


	A	B	C	D
1	April 2, 2012 the Will of Elmer Brunsting [#412248] and a purported Will for Nelva Brunsting [#412249] are filed with the Harris County Clerk			
2	August 15, 2012 Bobbie Bayless Attorney for Curtis brother Carl Brunsting filed an application to probate will and issue letters testamentary.			
3	August 28, 2012 the Harris County Probate Court issue letters testamentary naming Carl Henry Brunsting			
4	January 29, 2013 Bobbie Bayless filed her suit against trust attorney Candace Kunz-Freed and Vacek & Freed P.L.L.C. in the Harris County District Court, in the name of Carl Brunsting as Executor.			
5	March 1, 2013 Defendants answer filed SDTX			
6	April 4, 2013 inventory appraisal and list of claims approved, drop orders issued and pour-over estates are			estate administrations closed
7	[i]April 9, 2013 Bobbie Bayless filed suit against Amy, Anita and Carole Brunsting in Harris County Probate Court No. 4, in the name of Carl Brunsting individually (412249-401) and as executor of the estate of Nelva Brunsting (412249) naming federal plaintiff Curtis a Nominal defendant.			The estates have been closed for 5 days.
8	2014-02-11 Hannah Petition for writ of mandamus filed by Bayless			
9	2014-05-13 IN RE_ Julie HANNAH			
10	[ii]February 9, 2015 the federal case is accepted as if it had been transferred from another state court wherein it was converted from Candace Curtis vs Anita Brunsting, Amy Brunsting and Dos 100 into "estate of Nelva			The estate has been closed for 1 year, 10 months, 5 days
11	February 17, 2015, Carl submitted his resignation as independent executor due to incapacity.			
12	[iii]February 19, 2015, Carl's resignation approved due to incapacity.		There is no estate and no estate representative	The estate has been closed for 1 year, 10 months, 15 days.
13	[iv]February 20, 2015 DCO - Agreed Docket Control Order signed setting a deadline for hearing dispositive motions for August 3, 2015 with discovery closing August 4, 2015. Trial was set for September 14-18, 2015.		There has been no estate representative since yesterday	The estate has been closed for 1 year, 10 months, 16 days. There is neither an estate nor an estate representative but there is a Docket Control Order
14	[v]March 5, 2015, Agreed order to consolidate "estate of Nelva Brunsting" 412249-402 with "estate of Nelva Brunsting" 412249-401. The estates closed April 4, 2013 and the executor resigned February 17, 2015. Who was representing the estate of Nelva Brunsting when all of these agreements involving the estate of Nelva Brunsting were being made among the probate attorneys?		There has been no estate representative for 15 days.	The estate has been closed for 1 year, 11 months, 1 day. There has been no estate representative for 16 days. There is neither an estate nor an estate representative and yet the federal plaintiff, having had her action converted into a closed estate, "estate of Nelva Brunsting 412249-402", is now being converted into a defendant in "estate of Nelva
15	August 3, 2015, the February 20, 2015 DCO is eliminated using an emergency motion for protective order involving illegal wiretap recordings. The estate has been closed for There have been no dispositive motions	There is no DCO	There has been no estate representative for 5	
16	Narvaez v. Powell 564 S.W.3d 49 (Tex. App. 2018) Agreeing with In re Hannah and holding that nature of claims and relief sought are to be examined when determining probate court jurisdiction			
17			In Narvaez, the court agreed with the court in Hannah that the nature of the claims and the relief sought must be examined when determining whether the probate court has jurisdiction of a non-probate claim. Haight v. Koley Jessen PC	Haight v. Koley Jessen PC, No. 10-18-00057-CV (Tex. App. June 12, 2019)
18	2019-11-04 Amy & Anita Brunsting Orig. Counter claim 	There has been no DCO for 4 years, 3 months, 2 days	There has been no estate representative for 6 years, 8 months, 17 days	The estate has been closed for 6 years, 7 months, 1 day. The Defendant (imposter) Co-Trustees have ignored the affirmative commands of the Trust for 7 years, 11 months, 25 days and the affirmative commands in the federal preliminary injunction and now file claims against the trust beneficiary in "estate of nelva brunsting"
19		Johnson v. Johnson	No. 04-19-00500-CV (Tex. App. Jan. 15, 2020)	Because Mary Ann's tort case is not a "matter related to" the dependent administration and does not fall within the probate court's pendent and ancillary jurisdiction, it is outside the subject matter jurisdiction of the statutory probate court. TEX. GOV'T CODE §§ 25.0021, 25.0173; TEX. EST. CODE §§ 31.002, 32.001, 32.005, 32.006, 32.007; see Shell Cortez Pipeline Co. v. Shores, 127 S.W.3d 286, 292-95 (Tex. App.—Fort Worth 2004, no pet.) (applying the former Probate Code). Because "[s]ubject matter jurisdiction is essential to a court's authority to decide a case," the probate court lacked jurisdiction to dismiss the tort case under the TCPA and to award Chandler and Mary attorney's fees, sanctions, and court costs under that statute. See Meyers v. JDC/Firethorne, Ltd., 548 S.W.3d 477, 484 (Tex. 2018).
20	[vi]June 10, 2021 a new DCO is entered.	There was no DCO for 5 years, 10 months, 8 days	There has been no estate representative for 6 years, 3 months, 23 days	The estate has been closed for 8 years, 3 months, 23 days
21	2021-06-21 Opinion of the 5th Circuit in 20-20566_Documents			
22	In re CenterPoint Energy Houston Electric, LLC, No. 19-0777, at *4 (Tex. June 30, 2021)			Jurgens v. Martin 631 S.W.3d 385 (Tex. App. 2021)
23	10/15/2021: 2nd DCO All amendments and supplements must be filed by this date			
24	[vii]10/15/2021 Involuntary Probate court captive Candace Curtis filed an addendum to the lawsuit she doesn't even have in probate court			
25	[viii]2021-11-05 Co-Trustees' Motion for Summary Judgment			
26				In re Stavron No. 02-20-00404-CV (Tex. App. Nov. 10, 2021)
27	2021-11-09 Notice of Hearing - Co-Trustees' Motion for Summary Judgment			
28	2021-11-09 Defendants PROPOSED Order Granting Summary Judgment			
29	2021-11-12 Order Denying Carl's Motion to Declare QBD invalid.	suit is 8 years, 7 months, 4 days old. This is the 1st dispositive ruling ever	There has been no estate representative for 6 years, 8 months, 25 days	The estate has been closed for 8 years, 7 months, 9 days
30	December 5, 2021 Bobbie G. Bayless, representing Drina Brunsting as alleged attorney in fact for the incapacitated Plaintiff Carl Brunsting, entered into a Rule 11 agreement with the Defendant Co-Trustees agreeing		There has been no estate representative for 6 years,	The estate has been closed for 8 years, 8 months, 2 days
31	2021-12-13 Proposed Order Granting Co-Trustees' Motion for Summary Judgment (Curtis Only)			
32	12/31/2021 2nd DCO Dispositive Motions or Pleas subject to interlocutory appeal must be heard by this date			
33	2022			
34	January 5, 2022, Mtn to Sever - the Rule 11 conspirators filed a joint motion to sever incapacitated plaintiff Carl Brunsting and the imposter Co-Trustee Defendants from the federal court plaintiff (fraudulently converted into a			
35	02/07/2022 2nd DCO Summary Judgment motions not subject to an interlocutory appeal must be heard by this			
36	2/14/2022 2nd DCO Discovery Period Ends			
37	2/24/2022 2nd DCO PRETRIAL CONFERENCE			
38	February 25, 2022 Summary Judgement entered at a pre-trial conference officially declaring federal plaintiff Candace Curtis a defendant to the malefactors' claims for fees. IMPOSTER CO-TRUSTEE PROPOSED SUMMARY JUDGMENT ORDER SIGNED UNMODIFIED	Suit is 8 years, 10 months, 17 days old. This is the 1st dispositive ruling ever entered	There has been no estate representative for 7 years, 7 days in probate	The estate has been closed for 8 years, 10 months, 22 days
39	March 11, 2022 Severance Order issued leaving Candace Curtis as the only Defendant	Suit is 8 years, 11 months, 3 days old	7 years, 21 days	8 years, 11 months, 8 days
40	March 18, 2022, Notice of Non-suit of Candace Curtis by the incapacitated / diversity polluting / "involuntary plaintiff" Carl Brunsting	Suit is 8 years, 11 months, 10 days old	7 years, 1 month	8 years, 11 months, 15 days
41	4/04/2022 Trial (based upon 2nd DCO) VACATED			
42	All of their settlement proposals have the attorneys stealing from the beneficiary's. All of their settlement proposals are asking the beneficiary to agree to violate the Settlor's trust. Authorizing color of law misapplication of fiduciary property is not an acceptable condition precedent to resolution of this private trust!			