again. Q. So when you say the co-trustee can flip Carl's trust into a supplemental needs trust, that is some kind of a change that would be provided you were suggesting to provide to when you say the co-trustee A. Uh-huh. Q you're meaning somebody who would serve with Anita? Are you talking about Anita? A. Or whoever was the co-trustee. It didn't matter who the co-trustee was. Q. At this point were you talking about something that would only take effect on Nelva's death? A. Correct. Q. So it couldn't be Nelva. It would have to be whoever was supposed to become the trustee after her death? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. REED: Objection, form. MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. I have no idea where she was trying to go. It just was not discussed, or at least I didn't document it as such. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. So the issues that you were dealing with in your suggestions were issues that would happen sometime in the future? A. That's correct. Q. All right. And you just didn't talk about anything that could be done at the moment? A. That's correct, not that I recall. Q. Okay. Then in No. 3 you did say that "Nelva can make unlimited gifts to Carl of doctor bills paid directly to the provider doctor or hospital gift tax-free"? A. Correct. Q. So, in other words, as long as she paid the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 for them to name their own successor, they could turn around and name Carl as his own trustee again. Q. So when you say the co-trustee can flip Carl's trust into a supplemental needs trust, that is some kind of a change that would be provided you were suggesting to provide to when you say the co-trustee A. Uh-huh. Q you're meaning somebody who would serve with Anita? Are you talking about Anita? A. Or whoever was the co-trustee. It didn't matter who the co-trustee was. Q. At this point were you talking about something that would only take effect on Nelva's death? A. Correct. Q. So it couldn't be Nelva. It would have to be whoever was supposed to become the trustee after her death? A. I'm not sure I understand your question. What 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. REED: Objection, form. MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. I have no idea where she was trying to go. It just was not discussed, or at least I didn't document it as such. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. So the issues that you were dealing with in your suggestions were issues that would happen sometime in the future? A. That's correct. Q. All right. And you just didn't talk about anything that could be done at the moment? A. That's correct, not that I recall. Q. Okay. Then in No. 3 you did say that "Nelva can make unlimited gifts to Carl of doctor bills paid directly to the provider doctor or hospital gift tax-free"? A. Correct. Q. So, in other words, as long as she paid the bills directly, there wouldn't be a gift tax
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 for them to name their own successor, they could turn around and name Carl as his own trustee again. Q. So when you say the co-trustee can flip Carl's trust into a supplemental needs trust, that is some kind of a change that would be provided you were suggesting to provide to when you say the co-trustee A. Uh-huh. Q you're meaning somebody who would serve with Anita? Are you talking about Anita? A. Or whoever was the co-trustee. It didn't matter who the co-trustee was. Q. At this point were you talking about something that would only take effect on Nelva's death? A. Correct. Q. So it couldn't be Nelva. It would have to be whoever was supposed to become the trustee after her death? A. I'm not sure I understand your question. What couldn't be Nelva? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. REED: Objection, form. MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. I have no idea where she was trying to go. It just was not discussed, or at least I didn't document it as such. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. So the issues that you were dealing with in your suggestions were issues that would happen sometime in the future? A. That's correct. Q. All right. And you just didn't talk about anything that could be done at the moment? A. That's correct, not that I recall. Q. Okay. Then in No. 3 you did say that "Nelva can make unlimited gifts to Carl of doctor bills paid directly to the provider doctor or hospital gift tax-free"? A. Correct. Q. So, in other words, as long as she paid the bills directly, there wouldn't be a gift tax implication?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 for them to name their own successor, they could turn around and name Carl as his own trustee again. Q. So when you say the co-trustee can flip Carl's trust into a supplemental needs trust, that is some kind of a change that would be provided you were suggesting to provide to when you say the co-trustee A. Uh-huh. Q you're meaning somebody who would serve with Anita? Are you talking about Anita? A. Or whoever was the co-trustee. It didn't matter who the co-trustee was. Q. At this point were you talking about something that would only take effect on Nelva's death? A. Correct. Q. So it couldn't be Nelva. It would have to be whoever was supposed to become the trustee after her death? A. I'm not sure I understand your question. What couldn't be Nelva? Q. One of the co-trustees or the trustee. At this 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. REED: Objection, form. MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. I have no idea where she was trying to go. It just was not discussed, or at least I didn't document it as such. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. So the issues that you were dealing with in your suggestions were issues that would happen sometime in the future? A. That's correct. Q. All right. And you just didn't talk about anything that could be done at the moment? A. That's correct, not that I recall. Q. Okay. Then in No. 3 you did say that "Nelva can make unlimited gifts to Carl of doctor bills paid directly to the provider doctor or hospital gift tax-free"? A. Correct. Q. So, in other words, as long as she paid the bills directly, there wouldn't be a gift tax implication? A. Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 for them to name their own successor, they could turn around and name Carl as his own trustee again. Q. So when you say the co-trustee can flip Carl's trust into a supplemental needs trust, that is some kind of a change that would be provided you were suggesting to provide to when you say the co-trustee A. Uh-huh. Q you're meaning somebody who would serve with Anita? Are you talking about Anita? A. Or whoever was the co-trustee. It didn't matter who the co-trustee was. Q. At this point were you talking about something that would only take effect on Nelva's death? A. Correct. Q. So it couldn't be Nelva. It would have to be whoever was supposed to become the trustee after her death? A. I'm not sure I understand your question. What couldn't be Nelva? Q. One of the co-trustees or the trustee. At this point Nelva was the only trustee, right? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. REED: Objection, form. MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. I have no idea where she was trying to go. It just was not discussed, or at least I didn't document it as such. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. So the issues that you were dealing with in your suggestions were issues that would happen sometime in the future? A. That's correct. Q. All right. And you just didn't talk about anything that could be done at the moment? A. That's correct, not that I recall. Q. Okay. Then in No. 3 you did say that "Nelva can make unlimited gifts to Carl of doctor bills paid directly to the provider doctor or hospital gift tax-free"? A. Correct. Q. So, in other words, as long as she paid the bills directly, there wouldn't be a gift tax implication? A. Correct. Q. And did Anita have a response to that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 for them to name their own successor, they could turn around and name Carl as his own trustee again. Q. So when you say the co-trustee can flip Carl's trust into a supplemental needs trust, that is some kind of a change that would be provided you were suggesting to provide to when you say the co-trustee A. Uh-huh. Q you're meaning somebody who would serve with Anita? Are you talking about Anita? A. Or whoever was the co-trustee. It didn't matter who the co-trustee was. Q. At this point were you talking about something that would only take effect on Nelva's death? A. Correct. Q. So it couldn't be Nelva. It would have to be whoever was supposed to become the trustee after her death? A. I'm not sure I understand your question. What couldn't be Nelva? Q. One of the co-trustees or the trustee. At this 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. REED: Objection, form. MR. SPIELMAN: Form. A. I have no idea where she was trying to go. It just was not discussed, or at least I didn't document it as such. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. So the issues that you were dealing with in your suggestions were issues that would happen sometime in the future? A. That's correct. Q. All right. And you just didn't talk about anything that could be done at the moment? A. That's correct, not that I recall. Q. Okay. Then in No. 3 you did say that "Nelva can make unlimited gifts to Carl of doctor bills paid directly to the provider doctor or hospital gift tax-free"? A. Correct. Q. So, in other words, as long as she paid the bills directly, there wouldn't be a gift tax implication? A. Correct.

58 (Pages 226 to 229)

	230		232
1	to 2 again I'm sorry to hover over 2.	1	Nelva.
2	A. That's okay.	2	Q. Okay. But you don't remember how that was left
3	Q. The suggestion that if Carl got better, the	3	with Anita?
4	co-trustees would have a right to name their own	4	A. I do not.
5	successor trustee so that they could give it back to	5	Q. Look at page 1196.
6	Carl basically, that was going to be the arrangement	6	A. Uh-huh.
7	you were suggesting, it would be dependent on them being	7	Q. The entry at the very bottom is a July 28th,
8	willing to do that, right?	8	2010 entry.
9	A. Well, I suppose that whoever the co-trustees	9	A. Uh-huh.
10	would have to be willing to do that.	10	Q. And it's Summer's entry; and it talks about
11	Q. Okay.	11	Nelva having paid for a bill that she had already paid
12	A. But there's also other mechanisms where he	12	for, right? I mean, read that and see if I'm
13	could get back in.	13	characterizing it properly.
14	Q. Okay. All right.	14	A. That's what it looks like.
15	A. That's just the path of least resistance.	15	Q. Do you know whether that was unusual or whether
16	Q. Okay. Do you recall whether Anita had a	16	that had happened before with Nelva?
17	reaction to that?	17	A. No.
18	A. I do not.	18	Q. Okay.
19	Q. Okay. Then the fourth one is just about	19	A. I wouldn't even have seen that unless she
20	updating the medical power of attorney to add Anita and	20	well, she says she e-mailed me. So I probably saw it in
21	take Carl off.	21	an e-mail.
22	Now, Carole lives in Houston, right?	22	Q. Then if you notice, there is no other time
23	A. Yes. I believe that's correct.	23	entry until February 15th, 2011.
24	Q. So why would you be thinking about putting a	24	MR. REED: Objection, form.
25	medical power of attorney, giving that right to Anita	25	Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Do you see that? I say time
	231		233
1	when she lives in Victoria?	1	entry. Notes/History entry.
2	A. I have no reason, rationale. At that point I	2	A. Yeah. Notes and history. So it may have been
3	don't	3	in another section of that.
4	Q. Okay. You weren't promoting that one way or	4	Q. What's the other section? Oh, you mean of the
5	the other?	5	things that have been produced?
6	A. Huh-uh.	6	A. Yeah. I mean, I don't
7	Q. It's just you were	7	Q. Yeah, I can tell you there isn't. But feel
8	A. It could be any of the kids.	8	free. Look at it and see if you can find anything that
9	Q. You were talking to Anita. All right.	9	covers the time period between July 28, 2010 and
10	And you said, "I recommended these be done	10	February 28th, 2011.
11	in a timely fashion since Ms. B is dealing with her own	11	MR. REED: You're asking just strictly for
12	health issues."	12	whether there's any notes?
13	Now, how did you leave it with Anita in	13	MS. BAYLESS: Right, because these are out
14	that conversation?	14	of order.
15	A. I don't recall.	15	MR. REED: Are you saying, though, there's
16	Q. All right. So you had told her, though, that	16	no billing entries for that time period; or you're just
17	Nelva needed to make these changes?	17	saying notes?
18	A. Yeah. No one else stood in the shoes to be	18	MS. BAYLESS: Right now
19 20	able to do that. So that was something that was	19	THE WITNESS: No, there is.
20	obvious	20	MS. BAYLESS: I'm talking about notes.
21 22	Q. Did you say	21 22	Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. Did you find
	A to me, not her.		something?
	\bigcirc Did you can Catallate Mala \bigcirc \bigcirc 111	23	A Oh wait That's 2011 Fahrens Marth
23 24	Q. Did you say, Go talk to Nelva? Or did you say,	23	A. Oh, wait. That's 2011. February, March, March March Haro's 2 14 11. So that's between these
23 24 25	Q. Did you say, Go talk to Nelva? Or did you say,Have Nelva call me?A. Well, I would not make any change without	23 24 25	A. Oh, wait. That's 2011. February, March, March, March. Here's 2-14-11. So that's between those two dates, 2-14-11.

59 (Pages 230 to 233)

	234		236
1	Q. All right. Let's say January 1st.	1	move over to the new one, everything got kind of
2	A. Here's January 2011, January 6th, January 3rd,	2	Q. Formatted weird and all that?
3	January 3rd. December, December, December of 2010.	3	A. Yeah.
4	They're here. They're just in a different	4	Q. So between July 28, 2010, which was the entry
5	section.	5	about Nelva paying again for a bill she had already
6	Q. Okay. What pages are you looking at?	6	paid
7	MR. REED: Exhibit 18.	7	A. Uh-huh.
8	A. Exhibit 18, 002182. It's just the way they	8	Q the next entry is September 2nd, 2010,
9	were printed because the system is not very friendly to	9	right?
10	printing.	10	A. Uh-huh.
11	Q. (By Ms. Bayless) I'm sorry. 21 what was	11	Q. So there is no entry about conversations that
12	the number?	12	you might have had with Nelva about the August 25th,
13	A. 002182.	13	2010 QBD, right?
14	MR. REED: Exhibit 18.	14	A. I don't see any.
15	Q. (By Ms. Bayless) 0021 there is no it's	15	Q. So we can't tell from looking at your notes
16	Exhibit 18, but what about the number of the page?	16	A. Well, you can't tell from looking at the Act!
17	A. 15 of 38, if that helps.	17	notes.
18	Q. Oh, 15. You're not looking at the Bates	18	Q. At these notes?
19	number. I see.	19	A. Correct.
20	A. Well, the Bates number is 002182.	20	Q who you talked with after July 20th when you
21	Q. All right. So that picks up there's	21	talked to Anita.
22	December. Okay. Looking at this is on Exhibit 18.	22	A. I'm not sure why that is.
23	These are the materials that were produced yesterday.	23	Q. Okay.
24	In looking at 2183, does that seem to be	24	A. Between I don't know.
25	where the gap where it fills in after July 28th,	25	Q. And you're sure that you pulled all of these,
	235		237
1	2010?	1	right?
2	A. Well, if you look up at the top on Exhibit 17,	2	A. Well, this was done in 2012.
3	on page Bates No. 001196.	3	Q. Right.
4	Q. Okay.	4	A. And this was pulled by my assistant.
5	A. There's July 2010, July 29th, 2010,	5	Q. As far as you know, she pulled everything?
6	August 2010, August 2010, August 2010, September 2010.	6	A. Yeah.
7	Q. Well, okay. July 2004, then July 2010 through	7	Q. You weren't telling her only pull these dates?
8	August 2010, those are all field changes where it talks	8	A. No.
9	about some marital status change or something like that.	9	
10			Q. So that does seem unusual, doesn't it, that
11	That's not meeting notes, right?	10	Q. So that does seem unusual, doesn't it, that this
	That's not meeting notes, right? A. There's a September one at the top that says a		-
12		10	this
12 13	A. There's a September one at the top that says a	10 11	this THE WITNESS: Do you have some? Yeah.
	A. There's a September one at the top that says a call came in from Nelva	10 11 12	thisTHE WITNESS: Do you have some? Yeah.A. That's why. Remember when I said we don't
13	A. There's a September one at the top that says a call came in from NelvaQ. Okay.	10 11 12 13	thisTHE WITNESS: Do you have some? Yeah.A. That's why. Remember when I said we don't always make notes in here. If I have notes on paper,
13 14	 A. There's a September one at the top that says a call came in from Nelva Q. Okay. A regarding Carole, "who wants \$20,000 	10 11 12 13 14	 this THE WITNESS: Do you have some? Yeah. A. That's why. Remember when I said we don't always make notes in here. If I have notes on paper, that's in the file.
13 14 15	 A. There's a September one at the top that says a call came in from Nelva Q. Okay. A regarding Carole, "who wants \$20,000 donation against her heritage." 	10 11 12 13 14 15	 this THE WITNESS: Do you have some? Yeah. A. That's why. Remember when I said we don't always make notes in here. If I have notes on paper, that's in the file. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay.
13 14 15 16	 A. There's a September one at the top that says a call came in from Nelva Q. Okay. A regarding Carole, "who wants \$20,000 donation against her heritage." Q. Okay. So other than the it does look like the September meeting relates to an interaction with the client. The others are just somehow correcting 	10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 this THE WITNESS: Do you have some? Yeah. A. That's why. Remember when I said we don't always make notes in here. If I have notes on paper, that's in the file. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. A. So it's either here or there.
13 14 15 16 17	 A. There's a September one at the top that says a call came in from Nelva Q. Okay. A regarding Carole, "who wants \$20,000 donation against her heritage." Q. Okay. So other than the it does look like the September meeting relates to an interaction with the 	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 this THE WITNESS: Do you have some? Yeah. A. That's why. Remember when I said we don't always make notes in here. If I have notes on paper, that's in the file. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. A. So it's either here or there. THE WITNESS: Thank you.
13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. There's a September one at the top that says a call came in from Nelva Q. Okay. A regarding Carole, "who wants \$20,000 donation against her heritage." Q. Okay. So other than the it does look like the September meeting relates to an interaction with the client. The others are just somehow correcting 	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 this THE WITNESS: Do you have some? Yeah. A. That's why. Remember when I said we don't always make notes in here. If I have notes on paper, that's in the file. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. A. So it's either here or there. THE WITNESS: Thank you. MR. REED: Trust review meeting, which is
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. There's a September one at the top that says a call came in from Nelva Q. Okay. A regarding Carole, "who wants \$20,000 donation against her heritage." Q. Okay. So other than the it does look like the September meeting relates to an interaction with the client. The others are just somehow correcting something in the database? 	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 this THE WITNESS: Do you have some? Yeah. A. That's why. Remember when I said we don't always make notes in here. If I have notes on paper, that's in the file. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. A. So it's either here or there. THE WITNESS: Thank you. MR. REED: Trust review meeting, which is V&F 687, dated July 30th.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. There's a September one at the top that says a call came in from Nelva Q. Okay. A regarding Carole, "who wants \$20,000 donation against her heritage." Q. Okay. So other than the it does look like the September meeting relates to an interaction with the client. The others are just somehow correcting something in the database? A. Yeah. When we flip it over from one side to 	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 this THE WITNESS: Do you have some? Yeah. A. That's why. Remember when I said we don't always make notes in here. If I have notes on paper, that's in the file. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. A. So it's either here or there. THE WITNESS: Thank you. MR. REED: Trust review meeting, which is V&F 687, dated July 30th. MS. BAYLESS: What was the number, again?
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. There's a September one at the top that says a call came in from Nelva Q. Okay. A regarding Carole, ''who wants \$20,000 donation against her heritage.'' Q. Okay. So other than the it does look like the September meeting relates to an interaction with the client. The others are just somehow correcting something in the database? A. Yeah. When we flip it over from one side to the other and we did there was one time where we 	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 this THE WITNESS: Do you have some? Yeah. A. That's why. Remember when I said we don't always make notes in here. If I have notes on paper, that's in the file. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. A. So it's either here or there. THE WITNESS: Thank you. MR. REED: Trust review meeting, which is V&F 687, dated July 30th. MS. BAYLESS: What was the number, again? MR. REED: 687.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. There's a September one at the top that says a call came in from Nelva Q. Okay. A regarding Carole, ''who wants \$20,000 donation against her heritage.'' Q. Okay. So other than the it does look like the September meeting relates to an interaction with the client. The others are just somehow correcting something in the database? A. Yeah. When we flip it over from one side to the other and we did there was one time where we had a change in the software. 	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 this THE WITNESS: Do you have some? Yeah. A. That's why. Remember when I said we don't always make notes in here. If I have notes on paper, that's in the file. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. A. So it's either here or there. THE WITNESS: Thank you. MR. REED: Trust review meeting, which is V&F 687, dated July 30th. MS. BAYLESS: What was the number, again? MR. REED: 687. MS. BAYLESS: I think I have that here.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. There's a September one at the top that says a call came in from Nelva Q. Okay. A regarding Carole, "who wants \$20,000 donation against her heritage." Q. Okay. So other than the it does look like the September meeting relates to an interaction with the client. The others are just somehow correcting something in the database? A. Yeah. When we flip it over from one side to the other and we did there was one time where we had a change in the software. Q. Uh-huh. 	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 this THE WITNESS: Do you have some? Yeah. A. That's why. Remember when I said we don't always make notes in here. If I have notes on paper, that's in the file. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. A. So it's either here or there. THE WITNESS: Thank you. MR. REED: Trust review meeting, which is V&F 687, dated July 30th. MS. BAYLESS: What was the number, again? MR. REED: 687. MS. BAYLESS: I think I have that here.

60 (Pages 234 to 237)

	238		240
1	MS. BAYLESS: Sure.	1	A. No.
2	(Recess taken.)	2	Q. And there is no indication on the notes and
3	(Exhibits 19 and 20 marked.)	3	history around this time period that there even was a
4	Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. So as you indicated	4	meeting?
5	earlier, sometimes you made notes in a way other than on	5	A. No.
6	this Notes/History computer database, right?	6	Q. Or on this date that there even was a meeting.
7	A. Uh-huh. That's correct.	7	Now, when it says "signing date and
8	Q. So you're looking at what has been marked as	8	time"
9	Exhibit 19. First of all, what is that form?	9	A. That's what was scheduled.
10	A. This is a form that I would use sitting in a	10	Q. Okay. So the documents that you're talking
11	meeting with Nelva.	11	about on this form were going to be signed
12	Q. Is it supposed to be it's sort of a	12	A. On that date.
13	check-off of what revisions or what the task is to be?	13	Q on August 4th?
14	A. Correct.	14	A. Uh-huh.
15	Q. Was that a standard Vacek form?	15	Q. That's not actually what happened, right?
16	A. Yes.	16	A. I don't know. I'd have to look at the
17	Q. When it says "PM trust review meeting," what	17	documents to see when they were actually signed.
18	does "PM" mean?	18	Q. Is this referring, you believe, to Exhibit 6?
19	A. Do I really have to tell you?	19	A. Could be. I mean, it says "PAT QBD," and
20	Q. You really do. I think I've seen too many	20	that's what Exhibit 6 is. So I would assume yes.
21	initials.	21	Q. And it's after the June 15th, so there's not
22	A. It's postmortem.	22	one in between, right?
23	Q. Postmortem. Okay. All right.	23 24	A. Correct.
24 25	A. I didn't say I liked it. That's what it was	24	Q. Okay. So it just didn't end up happening then.
25	when I got there.	2.5	Do you know if there was some difficulty that made the
	239		241
1	Q. Okay. Postmortem.	1	241 signing not happen on August 4th? Was there more
1 2		1 2	
	Q. Okay. Postmortem.	1	signing not happen on August 4th? Was there more
2	Q. Okay. Postmortem.A. So somebody has died.	2	signing not happen on August 4th? Was there more revision of the documents than you expected, or do you
2 3	 Q. Okay. Postmortem. A. So somebody has died. Q. But at this point the only person who's died is Elmer? A. Elmer, uh-huh. 	2 3	signing not happen on August 4th? Was there more revision of the documents than you expected, or do you know? MR. REED: Object to form. A. I do not know. There's nothing that indicates
2 3 4 5 6	 Q. Okay. Postmortem. A. So somebody has died. Q. But at this point the only person who's died is Elmer? A. Elmer, uh-huh. Q. So how can you tell I see where it says the 	2 3 4 5 6	signing not happen on August 4th? Was there more revision of the documents than you expected, or do you know? MR. REED: Object to form. A. I do not know. There's nothing that indicates to me that any of that is true or not true.
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. Okay. Postmortem. A. So somebody has died. Q. But at this point the only person who's died is Elmer? A. Elmer, uh-huh. Q. So how can you tell I see where it says the time of the meeting and the date of the meeting. 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 signing not happen on August 4th? Was there more revision of the documents than you expected, or do you know? MR. REED: Object to form. A. I do not know. There's nothing that indicates to me that any of that is true or not true. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. And there's nothing on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. Okay. Postmortem. A. So somebody has died. Q. But at this point the only person who's died is Elmer? A. Elmer, uh-huh. Q. So how can you tell I see where it says the time of the meeting and the date of the meeting. There's no indication of how long the meeting has gone 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 signing not happen on August 4th? Was there more revision of the documents than you expected, or do you know? MR. REED: Object to form. A. I do not know. There's nothing that indicates to me that any of that is true or not true. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. And there's nothing on the notes and history about anything until
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Okay. Postmortem. A. So somebody has died. Q. But at this point the only person who's died is Elmer? A. Elmer, uh-huh. Q. So how can you tell I see where it says the time of the meeting and the date of the meeting. There's no indication of how long the meeting has gone on, is there? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 signing not happen on August 4th? Was there more revision of the documents than you expected, or do you know? MR. REED: Object to form. A. I do not know. There's nothing that indicates to me that any of that is true or not true. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. And there's nothing on the notes and history about anything until September 2nd, which is after it was already signed,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. Okay. Postmortem. A. So somebody has died. Q. But at this point the only person who's died is Elmer? A. Elmer, uh-huh. Q. So how can you tell I see where it says the time of the meeting and the date of the meeting. There's no indication of how long the meeting has gone on, is there? A. No. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 signing not happen on August 4th? Was there more revision of the documents than you expected, or do you know? MR. REED: Object to form. A. I do not know. There's nothing that indicates to me that any of that is true or not true. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. And there's nothing on the notes and history about anything until September 2nd, which is after it was already signed, right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. Okay. Postmortem. A. So somebody has died. Q. But at this point the only person who's died is Elmer? A. Elmer, uh-huh. Q. So how can you tell I see where it says the time of the meeting and the date of the meeting. There's no indication of how long the meeting has gone on, is there? A. No. Q. Can you tell from this who is in the meeting? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 signing not happen on August 4th? Was there more revision of the documents than you expected, or do you know? MR. REED: Object to form. A. I do not know. There's nothing that indicates to me that any of that is true or not true. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. And there's nothing on the notes and history about anything until September 2nd, which is after it was already signed, right? A. That's correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. Okay. Postmortem. A. So somebody has died. Q. But at this point the only person who's died is Elmer? A. Elmer, uh-huh. Q. So how can you tell I see where it says the time of the meeting and the date of the meeting. There's no indication of how long the meeting has gone on, is there? A. No. Q. Can you tell from this who is in the meeting? A. I cannot. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 signing not happen on August 4th? Was there more revision of the documents than you expected, or do you know? MR. REED: Object to form. A. I do not know. There's nothing that indicates to me that any of that is true or not true. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. And there's nothing on the notes and history about anything until September 2nd, which is after it was already signed, right? A. That's correct. Q. And, in fact, the entry on September 2nd is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Okay. Postmortem. A. So somebody has died. Q. But at this point the only person who's died is Elmer? A. Elmer, uh-huh. Q. So how can you tell I see where it says the time of the meeting and the date of the meeting. There's no indication of how long the meeting has gone on, is there? A. No. Q. Can you tell from this who is in the meeting? A. I cannot. Q. So it's about Nelva; but it doesn't indicate 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 signing not happen on August 4th? Was there more revision of the documents than you expected, or do you know? MR. REED: Object to form. A. I do not know. There's nothing that indicates to me that any of that is true or not true. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. And there's nothing on the notes and history about anything until September 2nd, which is after it was already signed, right? A. That's correct. Q. And, in fact, the entry on September 2nd is really an entry about Carole wanting what's called a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. Okay. Postmortem. A. So somebody has died. Q. But at this point the only person who's died is Elmer? A. Elmer, uh-huh. Q. So how can you tell I see where it says the time of the meeting and the date of the meeting. There's no indication of how long the meeting has gone on, is there? A. No. Q. Can you tell from this who is in the meeting? A. I cannot. Q. So it's about Nelva; but it doesn't indicate that Nelva is the only person there, right? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 signing not happen on August 4th? Was there more revision of the documents than you expected, or do you know? MR. REED: Object to form. A. I do not know. There's nothing that indicates to me that any of that is true or not true. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. And there's nothing on the notes and history about anything until September 2nd, which is after it was already signed, right? A. That's correct. Q. And, in fact, the entry on September 2nd is really an entry about Carole wanting what's called a \$20,000 donation against her heritage. I assume that's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. Okay. Postmortem. A. So somebody has died. Q. But at this point the only person who's died is Elmer? A. Elmer, uh-huh. Q. So how can you tell I see where it says the time of the meeting and the date of the meeting. There's no indication of how long the meeting has gone on, is there? A. No. Q. Can you tell from this who is in the meeting? A. I cannot. Q. So it's about Nelva; but it doesn't indicate that Nelva is the only person there, right? A. No. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 signing not happen on August 4th? Was there more revision of the documents than you expected, or do you know? MR. REED: Object to form. A. I do not know. There's nothing that indicates to me that any of that is true or not true. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. And there's nothing on the notes and history about anything until September 2nd, which is after it was already signed, right? A. That's correct. Q. And, in fact, the entry on September 2nd is really an entry about Carole wanting what's called a \$20,000 donation against her heritage. I assume that's an advancement?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. Okay. Postmortem. A. So somebody has died. Q. But at this point the only person who's died is Elmer? A. Elmer, uh-huh. Q. So how can you tell I see where it says the time of the meeting and the date of the meeting. There's no indication of how long the meeting has gone on, is there? A. No. Q. Can you tell from this who is in the meeting? A. I cannot. Q. So it's about Nelva; but it doesn't indicate that Nelva is the only person there, right? A. No. Q. And this was on July 30th. So you don't know 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 signing not happen on August 4th? Was there more revision of the documents than you expected, or do you know? MR. REED: Object to form. A. I do not know. There's nothing that indicates to me that any of that is true or not true. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. And there's nothing on the notes and history about anything until September 2nd, which is after it was already signed, right? A. That's correct. Q. And, in fact, the entry on September 2nd is really an entry about Carole wanting what's called a \$20,000 donation against her heritage. I assume that's an advancement? MR. REED: Form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. Okay. Postmortem. A. So somebody has died. Q. But at this point the only person who's died is Elmer? A. Elmer, uh-huh. Q. So how can you tell I see where it says the time of the meeting and the date of the meeting. There's no indication of how long the meeting has gone on, is there? A. No. Q. Can you tell from this who is in the meeting? A. I cannot. Q. So it's about Nelva; but it doesn't indicate that Nelva is the only person there, right? A. No. Q. And this was on July 30th. So you don't know from looking at this whether Nelva drove herself there, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 signing not happen on August 4th? Was there more revision of the documents than you expected, or do you know? MR. REED: Object to form. A. I do not know. There's nothing that indicates to me that any of that is true or not true. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. And there's nothing on the notes and history about anything until September 2nd, which is after it was already signed, right? A. That's correct. Q. And, in fact, the entry on September 2nd is really an entry about Carole wanting what's called a \$20,000 donation against her heritage. I assume that's an advancement? MR. REED: Form. A. I guess so.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Okay. Postmortem. A. So somebody has died. Q. But at this point the only person who's died is Elmer? A. Elmer, uh-huh. Q. So how can you tell I see where it says the time of the meeting and the date of the meeting. There's no indication of how long the meeting has gone on, is there? A. No. Q. Can you tell from this who is in the meeting? A. I cannot. Q. So it's about Nelva; but it doesn't indicate that Nelva is the only person there, right? A. No. Q. And this was on July 30th. So you don't know from looking at this whether Nelva drove herself there, right? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 signing not happen on August 4th? Was there more revision of the documents than you expected, or do you know? MR. REED: Object to form. A. I do not know. There's nothing that indicates to me that any of that is true or not true. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. And there's nothing on the notes and history about anything until September 2nd, which is after it was already signed, right? A. That's correct. Q. And, in fact, the entry on September 2nd is really an entry about Carole wanting what's called a \$20,000 donation against her heritage. I assume that's an advancement? MR. REED: Form. A. I guess so. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) So it really didn't have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. Okay. Postmortem. A. So somebody has died. Q. But at this point the only person who's died is Elmer? A. Elmer, uh-huh. Q. So how can you tell I see where it says the time of the meeting and the date of the meeting. There's no indication of how long the meeting has gone on, is there? A. No. Q. Can you tell from this who is in the meeting? A. I cannot. Q. So it's about Nelva; but it doesn't indicate that Nelva is the only person there, right? A. No. Q. And this was on July 30th. So you don't know from looking at this whether Nelva drove herself there, right? A. I do not recall. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 signing not happen on August 4th? Was there more revision of the documents than you expected, or do you know? MR. REED: Object to form. A. I do not know. There's nothing that indicates to me that any of that is true or not true. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. And there's nothing on the notes and history about anything until September 2nd, which is after it was already signed, right? A. That's correct. Q. And, in fact, the entry on September 2nd is really an entry about Carole wanting what's called a \$20,000 donation against her heritage. I assume that's an advancement? MR. REED: Form. A. I guess so. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) So it really didn't have anything to do with the document?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. Okay. Postmortem. A. So somebody has died. Q. But at this point the only person who's died is Elmer? A. Elmer, uh-huh. Q. So how can you tell I see where it says the time of the meeting and the date of the meeting. There's no indication of how long the meeting has gone on, is there? A. No. Q. Can you tell from this who is in the meeting? A. I cannot. Q. So it's about Nelva; but it doesn't indicate that Nelva is the only person there, right? A. No. Q. And this was on July 30th. So you don't know from looking at this whether Nelva drove herself there, right? A. I do not recall. Q. So what was the purpose of this form? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 signing not happen on August 4th? Was there more revision of the documents than you expected, or do you know? MR. REED: Object to form. A. I do not know. There's nothing that indicates to me that any of that is true or not true. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. And there's nothing on the notes and history about anything until September 2nd, which is after it was already signed, right? A. That's correct. Q. And, in fact, the entry on September 2nd is really an entry about Carole wanting what's called a \$20,000 donation against her heritage. I assume that's an advancement? MR. REED: Form. A. I guess so. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) So it really didn't have anything to do with the document? A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Okay. Postmortem. A. So somebody has died. Q. But at this point the only person who's died is Elmer? A. Elmer, uh-huh. Q. So how can you tell I see where it says the time of the meeting and the date of the meeting. There's no indication of how long the meeting has gone on, is there? A. No. Q. Can you tell from this who is in the meeting? A. I cannot. Q. So it's about Nelva; but it doesn't indicate that Nelva is the only person there, right? A. No. Q. And this was on July 30th. So you don't know from looking at this whether Nelva drove herself there, right? A. I do not recall. Q. So what was the purpose of this form? A. To assess where we were at and what documents 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 signing not happen on August 4th? Was there more revision of the documents than you expected, or do you know? MR. REED: Object to form. A. I do not know. There's nothing that indicates to me that any of that is true or not true. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. And there's nothing on the notes and history about anything until September 2nd, which is after it was already signed, right? A. That's correct. Q. And, in fact, the entry on September 2nd is really an entry about Carole wanting what's called a \$20,000 donation against her heritage. I assume that's an advancement? MR. REED: Form. A. I guess so. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) So it really didn't have anything to do with the document? A. No. Q. Okay. Look at Exhibit 20, if you would.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Okay. Postmortem. A. So somebody has died. Q. But at this point the only person who's died is Elmer? A. Elmer, uh-huh. Q. So how can you tell I see where it says the time of the meeting and the date of the meeting. There's no indication of how long the meeting has gone on, is there? A. No. Q. Can you tell from this who is in the meeting? A. I cannot. Q. So it's about Nelva; but it doesn't indicate that Nelva is the only person there, right? A. No. Q. And this was on July 30th. So you don't know from looking at this whether Nelva drove herself there, right? A. I do not recall. Q. So what was the purpose of this form? A. To assess where we were at and what documents were going to be prepared. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 signing not happen on August 4th? Was there more revision of the documents than you expected, or do you know? MR. REED: Object to form. A. I do not know. There's nothing that indicates to me that any of that is true or not true. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. And there's nothing on the notes and history about anything until September 2nd, which is after it was already signed, right? A. That's correct. Q. And, in fact, the entry on September 2nd is really an entry about Carole wanting what's called a \$20,000 donation against her heritage. I assume that's an advancement? MR. REED: Form. A. I guess so. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) So it really didn't have anything to do with the document? A. No. Q. Okay. Look at Exhibit 20, if you would. My real question we're about to get to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Okay. Postmortem. A. So somebody has died. Q. But at this point the only person who's died is Elmer? A. Elmer, uh-huh. Q. So how can you tell I see where it says the time of the meeting and the date of the meeting. There's no indication of how long the meeting has gone on, is there? A. No. Q. Can you tell from this who is in the meeting? A. I cannot. Q. So it's about Nelva; but it doesn't indicate that Nelva is the only person there, right? A. No. Q. And this was on July 30th. So you don't know from looking at this whether Nelva drove herself there, right? A. I do not recall. Q. So what was the purpose of this form? A. To assess where we were at and what documents 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 signing not happen on August 4th? Was there more revision of the documents than you expected, or do you know? MR. REED: Object to form. A. I do not know. There's nothing that indicates to me that any of that is true or not true. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. And there's nothing on the notes and history about anything until September 2nd, which is after it was already signed, right? A. That's correct. Q. And, in fact, the entry on September 2nd is really an entry about Carole wanting what's called a \$20,000 donation against her heritage. I assume that's an advancement? MR. REED: Form. A. I guess so. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) So it really didn't have anything to do with the document? A. No. Q. Okay. Look at Exhibit 20, if you would.

61 (Pages 238 to 241)

	242	244
1	A. 11 what?	¹ says "re questions about power of attorney" I'm
2	Q. 1195.	² assuming POA is power of attorney?
3	A. Uh-huh.	3 A. Uh-huh.
4	Q. Actually I gave you the wrong page number.	4 Q "and gifting." It says, "Mom gave bro"
5	It's 1194. Look at 1194.	⁵ so I assume that's Carl "25,000 instead of paying
6	You see that it looks to me like this	⁶ medical bills directly. She has questions about the POA
7	entire Exhibit 20 is in the Notes/History on page 1194	⁷ clause in the living trust. Please call."
8	under this same date of October 7, 2010.	⁸ Did I read that right?
9	A. Okay.	9 A. Down here?
10	Q. So do you have any idea why I mean, these	¹⁰ Q. Yes.
11	were both produced. Do you have any idea why Exhibit 20	¹¹ A. Okay.
12	is somehow independent of the notes and history but it's	¹² Q. So here we have Anita calling to ask questions
13	also included in the notes and history?	¹³ about the power of attorney. Is she talking about a
14	A. Yeah.	¹⁴ power of attorney that she held, or do you know?
15	Q. And why is that?	¹⁵ A. I don't know.
16	A. Because this does not have spell-check.	¹⁶ Q. And gifting. And she talked with Summer, but
17	Sometimes I type it into Word and throw it in there so	¹⁷ it looks like you called her back, right, because if you
18	it will not have a bunch of typos.	¹⁸ look at the next entry, you returned Anita's call.
19	Q. Okay.	¹⁹ A. Uh-huh. That's correct.
20	A. That happens, or I'll throw in my actual	Q. Okay. Why don't you read it, and then we'll
21	e-mail. If you look, sometimes you'll see some e-mails.	²¹ talk about it.
22	You can actually copy and paste an e-mail in there too.	A. "Anita is concerned about her mom."
23	Q. Okay.	Q. You can just read it to yourself.
24	A. And sometimes I'll do that rather than just	24 A. Sorry. Thank you. 25 O 25 O 25 O
25	retyping it.	²⁵ Q. That's all right. It's a long entry. So I
	243	245
1		
1 2	Q. Okay. So was there a reason why you wanted	¹ just wanted you to familiarize yourself with it.
	Q. Okay. So was there a reason why you wanted Exhibit 20 to be spell-checked?	 just wanted you to familiarize yourself with it. A. Okay.
2	Q. Okay. So was there a reason why you wanted	 just wanted you to familiarize yourself with it. A. Okay.
2 3	Q. Okay. So was there a reason why you wantedExhibit 20 to be spell-checked?MR. REED: Objection, form.	 just wanted you to familiarize yourself with it. A. Okay. Q. So this appears to be an entry in which
2 3 4	 Q. Okay. So was there a reason why you wanted Exhibit 20 to be spell-checked? MR. REED: Objection, form. A. No. I mean, just it depends on where I 	 just wanted you to familiarize yourself with it. A. Okay. Q. So this appears to be an entry in which Anita you're recording a call that you made,
2 3 4 5	 Q. Okay. So was there a reason why you wanted Exhibit 20 to be spell-checked? MR. REED: Objection, form. A. No. I mean, just it depends on where I typed it. Depends on where I was when I had the 	 just wanted you to familiarize yourself with it. A. Okay. Q. So this appears to be an entry in which Anita you're recording a call that you made, returning Anita's call; and she is concerned that her
2 3 4 5 6	 Q. Okay. So was there a reason why you wanted Exhibit 20 to be spell-checked? MR. REED: Objection, form. A. No. I mean, just it depends on where I typed it. Depends on where I was when I had the conversation and I documented it. Maybe I wasn't at the 	 just wanted you to familiarize yourself with it. A. Okay. Q. So this appears to be an entry in which Anita you're recording a call that you made, returning Anita's call; and she is concerned that her mother has been sick and in the hospital with pneumonia.
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. Okay. So was there a reason why you wanted Exhibit 20 to be spell-checked? MR. REED: Objection, form. A. No. I mean, just it depends on where I typed it. Depends on where I was when I had the conversation and I documented it. Maybe I wasn't at the office and have or BPN'd in. It could be a litany of 	 just wanted you to familiarize yourself with it. A. Okay. Q. So this appears to be an entry in which Anita you're recording a call that you made, returning Anita's call; and she is concerned that her mother has been sick and in the hospital with pneumonia. A. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. Okay. So was there a reason why you wanted Exhibit 20 to be spell-checked? MR. REED: Objection, form. A. No. I mean, just it depends on where I typed it. Depends on where I was when I had the conversation and I documented it. Maybe I wasn't at the office and have or BPN'd in. It could be a litany of reasons. 	 just wanted you to familiarize yourself with it. A. Okay. Q. So this appears to be an entry in which Anita you're recording a call that you made, returning Anita's call; and she is concerned that her mother has been sick and in the hospital with pneumonia. A. Okay. Q. And her compromised lungs and that her other
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Okay. So was there a reason why you wanted Exhibit 20 to be spell-checked? MR. REED: Objection, form. A. No. I mean, just it depends on where I typed it. Depends on where I was when I had the conversation and I documented it. Maybe I wasn't at the office and have or BPN'd in. It could be a litany of reasons. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) All right. So it is you 	 just wanted you to familiarize yourself with it. A. Okay. Q. So this appears to be an entry in which Anita you're recording a call that you made, returning Anita's call; and she is concerned that her mother has been sick and in the hospital with pneumonia. A. Okay. Q. And her compromised lungs and that her other she mentioned to her other sister that she's getting stressed out over the pressure she's getting from the wife, Carl's wife, that is in the hospital.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. Okay. So was there a reason why you wanted Exhibit 20 to be spell-checked? MR. REED: Objection, form. A. No. I mean, just it depends on where I typed it. Depends on where I was when I had the conversation and I documented it. Maybe I wasn't at the office and have or BPN'd in. It could be a litany of reasons. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) All right. So it is you just pasted it into the notes? 	 just wanted you to familiarize yourself with it. A. Okay. Q. So this appears to be an entry in which Anita you're recording a call that you made, returning Anita's call; and she is concerned that her mother has been sick and in the hospital with pneumonia. A. Okay. Q. And her compromised lungs and that her other she mentioned to her other sister that she's getting stressed out over the pressure she's getting from the wife, Carl's wife, that is in the hospital. Do you know who the other sister was? Did
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. Okay. So was there a reason why you wanted Exhibit 20 to be spell-checked? MR. REED: Objection, form. A. No. I mean, just it depends on where I typed it. Depends on where I was when I had the conversation and I documented it. Maybe I wasn't at the office and have or BPN'd in. It could be a litany of reasons. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) All right. So it is you just pasted it into the notes? A. Of course, yes. 	 just wanted you to familiarize yourself with it. A. Okay. Q. So this appears to be an entry in which Anita you're recording a call that you made, returning Anita's call; and she is concerned that her mother has been sick and in the hospital with pneumonia. A. Okay. Q. And her compromised lungs and that her other she mentioned to her other sister that she's getting stressed out over the pressure she's getting from the wife, Carl's wife, that is in the hospital. Do you know who the other sister was? Did she tell you who
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. Okay. So was there a reason why you wanted Exhibit 20 to be spell-checked? MR. REED: Objection, form. A. No. I mean, just it depends on where I typed it. Depends on where I was when I had the conversation and I documented it. Maybe I wasn't at the office and have or BPN'd in. It could be a litany of reasons. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) All right. So it is you just pasted it into the notes? A. Of course, yes. Q. Okay. So going back to page 1195. A. Okay. Q. On September 2nd you drafted the distribution 	 just wanted you to familiarize yourself with it. A. Okay. Q. So this appears to be an entry in which Anita you're recording a call that you made, returning Anita's call; and she is concerned that her mother has been sick and in the hospital with pneumonia. A. Okay. Q. And her compromised lungs and that her other she mentioned to her other sister that she's getting stressed out over the pressure she's getting from the wife, Carl's wife, that is in the hospital. Do you know who the other sister was? Did she tell you who A. She may have. I don't recall.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. Okay. So was there a reason why you wanted Exhibit 20 to be spell-checked? MR. REED: Objection, form. A. No. I mean, just it depends on where I typed it. Depends on where I was when I had the conversation and I documented it. Maybe I wasn't at the office and have or BPN'd in. It could be a litany of reasons. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) All right. So it is you just pasted it into the notes? A. Of course, yes. Q. Okay. So going back to page 1195. A. Okay. Q. On September 2nd you drafted the distribution letter for Carole's request, right? Well, Summer did. 	 just wanted you to familiarize yourself with it. A. Okay. Q. So this appears to be an entry in which Anita you're recording a call that you made, returning Anita's call; and she is concerned that her mother has been sick and in the hospital with pneumonia. A. Okay. Q. And her compromised lungs and that her other she mentioned to her other sister that she's getting stressed out over the pressure she's getting from the wife, Carl's wife, that is in the hospital. Do you know who the other sister was? Did she tell you who A. She may have. I don't recall. Q. Okay. So this wasn't even a conversation that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. Okay. So was there a reason why you wanted Exhibit 20 to be spell-checked? MR. REED: Objection, form. A. No. I mean, just it depends on where I typed it. Depends on where I was when I had the conversation and I documented it. Maybe I wasn't at the office and have or BPN'd in. It could be a litany of reasons. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) All right. So it is you just pasted it into the notes? A. Of course, yes. Q. Okay. So going back to page 1195. A. Okay. Q. On September 2nd you drafted the distribution letter for Carole's request, right? Well, Summer did. A. I'm sorry. Where are you? 	 just wanted you to familiarize yourself with it. A. Okay. Q. So this appears to be an entry in which Anita you're recording a call that you made, returning Anita's call; and she is concerned that her mother has been sick and in the hospital with pneumonia. A. Okay. Q. And her compromised lungs and that her other she mentioned to her other sister that she's getting stressed out over the pressure she's getting from the wife, Carl's wife, that is in the hospital. Do you know who the other sister was? Did she tell you who A. She may have. I don't recall. Q. Okay. So this wasn't even a conversation that she had with Nelva. This was one she was relaying to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. Okay. So was there a reason why you wanted Exhibit 20 to be spell-checked? MR. REED: Objection, form. A. No. I mean, just it depends on where I typed it. Depends on where I was when I had the conversation and I documented it. Maybe I wasn't at the office and have or BPN'd in. It could be a litany of reasons. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) All right. So it is you just pasted it into the notes? A. Of course, yes. Q. Okay. So going back to page 1195. A. Okay. Q. On September 2nd you drafted the distribution letter for Carole's request, right? Well, Summer did. A. I'm sorry. Where are you? Q. I'm down at the bottom, September 2nd. 	 just wanted you to familiarize yourself with it. A. Okay. Q. So this appears to be an entry in which Anita you're recording a call that you made, returning Anita's call; and she is concerned that her mother has been sick and in the hospital with pneumonia. A. Okay. Q. And her compromised lungs and that her other she mentioned to her other sister that she's getting stressed out over the pressure she's getting from the wife, Carl's wife, that is in the hospital. Do you know who the other sister was? Did she tell you who A. She may have. I don't recall. Q. Okay. So this wasn't even a conversation that she had with Nelva. This was one she was relaying to you that Nelva had had with another sister, right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Okay. So was there a reason why you wanted Exhibit 20 to be spell-checked? MR. REED: Objection, form. A. No. I mean, just it depends on where I typed it. Depends on where I was when I had the conversation and I documented it. Maybe I wasn't at the office and have or BPN'd in. It could be a litany of reasons. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) All right. So it is you just pasted it into the notes? A. Of course, yes. Q. Okay. So going back to page 1195. A. Okay. Q. On September 2nd you drafted the distribution letter for Carole's request, right? Well, Summer did. A. I'm sorry. Where are you? Q. I'm down at the bottom, September 2nd. A. Of? 	 just wanted you to familiarize yourself with it. A. Okay. Q. So this appears to be an entry in which Anita you're recording a call that you made, returning Anita's call; and she is concerned that her mother has been sick and in the hospital with pneumonia. A. Okay. Q. And her compromised lungs and that her other she mentioned to her other sister that she's getting stressed out over the pressure she's getting from the wife, Carl's wife, that is in the hospital. Do you know who the other sister was? Did she tell you who A. She may have. I don't recall. Q. Okay. So this wasn't even a conversation that she had with Nelva. This was one she was relaying to you that Nelva had had with another sister, right? A. I suppose so.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. Okay. So was there a reason why you wanted Exhibit 20 to be spell-checked? MR. REED: Objection, form. A. No. I mean, just it depends on where I typed it. Depends on where I was when I had the conversation and I documented it. Maybe I wasn't at the office and have or BPN'd in. It could be a litany of reasons. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) All right. So it is you just pasted it into the notes? A. Of course, yes. Q. Okay. So going back to page 1195. A. Okay. Q. On September 2nd you drafted the distribution letter for Carole's request, right? Well, Summer did. A. I'm sorry. Where are you? Q. I'm down at the bottom, September 2nd. A. Of? Q. 2010? 	 just wanted you to familiarize yourself with it. A. Okay. Q. So this appears to be an entry in which Anita you're recording a call that you made, returning Anita's call; and she is concerned that her mother has been sick and in the hospital with pneumonia. A. Okay. Q. And her compromised lungs and that her other she mentioned to her other sister that she's getting stressed out over the pressure she's getting from the wife, Carl's wife, that is in the hospital. Do you know who the other sister was? Did she tell you who A. She may have. I don't recall. Q. Okay. So this wasn't even a conversation that she had with Nelva. This was one she was relaying to you that Nelva had had with another sister, right? A. I suppose so. Q. Isn't that how you read it? Tm not trying
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. Okay. So was there a reason why you wanted Exhibit 20 to be spell-checked? MR. REED: Objection, form. A. No. I mean, just it depends on where I typed it. Depends on where I was when I had the conversation and I documented it. Maybe I wasn't at the office and have or BPN'd in. It could be a litany of reasons. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) All right. So it is you just pasted it into the notes? A. Of course, yes. Q. Okay. So going back to page 1195. A. Okay. Q. On September 2nd you drafted the distribution letter for Carole's request, right? Well, Summer did. A. I'm sorry. Where are you? Q. I'm down at the bottom, September 2nd. A. Of? Q. 2010? A. What page? 	 just wanted you to familiarize yourself with it. A. Okay. Q. So this appears to be an entry in which Anita you're recording a call that you made, returning Anita's call; and she is concerned that her mother has been sick and in the hospital with pneumonia. A. Okay. Q. And her compromised lungs and that her other she mentioned to her other sister that she's getting stressed out over the pressure she's getting from the wife, Carl's wife, that is in the hospital. Do you know who the other sister was? Did she tell you who A. She may have. I don't recall. Q. Okay. So this wasn't even a conversation that she had with Nelva. This was one she was relaying to you that Nelva had had with another sister, right? A. I suppose so. Q. Isn't that how you read it? Tm not trying to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Okay. So was there a reason why you wanted Exhibit 20 to be spell-checked? MR. REED: Objection, form. A. No. I mean, just it depends on where I typed it. Depends on where I was when I had the conversation and I documented it. Maybe I wasn't at the office and have or BPN'd in. It could be a litany of reasons. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) All right. So it is you just pasted it into the notes? A. Of course, yes. Q. Okay. So going back to page 1195. A. Okay. Q. On September 2nd you drafted the distribution letter for Carole's request, right? Well, Summer did. A. I'm sorry. Where are you? Q. I'm down at the bottom, September 2nd. A. Of? Q. 2010? A. What page? Q. 1195. So you dealt with Nelva's request about 	 just wanted you to familiarize yourself with it. A. Okay. Q. So this appears to be an entry in which Anita you're recording a call that you made, returning Anita's call; and she is concerned that her mother has been sick and in the hospital with pneumonia. A. Okay. Q. And her compromised lungs and that her other she mentioned to her other sister that she's getting stressed out over the pressure she's getting from the wife, Carl's wife, that is in the hospital. Do you know who the other sister was? Did she tell you who A. She may have. I don't recall. Q. Okay. So this wasn't even a conversation that she had with Nelva. This was one she was relaying to you that Nelva had had with another sister, right? A. I suppose so. Q. Isn't that how you read it? I'm not trying to A. It's hard to tell.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Okay. So was there a reason why you wanted Exhibit 20 to be spell-checked? MR. REED: Objection, form. A. No. I mean, just it depends on where I typed it. Depends on where I was when I had the conversation and I documented it. Maybe I wasn't at the office and have or BPN'd in. It could be a litany of reasons. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) All right. So it is you just pasted it into the notes? A. Of course, yes. Q. Okay. So going back to page 1195. A. Okay. Q. On September 2nd you drafted the distribution letter for Carole's request, right? Well, Summer did. A. I'm sorry. Where are you? Q. I'm down at the bottom, September 2nd. A. Of? Q. 2010? A. What page? Q. 1195. So you dealt with Nelva's request about Carole wanting an advance, right? 	 just wanted you to familiarize yourself with it. A. Okay. Q. So this appears to be an entry in which Anita you're recording a call that you made, returning Anita's call; and she is concerned that her mother has been sick and in the hospital with pneumonia. A. Okay. Q. And her compromised lungs and that her other she mentioned to her other sister that she's getting stressed out over the pressure she's getting from the wife, Carl's wife, that is in the hospital. Do you know who the other sister was? Did she tell you who A. She may have. I don't recall. Q. Okay. So this wasn't even a conversation that she had with Nelva. This was one she was relaying to you that Nelva had had with another sister, right? A. I suppose so. Q. Isn't that how you read it? Tm not trying to A. It's hard to tell. Q. And she was also concerned because her mother
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Okay. So was there a reason why you wanted Exhibit 20 to be spell-checked? MR. REED: Objection, form. A. No. I mean, just it depends on where I typed it. Depends on where I was when I had the conversation and I documented it. Maybe I wasn't at the office and have or BPN'd in. It could be a litany of reasons. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) All right. So it is you just pasted it into the notes? A. Of course, yes. Q. Okay. So going back to page 1195. A. Okay. Q. On September 2nd you drafted the distribution letter for Carole's request, right? Well, Summer did. A. I'm sorry. Where are you? Q. I'm down at the bottom, September 2nd. A. Of? Q. 2010? A. What page? Q. 1195. So you dealt with Nelva's request about Carole wanting an advance, right? 	 just wanted you to familiarize yourself with it. A. Okay. Q. So this appears to be an entry in which Anita you're recording a call that you made, returning Anita's call; and she is concerned that her mother has been sick and in the hospital with pneumonia. A. Okay. Q. And her compromised lungs and that her other she mentioned to her other sister that she's getting stressed out over the pressure she's getting from the wife, Carl's wife, that is in the hospital. Do you know who the other sister was? Did she tell you who A. She may have. I don't recall. Q. Okay. So this wasn't even a conversation that she had with Nelva. This was one she was relaying to you that Nelva had had with another sister, right? A. I suppose so. Q. Isn't that how you read it? Tm not trying to Q. And she was also concerned because her mother had sent this check to Carl that had bounced, and she
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Okay. So was there a reason why you wanted Exhibit 20 to be spell-checked? MR. REED: Objection, form. A. No. I mean, just it depends on where I typed it. Depends on where I was when I had the conversation and I documented it. Maybe I wasn't at the office and have or BPN'd in. It could be a litany of reasons. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) All right. So it is you just pasted it into the notes? A. Of course, yes. Q. Okay. So going back to page 1195. A. Okay. Q. On September 2nd you drafted the distribution letter for Carole's request, right? Well, Summer did. A. I'm sorry. Where are you? Q. I'm down at the bottom, September 2nd. A. Of? Q. 2010? A. What page? Q. 1195. So you dealt with Nelva's request about Carole wanting an advance, right? 	 just wanted you to familiarize yourself with it. A. Okay. Q. So this appears to be an entry in which Anita you're recording a call that you made, returning Anita's call; and she is concerned that her mother has been sick and in the hospital with pneumonia. A. Okay. Q. And her compromised lungs and that her other she mentioned to her other sister that she's getting stressed out over the pressure she's getting from the wife, Carl's wife, that is in the hospital. Do you know who the other sister was? Did she tell you who A. She may have. I don't recall. Q. Okay. So this wasn't even a conversation that she had with Nelva. This was one she was relaying to you that Nelva had had with another sister, right? A. I suppose so. Q. Isn't that how you read it? Tm not trying to A. It's hard to tell. Q. And she was also concerned because her mother

62 (Pages 242 to 245)

	246		248
1	tell him that she needed the money transfer.	1	whether Carole, who was in Houston, could be helpful?
2	Is that what she told you?	2	A. I don't recall. The only thing I can I must
3	A. That's what it appears to say, yes.	3	have had at least some conversation because I listed her
4	Q. Okay. And your suggestion was that Nelva	4	as or suggested or it was going to be done that
5	should resign and Anita should take over, or you gave	5	Carole was the first person on healthcare documents.
6	her that as an option?	6	And that would be an obvious choice since she's local.
7	A. It says that I "suggested that if Mom is	7	Q. You're looking at Exhibit 19?
8	willing to resign, that it's the best option for her to	8	A. Yes, that's correct.
9	accept the responsibility for now."	9	Q. On the second page of that? Is that what
10	Q. Okay. And so the whole resignation discussion	10	you're talking about?
11	was initiated from this conversation, right?	11	A. Yes.
12	A. I don't recall.	12	Q. And I think there had been some discussion
13	Q. Well, Nelva hadn't contacted you and said, I	13	earlier about Carole had been helpful when Elmer was
14	want to resign as trustee?	14	ill.
15	A. Not that I recall.	15	A. That's correct.
16	Q. Okay. And there aren't any entries in any of	16	Q. And Nelva appreciated that, right?
17	the notes or the history or pieces of paper like	17	A. That's correct.
18	Exhibit 20 that you have that say that, right?	18	Q. So did Anita ever raise the issue about Carole
19	A. Not that I have seen.	19	being involved in these discussions?
20	Q. And did Anita respond to the suggestion that	20	A. In these discussions about what?
21	her mother resign?	21	Q. About what to do with this pressure that her
22	A. I don't recall.	22	mother was feeling, where you were suggesting the
23	Q. Was there any indication from Anita that the	23	resignation.
24	resignation was a good idea before you raised it?	24	A. I don't recall. I have no idea.
25	A. I don't recall.	25	Q. Okay. So at some point in time it was decided
	247		249
1	Q. And then in this entry we're still talking	1	that a conference call was going to take place, right?
2	about this 10-6-2010 entry on page 1195 of Exhibit 17	2	A. Yes.
3			A. Ies.
	there's a paragraph that says that "the best option for	3	
4	there's a paragraph that says that "the best option for her to accept the responsibility" is for her to	1	Q. And tell me what you remember about how that
4 5	her to accept the responsibility" is for her to	3	
		3 4	Q. And tell me what you remember about how that developed, if you would.
5	her to accept the responsibility" is for her to accept the responsibility now "and that she can open an	3 4 5	Q. And tell me what you remember about how that developed, if you would.A. As I recall, the first thing was the bounced
5 6	her to accept the responsibility" is for her to accept the responsibility now "and that she can open an account in Mom's name alone, with her as a cosigner, and POD to the trust" what is POD?	3 4 5 6	Q. And tell me what you remember about how that developed, if you would.A. As I recall, the first thing was the bounced check of \$25,000, which I did believe was out of
5 6 7	her to accept the responsibility" is for her to accept the responsibility now "and that she can open an account in Mom's name alone, with her as a cosigner, and	3 4 5 6 7	 Q. And tell me what you remember about how that developed, if you would. A. As I recall, the first thing was the bounced check of \$25,000, which I did believe was out of character for Ms. Brunsting. But people have bounced
5 6 7 8	her to accept the responsibility" is for her to accept the responsibility now "and that she can open an account in Mom's name alone, with her as a cosigner, and POD to the trust" what is POD? A. Payable on death.	3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. And tell me what you remember about how that developed, if you would. A. As I recall, the first thing was the bounced check of \$25,000, which I did believe was out of character for Ms. Brunsting. But people have bounced checks before, so it's not anything that I would be
5 6 7 8 9	 her to accept the responsibility" is for her to accept the responsibility now "and that she can open an account in Mom's name alone, with her as a cosigner, and POD to the trust" what is POD? A. Payable on death. Q "to the trust so that Mom could have the 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. And tell me what you remember about how that developed, if you would. A. As I recall, the first thing was the bounced check of \$25,000, which I did believe was out of character for Ms. Brunsting. But people have bounced checks before, so it's not anything that I would be overly concerned about.
5 6 7 8 9 10	 her to accept the responsibility" is for her to accept the responsibility now "and that she can open an account in Mom's name alone, with her as a cosigner, and POD to the trust" what is POD? A. Payable on death. Q "to the trust so that Mom could have the freedom to write checks but that it will be monitored." 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. And tell me what you remember about how that developed, if you would. A. As I recall, the first thing was the bounced check of \$25,000, which I did believe was out of character for Ms. Brunsting. But people have bounced checks before, so it's not anything that I would be overly concerned about. But I believe there were two or another
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 her to accept the responsibility" is for her to accept the responsibility now "and that she can open an account in Mom's name alone, with her as a cosigner, and POD to the trust" what is POD? A. Payable on death. Q "to the trust so that Mom could have the freedom to write checks but that it will be monitored." A. Correct. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. And tell me what you remember about how that developed, if you would. A. As I recall, the first thing was the bounced check of \$25,000, which I did believe was out of character for Ms. Brunsting. But people have bounced checks before, so it's not anything that I would be overly concerned about. But I believe there were two or another call from Ms. Brunsting asking me to take Carl off of
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 her to accept the responsibility" is for her to accept the responsibility now "and that she can open an account in Mom's name alone, with her as a cosigner, and POD to the trust" what is POD? A. Payable on death. Q "to the trust so that Mom could have the freedom to write checks but that it will be monitored." A. Correct. Q. Is this ultimately the arrangement that was 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. And tell me what you remember about how that developed, if you would. A. As I recall, the first thing was the bounced check of \$25,000, which I did believe was out of character for Ms. Brunsting. But people have bounced checks before, so it's not anything that I would be overly concerned about. But I believe there were two or another call from Ms. Brunsting asking me to take Carl off of things.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 her to accept the responsibility" is for her to accept the responsibility now "and that she can open an account in Mom's name alone, with her as a cosigner, and POD to the trust" what is POD? A. Payable on death. Q "to the trust so that Mom could have the freedom to write checks but that it will be monitored." A. Correct. Q. Is this ultimately the arrangement that was being suggested? Is this ultimately what resulted in 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. And tell me what you remember about how that developed, if you would. A. As I recall, the first thing was the bounced check of \$25,000, which I did believe was out of character for Ms. Brunsting. But people have bounced checks before, so it's not anything that I would be overly concerned about. But I believe there were two or another call from Ms. Brunsting asking me to take Carl off of things. And I said we had already done that.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 her to accept the responsibility" is for her to accept the responsibility now "and that she can open an account in Mom's name alone, with her as a cosigner, and POD to the trust" what is POD? A. Payable on death. Q "to the trust so that Mom could have the freedom to write checks but that it will be monitored." A. Correct. Q. Is this ultimately the arrangement that was being suggested? Is this ultimately what resulted in the account that Carole was a signer on? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. And tell me what you remember about how that developed, if you would. A. As I recall, the first thing was the bounced check of \$25,000, which I did believe was out of character for Ms. Brunsting. But people have bounced checks before, so it's not anything that I would be overly concerned about. But I believe there were two or another call from Ms. Brunsting asking me to take Carl off of things. And I said we had already done that. We're good. It's covered. So that concerned me, that
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	her to accept the responsibility" is for her to accept the responsibility now "and that she can open an account in Mom's name alone, with her as a cosigner, and POD to the trust" what is POD? A. Payable on death. Q "to the trust so that Mom could have the freedom to write checks but that it will be monitored." A. Correct. Q. Is this ultimately the arrangement that was being suggested? Is this ultimately what resulted in the account that Carole was a signer on? MR. REED: Object to form.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. And tell me what you remember about how that developed, if you would. A. As I recall, the first thing was the bounced check of \$25,000, which I did believe was out of character for Ms. Brunsting. But people have bounced checks before, so it's not anything that I would be overly concerned about. But I believe there were two or another call from Ms. Brunsting asking me to take Carl off of things. And I said we had already done that. We're good. It's covered. So that concerned me, that she was asking me to make changes that we had already
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 her to accept the responsibility" is for her to accept the responsibility now "and that she can open an account in Mom's name alone, with her as a cosigner, and POD to the trust" what is POD? A. Payable on death. Q "to the trust so that Mom could have the freedom to write checks but that it will be monitored." A. Correct. Q. Is this ultimately the arrangement that was being suggested? Is this ultimately what resulted in the account that Carole was a signer on? MR. REED: Object to form. A. I have no idea. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. And tell me what you remember about how that developed, if you would. A. As I recall, the first thing was the bounced check of \$25,000, which I did believe was out of character for Ms. Brunsting. But people have bounced checks before, so it's not anything that I would be overly concerned about. But I believe there were two or another call from Ms. Brunsting asking me to take Carl off of things. And I said we had already done that. We're good. It's covered. So that concerned me, that she was asking me to make changes that we had already made.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 her to accept the responsibility" is for her to accept the responsibility now "and that she can open an account in Mom's name alone, with her as a cosigner, and POD to the trust" what is POD? A. Payable on death. Q "to the trust so that Mom could have the freedom to write checks but that it will be monitored." A. Correct. Q. Is this ultimately the arrangement that was being suggested? Is this ultimately what resulted in the account that Carole was a signer on? MR. REED: Object to form. A. I have no idea. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. And tell me what you remember about how that developed, if you would. A. As I recall, the first thing was the bounced check of \$25,000, which I did believe was out of character for Ms. Brunsting. But people have bounced checks before, so it's not anything that I would be overly concerned about. But I believe there were two or another call from Ms. Brunsting asking me to take Carl off of things. And I said we had already done that. We're good. It's covered. So that concerned me, that she was asking me to make changes that we had already made. Q. Okay. Let's look at page 1194 of Exhibit 17.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 her to accept the responsibility" is for her to accept the responsibility now "and that she can open an account in Mom's name alone, with her as a cosigner, and POD to the trust" what is POD? A. Payable on death. Q "to the trust so that Mom could have the freedom to write checks but that it will be monitored." A. Correct. Q. Is this ultimately the arrangement that was being suggested? Is this ultimately what resulted in the account that Carole was a signer on? MR. REED: Object to form. A. I have no idea. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. A. I can only make recommendations. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. And tell me what you remember about how that developed, if you would. A. As I recall, the first thing was the bounced check of \$25,000, which I did believe was out of character for Ms. Brunsting. But people have bounced checks before, so it's not anything that I would be overly concerned about. But I believe there were two or another call from Ms. Brunsting asking me to take Carl off of things. And I said we had already done that. We're good. It's covered. So that concerned me, that she was asking me to make changes that we had already made. Q. Okay. Let's look at page 1194 of Exhibit 17. I think this may be the notes of the conversation you're
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 her to accept the responsibility" is for her to accept the responsibility now "and that she can open an account in Mom's name alone, with her as a cosigner, and POD to the trust" what is POD? A. Payable on death. Q "to the trust so that Mom could have the freedom to write checks but that it will be monitored." A. Correct. Q. Is this ultimately the arrangement that was being suggested? Is this ultimately what resulted in the account that Carole was a signer on? MR. REED: Object to form. A. I have no idea. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. A. I can only make recommendations. Q. And this but during this time, you know that 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. And tell me what you remember about how that developed, if you would. A. As I recall, the first thing was the bounced check of \$25,000, which I did believe was out of character for Ms. Brunsting. But people have bounced checks before, so it's not anything that I would be overly concerned about. But I believe there were two or another call from Ms. Brunsting asking me to take Carl off of things. And I said we had already done that. We're good. It's covered. So that concerned me, that she was asking me to make changes that we had already made. Q. Okay. Let's look at page 1194 of Exhibit 17. I think this may be the notes of the conversation you're talking about you had with Nelva.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 her to accept the responsibility" is for her to accept the responsibility now "and that she can open an account in Mom's name alone, with her as a cosigner, and POD to the trust" what is POD? A. Payable on death. Q "to the trust so that Mom could have the freedom to write checks but that it will be monitored." A. Correct. Q. Is this ultimately the arrangement that was being suggested? Is this ultimately what resulted in the account that Carole was a signer on? MR. REED: Object to form. A. I have no idea. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. A. I can only make recommendations. Q. And this but during this time, you know that Anita was living in Victoria, right? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. And tell me what you remember about how that developed, if you would. A. As I recall, the first thing was the bounced check of \$25,000, which I did believe was out of character for Ms. Brunsting. But people have bounced checks before, so it's not anything that I would be overly concerned about. But I believe there were two or another call from Ms. Brunsting asking me to take Carl off of things. And I said we had already done that. We're good. It's covered. So that concerned me, that she was asking me to make changes that we had already made. Q. Okay. Let's look at page 1194 of Exhibit 17. I think this may be the notes of the conversation you're talking about you had with Nelva. A. Is this Exhibit 20? I mean, it's the same
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 her to accept the responsibility" is for her to accept the responsibility now "and that she can open an account in Mom's name alone, with her as a cosigner, and POD to the trust" what is POD? A. Payable on death. Q "to the trust so that Mom could have the freedom to write checks but that it will be monitored." A. Correct. Q. Is this ultimately the arrangement that was being suggested? Is this ultimately what resulted in the account that Carole was a signer on? MR. REED: Object to form. A. I have no idea. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. A. I can only make recommendations. Q. And this but during this time, you know that Anita was living in Victoria, right? A. I believe that's correct, yes. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. And tell me what you remember about how that developed, if you would. A. As I recall, the first thing was the bounced check of \$25,000, which I did believe was out of character for Ms. Brunsting. But people have bounced checks before, so it's not anything that I would be overly concerned about. But I believe there were two or another call from Ms. Brunsting asking me to take Carl off of things. And I said we had already done that. We're good. It's covered. So that concerned me, that she was asking me to make changes that we had already made. Q. Okay. Let's look at page 1194 of Exhibit 17. I think this may be the notes of the conversation you're talking about you had with Nelva. A. Is this Exhibit 20? I mean, it's the same exact thing, correct?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 her to accept the responsibility" is for her to accept the responsibility now "and that she can open an account in Mom's name alone, with her as a cosigner, and POD to the trust" what is POD? A. Payable on death. Q "to the trust so that Mom could have the freedom to write checks but that it will be monitored." A. Correct. Q. Is this ultimately the arrangement that was being suggested? Is this ultimately what resulted in the account that Carole was a signer on? MR. REED: Object to form. A. I have no idea. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. A. I can only make recommendations. Q. And this but during this time, you know that Anita was living in Victoria, right? A. I believe that's correct, yes. Q. Okay. Had you ever had any contact with 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. And tell me what you remember about how that developed, if you would. A. As I recall, the first thing was the bounced check of \$25,000, which I did believe was out of character for Ms. Brunsting. But people have bounced checks before, so it's not anything that I would be overly concerned about. But I believe there were two or another call from Ms. Brunsting asking me to take Carl off of things. And I said we had already done that. We're good. It's covered. So that concerned me, that she was asking me to make changes that we had already made. Q. Okay. Let's look at page 1194 of Exhibit 17. I think this may be the notes of the conversation you're talking about you had with Nelva. A. Is this Exhibit 20? I mean, it's the same exact thing, correct? Q. Yeah. Actually it is, and you can probably

63 (Pages 246 to 249)

	250	252
1	an October 7th, 2010 conversation with Nelva.	¹ A. Because I had just asked Ms. Brunsting if she
2	So she called you?	² was if it was okay to talk. She said, yes, she was
3	A. I don't know.	³ private but that Carole was there. But I didn't realize
4	Q. Okay.	4 that there was someone else on the phone.
5	A. It says "Call to Nelva Brunsting by CLF," so	⁵ Q. Did you have an impression that Nelva realized
6	I'm assuming I called her.	6 that Carole was on the phone?
7	Q. So maybe this was prompted by the conversation	⁷ A. I didn't have any impression either way. It
8	you had with Anita, do you think?	⁸ just surprised me.
9	MR. REED: Objection, form.	9 Q. Okay. She didn't act surprised when Carole
10	A. Most likely.	¹⁰ started talking?
11	Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. So you ask her if it	¹¹ A. (Witness shakes head negatively.)
12	was okay to talk because she had a caregiver coming in	¹² Q. Okay. So you discussed then with both Nelva
13	to help her?	 and Carole this Edward Jones issue and the bounced
14	A. Correct.	¹⁴ check, right?
15	Q. So you wanted to make sure it was private? Is	¹⁵ A. Yes. It appears that I did.
16	that why?	¹⁶ Q. So you continued to have the conversation. I
17	A. Correct.	¹⁷ assume Nelva was fine with that?
18	Q. And that's when she told you that the person	 ¹⁸ A. Well, she would have had to tell me not to.
19	that was there was Carole?	¹⁹ Q. Okay. And so this is the conversation where
20	A. Correct.	²⁰ she said Carl was sick and he needed to be taken off of
21	Q. And you told her that Anita had called, and she	²¹ his appointments and her estate planning documents.
22	confirmed that she had been in the hospital. She didn't	²² And you knew that that already
23	understand why Edward Jones didn't transfer the funds.	²³ occurred, right?
24	So she thought she had contacted them, I	24 A. Correct.
25	-	25 Q. I assume when you corrected her and told her
	guess.	Q. Tassume when you concered her and told her
	251	253
1		
1	A. I guess.	¹ that that had already been done, did that seem to
2	Q. Okay. Did you sense confusion on her part when	
3		² confuse her?
	you talked with her?	³ A. No. She said, Oh, that's right.
4	MR. REED: Objection, form.	 A. No. She said, Oh, that's right. Q. Okay. So that was more of something that she
5	MR. REED: Objection, form. A. She sounded confused about why Edward Jones did	 A. No. She said, Oh, that's right. Q. Okay. So that was more of something that she just seemed to have forgotten?
5 6	MR. REED: Objection, form. A. She sounded confused about why Edward Jones did not transfer funds.	 A. No. She said, Oh, that's right. Q. Okay. So that was more of something that she just seemed to have forgotten? A. Yes.
5 6 7	MR. REED: Objection, form. A. She sounded confused about why Edward Jones did not transfer funds. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. And have you ever had	 A. No. She said, Oh, that's right. Q. Okay. So that was more of something that she just seemed to have forgotten? A. Yes. Q. Did you ever have occasion to speak with Nelva
5 6 7 8	MR. REED: Objection, form. A. She sounded confused about why Edward Jones did not transfer funds. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. And have you ever had a conversation that you know of with I've forgotten	 A. No. She said, Oh, that's right. Q. Okay. So that was more of something that she just seemed to have forgotten? A. Yes. Q. Did you ever have occasion to speak with Nelva where she didn't remember that Elmer had died?
5 6 7 8 9	MR. REED: Objection, form. A. She sounded confused about why Edward Jones did not transfer funds. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. And have you ever had a conversation that you know of with I've forgotten his name now but the guy who was at Edward Jones that	 A. No. She said, Oh, that's right. Q. Okay. So that was more of something that she just seemed to have forgotten? A. Yes. Q. Did you ever have occasion to speak with Nelva where she didn't remember that Elmer had died? A. No.
5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. REED: Objection, form. A. She sounded confused about why Edward Jones did not transfer funds. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. And have you ever had a conversation that you know of with I've forgotten his name now but the guy who was at Edward Jones that was her accountant?	 A. No. She said, Oh, that's right. Q. Okay. So that was more of something that she just seemed to have forgotten? A. Yes. Q. Did you ever have occasion to speak with Nelva where she didn't remember that Elmer had died? A. No. Q. I see in the notes that you talked to her about
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. REED: Objection, form. A. She sounded confused about why Edward Jones did not transfer funds. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. And have you ever had a conversation that you know of with I've forgotten his name now but the guy who was at Edward Jones that was her accountant? A. Doug.	 A. No. She said, Oh, that's right. Q. Okay. So that was more of something that she just seemed to have forgotten? A. Yes. Q. Did you ever have occasion to speak with Nelva where she didn't remember that Elmer had died? A. No. Q. I see in the notes that you talked to her about if she wanted to resign, she could name somebody to
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. REED: Objection, form. A. She sounded confused about why Edward Jones did not transfer funds. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. And have you ever had a conversation that you know of with I've forgotten his name now but the guy who was at Edward Jones that was her accountant? A. Doug. Q. Doug, yeah.	 A. No. She said, Oh, that's right. Q. Okay. So that was more of something that she just seemed to have forgotten? A. Yes. Q. Did you ever have occasion to speak with Nelva where she didn't remember that Elmer had died? A. No. Q. I see in the notes that you talked to her about if she wanted to resign, she could name somebody to replace her.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 MR. REED: Objection, form. A. She sounded confused about why Edward Jones did not transfer funds. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. And have you ever had a conversation that you know of with I've forgotten his name now but the guy who was at Edward Jones that was her accountant? A. Doug. Q. Doug, yeah. A. I probably did at some point. 	 A. No. She said, Oh, that's right. Q. Okay. So that was more of something that she just seemed to have forgotten? A. Yes. Q. Did you ever have occasion to speak with Nelva where she didn't remember that Elmer had died? A. No. Q. I see in the notes that you talked to her about if she wanted to resign, she could name somebody to replace her. A. Uh-huh.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. REED: Objection, form. A. She sounded confused about why Edward Jones did not transfer funds. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. And have you ever had a conversation that you know of with I've forgotten his name now but the guy who was at Edward Jones that was her accountant? A. Doug. Q. Doug, yeah. A. I probably did at some point. Q. About this bounced check, though?	 A. No. She said, Oh, that's right. Q. Okay. So that was more of something that she just seemed to have forgotten? A. Yes. Q. Did you ever have occasion to speak with Nelva where she didn't remember that Elmer had died? A. No. Q. I see in the notes that you talked to her about if she wanted to resign, she could name somebody to replace her. A. Uh-huh. Q. Prior to this conversation, had you ever had a
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 MR. REED: Objection, form. A. She sounded confused about why Edward Jones did not transfer funds. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. And have you ever had a conversation that you know of with I've forgotten his name now but the guy who was at Edward Jones that was her accountant? A. Doug. Q. Doug, yeah. A. I probably did at some point. 	 A. No. She said, Oh, that's right. Q. Okay. So that was more of something that she just seemed to have forgotten? A. Yes. Q. Did you ever have occasion to speak with Nelva where she didn't remember that Elmer had died? A. No. Q. I see in the notes that you talked to her about if she wanted to resign, she could name somebody to replace her. A. Uh-huh. Q. Prior to this conversation, had you ever had a discussion with Nelva about her resigning as trustee?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. REED: Objection, form. A. She sounded confused about why Edward Jones did not transfer funds. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. And have you ever had a conversation that you know of with I've forgotten his name now but the guy who was at Edward Jones that was her accountant? A. Doug. Q. Doug, yeah. A. I probably did at some point. Q. About this bounced check, though?	 A. No. She said, Oh, that's right. Q. Okay. So that was more of something that she just seemed to have forgotten? A. Yes. Q. Did you ever have occasion to speak with Nelva where she didn't remember that Elmer had died? A. No. Q. I see in the notes that you talked to her about if she wanted to resign, she could name somebody to replace her. A. Uh-huh. Q. Prior to this conversation, had you ever had a
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. REED: Objection, form. A. She sounded confused about why Edward Jones did not transfer funds. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. And have you ever had a conversation that you know of with I've forgotten his name now but the guy who was at Edward Jones that was her accountant? A. Doug. Q. Doug, yeah. A. I probably did at some point. Q. About this bounced check, though? A. Oh, no. That's not something I would get involved with. Q. Okay. All right. It says that arbrubtly	 A. No. She said, Oh, that's right. Q. Okay. So that was more of something that she just seemed to have forgotten? A. Yes. Q. Did you ever have occasion to speak with Nelva where she didn't remember that Elmer had died? A. No. Q. I see in the notes that you talked to her about if she wanted to resign, she could name somebody to replace her. A. Uh-huh. Q. Prior to this conversation, had you ever had a discussion with Nelva about her resigning as trustee? A. I don't recall. Y. So you might have?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. REED: Objection, form. A. She sounded confused about why Edward Jones did not transfer funds. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. And have you ever had a conversation that you know of with I've forgotten his name now but the guy who was at Edward Jones that was her accountant? A. Doug. Q. Doug, yeah. A. I probably did at some point. Q. About this bounced check, though? A. Oh, no. That's not something I would get involved with.	 A. No. She said, Oh, that's right. Q. Okay. So that was more of something that she just seemed to have forgotten? A. Yes. Q. Did you ever have occasion to speak with Nelva where she didn't remember that Elmer had died? A. No. Q. I see in the notes that you talked to her about if she wanted to resign, she could name somebody to replace her. A. Uh-huh. Q. Prior to this conversation, had you ever had a discussion with Nelva about her resigning as trustee? A. I don't recall. Y. So you might have.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. REED: Objection, form. A. She sounded confused about why Edward Jones did not transfer funds. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. And have you ever had a conversation that you know of with I've forgotten his name now but the guy who was at Edward Jones that was her accountant? A. Doug. Q. Doug, yeah. A. I probably did at some point. Q. About this bounced check, though? A. Oh, no. That's not something I would get involved with. Q. Okay. All right. It says that arbrubtly although I'm not sure I think much of your spell check. A. Oh, did it	 A. No. She said, Oh, that's right. Q. Okay. So that was more of something that she just seemed to have forgotten? A. Yes. Q. Did you ever have occasion to speak with Nelva where she didn't remember that Elmer had died? A. No. Q. I see in the notes that you talked to her about if she wanted to resign, she could name somebody to replace her. A. Uh-huh. Q. Prior to this conversation, had you ever had a discussion with Nelva about her resigning as trustee? A. I don't recall. Q. So you might have? A. Might have. Q. Have you ever had a discussion with Nelva about
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. REED: Objection, form. A. She sounded confused about why Edward Jones did not transfer funds. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. And have you ever had a conversation that you know of with I've forgotten his name now but the guy who was at Edward Jones that was her accountant? A. Doug. Q. Doug, yeah. A. I probably did at some point. Q. About this bounced check, though? A. Oh, no. That's not something I would get involved with. Q. Okay. All right. It says that arbrubtly although I'm not sure I think much of your spell check.	 A. No. She said, Oh, that's right. Q. Okay. So that was more of something that she just seemed to have forgotten? A. Yes. Q. Did you ever have occasion to speak with Nelva where she didn't remember that Elmer had died? A. No. Q. I see in the notes that you talked to her about if she wanted to resign, she could name somebody to replace her. A. Uh-huh. Q. Prior to this conversation, had you ever had a discussion with Nelva about her resigning as trustee? A. I don't recall. Q. So you might have? A. Might have. Q. Have you ever had a discussion with Nelva about
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. REED: Objection, form. A. She sounded confused about why Edward Jones did not transfer funds. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. And have you ever had a conversation that you know of with I've forgotten his name now but the guy who was at Edward Jones that was her accountant? A. Doug. Q. Doug, yeah. A. I probably did at some point. Q. About this bounced check, though? A. Oh, no. That's not something I would get involved with. Q. Okay. All right. It says that arbrubtly although I'm not sure I think much of your spell check. A. Oh, did it	 A. No. She said, Oh, that's right. Q. Okay. So that was more of something that she just seemed to have forgotten? A. Yes. Q. Did you ever have occasion to speak with Nelva where she didn't remember that Elmer had died? A. No. Q. I see in the notes that you talked to her about if she wanted to resign, she could name somebody to replace her. A. Uh-huh. Q. Prior to this conversation, had you ever had a discussion with Nelva about her resigning as trustee? A. I don't recall. Q. So you might have? A. Might have. Q. Have you ever had a discussion with Nelva about that before you had the conversation with Anita where Anita was talking about she was pressured?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. REED: Objection, form. A. She sounded confused about why Edward Jones did not transfer funds. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. And have you ever had a conversation that you know of with I've forgotten his name now but the guy who was at Edward Jones that was her accountant? A. Doug. Q. Doug, yeah. A. I probably did at some point. Q. About this bounced check, though? A. Oh, no. That's not something I would get involved with. Q. Okay. All right. It says that arbrubtly although I'm not sure I think much of your spell check. A. Oh, did it Q. It missed arbrubtly. Abruptly a voice came	 A. No. She said, Oh, that's right. Q. Okay. So that was more of something that she just seemed to have forgotten? A. Yes. Q. Did you ever have occasion to speak with Nelva where she didn't remember that Elmer had died? A. No. Q. I see in the notes that you talked to her about if she wanted to resign, she could name somebody to replace her. A. Uh-huh. Q. Prior to this conversation, had you ever had a discussion with Nelva about her resigning as trustee? A. I don't recall. Q. So you might have? A. Might have. Q. Have you ever had a discussion with Nelva about
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. REED: Objection, form. A. She sounded confused about why Edward Jones did not transfer funds. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. And have you ever had a conversation that you know of with I've forgotten his name now but the guy who was at Edward Jones that was her accountant? A. Doug. Q. Doug, yeah. A. J probably did at some point. Q. About this bounced check, though? A. Oh, no. That's not something I would get involved with. Q. Okay. All right. It says that arbrubtly although I'm not sure I think much of your spell check. A. Oh, did it Q. It missed arbrubtly. Abruptly a voice came through on the line, and that was Carole, right?	 A. No. She said, Oh, that's right. Q. Okay. So that was more of something that she just seemed to have forgotten? A. Yes. Q. Did you ever have occasion to speak with Nelva where she didn't remember that Elmer had died? A. No. Q. I see in the notes that you talked to her about if she wanted to resign, she could name somebody to replace her. A. Uh-huh. Q. Prior to this conversation, had you ever had a discussion with Nelva about her resigning as trustee? A. I don't recall. Q. Have you ever had a discussion with Nelva about that before you had the conversation with Anita where A. I might have. I don't recall when specifically.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 MR. REED: Objection, form. A. She sounded confused about why Edward Jones did not transfer funds. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. And have you ever had a conversation that you know of with I've forgotten his name now but the guy who was at Edward Jones that was her accountant? A. Doug. Q. Doug, yeah. A. I probably did at some point. Q. About this bounced check, though? A. Oh, no. That's not something I would get involved with. Q. Okay. All right. It says that arbrubtly although I'm not sure I think much of your spell check. A. Oh, did it Q. It missed arbrubtly. Abruptly a voice came through on the line, and that was Carole, right? A. I didn't know it was Carole at first. But, 	 A. No. She said, Oh, that's right. Q. Okay. So that was more of something that she just seemed to have forgotten? A. Yes. Q. Did you ever have occasion to speak with Nelva where she didn't remember that Elmer had died? A. No. Q. I see in the notes that you talked to her about if she wanted to resign, she could name somebody to replace her. A. Uh-huh. Q. Prior to this conversation, had you ever had a discussion with Nelva about her resigning as trustee? A. I don't recall. Q. Have you ever had a discussion with Nelva about that before you had the conversation with Anita where A. I might have. I don't recall when
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 MR. REED: Objection, form. A. She sounded confused about why Edward Jones did not transfer funds. Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. And have you ever had a conversation that you know of with I've forgotten his name now but the guy who was at Edward Jones that was her accountant? A. Doug. Q. Doug, yeah. A. I probably did at some point. Q. About this bounced check, though? A. Oh, no. That's not something I would get involved with. Q. Okay. All right. It says that arbrubtly although I'm not sure I think much of your spell check. A. Oh, did it Q. It missed arbrubtly. Abruptly a voice came through on the line, and that was Carole, right? A. I didn't know it was Carole at first. But, yes, then I realized who it was when she started 	 A. No. She said, Oh, that's right. Q. Okay. So that was more of something that she just seemed to have forgotten? A. Yes. Q. Did you ever have occasion to speak with Nelva where she didn't remember that Elmer had died? A. No. Q. I see in the notes that you talked to her about if she wanted to resign, she could name somebody to replace her. A. Uh-huh. Q. Prior to this conversation, had you ever had a discussion with Nelva about her resigning as trustee? A. I don't recall. Q. So you might have? A. Might have. Q. Have you ever had a discussion with Nelva about that before you had the conversation with Anita where A. I might have. I don't recall when specifically.

64 (Pages 250 to 253)

	254	256
1	A. I don't recall.	¹ A. That's correct.
2	Q. Then in this conversation and maybe it was	² Q. You were specifically referring to having a
3	because Carole was on the phone	³ family discussion about whether she should resign as
4	MS. CAROLE BRUNSTING: Actually I dropped	4 trustee?
5	off when I realized it was a confidential call.	⁵ A. That's correct.
6	MS. BAYLESS: All right.	⁶ Q. And you weren't suggesting that it was
7	A. I wouldn't have known that unless I hear a	⁷ anybody's decision but hers, right?
8	click.	⁸ A. That's correct.
9	Q. (By Ms. Bayless) Okay. I'm not sure	⁹ Q. You just wanted her to talk with everybody
10	MS. CAROLE BRUNSTING: I just answered at	¹⁰ about it?
11	the same time as Mother did.	¹¹ A. It's my recommendation that the family should
12	Q. (By Ms. Bayless) All right. But in this	¹² be involved in those situations.
13	conversation and maybe Carole wasn't on the phone any	¹³ Q. All right.
14	longer. But for whatever reason, you suggested that	¹⁴ MS. BAYLESS: I think we stop because I'm
15	Carole could be on an account with her since she was	¹⁵ going to get ready to talk about this phone
16	local?	¹⁶ conversation.
17	A. Correct.	¹⁷ MR. REED: Okay.
18	Q. Is that the first time that you recall the	¹⁸ (Proceedings recessed at 5:01 p.m.)
19	issue of Carole being on a convenience account for her	19
20	came up?	20
21	A. Could be.	21
22	Q. Actually you do say down here that Carole	22
23	abruptly hung up the phone.	23
24	A. Okay. Well, see, I didn't remember that.	24
25	Q. Okay. Let's see. Let's read it together here.	25
1	255	257
2	It says you "suggested that Carole be on the account	1 CHANGES AND SIGNATURE 2 PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON
3	with Mom since she's local. Carole stated that while it's well and good that she thinks she should be the	3
4	co-power of attorney" and you explained that	4
5	"companies do not like co-powers of attorney because	5
6	they have to be able to rely on them for decisions to be	6
7	made; and if they do not agree, then nothing gets done."	7
8	Then it says Carole hung up the phone	8
9	abruptly.	9
10	A. Okay.	10
11	Q. So I guess you don't have a clue whether that	11
12	made her upset that you said she shouldn't be co-power	12
13	of attorney?	13
14	A. I do not.	14
15	Q. Okay. And so then you asked Nelva if	15
16	everything was okay, and she said, Yes, it was fine.	16
17	Was that because Carole had hung up the	17
18	phone, do you think?	18
19	MR. REED: Form.	19
20	A. Yes, probably.	20
21	Q. (By Ms. Bayless) And so then you just let her	21
22	know that oh, no. You told her to have a family	22
23	discussion about this	23
24	A. That's correct.	24
25	Q and then let you know?	25

65 (Pages 254 to 257)

	258	260
1	I, CANDACE KUNZ-FREED, have read the foregoing	¹ following includes all parties of record and the amount
2	deposition and hereby affix my signature that same is	² of time used by each party at the time of the
3	true and correct, except as noted above.	³ deposition:
4	the and correct, except as noted above.	4 Stephen Mendel (2h39m)
5		Attorney for Defendant Anita Brunsting Carole Brunsting (0h18m)
6	CANDACE KUNZ-FREED	5 Carole Brunsting (0h18m) Pro Se Defendant
7	CANDACE KONZ-I KEED	6 Candace Curtis (0h28m)
8		Pro Se Defendant
9	THE STATE OF) COUNTY OF)	7 Bobbie Bayless (2h31m)
10	COUNT OF)	Attorney for Plaintiff
10		8 9 That a copy of this certificate was served on all
	Before me,, on this day	9 That a copy of this certificate was served on all 10 parties shown herein on and filed
12	personally appeared CANDACE KUNZ-FREED, known to me or	11 with the Clerk.
13	proved to me on the oath of or through	¹² I further certify that I am neither counsel for,
14	(description of identity card	¹³ related to, nor employed by any of the parties in the
15	or other document) to be the person whose name is	¹⁴ action in which this proceeding was taken, and further
16	subscribed to the foregoing instrument and acknowledged	¹⁵ that I am not financially or otherwise interested in the
17	to me that he/she executed the same for the purpose and	 outcome of this action. Further certification requirements pursuant to
18	consideration therein expressed.	¹⁸ Rule 203 of the Texas Code of Civil Procedure will be
19	Given under my hand and seal of office on this	¹⁹ complied with after they have occurred.
20	day of,	²⁰ Certified to by me on this day of
21		21,
22		22 23
23	NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND FOR	24 Melinda Barre
24	THE STATE OF	Texas CSR 2192
25	My Commission Expires:	²⁵ Expiration: 12/31/21
1 2	CAUSE NO. 412,249-401 ESTATE OF) IN THE DISTRICT COURT	261 ¹ FURTHER CERTIFICATION UNDER TRCP RULE 203 ²
)	The original deposition was/was not returned to the
3	NELVA E. BRUNSTING,) NUMBER FOUR (4) OF	deposition officer on
4) DECEASED) HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS	⁵ If returned, the attached Changes and Signature
		 ⁶ page(s) contain(s) any changes and the reasons therefor.
5		 ⁷ If returned, the original deposition was delivered
6	CARL HENRY BRUNSTING,)	⁸ to Stephen Mendel, Custodial Attorney.
0	et al.)	9 \$ is the deposition officer's charges to the
7	vs.)	¹⁰ Defendant Anita Brunsting for preparing the original
0)	11 deposition and any copies of exhibits;
8	ANITA KAY BRUNSTING,) et al.)	¹² The deposition was delivered in accordance with Rule
9	······)	¹³ 203.3, and a copy of this certificate, served on all
10	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	¹⁴ parties shown herein, was filed with the Clerk.
11 12	ORAL DEPOSITION OF CANDACE KUNZ-FREED	¹⁵ Certified to by me on this day of
13	March 20, 2019	16,
14	I, Melinda Barre, Certified Shorthand Reporter in	17
15	and for the State of Texas, hereby certify to the	18
16 17	following:	19 20
18	That the witness, CANDACE KUNZ-FREED, was duly sworn and that the transcript of the deposition is a true	
19	record of the testimony given by the witness;	²¹ Melinda Barre Texas CSR 2192
20	That the deposition transcript was duly submitted on	22 Expiration: 12/31/21
21 22	to the witness or to the attorney for the witness for examination, signature, and return to me	23 Expiration. 12/31/21
22	by	24
24	That pursuant to information given to the deposition	25
25	officer at the time said testimony was taken, the	

66 (Pages 258 to 261)